

**INFINITE TIME BLOW-UP IN THE PATLAK-KELLER-SEGEL  
SYSTEM: EXISTENCE AND STABILITY**

JUAN DAVILA, MANUEL DEL PINO, JEAN DOLBEAULT, MONICA MUSSO,  
AND JUNCHENG WEI

ABSTRACT. Perhaps the most classical diffusion model for chemotaxis is the Patlak-Keller-Segel system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty), \\ v = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2})^{-1} u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) dz, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

We consider the *critical mass case*  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) dx = 8\pi$  which corresponds to the exact threshold between finite-time blow-up and self-similar diffusion towards zero. We find a radial function  $u_0^*$  with mass  $8\pi$  such that for any initial condition  $u_0$  sufficiently close to  $u_0^*$  the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (0.1) is globally defined and blows-up in infinite time. As  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  it has the approximate profile

$$u(x, t) \approx \frac{1}{\lambda^2} U_0 \left( \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right), \quad U_0(y) = \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2},$$

where  $\lambda(t) \approx \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}$ ,  $\xi(t) \rightarrow q$  for some  $c > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the classical Keller-Segel problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty), \\ v = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2})^{-1} u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) dz \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

which is a well-known model for the dynamics of a population density  $u(x, t)$  evolving by diffusion with a drift representing a chemotaxis effect [14, 15, 18]. We consider positive solutions which are well defined and unique and smooth up to a maximal time  $0 < T \leq +\infty$ . This problem formally preserves mass, in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) dx =: M \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

An interesting feature of (1.1) is the connection between the second moment of the solution and its mass which is precisely given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x, t) |x|^2 dx = 4M - \frac{M^2}{2\pi}$$

provided that the second moments are finite. If  $M > 8\pi$  the negative rate of production of the second moment and the positivity of the solution implies finite

blow-up time. If  $M < 8\pi$  the solution lives at all times and eventually diffuses to zero with a self similar profile [3]. When  $M = 8\pi$  the solution is globally defined in time [4]. If the initial second moment is finite, it is preserved in time, and there is *infinite time blow-up* for the solution [4].

Globally defined in time solutions of (1.1) are of course its positive finite mass steady states, which consist of the family

$$U_{\lambda,\xi}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} U_0 \left( \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda} \right), \quad U_0(y) = \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2}, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.2)$$

We observe that all these steady states have the exact mass  $8\pi$  and infinite second moment

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_{\lambda,\xi}(x) dx = 8\pi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 U_{\lambda,\xi}(x) dx = +\infty.$$

In [1, 16, 23] blow-up in a bounded domain is studied, see also [17] and [24] for the higher-dimensional radial case. Asymptotics were derived in [25, 26] and a rigorous construction of a radial blow-up solution was found in [22]. Asymptotic stability of family of steady states (1.2) under this mass constraint has been determined in [12].

In the critical mass  $M = 8\pi$  case, the infinite-time blow-up in (1.1) when the second moment is finite, takes place in the form of a bubble in the form (1.2) with  $\lambda = \lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$  [4, 2]. Formal rates and precise profiles were derived in [6, 5] to be  $\lambda(t) \sim \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . A radial solution with this rates was built in [13] and its stability within the radial class was established. The stability assertion for general small perturbations was conjectured and left open in [13]. The method of construction in [13] (see also [19, 20, 21, 22]) seems difficult to adapt to the general, nonradial scenario.

In this paper we construct an infinite-time blow-up solution with an entirely different method to that in [13] which in particular leads to a proof of the stability assertion. The following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a nonnegative function  $u_0^*(x)$  (radially symmetric) with critical mass  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0^*(x) dx = 8\pi$  and finite second moment  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u_0^*(x) dx < +\infty$  such that for every  $u_0(x)$  sufficiently close (in suitable sense) to  $u_0^*$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0^* = 8\pi$  we have that the associated solution  $u(x, t)$  of system (1.1) has the form*

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^2} U_0 \left( \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) (1 + o(1)), \quad U_0(y) = \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2}$$

uniformly on bounded sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \xi(t) \rightarrow q \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for some numbers  $c > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Sufficiently close for the perturbation  $u_0(x) := u_0^*(x) + \varphi(x)$  in this result is measured in the  $C^1$ -weighted norm for some  $\sigma > 0$

$$\|\varphi\|_{*\sigma} := \|(1 + |\cdot|^{4+\sigma})\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|(1 + |\cdot|^{5+\sigma})\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} < +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

We observe that this decay condition implies for all  $\sigma > 0$  the second moment of  $\varphi$  is finite, which is not the case for  $\sigma \leq 0$ .

We devote the rest of this paper to the proof of Theorem 1. Our approach borrows elements of constructions in the works [7, 9, 10, 11] based in the so-called *inner-outer gluing scheme*, where a system is derived for an inner equation defined near the blow-up point and expressed in the variable of the blowing-up bubble, and an outer problem that sees the whole picture in the original scale. The result of Theorem 1 has already been announced in [8] in connection with [7, 9, 10].

The scaling parameter is rather simple to find at main order from the approximate conservation of second moment. The center  $\xi(t)$  actually obeys a relatively simple system of nonlocal ODEs.

## 2. THE ANSATZ

We consider the Keller-Segel system in the whole  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty), \\ v = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2})^{-1} u := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) dz \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

and build a first approximation to a solution globally defined in time. Let us consider a smooth cut-off function  $\chi_0$  with

$$\chi_0(s) = 1 \text{ for } s < 1 \text{ and } = 0 \text{ for } s > 2. \quad (2.1)$$

We consider a positive function  $\lambda(t)$  and a function  $\xi(t)$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \xi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty$$

and consider as a first approximation

$$u_1(x, t) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{\lambda^2} U_0(y) \chi_0\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad y = \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}.$$

Here  $U_0(y) = \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2}$  and we write  $\alpha$  as

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2.$$

We choose  $\alpha_1(t)$  in such a way that we exactly have

$$\alpha_1(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0(y) \chi(y, t) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0(y) dy = 8\pi,$$

where

$$\chi(y, t) = \chi_0\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

We directly compute

$$\alpha_1(t) = 1 + a \frac{\lambda^2}{t} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$a = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \chi_0(s)}{s^3} ds. \quad (2.4)$$

The parameters  $\lambda(t) > 0$  and  $\alpha_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  will be chosen later on, but for the moment we will assume the following bounds on them:

$$\lambda(t) \leq \lambda_*(t), \quad |\dot{\lambda}(t)| \leq |\dot{\lambda}_*(t)|, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\lambda_*(t) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log t}},$$

and  $C > 0$  is a fixed constant, and

$$|\alpha_2(t)| \leq \frac{C}{t \log(t)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Also on  $\xi$  we assume the bound

$$|\dot{\xi}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{1+\sigma}} \quad (2.7)$$

for some  $\sigma > 0$ .

Let us consider the ‘‘error operator’’

$$S(u) = -u_t + \Delta u - \nabla(u \nabla v).$$

Changing variables

$$y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$$

and letting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(y, t) &= u(x, t) \\ \tilde{v}(y, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|z - y|} \tilde{u}(z, t) dz \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\lambda^4 S(u) = -\lambda^2 \tilde{u}_t + \lambda \dot{\lambda} (2\tilde{u} + y \cdot \nabla_y \tilde{u}) + \lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla_y \tilde{u} + \Delta_y \tilde{u} - \nabla_y \tilde{u} \nabla_y \tilde{v} + \tilde{u}^2.$$

Let us write

$$V_0(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|z - y|} U_0(z) dz = \log U_0(y)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} U(y, t) &= U_0(y) \chi(y, t) \\ V(y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|z - y|} U(z, t) dz \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi$  is defined in (2.2).

Then we compute

$$\lambda^4 S(u_1) = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= -\lambda^2 \dot{\alpha} U_0 \chi + \alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} (2U_0 + y \cdot \nabla U_0) \chi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha \lambda^3}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} |y| \chi'_0 U_0 \\ S_2 &= 2\alpha \nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla \chi + \alpha U_0 \Delta \chi + U_0^2 (\alpha^2 \chi^2 - \alpha \chi) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 U_0 \nabla \chi \nabla V - \alpha(\alpha - 1) \nabla U_0 \nabla V \chi + \alpha \nabla U_0 \nabla (V - V_0) \chi \\ S_3 &= \alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla U_0 \chi + \alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \chi'_0 \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} U_0 \end{aligned}$$

We decompose

$$S_2 = \mathcal{E}_2^o + \mathcal{E}_2^i$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2^i &= \alpha(\alpha - 1)U_0^2\chi - \alpha(\alpha - 1)\nabla U_0\nabla V_0\chi \\ \mathcal{E}_2^o &= 2\alpha\nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla\chi + \alpha U_0\Delta\chi + U_0^2\alpha^2\chi(\chi - 1) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2U_0\nabla\chi\nabla V + \alpha\nabla U_0\nabla(V - V_0)\chi \\ &\quad - \alpha(\alpha - 1)\nabla U_0\nabla(V - V_0)\chi \end{aligned}$$

We also decompose

$$S_1 = \mathcal{E}_1^i + \mathcal{E}_1^o$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1^i &= -\lambda^2\dot{\alpha}U_0\chi + \alpha\lambda\dot{\lambda}(2U_0 + y \cdot \nabla U_0)\chi \\ \mathcal{E}_1^o &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha\lambda^3}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} |y|\chi'_0 U_0 \end{aligned}$$

The main term in  $\mathcal{E}_2^o$  is

$$8 \frac{\lambda^6}{t^3 \xi^4} \left[ \chi''_0 - \frac{3}{\xi} \chi'_0(\xi) \right],$$

where  $\xi = \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}$ .

On the other hand, from  $\mathcal{E}_1^o$  we get a main term given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^6}{t^3} \xi \chi'_0(\xi).$$

To improve the size of the error, we introduce a correction  $\phi^{\sigma_1}$  of the form

$$\phi^{\sigma_1} = \lambda^2 \tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1}$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1}$  is a radial function and solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1} = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^6} \tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1} + \frac{1}{t^3} h\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\ \tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1}(r, 0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$h(\xi) = \frac{8}{\xi^4} \left[ \chi''_0 - \frac{3}{\xi} \chi'_0(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{4} \chi'_0(\xi) \right].$$

In (2.8),  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^6}$  means  $\partial_{rr} + \frac{5}{r}\partial_r$ . The solution  $\phi^{\sigma_1}$  to (2.8) can be expressed in self-similar form as

$$\tilde{\phi}^{\sigma_1}(r, t) = \frac{1}{t^2} g(\xi), \quad \xi = \frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

We find for  $g$  the equation

$$g'' + \frac{5}{\xi} g' + \frac{\xi}{2} g' + 2g + h = 0.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{\xi^4}$  is in the kernel of the homogenous equation we find an explicit solution

$$g_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi^4} \int_0^\xi x^3 e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} \int_0^x h(y) e^{\frac{1}{4}y^2} y \, dy \, dx.$$

To find the solution  $\phi^{o1}$  with initial condition zero we define

$$g(\xi) = g_0(\xi) - \bar{z}(\xi)I$$

where

$$\bar{z}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi^4} \int_0^\xi x^3 e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} dx$$

is a second solution of the homogeneous equation, independent of  $\frac{1}{\xi^4}$  and

$$I = \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-\frac{1}{4}x^2} \int_0^x h(y) e^{\frac{1}{4}y^2} y dy dx. \quad (2.9)$$

We note that

$$\tilde{\phi}^{o1}(0, t) = -\frac{I}{4t^2}.$$

We take then as ansatz the function

$$u_2(x, t) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{\lambda^2} U_0(y) \chi_0\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \phi^{o1}(|x - \xi(t)|, t), \quad y = \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \quad (2.10)$$

and define accordingly

$$\psi^{o1} = (-\Delta)^{-1} \phi^{o1}.$$

### 3. INNER OUTER SYSTEM

We look for a solution to

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \nabla_x \cdot (\nabla_x u - u \nabla v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty) \\ -\Delta_x v &= u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

of the form

$$u(x, t) = u_2(x, t) + \lambda^{-2} \phi^i\left(\frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}, t\right) \eta(x, t) + \phi^o(x, t),$$

where  $u_2$  is the ansatz (2.10). The cut-off  $\eta$  is of the form

$$\eta(x, t) = \chi_0\left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

and  $\chi_0$  is described in (2.1).

Recalling that  $y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} -u_t &= -\partial_t u_2 + \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda^3} (2\phi^i + \nabla_y \phi^i \cdot y) \eta \\ &\quad - \nabla_y \phi^i \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda^3} \eta - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \phi_t^i \eta - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \phi \eta_t - \phi_t^o \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^i &= (-\Delta_y)^{-1} \phi^i, \\ v_2 &= (-\Delta_x)^{-1} u_2 \end{aligned}$$

and then we take

$$v = v_2 + \psi^i \eta + \psi^o$$

We then get the following system of equations for  $\phi^i, \psi^i, \phi^o, \psi^o$ :

$$\begin{cases} \lambda^2 \partial_t \phi^i = \Delta_y \phi^i - \nabla_y \phi^i \nabla_y V_0 - \nabla_y \psi^i \nabla_y U_0 + 2U_0 \phi^i \\ \quad + 2\lambda^2 U_0 \chi \phi^o + 2\lambda^2 U_0 \chi \phi^{o1} - \lambda \nabla_y [U_0 \chi] \nabla_x \psi^o - \lambda \nabla_y [U_0 \chi] \nabla_x \psi^{o1} + E_{in} \\ -\Delta_y \psi^i = \phi^i \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi^o = L_{out} \phi^o + G(\phi^i, \phi^o, \psi^i, \psi^o, \lambda, \alpha) \\ -\Delta_x \psi^o = \phi^o + 2\lambda^{-1} \nabla_y \psi^i \nabla_x \eta + \psi^i \Delta_x \eta \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$L_{out} \phi^o = \Delta_x \phi^o - \nabla_x \phi^o \nabla_x V_0 \left( \frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right)$$

$$G(\phi^i, \phi^o, \psi^i, \psi^o, \lambda, \alpha) = A_1 \phi^o + A_2 \psi^o + B_1 \phi^i + B_2 \psi^i + E_{out} + N(\phi^i, \phi^o, \psi^i, \psi^o)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \phi^o &= 2u_2 \phi^o (1 - \eta) - \nabla_x \phi^o \nabla_x \tilde{v}_2 + 2[(\alpha - 1)\lambda^{-2} U_0 \eta + \phi^{o1}] \phi^o \\ A_2 \psi^o &= -\nabla_x u_2 \nabla_x \psi^o (1 - \eta) - \lambda^{-3} \nabla_x \psi^o \nabla_y [(\alpha - 1)U_0 \chi + \lambda^2 \phi^{o1}] \\ B_1 \phi^i &= \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda^3} - \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda^3} \nabla_y \phi^i \eta + (2\phi^i + \nabla_y \phi^i y) \eta + \lambda^{-2} (\Delta_x \eta - \eta_t) \phi^i \\ &\quad + 2\lambda^{-2} \nabla_x \phi^i \nabla_x \eta - \lambda^{-2} \phi^i \nabla_x \eta \nabla_x v_2 \\ &\quad + 2\lambda^{-4} [U_0 (1 - \eta) + (\alpha - 1)U_0 \eta] \phi^i \\ B_2 \psi^i &= -\psi^i \nabla_x u_2 \nabla_x \eta - \lambda^{-4} \nabla_y \psi^i \nabla_y [U_0 (1 - \chi) + (\alpha - 1)U_0 \chi + \lambda^2 \phi^{o1}] \eta \end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions  $\tilde{v}_2$  is given by

$$-\Delta_x \tilde{v}_2 = \lambda^{-2} U_0(y) (\chi - 1) + (\alpha - 1) \lambda^{-2} U_0(y) \chi + \phi^{o1},$$

and the terms  $E_{in}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{in} &= \alpha(\alpha - 1)U_0^2 - \alpha(\alpha - 1)\nabla U_0 \nabla V_0 - \lambda^2 \dot{\alpha} U_0 \chi + \alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} (2U_0 + y \cdot \nabla U_0) \chi \\ &\quad + \alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla U_0 \chi, \end{aligned}$$

and  $E_{out}$  satisfies the estimate

$$|E_{out}| \leq C \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t^3 \log^2 t} & r \leq \sqrt{t} \\ \frac{1}{t \log^2 t r^4} & r \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

Finally,  $N(\phi^i, \phi^o, \psi^i, \psi^o)$  are the nonlinear terms:

$$N(\phi^i, \phi^o, \psi^i, \psi^o) = -\nabla \Phi \nabla \Psi + 2\Phi^2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \lambda^{-2} \phi^i \left( \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}, t \right) \eta(x, t) + \phi^o(x, t) \\ \Psi &= \psi^i \eta + \psi^o. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of solving the system (3.1)-(3.2) directly, we consider the following modification of (3.1)

$$\begin{cases} \lambda^2 \partial_t \phi^i = \Delta_y \phi^i - \nabla_y \phi^i \nabla_y V_0 - \nabla_y \psi^i \nabla_y U_0 + 2U_0 \phi^i \\ \quad + H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1}, \lambda, \alpha_2, \xi) - \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j(t) \tilde{Z}_j \\ -\Delta_y \psi^i = \phi^i \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1}, \lambda, \alpha_2, \xi) = 2\lambda^2 U_0 \chi \phi^o + 2\lambda^2 U_0 \chi \phi^{o1} \quad (3.4)$$

$$- \lambda \nabla_y [U_0 \chi] \nabla_x \psi^o - \lambda \nabla_y [U_0 \chi] \nabla_x \psi^{o1}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\tilde{Z}_j(y) = Z_j(y) \chi(y)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} Z_0(y) = 2U_0(y) + \nabla U_0(y)y, \\ Z_1(y) = \partial_{y_1} U_0(y), \\ Z_2(y) = \partial_{y_3} U_0(y), \\ Z_3(y) = U_0(y). \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

For the proof of the main theorem, we will use the following norms.

For the inner problem we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^i\|_{i^*, \nu, \mu, \sigma} &= \sup_{|y| \leq \sqrt{t}} \{t^\nu \log^\mu t [(1 + |y|)^{3+\sigma} |\phi^i(y, t)| + (1 + |y|)^{4+\sigma} |\nabla \phi^i(y, t)|]\} \\ &\quad + \sup_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} \{t^{\nu-1} \log^\mu t [(1 + |y|)^{5+\sigma} |\phi^i(y, t)| + (1 + |y|)^{6+\sigma} |\nabla \phi^i(y, t)|]\} \\ \|h^i\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} &= \sup \{t^\nu (1 + |y|)^{5+\sigma} |h^i(y, t)|\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu > 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , and  $\sigma > 0$ .

For the outer problem we use the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^o\|_{o^*, a, \mu, b} &= \sup \left\{ \left[ t^a \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x - \xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^{b-2} + \lambda^2 t^{a-1} \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x - \xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^b \right] |\phi^o(x, t)| \right\} \\ &\quad + \sup \left\{ \left[ t^a \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x - \xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^{b-1} + \lambda^2 t^{a-1} \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x - \xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^{b+1} \right] |\nabla \phi^o(x, t)| \right\} \\ \|h\|_{o^{**}, a, \mu, b} &= \sup \left\{ \lambda^2 t^a \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x - \xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^b |h(x, t)| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $2 < b < 6$ ,  $1 < a < 3$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We let  $\sigma > 0$  be a small number. We work in both equations (3.3) and (3.2) with  $t \geq t_0$  and  $t_0$  a large constant. In (3.3) we impose zero initial condition and in (3.2) we put initial condition  $\phi^o(x, t_0) = \phi_0^o(x)$  a function with small norm (1.3). We assume that  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\xi$  satisfy the conditions (2.5), (2.6), (2.7). Given a function  $\phi^i$  with  $\|\phi^i\|_{*i, 1, 2, \sigma}$  small we solve (3.2) using Theorem 3 and the Banach fixed point theorem, and we find a solution  $\phi^o$  with the estimate

$$\|\phi^o\|_{*o, 2, 2, 0} \lesssim \|\phi^i\|_{*i, 1, 2, \sigma} + \|\phi_0^o\|_{*\sigma}.$$



Here we have used in a crucial manner the fact that

$$\phi_0^o(x) = O(|x|^{-4-\sigma}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

since from here and Duhamel's formula we find that  $\phi^o(x, t) = O(t^{-2-\frac{\sigma}{2}})$ .

It is straightforward to check that  $\phi^o, \psi^o$  are Lipschitz functions of  $\phi^i$ . Inserting these functions in (3.3) we solve now for  $\phi^i$ , having  $\lambda, \alpha_2$  and  $\xi$  as given parameters. The functions  $c_j(t)$  in (3.3) are chosen such that  $H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1})$  satisfies the four conditions in Theorem 2. Using that theorem and the Banach fixed point theorem, we find that (3.3) has a solution  $\phi^i$  with  $\|\phi^i\|_{*i,1,2,\sigma}$  small. To find a solution to the problem we need the following conditions to be satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \dot{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 \chi \, dy &= \alpha(\alpha - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [U_0^2 - \nabla U_0 \nabla V_0] \chi \, dy - \alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [2U_0 + y \nabla U_0] \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1}, \lambda, \alpha_2, \xi) \, dy \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1}, \lambda, \alpha_2, \xi) |y|^2 \, dy \\ &\quad - \alpha(\alpha - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [U_0^2 - \nabla U_0 \nabla V_0] |y|^2 \, dy \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \dot{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 \chi |y|^2 \, dy \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(\phi^o, \psi^o, \phi^{o1}, \psi^{o1}, \lambda, \alpha_2, \xi) y \, dy, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $H$  is given by (3.4). At main order, after some computation we find the equation

$$-\lambda^2(\dot{\alpha}_1 + \dot{\alpha}_2)8\pi + 2\lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 \phi^{o1} - \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla \psi^{o1} = \frac{1}{t^2 \log^3 t} \mathcal{N}_1(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{\alpha}_2, \dot{\xi})$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a uniformly bounded operator, Lipschitz in its arguments for their associated topologies in (2.5), (2.6), (2.7). After an integration by parts, and integrating in time from  $t$  to  $+\infty$ , and using the definition of  $\alpha_1$  in (2.3) we find that at main order

$$\alpha_2(t) + \frac{\lambda^2}{t}(I - a) + \frac{1}{t \log^2 t} \mathcal{N}_2(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{\alpha}_2, \dot{\xi}) = 0,$$

where  $I$  and  $a$  are the numbers introduced in (2.9) and (2.4).

Computing the explicitly constant  $I - a$  and replacing this in (3.8) gives at main order

$$\lambda \dot{\lambda} = -c_1 \frac{\lambda^4}{t} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log t}\right)\right),$$

for some positive constant  $c_1$ , and solving for  $\lambda$  gives the desired rate after an application of contraction mapping principle to system (3.7), (3.8), (3.9). The equations for  $\lambda$  and  $\xi$  is have to be solved by fixing their initial conditions independently of  $\phi_0^o$ . The fact that perturbative initial condition  $\phi_0^o$  was arbitrary gives the stability of the blow-up, since if we assume  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_0^o = 0$  then necessarily  $\alpha_2(t_0) = 0$  which precisely amounts to the mass of the full initial condition to be exactly  $8\pi$ . We check that for the topology we are using in the initial conditions we can represent an arbitrary perturbation in this form. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 4. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE LINEAR THEORY

4.1. **The Liouville equation.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(y) &= \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \\ V_0(y) &= \log \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and note that

$$\Delta V_0 + e^{V_0} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The linearization around of  $V_0$  is given by the operator

$$\psi \mapsto \Delta \psi + U_0 \psi$$

and we note that the following explicit functions

$$\begin{cases} z_0(y) = \nabla V_0(y) \cdot y + 2 \\ z_j(y) = \partial_{y_j} V_0(y), \quad j = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

are elements in the kernel of  $\Delta + U_0$ . These are the only bounded elements in the kernel.

4.2. **Stereographic projection.** Let  $\Pi : S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  denote the stereographic projection

$$\Pi(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \left( \frac{y_1}{1-y_3}, \frac{y_2}{1-y_3} \right).$$

For  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we write

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \Pi, \quad \tilde{\varphi} : S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $U_0$  be given by (4.1). Then we have the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} \tilde{\varphi} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi U_0 \\ \int_{S^2} \tilde{U}_0 |\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{\varphi}|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi|^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \tilde{U}_0 \Delta_{S^2} \tilde{\varphi} &= (\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi) \circ \Pi. \end{aligned}$$

The linearized Liouville equation for  $\phi, f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta \phi + U_0 \phi + U_0 f = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

is transformed into

$$\Delta_{S^2} \tilde{\phi} + 2\tilde{\phi} + 2\tilde{f} = 0 \quad \text{in } S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\}.$$

### 4.3. A quadratic form.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy*

$$|\phi(y)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{2+\sigma}},$$

with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi \, dy = 0.$$

There are constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 g^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 g^2$$

where

$$g = \frac{\phi}{U_0} - (-\Delta^{-1})\phi + c$$

and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g U_0 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi = 0$  there is a unique bounded  $\psi$  such that

$$-\Delta\psi = \phi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and this is the function denoted by  $\psi = (-\Delta)^{-1}\phi$ . Moreover

$$|\psi(y)| + (1+|y|)|\nabla\psi(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^\sigma}.$$

Since

$$g = \frac{\phi}{U_0} - \psi + c$$

we find the estimate

$$|g(y)| \lesssim (1+|y|)^{2-\sigma}.$$

Note that

$$-\Delta\psi - U_0\psi = U_0g \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We transform  $\tilde{g} = g \circ \Pi$ ,  $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \circ \Pi$  and write this equation in  $S^2$  as

$$-\Delta_{S^2}\tilde{\psi} - 2\tilde{\psi} = 2\tilde{g}, \quad \text{in } S^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Since  $\phi = U_0(g + \psi - c)$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0(g + \psi)g = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}^2 + \tilde{\psi}\tilde{g},$$

Multiplying (4.2) by  $\tilde{\psi}$  we find that

$$\int_{S^2} \tilde{g}\tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} |\nabla_{S^2}\tilde{\psi}|^2 - \int_{S^2} \tilde{\psi}^2$$

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{S^2} |\nabla_{S^2}\tilde{\psi}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{\psi}^2.$$

We recall that the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  on  $S^2$  are given by  $\{k(k+1) \mid k \geq 0\}$ . The eigenvalue 0 has a constant eigenfunction and the eigenvalue 2 has eigenspace

spanned by the coordinate functions  $\pi_i(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_i$ , for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in S^2$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $(\lambda_j)_{j \geq 0}$  denote all eigenvalues, repeated according to multiplicity, with  $\lambda_0 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 2$ , and let  $(e_j)_{j \geq 0}$  denote the corresponding eigenfunctions so that they form an orthonormal system in  $L^2(S^2)$ , and  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are multiples of the coordinate functions  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$ . We decompose  $\tilde{\psi}$  and  $\tilde{g}$ :

$$\tilde{\psi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_j e_j, \quad \tilde{g} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_j e_j, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_j = \langle \tilde{\psi}, e_j \rangle_{L^2(S^2)}, \quad \tilde{g}_j = \langle \tilde{g}, e_j \rangle_{L^2(S^2)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_j - 2) \tilde{\psi}_j^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} (\lambda_j - 2) \tilde{\psi}_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.2) gives us that

$$\tilde{\psi}_j = \frac{2}{\lambda_j - 2} \tilde{g}_j, \quad j \notin \{1, 2, 3\},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_0^2 + \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - 2} \tilde{g}_j^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_j^2 + \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - 2} \tilde{g}_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g U_0 = 0$  which means that  $\tilde{g}_0 = 0$  and hence we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $\phi = \phi(y, t)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $t > 0$  is a function satisfying*

$$|\phi(y, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{2+\sigma}},$$

with  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(y, t) dy = 0, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

and that  $\phi$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$  and  $\phi_t$  satisfies also

$$|\phi_t(y, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{2+\sigma}}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_t g = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g$$

where for each  $t$ ,  $g(y, t)$  is defined as

$$g = \frac{\phi}{U_0} - (-\Delta^{-1})\phi + c(t)$$

and  $c(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y, t) U_0(y) dy = 0.$$

*Proof.* Using the notation of the previous lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_t g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0(g_t + \psi_t)g = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} (\tilde{g}_t \tilde{g} + \tilde{\psi}_t \tilde{g}).$$

We have

$$-\Delta_{S^2} \tilde{\psi} - 2\tilde{\psi} = 2\tilde{g}, \quad \text{in } S^2.$$

And differentiating in  $t$  we get

$$-\Delta_{S^2} \tilde{\psi}_t - 2\tilde{\psi}_t = 2\tilde{g}_t, \quad \text{in } S^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Multiplying by  $\tilde{g}$  and integrating we find that

$$\int_{S^2} \tilde{\psi}_t \tilde{g} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \Delta \tilde{\psi}_t \tilde{g} - \int_{S^2} \tilde{g}_t \tilde{g}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_t g = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{S^2} \Delta \tilde{\psi}_t \tilde{g}$$

Decompose as in (4.3) and find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_t g = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j (\tilde{\psi}_j)_t \tilde{g}_j$$

But from (4.4)

$$(\lambda_j - 2)(\tilde{\psi}_j)_t = 2(\tilde{g}_j)_t.$$

If

$$\tilde{g}_j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_t g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - 2} (\tilde{g}_j)_t \tilde{g}_j$$

□

#### 4.4. A Hardy inequality.

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $R > 0$  large and any  $\int_{B_R} g U_0 = 0$*

$$\frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_R} g^2 U_0 \leq \int_{B_R} |\nabla g|^2 U_0.$$

*Proof.* After a stereographic projection and letting  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{R}$ ,  $A_\varepsilon = B_1(0) \setminus B_\varepsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , we need to prove that for  $g \in C^1(\bar{A}_\varepsilon)$  with

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} g dy = 0$$

we have

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} g^2 dy \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\nabla g|^2 |y|^4 dy.$$

By using polar coordinates it is sufficient to show this for radial functions, which amounts to the statement: for  $g \in C^1([\varepsilon, 1])$ , if

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

then

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'(x)^2 x^5 \, dx.$$

We write

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 \frac{d}{dx}(x^2) \, dx = \frac{g^2(1)}{2} - \frac{g^2(\varepsilon)}{2} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 gg' x^2 \, dx.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 gg' x^2 \, dx &\leq \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x^{-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C$ . Inserting this inequality in the previous computation gives

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx \leq g(1)^2 - g(\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon^2 + C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx. \quad (4.6)$$

We now use (4.5) in the form

$$0 = \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g(x) x \, dx = \frac{g(1)}{2} - \frac{g(\varepsilon)}{2} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g' x^2 \, dx,$$

and so

$$g(1)^2 \leq 2g(\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon^4 + 2 \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g' x^2 \, dx \right)^2.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g' x^2 \, dx &\leq \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^{-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus get that

$$g(1)^2 \leq 2g(\varepsilon)^2 \varepsilon^4 + 2|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx$$

and this combined with (4.6) gives

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 g^2 x \, dx \leq g(\varepsilon)^2 (2\varepsilon^4 - \varepsilon^2) + (C\varepsilon^{-2} + 2|\log \varepsilon|) \int_{\varepsilon}^1 g'^2 x^5 \, dx.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small this gives the desired estimate.  $\square$

## 5. INNER PROBLEM

The relevant linear equation for  $\phi^i$  appearing in (3.3) is

$$\lambda^2 \partial_t \phi^i = \Delta_y \phi^i - \nabla_y \phi^i \nabla_y V_0 - \nabla_y \psi^i \nabla_y U_0 + 2U_0 \phi^i + h.$$

We change the time variable

$$\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{\lambda^2(s)} ds$$

and note that  $\tau \sim t \log t$ . Then this equation can be written as

$$\partial_\tau \phi = \nabla \cdot \left[ U \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{U_0} - (-\Delta)^{-1} \phi \right) \right] + h, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\tau_0, \infty).$$

where

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \phi(y, t) dy,$$

and  $\tau_0$  is fixed large. We consider it with initial condition

$$\phi(y, \tau_0) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We assume that

$$|h(y, \tau)| \leq \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}$$

with

$$0 < \nu < 3, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \sigma < 1.$$

We define

$$\|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} = \sup\{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{5+\sigma} |h(y, \tau)|\}.$$

We also assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(y, \tau) dy = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(y, \tau) |y|^2 dy = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(y, \tau) y_j dy = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{5.3}$$

for all  $\tau > \tau_0$ .

We have:

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $h$  satisfies  $\|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} < \infty$  and the conditions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3). Then*

$$|\phi(y, \tau)| (1+|y|) |\nabla \phi(y, \tau)| \lesssim \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+\rho)^{3+\sigma}} & \rho \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1+\rho)^{5+\sigma}} & \rho \geq \sqrt{\tau}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let

$$g = \frac{\phi}{U_0} - (-\Delta)^{-1} \phi + c(\tau),$$

where  $c(\tau)$  is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g U_0 = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Note that

$$\partial_\tau \phi = \nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) + h, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\tau_0, \infty).$$

We multiply this equation by  $g$  and integrate in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , using Lemma 4.2:

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 |\nabla g|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h g.$$

We use the inequality in Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\frac{1}{R_1^2} \int_{B_{R_1}} (g - \bar{g}_{R_1})^2 U_0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_0 |\nabla g|^2$$

where

$$\bar{g}_{R_1} = \frac{1}{\int_{B_{R_1}} U_0} \int_{B_{R_1}} g U_0.$$

Here  $R_1$  is a large positive constant, to be made precise below.

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g + \frac{1}{R_1^2} \int_{B_{R_1}} g^2 U_0 \leq C R_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2 U_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{2R_1^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^2 U_0 + C \bar{g}_{R_1}^2 \right).$$

But by (5.4)

$$\bar{g}_{R_1} = - \frac{1}{\int_{B_{R_1}} U_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} g U_0$$

so

$$\bar{g}_{R_1}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} g^2 U_0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g + \frac{1}{2R_1^2} \int_{B_{R_1}} g^2 U_0 \lesssim R_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2 U_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{R_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} g^2 U_0.$$

We now use Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g + \frac{1}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi g \lesssim R_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h^2 U_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{R_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} g^2 U_0. \quad (5.5)$$

Define

$$A^2 = \sup_{\tau \geq \tau_0} \left\{ \tau^{2\nu} \log^{2\mu} \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R} g^2(t) U_0 \right\}.$$

Integrating (5.5) and using Lemma 4.1 we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^2 U_0 \lesssim \frac{R_1^4 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^2}{\tau^{2\nu} \log^{2\mu} \tau} + \frac{A^2}{\tau^{2\nu} \log^{2\mu} \tau}. \quad (5.6)$$

Let us use the notation

$$\|g\|_{L^2(U_0^{1/2})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^2 U_0$$

and we record the estimate (5.6) as

$$\|g(\tau)\|_{L^2(U_0^{1/2})} \lesssim \frac{R_1^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau} + \frac{A}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau}.$$

The idea now is to obtain decay of  $g$ , and then show that  $A$  can be eliminated from the estimate (5.6).



Let us rewrite the equation for  $\tilde{g} = U_0 g$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \tilde{g} &= U_0 \partial_\tau g = \partial_\tau \phi + U_0 \Delta^{-1} \partial_\tau \phi \\ &= \nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) + h - U_0 (-\Delta)^{-1} [\nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) + h] \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left[ U_0 \nabla \left( \frac{\tilde{g}}{U_0} \right) \right] + h - U_0 v, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$v := (-\Delta)^{-1} [\nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) + h].$$

We claim that

$$|v(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{2-\varepsilon}} \left[ \frac{R_1^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau} + \frac{A}{t^\nu \log^\mu \tau} \right], \quad (5.8)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

This can be proved by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (U_0 \nabla g) &= \Delta g U_0 + \nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla g \\ &= \Delta (g U_0) - \nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla g - g \Delta U_0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$v = -g U_0 - (-\Delta)^{-1} [\nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla g + g \Delta U_0].$$

Thus we can decompose

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= -g U_0 \\ v_2 &= -(-\Delta)^{-1} [\nabla U_0 \cdot \nabla g] \\ v_3 &= -(-\Delta)^{-1} [g \Delta U_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we solve the equations for  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  in the sphere, using the stereographic projection and the basic estimate

$$\|g\|_{L^2(B_1(y))} \lesssim (1 + |y|)^2 \|g(\tau)\|_{L^2(U_0^{1/2})} \lesssim (1 + |y|)^2 \left[ \frac{R^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau} + \frac{A}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau} \right],$$

for any  $y$ . From this estimate and equation (5.7) we get

$$|g(y, t)| \lesssim (1 + |y|)^2 \frac{R^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} + A}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau}.$$

Using again standard elliptic estimate we deduce (5.8).

Now we choose a large constant  $R_0$  so that we can use the maximum principle for the parabolic operator  $\partial_\tau f - \nabla \cdot [U_0 \nabla (\frac{f}{U_0})]$  is valid outside the ball  $B_{R_0}(0)$ . Indeed, we have

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ U_0 \nabla \left( \frac{f}{U_0} \right) \right] = \Delta f - \nabla U_0 \nabla f + U_0 f = \partial_{\rho\rho} f + \frac{5}{\rho} \partial_\rho f + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_{\theta\theta} f + Df$$

where  $Df = O(\frac{1}{\rho^3})\partial_\rho f + O(\frac{1}{\rho^4})f$  represent lower order terms. Using the maximum principle and an appropriate barrier in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_0}$ , as constructed in Theorem 3 below, we get that

$$|\tilde{g}(y, \tau)| \lesssim \frac{R_1^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} + A}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1 + |y|)^{3+\sigma}}, \quad |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau}$$

and

$$|\tilde{g}(y, \tau)| \lesssim \frac{R^2 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} + A}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1 + |y|)^{5+\sigma}}, \quad |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}.$$

We use this estimate to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} g^2 U &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{R_1}} \tilde{g}^2 U^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R_1^{2\sigma}} \frac{R_1^4 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^2 + A^2}{\tau^{2\nu} \log^{2\mu} \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$A^2 \leq C \frac{1}{R_1^{2\sigma}} (R_1^4 \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^2 + A^2),$$

where  $C$  is a constant from previous inequalities, which is independent of  $R_1$ . Choosing a fixed  $R_1$  large then implies that

$$A^2 \lesssim R_1^{4-2\sigma} \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^2.$$

We then conclude that

$$|\tilde{g}(y, t)| \lesssim \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}. \end{cases}$$

From parabolic estimates we also find

$$|\nabla \tilde{g}(y, t)| \lesssim \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{4+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{6+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Now we estimate  $\phi$ . We decompose

$$\phi = \phi^\perp + \omega(\tau) Z_0,$$

where  $Z_0$  is defined in (3.6). We then have

$$g = \frac{\phi^\perp}{U_0} - (\Delta^{-1})\phi^\perp + c(t).$$

We let  $\psi = (-\Delta^{-1})\phi^\perp$  and see that

$$gU = \Delta\psi + U_0\psi.$$

Integrating the equation times  $|y|^2$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(y, \tau) |y|^2 dy = 0, \quad \forall \tau > \tau_0.$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g Z_0 = 0, \quad \forall \tau > \tau_0,$$

where  $Z_0$  is defined in (3.6). We then can solve the equation for  $\psi$  and find

$$|\psi(y, \tau)| \lesssim \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{1+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\phi^\perp = U_0(g - \psi)$$

we find that

$$|\phi^\perp(y, \tau)| \lesssim \|h\|_{i^{**}, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau (1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}. \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

Finally we estimate  $\omega(\tau)$ . We have

$$\partial_\tau \phi^\perp + \omega_\tau z = L\phi + h.$$

We multiply by  $|y|^2$  and integrate in  $B_{R_2}$  where  $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$  and in a time interval  $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ . We get

$$\int_{B_{R_2}} [\phi(\tau_2)^\perp - \phi(\tau_1)^\perp] |y|^2 dy + (\omega(\tau_2) - \omega(\tau_1)) \int_{B_{R_2}} Z_0 |y|^2 dy = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_{R_2}} L\phi |y|^2 dy d\tau.$$

Let us observe that if  $R_2 \geq \sqrt{\tau}$  then

$$\int_{B_{R_2}} |\phi(y, \tau)^\perp| |y|^2 dy \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log^\mu \tau R_2^{1+\sigma}}.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{B_{R_2}} L\phi |y|^2 dy = \int_{B_{R_2}} g Z_0 dy + \int_{\partial B_{R_2}} U_0 |y|^2 \nabla g \cdot \nu - \int_{\partial B_{R_2}} g U_0 y \cdot \nu,$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_{R_2}} g Z_0 dy \right| \leq \int_{B_{R_2}} |\tilde{g}| dy \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau},$$

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_{R_2}} U_0 |y|^2 \nabla g \cdot \nu \right| + \left| \int_{\partial B_{R_2}} g U_0 y \cdot \nu \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^\nu \log^\mu \tau R_2^{1+\sigma}}.$$

Since

$$\int_{B_{R_2}} Z_0 |y|^2 dy \sim \log R_2,$$

letting  $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$  we find that  $\omega(\tau_2) = \omega(\tau_1)$ . Hence  $\omega \equiv \text{const}$  and since we start with  $\omega(0) = 0$  we deduce  $\omega \equiv 0$ . Hence the estimate (5.10) gives the desired estimate for  $\phi$ . The estimate for the gradient of  $\phi$  comes from the corresponding estimate for the gradient of  $\phi^\perp$ , which is obtained similarly from (5.9).  $\square$

## 6. OUTER PROBLEM

**Theorem 3.** *Consider*

$$\partial_t \phi^o = L_{out} \phi^o + h,$$

where

$$|h(x, t)| \leq \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{t^a \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^b},$$

If  $2 < b < 6$  and  $1 < a < 3$ , then

$$|\phi^o(x, t)| \lesssim \min \left( \frac{1}{t^a \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^{b-2}}, \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{t^{a-1} \log^\mu t \left( \frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda} + 1 \right)^b} \right).$$

*Proof.* We solve

$$\partial_t \varphi = \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi + \frac{5}{\rho} \partial_\rho \varphi + \bar{h}(\rho, t)$$

Define

$$\rho = \sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2},$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r, t) = \varphi(\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}, t).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\varphi} &= \partial_t \varphi + \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} \\ \partial_r \tilde{\varphi} &= \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} \\ \partial_{rr} \tilde{\varphi} &= \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \lambda^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} - \frac{r^2}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ &= \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \lambda^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{\lambda^2}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_t \tilde{\varphi} + \partial_{rr} \tilde{\varphi} + \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{4r}{r^2 + \lambda^2} \right) \partial_r \tilde{\varphi} \\ &= -\partial_t \varphi - \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} + \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \lambda^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{\lambda^2}{(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \quad + \partial_\rho \varphi \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + \lambda^2}} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{4r}{r^2 + \lambda^2} \right) \\ &= -\partial_t \varphi + \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi + \frac{5}{\rho} \partial_\rho \varphi - \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^3} + \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{4r^2}{\rho^3} - \frac{5}{\rho} \right) \\ &= -\partial_t \varphi + \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi + \frac{5}{\rho} \partial_\rho \varphi - \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho} - 3 \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^3} \right) \\ &= \bar{h}(\rho, t) - \partial_{\rho\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^2} + \partial_\rho \varphi \left( -\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho} - 3 \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\tilde{\varphi}$  serves as a supersolution. Then we take a cut-off function  $\eta(x, t) = \chi_0(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}})$ . Using an appropriate self-similar solution  $\psi$  we find a supersolution for the problem of the form  $\eta\tilde{\varphi} + \psi$ .

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Biler, P. Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 8 (1998), no. 2, 715-743.
- [2] Biler, P.; Karch, G.; Laurennot, P.; Nadzieja, T. The  $8\pi$ -problem for radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the plane. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 29 (2006), no. 13, 1563-1583.
- [3] A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, B. Perthame. *Two-dimensional Keller-Segel model: optimal critical mass and qualitative properties of the solutions*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, pages No. 44, 32 (2006).
- [4] A. Blanchet; J. Carrillo; N. Masmoudi, *Infinite time aggregation for the critical Patlak-Keller-Segel model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* . Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 10, 1449-1481.
- [5] J. Campos-Serrano *Modèles attractifs en astrophysique et biologie : points critiques et comportement en temps grand des solutions*. CEREMADE Ph.D. Thesis (2012).
- [6] P. Chavanis, C. Sire. *Virial theorem and dynamical evolution of selfgravitating Brownian particles in an unbounded domain*. I. Overdamped models. Phys. Rev. E (3), 73(6), 16, (2006), 66-103.
- [7] C. Cortázar, M. del Pino, M. Musso, Green's function and infinite-time bubbling in the critical nonlinear heat equation. Preprint arXiv:1604.07117. To appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS).
- [8] M. del Pino, *Bubbling blow-up in critical parabolic problems*. Nonlocal and nonlinear diffusions and interactions: new methods and directions, 73-116, Lecture Notes in Math., 2186, Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser., Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [9] M. del Pino, M. Musso, J. Wei, *Infinite time blow-up for the 3-dimensional energy critical heat equation*. Preprint arXiv:1705.01672. To appear in Analysis and PDE.
- [10] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, J. Wei, *Singularity formation for the two-dimensional harmonic map flow into  $S^2$*  Preprint arXiv:1702.05801. To appear in Invent. Math.
- [11] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, M. Musso, J. Wei, *Gluing methods for vortex dynamics in Euler equation*. Preprint arXiv:1803.00066. To appear in Arch Rational Mech Anal.
- [12] E. Carlen; A. Figalli, *Stability for a GNS inequality and the log-HLS inequality, with application to the critical mass Keller-Segel equation*. Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), no. 3, 579-625.
- [13] T. Ghoul; N. Masmoudi, *Minimal mass blowup solutions for the Patlak-Keller-Segel equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 71 (2018), no. 10, 1957-2015..
- [14] Hillen, T.; Painter, K. J. *A users guide to PDE models for chemotaxis*. J. Math. Biol. 58(2009), no. 1-2, 183-217.
- [15] Horstmann, D. *From 1970 until present: the Keller-Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences*. I. Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 105(2003), no. 3, 103-165.
- [16] W. Jäger; S. Luckhaus, *On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 329 (1992), no. 2, 819-824.
- [17] N.I. Kavallaris, P. Souplet *Grow-up rate and refined asymptotics for a two-dimensional Patlak-Keller-Segel model in a disk*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 40 (2008/09), no. 5, 1852-1881.
- [18] E. F. Keller; L. A. Segel, *Initiation of slide mold aggregation viewed as an instability*. J. Theor. Biol. 26 (1970), 399-415.
- [19] Merle, F.; Raphael, P. *Sharp upper bound on the blow-up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 13(2003), no. 3, 591-642.
- [20] Merle, F.; Raphael, P. *The blow-up dynamic and upper bound on the blow-up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Ann. of Math. (2) 161(2005), no. 1, 157-222.
- [21] Merle, F.; Raphael, P.; Rodnianski, I. *Blowup dynamics for smooth data equivariant solutions to the critical Schrödinger map problem*. Invent. Math. 193(2013), no. 2, 249-365.
- [22] P. Raphael, R. Seiwinger, *On the stability of critical chemotactic aggregation*. Math. Ann. 359 (2014), no. 1-2, 267-377.

- [23] T. Senba and T. Suzuki. *Weak solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis*. J. Func. Anal., 191, 17-51, (2002).
- [24] P. Souplet, M. Winkler, *Blow-up profiles for the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system in dimensions  $n \geq 3$* . Comm. Math. Phys. 367 (2019), no. 2, 665-681.
- [25] J. J. L. Velázquez, *Stability of some mechanisms of chemotactic aggregation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 62 (2002), 1581-1633.
- [26] J. J. L. Velázquez, *Singular Limit of the Keller-Segel Model 2: Formation of the Concentration Regions* SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, Vol. 64, No. 4 (2004), 1224–1248.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* `jddv22@bath.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* `m.delpino@bath.ac.uk`

CEREMADE UMR CNRS n7534. UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DAUPHINE, F-75775 PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `dolbeault@ceremade.dauphine.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM, AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, MACUL 782-0436, CHILE

*E-mail address:* `m.musso@bath.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `jcwei@math.ubc.ca`