

The general fifth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions: Inverse scattering transform and multisoliton solutions

Xiu-Bin Wang and Bo Han

Abstract. Under investigation in this work is the inverse scattering transform of the general fifth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs), which can be reduced to several integrable equations. Firstly, a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem for the equation with NZBCs at infinity is systematically investigated. Then the inverse problems are solved through the investigation of the matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem. Thus, the general solutions for the potentials, and explicit expressions for the reflection-less potentials are well constructed. Furthermore, the trace formulae and theta conditions are also presented. In particular, we analyze the simple-pole and double-pole solutions for the equation with NZBCs. Finally, the dynamics of the obtained solutions are graphically discussed. These results provided in this work can be useful to enrich and explain the related nonlinear wave phenomena in nonlinear fields.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 35Q51, 35Q53, 35C99, 68W30, 74J35.

Keywords. The general fifth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Inverse scattering transform (IST); Soliton solutions; Riemann-Hilbert Problem (RHP)..

1. Introduction

The fundamental nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + 2|q|^2q = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

is famous to be a key integrable model in the field of mathematical physics. There are many physical contexts where the NLS equation arises. For instance, the NLS equation describes the weakly nonlinear surface wave in deep water. More importantly, the NLS equation models the soliton propagation in optical fibers where only

the group velocity dispersion and the self-phase modulation effects are taken into account. However, for ultrashort pulse in optical fibers, the effects of the higher-order dispersion, the self-steepening, and the stimulated Raman scattering should be considered. Besides, the higher-order dispersion terms and non-Kerr nonlinearity effects have been found interesting applications in optics [1]-[4]. Thus, more researches about higher-order NLS equations are inevitable and worthwhile. Due to these effects, the propagation of subpicosecond and femtosecond pulses can be described by the general integrable four-parameter $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ fifth-order NLS (GFONLS in brief) equation [5, 6, 7]

$$i\psi_t(x, t) + \alpha_2 K_2 [\psi(x, t)] - i\alpha_3 K_3 [\psi(x, t)] + \alpha_4 K_4 [\psi(x, t)] - i\alpha_5 K_5 [\psi(x, t)] = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K_2 = \psi_{xx} + 2(|\psi|^2 - \psi_0^2)\psi, \\ K_3 = \psi_{xxx} + 6|\psi|^2\psi_x, \\ K_4 = \psi_{xxxx} + 8|\psi|^2\psi_{xx} + 6|\psi|^4\psi + 6\psi^*\psi_x^2 + 2\psi^2\psi_{xx}^*, \\ K_5 = \psi_{xxxxx} + 10|\psi|^2\psi_{xxx} + 10(\psi|\psi_x|^2)_x + 20\psi^*\psi_x\psi_{xx} + 30|\psi|^4\psi_x. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Recently, the ISTs of integrable nonlinear wave equations with NZBCs have been paid much attention to based on the solutions of the related RHP. The approach has been extended to the focusing and defocusing NLS equation, the focusing and defocusing Hirota equations, the nonlocal modified KdV equation and the derivative NLS equation etc [8]-[22] such that many types of nonlinear waves were discussed. Motivated by the above works of Ablowitz [9], Biondini [10, 11], Feng [17] and Yan [19] etc, in this work, we would like to extend the IST to study the GFONLS equation (1.2) with the following NZBCs as infinity

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x, t) = \psi_{\pm}, \quad (1.4)$$

with $|\psi_{\pm}| = \psi_0 \neq 0$. Eq.(1.2) includes plenty of important nonlinear wave equations as its special cases [23]-[33]. Here we give some crucial cases.

Case (1): If $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$, Eq.(1.2) can be reduced to the fundamental NLS equation (1.1) with NZBCs

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} + 2(|\psi|^2 - \psi_0^2)\psi = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Case (2): If $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$, Eq.(1.2) can be reduced to the following Hirota equation with NZBCs [23]

$$i\psi_t + \alpha_2 (\psi_{xx} + 2(|\psi|^2 - \psi_0^2)\psi) + i\alpha_3 (\psi_{xxx} + 6|\psi|^2\psi_x) = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

Case (3): If $\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$, Eq.(1.2) can be reduced to the complex modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation [24, 25].

$$\psi_t + \psi_{xxx} + 6|\psi|^2\psi_x = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

To the best of the authors' knowledge, although many mathematical physicist have studied the particular cases of equation (1.2), the IST of equation (1.2) with NZBCs

has not been reported so far. The GFONLS equation (1.2) is completely integrable, its Lax pair reads [7]

$$\begin{cases} \phi_x = U\phi, & U = ik\sigma_3 + Q, \\ \phi_t = V\phi, & V = \alpha_2\Delta_{NLS} + \alpha_3\Delta_{MKdV} + \alpha_4\Delta_{LPD} + \alpha_5\Delta_{FOQ} + 3i\alpha_4\sigma_3, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where λ is a complex iso-spectral parameter, the eigenfunction $\phi = \phi(x, t, \lambda)$ is a 2×2 matrix function, $\sigma_3 = \text{diag}\{1, -1\}$, and matrices Q, V_0, L, M, N is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{NLS} &= -2kU + i\sigma_3(Q_x - Q^2 - \psi_0^2), \\ \Delta_{MKdV} &= -2k(\Delta_{NLS} + i\psi_0^2\sigma_3) - [Q, Q_x] - Q_{xx} + 2Q^3, \\ \Delta_{LPD} &= 2k[-(4ik^3 + k^2Q + kV_0) + L_0] + M_0, \quad \Delta_{FOQ} = -2k\Delta_{IPD} + N_0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \\ -\psi^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i|\psi|^2 & -\psi_x \\ \psi_x^* & i|\psi|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & -n_2^* \\ n_2 & -n_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ L_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi\psi_x^* - \psi^*\psi_x & i(\psi_{xx} + 2|\psi|^2\psi) \\ i(\psi_{xx}^* + 2|\psi|^2\psi^*) & \psi^*\psi_x - \psi\psi_x^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & -m_2^* \\ m_2 & -m_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ m_1 &= -i[(\psi\psi_{xx})^* - |\psi_x|^2 + 3|\psi|^4], \quad m_2 = \psi_{xxx}^* + 6|\psi|^2\psi_x^*, \\ n_1 &= \psi_{xxx}(\psi - \psi^*) - \psi_x\psi_{xx}^*\psi_x^*\psi_{xx} + 6|\psi|^2(\psi\psi_x^* - \psi^*\psi_x), \\ n_2 &= i(\psi_{xxx}^* + 2\psi^{*2}\psi_{xx} + 4|\psi_x^2\psi^*| + 6\psi\psi_x^{*2} + 8|\psi|^2\psi_{xx}^* + 6|\psi|^4\psi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where $\psi^*(x, t)$ is the complex conjugate of $\psi(x, t)$, and k is a constant spectral parameter.

It is well-known that the IST is a powerful approach to derive soliton solutions [34]-[44]. However, the research in this work, within our knowledge, has not been conducted before. The chief idea of the present article is to employ the IST to derive the multisoliton solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4). Besides, some graphic analysis are presented to help readers to understand the propagation phenomena of these wave solutions.

The main results of the present paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. The reflectionless potential with simple poles in the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) can be found as

$$\psi(x, t) = \psi_- + i \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} G & v \\ w^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}}{\det G}, \quad (1.11)$$

where $w = (w_j)_{2N \times 1}$, $v = (v_j)_{2N \times 1}$, $G = (g_{sj})_{2N \times 2N}$, and $y = (y_n)_{2N \times 1} = G^{-1}v$ with $w_j = A_-[\widehat{\xi}_j]e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_j)}$, $v_j = -iq_-/\xi_j$, $g_{sj} = \frac{w_j}{\xi_s - \xi_j} + v_s\delta_{sj}$, and $y_n = \mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$.

Theorem 1.2. The reflectionless potential with double poles of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) can be written as

$$\psi(x, t) = \psi_- + i \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} H & v \\ w^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}}{\det H}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $H = (H^{(sj)})_{2 \times 2}$, $H^{(sj)} = (h_{kn}^{(sj)})_{2N \times 2N}$, $h_{kn}^{(11)} = \widehat{C}_n(\xi_k) \left(D_n + \frac{1}{\xi_k - \xi_n} \right) - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_k} \delta_{kn}$, $h_{kn}^{(12)} = \widehat{C}_n(\xi_k)$, $h_{kn}^{(21)} = \frac{\widehat{C}_n}{\xi_k - \xi_n}(\xi_k) \left(D_n + \frac{2}{\xi_k - \xi_n} \right) - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_k} \delta_{kn}$, $h_{kn}^{(22)} = \frac{\widehat{C}_n(\xi_k)}{\xi_k - \xi_n} + \frac{i\psi_- \psi_0^2}{\xi_k^3} \delta_{kn}$, $w_n^{(1)} = A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(\xi_n)} \widehat{D}_n$, $w_n^{(2)} = A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(\xi_n)}$, $v_n^{(1)} = -\frac{\psi_-}{\xi_n}$, $v_n^{(2)} = -\frac{\psi_-}{\xi_n^2}$.

The outline of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we consider the direct scattering problem for the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) starting from its spectral problem. In Section 3, we discuss the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) such that its simple-pole solution are found via solving the matrix RHP with the reflectionless potentials, and their trace formulae and theta condition are also presented. Following a similar way, in section 4 we investigate the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) such that its double-pole solutions are found via solving the matrix RHP. Finally, the conclusion and discussion are provided in the last section.

2. Direct scattering problem with NZBCs




Figure 1. The grey (white) region for $\text{Im} \lambda > 0$ ($\text{Im} \lambda < 0$) in distinct spectral planes of the Lax pair with NZBCs. (a): the first sheet of the Riemann surface, showing the discrete spectrum; (b): the complex z -plane, showing the discrete spectrum [zeros of $s_{11}(z)$ (blue) in the grey region and those of $s_{22}(z)$ (red) in the white region], and the orientation of the jump contours for the related RHP. Besides, $a = i\psi_0$, $b = \psi_0^2/z_n$, $c = -\psi_0^2/z_n^*$.

First of all, we discuss the first expression in (1.8) as the scattering problem of equation (1.4). As $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, the scattering problem yields

$$\phi_x = U_\pm \phi, \quad U_\pm = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} U = ik\sigma_3 + Q_\pm, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$Q_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} Q(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi_{\pm} \\ -\psi_{\pm}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Consequently, the standard matrix solutions of equation (2.1) can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{bg}^f(x, t, k) = & \\ & \begin{cases} E_{\pm}^f(k) e^{i\theta(x, t, k)\sigma_3}, & k \neq \pm i\psi_0, \\ I + \left[x - 2 \left(\alpha_2 k + 3\alpha_3 \psi_0^2 \pm 6\alpha_4 i\psi_0^3 + \alpha_5 \left(-8\psi_0^4 - 7\psi_0^2 \right) \right) t \right] U_{\pm}, & k = \pm i\psi_0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where I represents a 2×2 unit matrix, and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm}^f(k) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{i\psi_{\pm}}{k+\lambda} \\ \frac{i\psi_{\pm}^*}{k+\lambda} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \theta(x, t, k) &= \\ \lambda(k) \left\{ x + \left[\alpha_5 \left(-16k^4 + 8k^2 - 6\psi_0^2 \right) + \alpha_4 \left(8k^3 - 4k\psi_0^2 \right) + \alpha_3 \left(4k^2 - 2\psi_0^2 \right) - 2\alpha_2 k \right] t \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$\lambda^2 = k^2 + \psi_0^2. \quad (2.5)$$

To further study the analyticity of the Jost solutions of the spectral problem (1.8), we have to consider the regions of $\text{Im}\lambda(k) > 0 (< 0)$ in the function $\theta(x, t, k)$ (also see [10]). Taking $\psi_0 \neq 0$, i.e., the boundary conditions are NZBCs, $\lambda(k)$ satisfying (2.5) in the complex plane is a doubly branched function of k with two branch points being $k \neq \pm i\psi_0$ and the branch cut being the segment $i\psi_0[-1, 1]$. Take $k \pm i\psi_0 = r_{\pm} e^{i\theta_{\pm} + 2im_{\pm}\pi}$ ($r_{\pm} > 0$, $\theta_{\pm} \in [-\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then two single-valued analytical branches of the complex k -plane are expressed by Sheet-I: $\lambda_I(k) = \sqrt{r_+ r_-} e^{i(\theta_+ + \theta_-)/2}$ and Sheet-II: $\lambda_{II}(k) = -\lambda_I(k)$. To achieve this aim, one can introduce a uniformization variable z given by the conformal mapping: $z = k + \lambda$, whose inverse mapping is

$$k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(z - \frac{\psi_0^2}{z} \right), \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{\psi_0^2}{z} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, if $\psi_0 = 0$, the NZBCs can be reduced to the ZBC.

Take $\mathbb{A} = i\psi_0[-1, 1]$ with $C_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \psi_0\}$, and (see Fig.1)

$$D_+^f = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - \psi_0^2) \text{Im} z > 0\}, \quad D_-^f = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z|^2 - \psi_0^2) \text{Im} z < 0\}. \quad (2.7)$$

The continuous spectrum of $U_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} U$ stands for the set of all values of z satisfying $\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $z \in \Sigma^f = \mathbb{R} \cup C_0$, which are the jump contours for the related RHP. Similar to [10], it follows from $[U_{\pm}, V_{\pm}] = 0$ that the Jost solutions $\phi_{\pm}(x, t, z)$ of both parts of (1.8) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\phi_{\pm}(x, t, z) = E_{\pm}^f(z) e^{i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3} + O(1), \quad \forall z \in \Sigma^f, \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (2.8)$$

In view of $\phi_x = U_{\pm}\phi + \Delta Q_{\pm}\phi$, $\Delta Q_{\pm}(x, t) = Q(x, t) - Q_{\pm}$ with $Q_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} Q$, the modified Jost solutions

$$\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \phi_{\pm}(x, t, z) e^{-i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3} \rightarrow E_{\pm}^f(z), \quad x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (2.9)$$

possess the following results

$$\mu_{\pm} = \begin{cases} E_{\pm}^f(z) \left\{ I + \int_{\pm\infty}^x e^{i\lambda(x-y)\widehat{\sigma}_3} \left[(E_{\pm}^f(z))^{-1} \Delta Q_{\pm}(y, t) \mu_{\pm}(y, t, z) \right] dy \right\}, \\ z \neq \pm i\psi_0, \psi - \psi_{\pm} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\pm}), \\ E_{\pm}^f(z) + \int_{\pm\infty}^x [I + (x-y)(Q_{\pm} \mp \psi_0 \sigma_3)] \Delta Q_{\pm}(y, t) \mu_{\pm}(y, t, z) dy, \\ z = \pm i\psi_0, (1 + |x|)(\psi - \psi_{\pm}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\pm}), \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

where $e^{\widehat{\sigma}_3 A} := e^{\sigma_3 A} e^{-\sigma_3}$.

Let $\Sigma_0^f := \Sigma^f \setminus \{\pm i\psi_0\}$, $\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = (\mu_{\pm,1}, \mu_{\pm,2})$, and $\phi_{\pm}(x, t, z) = (\phi_{\pm,1}, \phi_{\pm,2})$. Since the above expression (2.10) contains $e^{\pm i(x-y)}$, as a result in terms of the properties of these functions in distinct domains and the definition (2.10) of $\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z)$ as well as the relationship (2.9) between $\mu_{\pm}(x, t, z)$ and $\phi(x, t, z)$, the following proposition 2.1 is easily obtained (also refer to [10] for the detailed derivation).

Proposition 2.1. Take $\psi - \psi_{\pm} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\pm})$, the modified expressions $\mu_{\pm 2}(x, t, z)$ and the Jost functions $\phi_{\pm 2}$ provided by (2.9) and (2.10) admit unique solutions in Σ_0^f . In addition, $\mu_{+1}(x, t, z)$, $\mu_{-2}(x, t, z)$, $\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)$ and $\phi_{-2}(x, t, z)$ can be continuously to $D_+^f \cup \Sigma_0^f$, and analytically extended to D_+^f , while $\mu_{-1}(x, t, z)$, $\mu_{+2}(x, t, z)$, $\phi_{-1}(x, t, z)$ and $\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)$, can be continuously extended to $D_-^f \cup \Sigma_0^f$, and analytically extended to D_-^f .

Since $\text{tr}U(x, t, z) = \text{tr}V(x, t, z) = 0$, we have $(\det \phi_{\pm})_x = (\det \phi_{\pm})_t = 0$. Besides we can find

$$\det \phi_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mu_{\pm} = \det E_{\pm}^f(z) = \gamma_f(z) = 1 + \psi_0^2/z^2 \neq 0, \quad z \neq \pm i\psi_0, \quad (2.11)$$

on the basic of Liouville's formula. Since $\phi_{\pm}(x, t, z)$ are both primary matrix solutions of the spectral problem (1.8), Thus, we find a constant matrix $S(z)$ so that

$$\phi_+(x, t, z) = \phi_-(x, t, z)S(z), \quad z \in \Sigma_0^f, \quad (2.12)$$

where $S(z) = (s_{ij}(z))_{2 \times 2}$ are scattering coefficients. According to the relation (2.12), we have

$$\begin{cases} s_{11}(z) = \gamma_f^{-1}(z)|\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{-2}(x, t, z)|, & s_{12}(z) = \gamma_f^{-1}(z)|\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{+2}(x, t, z)|, \\ s_{21}(z) = \gamma_f^{+2}(z)|\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{-2}(x, t, z)|, & s_{22}(z) = \gamma_f^{-1}(z)|\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{+1}(x, t, z)|, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

and $\det S(z) = 1$.

Form Proposition 2.1, it is not hard to see that the scattering coefficients $s_{11}(z)$ and $s_{22}(z)$ in $z \in \Sigma_0^f$ can be continuously extended to $D_+^f \cup \Sigma_0^f$ and $D_-^f \cup \Sigma_0^f$, and analytically extended to D_+^f and D_-^f , respectively.

To further tackle the matrix RHP in the next section, we take $s_{11}(z)s_{22}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \Sigma^f$, and $S(z)$ is continuous for $z = i\psi_0$. Therefore, we can obtain the so-called reflection coefficients

$$\rho(z) = \frac{s_{21}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}, \quad \hat{\rho}(z) = \frac{s_{21}(z)}{s_{22}(z)}, \quad \forall z \in \Sigma^f. \quad (2.14)$$

3. Inverse scattering problem with NZBCs: Simple pole

To construct the residue conditions and discrete spectrum in the section, here we introduce the symmetries of the scattering matrix $S(k)$. Similar to the results of [10], we have $k(z) = k^*(z^*)$, $k(z) = k(-\psi_0^2/z)$, $\lambda(z) = \bar{\lambda}(z^*)$, and $\lambda(z) = -\lambda(-\psi_0^2/z)$, as a result the symmetries of U , V , and θ reach to

$$\begin{cases} U(x, t, z) = \sigma_2 U^*(x, t, z^*) \sigma_2, & U(x, t, z) = U(x, t, -\psi_0^2/z), \\ V(x, t, z) = \sigma_2 V(x, t, z^*) \sigma_2, & V(x, t, z) = V(x, t, -\psi_0^2/z), \\ \theta(x, t, z) = \theta^*(x, t, z^*), & \theta(x, t, z) = -\theta(x, t, -\psi_0^2/z), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

In view of the above-mentioned symmetries, equations (1.8) and (2.9) yield

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \sigma_2 \phi_{\pm}^*(x, t, z^*) \sigma_2, & \phi_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \frac{i}{z} \phi_{\pm}(x, t, -\psi_0^2/z) \sigma_3 Q_{\pm}, \\ \mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \sigma_2 \mu_{\pm}^*(x, t, z^*) \sigma_2, & \mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \frac{i}{z} \mu_{\pm}(x, t, -\psi_0^2/z) \sigma_3 Q_{\pm}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from equations (3.2) and (2.12) that

$$S(z) = \sigma_2 S^*(z^*) \sigma_2, \quad S(z) = (\sigma_3 Q_-)^{-1} S(-\psi_0^2/z) \sigma_3 Q_+, \quad (3.3)$$

which lead to the symmetries between $\rho(z)$ and $\hat{\rho}(z)$ as

$$\rho(z) = -\hat{\rho}^*(z^*), \quad \rho(z) = \frac{q_-}{q_+} \rho(-\psi_0^2/z). \quad (3.4)$$

The discrete spectrum is the set of all values $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^f$ such that they admit eigenfunctions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Similar to the works of [10], they satisfy $s_{11}(z) = 0$ for $z \in D_+^f$ and $s_{22}(z) = 0$ for $z \in D_-^f$ such that the corresponding eigenfunctions are in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ from (2.13) and the expression (2.9) of ϕ_{\pm} .

Next, we require that $s_{11}(z)$ admits N simple zeros in

$$D_+^f \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > \psi_0, \text{Im} z > 0\}$$

given by z_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, i.e., $s_{11}(z_n) = 0$ and $s'_{11}(z_n) \neq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$). If $s_{11}(z_n) = 0$, we have $s_{22}(z_n^*) = s_{22}(-\psi_0^2/z_n) = s_{11}(-\psi_0^2/z_n^*) = 0$. Thus the set of discrete spectrum yields

$$Z^f = \left\{ z_n, -\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n^*}, z_n^*, -\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n} \right\}_{n=1}^N, \quad s_{11}(z_n) = 0, \quad z_n \in D_+^f \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > \psi_0, \text{Im} z > 0\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $s_{11}(z_0) = 0$ and $s'_{11}(z_0) \neq 0$ are taken for $z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f$, then according to the first expression in (2.13), a norming constant $b_+(z_0)$ satisfies

$$\phi_{+1}(x, t, z_0) = b_+(z_0) \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0). \quad (3.6)$$

The residue condition of $\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)/s_{11}(z)$ in $z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f$ reaches to

$$\text{Res}_{z=z_0^*} \left[\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] = \frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z_0)}{s'_{11}(z_0)} = \frac{b_+(z_0)}{s'_{11}(z_0)} \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0). \quad (3.7)$$

Following the similar way, from $s_{22}(z_0^*) = 0$ and $s'_{22}(z_0^*) \neq 0$ for $z_0^* \in Z^f \cap D_-^f$ and the second expression in (2.13), we see that a norming constant $b_-(z_0^*)$ yields

$$\phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0^*) = b_-(z_0^*)\phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*). \quad (3.8)$$

The residue condition $\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)/s_{22}(z)$ in $z_0^* \in Z^f \cap D_-^f$ arrives at

$$\text{Res}_{z=z_0^*} \left[\frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] = \frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z_0^*)}{s'_{22}(z_0^*)} = \frac{b_-(z_0^*)}{s'_{22}(z_0^*)} \phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*). \quad (3.9)$$

For simplicity, equations (3.7) and (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \text{Res}_{z=z_0} \left[\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] = A_+[z_0]\phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0), & A_+[z_0] = \frac{b_+(z_0)}{s'_{11}(z_0)}, & z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f, \\ \text{Res}_{z=z_0^*} \left[\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] = A_+[z_0^*]\phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0^*), & A_+[z_0^*] = \frac{b_+(z_0^*)}{s'_{11}(z_0^*)}, & z_0^* \in Z^f \cap D_+^f. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$A_+[z_0] = -A_-^*[z_0^*], \quad A_+[z_0] = \frac{z_0^2}{\psi_-^2} A_- \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_0} \right], \quad z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f, \quad (3.11)$$

in terms of symmetries (3.2) and (3.3), which lead directly to

$$A_+[z_n] = -A_-^*[z_n^*] = \frac{z_n^2}{\psi_-^2} A_- \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n} \right] = -\frac{z_n^2}{\psi_-^2} A_+^* \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n^*} \right], \quad z_n \in Z^f \cap D_+^f. \quad (3.12)$$

We rewrite the relation $\phi_+(x, t, z) = \phi_-(x, t, z)S(z)$ as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} = \phi_{-1}(x, t, z) + \rho(z)\phi_{-2}(x, t, z), \\ \frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} = \hat{\rho}(z)\phi_{-1}(x, t, z) + \phi_{-2}(x, t, z), \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

which yield

$$\left[\phi_{-1}(x, t, z), \frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] = \left[\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)}, \phi_{-2}(x, t, z) \right] [I - J_0(x, t, \lambda)], \quad (3.14)$$

with

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\rho}(z) \\ \rho(z) & \rho(z)\hat{\rho}(z) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

Similar to [10], the asymptotics for modified Jost solutions and scattering data satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad S(z) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ \mu_{\pm}(x, t, z) = \frac{i}{z} \sigma_3 Q_{\pm} + O(1), \quad S(z) = \frac{\psi_+}{\psi_-} I + O(z), \quad z \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

On the basis of the modified Jost functions, let the sectionally meromorphic matrix $M(x, t, z)$ be

$$M(x, t, z) = \begin{cases} M^+(x, t, z) = \left(\frac{\mu_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)}, \mu_{-2}(x, t, z) \right) \\ \quad = \left(\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)}, \phi_{-2}(x, t, z) \right) e^{-i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in D_+^f, \\ M^-(x, t, z) = \left(\mu_{-1}(x, t, z), \frac{\mu_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}} \right) \\ \quad = \left(\phi_{-1}(x, t, z), \frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}} \right) e^{-i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in D_-^f. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

Summarizing the above results, the following proposition 3.1 holds.

Proposition 3.1. The matrix function $M(x, t, z)$ admits the following matrix RHP

- Analyticity: $M(x, t, z)$ is analytic in $(D_+^f \cup D_-^f) \setminus Z^f$;
- Jump condition: $M^-(x, t, z) = M^+(x, t, z)(I - J(x, t, z))$, $z \in \Sigma^f$ with $J(x, t, z) = e^{i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3} J_0$;
- Asymptotic behavior: $M^\pm(x, t, z) = I + (1/z)$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$, Besides, $M^\pm = \frac{i}{z}\sigma_3 Q_- + O(1)$ for $z \rightarrow 0$.

To conveniently solve the above RHP (i.e., Proposition 3.1), take

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} z_n, & n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ -\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_{n-N}^*}, & n = N+1, N+2, \dots, 2N, \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

and $\widehat{\xi}_n = -\psi_0^2/\xi_n$. Then $Z^f = \{\xi_n, \widehat{\xi}_n\}_{n=1}^{2N}$ with $\xi_n \in D_+^f$ and $\widehat{\xi}_n \in D_-^f$. Subtracting out the simple pole contributions and the asymptotics, i.e.,

$$M_{sp}(x, t, z) = I + \frac{i}{z}\sigma_3 Q_- + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left[\frac{\text{Res } M^+(x, t, z)}{z - \xi_n} + \frac{\text{Res } M^-(x, t, z)}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right], \quad (3.19)$$

from both sides of the above jump condition $M^- = M^+(I - J)$ leads to

$$M^-(x, t, z) - M_{sp}(x, t, z) = M^+(x, t, z) - M_{sp}(x, t, z) - M^+(x, t, z)J(x, t, z). \quad (3.20)$$

Here $M^\pm(x, t, z) \rightarrow M_{sp}(x, t, z)$ are analytic in D_\pm^f . Furthermore, the asymptotics are both $O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ and $J(x, t, z)$ is $O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and $O(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the Cauchy projectors

$$P^\pm[f](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^f} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - (z \pm i0)} d\zeta, \quad (3.21)$$

(where $z \pm i0$ is the limit taken from the left/right of z), and Plemelj's formulae are employed to solve (3.20) to give

$$M(x, t, z) = M_{sp}(x, t, z) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^f} \frac{M^+(x, t, \zeta)J(x, t, \zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^f, \quad (3.22)$$

where \int_{Σ_f} represents the integral along the oriented contours seen in Fig.1.

From (3.17), we find that only its first (second) column admits a simple pole at $z = \xi_n (z = \widehat{\xi}_n)$. As a consequence, by using (2.9) and (3.10), the residue part in (3.22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{Res}_{z=\xi_n} M^+(x, t, z)}{z - \xi_n} + \frac{\text{Res}_{z=\widehat{\xi}_n} M^-(x, t, z)}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \\ &= \left(\frac{A_+[\xi_n] e^{-2i\theta(x, t, \xi_n)}}{z - \xi_n} \mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n), \frac{A_-[\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)}}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

For $z = \xi_s (s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N)$, from the second column of $M(x, t, z)$ provided by (3.22) with (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_s) &= \left(\frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \frac{1}{1} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \frac{A_-[\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)}}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(x, t, \zeta)}{\zeta - \xi_s} d\zeta, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

In view of (3.2), we have

$$\mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_s) = \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_s), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N. \quad (3.25)$$

Substituting (3.25) into (3.2) leads directly to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left(\frac{A_-[\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)}}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \delta_{sn} \right) \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) + \left(\frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \frac{1}{1} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(x, t, \zeta)}{\zeta - \xi_s} d\zeta = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\delta_{sn} = \begin{cases} 1, & s = n, \\ 0, & s \neq n. \end{cases}$$

System (3.26) including $2N$ equations with $2N$ unknowns $\mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$ can lead to the solutions $\mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_s)$ such that one can find $\mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_s)$ from (3.26). As a consequence, plugging $\mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_s)$ and $\mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_s)$ into (3.23), and then plugging (3.23) into (3.22) can lead to the $M(x, t, z)$ on the basis of the scattering data.

In view of (3.23) and (3.22), the asymptotic behavior of $M(x, t, z)$ yields

$$M(x, t, z) = I + \frac{M^{(1)}(x, t)}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$M^{(1)}(x, t) = i\sigma_3 Q + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left[A_+ [\xi_n] e^{-2i\theta(x, t, \xi_n)}, A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)} \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) \right] - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} M^+(x, t, \zeta) J(x, t, \zeta) d\zeta, \quad (3.28)$$

with $\mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_s)$ and $\mu_{-2}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_s)$ given by (3.25) and (3.26).

It follows from (3.17) that $M(x, t, z)e^{i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3}$ satisfies (1.8). Substitution of $M(x, t, z)e^{i\theta(x, t, z)\sigma_3}$ with (3.27) into the x-part of the Lax pair (1.8) and then choosing the coefficients of z^0 can arrive at the following proposition 3.2 for the potential $u(x, t)$.

Proposition 3.2. The potential with simple poles of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs (1.4) can be found as

$$\psi(x, t) = \psi_- - i \sum_{n=1}^{2N} A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)} \mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_f} (M^+ J)_{12}(x, t, \zeta) d\zeta. \quad (3.29)$$

where $\xi_n = z_n$, $\xi_{n+N} = -\psi_0^2/z_{n-N}^*$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $\widehat{\xi}_n = -\psi_0^2/\xi_n$, and $\mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$ are expressed by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left(\frac{A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)}}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \delta_{sn} \right) \mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) + \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_{12}(x, t, \zeta)}{\zeta - \xi_s} d\zeta = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N, \quad (3.30)$$

which can be obtained from expression (3.26).

Since $s_{11}(z)$ and $s_{22}(z)$ are analytic in D_+^f and D_-^f , respectively, and the discrete spectral points ξ'_n s and $\widehat{\xi}_n$'s are the simple zeros of $s_{11}(z)$ and $s_{22}(z)$, respectively. Based on [10], the trace formulae for the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs can be found as

$$s_{11}(z) = e^{s(z)} s_0(z) \text{ for } z \in D_+^f, \quad s_{22}(z) = e^{-s(z)} / s_0(z) \text{ for } z \in D_-^f, \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$s(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{\log[1 + \rho(\zeta)\rho^*(\zeta^*)]}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad s_0(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n)(z + \psi_0^2/z_n^*)}{(z - z_n^*)(z + \psi_0^2/z_n)}. \quad (3.32)$$

See [10] for the detailed derivation.

In view of the limit $z \rightarrow 0$ of $s_{11}(z)$ in (3.32) and (3.16) we have the following theta condition

$$\arg\left(\frac{\psi_+}{\psi_-}\right) = 4 \sum_{n=1}^N \arg z_n + \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{\log[1 + \rho(\zeta)\rho^*(\zeta^*)]}{2\pi\zeta} d\zeta, \quad z \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.33)$$

In particular, in the case of the reflectionless potential, i.e., $\rho(z) = \hat{\rho}(z) = 0$, which can result in $J = (0)_{2 \times 2}$. As result, Eq.(3.30) arrives at

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left(\frac{A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x,t,\widehat{\xi}_n)}}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \delta_{sn} \right) \mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) = \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N. \quad (3.34)$$

which can be found for $\mu_{-11}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$ by making use of the Cramer's rule. Summarizing the above analysis, the Theorem 1.1 for the potential $\psi(x, t)$ in the case of simple pole holds.

In the case of the reflectionless potential $\rho(z) = \hat{\rho}(z) = 0$, the above obtained trace formulae and theta condition become

$$\begin{cases} s_{11} = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n) \left(z + \psi_0^2 / z_n^* \right)}{(z - z_n^*) \left(z + \psi_0^2 / z_n \right)}, \text{ for } z \in D_+^f, \\ s_{22} = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n^*) \left(z + \psi_0^2 / z_n \right)}{(z - z_n) \left(z + \psi_0^2 / z_n^* \right)}, \text{ for } z \in D_-^f, \end{cases} \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$\arg \left(\frac{\psi_+}{\psi_-} \right) = \arg(\psi_+) - \arg(\psi_-) = 4 \sum_{n=1}^N \arg(z_n), \quad (3.36)$$

respectively.

Case (I): For $N = 1, z_1 = 1.5i$ in Theorem 1.1, equation (3.36) indicates that the asymptotic phase difference is 2π . As shown in Fig.2, the solution can represent the Kuznetson-Ma (KM) breather that is spatially localized and temporally breathing. As shown in Figs.3(a)-3(c), as ψ_- becomes smaller, the periodic behavior of the breather wave only appears in its top part, and the maximal amplitude under the background gradually decreases. Particularly, as seen in Fig.3(d), as $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$, the breather wave of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs yields the bright soliton of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with the ZBC.

Case (II): For $N = 1, z_1 = ae^{\pi/4}$ in Theorem 1.1, the asymptotic phase difference is π . The GFONLS equation (1.2) with the NZBCs possesses the non-stationary solitons. Fig.3 is plotted for the non-stationary solitons for the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs, which are localized both in time and space, thus revealing the usual Akhmediev breather wave features.

Case (III): For $N = 2$ in Theorem 1.1, we have the interactions of breather-breather solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs. As shown in Fig.4, the interaction phenomenon is strong. In particular, as $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$, we have the strong interactions of the bright-bright solitons of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with the ZBC. However, as shown Fig.5, if we take two appropriate eigenvalues, then we have the weak interactions of breather-breather solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs. Likewise, as $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$, we have the weak interactions of the simple-pole bright-bright solitons of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with ZBC (see Figs.5(a)-(5)).

Case (IV): One interesting example of the breather-breather waves is seen in Fig.6(a), where both (two breather waves) have different modulation frequencies. In particular, as $z_1 = z_2$, they appear as a first-order Akhmediev breather (see Fig.6(b)). As shown Fig.6(c), as $z_1 = -z_2 = 0.1 = 1.5i$, we can see the interactions of simple-pole breather-breather solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs.

Case (V): For $N = 2$ in Theorem 1.1, we present another interesting example of the breather-breather waves. As seen in Fig.7, the result is a simply periodic solution. Specifically, as $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$, we have the simple-pole bright-bright solitons of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs. To our surprise, the bright-bright solitons is also a simply periodic solution (see Fig.7(d)).




Figure 2. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 1, z_1 = 1.5i, \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01, A_+[z_1] = 1$: (a): $\psi_- = 1$; (b): $\psi_- = 0.6$; (c): $\psi_- = 0.3$; (d): bright-soliton solution with $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$.




Figure 3. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 1, A_+[z_1] =$

1, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01$, $\psi_- = 1$: **(a)**: $z_1 = e^{i\pi/4}$; **(b)**: $z_1 = 0.8e^{i\pi/4}$.





Figure 4. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 2$, $z_1 = 0.2 + 2i$, $z_2 = 1 + i$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01$, $A_+[z_1] = A_+[z_2] = 1$: **(a)**: breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 1$; **(b)**: breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.6$; **(c)**: breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.3$; **(d)**: bright-bright solitons with $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$.






Figure 5. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 2, z_1 = 0.1 + 1.5i, z_2 = -0.1 + 1.5i, \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01, A_+[z_1] = A_+[z_2] = 1$: (a): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 1$; (b): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.6$; (c): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.3$; (d): bright-bright solitons with $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$.





Figure 6. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 2, \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = 0.01, \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.001, A_+[z_1] = A_+[z_2] = 1$: (a): breather-breather solutions with $z_1 = 0.5i, z_2 = 1.5i$; (b): breather solutions with $z_1 = 1.5i, z_2 = 1.5i$; (c): breather-breather solutions with $z_1 = 0.1 + 1.5i, z_2 = -0.1 - 1.5i$.






Figure 7. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (1.11) with parameters $N = 2, z_1 = 1/65 + 1.05i, z_2 = -1/65 + 1.05i, \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01, A_+[z_1] = A_+[z_2] = 1$: (a): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 1$; (b): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.6$; (c): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.3$; (d): bright-bright solitons with $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$.

4. The GFONLS equation with NZBCs: Double poles

In the section, we assume that the discrete spectral points Z^f are double zeros of the scattering coefficients $s_{11}(z)$ and $s_{22}(z)$, i.e., $s_{11}(z_0) = s'_{11}(z_0) \neq 0$ for $\forall z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f$, and $s_{22}(z_0) = s'_{22}(z_0) = 0, s''_{22}(z_0) \neq 0$ for $\forall z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_-^f$. For convenience's sake, we present a simple proposition [11]: If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in some complex domain Ω , and $z_0 \in \Omega$ represents a double zero of $g(z)$ and $f(z_0) \neq 0$, then the function $f(z)/g(z)$ admits the double pole $z = z_0$, and its residue $\text{Res}[f/g]$ and the coefficient $P_{-2}[f/g]$ of $(z - z_0)^{-2}$ in the Laurent series are expressed by

$$P_{-2}\left[\frac{f}{g}\right] = 2f(z_0)/g''(z_0), \quad \text{Res}_{z=z_0}\left[\frac{f}{g}\right] = 2\left(\frac{f'(z_0)}{g''(z_0)} - \frac{f(z_0)g'''(z_0)}{3[g''(z_0)]^2}\right). \quad (4.1)$$

Similar to [11], for $s_{11}(z_0) = s'_{11}(z_0) = 0, s'_{11}(z_0) \neq 0$ in $\forall z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f$. Eq.(3.6) still holds. The first expression of (2.13) can be rewritten as

$$s_{11}(z)\gamma_f(z) = |\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{-2}(x, t, z)|, \quad (4.2)$$

whose first-order partial derivative with respect to z reads

$$[s_{11}(z)\gamma_f(z)]' = |\phi'_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi_{-2}(x, t, z)| + |\phi_{+1}(x, t, z), \phi'_{-2}(x, t, z)|. \quad (4.3)$$

Choosing $z = z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f$ in (4.3) and utilizing $s_{11}(z_0) = s'_{11}(z_0) = 0$ and (3.8) can lead to

$$|\phi'_{+1}(x, t, z_0) - b_+(z_0)\phi'_{-2}(x, t, z_0), \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0)| = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

which means that there exists another constant $c_+(z_0)$ such that

$$\phi'_{+1}(x, t, z_0) = c_+(z_0)\phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0) + b_+(z_0)\phi'_{-2}(x, t, z_0). \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from (3.8), (4.1) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-2} \left[\frac{\phi_+(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] &= \frac{2\phi_{+1}(x, t, z_0)}{s_{11}'(z_0)} = \frac{2b_+(z_0)}{s_{11}''(z_0)} \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0) = A_+[z_0] \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0), \\ \text{Res}_{z=z_0^*} \left[\frac{\phi_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] &= \frac{2\phi_{+1}'(x, t, z_0)}{s_{11}''(z_0)} - \frac{2\phi_{+1}(x, t, z_0)s_{11}'''(z_0)}{3(s_{11}''(z_0))^2} \\ &= A_+[z_0] [\phi_{-2}'(x, t, z_0) + B_+[z_0] \phi_{-2}(x, t, z_0)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Following a similar way, for $s_{22}(z_0^*) = s_{22}'(z_0^*) = 0$, $s_{22}''(z_0^*) \neq 0$ in $\forall z_0^* \in Z^f \cap D_-^f$, equation (3.8) holds. According to the second one of (2.13) and (3.8), we have

$$\phi_{+2}'(x, t, z_0^*) = c_-(z_0^*) \phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*) + b_-(z_0^*) \phi_{-1}'(x, t, z_0^*) \quad (4.7)$$

for $c_-(z_0^*)$.

It follows from (3.8), (4.1) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{cases} P_{-2} \left[\frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] = \frac{2\phi_{+2}(x, t, z_0^*)}{s_{22}''(z_0^*)} = \frac{2b_-(z_0^*)}{s_{22}''(z_0^*)} \phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*) = A_-[z_0^*] \phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*), \\ \text{Res}_{z=z_0^*} \left[\frac{\phi_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] = A_-[z_0^*] [\phi_{-1}'(x, t, z_0^*) + B_-[z_0^*] \phi_{-1}(x, t, z_0^*)]. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

We thus know

$$\begin{cases} A_+[z_0] = \frac{2b_+[z_0]}{s_{11}''(z_0)}, \quad B_+[z_0] = \frac{c_+[z_0]}{b_+[z_0]} - \frac{s_{11}'''(z_0)}{3s_{11}''(z_0)}, \quad z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f, \\ A_+[z_0^*] = \frac{2b_+[z_0^*]}{s_{11}''(z_0^*)}, \quad B_+[z_0^*] = \frac{c_+[z_0^*]}{b_+[z_0^*]} - \frac{s_{11}'''(z_0^*)}{3s_{11}''(z_0^*)}, \quad z_0^* \in Z^f \cap D_-^f, \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

from which we obtain the following symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} A_+[z_0] &= -A_-^*[z_0^*] = \frac{z_0^4 \psi_-^*}{\psi_0^4 \psi_-} A_- \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_0} \right], \\ B_+[z_0] &= B_-^*[z_0^*] = \frac{\psi_0^2}{z_0^2} B_- \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_0} \right] + \frac{2}{z_0}, \quad z_0 \in Z^f \cap D_+^f, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

which arrive at

$$\begin{cases} A_+[z_n] = -A_-^*[z_n^*] = -\frac{z_n^4 \psi_-^*}{\psi_0^4 \psi_-} A_- \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n^*} \right], \quad z_n \in Z^f \cap D_+^f, \\ B_+[z_n] = B_-^*[z_n^*] = \frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n^2} B_-^* \left[-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z_n^*} \right] + \frac{2}{z_n}, \quad z_n^* \in Z^f \cap D_-^f. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

To sum up, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{-2} M_1^+(x, t, z) = P_{-2} \left[\frac{\mu_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] = A[\xi_n] e^{-2i\theta(x, t, \xi_n)} \mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n), \\ P_{-2} M_2^-(x, t, z) = P_{-2} \left[\frac{\mu_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] = A[\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)} \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n), \\ \text{Res}_{z=\xi_n} M_1^+(x, t, z) = \text{Res} \left[\frac{\mu_{+1}(x, t, z)}{s_{11}(z)} \right] \\ = A[\xi_n] e^{-2i\theta(x, t, \xi_n)} \{ \mu'_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n) + [B[\xi_n] - 2i\theta'(x, t, \xi_n)] \mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n) \}, \\ \text{Res}_{z=\widehat{\xi}_n} M_2^-(x, t, z) = \text{Res} \left[\frac{\mu_{+2}(x, t, z)}{s_{22}(z)} \right] \\ = A[\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)} \{ \mu'_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) + [B[\widehat{\xi}_n] + 2i\theta'(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)] \mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n) \}. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.12)$$

The RHP in Proposition 3.1 still holds in the case of double poles. To solve this kind of RHP, we must subtract out the asymptotic values as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \rightarrow 0$ and the singularity contributions

$$\begin{aligned} M_{dp}(x, t, z) &= I + \frac{i}{z} \sigma_3 Q_- + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} M_{dp}^n, \\ M_{dp}^n &= \frac{P_{-2} M^+}{(z - \xi_n)^2} + \frac{P_{-2} M^-}{(z - \widehat{\xi}_n)^2} + \frac{\text{Res}_{z=\xi_n} M^+}{z - \xi_n} + \frac{\text{Res}_{z=\widehat{\xi}_n} M^-}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Then it follows from the jump condition $M^- = M^+(I - J)$ that

$$M^-(x, t, z) - M_{dp}(x, t, z) = M^+(x, t, z) - M_{dp}(x, t, z) - M^+(x, t, z)J, \quad (4.14)$$

where $M^\pm(x, t, z) - M_{dp}(x, t, z)$ are analytic in D_\pm^f . Additionally, their asymptotics are both $O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, and $J(x, t, z)$ is $O(1/z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and $O(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the Cauchy projectors and Plemelj's formulae are used to solve (4.14) to generate

$$M(x, t, z) = M_{dp}(x, t, z) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^f} \frac{M^+(x, t, \zeta) J(x, t, \zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^f, \quad (4.15)$$

where \int_{Σ^f} stands for the integral along the oriented contours seen in Fig.1 (right).

Thus, by using (4.12), the parts of $P_{-2}(\cdot)$ and $\text{Res}(\cdot)$ in (4.15) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{dp}^n &= \\ &\left(C_n(z) \left[\mu'_{-2}(\xi_n) + \left(D_n + \frac{1}{z - \xi_n} \right) \mu_{-2}(\xi_n) \right], \widehat{C}_n(z) \left[\mu'_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \left(D_n + \frac{1}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right) \mu_{-2}(\widehat{\xi}_n) \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$C_n(z) = \frac{A_+ [\xi_n]}{z - \xi_n} e^{-2i\theta(\xi_n)}, \quad D_n = B_+ [\xi_n] - 2i\theta'(\xi_n), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\widehat{C}_n(z) = \frac{A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n]}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} e^{2i\theta(\widehat{\xi}_n)}, \quad \widehat{D}_n = B_- (\widehat{\xi}_n) + 2i\theta'(\widehat{\xi}_n). \quad (4.18)$$

To obtain $\mu'_{-2}(\xi_n)$, $\mu_{-2}(\xi_n)$, μ'_{-1} , and $\mu_{-1}(\xi_n)$ in (4.24), for $z = \xi_s$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$). According to the second column of $M(x, t, \lambda)$ presented by (4.15) and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{-2}(z) = & \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{i\psi_-}{z} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \widehat{C}_n(z) \left[\mu'_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \left(\widehat{D}_n + \frac{1}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right) \mu_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) \right] + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

whose first-order derivative with respect to z can lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_{-2}(z) = & \left(-\frac{i\psi_-}{z^2} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \frac{\widehat{C}_n(z)}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \left[\mu'_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \left(\widehat{D}_n + \frac{1}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right) \mu_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) \right] + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Additionally, in view of (3.1), we obtain

$$\mu'_{-2}(z) = -\frac{i\psi_-}{z^2} \mu_{-1} \left(-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z} \right) + \frac{i\psi_0^2 \psi_-}{z} \mu'_{-1} \left(-\frac{\psi_0^2}{z} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

Then plugging (4.21) into (4.26) and (4.27) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \widehat{C}_n(\xi_s) \mu'_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \left[\widehat{C}_n(\xi_k) \left(\widehat{D}_n + \frac{1}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right) - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \delta_{sn} \right] \mu_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) \\ &= - \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi_k} d\zeta, \\ & \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left(\frac{\widehat{C}_n(\xi_s)}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} + \frac{i\psi_0^2 \psi_-}{\xi_s^3 \delta_{sn}} \right) \mu'_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \left[\frac{\widehat{C}_n(\xi_s)}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} \left(\widehat{D}_n + \frac{2}{\xi_s - \widehat{\xi}_n} \right) - \frac{i\psi_-}{\xi_s^2} \delta_{sn} \right] \mu_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{\psi_-}{0} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) + \int_{\Sigma_f} \frac{(M^+ J)_2(\zeta)}{2\pi i (\zeta - \xi_k)^2} d\zeta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

which can lead to $\mu_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$, $\mu'_{-1}(x, t, \widehat{\xi}_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ such that one can also find $\mu_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n)$, $\mu'_{-2}(x, t, \xi_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ from (4.21). As a result, substituting them into (4.24), and then substituting (4.24) into (4.15) can lead to the $M(x, t, z)$ in view of the scattering data.

From (4.15) and (4.24), we see that the asymptotics of $M(x, t, z)$ is still of the form (3.27). However, $M^{(1)}(x, t)$ should be replaced by

$$M^{(1)}(x, t) = i\sigma_3 Q_- - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^f} (M^+ J)(\zeta) d\zeta \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \left[A_+ [\xi_n] e^{-2i\theta(\xi_n)} (\mu'_{-2}(\xi_n) + D_n \mu_{-2}(\xi_n)), A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(\widehat{\xi}_n)} (\mu'_{-2}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \widehat{D}_n \mu_{-1}(\widehat{\xi}_n)) \right]. \quad (4.23)$$

Summarizing the above results, the following proposition 4.1 for the potential $u(x, t)$ for the case of double poles holds.

Proposition 4.1. The potential with double poles of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs is expressed by

$$\psi(x, t) = \psi_- - i \sum_{n=1}^{2N} A_- [\widehat{\xi}_n] e^{2i\theta(\widehat{\xi}_n)} (\mu'_{-11}(\widehat{\xi}_n) + \widehat{D}_n \mu_{-11}(\widehat{\xi}_n)) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma'} (M^+ J)_{12}(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad (4.24)$$

where $\widehat{C}_n(z) = \frac{A[\widehat{\xi}_n]}{z - \widehat{\xi}_n} e^{2i\theta(\widehat{\xi}_n)}$, $\widehat{D}_n = B[\widehat{\xi}_n] + 2i\theta'(\widehat{\xi}_n)$, and $\mu_{-11}(\widehat{\xi}_n)$ and $\mu'_{-11}(\widehat{\xi}_n)$ are given by (4.22).

Similar to the case of simple poles, we also have the trace formulae in the case of double poles as

$$s_{11}(z) = e^{s(z)} s_0(z) \text{ for } z \in D_+^f, \quad s_{22}(z) = e^{-s(z)} / s_0(z) \text{ for } z \in D_-^f, \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$s(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma^f} \frac{\log[1 + \rho(\zeta)\rho^*(\zeta^*)]}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad s_0(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n^*)^2}{(z - z_n^*)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n)^2}. \quad (4.26)$$

In terms of the limit $z \rightarrow 0$ of $s_{11}(z)$ in (4.25), one can write the theta condition as

$$\arg\left(\frac{\psi_+}{\psi_-}\right) = 8 \sum_{n=1}^N \arg(z_n) + \int_{\Sigma^f} \frac{\log[1 + \rho(\zeta)\rho^*(\zeta^*)]}{\zeta - z} d\zeta. \quad (4.27)$$

Particularly, for the reflectionless case, i.e., $\rho(z) = \hat{\rho}(z) = 0$, the Theorem 1.2 holds.

Thus, the trace formulae and theta condition become

$$s_{11}(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n^*)^2}{(z - z_n^*)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n)^2}, \quad z \in D_+^f, \quad (4.28)$$

$$s_{22}(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{(z - z_n^*)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n)^2}{(z - z_n)^2 (z + \psi_0^2/z_n^*)^2}, \quad z \in D_-^f, \quad (4.29)$$

and

$$\arg\left(\frac{\psi_+}{\psi_-}\right) = \arg(\psi_+) - \arg(\psi_-) = 8 \sum_{n=1}^N \arg(z_n), \quad (4.30)$$

respectively.

In the following, the double-pole breather-breather solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs are shown in Figs.8-10, which can help us understand the propagation properties of the breather solutions. More importantly, when $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$, we have the double-pole bright-bright soliton solutions of the GFONLS equation (1.2) (see Figs.9(d) and 10(d)).





Figure 8. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (4.21) with parameters $N = 1, \psi_- = 1, \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01, A_+[z_1] = B_+[z_1] = 1$: (a,d): $z_1 = -0.08 + 1.5i$; (b,e): $z_1 = -0.08 + 1.5i$; (c,f): $z_1 = -0.06 + 1.5i$.






Figure 9. (Color online) Breather waves via solution (4.21) with parameters $N = 1, z_1 = 1.5i, \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.01, A_+[z_1] = B_+[z_1] = 1$: (a): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 1$; (b): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.5$; (c): breather-breather solutions with $\psi_- = 0.3$; (d): bright-bright solitons with $\psi_- \rightarrow 0$.




Figure 10. (On line version in colour) Density plot of figure 9.

5. Conclusion and Discussion

In this work, the GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs has been systematically investigated, which can be reduced to some classical integrable equations such as the NLS equation with NZBCs (1.5), the mKdV equation (1.7) and Hirota equation with NZBCs (1.6) etc. We have discussed the IST and soliton solutions of the

GFONLS equation (1.2) with NZBCs. Then its simple-pole and double-pole solutions are found via solving the matrix RHP with the reflectionless potentials. Some representative solitons are well constructed. Moreover, in order to help the readers understand the solutions better, Figs.2-9 of the breather waves, bright-soliton, breather-breather waves and bright-bright solitons, respectively, are plotted by seeking appropriate parameters. More importantly, the GFONLS equation (1.2) we investigate in this article are fairly more general as it involves four real constant $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$. The celebrated NLS equation (1.5) with NZBCs, an important model in fiber optics, is its special case. Another important reduction of (1.2) is the complex mKdV equation (1.7). Consequently, multisoliton solutions of the NLS equation (1.5) (1.1) with NZBCs, the mKdV equation (1.7) and Hirota equation (1.6) with NZBCs can be respectively derived by reducing the multisoliton solutions of (1.2). We think that the effective method can help readers to know the diversity and integrability of nonlinear wave equations well, which should be suitable to study other models in mathematical physics and engineering.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.11871180.

References

- [1] Yang, G., Shen, Y.R.: Spectral broadening of ultrashort pulses in a nonlinear medium, *Opt. Lett.* **9**, 510-512 (1984)
- [2] Bourkoff, E., Zhao, W., Joseph, R.I., Christodoulides, D.N.: Evolution of femtosecond pulse in single-mode fibers having higher-order nonlinearity and dispersion, *Opt. Lett.* **12**, 272-274 (1987)
- [3] Anderson, D., Lisak, M.: Nonlinear asymmetric self-phase modulation and self-steepening of pulses in long optical waveguides, *Phys. Rev. A* **27**, 1393 (1983)
- [4] Sasa, N and Satsuma, J.: New-type of soliton solutions for a higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **60**, 409-417 (1991)
- [5] Kano, T.: Normal form of nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **58**, 4322-4328 (1989)
- [6] Chowdury, A., Kedziora, D.J., Ankiewicz, A., Akhmediev, N.: Soliton solutions of an integrable nonlinear Schrödinger equation with quintic terms, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 032922 (2014)
- [7] Chen, S.Y., Yan, Z.Y.: The higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with non-zero boundary conditions: Robust inverse scattering transform, breathers, and rogons, *Phys. Lett. A* **15**, 125906 (2019)
- [8] Prinari, B., Demontis, F., Li, S., Horikis, T.P.: Inverse scattering transform and soliton solutions for square matrix nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-zero boundary conditions, *Physica D* **368**, 22-49 (2018)
- [9] Ablowitz, M.J., Biondini, G., Prinari, B.: Inverse scattering transform for the integrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, *Inverse Prob.* **23**, 1711-1758 (2007)

- [10] Biondini, G., Gregor Kovačič, G.: Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 031506 (2014)
- [11] Pichler, M., Biondini, G.: On the focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation with non-zero boundary conditions and double poles, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **82**, 131-151 (2017)
- [12] Demontis, F., Prinari, B., van der Mee, C., Vitale, F.: The inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonzero boundary conditions, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **131**, 1-40 (2013)
- [13] Prinari, B., Vitale, F.: Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with one-sided nonzero boundary condition, *Cont. Math.* **651**, 157-94 (2015)
- [14] Demontis, F., Prinari, B., van der Mee, C., Vitale, F.: The inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with asymmetric boundary conditions, *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 101505 (2014)
- [15] Biondini, G., Fagerstrom, E., Prinari, B.: Inverse scattering transform for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with fully asymmetric non-zero boundary conditions, *Physica D* **333**, 117-136 (2016)
- [16] Ablowitz, M.J., Feng, B.F., Luo, X.D., Musslimani, Z.H.: Inverse scattering transform for the nonlocal reverse space-time nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **196**, 1241-1267 (2018)
- [17] Ablowitz, M.J., Feng, B.F., Luo, X.D., Musslimani, Z.H.: Inverse scattering transform for the nonlocal reverse space-time Sine-Gordon, Sinh-Gordon and nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonzero boundary conditions, arXiv:1703.02226 (2017)
- [18] Zhang, G.Q., Yan, Z.Y.: Inverse scattering transforms and soliton solutions of focusing and defocusing nonlocal mKdV equations with non-zero boundary conditions, *Physica D* DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2019.132170> (2019)
- [19] Zhang, G.Q., Chen, S.Y., Yan, Z.Y.: Focusing and defocusing Hirota equations with non-zero boundary conditions: Inverse scattering transforms and soliton solutions, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **80**, 104927 (2020)
- [20] Zhang G., Yan Z.: Inverse scattering transforms and n-double-pole solutions for the derivative NLS equation with zero/non-zero boundary conditions, ArXiv: 1812.02387 (2018)
- [21] Li, Z.Q., Tian, S.F., Yang, J.J.: Riemann-Hilbert approach and soliton solutions for the higher-order dispersive nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.01624 (2019)
Yang, J.J., Tian, S.F., Li, Z.Q.: Inverse scattering transform and soliton solutions for the focusing Kundu-Eckhaus equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, arXiv:1911.00340v1 (2019)
- [22] Prinari, P., Biondini, G., Trubatch, A.D.: Inverse scattering transform for the multi-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **126**, 245-302 (2011)
- [23] Hirota, R.: Exact envelope-soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 805 (1973)
- [24] He, J.S., Wang, L.H., Li, L.J., Porsezian, K., and Erdélyi, R.: Few-cycle optical rogue waves: Complex modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 062917 (2014)

- [25] Tian, S.F.: Initial-boundary value problems of the coupled modified Korteweg-de Vries equation on the half-line via the Fokas method, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50**, 395204 (2017)
- [26] Lakshmanan, M., Porsezian, K., Daniel, M.: Effect of discreteness on the continuum limit of the Heisenberg spin chain, *Phys. Lett. A* **133**, 483-488 (1988)
- [27] Ankiewicz, A., Soto-Crespo, J.M., Akhmediev, N.: Rogue waves and rational solutions of the Hirota equation, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 046602 (2010)
- [28] Yang, G.Y., Li, L., Jia, S.T.: Peregrine rogue waves induced by the interaction between a continuous wave and a soliton, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 046608 (2012)
- [29] Porsezian, K., Daniel, M., Lakshmanan, M.: On the integrability aspects of the one-dimensional classical continuum isotropic biquadratic Heisenberg spin chain, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1807-1816 (1992)
- [30] Lakshmanan, M., Porsezian, K., Daniel, M.: Effect of discreteness on the continuum limit of the Heisenberg spin chain, *Phys. Lett. A* **133**, 483-488 (1988)
- [31] Peng, W.Q., Tian, S.F., Wang, X.B., Zhang, T.T.: Characteristics of rogue waves on a periodic background for the Hirota equation, *Wave Motion* **93**, 102454 (2020)
- [32] Wang, X.B., Tian, S.F., Zhang, T.T.: Characteristics of the breather and rogue waves in a $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**, 3353-3365 (2018)
- [33] Yang, Y., Yan, Z., Malomed, B.A.: Rogue waves, rational solitons, and modulational instability in an integrable fifth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Chaos* **25**, 103112 (2015)
- [34] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, *Solitons and the inverse scattering transform*, Philadelphia: SIAM (1981).
- [35] L.D. Faddeev, L.A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, Berlin: Springer (1987).
- [36] C.S. Gardner, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal, R.M. Miura, Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, (1967) 1095-1097.
- [37] Ma, W.X.: The inverse scattering transform and soliton solutions of a combined modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **471**, 796-811 (2019)
- [38] Ma, W.X.: Riemann-Hilbert problems and N-soliton solutions for a coupled mKdV system, *J. Geom. Phys.* **132**, 45-54 (2018)
- [39] Ma, W.X.: Riemann-Hilbert problems of a six-component mKdV System and its soliton solutions, *Act. Math. Sci.* **39**, 509-523 (2019)
- [40] Peng, W.Q., Tian, S.F., Wang, X.B., Zhang, T.T., Fang, Y.: Riemann-Hilbert method and multi-soliton solutions for three-component coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Geom. Phys.* **146**, 103508 (2019)
- [41] Wang, X.B., Han, B.: The pair-transition-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation: The Riemann-Hilbert problem and N-soliton solutions, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 78 (2019)
- [42] Guo, B and Ling, L.: Riemann-Hilbert approach and N-soliton formula for coupled derivative Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 073506 (2012)
- [43] Yang, J.K.: *Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, (2010)
- [44] Wang, D.S., Zhang, D.J., Yang, J.: Integrable properties of the general coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 023510 (2010)

Xiu-Bin Wang

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China

e-mail: xiubinwang@163.com

Bo Han

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China

e-mail: bohan@hit.edu.cn