

L^2 -TYPE CONTRACTION OF VISCOUS SHOCKS FOR LARGE FAMILY OF SCALAR CONSERVATION LAWS

LOGAN F. STOKOLS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study small shocks of 1D scalar viscous conservation laws with uniformly convex flux and nonlinear dissipation. We show that such shocks are L^2 stable independent of the strength of the dissipation, even with large perturbations. The proof uses the relative entropy method with a spatially-inhomogeneous psuedo-norm.

This paper will consider 1D scalar dissipative conservation equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x [Q(u)] = \nu \partial_{xx} \eta'(u),$$

where Q and η are uniformly convex functions, meaning that for some constant $\Lambda \geq 1$,

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \eta''(x), Q''(x) \leq \Lambda$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This bound on η'' is natural because η'' measures the coercivity of the dissipation in divergence form.

Equations of this form admit a class of traveling wave solutions known as shocks. Shocks are monotone decreasing and exponentially constant at $\pm\infty$. Given any two values $s_- > s_+$, there exists a shock $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (s_+, s_-)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s(x) &= s_+, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} s(x) &= s_-, \end{aligned}$$

and $s(x - t\sigma)$ is a solution to (1) with constant

$$\sigma := \frac{Q(s_-) - Q(s_+)}{s_- - s_+}.$$

This formula for σ is known as the Rankine-Hugoniot condition. Viscous shocks are a generalization of inviscid shocks, which are piece-wise constant with a single jump discontinuity. Inviscid shocks are recovered in the limit as $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

We will show in this paper that sufficiently small shock solutions are L^2 -stable. Since even small perturbations in L^2 can significantly affect the travelling speed of a shock, we will show stability only up to a Lipschitz shift which depends on the perturbation. This limitation is not present in the L^1 theory (see Kruzhkov [Kru70]), but is well known in the theory of L^2 shock stability (see Leger [Leg11]).

We will prove the following:

Theorem 1 (Main Theorem). *Let $\Lambda \geq 1$ be a constant, and let $\eta, Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be satisfy (2) on the interval $(-R, R)$ for $R \in (0, +\infty]$, and let η''', Q''' continuous at 0. Then there exists a constant ϵ_0 such that the following holds:*

Date: December 2, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L65, 35L67, 35B35, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Scalar viscous conservation laws, stability, shock, convex flux.

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS 1614918.

Let $\nu > 0$ be any constant. Let $s : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [s_+, s_-]$ be a shock solution to (1) with $|s_+ - s_-| = 2\varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon_0$, and let $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a solution to (1) such that $\|u(\cdot, 0) - s(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$. If $R < \infty$, assume $\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < R$.

Then there exists a Lipschitz function $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have

$$\int |u(x, t) - s(x - \gamma(t), t)|^2 dx \leq 4\Lambda^2 \int |u(x, 0) - s(x, 0)|^2 dx.$$

The quantity $\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on ε , Λ , and $\|u(\cdot, 0) - s(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$.

Notice that this result is independent of the strength ν of the dissipation.

We prove this result using the method of relative entropy, first introduced by DiPerna and Dafermos [Daf96] to study stability of Lipschitz solutions of conservation laws. This method has since been applied by Vasseur, Serre, Leger, and others ([SV16], [LV11], [Leg11]) to show L^2 stability of shocks under large perturbations.

For an entropy function f , we denote the relative entropy between two solutions u_1 and u_2 by

$$f(u_1|u_2) := f(u_1) - f(u_2) - f'(u_2)[u_1 - u_2].$$

In this paper, we will use the function η , the antiderivative of the dissipative term, as our entropy function. Our proof involves taking the time-derivative of the relative entropy of u relative to the shock s . Because of the assumption (2), the integral of the relative entropy is essentially equal to the L^2 norm. However, this quantity will not decrease in general, as shown by Vasseur and Kang in [KV17]. We supplement the method by considering a weighted pseudo-norm, as in [Vas16] and [Vas08]. The weight function a is independent of solution u , and is approximately constant.

We will show the following result, from which Theorem 1 follows as a corollary:

Theorem 2. Let $\Lambda \geq 1$ be a constant, and let $\eta, Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (2) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and let η''', Q''' continuous at 0. Let $\nu = 1$. Then there exists a constant ε_0 such that the following holds:

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ be a constant and let $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [s_+, s_-]$ be a stationary shock solution to (1) with $s_\pm = \mp\varepsilon$. Then there exists a weight function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1/2, 2]$ such that the following holds:

For any $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solving (1) such that $\|u(\cdot, 0) - s(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, there exists a Lipschitz function $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int a(x + \gamma(t)) \eta(u(x, t) | s(x + \gamma(t))) dx \leq -\varepsilon_0 \int a(x + \gamma(t)) |\partial_x(\eta'(u) - \eta'(s))|^2 dx.$$

The quantity $\|\gamma'\|_{L^\infty}$ depends only on ε , Λ , and $\|u(\cdot, 0) - s(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, and $\|a - 1\|_\infty$ tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The theory of L^2 stability of shocks is contrasted with the L^1 theory, as in the work of Kruzkov [Kru70]. See also Ilyin and Oleinik [IO60] and Freistuhler and Serre [FS98]. Unlike Kruzkov's result, we only need one entropy. Though 1D scalar laws have infinitely many entropies in general, systems of conservation laws typically only have one entropy so methods which rely on multiple entropies are more difficult to generalize, though such generalizations exist, see for example Bressan, Liu, and Yang [BLY99]. The L^p stability theory has also been studied by Adimurthi, Ghoshal, and Veerappa Gowda [AGVG14]. L^2 stability has been studied outside the context of relative entropy, as by Goodman [Goo86], though with stronger assumptions on the perturbation.

Since our result is independent of the strength ν of dissipation, it is well suited to taking an inviscid limit.

The technique used in this paper has previously been applied by Kang and Vasseur to certain 1D dissipative systems in [KV19] (including 1D isotropic Navier-Stokes) and 1D scalar equations with constant dissipation in [Kan19] (i.e. $\eta'(u) = u$). We are able to consider arbitrary convex dissipation by utilizing η as an entropy.

As in [KV19], the proof proceeds by breaking up the solution u into a part which is L^∞ close to s and an error term which may be large in L^∞ . The close part is handled similarly to the

existing literature, while for the error term we must make careful use of the relationship between the dissipative term and the derivative of the weight function a .

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 1 we compute the time derivative of the relative entropy. In Section 2 we present a number of lemmas which will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3 we show that our expression for the derivative of the relative entropy is non-positive under a number of special assumptions. Finally in Section 4 we prove Theorems 1 and 2.

1. TIME DERIVATIVE

For any function f , define

$$f(x|y) := f(x) - f(y) - f'(y)(x - y),$$

In particular, for η our entropy the quantity $\eta(u|s)$ is called the relative entropy of u relative to s .

We call η an entropy function if there exists a function G such that

$$G'(x) = Q'(x)\eta'(x).$$

In the 1D case, such a G trivially exists.

We also define

$$F(x; y) := G(x) - G(y) - \eta'(y)[Q(x) - Q(y)].$$

We begin by computing the time derivative of the relative entropy with arbitrary shift and arbitrary weight.

Proposition 3 (Time Derivative). *Let $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be solutions to (1) with $\nu = 1$ and s a stationary solution. Assume $|u(\cdot, t) - s(\cdot)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all t .*

Then for any differentiable function $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and weight function $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int a(x + \gamma(t))\eta(u(x)|s(x + \gamma(t))) dx = R(u) := \dot{\gamma}Y(u) + B(u) - D(u)$$

where

$$Y(u) := \int a' \eta(u|s) dx - \int a s' \eta''(s)(u - s) dx,$$

$$D(u) := \int a |\partial_x(\eta'(u) - \eta'(s))|^2 dx,$$

$$B(u) := \int a' F(u; s) dx - \int a \eta''(s) s' Q(u|s) dx + \int \frac{a''}{2} (\eta'(u) - \eta'(s))^2 dx + \int a \eta'(u|s) \partial_x Q(s) dx.$$

Here it is understood that u is evaluated always at (x, t) while a and s are evaluated at $x + \gamma(t)$.

The expressions $Y(u)$, $B(u)$, $D(u)$ will be referenced throughout this paper with the definitions given above, and they will be abbreviated as Y , B , D when the input u is clear from context.

Proof. Initially, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int a(x + \gamma(t))\eta(u(x)|s(x + \gamma(t))) dx &= \int a' \dot{\gamma} \eta(u|s) dx + \int a [\eta'(u) - \eta'(s)] \partial_t u dx \\ &\quad + \int a [-\eta''(s)(u - s)] \partial_t s dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_t s = \dot{\gamma} s'$, we have, with Y as defined in the theorem statement,

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int a \eta(u|s) dx = \dot{\gamma} [Y] + \int a [\eta'(u) - \eta'(s)] \partial_t u dx$$

Note that

$$\partial_t u = \partial_{xx} \eta'(u) - \partial_x Q(u)$$

and that because s is a shock with zero drift,

$$\partial_x Q(s) = \partial_{xx} \eta'(s).$$

Therefore, writing $w = \eta'(u) - \eta'(s)$,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int aw\partial_t u \, dx &= \int aw[\partial_{xx}\eta'(u) - \partial_x Q(u)] \, dx + \int a[-\eta''(s)(u-s)][\partial_{xx}\eta'(s) - \partial_x Q(s)] \, dx \\ &= \int aw\partial_{xx}w \, dx + \int a\eta'(u|s)\partial_{xx}\eta'(s) \, dx - \int a(w\partial_x Q(u) - \eta''(s)(u-s)\partial_x Q(s)) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_x F(u; s) + \eta''(s)Q(u|s)s' &= [\eta'(u)Q'(u) - \eta'(s)Q'(u)]\partial_x u + [-\eta''(s)(Q(u) - Q(s))]s' \\ &\quad + \eta''(s)Q(u|s)s' \\ &= [\eta'(u) - \eta'(s)]Q'(u)\partial_x u + \eta''(s)s'[Q(u|s) - (Q(u) - Q(s))] \\ &= [\eta'(u) - \eta'(s)]\partial_x Q(u) - \eta''(s)(u-s)Q'(s)s' \\ &= w\partial_x Q(u) - \eta''(s)(u-s)\partial_x Q(s). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3), (4), and (5) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int a\eta(u|s) \, dx = \dot{\gamma}[Y] + \int aw\partial_{xx}w \, dx + \int a\eta'(u|s)\partial_x Q(s) \, dx - \int a[\partial_x F(u; s) + \eta''(s)s'Q(u|s)] \, dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int aw\partial_{xx}w \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int a''w^2 \, dx - \int a|\partial_x w|^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int a''w^2 \, dx - D \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int a\partial_x F(u; s) \, dx = - \int a'F(u; s) \, dx.$$

The proposition follows. \square

Notice that each term in Y and B contain either a derivative of s or a derivative of a . This inspires us to choose our weight function a to be a linear transformation of s . We can then perform a change of variables and simplify the expression even further. The new variable $y = \eta'(s(x))$ is known as the entropic variable.

Lemma 4. *Under the same assumptions as Proposition 3, if $a := 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\eta'(s)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ then, in terms of the variable $y := \eta'(s)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \eta(u|s) \, dy + \int a(u-s) \, dy, \\ D &= - \int a\eta''(s)s'|\partial_y w|^2 \, dy, \\ B &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int F(u; s) \, dy + \int aQ(u|s) \, dy + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{Q'(s)}{2\eta''(s)}w^2 \, dy - \int a\frac{Q'(s)}{\eta''(s)}\eta'(u|s) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Notice first that $x \mapsto \eta'(s)$ is a monotone-decreasing differentiable bijection, so u is a well-defined function of y . The integrating factor for this new variable is

$$dy = -\eta''(s)s' \, dx.$$

Note the minus sign because s' is negative so the direction of integration is reversed.

The derivatives of a are

$$\partial_x a = -\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\eta''(s)s'$$

and

$$\partial_{xx}a = -\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\partial_{xx}\eta'(s) = -\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\partial_x Q(s).$$

The derivative of $Q(s)$ is

$$\partial_x Q(s) = Q'(s)s' = -\frac{Q(s)}{\eta''(s)}\eta''(s)s'.$$

From here, the form of Y and B are trivial to compute.

For D , we must simply compute

$$\partial_x w = \eta''(s)s'\partial_y w.$$

□

2. LEMMAS

This section consists of a series of lemmas which will be necessary throughout the rest of the paper.

We begin by applying Taylor's formula to each of the quantities appearing in the expressions $Y(u)$, $B(u)$, and $D(u)$ defined in Lemma 4. These estimates, together with the bounds on the derivatives of η and Q , will be the basis of all our control on the quantities Y , B , D .

Lemma 5. *Let x_1 and x_2 be real numbers. Then the following estimates hold:*

(a) *There exists a point z_0 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$x_1 - x_2 = \frac{1}{\eta''(z_0)}(\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2)).$$

(b) *There exists a point z_1 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$Q(x_1|x_2) = \frac{Q''(z_1)}{2\eta''(z_0)^2}(\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2))^2.$$

(c) *There exists a point z_2 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$\eta(x_1|x_2) = \frac{\eta''(z_2)}{2\eta''(z_0)^2}(\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2))^2.$$

(d) *There exists a point z_3 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$\eta'(x_1|x_2) = \frac{\eta'''(z_3)}{2\eta''(z_0)^2}[\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2)]^2.$$

(e) *There exists a point z_4 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$\eta'(x_1|x_2) = \left(1 - \frac{\eta''(x_2)}{\eta''(z_4)}\right)[\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2)].$$

(f) *There exists a point z_5 between x_1 and x_2 such that*

$$F(x_1; x_2) = \frac{1}{2}\eta''(z_5)\frac{Q'(z_5)}{\eta''(z_0)^2}(\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2))^2 + \frac{1}{2}[\eta'(z_5) - \eta'(x_2)]\frac{Q''(z_5)}{\eta''(z_0)^2}(\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2))^2.$$

(g) *If s is a stationary shock solution to (1) with $\nu = 1$, and $\varsigma \in (s_+, s_-)$ is a real number, then there exist points $z_6, z_7, z_8 \in (s_+, s_-)$ such that*

$$-\eta''(s)s'|_{s=\varsigma} = \frac{Q''(z_6)}{2\eta''(z_7)\eta''(z_8)}[\eta'(\varsigma) - \eta'(s_+)][\eta'(s_-) - \eta'(\varsigma)].$$

Proof. Claim (a) follows immediately from Taylor's theorem:

$$\eta'(x_1) = \eta'(x_2) + \eta''(z_0)(x_1 - x_2).$$

Applying Taylor's theorem to Q ,

$$Q(x_1) = Q(x_2) + Q'(x_2)(x_1 - x_2) + \frac{Q''(z_1)}{2}(x_1 - x_2)^2.$$

Therefore

$$Q(x_1|x_2) = \frac{Q''(z_1)}{2}(x_1 - x_2)^2$$

and (b) follows from (a).

Claims (c) and (d) follow by the same logic as (b).

Apply (a) to the definition of $\eta'(x_1|x_2)$ to obtain

$$\eta'(x_1|x_2) = [\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2)] - \frac{\eta'(x_2)}{\eta'(z_0)}[\eta'(x_1) - \eta'(x_2)]$$

and (e) follows.

For (f), we can calculate, by Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1; x_2) &= F(x_2; x_2) + \frac{d}{dx_1}F(x_2; x_2)(x_1 - x_2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx_1^2}F(x_2; x_2)(x_1 - x_2)^2 \\ &= 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{2} [\eta''(t_5)Q'(t_5) - Q''(t_5)[\eta'(t_5) - \eta'(x_2)]](x_1 - x_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (a), the claim (f) follows.

Since s is a shock solution, $\partial_x Q(s) = \partial_{xx} \eta'(s)$. Moreover $\partial_x \eta'(s)|_{s=s_-} = 0$. Therefore

$$-\eta''(\varsigma)s'|_{s=\varsigma} = -\partial_x \eta'(\varsigma)|_{s=\varsigma} = Q(s_-) - Q(y).$$

Now since $Q(s_+) = Q(s_-)$ by the Rankine-Hugoniot condition, there exists a point $z_6 \in (s_+, s_-)$ such that

$$Q(s_-) - Q(\varsigma) = Q''(z_6)(\varsigma - s_+)(s_- - \varsigma).$$

Applying (a) a final time, the proof is complete. \square

The following lemma is Proposition 3.3 in [KV19]. It is a Poincaré type inequality.

Lemma 6 (Poincaré). *Given a constant C_1 , there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$, such that for any $\delta \leq \delta_0$ the following holds:*

For any $W \in L^2(0, 1)$ such that $\sqrt{x(1-x)}\partial_x W \in L^2(0, 1)$ with $\|W\|_2^2 \leq C_1$, the quantity

$$\frac{-1}{\delta} \left(\int_0^1 W^2 dx + 2 \int_0^1 W dx \right)^2 + (1+\delta) \int_0^1 W^2 dx + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 W^3 dx + \delta \int_0^1 |W|^3 dx - (1-\delta) \int_0^1 x(1-x) |\partial_x W|^2 dx$$

is non-positive.

The following lemma is a kind of weighted Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation. The quantity $D(u)$ defined in Lemma 4 controls the second derivative of w but that control degenerates near the endpoints. The lemma interpolates between D and the L^2 norm to control arbitrary L^p norms.

Lemma 7 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg). *Let $h > 0$, $p \geq 1$, $L > 0$, and $\bar{C} \leq 2h^2 L$ be constants. For any $w \in L^2([-L, L])$ with*

$$\int_{-L}^L w^2 dy \leq \bar{C},$$

define

$$\tilde{D} := \int_{-L}^L (y-L)(L-y) \chi_{\{|w|>h\}} |\partial_y w|^2 dy.$$

Then for any $q \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant C_q depending only on q such that

$$\int (w - h)_+^p dy \leq C_q (h^{-2}\bar{C})^q |L|^{-p/2} \tilde{D}^{p/2}.$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality, $|\{|w| > h\}| \leq h^{-2}\bar{C}$ so since $h^{-2}\bar{C} \leq L$ there exists a point $y_0 \in [-L/2, L/2]$ such that $(w - h)_+(y_0) = 0$.

For any other point y_1 , we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |(w - h)_+(y_1)| &= |(w - h)_+(y_1) - (w - h)_+(y_2)| \\ &\leq \int_{y_0}^{y_1} |\partial_y (w - h)_+| dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_{y_0}^{y_1} [(L + y)(L - y)]^{-1} dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{y_0}^{y_1} [(L + y)(L - y)] |\partial_y (w - h)_+|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2L} [\ln(L + y) - \ln(L - y)]_{y_0}^{y_1} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{D}^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{D}^{1/2}}{(2L)^{1/2}} [\ln(L + y_1) - \ln(L - y_1) - \ln(L + y_0) + \ln(L - y_0)]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_0 \in [-L/2, L/2]$, we can estimate $\frac{L+y_0}{L-y_0} \in [1/3, 3]$ so $\ln(L + y_0) - \ln(L - y_0)$ is bounded. The expression $\ln(L + y_1) - \ln(y_1 - L)$ is similarly bounded for $|y_1| < L/2$. For $|y_1| > L/2$, the $\ln(L - y_1)$ term will dominate for y_1 positive and the $\ln(y_1 + L)$ term will dominate for y_1 negative, so for some constant C we have the bound

$$|(w - h)_+(y_1)| \leq C \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{L} \right)^{1/2} \max(1, |\ln(L - |y_1|)|)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mu \leq h^{-2}\bar{C}$ be the measure of the set $\{|w| > h\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that this region is concentrated near $\pm L$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int (w - h)_+^p dy &\leq 2 \int_{L-\mu/2}^L C^p \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{L} \right)^{p/2} |\ln(L - |y_1|)|^{p/2} dy \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{L} \right)^{p/2} \int_0^{\mu/2} |\ln(x)|^{p/2} dx \\ &\leq C_q \left(\frac{\tilde{D}}{L} \right)^{p/2} \mu^q. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used an estimate of the integral of $\ln(x)$ near the origin which uses the fact that $\ln(x)$ grows slower than any power of x .

Since $\mu \leq h^{-2}\bar{C}$, the lemma follows. \square

The following final lemma shows that the quantity Y bounds the L^2 norm.

Lemma 8. *There exists a constant $C = C(\Lambda)$ so that the following holds:*

Let η and Q as in Theorem 2 and u, s be any functions such that $u - s \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $Y(u)$ be as in Lemma 4. Then the function $w := \eta'(u) - \eta'(s)$ satisfies

$$\int w^2 dy \leq C(\Lambda) \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} |Y(u)| + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\lambda^2} \right].$$

Proof. From the definition of Y , we know that

$$\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \eta(u|s) dy \leq |Y| + \int a(u - s) dy.$$

The right-hand side is of course non-negative since η convex.

Recall the notation $w = \eta'(u) - \eta'(s)$. From Lemma 5 (c) and (a) we know that $\eta(u|s) \geq \Lambda^{-3}w^2$ and $|u - s| \leq \Lambda|w|$. Of course $|a| \leq 2$. Therefore

$$\int w^2 dy \leq \Lambda^3 \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} |Y| + \Lambda^3 \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} 2\Lambda \int |w| dy.$$

By Hölder's inequality, $2 \int |w| dy \leq \frac{\lambda}{2\Lambda^4 \varepsilon} \int w^2 dy + \frac{2\Lambda^4 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \int 1 dy$. Thus

$$\int w^2 dy \leq \Lambda^3 \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} |Y| + \frac{1}{2} \int w^2 dy + 2\Lambda^8 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} \int 1 dy.$$

Since

$$\int 1 dy = \eta'(s_-) - \eta'(s_+) \leq 2\Lambda\varepsilon,$$

the lemma follows. \square

3. FUNCTIONAL ESTIMATES

In this section, we consider the quantity $-Y(u)^2 + B(u) - D(u)$ under certain assumptions on u . Note that we do not need to assume u is a solution of (1) in this section at all, only that u and s are in some sense small functions.

Proposition 9 (Decrease for small perturbations). *Let η and Q satisfy (2) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and have η''' , Q''' continuous at 0. For any positive constant \bar{C} , there exist constants $h_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, such that the following holds:*

Let s be a stationary shock solution to (1) with $\nu = 1$ and $s_{\pm} = \mp\varepsilon$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, and let $\bar{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $|\bar{w}| := |\eta'(\bar{u}) - \eta'(s)| \leq h$ for some $0 < h < h_1$. Let $0 < \lambda < \varepsilon_1$ and $a := 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\eta'(s)$ such that $1/2 \leq a \leq 2$. Assume

$$\int \bar{w}^2 \leq \bar{C} \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\lambda^2}.$$

Then

$$\bar{R} := \frac{-1}{2\varepsilon^2} Y(\bar{u})^2 + B(\bar{u}) - (1 - h)D(\bar{u})$$

is non-positive.

In the case that η and Q are quadratic polynomials, for example if $\Lambda = 1$, this theorem would hold by a straightforward application of Lemma 6. Since η and Q have continuous second derivatives, for small inputs their second derivatives will be nearly constant and we can treat them as polynomials. We will use Taylor's theorem, specifically in the form of Lemma 5, to formalize this observation.

Proof. Let δ_0 be the constant indicated by Lemma 6 corresponding to constant $\Lambda\bar{C}$, and consider arbitrary $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$.

We will estimate Y , B , and D using the formulae provided in Lemma 4. Notice that, since η''' and Q''' exist and are continuous at 0, η'' and Q'' must also be continuous at 0.

First we analyze the term Y . Define

$$Y_1 := \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \eta(\bar{u}|s) dy.$$

By Lemma 5 (c), there exist $t_1, t_2 \in [-\varepsilon_1 - h_1, \varepsilon_1 + h_1]$ so

$$\left| Y_1 - \frac{1}{2\eta''(0)} \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy \right| = \left| \frac{\eta''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)^2} - \frac{1}{2\eta''(0)} \right| \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy.$$

Since η'' is continuous at 0, for $\varepsilon_1 + h_1$ sufficiently small we can say

$$\left| Y_1 - \frac{1}{2\eta''(0)} \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy \right| \leq \delta \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy.$$

Define

$$Y_2 = \int a(u - s) dy$$

and, by applying Lemma 5 (a), we can argue as above that for $\varepsilon_1 + h_1$ sufficiently small we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Y_2 - \eta''(0)^{-1} \int \bar{w} dy \right| &= \int [\eta''(t_1)^{-1} a - \eta''(0)^{-1}] \bar{w} dy \\ &= \int \eta''(t_1)^{-1} (a - 1) \bar{w} dy + \int [\eta''(t_1)^{-1} - \eta''(0)^{-1}] \bar{w} dy \\ &\leq \int (\lambda \Lambda + |\eta''(t_1)^{-1} - \eta''(0)^{-1}|) |\bar{w}| dy \\ &\leq C(\lambda + \delta) \int |\bar{w}| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$, assuming without loss of generality $\varepsilon < \delta$, we can apply the general formula $-(a + b)^2 \leq -\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\delta}\right) a^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} b^2$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon/\delta \in (0, 1]$ to obtain

$$-Y^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8\delta} \eta''(0)^{-2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + 2 \int \bar{w} dy \right)^2 + C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left((\lambda + \delta) \int |\bar{w}| dy + \delta \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy \right)^2$$

Since $\int |\bar{w}| dy \leq C \varepsilon^{1/2} \sqrt{\int \bar{w}^2 dy}$ and $\int \bar{w}^2 dy \leq \bar{C} \varepsilon^3 / \lambda^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad -Y^2 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \frac{\Lambda^2}{8} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + 2 \int \bar{w} dy \right)^2 + C(\lambda + \delta)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \left(\int |\bar{w}| dy \right)^2 + C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \delta^2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\lambda^2} \int \bar{w}^2 dy \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \frac{\Lambda^2}{8} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + 2 \int \bar{w} dy \right)^2 + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2}{\delta} + \varepsilon^2 \delta + \delta \right) \int \bar{w}^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we analyze B .

For the relative flux term, we estimate by Lemma 5 (b) and continuity of η'' and Q''

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \left| \int a Q(\bar{u}|s) dy - \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^2 dy \right| &\leq \int \left| a \frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)} - \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \right| \bar{w}^2 dy \\ &\leq \int \left(\frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)} |a - 1| + \left| \frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)} - \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \right| \right) \bar{w}^2 dy \\ &\leq \int \left(\lambda \frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)} + \left| \frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)} - \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \right| \right) \bar{w}^2 dy \\ &\leq C(\lambda + \delta) \int \bar{w}^2 dy \end{aligned}$$

for ε_1 and h_1 sufficiently small.

The \bar{w}^2 term is an error term:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{Q'(s)}{2\eta''(s)} \bar{w}^2 dy \leq \lambda \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \int \bar{w}^2 dy,$$

as is the $\eta'(\bar{u}|s)$ term: by Lemma 5 (d), for ε_0 and h_0 sufficiently small

$$(9) \quad \int a \frac{Q'(s)}{\eta''(s)} \eta'(\bar{u}|s) dy \leq C \varepsilon \int \bar{w}^2 dy.$$

Note that C here depends on $\eta'''(0)$.

To bound the F term of B , we utilize the formula, valid for any f with $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$,

$$f(x) = \int_0^x f''(t)(x - t) dt.$$

Since

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}F(x; s) = Q''(x)[\eta'(x) - \eta'(s)] + Q'(x)\eta''(x),$$

we have, letting $c_Q \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ be the unique point such that $Q'(c_Q) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad F(x; s) &= \int_s^x (x - \tau)[\eta'(\tau) - \eta'(s)]Q''(\tau) + (x - \tau)\eta''(\tau)Q'(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_s^x (x - \tau)(\tau - s)\eta''(t_1)Q''(\tau) d\tau + \int_s^x \eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2)(x - \tau)(\tau - c_Q) d\tau \\ &= \int_s^x \eta''(t_1)Q''(\tau)(x - \tau)(\tau - s) d\tau + \int_s^x \eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2)(x - \tau)(\tau - s) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_s^x \eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2)(x - \tau)(s - c_Q) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for some points $t_1 \in [s, \tau]$ and $t_2 \in [c_Q, \tau]$ depending on τ .

We can estimate each of these three integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad &\left| \int_s^x \eta''(t_1)Q''(\tau)(x - \tau)(\tau - s) d\tau - \eta''(0)Q''(0)\frac{(x - s)^3}{6} \right| \leq \sup_{\tau} |\eta''(t_1)Q''(\tau) - \eta''(0)Q''(0)| \frac{|x - s|^3}{6}, \\ &\left| \int_s^x \eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2)(x - \tau)(\tau - s) d\tau - \eta''(0)Q''(0)\frac{(x - s)^3}{6} \right| \leq \sup_{\tau} |\eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2) - \eta''(0)Q''(0)| \frac{|x - s|^3}{6}, \\ &\left| \int_s^x \eta''(\tau)Q''(t_2)(x - \tau)(s - c_Q) d\tau \right| \leq 2\varepsilon\Lambda^2 \int_s^x |x - \tau| d\tau = \varepsilon\Lambda^2(x - s)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if ε_1 and h_1 are sufficiently small then from (10) and (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int F(\bar{u}; s) dy - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{Q''(0)}{3\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^3 dy \right| \leq C \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \delta \int |\bar{w}|^3 dy + C\lambda \int \bar{w}^2 dy.$$

Combining (7), (8), (9), and (12),

$$(13) \quad B \leq \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{Q''(0)}{3\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^3 dy + \delta \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} C \int |\bar{w}|^3 dy + \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + C(\lambda + \delta + \varepsilon) \int \bar{w}^2 dy.$$

Lastly, we bound the quantity D . Define $y_{\pm} := \eta'(\mp\varepsilon)$. Applying Lemma 5 (g),

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad (1 - h)D(\bar{u}) &\geq \frac{Q''(t_1)}{2\eta''(t_2)\eta''(t_3)}(1 - h) \int [y - y_-][y_+ - y] |\partial_y \bar{w}|^2 dy \\ &\geq \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2}(1 - \delta) \int [y - y_-][y_+ - y] |\partial_y \bar{w}|^2 dy \end{aligned}$$

so long as ε_1 and h_1 are sufficiently small.

We can now bound the quantity \bar{R} . By combining the bounds (6), (13), and (14) on Y , B , and D respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R} &\leq \frac{-C}{\varepsilon\delta} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + 2 \int \bar{w} dy \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{Q''(0)}{3\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^3 + \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \int \bar{w}^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} (1-\delta) \int [y-y_-][y_+-y] |\partial_y \bar{w}|^2 dy \\
&\quad + C \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{\delta} + \lambda + \delta + \varepsilon \right) \int \bar{w}^2 dy + C \frac{\lambda\delta}{\varepsilon} \int |\bar{w}|^3 dy \\
(15) \quad &= \frac{Q''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \left[\frac{-C}{\varepsilon\delta} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \bar{w}^2 dy + 2 \int \bar{w} dy \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{2}{3} \int \bar{w}^3 + \int \bar{w}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1-\delta) \int [y-y_-][y_+-y] |\partial_y \bar{w}|^2 dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2\lambda^2}{\delta} + \lambda + \delta + \varepsilon \right) \int \bar{w}^2 dy + C \frac{\lambda\delta}{\varepsilon} \int |\bar{w}|^3 dy \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We will now perform a change of coordinates. Let $L := \eta'(s_+) - \eta'(s_-)$. Consider $z \in [0, 1]$ and define

$$\begin{aligned}
y &:= \eta'(s_+) + zL, \\
dy &= Ldz, \\
W(z) &:= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \bar{w}(y) = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \bar{w}(\eta'(s_+) + zL), \\
\partial_z W(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} L \partial_y \bar{w}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $z = 0$ corresponds to $y = \eta'(s_+)$ and $z = 1$ to $y = \eta'(s_-)$.

In these coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \bar{w} dy &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} L \int W dz, \\
\int \bar{w}^2 dy &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} L \int W^2 dz, \\
\int \bar{w}^3 dy &= \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\lambda^3} L \int W^3 dz, \\
\int [y-y_-][y_+-y] |\partial_y \bar{w}|^2 dy &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} L \int z(1-z) |\partial_z W|^2 dz.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of z and W , (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R} &\leq \frac{LQ''(0)}{2\eta''(0)^2} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} \left[\frac{-C_2L}{\varepsilon\delta} \left(\int W^2 dz + 2 \int W dz \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \int W^3 dz + \int W^2 dz - (1-\delta) \int z(1-z) |\partial_z W|^2 dz \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C_3 \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2\lambda^2}{\delta} + \lambda + \delta + \varepsilon \right) \int W^2 dz + C\delta \int |W|^3 dz \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Fixing now δ so that $\delta < \frac{\delta_0}{3C_3}$ and $\delta < C_2\Lambda\delta_0$, then taking ε_1 small enough that $C_3(\frac{\lambda^2}{\delta} + \delta + \varepsilon + \lambda + \delta) \leq \delta_0$ and $\varepsilon_1 < \delta$, and recalling $L/\varepsilon \leq \Lambda$, we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} & \left[\frac{-1}{\delta_0} \left(\int W^2 dz + 2 \int W dz \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \int W^3 dz + \delta_0 \int |W|^3 dz + (1 + \delta_0) \int W^2 dz \right. \\ & \left. - (1 - \delta_0) \int z(1 - z) |\partial_z W|^2 dz \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply Lemma 6 and the proof is complete. \square

Now that we know $-\varepsilon^{-2}Y^2 + B - D$ is non-negative for u sufficiently close to s , we can bound the same quantity for u large by decomposing into a part near s and a part far away.

Proposition 10 (Decrease for large perturbations). *Let η and Q satisfy (2) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and have η''', Q''' continuous at 0. For any positive constant \bar{C} , there exists a constant $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that the following holds:*

Let s be a stationary shock solution to (1) with $\nu = 1$ and $s_{\pm} = \mp\varepsilon$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$. There exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $w := \eta'(u) - \eta'(s)$ satisfies

$$\int w^2 \leq \bar{C} \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\lambda^2},$$

and $a := 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\eta'(s)$ satisfy

$$R(u) := \frac{-1}{2\varepsilon^2} Y(u)^2 + B(u) - D(u) \leq -\varepsilon_2 D(u).$$

Proof. Let h_1 and ε_1 be the parameters defined by Proposition 9, and define \bar{u} for a parameter $0 < h < h_1$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u} = u & |\eta'(u) - \eta'(s)| \leq h, \\ \eta'(u) - \eta'(s) = h \operatorname{sign}(u - s) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can define $\bar{w} := \eta'(\bar{u}) - \eta'(s)$, $\tilde{w} := w - \bar{w}$, $\tilde{Y} := Y(u) - Y(\bar{u})$, $\tilde{B} := B(u) - B(\bar{u})$, and $\tilde{D} := D(u) - D(\bar{u})$. For \tilde{D} we have

$$(16) \quad \tilde{D} = \int a \chi_{\{|w| > h\}} |\partial_x (\eta'(u) - \eta'(s))|^2 dx.$$

We will bound \tilde{Y} , \tilde{B} , and \tilde{D} one at a time.

To bound \tilde{Y} , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(u|s) - \eta(\bar{u}|s) &= \int_{\bar{u}}^u \eta'(t) - \eta'(s) dt \\ &\leq \Lambda \int_{\bar{u}}^u [t - s] dt \\ &= \Lambda \left[\frac{t^2}{2} - ts \right]_{\bar{u}}^u \\ &= \Lambda \left[\frac{(u - \bar{u})^2}{2} + (u - \bar{u})(\bar{u} - s) \right] \\ &\leq C (\tilde{w}^2 + h\tilde{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Y} &\leq C\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(\int \tilde{w}^2 + h \int \tilde{w}\right) + \int \tilde{w} \\ &\leq C\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\int \tilde{w}^2 dy + C\left(\frac{\lambda h}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)\int \tilde{w} dy.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-Y(u)^2 \leq -Y(\bar{u})^2/2 + \tilde{Y}^2,$$

and $\int \tilde{w} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}(\int \tilde{w}^2)^{1/2}$, and $\int \tilde{w}^2 \leq \bar{C}\varepsilon^3/\lambda^2$, we can bound

$$(17) \quad \frac{-1}{\varepsilon^2}Y(u)^2 \leq \frac{-1}{2\varepsilon^2}Y(\bar{u})^2 + C\varepsilon\int \tilde{w}^2 dy + C\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\lambda^2 h^2}{\varepsilon}\right)\int \tilde{w} dy.$$

For the B term, we must assume without loss of generality that $2\Lambda\varepsilon \leq h_1$ (so that Q' does not change sign between \bar{u} and u). Then we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}(18) \quad F(u; s) - F(\bar{u}; s) &= \int_{\bar{u}}^u Q'(t)[\eta'(t) - \eta'(s)] dt \\ &\leq \Lambda^2 \left| \int_{\bar{u}}^u t[t-s] dt \right| \\ &= \Lambda^2 \left| \frac{t^3}{3} - \frac{t^2 s}{2} \right|_{\bar{u}}^u \\ &= \Lambda^2 \left| \frac{(u-\bar{u})^3}{3} + (u-\bar{u})^2 \bar{u} - \frac{(u-\bar{u})^2 s}{2} + (u-\bar{u})\bar{u}^2 - (u-\bar{u})\bar{u}s \right| \\ &\leq C(|\tilde{w}|^3 + h\tilde{w}^2 + h^2|\tilde{w}| + \varepsilon\tilde{w}^2 + \varepsilon h|\tilde{w}|).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(19) \quad Q(u|s) - Q(\bar{u}|s) &= \int_{\bar{u}}^u [Q'(t) - Q'(s)] dt \\ &\leq \Lambda \int_{\bar{u}}^u [t-s] dt \\ &= \Lambda \left[\frac{(u-\bar{u})^2}{2} + (\bar{u}-s)(u-\bar{u}) \right] \\ &\leq C(\tilde{w}^2 + h\tilde{w}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(20) \quad \eta'(u|s) - \eta'(\bar{u}|s) &= \int_{\bar{u}}^u \eta''(x) - \eta''(s) dx \\ &\leq 2\Lambda \left| \int_{\bar{u}}^u dx \right| \\ &\leq 2\Lambda^2 |\tilde{w}|,\end{aligned}$$

and trivially

$$\begin{aligned}(21) \quad w^2 - \bar{w}^2 &= \tilde{w}^2 + 2\bar{w}\tilde{w} \\ &\leq \tilde{w}^2 + 2h|\tilde{w}|.\end{aligned}$$

Combining (18), (19), (20), and (21), we can bound \tilde{B}

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{B}| &\leq C \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}|^3 + \left[\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} (h + \varepsilon) + 1 + \lambda \right] \tilde{w}^2 + \left[\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} (h^2 + \varepsilon h) + (\varepsilon + h) + \varepsilon + \lambda h \right] |\tilde{w}| \right) \\ &= C \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} |\tilde{w}|^3 + \left[\frac{\lambda h}{\varepsilon} + 1 + \lambda \right] \tilde{w}^2 + \left[\frac{\lambda h^2}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon + h + \lambda h \right] |\tilde{w}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (16), (17), and (22), we can decompose the quantity $R(u)$ as

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} R(u) &\leq \left[\frac{-1}{2\varepsilon^2} Y(\bar{u})^2 - B(\bar{u}) - (1-h)D(\bar{u}) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^2 dy + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h}{\varepsilon} \right) \int \tilde{w} dy \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^3 dy + \frac{\lambda h}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^2 dy - (1-h)\tilde{D} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\lambda h^2}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w} dy - \frac{h}{2} D(u) \right] - \frac{h}{2} D(u) \\ &:= R_1 + R_2 + R_3 - \frac{h}{2} D(u). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 9, we know $R_1 \leq 0$. It remains to show the same for R_2 and R_3 .

Using the fact that $\int \tilde{w}^2 dy \leq \bar{C}\varepsilon^3/\lambda^2$, we can bound the quantity R_2

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\frac{\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\int \tilde{w}^2 dy \right) \int \tilde{w}^2 dy + \left(1 + \frac{h\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \left(\int \tilde{w} dy \right)^2 \right] + \frac{h\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^2 dy + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^3 dy - (1-h)\tilde{D} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{h\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right) \int \tilde{w}^2 dy + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{h^2\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^4} \right) \left(\int \tilde{w} dy \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^3 dy - (1-h)\tilde{D}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7, we know that for any exponent $q \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{w} dy &\leq C_q \left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{h^2\lambda^2} \right)^q \varepsilon^{-1/2} \tilde{D}^{1/2}, \\ \int \tilde{w}^2 dy &\leq C_q \left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{h^2\lambda^2} \right)^q \varepsilon^{-1} \tilde{D}, \\ \int \tilde{w}^3 dy &\leq C_q \left(\int \tilde{w}^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \tilde{w}^4 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{h^2\lambda^2} \right)^{q/2} \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{h\lambda} \tilde{D}. \end{aligned}$$

From these estimates with the appropriate q , we find that if ε , λ and h are appropriately small (specifically if $\varepsilon \leq C_h \lambda^3$ for constant C_h depending on h) then

$$\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{h\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right) \int \tilde{w}^2 dy + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{h^2\lambda^2}{\varepsilon^4} \right) \left(\int \tilde{w} dy \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \int \tilde{w}^3 dy \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}.$$

Plugging this estimate into (24), and assuming without loss of generality $h < 1/2$, the quantity R_2 will be non-positive.

It remains to bound the quantity R_3 .

Let $f := (|w| - \frac{h}{2})_+$. Then $\tilde{w} = (f - \frac{h}{2})_+$.

By Lemma 7 with exponent $3/4$,

$$\int f^2 dy \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{5/4}}{h^{3/2} \lambda^{3/2}} D(u).$$

By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\int |\tilde{w}| dy = \int (|w| - h)_+ dy \leq \frac{2}{h} \int f^2 dy.$$

Therefore,

$$R_3 \leq \left(C \frac{\lambda h^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{2}{h} \frac{\varepsilon^{5/4}}{h^{3/2} \lambda^{3/2}} - \frac{h}{2} \right) D(u) = \left(C \frac{\varepsilon^{1/4}}{h^{3/2} \lambda^{1/2}} - 1 \right) \frac{h}{2} D(u).$$

So long as $\varepsilon < (C^{-1} h^{3/2} \lambda^{1/2})^4$, the quantity is non-positive.

Since R_1 , R_2 , and R_3 are all non-positive, by (23) we know $R(u) \leq -h/2D(u) \leq -\varepsilon_2 D(u)$. \square

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

We will now prove Theorem 2. The idea of the proof is to define the shift function γ such that when $|Y(u)|$ is large, the $\dot{\gamma}Y$ term is negative and dominant, while when $|Y(u)|$ is small we can apply Proposition 10.

Proof. Take ε_0 to be the constant ε_2 defined in Proposition 10.

We must construct a shift function γ , so we begin by making elementary bounds on the term B . Note that $u(x) - s(x)$ is guaranteed to be in L^2 for short time by the basic existence theorems of, for example, [Ser99]. Moreover,

$$(25) \quad \int |s(x) - s(x - \xi)|^2 dx \leq C(1 + \sqrt{\xi}).$$

From the estimates of Lemma 5, we know that for some constant C ,

$$|B(u)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \lambda, \Lambda) \left(\int w^3 dy + \int w^2 dy + \int w dy \right).$$

Moreover, since by Hölder's inequality $\int w^3 dy \leq (\int w^2 dy)^{3/4} (\int w^6 dy)^{1/4}$, we can further say by Lemma 7, by taking $h^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{\varepsilon} \int w^2 dy$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int w^3 dy &\leq C \left(\int w^2 dy \right)^{3/4} (\Lambda h \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} D^3)^{1/4} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\int w^2 dy \right)^{7/8} + C(\varepsilon) \left(\int w^2 dy \right)^{3/4} D^{3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(26) \quad 2|B| - (1 - \varepsilon_0)D \leq C(\varepsilon) \left[1 + \left(\int w^2 dy \right)^3 \right].$$

Of course, $\int w^2 dy$ depends on γ .

Define

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(y) := \begin{cases} 1 & y \leq -\varepsilon^2 \\ \frac{-y}{\varepsilon^2} & |y| \leq \varepsilon^2 \\ -1 & y \geq \varepsilon^2. \end{cases}$$

We define $\gamma(t)$ as the solution of the nonlinear ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}(t) &= \Phi_\varepsilon(Y(u^\gamma)) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (2|B_\gamma(u)| - (1 - \varepsilon_1)D_\gamma(u))_+ + 1 \right) \\ \gamma(0) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

From (25) and (26), we know that

$$(2|B_\gamma(u)| - (1 - \varepsilon_1)D_\gamma(u))_+ \leq C(\varepsilon, \int |u(x) - s(x)|^2 dx) \left[1 + |\gamma(t)|^{3/2} \right].$$

Therefore the quantity γ exists for a short time.

If $|Y| \geq \varepsilon^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}Y + B - D &\leq -2(2|B| - (1 - \varepsilon_0)D)_+ + 1 + B - D \\ &\leq -2|B| + (1 - \varepsilon_0)D - \varepsilon^2 + B - D < -\varepsilon_0 D. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, if $|Y| \leq \varepsilon^2$, then

$$\dot{\gamma}Y \leq -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}Y^2.$$

We can therefore apply Proposition 10 and conclude that

$$\dot{\gamma}Y + B - D < -\varepsilon_0 D.$$

It follows, from Proposition 3, that $\int |u(x) - s(x - \gamma(t))|^2 dx$ is uniformly bounded so long as γ exists.

Now that we have a uniform bound on $\int w^2 dy$, the bound (26) shows that γ exists and is Lipschitz for all time. \square

Lastly we prove Theorem 1.

Proof. The proof is by application of Theorem 2.

If s is not of the form required by Theorem 2, we can replace Q by

$$\tilde{Q}(x) := Q(x - a) + bx + c$$

for suitable constants a , b , and c so that s is stationary and centered about 0. Recall that by the Rankine-Hugoniot condition, if $Q(s_+) = Q(s_-)$ then s is stationary.

If η and Q only satisfy the bound (2) on a compact interval $[-R, R]$ then, so long as $\|u\|_\infty < R$, we can modify η and Q outside this region and u will solve the modified (1).

If $\nu \neq 1$, we merely consider

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) := u(x/\nu, t/\nu)$$

and

$$\tilde{s}(x) := s(x/\nu).$$

Then \tilde{u} solves (and \tilde{s} is a shock solution to)

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{\nu} \partial_x Q(u) = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \nu \partial_{xx} \eta'(u)$$

which is equivalent to (1) with $\nu = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AGVG14] Adimurthi, Shyam Sundar Ghoshal, and G. D. Veerappa Gowda. L^p stability for entropy solutions of scalar conservation laws with strict convex flux. *J. Differential Equations*, 256(10):3395–3416, 2014.
- [BLY99] Alberto Bressan, Tai-Ping Liu, and Tong Yang. L^1 stability estimates for $n \times n$ conservation laws. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 149(1):1–22, 1999.
- [Daf96] C. M. Dafermos. Entropy and the stability of classical solutions of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. In *Recent mathematical methods in nonlinear wave propagation (Montecatini Terme, 1994)*, volume 1640 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 48–69. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [FS98] H. Freistühler and D. Serre. L^1 stability of shock waves in scalar viscous conservation laws. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(3):291–301, 1998.
- [Goo86] Jonathan Goodman. Nonlinear asymptotic stability of viscous shock profiles for conservation laws. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 95(4):325–344, 1986.
- [IO60] A. M. Ilyin and O. A. Oleĭnik. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem for some quasilinear equations for large values of the time. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 51 (93):191–216, 1960.
- [Kan19] Moon-Jin Kang. L^2 -type contraction for shocks of scalar viscous conservation laws with strictly convex flux. 2019.
- [Kru70] S. N. Kružkov. First order quasilinear equations with several independent variables. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 81 (123):228–255, 1970.

- [KV17] Moon-Jin Kang and Alexis Vasseur. L^2 -contraction for shock waves of scalar viscous conservation laws. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 34(1):139–156, 2017.
- [KV19] Moon-Jin Kang and Alexis Vasseur. Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, to appear, 2019.
- [Leg11] N. Leger. L^2 stability estimates for shock solutions of scalar conservation laws using the relative entropy method. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(3):761–778, 2011.
- [LV11] N. Leger and A. Vasseur. Relative entropy and the stability of shocks and contact discontinuities for systems of conservation laws with non-BV perturbations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 201(1):271–302, 2011.
- [Ser99] Denis Serre. *Systems of Conservation Laws 1: Hyperbolicity, Entropies, Shock Waves*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [SV16] D. Serre and A. Vasseur. About the relative entropy method for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. In *A panorama of mathematics: pure and applied*, volume 658 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 237–248. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [Vas08] Alexis F. Vasseur. Recent results on hydrodynamic limits. In *Handbook of differential equations: evolutionary equations. Vol. IV*, Handb. Differ. Equ., pages 323–376. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [Vas16] Alexis F. Vasseur. Relative entropy and contraction for extremal shocks of conservation laws up to a shift. In *Recent advances in partial differential equations and applications*, volume 666 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 385–404. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.

(L. F. Stokols)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA
E-mail address: `lstokols@math.utexas.edu`