arXiv:1911.12420v2 [math.DG] 19 Sep 2020

Multi-moment maps on nearly Kähler six-manifolds

Giovanni Russo

Abstract

We study multi-moment maps on nearly Kähler six-manifolds with a two-torus symmetry. Critical points of these maps have non-trivial stabilisers. The configuration of fixed-points and one-dimensional orbits is worked out for generic six-manifolds equipped with an SU(3)-structure admitting a two-torus symmetry. Projecting the subspaces obtained to the orbit space yields a trivalent graph. We illustrate this result concretely on the homogeneous nearly Kähler examples.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 General results 2

3 The six-sphere 5

4 The flag manifold 8

5 The complex projective space 13

6 The product of three-spheres 17

1 Introduction

Nearly Kähler manifolds belong to the wider framework of almost Hermitian geometry. A formal definition was given by Gray in the 1970's, and is the following.

Definition 1.1. [10] Let (M, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold with Riemannian metric g and almost complex structure J compatible with g. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection. Then M is called *nearly Kähler* if $(\nabla_X J)X = 0$ for every vector field X on M.

Lowering the upper index of J yields a two-form $\sigma \coloneqq g(J\,\cdot, \cdot)$ on M, the *fundamental* two-form. Hereafter we assume M has dimension six. In this case the skew-symmetry of ∇J is equivalent to the existence of a complex $(3,0)$-form $\psi_{\mathbb{C}} \coloneqq \psi_+ + i\psi_-$ on M such that, up to homothety

$$d\sigma = 3\psi_+, \qquad d\psi_- = -2\sigma \wedge \sigma. \tag{1.1}$$

The two identities are the nearly Kähler *structure equations*. The above result was first illustrated in the special case of the flag manifold of $\mathbb{C}^3$ by Bryant [3], and later on explained in more generality by Carrión [5]. Another reference is [15].

There are only four compact, homogeneous nearly Kähler six-dimensional manifolds [4]: the six-sphere $\mathbb{S}^6 = \mathrm{G}_2/\mathrm{SU}(3)$, the flag manifold $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3) = \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$, the complex projective space

$\mathbb{CP}^3 = \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$, and the product of three-spheres $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 = \mathrm{SU}(2)^3/\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$. Recently, Foscolo and Haskins [8] proved the existence of one cohomogeneity-one nearly Kähler structure on $\mathbb{S}^6$ and one on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. In this case the Lie group acting is $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

All the spaces above have symmetry rank at least two, so it is a sensible question to ask whether there is a theory of nearly Kähler six-manifolds with a two-torus symmetry. A contribution in this direction was given in [16] making use of *multi-moment maps*. Assume a two-torus T^2 acts on a nearly Kähler six-manifold $(M, \sigma, \psi_\pm)$ preserving the SU(3)-structure. The action induces vector fields U and V on M, thus we have a smooth, T^2-invariant, real-valued global function given by

$$\nu_M \coloneqq \sigma(U, V), \tag{1.2}$$

and its differential can be computed by Cartan's formula obtaining

$$d\nu_M = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,). \tag{1.3}$$

Identity (1.3) and invariance imply ν_M is a multi-moment map for the torus action [13]. In [16] we showed that level sets of ν_M corresponding to regular values are principal T^2-bundles over three-dimensional smooth quotients. However, critical sets do not fit into this description, so we need to understand their structure.

The objective of this article is to study critical sets of multi-moment maps on nearly Kähler six-manifolds with a two-torus symmetry. This integrates the description of general properties of multi-moment maps initiated in [16] and provides concrete examples to work with. The T^2-invariance of (1.3) implies that if a point is critical then all points in its orbit are critical as well. We then talk about *critical orbits* of ν_M. We explain the correspondence between critical orbits and points with non-trivial stabiliser, proving a general result on the configurations of the latter. Part of this information may be encoded in *trivalent graphs* lying in $\nu_M^{-1}(0)/T^2$, as illustrated in Theorem 2.3. Such graphs represent the topological structure of the critical subspaces of M where the multi-moment map vanishes. A substantial part of our work will be to construct these graphs for all homogeneous nearly Kähler six-manifolds. We then give explicit descriptions of the quotients $\nu_M^{-1}(0)/T^2$ for M homogeneous. The corresponding graphs when M is $\mathbb{S}^6, F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$, and $\mathbb{CP}^3$, will represent the set of points in $\nu_M^{-1}(0)/T^2$ where the real part of the torus T^2 acts non-freely. It turns out that when M is homogeneous and M is not $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ critical orbits correspond to extrema of ν_M or lie in the fibre $\nu_M^{-1}(0)$. The case $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ admits a T^3-symmetry, so one has infinitely many choices for the two-torus acting, and each yields different pictures.

The paper is organised as follows. Since the discussion of the special cases is fairly technical we give the abstract results first. One may then study the homogeneous cases bearing in mind the general framework described in Section 2. In all the remaining sections we quickly recall the homogeneous structure of each space, introduce two-torus actions, and then construct multi-moment maps. Critical points are found directly by imposing the condition $\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,) = 0$, according to (1.3).

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the Danish Council for Independent Research — Natural Sciences Project DFF - 6108-00358, and by the Danish National Research Foundation grant DNRF95 (Centre for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces). The material contained in this paper is part of my PhD thesis [15]. I am grateful to Andrew Swann for his help and constant guidance. Further, I wish to thank Andrei Moroianu for useful discussions on the topic. Finally, I thank the reviewer for suggesting significant improvements of the exposition.

2 General results

As already recalled, a nearly Kähler six-manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) with an SU(3)-structure $(\sigma, \psi_\mathbb{C} = \psi_+ + i\psi_-)$ satisfying the partial differential equations (1.1). Each tangent

space T_pM is then an SU(3)-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3$ with its standard SU(3)-structure, and $\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{C}}$ at p are invariant forms of this representation. There is an orthonormal basis $\{e^i, Je^i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ of T_p^*M such that

$$\sigma = e^1 \wedge Je^1 + e^2 \wedge Je^2 + e^3 \wedge Je^3, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\psi_+ = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 - Je^1 \wedge Je^2 \wedge e^3 - e^1 \wedge Je^2 \wedge Je^3 - Je^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge Je^3, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\psi_- = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge Je^3 - Je^1 \wedge Je^2 \wedge Je^3 + e^1 \wedge Je^2 \wedge e^3 + Je^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3. \tag{2.3}$$

The shorthand e^{ijk} for $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ will sometimes be used, similarly for differential forms of other degrees. A two-torus T^2 acting effectively on M and preserving the structure $(\sigma, \psi_\pm)$ induces a pair of commuting vector fields U, V on M, and the function $\nu_M = \sigma(U, V)$ is a multi-moment map with differential $d\nu_M = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,)$.

In what follows we denote $g(U,U), g(U,V), g(V,V)$ by g_{UU}, g_{UV}, g_{VV} and define $h^2 \coloneqq g_{UU}g_{VV} - g_{UV}^2$. We use the same notation pointwise. Consider the basis $\{E_i, JE_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$, of T_pM whose dual basis is $\{e^i, Je^i\}$. Up to acting with a special unitary transformation we have

$$U_p = g_{UU}^{1/2} E_1, \qquad g_{UU}^{1/2} V_p = g_{UV} E_1 + \nu_M J E_1 + \left(h^2 - \nu_M^2\right)^{1/2} E_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus (2.2) and (2.4) imply $d\nu_M = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,) = 3\left(h^2 - \nu_M^2\right)^{1/2} e^3$ pointwise.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(M, \sigma, \psi_\pm)$ be a nearly Kähler six-manifold with a two-torus symmetry. Let U, V be the infinitesimal generators of the action and p be a point in M. Then*

1. *The vectors U_p and V_p are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if p is critical and the multi-moment map ν_M vanishes at p.*
2. *The vectors U_p and V_p are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if p is critical. This is equivalent to saying $\nu_M^2 = h^2$ at p.*

Proof. Since $d\nu_M = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,)$, the expressions in (2.4) imply that a point p is critical if and only V_p is a linear combination of U_p and JU_p. Therefore $g_{UU}V_p = g_{UV}U_p + \nu_M JU_p$. If ν_M vanishes at p then U_p and V_p are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{R}$. The converse implication is obvious.

The second equivalence follows from the expression of V_p in (2.4). □

Remark 2.2. Note that the value 0 lies in the interior of $\nu_M(M)$ by [16, Proposition 3.2].

Observe that if U_p and V_p are linearly dependent over the reals the stabiliser H_p of p cannot be trivial. Let us describe all possible stabilisers H_p. In the following result M need not be nearly Kähler.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(M, \sigma, \psi_\pm)$ be a six-dimensional manifold with an* SU(3)*-structure admitting a two-torus symmetry. Assume the T^2-action is effective on M. Let p be a point in M and H_p its stabiliser in T^2.*

1. *If $\dim H_p = 2$ then $H_p = T^2$ and there is a neighbourhood W of p in M with the following properties: the stabiliser of each point of W is either trivial or a circle $\mathbb{S}^1 < T^2$, and the set of points in W with one-dimensional stabilisers is a disjoint union of three totally geodesic two-dimensional submanifolds which are complex with respect to J and whose closures only meet at p.*
2. *If $\dim H_p = 1$ then $H_p = \mathbb{S}^1 < T^2$ and there is a neighbourhood W of the orbit through p in M with the following properties: the stabiliser of each point of W is either trivial or H_p and the set of points $\{q \in W : Stab_{T^2}(q) = H_p\}$ is a smooth totally geodesic submanifold of dimension two which is complex with respect to J.*

3. *If* $\dim H_p = 0$ *and* H_p *is non-trivial, then* $H_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$ *for some* $k > 1$. *The* T^2*-orbit* E *through* p *is a totally geodesic two-dimensional submanifold, complex with respect to* J*, and there is a neighbourhood* W *of this orbit where* T^2 *acts freely on* $W \setminus E$.

Proof. Let $g \in T^2$ and denote by ϑ_g the diffeomorphism of M mapping q to gq. Its differential $T_p\vartheta_g$ is an isomorphism between T_pM and $T_{gp}M$. In particular, when $g \in H_p$, then $T_p\vartheta_g$ is an automorphism of T_pM, and $T_p\vartheta_g \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ by assumption. Up to conjugation, $T_p\vartheta_g$ is an element of a maximal torus in SU(3), so for concreteness we take $T_p\vartheta_g = \mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\varphi}, e^{-i(\vartheta+\varphi)})$ with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$.

When $\dim H_p = 2$ then H_p is exactly T^2 by the Closed Subgroup Theorem, and by the Equivariant Tubular Neighbourhood Theorem there is an open neighbourhood of p equivariantly diffeomorphic to the twisted product

$$T^2 \times_{T^2} (T_pM/T_p(T^2 \cdot p)) \cong T_pM \cong \mathbb{C}^3.$$

A point $q \neq p$ in this neighbourhood coincides with a vector X in $\mathbb{C}^3$, and by equivariance the requirement $gq = q$ in M translates to $T_q\vartheta_g X = X$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Denote X by $(z^1, z^2, z^3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Then we look for points fixed by a non-trivial element of the torus by imposing the condition $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\zeta}, e^{-i(\vartheta+\zeta)}) \cdot (z^1, z^2, z^3) = (z^1, z^2, z^3)$, where $e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\zeta} \neq 1$. One can solve the equation explicitly and find there are three $\mathbb{S}^1$-invariant directions F_1, F_2, F_3 corresponding to the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Thus the lines zF_1, zF_2, zF_3 correspond to three two-dimensional invariant subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^3$ whose points have one-dimensional stabiliser. This proves that points p with stabiliser T^2 are isolated when they exist, and there are three two-dimensional, disjoint submanifolds in a neighbourhood of the orbit through p in M, intersecting at p, and whose points are fixed by one-dimensional stabilisers. The fact that they are totally geodesic follows e.g. from [12, Chapter II, Theorem 5.1].

Assume now p has one-dimensional stabiliser H_p. Choosing U in the Lie algebra of H_p and V such that $\mathrm{Span}\{U, V\} = \mathfrak{t}^2$, we have $U_p = 0, V_p \neq 0$. So $T_pM \cong \mathrm{Span}\{V_p, JV_p\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ orthogonally, and $\mathbb{C}^2$ gets an induced SU(2)-structure. Since V_p and JV_p are H_p-invariant, $T_p\vartheta_g \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ for $g \in H_p$. We claim $H_p \cong \mathbb{S}^1$: the connected component of the identity in H_p is conjugate to $\mathbb{S}^1$, so up to a change of basis its elements are diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\alpha}, e^{-i\alpha})$. But $T_p\vartheta_g$ and $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\alpha}, e^{-i\alpha})$ for all α commute because H_p is Abelian. Thus $T_p\vartheta_g$ must be diagonal, hence in $\mathbb{S}^1$, and the claim is proved. Therefore, the orbit through p has a neighbourhood diffeomorphic to

$$T^2 \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} (T_pM/T_p(T^2 \cdot p)) \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^5 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Call $\mathbb{S}^1_-$ the stabiliser H_p, so that $T^2 = \mathbb{S}^1_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1_-$. The torus-action on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)$ can be chosen as follows: $\mathbb{S}^1_+$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^1$, and $\mathbb{S}^1_-$ acts on $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ trivially on $\mathbb{R}$ and as the standard maximal torus in SU(2) on $\mathbb{C}^2$. But an element in $\mathbb{S}^1_-$ preserves JV_p, so a point q in the neighbourhood $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)$ is fixed by an element ℓ in the two-torus when the corresponding component in $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ is fixed, namely $T_q\vartheta_\ell X = X$ in $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. Since the action of H_p on $\mathbb{R}$ is trivial, this condition translates to a condition on $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \cong T_pM$. But $\{0\} < \mathbb{C}^2$ is the only invariant subspace. Thus the set of points with non-trivial stabiliser is an invariant two-dimensional, totally geodesic submanifold containing p.

Finally, when p has zero-dimensional stabiliser H_p there are two invariant independent directions $U_p, V_p \neq 0$. Two cases may occur: either $V_p \in \mathrm{Span}\{U_p, JU_p\}$ or $V_p \notin \mathrm{Span}\{U_p, JU_p\}$.

In the former case, $T_pM = \mathrm{Span}\{U_p, JU_p\} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$, so $H_p \leq \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a discrete subgroup. But H_p is compact and Abelian, so it is finite in SU(2) and is then conjugate to $\mathbb{Z}_k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Hence the orbit through p has a neighbourhood diffeomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_k} \mathbb{C}^2 &= (T^2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_k} \{0\}) \cup (T^2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_k} (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})) \\ &= (T^2/\mathbb{Z}_k) \cup (T^2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_k} (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume a point q in this neighbourhood is fixed by $\mathbb{Z}_k$. Since the action of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ is trivial on $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_k$ and is free on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, q belongs to $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_k \cong T^2$, so it lies in the orbit of p.

In the case $V_p \notin \operatorname{Span}\{U_p, JU_p\}$ then H_p fixes all of T_pM, so it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(1) = \{1\}$, and is then trivial. □

Remark 2.4. Note that when H_p has positive dimension the generators of the action are linearly dependent over the reals, whereas when H_p is zero-dimensional and non-trivial they are linearly dependent over the complex numbers.

Remark 2.5. As remarked, the theorem applies to the general setting of SU(3)-structures. In particular, part one and two apply to non-compact Calabi–Yau three-folds, which can be deduced from a recent result by Madsen and Swann [14]. The idea has also been explored in the physics literature [1].

Remark 2.6. Consider the projection $\pi\colon M \to M/T^2$. Theorem 2.3 implies that by mapping fixed-points and two-submanifolds of points with one-dimensional stabiliser to M/T^2 we obtain *trivalent graphs*, namely graphs where three edges depart from each vertex. More precisely, the graphs lie on the three-manifolds $\nu_M^{-1}(0)/T^2$. In the first two cases W/T^2 is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$. That $\mathbb{C}^3/T^2$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ follows from the homeomorphism between $\mathbb{S}^5/T^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$ [11] and by taking the cones on the respective spaces. For the second case the homeomorphism is obtained by looking at $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a cone over $\mathbb{S}^3$ and at the sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ as a principal $\mathbb{S}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)/T^2 &\cong (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2)/\mathbb{S}^1_- \cong \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{S}^1)\\ &\cong \mathbb{R} \times (C(\mathbb{S}^3)/\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{S}^1)\\ &\cong \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathbb{S}^2) \cong \mathbb{R}^4.\end{aligned}$$

In the third case the image of the exceptional orbit is an orbifold point in M/T^2. The shape of the graphs for the examples constructed by Foscolo and Haskins [8] are the same as for the homogeneous cases since the tori act in the same way, but the general critical sets may be different.

3 The six-sphere

Let us recall a few basic concepts from G_2 geometry to treat this case, detailed sources for what we need are e.g. [3] and [7]. Let V be a seven-dimensional vector space over the reals. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_7\}$ be some basis and denote by $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ its dual, so as to have an identification $V \cong \mathbb{R}^7$. Define the three-form

$$\varphi \coloneqq e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}. \tag{3.1}$$

The general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ acts by left-multiplication on V and therefore induces canonically an action on $\Lambda^3 V^*$. One may define the Lie group G_2 as the stabiliser of φ in $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\mathrm{G}_2 \coloneqq \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R}) : g\varphi = \varphi\}.$$

The three-form φ induces an inner product $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ and an orientation on $\mathbb{R}^7$. The two objects in turn induce a Hodge star operator $*$ on $\mathbb{R}^7$, so we have the four-form

$$*\varphi = e^{4567} + e^{2367} + e^{2345} + e^{1357} - e^{1346} - e^{1256} - e^{1247}.$$

Raising an indicex of φ gives a G_2-cross product $P\colon V \times V \to V$, defined by

$$\langle P(X, Y), Z\rangle \coloneqq \varphi(X, Y, Z), \tag{3.2}$$

which in particular satisfies $\|P(X, Y)\|^2 = \|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y\rangle^2$ for every pair $X, Y \in V$.

It is well known that G_2 acts transitively on the six-sphere $\mathbb{S}^6 \subset \mathbb{R}^7 \cong V$ and that the isotropy group of $(1,0,\dots,0) \in \mathbb{S}^6$ is isomorphic to the special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. This implies $\mathbb{S}^6$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{G}_2/\mathrm{SU}(3)$. Let $i\colon \mathbb{S}^6 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ be the standard immersion and denote by g the pullback of $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ by i. Call N the unit normal to the six-sphere and define $J\colon \mathbb{R}^7 \to \mathbb{R}^7$ as $JX \coloneqq P(N,X)$. Let now p be a point in $\mathbb{S}^6$. From (3.2) it follows that J maps $T_p\mathbb{S}^6$ to itself. So one can view J as an endomorphism of each tangent space of $\mathbb{S}^6$, and we do so without changing our notations. Another easy consequence of (3.2) is that J is g-orthogonal if and only if $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$. On the other hand, polarising the identity $\|P(X,Y)\|^2 = \|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X,Y\rangle^2$ yields the general formula $\langle P(X,Y),P(X,Z)\rangle = \|X\|^2\langle Y,Z\rangle - \langle X,Y\rangle\langle X,Z\rangle$, so for Y,Z tangent to the sphere

$$g(JY,JZ) = \|N\|^2 g(Y,Z) - g(N,Y)g(N,Z) = g(Y,Z).$$

Hence J is g-orthogonal and $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ pointwise, i.e. $(\mathbb{S}^6, g, J)$ is an almost Hermitian manifold.

Proposition 3.1. *The differential forms $\sigma \coloneqq g(J\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,), \psi_+ \coloneqq i^*\varphi$, and $\psi_- \coloneqq -i^*(N \lrcorner *\varphi)$ give a nearly Kähler structure on the six-sphere.*

Proof. The result is not new (cf. e.g. [4]), we prove it for completeness. One can perform the calculations on $\mathbb{R}^7$ and then restrict the results to the sphere. Let $(x^k)_{k=1,\dots,7}$, be global coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^7$. Let the one-form dx^k be the dual of the coordinate vector field $\partial/\partial x^k$ for all k. The two-form $\langle J\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ turns out to have the following shape:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle = {} & x^3dx^{12} - x^2dx^{13} + x^5dx^{14} - x^4dx^{15} + x^7dx^{16} - x^6dx^{17} \\ & + x^1dx^{23} + x^6dx^{24} - x^7dx^{25} - x^4dx^{26} + x^5dx^{27} - x^7dx^{34} \\ & - x^6dx^{35} + x^5dx^{36} + x^4dx^{37} + x^1dx^{45} + x^2dx^{46} - x^3dx^{47} \\ & - x^3dx^{56} - x^2dx^{57} + x^1dx^{67}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

A direct computation of its differential gives $d\langle J\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle = 3\varphi$. Pulling back this identity to $\mathbb{S}^6$ yields $d\sigma = 3\psi_+$. Further, $d\psi_- = -i^*d(N \lrcorner *\varphi)$. By the expression of $*\varphi$ it follows that $d(N \lrcorner *\varphi) = 4*\varphi$ and again the restrictions to $\mathbb{S}^6$ are equal. Thus the claim is $4i^**\varphi = 2\sigma \wedge \sigma$. Up to a rotation in G_2 mapping p to E_7, and so N to $\partial/\partial x^7$, we have

$$\sigma = N \lrcorner \varphi = \partial/\partial x^7 \lrcorner \varphi = dx^{16} - dx^{25} - dx^{34},$$

so $\sigma \wedge \sigma = -2(dx^{1256} + dx^{1346} - dx^{2345})$, and $i^**\varphi = dx^{2345} - dx^{1346} - dx^{1256}$ is unchanged. Finally $d\psi_- = -2\sigma \wedge \sigma$, and this proves our claim. ∎

Now let a two-torus act on $\mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Take the maximal torus T^2 inside $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ given by matrices of the form $A_{\vartheta,\phi} \coloneqq \mathrm{diag}\big(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(\vartheta+\phi)}\big)$, and let $A_{\vartheta,\phi}$ act effectively on the left on $(z^1,z^2,z^3,t) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ as

$$A_{\vartheta,\phi}(z^1,z^2,z^3,t) \coloneqq (e^{i\vartheta}z^1, e^{i\phi}z^2, e^{-i(\vartheta+\phi)}z^3, t).$$

Because of the convention chosen for (3.1) we set $z^1 = x^1 + ix^6, z^2 = x^5 + ix^2, z^3 = x^4 + ix^3$, and $t = x^7$. At $p = (z^1,z^2,z^3,t)$, the fundamental vector fields have the form

$$\begin{aligned} U_p &= -x^6\partial/\partial x^1 - x^4\partial/\partial x^3 + x^3\partial/\partial x^4 + x^1\partial/\partial x^6, \\ V_p &= x^5\partial/\partial x^2 - x^4\partial/\partial x^3 + x^3\partial/\partial x^4 - x^2\partial/\partial x^5. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the two vectors in the two-form (3.3) and restricting to the six-sphere, one finds the multi-moment map

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}(p) = 3\big(x^1(x^4x^5 - x^2x^3) - x^6(x^3x^5 + x^2x^4)\big).$$

Using complex coordinates the T^2-invariance is evident:

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}(p) = 3(\mathrm{Re}(z^1)\,\mathrm{Re}(z^2z^3) - \mathrm{Im}(z^1)\,\mathrm{Im}(z^2z^3)) = 3\,\mathrm{Re}(z^1z^2z^3). \tag{3.4}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The orbit space $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}^{-1}(0)/T^2$ can be identified with $\mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{Z}_2^2$.*

Proof. Let $p = (z^1, z^2, z^3, t)$ be a point on $\mathbb{S}^6$ such that $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}(p) = 0$. Up to acting on p with the torus-action, we can always assume two of its complex coordinates to be real and non-negative. Therefore, the expression (3.4) implies that $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}(p) = 0$ when the remaining complex coordinate is purely imaginary. Hence there is always a representative $T^2 \cdot p \in \nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}^{-1}(0)/T^2$ lying on the three-sphere $t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 |z^i|^2 = 1$. The real part of T^2 acting on it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, and this proves the result. ∎

Proposition 3.3. *There are three two-dimensional spheres in $\mathbb{S}^6$ where $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}$ and $d\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}$ vanish. These intersect at two common points. Further, there are two T^2-orbits of critical points where $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}$ attains its extrema.*

Proof. We have $d\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6} = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,) = 3(i^*\varphi)(U, V, \cdot\,) = 3g(P(U, V), \cdot\,)$, which vanishes if and only if $P(U, V)$ is parallel to N. Projecting $P(U, V)$ on each $\partial/\partial x^k$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} P(U,V) = {} & (x^4x^5 - x^2x^3)\partial/\partial x^1 - (x^4x^6 + x^1x^3)\partial/\partial x^2 \\ & - (x^5x^6 + x^1x^2)\partial/\partial x^3 + (x^1x^5 - x^2x^6)\partial/\partial x^4 \\ & + (x^1x^4 - x^3x^6)\partial/\partial x^5 - (x^2x^4 + x^3x^5)\partial/\partial x^6. \end{aligned}$$

To see where $P(U, V)$ is proportional to $N = x^1\partial/\partial x^1 + \cdots + x^7\partial/\partial x^7$ we need to find points $(x^1, \ldots, x^7)$ such that the following equations hold for some real proportionality factor λ:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x^7 = 0, \qquad & x^4x^5 - x^2x^3 = \lambda x^1, \qquad && x^1x^5 - x^2x^6 = \lambda x^4 \\ & x^4x^6 + x^1x^3 = -\lambda x^2, && x^1x^4 - x^3x^6 = \lambda x^5, \\ & x^5x^6 + x^1x^2 = -\lambda x^3, && x^2x^4 + x^3x^5 = -\lambda x^6. \end{aligned}$$

The case $\lambda = 0$ gives points p where $P(U, V) = 0$, i.e. U_p and V_p are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{R}$. By comparing the expressions of U_p, V_p one obtains three two-spheres of critical points where the multi-moment map vanishes:

1. $(x^1)^2 + (x^6)^2 + (x^7)^2 = 1$ and $x^2 = x^3 = x^4 = x^5 = 0$.
2. $(x^2)^2 + (x^5)^2 + (x^7)^2 = 1$ and $x^1 = x^3 = x^4 = x^6 = 0$.
3. $(x^3)^2 + (x^4)^2 + (x^7)^2 = 1$ and $x^1 = x^2 = x^5 = x^6 = 0$.

Note that the three spheres have the poles $(x^1, \ldots, x^6, x^7) = (0, \ldots, 0, \pm 1)$ in common.

Now let us switch to the case $\lambda \neq 0$. Assume we are at a critical point, so in particular $x^7 = 0$. Up to the action of U and V, we can assume $x^1 = x^2 = 0$ and $x^5, x^6 \geq 0$. The equations characterising critical points yield $x^4 = 0$ and $x^5x^6 = -\lambda x^3, x^3x^6 = -\lambda x^5, x^3x^5 = -\lambda x^6$. If one among x^3, x^5, x^6 vanishes, so do all the others, and we get a contradiction as we need solutions on the six-sphere. Therefore we can assume without loss of generality all of them non-zero, which gives $(x^i)^2 = \lambda^2$ for $i = 3, 5, 6$. We thus obtain two stationary T^2-orbits where $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}$ attains its maximum and minimum, and $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^6}(\mathbb{S}^6) = [-1/\sqrt{3}, 1/\sqrt{3}]$. ∎

The three two-spheres found can be recovered by looking for points with non-trivial stabilisers according to Proposition 2.1. This is done by solving the equation

$$A_{\vartheta,\phi}(z^1, z^2, z^3, t) = (z^1, z^2, z^3, t),$$

namely the equations $e^{i\vartheta}z^1 = z^1, e^{i\phi}z^2 = z^2, e^{-i(\vartheta+\phi)}z^3 = z^3$ with $(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\phi}) \neq (1, 1)$. A discussion of the different cases yields the solutions

$$\begin{cases} (0, 0, 0, \pm 1), & \text{poles fixed by all of } T^2, \\ t^2 + |z^i|^2 = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, & \text{two-spheres of points fixed by a circle.} \end{cases}$$




Figure 1: The three two-spheres in $\mathbb{S}^6/T^2$

Note the three two-spheres correspond to those found in the proof of Proposition 3.3. Projecting the latter to the orbit space $\mathbb{S}^6/T^2$ gives a graph of two points and three edges. The graph represents the set of points where $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ in Proposition 3.2 acts non-freely. For $|z^i| \to 0$ the two-spheres meet at the common poles. Moreover, the spheres do not intersect each other at any point but the poles, so the edges of the graph are disjoint (see Figure 1).

4 The flag manifold

Let $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ be the set of pairs (L, U) of subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^3$, where L is a complex line contained in the complex plane U. Such pairs are called *flags*. The special unitary group SU(3) acts transitively on $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Let F_1, F_2, F_3 be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. It turns out that the isotropy group of the point $(\langle F_1\rangle, \langle F_1, F_2\rangle)$ is isomorphic to the diagonal two-torus T^2, hence $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$, and is called *flag manifold* of $\mathbb{C}^3$.

We now equip $\mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ with an almost Hermitian structure. A matrix $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ acts on SU(3) by left translation and induces a pullback map $(p_{\mathrm{Id}}^{-1})^* \colon \mathfrak{su}^*(3)^{\otimes 2} \to T_p^*\mathrm{SU}(3)^{\otimes 2}$. We can thus define a Riemannian metric g on SU(3) such that

$$g_p \coloneqq \mathrm{Re}((p_{\mathrm{Id}}^{-1})^* g_0), \tag{4.1}$$

where g_0 is the Killing form on $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ normalised as $g_0(X, Y) \coloneqq (1/2)\,\mathrm{Tr}({}^t\overline{X}Y)$. The metric g is bi-invariant for g_0 is. In particular g is invariant under the action of the maximal torus in SU(3) above, so descends to a metric on the flag manifold, which we still denote by g. To construct an almost complex structure J we follow Gray [9, Section 3]. Let $A \coloneqq \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi i/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}, 1)$ and define the conjugation map $\tilde{\vartheta} \colon \mathrm{SU}(3) \to \mathrm{SU}(3)$ so that $\tilde{\vartheta}(B) = ABA^{-1}$. It is clear by this definition that $\tilde{\vartheta}^3 = \mathrm{Id}$ (where the cubic exponential stands for composing three times) and that $\tilde{\vartheta}$ fixes the maximal torus T^2 in SU(3) above. So $\tilde{\vartheta}$ induces a map on the quotient $\vartheta \colon \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2 \to \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ that fixes the coset T^2 and satisfies $\vartheta^3 = \mathrm{Id}$. We define J_0 at the identity as follows: for $X \in \mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$ write $d\vartheta(X) = AXA^{-1} =: -(1/2)X + (\sqrt{3}/2)J_0X$, so that

$$J_0X = \tfrac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\big(AXA^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}X\big). \tag{4.2}$$

The map $J_0 \colon \mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2 \to \mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$ is well defined as A commutes with diagonal matrices. We now check that $J_0^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$. Firstly, observe that $d\vartheta - \mathrm{Id}$ is injective: if $AXA^{-1} - X = 0$, then $AX = XA$, and since X is diagonalisable then X is diagonal. Thus $X = 0$ in $\mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$ and $\mathrm{Id} - d\vartheta$ is left-invertible. This amounts to say that $0 = \mathrm{Id} + d\vartheta + d\vartheta^2$, or more explicitly that $X + AXA^{-1} + A^2XA^{-2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_0^2X &= \tfrac{4}{3}(A(AXA^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}X)A^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}(AXA^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}X)) \\ &= \tfrac{4}{3}(A^2XA^{-2} + AXA^{-1} + X - \tfrac{3}{4}X) = -X, \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted. A similar computation shows J_0 is an isometry. We can move the operator J_0 to every point $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ so that for each $Y \in T_p(\mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2)$ one has $J_p(Y) = pJ_0(p^{-1}Y)$. From the invariance of g and J it follows that (g, J) is an almost Hermitian structure on the flag manifold of $\mathbb{C}^3$.

To construct a nearly Kähler structure using g and J, we work at the identity of SU(3) and define explicit basic forms $\sigma_0, \varphi_0, \psi_0$ satisfying $d\sigma_0 = 3\varphi_0$ and $d\psi_0 = -2\sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_0$. Finally we extend this structure to the whole flag manifold. A basis of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ is given by the matrices

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$E_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_7 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by e^k the dual of E_k. Using (4.1) and (4.2) one can check that

$$g_0 = e^1 \otimes e^1 + \cdots + e^6 \otimes e^6, \tag{4.3}$$
$$J_0 = E_2 \otimes e^1 - E_1 \otimes e^2 + E_4 \otimes e^3 - E_3 \otimes e^4 + E_6 \otimes e^5 - E_5 \otimes e^6. \tag{4.4}$$

The results for the differentials of $e^1, \dots, e^6$ are

$$\begin{aligned} de^1 &= e^{46} - e^{35} + e^{27} - e^{28}, & de^2 &= e^{36} + e^{45} - e^{17} + e^{18}, \\ de^3 &= e^{15} - e^{26} - 2e^{47} - e^{48}, & de^4 &= e^{52} + e^{61} + 2e^{37} + e^{38}, \\ de^5 &= e^{24} - e^{13} + e^{67} + 2e^{68}, & de^6 &= e^{23} + e^{14} - e^{57} - 2e^{58}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Moreover g_0 and J_0 descend to the quotient $\mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$.

Proposition 4.1. *The forms on* $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ *given by*

$$\sigma_0 \coloneqq g_0(J_0 \cdot, \cdot) = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56},$$
$$\varphi_0 \coloneqq -e^{136} + e^{246} - e^{235} - e^{145}, \qquad \psi_0 \coloneqq e^{135} - e^{245} - e^{146} - e^{236},$$

descend to the quotient $\mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$ *and satisfy* $d\sigma_0 = 3\varphi_0$, $d\psi_0 = -2\sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_0$. *As a consequence, the differential forms on* $\mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ *given by* $\sigma_p = g_p(J_p \cdot, \cdot), \psi_{+|p} \coloneqq \varphi_0(p^{-1} \cdot, p^{-1} \cdot, p^{-1} \cdot)$, *and* $\psi_{-|p} \coloneqq \psi_0(p^{-1} \cdot, p^{-1} \cdot, p^{-1} \cdot)$, *define a nearly Kähler structure on* $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$.

Proof. First of all, $\sigma_0, \varphi_0, \psi_0$ descend to the quotient because $\mathcal{L}_{E_k} \sigma_0 = 0 = \mathcal{L}_{E_k} \varphi_0 = \mathcal{L}_{E_k} \psi_0$, for $k = 7, 8$, and their contractions with E_7, E_8 vanish. The results in (4.5) imply $d\sigma_0 = 3\varphi_0$, $d\psi_0 = -2\sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_0$. The last part of the statement follows by the invariance of the latter equations under translation. ∎

Consider now the maximal torus T^2 in SU(3) given by the matrices $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\varphi}, e^{-i(\vartheta+\varphi)})$. Two linearly independent generators of its Lie algebra are $U = \mathrm{diag}(-i, 2i, -i), V = \mathrm{diag}(-i, -i, 2i)$, and at $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ they induce infinitesimal generators of the action $U_p = Up$ and $V_p = Vp$. Thus $p^{-1}Up, p^{-1}Vp$ are vectors in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, which splits as $\mathfrak{t}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ containing matrices with zeros on the diagonal. So when we work on the quotient $\mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ we need to take the projections $(p^{-1}Up)_\mathfrak{m}$ and $(p^{-1}Vp)_\mathfrak{m}$. A matrix $p = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3} \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ has determinant 1 and satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |p_{11}|^2 + |p_{21}|^2 + |p_{31}|^2 &= 1, & \overline{p}_{11}p_{12} + \overline{p}_{21}p_{22} + \overline{p}_{31}p_{32} &= 0, \\ |p_{12}|^2 + |p_{22}|^2 + |p_{32}|^2 &= 1, & \overline{p}_{11}p_{13} + \overline{p}_{21}p_{23} + \overline{p}_{31}p_{33} &= 0, \\ |p_{13}|^2 + |p_{23}|^2 + |p_{33}|^2 &= 1, & \overline{p}_{12}p_{13} + \overline{p}_{22}p_{23} + \overline{p}_{32}p_{33} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can compute explicitly $p^{-1}Up, p^{-1}Vp$ and project them onto $\mathfrak{m}$:

$$(p^{-1}Up)_\mathfrak{m} = \begin{pmatrix} & iz^1 & iz^2 \\ i\overline{z}^1 & & iz^3 \\ i\overline{z}^2 & i\overline{z}^3 & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{cases} z^1 = 3\overline{p}_{21}p_{22}, \\ z^2 = 3\overline{p}_{21}p_{23}, \\ z^3 = 3\overline{p}_{22}p_{23}, \end{cases}$$

$$(p^{-1}Vp)_\mathfrak{m} = \begin{pmatrix} & iw^1 & iw^2 \\ i\overline{w}^1 & & iw^3 \\ i\overline{w}^2 & i\overline{w}^3 & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{cases} w^1 = 3\overline{p}_{31}p_{32}, \\ w^2 = 3\overline{p}_{31}p_{33}, \\ w^3 = 3\overline{p}_{32}p_{33}. \end{cases}$$

Note that the coefficients z^i and w^k are all T^2-invariant, where here T^2 is the torus acting on the left. We write $(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $(p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in terms of the basis of $\mathfrak{su}(3)/\mathfrak{t}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \operatorname{Re} z^1 E_1 - \operatorname{Im} z^1 JE_1 - \operatorname{Im} z^2 E_3 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} z^2 JE_3 + \operatorname{Re} z^3 E_5 - \operatorname{Im} z^3 JE_5, \qquad (4.6)\\ (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \operatorname{Re} w^1 E_1 - \operatorname{Im} w^1 JE_1 - \operatorname{Im} w^2 E_3 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} w^2 JE_3 + \operatorname{Re} w^3 E_5 - \operatorname{Im} w^3 JE_5. \qquad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

The multi-moment map is then $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(p) = \sigma_0((p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}})$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(p) &= -\operatorname{Re} z^1 \operatorname{Im} w^1 + \operatorname{Re} w^1 \operatorname{Im} z^1 - \operatorname{Im} z^2 \operatorname{Re} w^2 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} z^2 \operatorname{Im} w^2 - \operatorname{Re} z^3 \operatorname{Im} w^3 + \operatorname{Re} w^3 \operatorname{Im} z^3 \\ &= -\operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}^1 w^1 - \overline{z}^2 w^2 + \overline{z}^3 w^3) \\ &= -9 \operatorname{Im}(p_{21}\overline{p}_{22}\overline{p}_{31}p_{32} - p_{21}\overline{p}_{23}\overline{p}_{31}p_{33} + p_{22}\overline{p}_{23}\overline{p}_{32}p_{33}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $p^{-1} = {}^t\overline{p} \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ then in particular $p_{21}\overline{p}_{31} + p_{22}\overline{p}_{32} + p_{23}\overline{p}_{33} = 0$, so we can simplify our expression to get

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(p) &= -9 \operatorname{Im}(-\overline{p}_{22}p_{32}p_{23}\overline{p}_{33} + 2p_{22}\overline{p}_{32}\overline{p}_{23}p_{33}) \\ &= -27 \operatorname{Im}(p_{22}\overline{p}_{23}\overline{p}_{32}p_{33}) \\ &= 3 \operatorname{Im}(z^3\overline{w}^3). \qquad (4.8)\end{aligned}$$

Since z^i, w^k are T^2-invariant it is clear that $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}$ is T^2-invariant as well.

Proposition 4.2. *The orbit space $\nu^{-1}_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(0)/T^2$ can be identified with $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2^2$.*

Proof. We can always act on $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ with the two T^2-actions on the left and on the right to make $p_{21}, p_{22}, p_{23}, p_{32}$ real. If $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(p) = 0$, then by (4.8) at least one among p_{22}, p_{23}, p_{32} is zero or p_{33} is real. In all cases we can make the second row and p_{32}, p_{33} real. Further, using the orthogonality relation $p_{21}\overline{p}_{31} + p_{22}\overline{p}_{32} + p_{23}\overline{p}_{33} = 0$ and the actions of the tori one can assume p_{31} is real as well, so both second and third row of p can be made real. Call such rows u_2, u_3 respectively. Since

$$F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3) \cong \{([u_2], [u_3]) \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^2 : [u_2] \perp [u_3]\},$$

we find that

$$\nu^{-1}_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(0)/T^2 = \{([u_2], [u_3]) \in \mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2 : [u_2] \perp [u_3]\}/\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \cong F_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)/\mathbb{Z}_2^2,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ is the real part of T^2. ∎

Proposition 4.3. *There are exactly two T^2-orbits of critical points where $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}$ does not vanish. Thus they give maximum and minimum of $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}$.*

Remark 4.4. Based on the algebraic computations that follow, the structure of zero critical sets is not as clear as in the case of the six-sphere. Hence we postpone its description until the construction of the graph, ignoring all cases yielding zero critical orbits.

Proof. We compute directly $d\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)} = 3\psi_+(U, V, \cdot)$, where U, V are now shorthands for the vectors (4.6), (4.7). By Proposition 4.1 the one-form $\psi_+(U, V, \cdot)$ at p turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_+(U, V, \cdot)_{|p} &= \operatorname{Re}(z^3\overline{w}^2 - z^2\overline{w}^3)e^1 + \operatorname{Im}(z^3\overline{w}^2 + z^2\overline{w}^3)Je^1 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im}(z^3w^1 - z^1w^3)e^3 + \operatorname{Re}(z^1w^3 - z^3w^1)Je^3 \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re}(\overline{z}^2w^1 - \overline{z}^1w^2)e^5 + \operatorname{Im}(\overline{z}^2w^1 + \overline{z}^1w^2)Je^5.\end{aligned}$$

This implies the point $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)/T^2$ is critical if and only if

$$z^2\overline{w}^3 = \overline{z}^3 w^2, \qquad z^1 w^3 = z^3 w^1, \qquad \overline{z}^1 w^2 = z^2 \overline{w}^1,$$

namely when

$$\begin{cases} p_{22}\overline{p}_{23}\overline{p}_{31}p_{33} = \overline{p}_{21}p_{23}p_{32}\overline{p}_{33}, \\ \overline{p}_{22}p_{23}\overline{p}_{31}p_{32} = \overline{p}_{21}p_{22}\overline{p}_{32}p_{33}, \\ \overline{p}_{21}p_{23}p_{31}\overline{p}_{32} = p_{21}\overline{p}_{22}\overline{p}_{31}p_{33}. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we make $p_{21}, p_{22}, p_{23}, p_{32}$ real and non-negative. Write $a = p_{21}, b = p_{22}, c = p_{23}$, and $d = p_{32}$. Then (4.9) is equivalent to the equations

$$bc\overline{p}_{31}p_{33} = ac\overline{p}_{33}d, \qquad bcd\overline{p}_{31} = abp_{33}d, \qquad acp_{31}d = ab\overline{p}_{31}p_{33}.$$

Our set-up is invariant under cyclic permutations of columns or rows of p up to a sign of $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}$, so in order to work out stationary orbits we can distinguish the cases $c \neq 0$ and at least one between a and b is zero, or $a, b, c \neq 0$.

In the first case the system is easy to discuss and generates critical points where the multi-moment map vanishes. In the second case d cannot be 0, otherwise the criticality conditions would imply either $p_{31} = 0$ or $p_{33} = 0$, namely $a = 0$ or $c = 0$. Then our equations are

$$b\overline{p}_{31}p_{33} = a\overline{p}_{33}d, \qquad cp_{31} = a\overline{p}_{33}, \qquad cp_{31}d = b\overline{p}_{31}p_{33}.$$

Set $p_{31} \coloneqq \rho e^{i\vartheta}, p_{33} \coloneqq \sigma e^{i\varphi}$, so that the system becomes

$$b\rho\sigma e^{i(\varphi-\vartheta)} = a\sigma e^{-i\varphi}d, \qquad c\rho e^{i\vartheta} = a\sigma e^{-i\varphi}, \qquad c\rho e^{i\vartheta}d = b\rho\sigma e^{i(\varphi-\vartheta)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Observe that $\rho = 0$ if and only if $\sigma = 0$, so $d = 1$ and $b = 0$, contradiction. So $p_{31}, p_{33} \neq 0$ and a comparison of the arguments in (4.10) shows that $3\varphi \equiv 0, \vartheta \equiv -\varphi \pmod{2\pi}$. Comparing the radii we obtain $ad = b\rho, c\rho = a\sigma, cd = b\sigma$, so $\rho = ad/b$, $\sigma = cd/b$ and $p_{31} = (ad/b)e^{-i\varphi}, p_{33} = (cd/b)e^{i\varphi}$. Now second and third row of p have unit length, whence $a^2d^2/b^2 + d^2 + c^2d^2/b^2 = 1$, which implies $d = b$. Our matrix has then the form

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ a & b & c \\ ae^{-i\varphi} & b & ce^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the constraint $p \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} |p_{11}|^2 + 2a^2 &= 1, & \overline{p}_{11}p_{12} &= -ab(1 + e^{i\varphi}), \\ |p_{12}|^2 + 2b^2 &= 1, & p_{11}\overline{p}_{13} &= -ac(1 + e^{i\varphi}), \\ |p_{13}|^2 + 2c^2 &= 1, & \overline{p}_{12}p_{13} &= -bc(1 + e^{i\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

The second column in particular implies the chain of equalities

$$\frac{\overline{p}_{11}p_{12}}{ab} = \frac{p_{11}\overline{p}_{13}}{ac} = \frac{\overline{p}_{12}p_{13}}{bc} = -1 - e^{i\varphi},$$

whereas the first one allows to write $p_{11} = \sqrt{1-2a^2}e^{i\alpha}, p_{12} = \sqrt{1-2b^2}e^{i\beta}, p_{13} = \sqrt{1-2c^2}e^{i\gamma}$. We end up with three possibilities: $\varphi = 0, \varphi = 2\pi/3, \varphi = 4\pi/3$. In the first one, two rows of the matrix p are the same, so the determinant vanishes. We can then assume $\varphi = 2\pi/3$, so that

$$\frac{\overline{p}_{11}p_{12}}{ab} = \frac{p_{11}\overline{p}_{13}}{ac} = \frac{\overline{p}_{12}p_{13}}{bc} = e^{4\pi i/3}.$$

Comparing the arguments we find $\beta \equiv \alpha + 4\pi/3 \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\gamma \equiv \alpha + 2\pi/3 \pmod{2\pi}$. Comparing the radii instead we obtain $a = b = c = 1/\sqrt{3}$. Imposing the condition $\det p = 1$ one gets $\alpha \equiv 7\pi/6 \pmod{2\pi}$, so

$$p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} i\omega & i & i\omega^2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{with } \omega = e^{2\pi i/3}. \tag{4.11}$$

This gives a T^2-orbit of points of minimum, the value of the multi-moment map at p is $-\sqrt{3}/2$. The last case $\varphi = 4\pi/3$ can be discussed similarly, and the point we find turns out to be

$$\overline{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega^2 & -i & -i\omega \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{with } \omega = e^{2\pi i/3}.$$

Since $\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(\overline{p}) = -\nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)}(p)$, by (4.11) the value of the multi-moment map is $\sqrt{3}/2$. Summing up, we got two stationary orbits giving extrema, and $\operatorname{Im} \nu_{F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)} = [-\sqrt{3}/2, \sqrt{3}/2]$. □

The goal now is to find which pairs of subspaces (L, U) are fixed by some non-trivial element T^2 and compute their stabilisers. It will turn out that the action is not effective, a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ in T^2 fixes all the flags. However, there is an isomorphism $T^2 \cong T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ given by $(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\phi}) \mapsto (e^{3i\vartheta}, e^{i(\vartheta-\phi)})$. In the case below where $\mathbb{Z}_3$ appears as a discrete stabilizer of all the flags, we can use this isomorphism to argue that the action of $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 \cong T^2$ is effective and the discrete stabilizers are all trivial.

Take a non-zero $z = (z^1, z^2, z^3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and assume that $L \coloneqq \operatorname{Span}(z)$ is a T^2-invariant one-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^3$. The equation we want to solve is $A_{\vartheta,\phi} z = \lambda(\vartheta, \phi) z$, with λ some complex-valued function of ϑ, ϕ. Explicitly

$$e^{i\vartheta} z^1 = \lambda z^1, \qquad e^{i\phi} z^2 = \lambda z^2, \qquad e^{-i(\vartheta+\phi)} z^3 = \lambda z^3.$$

The case $e^{i\vartheta} = \lambda, e^{i\phi} = e^{i\vartheta}$ gives two subcases, either $3\vartheta \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ or $z^3 = 0$. In the former we have $\vartheta \in \{0, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3\} \pmod{2\pi}$ and $z^i \neq 0$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3$, which gives a discrete stabilizer of L as $\vartheta \equiv \phi \pmod{2\pi}$. This is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ and we can argue as above to conclude that the stabilizer is trivial. Denote by F_1, F_2, F_3 the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. A plain discussion of the remaining cases yields the solutions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}F_1, \mathbb{C}F_2, \mathbb{C}F_3, & \text{fixed by all of } T^2, \\ \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_2, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3, \mathbb{C}F_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3, & \text{fixed by a circle.} \end{cases}$$

Since the T^2-action preserves $\mathbb{C}F_i$ and the angles between two vectors, we have that $\mathbb{C}F_j \oplus \mathbb{C}F_k$ is preserved by T^2 as well, for different $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{S}^1$ preserves $\mathbb{C}z$, $z \in \operatorname{Span}\{F_i, F_j\}, i \neq j$, the pairs $(\mathbb{C}z, \mathbb{C}F_i \oplus \mathbb{C}F_j)$ and $(\mathbb{C}F_i, \mathbb{C}F_j \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{z\})$, are fixed by $\mathbb{S}^1$. Therefore, we have six points in $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ fixed by all of T^2 and nine edges corresponding to two-dimensional subspaces of points fixed by $\mathbb{S}^1$. The six points are represented by the $A_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,12} &= (\mathbb{C}F_1, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_2), & A_{2,23} &= (\mathbb{C}F_2, \mathbb{C}F_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), \\ A_{1,13} &= (\mathbb{C}F_1, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), & A_{3,13} &= (\mathbb{C}F_3, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), \\ A_{2,12} &= (\mathbb{C}F_2, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_2), & A_{3,23} &= (\mathbb{C}F_3, \mathbb{C}F_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3). \end{aligned}$$

The edges $a_i, i = 1 \ldots, 9$ are the following:

$$\begin{array}{lll} z_1 \in \operatorname{Span}\{F_1, F_2\}, & z_2 \in \operatorname{Span}\{F_1, F_3\}, & z_3 \in \operatorname{Span}\{F_2, F_3\}, \\ a_1 = (\mathbb{C}z_1, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_2), & a_4 = (\mathbb{C}z_2, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), & a_7 = (\mathbb{C}F_1, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}z_3), \\ a_2 = (\mathbb{C}z_1, \mathbb{C}F_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}z_1), & a_5 = (\mathbb{C}F_2, \mathbb{C}z_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_2), & a_8 = (\mathbb{C}z_3, \mathbb{C}F_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), \\ a_3 = (\mathbb{C}F_3, \mathbb{C}z_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}F_3), & a_6 = (\mathbb{C}z_2, \mathbb{C}F_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z_2), & a_9 = (\mathbb{C}z_3, \mathbb{C}F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}z_3). \end{array}$$




Figure 2: Graph for the flag manifold in $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)/T^2$

In order to figure out what the vertices of, say, a_1 are, one can take the limit $z \to F_1$ (resp. $z \to F_2$) and see that $a_1 \to A_{1,12}$ (resp. $a_1 \to A_{2,12}$), see Figure 2. As for the case of the six-sphere above, the graph in Figure 2 is the set of points where $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ as in Proposition 4.2 acts non-freely.

5 The complex projective space

The compact symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^4 \cong \mathbb{H}^2$, transitively on the projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$. An element in $p \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)$, $p = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, fixes $a := [1:0:0:0] \in \mathbb{CP}^3$ when p_{11} is a combination of $1, i$ and the quaternions p_{12}, p_{21} vanish. Since p_{11} has unit length it must lie in a circle $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The isotropy group of a is then isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$, and $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$. Write $H := \mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ and $G := \mathrm{Sp}(2)$. We thus identify H with a subgroup of G containing elements of the form $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, \alpha)$, where α is a unit quaternion and ϑ an angle. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebras of G and H respectively, so $\mathfrak{g}$ splits as $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we define the Killing form as $g_0(X,Y) := \mathrm{Tr}({}^t\overline{X}Y) = -\mathrm{Tr}(XY)$. This can be translated to any point p yielding an inner product $g_p := \mathrm{Re}((p_{\mathrm{Id}}^{-1})^* g_0)$ on every tangent space T_pG, and descends to the quotient modulo H as it is bi-invariant. The construction of the almost complex structure J follows from the existence of a diffeomorphism of order three as in the case of $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Consider $A := \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi i/3}, 1)$ in G and define an almost complex structure J_p at the point p by translating the endomorphism $J_0 \colon \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}, J_0 X := (2/\sqrt{3})(AXA^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}X)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by

$$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$E_4 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_5 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_6 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix},$$
$$E_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_9 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check the metric and the almost complex structure have the familiar shapes as in (4.3), (4.4).

Proposition 5.1. *The forms on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2)$ given by*

$$\sigma_0 := g_0(J_0 \cdot, \cdot) = e^{01} + e^{23} + e^{45},$$
$$\varphi_0 := e^{024} - e^{134} - e^{035} - e^{125}, \qquad \psi_0 := e^{025} - e^{135} + e^{034} + e^{124},$$

descend to the quotient $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ and satisfy $d\sigma_0 = 3\varphi_0$ and $d\psi_0 = -2\sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_0$. Consequently, the differential forms on $\mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ given by $\sigma_p := g_p(J_p \cdot, \cdot), \psi_{+|p} := \varphi_0(p^{-1}\cdot, p^{-1}\cdot, p^{-1}\cdot)$, and $\psi_{-|p} := \psi_0(p^{-1}\cdot, p^{-1}\cdot, p^{-1}\cdot)$ define a nearly Kähler structure on $\mathbb{CP}^3$.

Proof. This follows from the expressions of the differentials of $e^k, k = 0, \dots, 5$:

$$\begin{aligned} de^0 &= 2e^{16} - e^{25} - e^{34}, & de^1 &= -2e^{06} - e^{24} + e^{35}, \\ de^2 &= e^{05} + e^{14} - e^{36} + e^{37} + e^{48} + e^{59}, & de^3 &= e^{04} - e^{15} + e^{26} - e^{27} - e^{49} + e^{58}, \\ de^4 &= -e^{03} - e^{12} - e^{28} + e^{39} - e^{56} - e^{57}, & de^5 &= -e^{02} + e^{13} - e^{38} + e^{46} + e^{47} - e^{29}. \end{aligned}$$

A routine computation gives the result. ∎

Let T^2 be the maximal torus in $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ given by matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\varphi})$. Two generators of $\mathfrak{t}^2$ are $U = \mathrm{diag}(i, 0)$ and $V = \mathrm{diag}(0, i)$. We want to compute the vectors $p^{-1}Up$ and $p^{-1}Vp$ in terms of the coefficients of p as an element of $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$. We split p as $p_{ik} = p^1_{ik} + p^2_{ik}j$, where p^1, p^2 are now 2×2 complex matrices. The condition $p^{-1} = {}^t\overline{p}$ is equivalent to ${}^t\overline{p}^1 p^1 + {}^t p^2 \overline{p}^2 = \mathrm{Id}, {}^t\overline{p}^1 p^2 - {}^t p^2 \overline{p}^1 = 0$. Expanding and adding the condition $p^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)$ we get the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &|p^1_{11}|^2 + |p^1_{21}|^2 + |p^2_{11}|^2 + |p^2_{21}|^2 = 1, && \overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} + \overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22} + \overline{p}^2_{12}p^2_{11} + \overline{p}^2_{22}p^2_{21} = 0, \\ &|p^1_{12}|^2 + |p^1_{22}|^2 + |p^2_{12}|^2 + |p^2_{22}|^2 = 1, && \overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + \overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22} - \overline{p}^1_{12}p^2_{11} - \overline{p}^1_{22}p^2_{21} = 0, \\ &|p^1_{11}|^2 + |p^1_{12}|^2 + |p^2_{11}|^2 + |p^2_{12}|^2 = 1, && p^1_{11}\overline{p}^1_{21} + p^1_{12}\overline{p}^1_{22} + \overline{p}^2_{21}p^2_{11} + \overline{p}^2_{22}p^2_{12} = 0, \\ &|p^1_{21}|^2 + |p^1_{22}|^2 + |p^2_{21}|^2 + |p^2_{22}|^2 = 1, && p^1_{11}p^2_{21} + p^1_{12}p^2_{22} - p^1_{21}p^2_{11} - p^1_{22}p^2_{12} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can thus calculate the vectors generating the action:

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}Up = &\begin{pmatrix} i(|p^1_{11}|^2 - |p^2_{11}|^2) & i(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12}) \\ i(\overline{p}^1_{12}p^1_{11} - p^2_{12}\overline{p}^2_{11}) & i(|p^1_{12}|^2 - |p^2_{12}|^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 2i\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11} & i(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12}) \\ i(\overline{p}^1_{12}p^2_{11} + p^2_{12}\overline{p}^1_{11}) & 2ip^2_{12}\overline{p}^1_{12} \end{pmatrix} j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}Vp = &\begin{pmatrix} i(|p^1_{21}|^2 - |p^2_{21}|^2) & i(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22} - p^2_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22}) \\ i(\overline{p}^1_{22}p^1_{21} - p^2_{22}\overline{p}^2_{21}) & i(|p^1_{22}|^2 - |p^2_{22}|^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 2i\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{21} & i(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22} + p^2_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22}) \\ i(\overline{p}^1_{22}p^2_{21} + p^2_{22}\overline{p}^1_{21}) & 2i\overline{p}^1_{22}p^2_{22} \end{pmatrix} j. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ contains elements of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} ia & 0 \\ 0 & ib \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{smallmatrix}\right) j$, with a, b real and c complex. The projections $(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ must be of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} xj & \rho \\ -\overline{\rho} & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, for x a complex number and ρ a quaternion, so

$$\begin{aligned} (p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\overline{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \gamma j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & &\begin{cases} \alpha = i(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12}) + i(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})j, \\ \gamma = 2i\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}, \end{cases} \\ (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -\overline{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & &\begin{cases} \beta = i(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22} - p^2_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22}) + i(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22} + p^2_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22})j, \\ \delta = 2i\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{21}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now write $(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in terms of the basis introduced above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}} = &\, 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11})E_0 - 2\,\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11})E_1 \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\Big(-\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12})E_2 + \mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12})E_3 \\ &\quad - \mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})E_4 + \mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})E_5\Big), \\ (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}} = &\, 2\,\mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{21})E_0 - 2\,\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{21})E_1 \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\Big(-\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22} - p^2_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22})E_2 + \mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22} - p^2_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22})E_3 \\ &\quad - \mathrm{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22} + p^2_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22})E_4 + \mathrm{Re}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22} + p^2_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22})E_5\Big). \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to write

$$(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}} = f'E_0 + e'E_1 + \sqrt{2}(a'E_2 + b'E_3 + c'E_4 + d'E_5),$$
$$(p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}} = f''E_0 + e''E_1 + \sqrt{2}(a''E_2 + b''E_3 + c''E_4 + d''E_5),$$

where $\alpha = a' + b'i + c'j + d'k, \beta = a'' + b''i + c''j + d''k, \gamma = e' + f'i, \delta = e'' + f''i$. Using the conditions $p, p^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)$, the multi-moment map $\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(p) = \sigma((p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}})$ gets the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(p) &= \operatorname{Im}(\gamma\overline{\delta}) + 2\operatorname{Re}(i\alpha\overline{\beta}) \\
&= 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}) - 2\operatorname{Im}\big((\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12})(p^1_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22} - \overline{p}^2_{21}p^2_{22}) \\
&\qquad + (\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})(p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22} + \overline{p}^2_{21}p^1_{22})\big) \\
&= 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}) - 2\operatorname{Im}\big((\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12} - p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12})(2p^1_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22} + p^1_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12} + p^2_{12}\overline{p}^2_{11}) \\
&\qquad + (\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})(2p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22} + p^1_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12} - p^1_{12}\overline{p}^2_{11})\big) \\
&= 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}) - 4\operatorname{Im}\big(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{21}(p^1_{12}\overline{p}^1_{22} + p^2_{12}\overline{p}^2_{22}) + p^2_{11}p^1_{21}(\overline{p}^1_{12}\overline{p}^2_{22} - \overline{p}^2_{12}\overline{p}^1_{22})\big) \\
&= 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}) - 4\operatorname{Im}\big(-\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{21}(p^1_{11}\overline{p}^1_{21} + p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{21}) + p^2_{11}p^1_{21}(\overline{p}^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{21} - \overline{p}^1_{11}\overline{p}^2_{21})\big) \\
&= 12\operatorname{Im}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}) \\
&= 3\operatorname{Im}(\gamma\overline{\delta}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

which is indeed invariant under the torus action, because γ, δ are invariant.

Proposition 5.2. *The orbit space $\nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(0)/T^2$ can be identified with $\mathbb{RP}^3/\mathbb{Z}_2^2$.*

Proof. Combine the action of T^2 on the left and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ on the right on $p \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ to obtain $p_{12}, p^1_{11}, p^2_{11}, p^1_{21}$ real. The orthogonality relations and expression (5.1) imply that $\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(p) = 0$ when p^2_{21} is real as well. But by definition of the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^3$, $p \in \mathrm{Sp}(2)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ corresponds to $[p^1_{11} : p^1_{21} : p^2_{11} : p^2_{21}] \in \mathbb{CP}^3$. Thus $\nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(0)/T^2 = \mathbb{RP}^3/\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ is the real part of T^2. ∎

Proposition 5.3. *There are exactly two T^2-orbits of critical points where the multi-moment map $\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}$ does not vanish. Thus they give maximum and minimum of $\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}$.*

Proof. Remark 4.4 applies to this case as well, so we concentrate on non-zero critical orbits. We want to find the points where $\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,) = 0$. Again, we use U and V as shorthands for $(p^{-1}Up)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (p^{-1}Vp)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner (e^0 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^4) &= 2(a'c'' - a''c')e^0 + \sqrt{2}(c'f'' - f'c'')e^2 + \sqrt{2}(f'a'' - a'f'')e^4, \\
-V \lrcorner U \lrcorner (Je^0 \wedge Je^2 \wedge e^4) &= 2(c'b'' - b'c'')Je^0 + \sqrt{2}(e'c'' - c'e'')Je^2 + \sqrt{2}(b'e'' - b''e')e^4, \\
-V \lrcorner U \lrcorner (e^0 \wedge Je^2 \wedge Je^4) &= 2(b''d' - b'd'')e^0 + \sqrt{2}(f'd'' - d'f'')Je^2 + \sqrt{2}(b'f'' - f'b'')Je^4, \\
-V \lrcorner U \lrcorner (Je^0 \wedge e^2 \wedge Je^4) &= 2(a''d' - a'd'')Je^0 + \sqrt{2}(e'd'' - d'e'')e^2 + \sqrt{2}(a'e'' - e'a'')Je^4.
\end{aligned}$$

The equation $\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,)_{|p} = 0$ is then equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a'c'' - a''c') + (b''d' - b'd'') = 0, \qquad &&(e'c'' - c'e'') + (f'd'' - d'f'') = 0, \\
&(b''c' - b'c'') + (a''d' - a'd'') = 0, \qquad &&(f'a'' - a'f'') + (b'e'' - b''e') = 0, \\
&(c'f'' - f'c'') + (e'd'' - d'e'') = 0, \qquad &&(b'f'' - f'b'') + (a'e'' - e'a'') = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows that these conditions may be rephrased using $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ as

$$\alpha\overline{\beta} \in \mathrm{Span}\{1, i\}, \quad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \in \mathrm{Span}\{1, i\}, \quad \alpha\overline{\delta} - \beta\overline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Span}\{j, k\}.$$

In terms of the p^k_{ij}, the latter are respectively

$$\begin{cases} \overline{p}^1_{11}\overline{p}^1_{21}(p^1_{12}p^2_{22}-p^2_{12}p^1_{22})+p^2_{11}p^2_{21}(\overline{p}^1_{12}\overline{p}^2_{22}-\overline{p}^2_{12}\overline{p}^1_{22}) \\ \qquad +\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{21}(p^2_{12}\overline{p}^2_{22}+p^1_{12}\overline{p}^1_{22})-p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{21}(\overline{p}^1_{12}p^1_{22}+\overline{p}^2_{12}p^2_{22})=0, \\ \overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{11}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{22}+p^2_{21}\overline{p}^1_{22})-\overline{p}^1_{21}p^2_{21}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^2_{12}+p^2_{11}\overline{p}^1_{12})=0, \\ p^1_{11}\overline{p}^2_{11}(\overline{p}^1_{21}p^1_{22}-p^2_{21}\overline{p}^2_{22})-p^1_{21}\overline{p}^2_{21}(\overline{p}^1_{11}p^1_{12}-p^2_{11}\overline{p}^2_{12})=0. \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.2 we combine the left action of T^2 and the right action of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)\mathrm{U}(1)$ so that $p_{12}=c$ is a non-negative real number, $p_{11}=a+bj$ for a,b non-negative real numbers, and $p_{21}=d+\rho j$, where d is a non-negative real and ρ is complex. The system giving critical points then reduces to

$$\begin{cases} c\big(a(\tau d+\rho\overline{\sigma})-b(\sigma d-\rho\overline{\tau})\big)=0, \\ b\big(a(\tau d+\rho\overline{\sigma})-c\overline{\rho}d\big)=0, \\ a\big(b(\sigma d-\rho\overline{\tau})-c\overline{\rho}d\big)=0, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

and the conditions defining $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ are

$$a^2+b^2+d^2+|\rho|^2=1, \qquad bc-\tau d+\rho\overline{\sigma}=0,$$
$$ac+\sigma d+\rho\overline{\tau}=0, \qquad c^2+|\sigma|^2+|\tau|^2=1.$$

We distinguish two main cases: $c=0$ and $c>0$. The first one yields only critical points where the multi-moment map vanishes, so we jump to the second. The only interesting subcase is when a,b are both non-zero. One can easily see that the first equation in (5.2) is redundant. The orthogonality relations for $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ yield $b\sigma d=a\rho\overline{\sigma}$. So we have either $\sigma=0$ or $\sigma\neq 0$. We focus on the latter, hence $a,b,c,\sigma\neq 0$. The critical conditions are

$$\begin{cases} a(\tau d+\rho\overline{\sigma})=c\overline{\rho}d, \\ b(\sigma d-\rho\overline{\tau})=c\overline{\rho}d, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

and orthogonality of the columns of matrices in $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ yields $\tau d-\rho\overline{\sigma}=bc, \sigma d+\rho\overline{\tau}=-ac$. Plugging the last two equations in (5.3) we find:

$$a\rho\overline{\sigma}=b\sigma d, \qquad a\tau d=-b\rho\overline{\tau}, \qquad abc=a\tau d-b\sigma d, \qquad abc=-a\rho\overline{\sigma}-b\rho\overline{\tau}.$$

Write $\rho=Re^{ir}, \sigma=Se^{is}$, and $\tau=Te^{it}$. Comparing the angles in the first two equations we find the congruences $s\equiv r-s \pmod{2\pi}, t\equiv\pi+r-t \pmod{2\pi}$, which imply $t=\pi/2+s \pmod{\pi}$. This gives two subcases: $e^{it}=ie^{is}$ and $e^{it}=-ie^{is}$, which we solve in the same fashion. Observe that $e^{ir}=e^{2is}$, so plugging these results in our starting equations $a\rho\overline{\sigma}=b\sigma d$ and $a\tau d=-b\rho\overline{\tau}$ we find $R=ad/b=bd/a$, hence $a=b$. Therefore, the equations $a\rho\overline{\sigma}=b\sigma d$ and $a\tau d=-b\rho\overline{\tau}$ simplify as $\rho\overline{\sigma}=\sigma d, \tau d=-\rho\overline{\tau}$. They imply $\sigma=\rho\overline{\sigma}/d$ and $\tau=-\rho\overline{\tau}/d$, so $|\sigma|^2+|\tau|^2=(|\rho|^2/d^2)(|\sigma|^2+|\tau|^2)$, whence $d=|\rho|$, namely $\rho=de^{ir}$. But $2a^2+c^2=a^2+b^2+c^2=1$ and $a^2+b^2+d^2+|\rho|^2=1=2a^2+2d^2$, so $c^2=2d^2$, that is $c=\sqrt{2}d$. Observe now that by the conditions $abc=a\tau d-b\sigma d$ and $abc=-a\rho\overline{\sigma}-b\rho\overline{\tau}$ we have $\tau-\sigma=\sqrt{2}a$ and $\sigma+\tau=-\sqrt{2}ae^{ir}$, so

$$2\tau=\sqrt{2}a(1-e^{ir}), \qquad 2\sigma=-\sqrt{2}a(1+e^{ir}).$$

Then $bd\sigma-b\rho\overline{\tau}=cd\overline{\rho}$ becomes

$$-\tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}a^2d(1+e^{ir})-\tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}a^2de^{ir}(1-e^{-ir})=\sqrt{2}d^3e^{-ir},$$




Figure 3: Graph for the complex projective space in $\mathbb{CP}^3/T^2$

that is $-a^2e^{2ir} = d^2$. Therefore e^{2ir} must be real and negative, whence $r = \pm\pi/2$ and $a = d$. But since the first column has unit length, $4a^2 = 1$, so $a = 1/2 = b = d = c/\sqrt{2} = |\rho|$. We obtain the critical points

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}j & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}ij & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1+i)+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1-i)j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}j & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}ij & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1-i)+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1+i)j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally $\nu_{\mathbb{CP}^3}(\mathbb{CP}^3) = [-3/4, 3/4]$, so we end up with two critical T^2-orbits giving extrema. □

The maximal two-torus in SU(4) is given by elements $A_{\vartheta,\phi} = \mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i\vartheta}, e^{-i\phi})$. Explicitly on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ we have

$$A_{\vartheta,\phi}([z^1\colon z^2\colon z^3\colon z^4]) = [e^{i\vartheta}z^1\colon e^{i\phi}z^2\colon e^{-i\vartheta}z^3\colon e^{-i\phi}z^4].$$

Observe that this action is not effective, because $A_{0,0}, A_{\pi,\pi}$ fix all points of $\mathbb{CP}^3$, and these are the only elements of the torus doing that. The morphism $(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\phi}) \mapsto (e^{i2\vartheta}, e^{i(\phi-\vartheta)})$ from the torus to itself induces an isomorphism $T^2 \cong T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, so the action of $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong T^2$ on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is effective. We want the solutions of $A_{\vartheta,\phi}([z^1\colon z^2\colon z^3\colon z^4]) = [z^1\colon z^2\colon z^3\colon z^4]$, for a non-trivial $A_{\vartheta,\phi}$. The homogeneous coordinates allow us to simplify the equations so as to get $z^1 = \lambda z^1, e^{i(\phi-\vartheta)}z^2 = \lambda z^2, e^{-i2\vartheta}z^3 = \lambda z^3, e^{-i(\vartheta+\phi)}z^4 = \lambda z^4$, where $\lambda = \lambda(\vartheta,\phi)$ is a complex-valued function. We distinguish the cases $\lambda = 1$ and $z^1 = 0$. In the former we find the solutions

$$\begin{cases} [1\colon 0\colon 0\colon 0], & \text{fixed by all of } T^2, \\ [z^1\colon z^2\colon 0\colon 0], [z^1\colon 0\colon z^3\colon 0], [z^1\colon 0\colon 0\colon z^4], & \text{fixed by a circle.} \end{cases}$$

Integrating these results with those of the case $z^1 = 0$, we have four points fixed by all of T^2, namely $[1\colon 0\colon 0\colon 0], [0\colon 1\colon 0\colon 0], [0\colon 0\colon 1\colon 0], [0\colon 0\colon 0\colon 1]$, and six points fixed by $\mathbb{S}^1$, which are

$$\begin{array}{lll} [z^1\colon z^2\colon 0\colon 0], & [z^1\colon 0\colon z^3\colon 0], & [z^1\colon 0\colon 0\colon z^4], \\ [0\colon z^2\colon z^3\colon 0], & [0\colon z^2\colon 0\colon z^4], & [0\colon 0\colon z^3\colon z^4]. \end{array}$$

For any point fixed by $\mathbb{S}^1$ we see that if one of the coordinates approaches 0 then it meets one of the points fixed by all of T^2, see Figure 3. Again, the graph in Figure 3 is the set of points where $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ as in Proposition 5.2 acts non-freely.

6 The product of three-spheres

It is convenient to view $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ as $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, and recall that $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$. A triple $(h,k,l) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)^3$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ as $((h,k,l),(p,q)) \mapsto (hpl^{-1}, kql^{-1})$. This action is obviously transitive and the stabiliser of the point $(1,1)$ is given by the triples $(h,h,h) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)^3$. We denote this isotropy group by $\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$. Therefore $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ has the structure of smooth manifold and is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)^3/\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$.

We follow [2] to construct an almost Hermitian structure. We define an almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ at the point (p, q) as

$$J_{(p,q)}(X, Y) \coloneqq \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(X - 2pq^{-1}Y, 2qp^{-1}X - Y), \qquad (X, Y) \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1).$$

The standard product metric $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is not invariant under J, so we define a metric g as the average of $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle J \cdot\,, J \cdot \rangle$, and normalise it by a factor $1/3$. At the point (p, q) its expression is

$$g(X, Y) \coloneqq \tfrac{1}{6}(\langle X, Y \rangle + \langle JX, JY \rangle), \quad X, Y \in T_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3).$$

Trivially, J is g-orthogonal and (g, J) is then an almost Hermitian structure.

Since $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is homogeneous for $\mathrm{SU}(2)^3$ we can work again at the identity $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ to construct a nearly Kähler structure. A basis for $\mathfrak{su}(2)^2$ is given by the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= (i, 0), \quad E_2 = (j, 0), \quad E_3 = (-k, 0), \\ E_4 &= (0, i), \quad E_5 = (0, j), \quad E_6 = (0, -k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a basis of each tangent space $T_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(p, q) &= (pi, 0), \quad E_2(p, q) = (pj, 0), \quad E_3(p, q) = (-pk, 0), \\ E_4(p, q) &= (0, qi), \quad E_5(p, q) = (0, qj), \quad E_6(p, q) = (0, -qk). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.1. *The forms on $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \times \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &\coloneqq g_0(J_0 \cdot\,, \cdot\,) = \tfrac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\big(e^{14} + e^{25} + e^{36}\big), \\ \varphi_0 &\coloneqq \tfrac{4}{9\sqrt{3}}\big(e^{126} - e^{135} - e^{156} + e^{234} + e^{246} - e^{345}\big), \\ \psi_0 &\coloneqq -J_0\varphi_0 = -\tfrac{4}{27}\big(2e^{123} + 2e^{456} + e^{135} - e^{156} - e^{234} - e^{126} + e^{246} - e^{345}\big), \end{aligned}$$

satisfy $d\sigma_0 = 3\varphi_0$ and $d\psi_0 = -2\sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_0$. Consequently, the differential forms $\sigma_p \coloneqq g_p(J_p \cdot\,, \cdot\,)$, $\psi_{+|p} \coloneqq \varphi_0(p^{-1} \cdot\,, p^{-1} \cdot\,, p^{-1} \cdot\,)$, and $\psi_{-|p} \coloneqq \psi_0(p^{-1} \cdot\,, p^{-1} \cdot\,, p^{-1} \cdot\,)$, define a nearly Kähler structure on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

Proof. The differentials of the duals e^k of E_k satisfy $de^i = 2e^{jk}$ for (ijk) cyclic permutation of (123) and (456), whence the result. □

The group $\mathrm{SU}(2)^3$ has rank three, so we have an action of a three-torus T^3. A study of this case was performed by Dixon [6], here we concentrate on actions of two-tori. An element $(t_1, t_2, t_3), t_k = e^{i\vartheta_k}$, of a maximal three-torus $T^3 \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)^3$ acts diagonally on $(g_1, g_2, g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$:

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3)(g_1, g_2, g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta \coloneqq (t_1 g_1, t_2 g_2, t_3 g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta. \tag{6.1}$$

Then it acts on $(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ as $(t_1 p t_3^{-1}, t_2 q t_3^{-1})$. Each pair of linearly independent vectors $a = (a_1, a_2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^3$ yields a discrete group $\Gamma \coloneqq \mathbb{Z}^3 \cap (\mathbb{R}a_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}a_2)$ and a two-torus $T^2_a = (\mathbb{R}a_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}a_2)/\Gamma$, in our three-torus T^3. The T^3-action defined above yields the infinitesimal generators at the point $(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $U_1(p, q) = (ip, 0)$, $U_2(p, q) = (0, iq)$, $U_3(p, q) = (-pi, -qi)$, which in terms of the basis $E_1, \ldots, E_6$ are

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(p, q) &= \langle \overline{p}ip, i\rangle E_1(p, q) + \langle \overline{p}ip, j\rangle E_2(p, q) - \langle \overline{p}ip, k\rangle E_3(p, q), \\ U_2(p, q) &= \langle \overline{q}iq, i\rangle E_4(p, q) + \langle \overline{q}iq, j\rangle E_5(p, q) - \langle \overline{q}iq, k\rangle E_6(p, q), \\ U_3(p, q) &= -E_1(p, q) - E_4(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

A multi-moment map in this case is an equivariant map $\nu\colon \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \to \Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ (cf. [13]). Its three real-valued components correspond to $\nu_i \coloneqq \sigma(U_j, U_k)$, with (ijk) cyclic permutation:

$$\nu(p, q) = \tfrac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\big(\langle \overline{q}iq, i\rangle, \langle \overline{p}ip, i\rangle, \langle \overline{p}ip, \overline{q}iq\rangle\big).$$

Pointwise, the generators U, V of the two-torus we are interested in are then rational linear combinations of the U_is:

$$U = a_{11}U_1 + a_{12}U_2 + a_{13}U_3, \quad V = a_{21}U_1 + a_{22}U_2 + a_{23}U_3,$$

so the multi-moment map for the T^2-action is $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3} \coloneqq \sigma(U, V)$:

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p, q) = (a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13})\nu_1 - (a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13})\nu_2 + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})\nu_3.$$

Set $b \coloneqq a_1 \times a_2 = (a_{12}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{22}, -a_{11}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{21}, a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})$ and $x = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \coloneqq (\langle \overline{p}ip, i\rangle, \langle \overline{p}ip, j\rangle, \langle \overline{p}ip, k\rangle), y = (y^1, y^2, y^3) \coloneqq (\langle \overline{q}iq, i\rangle, \langle \overline{q}iq, j\rangle, \langle \overline{q}iq, k\rangle)$. The multi-moment map has then the form

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p, q) = \tfrac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(b_1y^1 + b_2x^1 + b_3\langle x, y\rangle).$$

To show how the orbit spaces of the zero level sets look like we consider two examples.

Example 6.2. If the two-torus is generated by U_1, U_2 its elements have the form $(r, s, 1) \in T^2 \subset T^3$. A suitable choice of the basis gives $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p, q) = \langle x, y\rangle$. Notice that the T^2-action is defined via $(r, s, 1)\cdot(p, q) = (rp, sq)$ and it is free (cf. Figure 4 **A**). The map $(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3 \to (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2$ is constant on the orbits, thus descends to a map $(\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3)/T^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2$, which is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, the projection $(x, y) \in \nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(0)/T^2 \to y \in \mathbb{S}^2$ realises the orbit space of the zero level set as a circle bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$. This can be viewed as the unit tangent bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Example 6.3. If the two-torus is generated by $U_1 + U_3$ and U_2, then its elements have the form $(r, s, r) \in T^2 \subset T^3$. A suitable choice of the basis gives $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p, q) = \langle x - i, y\rangle$. The explicit action is $(r, s, r)\cdot(p, q) = (rpr^{-1}, sqr^{-1})$, and it easily seen to be non-free. We distinguish the cases $y = \pm i$ and $y \neq \pm i$.

In the first case $\langle x - i, y\rangle = 0$ implies $x = i$. Call $\pi\colon \mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2$ the map sending (p, q) to (x, y). Then $\pi^{-1}(i, i) = \{(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\varphi}) : \vartheta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(i, -i) = \{(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{i\varphi}j) : \vartheta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$. For both fibres T^2 acts trivially on $e^{i\vartheta}$, and one can then put $e^{i\varphi} = 1$, so $q = 1$ or $q = j$. Consider the projection $\nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(0)/T^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ given by $(p, q) \mapsto y$ as in the example above. We have just proved that the preimages of $\pm i$ are two circles. A last observation is that the isotropy of each $(e^{i\vartheta}, 1)$ is the circle whose elements are triples (r, r, r), whereas the isotropy of each $(e^{i\vartheta}, j)$ is another circle with elements (r, r^{-1}, r) (compare this fact with the graph below, Figure 4 **C**).

Now look at the case $y \neq \pm i$. Here we have three subcases: $x = \pm i$ or $x \neq \pm i$. The case $x = i$ is trivial, as any y satisfies $\langle x - i, y\rangle = 0$, and therefore $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(e^{i\vartheta}, q) = 0$. Using the torus symmetry we can set q^1, q^2 real and non-negative. Therefore, the preimage of y under the map $(p, q) \in \nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(0)/T^2 \to y \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is a circle. When $x = -i$ then $y = e^{i\vartheta}j$, and again we can set q^1, q^2 real and non-negative so that the preimage of each y is a circle. In the final case one has $\langle x, j\rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle x, k\rangle \neq 0$, consequently p must be of the form $p = p^1 + p^2j$ with $p^2 \neq 0$. Similarly, $q = q^1 + q^2j$ must have $q^2 \neq 0$. We can then use the torus action to make p^2, q^1, q^2 real and non-negative, whereas p^1 is free as it is fixed by the action. Therefore, the projection $y\colon \nu^{-1}_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(0)/T^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ realises again the orbit space of the zero level set as the unit tangent bundle over the two-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Now let us focus on the critical points of $\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}$, so points (p, q) where $\psi_+(U, V, \cdot\,) = 0$. The generators U, V in terms of $E_1, \ldots, E_6$ are

$$\begin{aligned} U = {} & (a_{11}\langle \overline{p}ip, i\rangle - a_{13})E_1 + a_{11}\langle \overline{p}ip, j\rangle E_2 - a_{11}\langle \overline{p}ip, k\rangle E_3 \\ & + (a_{12}\langle \overline{q}iq, i\rangle - a_{13})E_4 + a_{12}\langle \overline{q}iq, j\rangle E_5 - a_{12}\langle \overline{q}iq, k\rangle E_6, \\ V = {} & (a_{21}\langle \overline{p}ip, i\rangle - a_{23})E_1 + a_{21}\langle \overline{p}ip, j\rangle E_2 - a_{21}\langle \overline{p}ip, k\rangle E_3 \\ & + (a_{22}\langle \overline{q}iq, i\rangle - a_{23})E_4 + a_{22}\langle \overline{q}iq, j\rangle E_5 - a_{22}\langle \overline{q}iq, k\rangle E_6. \end{aligned}$$

A computation of $\psi_+(U,V,\,\cdot\,)_{|(p,q)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{126} &= -b_3x^2y^3e^1 + \big(b_3x^1y^3 + b_1y^3\big)e^2 - b_2x^2e^6,\\
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{315} &= b_3x^3y^2e^1 + \big(b_3x^1y^2 + b_1y^2\big)e^3 - b_2x^3e^5,\\
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{156} &= \big(b_3x^1y^3 + b_1y^3\big)e^5 + \big(b_3x^1y^2 + b_1y^2\big)e^6,\\
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{234} &= -\big(b_3x^3y^1 + b_2x^3\big)e^2 - (b_3x^2y^1 + b_2x^2)e^3,\\
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{264} &= b_1y^3e^2 - b_3x^2y^3e^4 - \big(b_3x^2y^1 + b_2x^2\big)e^6,\\
V \lrcorner U \lrcorner e^{345} &= b_1y^2e^3 + b_3x^3y^2e^4 - \big(b_3x^3y^1 + b_2x^3\big)e^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equation $\psi_+(U,V,\,\cdot\,)_{|(p,q)} = 0$ is equivalent to the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
b_3(x^3y^2 - x^2y^3) = 0\\
b_3(x^1y^i - x^iy^1) - b_2x^i = 0, \quad i = 2,3\\
b_3(x^jy^1 - x^1y^j) - b_1y^j = 0. \quad j = 2,3.
\end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Remark 6.4. As it turns out, $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is the only homogeneous example where saddle points appear and where extrema of the multi-moment map are not symmetric with respect to the origin. We first discuss system (6.2) and then illustrate the various situations assigning explicit values to our parameters b_1, b_2, b_3.

The vectors x and y lie in $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. We distinguish the cases $b_3 = 0$ and $b_3 \neq 0$. Since not all the b_is vanish, when $b_3 = 0$ we have three subcases: if $b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 = 0$ then $y = \pm i$, whereas if $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$ then $x = \pm i$, and finally when $b_1 \neq 0 \neq b_2$ then $x = \pm i, y = \pm i$. If $b_3 \neq 0$ then the first equation yields $x^3y^2 - x^2y^3 = 0$. Summing second and third equations in (6.2) with $i = j$ we get

$$b_1y^3 + b_2x^3 = 0 = b_1y^2 + b_2x^2. \tag{6.3}$$

We end up with three more cases:

1. If $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ then we obtain at once that x is parallel to y, thus $y = \pm x$.
2. If $b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 = 0$ or $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$ then x is parallel to y and $y = \pm i$.
3. If $b_1 \neq 0$ and $b_2 \neq 0$ then by (6.3) we get $x^2 = -(b_1/b_2)y^2, x^3 = -(b_1/b_2)y^3$, so plugging these solutions in the system one obtains

$$\begin{cases}
y^2(b_2b_3x^1 + b_1b_3y^1 + b_1b_2) = 0,\\
y^3(b_2b_3x^1 + b_1b_3y^1 + b_1b_2) = 0,
\end{cases}$$

so either $y = \pm i$ (and then $x = \pm i$) or $b_2b_3x^1 + b_1b_3y^1 + b_1b_2 = 0$.

In the latter case the point $(x^1, y^1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ lies on the line

$$r\colon b_2b_3x^1 + b_1b_3y^1 + b_1b_2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $|x|^2 = 1$ and $x^2 = -(b_1/b_2)y^2, x^3 = -(b_1/b_2)y^3$, we have $(x^1)^2 + (b_1^2/b_2^2)\big((y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2\big) = 1$. But $|y|^2 = 1$ as well, so $(y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 = 1 - (y^1)^2$, and replacing this in the former identity we find a curve

$$h\colon b_2^2(x^1)^2 - b_1^2(y^1)^2 = b_2^2 - b_1^2.$$

Therefore (x^1, y^1) lies in the intersection between h and r. Note that when $b_1 = b_2$ the curve h is the union of the two lines $x^1 = \pm y^1$. The slope of r is $-b_2/b_1$ in general, so it is -1 when $b_1 = b_2$.

Since $b_2 \neq 0$ the only non-trivial intersection is between $y^1 = x^1$ and $y^1 = -x^1 - b_2/b_3$, which gives $x^1 = y^1 = -b_2/2b_3$. Similarly, when $b_2 = -b_1$ the only non-trivial intersection is between $x^1 = -y^1$ and $y^1 = x^1 - b_2/b_3$, namely $x^1 = -y^1 = b_2/2b_3$. When $b_2 \neq \pm b_1$, the curve h is a hyperbola and its asymptotes have equations $y^1 = \pm(b_2/b_1)x^1$. Since $b_2 \neq 0$ there is a unique intersection between h and r with $x^1 = (b_1^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_2^2b_3^2)/2b_1b_2^2b_3$ and $y^1 = (b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_1^2b_3^2)/2b_1^2b_2b_3$.

Summing up, in every case we have a uniquely determined solution that may be written as

$$x^1 = (b_1^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_2^2b_3^2)/2b_1b_2^2b_3, \quad y^1 = (b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_1^2b_3^2)/2b_1^2b_2b_3,$$
$$x^2 = -(b_1/b_2)y^2, \quad x^3 = -(b_1/b_2)y^3.$$

Hereafter we list the possible critical values of the multi-moment map:

1. If at least one b_i vanishes, then

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p,q) = \tfrac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\big(y_1b_1 + x_1b_2 \pm b_3\big),$$

   where $x_1b_2 = \pm b_2$, $y_1b_1 = \pm b_1$.

2. If $b_1, b_2, b_3 \neq 0$, first observe that

$$b_1y^1 + b_2x^1 = \frac{b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_1^2b_3^2}{2b_1b_2b_3} + \frac{b_1^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_2^2b_3^2}{2b_1b_2b_3} = -\frac{b_1b_2}{b_3}.$$

   It is convenient to write $\langle x, y\rangle$ as $\cos\vartheta$, where ϑ is the angle between the vectors x and y. The system giving critical points and the conditions $x^2 = -(b_1/b_2)y^2, x^3 = -(b_1/b_2)y^3$ are saying that $1 - \cos^2\vartheta = \sin^2\vartheta = \|x \times y\|^2 = (b_1^2/b_3^2)\big(1 - (y^1)^2\big)$, namely

$$\cos^2\vartheta = 1 - \frac{b_1^2}{b_3^2}\left(1 - \frac{(b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 - b_1^2b_3^2)^2}{4b_1^4b_2^2b_3^2}\right) = \left(\frac{b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 + b_1^2b_3^2}{2b_1b_2b_3^2}\right)^2.$$

   Consequently $\langle x, y\rangle = \cos\vartheta = \pm(b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 + b_1^2b_3^2)/2b_1b_2b_3^2$, the signs $\pm$ giving two stationary orbits, and

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}(p,q) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\left(-\frac{b_1b_2}{b_3} \pm \frac{b_2^2b_3^2 - b_1^2b_2^2 + b_1^2b_3^2}{2b_1b_2b_3}\right).$$

Example 6.5. Consider the case $b_3 = 0$ with $b_1, b_2 \neq 0$. We write $x = \varepsilon_1 i, y = \varepsilon_2 i$, with $\varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$. If e.g. $a_1 = (2,3,1)$ and $a_2 = (2,3,5)$, then $b = (12,-8,0)$ and $12\varepsilon_2 - 8\varepsilon_1 \in \{-20,-4,4,20\}$, so we have four different non-zero critical values. The points corresponding to the value 4 are obtained when $x = (1,0,0) = y$ and are actually saddle points. To check this recall that the T^2-symmetry allows one to evaluate the multi-moment map on points in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ rather than in $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. So considering particular points around $(x, y) = ((1,0,0),(1,0,0))$ we can prove our claim. For example, for $\alpha \neq 0$ and small

$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}((\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha, 0),(1,0,0)) > \nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}((1,0,0),(1,0,0)),$$
$$\nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}((1,0,0),(\cos\alpha, \sin\alpha, 0)) < \nu_{\mathbb{S}^3\times\mathbb{S}^3}((1,0,0),(1,0,0)),$$

hence $((1,0,0),(0,0,1))$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ corresponds to an orbit of saddle points in $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Analogous steps can be repeated for the value -4.

Example 6.6. The triple $b = (1,1,2)$ can be obtained using $a_1 = (1,-1,0)$ and $a_2 = (1,1,-1)$, so the multi-moment map takes all values between $-3/2\sqrt{3}$ and $5/6\sqrt{3}$. This shows that maximum and minimum may occur without being symmetric with respect to the origin.

Example 6.7. The triple $b = (0,0,1)$ occurs for example when $a_1 = (1,0,0), a_2 = (0,1,0)$, and the extreme values of the multi-moment map are $\pm 2/3\sqrt{3}$. The connected components of the corresponding critical sets in $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ are four-dimensional.




Figure 4: In **A** the empty graph corresponding to the free T^2-action. In **B** and **C** the sets of special orbits of the T^2-action when this is not free. In **D** the four points corresponding to the sets of special orbits of the T^3-action.

Finally, let us construct the graphs. Consider $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in T^3$, with $t_k = e^{i\vartheta_k}$ for some $\vartheta_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and map each of them into SU(2) so that $t_i \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t_i, t_i^{-1}) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The action is then as in (6.1). If $(g_1, g_2, g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$ is fixed by (t_1, t_2, t_3) then we have $(t_1 g_1, t_2 g_2, t_3 g_3) = (g_1 g, g_2 g, g_3 g)$ for some $g \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Isolating g on one side we find ${g_1}^{-1} t_1 g_1 = {g_2}^{-1} t_2 g_2$ and ${g_1}^{-1} t_1 g_1 = {g_3}^{-1} t_3 g_3$, so

$$t_1 = (g_1 {g_2}^{-1}) t_2 (g_1 {g_2}^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad t_1 = (g_1 {g_3}^{-1}) t_3 (g_1 {g_3}^{-1})^{-1}.$$

This shows that t_1 and t_2 are conjugate, as well as t_1 and t_3. Thus each pair has to have the same eigenvalues. Since t_1, t_2, t_3 are diagonal matrices we see that if $t_1 = \mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{-i\vartheta})$ then $t_k = \mathrm{diag}(e^{i\vartheta}, e^{-i\vartheta})$ or $t_k = \mathrm{diag}(e^{-i\vartheta}, e^{i\vartheta})$ for $k = 2, 3$. This leads us to consider four cases: write $t_1 = t$, then (t_1, t_2, t_3) can be written as either (t, t, t), (t, t^{-1}, t), (t, t, t^{-1}), or (t, t^{-1}, t^{-1}). Note there is a discrete stabiliser given by $t = \pm\mathrm{Id}$, meaning that the action is not effective. By the usual argument as in the two cases above we can thus ignore it.

In the first case we get $g_2 {g_1}^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda})$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, as $g_2 {g_1}^{-1} \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ commutes with t. The same holds for $g_3 {g_1}^{-1}$, so we can write $g_3 {g_1}^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda', \overline{\lambda'})$, with $|\lambda'| = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(g_1, g_2, g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta &= (\mathrm{Id}, g_2 {g_1}^{-1}, g_3 {g_1}^{-1})\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta \\ &= (\mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda}), \mathrm{diag}(\lambda', \overline{\lambda'}))\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta,\end{aligned}$$

and the group of elements of the form $(g_1, g_2, g_3)\mathrm{SU}(2)_\Delta$ is then isomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = T^2$. This shows we have a two-torus whose points are fixed by $\mathbb{S}^1$. The other cases are similar. The image of these critical sets in the orbit space $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)/T^3$ is given by four points (cf. Figure 4, **D**). The saddle points found in Example 6.5 lie in these four special T^3-orbits.

For every T^2 in T^3, the stabilizers are still zero- or one-dimensional as $\mathrm{Stab}_{T^2}(p) \subset \mathrm{Stab}_{T^3}(p)$. Thus there are no vertices in our graph, we get only disjoint circles. Further, a $T^2 \subset T^3$ cannot contain all the circles $(t, t, t), (t, t^{-1}, t), (t, t, t^{-1}), (t, t^{-1}, t^{-1})$. There are three cases: the two-torus may contain none, one or two of the circles above. For example, the first case happens when T^2 is of the form $(r, s, 1)$, $r, s \in \mathbb{S}^1$, so we get an empty graph and the T^2-action is free (cf. Figure 4 **A**, and compare with Example 6.2). If T^2 contains triples (r, rs, rs^2), $r, s \in \mathbb{S}^1$, then it contains the circle (r, r, r), so the graph is a single circle. Thirdly, if T^2 is of the form (r, s, r), then it contains the circles (t, t, t) and (t, t^{-1}, t), but does not include (t, t, t^{-1}) and (t, t^{-1}, t^{-1}), so we get two circles in our graph (cf. Figure 4 **B**, **C**, compare the latter with Example 6.3).

References

[1] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño and C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Comm. Math. Phys. 254 (2005), no. 2, 425–478.

[2] J. Bolton, F. Dillen, B. Dioos, and L. Vrancken, *Almost complex surfaces in the nearly Kähler* $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Tohoku Math. J. **67** (2015), no. 2, 1–17.

[3] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Annals of Mathematics **126** (1987), no. 3, 525–576.

[4] J.-B. Butruille, *Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **27** (2005), no. 3, 201–225.

[5] R. Carrión, *Some special geometries defined by lie groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Oxford, 1993.

[6] K. Dixon, *The multi-moment map of the nearly Kähler* $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Geometriae Dedicata **200** (2019), no. 351, 1–12.

[7] M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group* G_2, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **132** (1982), no. 4, 19–45.

[8] L. Foscolo and M. Haskins, *New* G_2*-holonomy cones and exotic nearly Kähler structures on* $\mathbb{S}^6$ *and* $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Annals of Mathematics **185** (2017), no. 1, 59–130.

[9] A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3*, J. Differential Geom. **7** (1972), 343–369.

[10] ———, *The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 233–248.

[11] M. J. Hughes and E. Swartz, *Quotients of spheres by linear actions of tori*, (2012). arxiv. 1205.6387, math.GT.

[12] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[13] T. B. Madsen and A. Swann, *Multi-moment maps*, Advances in Mathematics **229** (2012), 2287–2309.

[14] T. B. Madsen and A. Swann, *Toric geometry of* G_2*-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 7, 3459–3500.

[15] G. Russo, *Torus symmetry and nearly Kähler metrics*, Ph.D. thesis, Aarhus University, 2019.

[16] G. Russo and A. Swann, *Nearly Kähler six-manifolds with two-torus symmetry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **138** (2019), 144–153.

(G. Russo) Department of Mathematics, Geometry and Topology Group, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 118, Bldg 1530, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

E-mail address: giovanni.russo@math.au.dk