

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

Kerr-Newman stress-tensor from minimal coupling to all orders in spin

Ming-Zhi Chung¹ Yu-tin Huang^{1,2} Jung-Wook Kim³

¹ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

² *Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, National Tsing-Hua University, No.101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu, Taiwan*

³ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea*

E-mail: dchung0741@gmail.com, yutinyt@gmail.com, jwkonline@snu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we demonstrate that at leading order in post Minkowskian (PM) expansion, the stress-energy tensor of Kerr-Newman can be recovered to all orders in spin from three sets of minimal coupling: the electric and gravitational minimal coupling for higher-spin particles, and the “minimal coupling” for massive spin-2 decay. These couplings are uniquely defined from kinematic consideration alone. This is shown by extracting the classical piece of the one-loop stress-energy tensor form factor, which we provide a basis that is valid to all orders in spin. The 1 PM stress tensor, and the metric in the harmonic gauge, is then recovered from the classical spin limit of the form factor.

Contents

1	Introduction and conclusion	1
2	EM minimal coupling and the Kerr-Newman one-particle EFT	4
2.1	Kerr-Schild form of Kerr-Newman solution	4
2.2	One-particle effective action for photon coupling	5
2.3	Stress tensor of Kerr-Newman solution	7
2.4	Obtaining the metric	8
3	Kerr-Newman stress tensor from form factors	10
3.1	Kinematical set-up	10
3.2	Evaluating the integrand	11
3.3	Comparison with classical computations	13
4	Acknowledgements	16
A	Parametrization	16

1 Introduction and conclusion

For the past few years, new light has been cast on the simplicity of four-dimensional black hole-like spacetimes. By black hole-like we refer to classical solutions that are completely characterized by a finite set of charges. This new “on-shell” point of view elects to begin with the physical observables associated with non-trivial spacetimes such as the classical impulse and the scattering angle. In the post-Minkowskian expansion, or perturbation in Newton’s constant G , these observables can be encoded in the $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ limit of the four-point scattering amplitude [1–3], where q is the transverse momenta. Importantly, the “particle” for the scattering amplitude share the same quantum numbers as the classical solution.

While this point of view has been long appreciated for Schwarzschild black holes/scalar particles, only recently has it been shown that to all order in spins, the effective stress-energy tensor for Kerr black holes is given by the classical-spin limit of minimally coupled spinning particles [4–7]. While there is no known elementary higher-spin particles in nature, these minimal couplings can be defined in a purely kinematic fashion [8]. Further introducing a complex phase to the couplings then leads to a BMS electric-magnetic duality rotation,




Figure 1. Contributions to the 1 PM stress tensor form factor. The purely gravitational contribution is given by the tree-level gravitational minimal coupling, while the photon couplings contribute through one-loop effects. Since the charge appears as Q^2G , the one-loop diagram is still 1 PM.

resulting in that of Taub-NUT spacetime [9]. The fact that the simplest three-point amplitude encodes the leading post Minkowskian (1 PM), or leading G order, stress-energy tensor of black hole solutions, can be viewed as an on-shell statement of the no hair theorem.

In the presence of extra massless fields, the stress-energy tensor will be modified at 1 PM. These modifications come in as one-loop corrections to the stress tensor form factor, as shown in fig. 1, where the extra massless degrees of freedom appear in the loop. Note that while this is a loop effect, it retains a classical piece. This is because after restoring \hbar , the mass appears in the propagator in the form $m\hbar^{-1}$, so a factor of \hbar from an additional loop can be cancelled by an additional factor of $m\hbar^{-1}$ [10]. Indeed such a phenomenon was pointed out long ago in the computation of the one-loop stress tensor form factor in scalar QED [10, 11], where the form factor can be naturally expanded as:

$$\langle p_2 | T_{\mu\nu}(q) | p_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4E_1 E_2}} [2P_\mu P_\nu F_1(q^2) + (q_\mu q_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} q^2) F_2(q^2)] \quad (1.1)$$

where $P = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}$ and $q = p_2 - p_1$. It was shown that the one-loop diagram in fig.1 indeed leads to non-trivial corrections to the scalar functions $F_1(q^2)$ and $F_2(q^2)$ in the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. This term has a classical field theory interpretation as well, which becomes manifest in the Breit frame; the charged scalar particle at the origin sources electromagnetic fields, and this in turn contributes to the stress tensor. The same analysis can be extended to spinning particles as well. It has been shown in [11, 12] that the same computation for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and spin-1 particles generate contributions to the form factor eq.(1.1) which is linear in spin variables, and this spin-linear contribution matches to the corresponding spin order contributions to the stress tensor of electromagnetic fields generated by spinning charged black holes(BH), also known as Kerr-Newman BHs.

More recently [13], the relation between Kerr-Newman and minimal coupling has been tested up to spin-1 via the computation of the classical potential utilizing the on-shell formulation introduced in [8]. However, similar to [5], due to the lack of prescription for Compton scattering beyond spin-1, the classical potential was only computed up to degree 2.


In this paper, we approach the stress tensor form factor from a completely on-shell viewpoint. To begin, we first demonstrate that the current form factor induced by the electromagnetic gauge potential of the Kerr-Newman black hole is indeed given by higher-spin particles

minimally coupled to photons. This is done by deriving the one-particle world-line effective action of a spinning particle from the electromagnetic background of the Kerr-Newman black hole solution, and demonstrating that the three-point amplitude constructed from this world-line effective action matches with that of minimal coupling in the classical-spin limit. Similar analysis was done for the comparison of gravitational minimal coupling and that of Kerr black hole [5].

After identifying the electromagnetic coupling, we proceed to compute the classical piece of the one-loop form factor via unitarity methods [14]. Note that in the original computation for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and spin-1 [11, 12], the basis for the stress tensor was constructed out of momenta and external line factors of the spinning-particle. Since the bases were constructed on a case-by-case basis, continuing this procedure for spins tending to the classical limit is not feasible. Here we introduce a universal basis which has easy access to the classical limit,

$$\langle p_2 | T_{\mu\nu}(q) | p_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4E_1 E_2}} [F_1 P_\mu P_\nu + 2F_2 P_{(\mu} E_{\nu)} + F_3 (q_\mu q_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} q^2) + F_4 E_\mu E_\nu] \quad (1.2)$$

where E_μ is defined in eq.(2.22). The one-loop form factor is essentially the one-loop amplitude with three massive legs, keeping in mind that $q^2 \neq 0$, and thus can be expanded on scalar triangle and bubble integrals. The coefficient for the scalar triangle can be computed from the triple-cut, which is given by the product of two electric minimal coupling and one stress-tensor form factor with photons as external states:



$$= \langle \gamma_{\ell_1} | T_{\mu\nu}(q) | \gamma_{\ell_2} \rangle \otimes A_3(1^s \ell_1 \ell_3^s) \otimes A_3(\ell_3^s \ell_2 2^s), \quad (1.3)$$

where each of these three-point coupling are uniquely determined kinematically. *Importantly, we take the classical-spin limit directly on the cut.* As a result, the two minimal couplings exponentiate, as discussed in [8], and the extraction of triangle coefficient is greatly simplified. Utilising the universal basis for the stress-tensor form factor we have presented, we derive the coefficients for these bases at leading order in $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ limit. The result is then compared to the photon stress-energy tensor, $T_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\mu\sigma} F^\sigma{}_\nu + \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$, evaluated on the electromagnetic potential of Kerr-Newman black hole, and we find complete agreement. This verifies that the set of minimal coupling completely reproduces the stress-tensor form factor to all orders in spin.

2 EM minimal coupling and the Kerr-Newman one-particle EFT

In this section, we review the Kerr-Schild representation of Kerr-Newman solution and extract data for amplitudes. By recasting the representation as a field configuration sourced by a point particle, the one-particle effective action for Kerr-Newman BHs to couple with Maxwell field is determined. From this one-particle effective action the three-point amplitude of Kerr-Newman BH coupling to a photon can be determined as minimal coupling, an electromagnetic analogue of Kerr BHs coupling minimally to gravitons shown in [5, 15]. Furthermore, we compute the classical stress tensor contribution from the electromagnetic field sourced by the Kerr-Newman BH in this representation, which is the matter stress tensor that enters in the Einstein's equation $G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$. The expression will be later matched to a corresponding computation using QFT techniques. We conclude this section with a brief comment on extracting the contribution to the metric sourced by the obtained stress tensor.

Note that it was already expected from known results that the vector potential of Kerr-Newman solution corresponds to electromagnetic minimal coupling; in the Kerr-Schild form, the single copy of the Kerr solution is the Kerr-Newman vector potential [16], and the single copy of gravitational minimal coupling is the photon minimal coupling.

2.1 Kerr-Schild form of Kerr-Newman solution

Kerr-Schild representation is a representation for solutions to Einstein's equations which reduces the non-linearities of GR to linear differential equations¹. One of the reasons this representation has regained interest recently is its relation to double copy relations; classical field configurations in this representation satisfy a generalised version of double copy relations originally found in scattering amplitudes [16]. Therefore, it is natural to assume that the most suitable representation for classical solutions when matching to scattering amplitudes is the Kerr-Schild representation. This has been demonstrated in [5, 6, 15], where Kerr-Schild representation of Kerr black holes were used to match amplitude computations. We wish to generalise this matching to Kerr-Newman BHs.

The Kerr-Newman solution in Kerr-Schild coordinates is given by²

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} - f k_\mu k_\nu \\
 f &= \frac{Gr^2}{r^4 + a^2 z^2} [2Mr - Q^2] \\
 k_\mu &= \left(1, \frac{rx + ay}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{ry - ax}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{z}{r} \right) \\
 A_\mu &= \frac{Qr^3}{r^4 + a^2 z^2} k_\mu
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

¹For a review, consult e.g. [17].

²Following usual conventions of GR literature, we use Gaussian units in this section.

where k^μ is null both in $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$, and r is implicitly defined by the relation

$$1 = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^2 + a^2} + \frac{z^2}{r^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

To simplify the expressions, we adopt the following definitions.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= R^1, & y &= R^2, & z &= R^3, \\ R &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \\ \Sigma &= \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2)^2 + 4a^2z^2} = \frac{r^4 + a^2z^2}{r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This notation is unfortunate in that components R^i can be mistaken for i -th power of the radial distance R , but the context will make clear which has been denoted so let us not introduce additional definitions. When computing the moments of the source, the following relations given in [18] will prove to be useful.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r}{\Sigma} &= \cos(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \frac{1}{R} \\ \frac{r}{\Sigma} \frac{\vec{R} \times \vec{a}}{r^2 + a^2} &= \sinh(\vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla}) \frac{1}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 One-particle effective action for photon coupling

In eq.(2.1) the vector potential A_μ is given as

$$A_\mu = \frac{Qr}{\Sigma} k_\mu \quad (2.5)$$

which does not satisfy the Lorenz gauge condition $\nabla^\mu A_\mu = 0$. The gauge connection $A'_\mu dx^\mu$ that satisfies the Lorenz gauge condition can be obtained from $A_\mu dx^\mu$ by the following gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} A'_\mu dx^\mu &= A_\mu dx^\mu - \frac{Q}{2} d \log(r^2 + a^2) \\ &= \frac{Qr}{\Sigma} \left[dt + \frac{a}{a^2 + r^2} (ydx - xdy) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

which, in dual representation, is

$$A'^\mu \partial_\mu = \frac{Qr}{\Sigma} \left[\partial_t - \frac{a}{a^2 + r^2} (y\partial_x - x\partial_y) \right] = \left(\frac{Qr}{\Sigma}, -\frac{Qr}{\Sigma} \frac{\vec{R} \times \vec{a}}{r^2 + a^2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Using eq.(2.4), the linearised gauge connection in Lorenz gauge eq.(2.7) can be written as

$$A'^\mu \partial_\mu = \left(\cos(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}), -\sinh(\vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla}) \right) \frac{Q}{R} \quad (2.8)$$

which can be covariantised by $u^\mu \partial_\mu = \partial_t$ [18]

$$A'^\mu = \left(u^\mu \cos(a \cdot \partial) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu a_\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{\sin(a \cdot \partial)}{a \cdot \partial} \right) \frac{Q}{R}. \quad (2.9)$$

This is equivalent to setting the source current j^μ as

$$\begin{aligned} j^\mu &= Q \int ds \left[u^\mu \cos(a \cdot \partial) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu a_\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{\sin(a \cdot \partial)}{a \cdot \partial} \right] \delta^4 [x - x_{\text{wl}}(s)] \\ &= Q \int ds \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[u^\mu \frac{(-a \cdot \partial)^n}{(2n)!} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu a_\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{(-a \cdot \partial)^n}{(2n+1)!} \right] \delta^4 [x - x_{\text{wl}}(s)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

which appears in the interaction term of the action

$$S_{\text{int}} = -4\pi \int d^4x A_\mu j^\mu. \quad (2.11)$$

The derivatives on the source can be replaced by derivatives on the gauge field A_μ through integration by parts.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{int}} &= - \int d^4x 4\pi Q \int ds \delta^4 [x - x_{\text{wl}}(s)] \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[u^\mu \frac{(-a \cdot \partial)^n}{(2n)!} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu a_\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{(-a \cdot \partial)^n}{(2n+1)!} \right] A_\mu(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The Lagrangian for the three-point vertex eq.(2.12) can be converted to EFT three-point amplitude by substituting the polarisation tensors, e.g. $A^\mu \rightarrow \epsilon^\mu$ [5, 15]. The photon is taken to have momentum p_3 and polarisation $\eta = \pm 1$, while u^μ is taken to be $u^\mu = \frac{p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu}{2m}$. All momenta are considered to be incoming.

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu A_\mu &\rightarrow \frac{x^\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu a_\alpha \partial_\beta A_\mu &\rightarrow \frac{x^\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\eta \frac{p_3 \cdot S}{m} \right) \\ -(a \cdot \partial)^2 &\rightarrow \left(\frac{p_3 \cdot S}{m} \right)^2 = \left(-\eta \frac{p_3 \cdot S}{m} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Summing up, the three-point amplitude becomes

$$M_s^\eta = \epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left[\frac{4\pi Q x^\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(-\eta \frac{q \cdot S}{m} \right)^n \right] \epsilon(\mathbf{1}) \quad (2.14)$$

which has a very similar structure to EFT graviton three-point coupling [5, 15]

$$M_s^{2\eta} = \epsilon^*(\mathbf{2}) \left[\frac{\kappa m x^{2\eta}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_S^n}{n!} \left(-\eta \frac{q \cdot S}{m} \right)^n \right] \epsilon(\mathbf{1}). \quad (2.15)$$

It is known that Kerr BHs have Wilson coefficients $C_S^n = 1$ in eq.(2.15), which reduces to minimal coupling in the asymptotic limit $s \rightarrow \infty$. The same argument will hold for the amplitude eq.(2.14), so the amplitude will reduce to minimal coupling in the same limit; minimal coupling of photons will reduce to Kerr-Newman BHs in the $s \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

2.3 Stress tensor of Kerr-Newman solution

The Kerr-Newman BH sources electromagnetic fields, and generated fields contribute to the stress tensor. This contribution is given by the electromagnetic stress tensor, which is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}F_{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu}{}^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{16\pi}\eta_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.16)$$

To compute this quantity, we need to determine the electromagnetic fields that the BH has generated. The computations are easier with vector calculus for 3d Euclidean space, so we will fix the frame to be the rest frame of the BH where BH is at the origin. From eq.(2.8) we may deduce the electric and magnetic fields as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= -\vec{\nabla} \cos(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \frac{Q}{R} \\ \vec{B} &= -\vec{\nabla} \times \sinh(\vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla}) \frac{Q}{R} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Since $\frac{1}{R}$ is harmonic, $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla})$ can be considered as $-\vec{\nabla}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla})$ in the above expression. Therefore an equivalent representation for the magnetic field is

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \sin(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \frac{Q}{R} \quad (2.18)$$

This motivates the following definition of holomorphic(anti-holomorphic) electromagnetic fields $\vec{H}(\vec{\bar{H}})$;

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{H} &= \vec{E} - i\vec{B} = -\vec{\nabla} e^{i\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}} \frac{Q}{R} = -\vec{\nabla} \frac{Q}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z + ia)^2}} = -\vec{\nabla} Q f(R) \\ \vec{\bar{H}} &= \vec{E} + i\vec{B} = -\vec{\nabla} e^{-i\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}} \frac{Q}{R} = -\vec{\nabla} \frac{Q}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - ia)^2}} = -\vec{\nabla} Q \bar{f}(R) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The functions $f(R)$ and $\bar{f}(R)$ are harmonic. The components of the stress tensor eq.(2.16) are determined from electromagnetic fields eq.(2.19) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= \frac{E^2 + B^2}{8\pi} = \frac{H \cdot \vec{\bar{H}}}{8\pi} = Q^2 \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}{8\pi\Sigma^3} \\ T_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})^i = -\frac{1}{8\pi i} (\vec{H} \times \vec{\bar{H}})^i = -Q^2 \frac{(\vec{a} \times \vec{R})^i}{4\pi\Sigma^3} \\ T_{ij} &= \frac{-E^i E^j - B^i B^j + \delta_{ij}(E^2 + B^2)}{8\pi} = \frac{-H^i \vec{\bar{H}}^j - \vec{\bar{H}}^i H^j + \delta_{ij} H \cdot \vec{\bar{H}}}{8\pi} \\ &= Q^2 \left(\frac{-R^i R^j - a^i a^j}{4\pi\Sigma^3} + \delta_{ij} \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2}{8\pi\Sigma^3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

The momentum space is defined as Fourier transform in 3-space; $\tilde{f}(\vec{q}) = \int f(\vec{r})e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}d^3r$. In momentum space, each component takes the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= -\frac{Q^2\pi}{8}qJ_0(\vec{a}\times\vec{q}) \\ T_{0i} &= -\frac{iQ^2\pi}{8}q[J_1(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})]^i = -\frac{iQ^2\pi}{8}q(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^i \left[\frac{J_1(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{\vec{a}\times\vec{q}} \right] \\ T_{ij} &= \frac{Q^2\pi}{8}q [(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^i(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^j] \left[\frac{J_2(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^2} \right] + \frac{Q^2\pi}{8} \frac{q^i q^j - q^2 \delta_{ij}}{q} \left[\frac{J_1(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{\vec{a}\times\vec{q}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The functions $\frac{J_n(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^n}$ are obtained by substituting powers of x^2 in $\frac{J_n(x)}{x^n}$ by powers of $(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^2$. In the above expression q^2 should be understood as $(\vec{q})^2$. To covariantise the expression, let us introduce the following definitions.

$$u^\mu = \frac{P^\mu}{m} = (1, \vec{0}), \quad E^\mu = -\frac{1}{m^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} P_\nu S_\lambda q_\sigma = (0, \vec{a}\times\vec{q}). \quad (2.22)$$

This sets

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8T_{\mu\nu}}{Q^2\pi\sqrt{-q^2}} &= -u_\mu u_\nu J_0(\vec{a}\times\vec{q}) + \left(-u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{q_\mu q_\nu - q^2 \eta_{\mu\nu}}{-q^2} + 2iu_{(\mu} E_{\nu)} \right) \left[\frac{J_1(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{\vec{a}\times\vec{q}} \right] \\ &\quad + E_\mu E_\nu \left[\frac{J_2(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where $q^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} q^\mu q^\nu = -(\vec{q})^2$. Note that the functions $\frac{J_n(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^n}$ can be expressed covariantly by modified Bessel's functions; $\frac{J_n(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})}{(\vec{a}\times\vec{q})^n} = \frac{I_n(E^\mu)}{(E^\mu)^n}$.

2.4 Obtaining the metric

The defining equation of general relativity, the Einstein's equations, relate the stress tensor to the Ricci tensor. Decomposing the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}$ into the background metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ with $\kappa = \sqrt{32\pi G}$, the Einstein's equation up to linear order in h takes the form

$$\square h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h - \partial^\alpha \partial_\mu h_{\nu\alpha} - \partial^\alpha \partial_\nu h_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{\kappa}{2} P_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\sigma} T_{\lambda\sigma} \quad (2.24)$$

where $h = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ is the trace of the metric perturbation and $P_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\sigma} = \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma}$ is the trace-reverser. Adopting the de Donder gauge or the harmonic gauge $g^{\nu\lambda} \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu = 0$ simplifies this equation into

$$\square \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.25)$$

where $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda\sigma} h_{\lambda\sigma}$ is the trace-reversed metric perturbation. If the stress tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is traceless as in eq.(2.16), the trace-reverser in front of $h_{\mu\nu}$ can be dropped.

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \square h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.26)$$

The metric up to this order can be obtained by solving the above equation. This has been demonstrated in [11, 12].

The explicit form of harmonic coordinates for Kerr-Newman BHs has been obtained in [19], which has an all-order expansion in G and Q^2 . Since we are only interested in metric components of order GQ^2 , we expand the explicit metric given in [19] in powers of G and Q^2 and then extract the wanted term. The result is;

$$\frac{ds^2|_{GQ^2}}{GQ^2} = \frac{dt^2}{\Sigma} + \frac{2a(ydx - xdy)dt}{\Sigma(r^2 + a^2)} + \frac{\left[a(ydx - xdy) + r(xdx + ydy + z(1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2})dz) \right]^2}{\Sigma(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

where $ds^2|_{GQ^2}$ denotes terms in the metric linear in GQ^2 . Taking the d'Alembertian of metric perturbation components as in eq.(2.26) and comparing with the obtained stress tensor eq.(2.20) shows that the results are consistent.

3 Kerr-Newman stress tensor from form factors

In this section, we begin the evaluation of the classical piece of the stress tensor via eq.(1.3). As in [4, 5, 15], the classical component only requires the calculation of the triangle coefficient, which can be captured by the triangle cut eq.(1.3). It should be stressed again that $q^2 \neq 0$ to capture the form factors, so that the stress tensor is coupled to an *on-shell massive spin-2 particle*:

$$\langle p_2 | T_{\mu\nu} | p_1 \rangle = \frac{M_3(q, p_1, -p_2)}{2m} \quad (3.1)$$

Here, we aim to capture the stress tensor in all orders in spins by evaluating the amplitude of finite spin particles in infinite spin limit. As argued in [15], it is rather delicate to obtain a correct classical prediction from amplitudes of finite spins. Taking the infinite spin limit is an easy fix of the problem, which will ultimately lead to (2.23).³

3.1 Kinematical set-up

In this paper, we follow the parametrization of momenta introduced in [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= (E, -\vec{q}/2) = |\lambda\rangle[\eta] + |\eta\rangle[\lambda] \\ p_2 &= (E, +\vec{q}/2) = \beta|\lambda\rangle[\eta] + \frac{1}{\beta}|\eta\rangle[\lambda] + |\lambda\rangle[\lambda] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and the momentum transfer:

$$q = p_2 - p_1 = (0, \vec{q}) = |\lambda\rangle[\lambda] + \mathcal{O}(\beta - 1) = K + \mathcal{O}(\beta - 1) \quad (3.3)$$

in the Holomorphic Classical Limit (HCL) introduced in [4] where $q^2 = 0$ without $|\vec{q}| \rightarrow 0$. The triangle coefficient of eq.(1.3) is captured by the following integral:

$$\begin{aligned} LS &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{h_1, h_2 = \pm 1} \int_{\Gamma_{LS}} d^4L \delta(L^2 - m^2) \delta(k_3^2) \delta(k_4^2) \\ &\quad \times M_3(q, -k_3^{h_1}, -k_4^{h_2}) \times M_3(1^s, k_3^{-h_1}, -L) \times M_3(-2^s, k_4^{-h_2}, L) \\ &= \sum_{h_1, h_2} \frac{\beta}{16(\beta^2 - 1)m^2} \int_{\Gamma_{LS}} \frac{dy}{y} M_3(q, -k_3^{h_1}, -k_4^{h_2}) \times M_3(1^s, k_3^{-h_1}, -L^s) \times M_3(-2^s, k_4^{-h_2}, L^s) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The two three-point amplitudes corresponding to Kerr-Newman BHs coupling to photons are minimally coupled three-point amplitudes, since we have identified the photon coupling

³Note that in principle one also needs to conduct Hilbert space matching, which can lead to modification to terms involving the spin-orbit coupling. However, for three-point kinematics in the infinite spin limit such terms are irrelevant.

with Kerr-Newman BHs as minimal coupling in section 2.2. Here we adopt the contour integral representation of the 3pt amplitude introduced in [15]

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3(-2^s, k_4^\eta, L^s) &= x_2^\eta \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \right) \left(\frac{[\mathbf{2L}] - \langle \mathbf{2L} \rangle}{2m} + \frac{\eta [\mathbf{2}|k_4|\mathbf{L}] + \langle \mathbf{2}|k_4|\mathbf{L} \rangle}{z 4m^2} \right) \\
M_3(1^s, k_3^\eta, -L^s) &= x_1^\eta \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \right) \left(\frac{[\mathbf{L1}] - \langle \mathbf{L1} \rangle}{2m} + \frac{\eta [\mathbf{L}|k_3|\mathbf{1}] + \langle \mathbf{L}|k_3|\mathbf{1} \rangle}{z 4m^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The amplitude $M_3(q, -k_3^{h_1}, -k_4^{h_2})$ is uniquely fixed by three-point kinematics [8]:

$$M_3(q, -k_3^{+1}, -k_4^{-1}) = -\frac{\lambda_{k_4}^4 [k_3 k_4]^2}{M_{pl} M^2}, \quad M_3(q, -k_3^{-1}, -k_4^{+1}) = -\frac{\lambda_{k_3}^4 [k_3 k_4]^2}{M_{pl} M^2} \tag{3.6}$$

where $M^2 = q^2$. For our purposes, we want these amplitudes to be in the symmetric basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3^{\text{sym}}(q, -k_3^{+1}, -k_4^{-1}) &= -\frac{\lambda_{k_4, \alpha} \lambda_{k_4, \beta} \lambda_{k_4}^\gamma \lambda_{k_4}^\delta [k_3 k_4]^2 q_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}} q_{\delta \dot{\beta}}}{M_{pl} M^2} \frac{q_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}}}{M} \frac{q_{\delta \dot{\beta}}}{M} = -\frac{\lambda_{k_4, \alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{k_3, \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{k_4, \beta} \tilde{\lambda}_{k_3, \dot{\beta}}}{M_{pl}} \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{\langle k_4 | \sigma_\mu | k_3 \rangle \langle k_4 | \sigma_\nu | k_3 \rangle}{M_{pl}} \\
M_3^{\text{sym}}(q, -k_3^{-1}, -k_4^{+1}) &= -\frac{\lambda_{k_3, \alpha} \lambda_{k_3, \beta} \lambda_{k_3}^\gamma \lambda_{k_3}^\delta [k_3 k_4]^2 q_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}} q_{\delta \dot{\beta}}}{M_{pl} M^2} \frac{q_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}}}{M} \frac{q_{\delta \dot{\beta}}}{M} = -\frac{\lambda_{k_3, \alpha} \tilde{\lambda}_{k_4, \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{k_3, \beta} \tilde{\lambda}_{k_4, \dot{\beta}}}{M_{pl}} \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{\langle k_3 | \sigma_\mu | k_4 \rangle \langle k_3 | \sigma_\nu | k_4 \rangle}{M_{pl}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

3.2 Evaluating the integrand

Combining all the intermediate results, the integrand can be separated into a spin-independent part and a spin-dependent part where wanted terms are picked out from the residue integral.

$$(M_3)^3 = (M_3^{s=0})^3 \oint \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i z_2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_1^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_2^n \right) F(-\mathbf{2}, k_4, k_3, \mathbf{1})^{2s} \tag{3.8}$$

The spin-independent part is given as

$$(M_3^{s=0})^3 = m^2 \alpha_q^2 \left(\frac{\langle k_4 | L | \zeta_1 \rangle}{\langle k_4 \zeta_1 \rangle m} \right)^{\eta_1} \left(\frac{\langle k_3 | p_1 | \zeta_2 \rangle}{\langle k_3 \zeta_2 \rangle m} \right)^{\eta_2} M_3(-k_4^{-\eta_1}, -k_3^{-\eta_2}, \mathbf{q}) \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3(-k_4^+, -k_3^-, \mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\langle k_3 | \sigma_\mu | k_4 \rangle \langle k_3 | \sigma_\nu | k_4 \rangle}{M_{pl}} \\
M_3(-k_4^-, -k_3^+, \mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\langle k_4 | \sigma_\mu | k_3 \rangle \langle k_4 | \sigma_\nu | k_3 \rangle}{M_{pl}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The residue integrand for the spin-dependent part is

$$\begin{aligned}
F(-\mathbf{2}, k_4, k_3, \mathbf{1}) &= \left(\frac{[\mathbf{2L}] - \langle \mathbf{2L} \rangle}{2m} + \frac{\eta_1 [\mathbf{2}|k_4|\mathbf{L}] + \langle \mathbf{2}|k_4|\mathbf{L} \rangle}{z_1 4m^2} \right) \times \left(\frac{[\mathbf{L1}] - \langle \mathbf{L1} \rangle}{2m} + \frac{\eta_2 [\mathbf{L}|k_3|\mathbf{1}] + \langle \mathbf{L}|k_3|\mathbf{1} \rangle}{z_2 4m^2} \right) \\
&= \bar{u}(2)u(1) - \left(\frac{\eta_1 k_4^\mu}{z_1} + \frac{\eta_2 k_3^\mu}{z_2} \right) S_{1/2}^\mu + \frac{k_3^\mu}{2m} \left(\frac{p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu}{2m} \bar{u}(2)u(1) - \frac{q^\nu}{2m} \bar{u}(2)\gamma_{\mu\nu}u(1) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\eta_1}{z_1} + \frac{\eta_2}{z_2} \right) \left(\frac{q^2}{8m^2} \bar{u}(2)\gamma^5 u(1) + \frac{k_4^\mu k_3^\nu}{4m^2} \bar{u}(2)\gamma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u(1) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2}{z_1 z_2} \left(\frac{q^2}{8m^2} \bar{u}(2)u(1) + \frac{k_4^\mu k_3^\nu}{4m^2} \bar{u}(2)\gamma_{\mu\nu}u(1) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ and $\gamma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$. The definition for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ spin vector $S_{1/2}^\mu$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{u}(p_2)u(p_1) &= \frac{[\mathbf{21}] - \langle \mathbf{21} \rangle}{2m} \\
S_{1/2}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{u}(p_2)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 u(p_1) = -\frac{1}{4m} ([\mathbf{2}|\sigma^\mu|\mathbf{1}] + \langle \mathbf{2}|\sigma^\mu|\mathbf{1} \rangle)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In the HCL only the first two terms of F survive:

$$\begin{aligned}
F &\xrightarrow{HCL} 1 - \left(\frac{\eta_1 k_4^\mu}{z_1} + \frac{\eta_2 k_3^\mu}{z_2} \right) \frac{S_{1/2}^\mu}{m} \\
&= 1 - \eta \left(\frac{k_4^\mu}{z_1} - \frac{k_3^\mu}{z_2} \right) \frac{S_{1/2}^\mu}{m} = 1 + \eta \left[\frac{(y-1)^2}{4z_1 y} + \frac{(y+1)^2}{4z_2 y} \right] \frac{K \cdot S_{1/2}}{m} + \mathcal{O}(\beta - 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\eta = \eta_1$ is defined as the helicity of the k_4 photon on the 2 massive amplitude leg. Going to $s \rightarrow \infty$ limit and adopting the definition $\frac{1}{2s}S^\mu = S_{1/2}^\mu$, the F term can be written as

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F^{2s} = \exp \left\{ \eta \left[\frac{(y-1)^2}{4z_1 y} + \frac{(y+1)^2}{4z_2 y} \right] \frac{K \cdot S}{m} \right\} \equiv \exp[\eta f \times (a \cdot q)] \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$f \equiv \frac{(y-1)^2}{4z_1 y} + \frac{(y+1)^2}{4z_2 y} \tag{3.15}$$

Summarising, the integrand becomes

$$(M_3)^3 \xrightarrow{HCL} (M_3^{s=0})^3 \oint dZ \exp[\eta f \times (a \cdot q)] \tag{3.16}$$

where we adopted the definition

$$\oint dZ \equiv \oint \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i z_2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_1^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_2^n \right) \tag{3.17}$$

for simplicity.

3.3 Comparison with classical computations

The classical computation result eq.(2.23) takes the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = F_1 P_\mu P_\nu + 2F_2 P_{(\mu} E_{\nu)} + F_3 (q_\mu q_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} q^2) + F_4 E_\mu E_\nu \quad (3.18)$$

We can extract the F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 coefficients by contracting the integrand with different vectors or tensors to get a set of linear combinations of these four coefficients. By solving the equations, we show that the solution is consistent with the HCL version of eq.(2.23) where $(\vec{a} \times \vec{q})^2 = |\vec{a}|^2 |\vec{q}|^2 - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2 \xrightarrow{\text{HCL}} -(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2$. In this limit, the Bessel's function expressions of (2.23) are converted to modified Bessel's function expressions.

$$\frac{J_n(\vec{a} \times \vec{q})}{(\vec{a} \times \vec{q})^n} \xrightarrow{\text{HCL}} \frac{I_n(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)^n} \quad (3.19)$$

The kinematical set-up we are using implies the conditions

$$P \cdot q = P \cdot E = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

so that we will be solving the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu &= m^4 F_1 - m^2 q^2 F_3 \\ T_{\mu\nu} P^\mu E^\nu &= m^2 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2 F_2 \\ T_{\mu\nu} E^\mu E^\nu &= (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2 (F_4 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2 - q^2 F_3) \\ T_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} &= m^2 F_1 - 3q^2 F_3 + (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{q})^2 F_4 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where the last line is the traceless condition of the stress tensor. In the following sections, we explicitly show the computations of $T_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu$, $T_{\mu\nu} E^\mu P^\nu$ and $T_{\mu\nu} E^\mu E^\nu$.

Computing $T_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu$

Contracting the stress tensor with $P_\mu P_\nu$ can help us read out the terms proportional to $P_\mu P_\nu$ and the $\eta_{\mu\nu} q^2$ part

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_3 | P | k_4 \rangle &= -(\beta - 1) m^2 \\ \langle k_4 | P | k_3 \rangle &= -\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta} m^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $P = (1 - \alpha)P_3 + \alpha P_4$. Note that the above results are independent of α . This means⁴

$$(M_3^{s=0})^3|_{\eta=1} = (M_3^{s=0})^3|_{\eta=-1} = \frac{(\beta - 1)^2}{\beta} m^4 \quad (3.23)$$

⁴Overall factor of $\frac{\alpha_q^2}{2mM_{pl}}$ temporarily suppressed, where the $2m$ in the denominator comes from the flux normalization

for $T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu$. Therefore in the HCL the integrand will take the form

$$(M_3)^3 = 2m^6(\beta - 1)^2 \oint dZ \cosh[f \times (a \cdot q)] + \mathcal{O}(\beta - 1)^3 \quad (3.24)$$

To compare with the classical computation eq.(2.23), we need to expand the integrand as a series in $(a \cdot q)^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu &= \frac{\beta}{16m^2(\beta^2 - 1)} \int \frac{dy}{y} (M_3)^3 = \frac{(\beta - 1)\beta m^4}{8(\beta + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{dy}{y} \oint dZ \frac{f^{2n}}{(2n)!} (a \cdot q)^{2n} \\ &= -\frac{(\beta - 1)\beta m^4}{8(\beta + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} (a \cdot q)^{2n} = -\frac{(\beta - 1)\beta m^4}{8(\beta + 1)} I_0(a \cdot q) \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where I_0 is the modified Bessel's functions.

Computing $T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu E^\nu$

The vector E_μ can be spanned in the $u_\mu, v_\mu, K_\mu, R_\mu$ basis:

$$E^\mu = \frac{i}{m^2} \left[\left(u^\mu - v^\mu + \frac{\beta^2 - 1}{\beta} R^\mu \right) (K \cdot S) - K^\mu S \cdot \left(u - v + \frac{\beta^2 - 1}{\beta} R \right) \right] \quad (3.26)$$

Contracting E_μ with $\langle k_4 | \sigma^\mu | k_3 \rangle$ and $\langle k_3 | \sigma^\mu | k_4 \rangle$ yields

$$\langle k_4 | E | k_3 \rangle = \frac{i(y^2 + 1)}{2y} (q \cdot S)(\beta - 1) + \mathcal{O}(\beta - 1)^2 = -\langle k_3 | E | k_4 \rangle \quad (3.27)$$

Combining with eq.(3.22), the integrand in the HCL will take the form

$$(M_3)^3 = -\frac{im^5(y^2 + 1)(q \cdot a)}{y} (\beta - 1)^2 \oint dZ \sinh[f \times (q \cdot a)] \quad (3.28)$$

Evaluating the y, z_1 and z_2 integrals then:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu E^\nu &= \frac{\beta}{16m^2(\beta^2 - 1)} \int \frac{dy}{y} (M_3)^3 \\ &= \frac{-im^3\beta(\beta - 1)}{16(\beta + 1)} \int \frac{dy}{y} \frac{(y^2 + 1)}{y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oint dZ \frac{f^{2n+1}}{(2n + 1)!} (q \cdot a)^{2n+2} \\ &= \frac{im^3\beta(\beta - 1)}{8(\beta + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a \cdot q)^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}n!(n + 1)!} = \frac{im^3\beta(\beta - 1)}{8(\beta + 1)} (a \cdot q) I_1(a \cdot q) \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Solving for F_2 yields

$$F_2 = \frac{im\beta(\beta - 1)}{8(\beta + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a \cdot q)^{2n}}{2^{2n+1}n!(n + 1)!} = \frac{im\beta(\beta - 1)}{8(\beta + 1)} \frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{a \cdot q} \quad (3.30)$$

Computing $T_{\mu\nu}E^\mu E^\nu$

From eq.(3.27)

$$\langle k_4|E|k_3\rangle^2 = \langle k_3|E|k_4\rangle^2 = -\frac{(y^2+1)^2}{4y^2}(q \cdot S)^2(\beta-1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\beta-1)^3 \quad (3.31)$$

The integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}E^\mu E^\nu &= \frac{\beta}{16m^2(\beta^2-1)} \int \frac{dy}{y} (M_3)^3 \\ &= -\frac{\beta(\beta-1)m^2}{32(\beta+1)} (q \cdot a)^2 \int \frac{dy}{y} \frac{(y^2+1)^2}{y^2} \oint dZ \cosh[f \times (a \cdot q)] \\ &= \frac{\beta(\beta-1)m^2}{16(\beta+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{2^{2n}n!(n+1)!} (a \cdot q)^{2n+2} \\ &= \frac{\beta(\beta-1)m^2}{8(\beta+1)} [(a \cdot q)^2 I_2(a \cdot q) + (a \cdot q) I_1(a \cdot q)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Solving for the coefficients F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4

The solution for eq.(3.21) is

- F_1

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{T_{\mu\nu}E^\mu E^\nu}{(a \cdot q)^2} + \frac{2}{m^4} T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu = -\frac{1}{m^2} \frac{(\beta-1)\beta m^2}{8(\beta+1)} \left[\frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)} + I_0(a \cdot q) \right] \quad (3.33)$$

- F_2

$$F_2 = \frac{im^2\beta(\beta-1)}{8(\beta+1)} \frac{1}{m} \frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{a \cdot q} \quad (3.34)$$

- F_3

$$F_3 = \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\frac{T_{\mu\nu}E^\mu E^\nu}{(a \cdot q)^2} + \frac{T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}{m^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{q^2} \frac{(\beta-1)\beta m^2}{8(\beta+1)} \frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)} \quad (3.35)$$

- F_4

$$F_4 = \frac{2T_{\mu\nu}E^\mu E^\nu}{(a \cdot q)^4} + \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{T_{\mu\nu}P^\mu P^\nu}{(a \cdot q)^2} = \frac{(\beta-1)\beta m^2}{8(\beta+1)} \frac{I_2(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)^2} \quad (3.36)$$

In summary, the stress tensor from calculating the amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{|\vec{q}|}{32} \frac{\alpha_q^2}{M_{pl}} \left\{ -\frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{m^2} \left[\frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)} + I_0(a \cdot q) \right] + 2i \frac{P_{(\mu} E_{\nu)}}{m} \frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} q^2}{q^2} \frac{I_1(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)} + E_\mu E_\nu \frac{I_2(a \cdot q)}{(a \cdot q)^2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

which indeed reproduces the HCL version of eq.(2.23).

4 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Sangmin Lee for helpful discussions. MZC and YTH is supported by MoST Grant No. 106-2628-M-002-012-MY3. YTH is also supported by Golden Jade fellowship. The work of JWK was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant 2016R1D1A1B03935179. JWK was also supported in part by Kwanjeong Educational Foundation.

A Parametrization

The parametrization of the momenta is

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= |\lambda\rangle\langle\eta| + |\eta\rangle\langle\lambda| \\ p_2 &= \beta|\lambda\rangle\langle\eta| + \frac{1}{\beta}|\eta\rangle\langle\lambda| + |\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and the loop momenta k_3 and k_4 are

$$\begin{aligned} k_3 &= \frac{1}{\beta+1} \left(|\eta\rangle(\beta^2-1)y - \frac{1}{y}|\lambda\rangle(1+\beta y) \right) \times \frac{-1}{\beta+1} \left([\eta](\beta^2-1)y + [\lambda](1+\beta y) \right) \\ k_4 &= \frac{1}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{1}{\beta}|\eta\rangle(\beta^2-1) + \frac{1}{y}|\lambda\rangle(1-y) \right) \times \frac{-1}{\beta+1} \left(-\beta[\eta](\beta^2-1)y + [\lambda](1-\beta^2 y) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For convenience, we introduce the basis vectors

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\langle\eta|\sigma^\mu|\lambda\rangle, v^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\langle\lambda|\sigma^\mu|\eta\rangle \\ K^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\langle\lambda|\sigma^\mu|\lambda\rangle, R^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\langle\eta|\sigma^\mu|\eta\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

So that the x factor are parametrized by

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \frac{\langle\zeta_1|L|k_4\rangle}{\langle k_4\zeta_1\rangle m} = -y\beta \\ x_1 &= \frac{\langle\zeta_2|p_1|k_3\rangle}{\langle k_3\zeta_2\rangle m} = +y \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and the vectors in the spin2- γ - γ leg are parametrized by

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\langle k_3|\sigma_\mu|k_4\rangle &= -\frac{(\beta-1)(\beta^2 y-1)}{\beta+1}u_\mu + \frac{(\beta-1)\beta(\beta y+1)}{\beta+1}v_\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{(\beta y+1)(\beta^2 y-1)}{(\beta+1)^2 y}K_\mu - (\beta-1)^2\beta y R_\mu \\ -\frac{1}{2}\langle k_4|\sigma_\mu|k_3\rangle &= \frac{(\beta-1)(\beta y+1)}{\beta(\beta+1)}u_\mu - \frac{(\beta-1)(y-1)}{\beta+1}v_\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{(y-1)(\beta y+1)}{(\beta+1)^2 y}K_\mu + \frac{(\beta-1)^2 y}{\beta}R_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

References

- [1] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, L. Plant and P. Vanhove, *Bending of Light in Quantum Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 061301, [[1410.7590](#)].
- [2] D. A. Kosower, B. Maybee and D. O’Connell, *Amplitudes, Observables, and Classical Scattering*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 137, [[1811.10950](#)].
- [3] B. Maybee, D. O’Connell and J. Vines, *Observables and amplitudes for spinning particles and black holes*, [1906.09260](#).
- [4] A. Guevara, *Holomorphic Classical Limit for Spin Effects in Gravitational and Electromagnetic Scattering*, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 033, [[1706.02314](#)].
- [5] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang, J.-W. Kim and S. Lee, *The simplest massive S-matrix: from minimal coupling to Black Holes*, [1812.08752](#).
- [6] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov and J. Vines, *Scattering of Spinning Black Holes from Exponentiated Soft Factors*, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 056, [[1812.06895](#)].
- [7] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y.-t. Huang and D. O’Connell, *Kerr black holes as elementary particles*, *arxiv.org: 1906.10100* (2019) .
- [8] N. Arkani-Hamed, T.-C. Huang and Y.-t. Huang, *Scattering Amplitudes For All Masses and Spins*, [1709.04891](#).
- [9] Y.-t. Huang, U. Kol and D. O’Connell, *The Double Copy of Electric-Magnetic Duality*, [1911.06318](#).
- [10] B. R. Holstein and J. F. Donoghue, *Classical physics and quantum loops*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 201602, [[hep-th/0405239](#)].
- [11] J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, B. Garbrecht and T. Konstandin, *Quantum corrections to the Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr-Newman metrics*, *Phys. Lett.* **B529** (2002) 132–142, [[hep-th/0112237](#)].
- [12] B. R. Holstein, *Metric modifications for a massive spin 1 particle*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 084030, [[gr-qc/0607051](#)].
- [13] N. Moynihan, *Kerr-Newman from Minimal Coupling*, [1909.05217](#).
- [14] D. Forde, *Direct extraction of one-loop integral coefficients*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 125019, [[0704.1835](#)].
- [15] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang and J.-W. Kim, *From quantized spins to rotating black holes*, [1908.08463](#).
- [16] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell and C. D. White, *Black holes and the double copy*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 056, [[1410.0239](#)].
- [17] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003. 10.1017/CBO9780511535185.
- [18] J. Vines, *Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effective-one-body mappings*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35** (2018) 084002, [[1709.06016](#)].

- [19] W. Lin and C. Jiang, *Exact and unique metric for Kerr-Newman black hole in harmonic coordinates*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 087502.