

Clique and cycle frequencies in a sparse random graph model with overlapping communities

Tommi Gröhn Joonas Karjalainen Lasse Leskelä*

December 2, 2019

Abstract

A statistical network model with overlapping communities can be generated as a superposition of mutually independent random graphs of varying size. The model is parameterized by number of nodes, number of communities, distribution of community sizes, and the link probability inside the communities. This model admits sparse parameter regimes with power-law limiting degree distributions, and nonvanishing clustering coefficient. This article presents large-scale approximations of clique and cycle frequencies for graph samples generated by this model, which are valid for regimes with bounded and unbounded number of overlapping communities.

1 Model description

We study the following random graph model with overlapping communities, as studied in [KvLL18], see also [PV18]. Fix integers $m, n \geq 1$, a probability distribution π on $\{0, \dots, n\}$, and a number $0 \leq q \leq 1$. Let G_1, \dots, G_m be mutually independent random graphs, called *communities*, such that for each $k = 1, \dots, m$, the node set $V(G_k)$ is a random subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ distributed according to

$$\mathbb{P}(V(G_k) = U) = \pi(|U|) \binom{n}{|U|}^{-1}, \quad U \subset \{1, \dots, n\},$$

and $E(G_k)$ is random set of unordered node pairs in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ distributed according to

$$\mathbb{P}(E(G_k) = F \mid V(G_k) = U) = (1 - q)^{\binom{|U|}{2} - |F|} q^{|F|}, \quad F \subset \binom{U}{2}.$$

The community G_k can be constructed by first sampling the community size X_k from π , then sampling a uniformly random node set $V(G_k)$ of size X_k

*Aalto University School of Science, Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Otakaari 1 Espoo, Finland. math.aalto.fi/~lleskela/

from $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and then linking each node pair of $V(G_k)$ with probability q , independently of other node pairs.

Given the list communities G_1, \dots, G_m , a random graph G is defined as an undirected graph with node set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and link set $E(G) = \cup_{k=1}^m E(G_k)$. When $q = 1$, and especially when π belongs to the family of binomial distributions, this reduces to a type of the well-studied random intersection graph, see e.g. [KSSC99, RS10, BK17, KL17].

1.1 Large-scale assumptions

A large random network is modeled using a sequence of random graphs ($G^{(n)} : n \geq 1$) indexed by the graph size n , so that $G^{(n)}$ is parameterized by (m_n, n, π_n, q_n) . We study limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we assume that $\pi_n \rightarrow \pi$ weakly for some probability distribution π on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We denote $(\pi)_s = \sum_x (x)_s \pi(x)$ with $(x)_s = x(x-1) \cdots (x-s+1)$. For convenience, we often omit the scale parameter n and denote $m = m_n$, $G = G^{(n)}$, and so on.

2 Results

Let G be a graph on node set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and let K_n be the complete graph on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $\text{Sub}(R, G)$ the collection of R -isomorphic subgraphs of G . Our main results concern the subgraph frequencies for connected graphs R , i.e.,

$$N_R = \sum_{R' \in \text{Sub}(R, K_n)} 1(G \supset R').$$

Throughout this paper we assume that $n \gg 1$, and R does not depend on n .

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a connected graph on r nodes with s links. Assume that $m = O(n)$ and $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}N_R \asymp mq^s.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a connected graph on r nodes with s links. Assume that $m = O(n)$, $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$, $(\pi)_{2r} = O(1)$, and $mq^s \gg 1$. Then*

$$N_R = (1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1))\mathbb{E}N_R.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $m = O(n)$, $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$, $(\pi)_{2r} = O(1)$, and $mq^{\binom{r}{2}} \gg 1$. Then for the r -clique K_r it holds that*

$$N_{K_r} = (1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1))(r!)^{-1}(\pi)_r mq^{\binom{r}{2}}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $m = O(n)$, $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$, $(\pi)_{2r} = O(1)$, and $mq^r \gg 1$. Then for the r -cycle C_r it holds that*

$$N_{C_r} = (1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1))(2r)^{-1}(\pi)_r mq^r.$$

We note that when the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold, since $\mathbb{E}N_{K_r} = (r!)^{-1}(\pi)_r m q^{\binom{r}{2}}$ and $\mathbb{E}N_{C_r} = (2r)^{-1}(\pi)_r m q^r$, it follows from Markov's inequality that $N_{K_r} = 0$ w.h.p. if $q \ll m^{1/\binom{r}{2}}$, and that $N_{C_r} = 0$ w.h.p. if $q \ll m^{1/r}$.

As an example, consider $r = 4$ when $(\pi)_4 = \Theta(1)$ and $(\pi)_8 = O(1)$. When $m^{-1/4} \ll q \ll m^{-1/6}$, w.h.p. G contains 4-cycles but no 4-cliques. This is in contrast with the case where q is a constant, and illustrates the flexibility gained by letting $q = q_n$.

3 Proofs

3.1 Analysis of expected frequencies

Let $\mathcal{P}_t(R)$ be the collection of all partitions of $E(R)$ into t nonempty sets. We represent $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_t)$ as an ordered list with $E_1 < E_2 < \dots$ for some total order on the subsets of $E(R)$. Clearly, $|E_1| + |E_2| + \dots + |E_t| = |E_R|$. We denote the node set $V_{E_i} = \cup_{\{v_1, v_2\} \in E_i} (\{v_1\} \cup \{v_2\})$. We denote by $p_r = \frac{(\pi)_r}{\binom{r}{r}}$ the probability that community 1 contains given r nodes. For a link partition \mathcal{E} and a vector of distinct communities k , we define the event $F_{\mathcal{E}, k} = \{E(G_{k_1}) \supset E_1, \dots, E(G_{k_t}) \supset E_t\}$. We make repeatedly use of the fact that for a graph with s links

$$\{G \supset R\} = \bigcup_{t=1}^s \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \bigcup_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} F_{\mathcal{E}, k}, \quad (3.1)$$

and for a link partition $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)$ and a vector of t distinct communities k

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) = p_{|V_{E_1}|} q^{|E_1|} \dots p_{|V_{E_t}|} q^{|E_t|}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m = O(n)$ and $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$. For a connected graph R on r nodes with s links it holds that*

$$\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) \asymp m q^s n^{-r}.$$

Proof. The lower bound follows from

$$\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) \geq \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_1(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]} q^s p_r = m \frac{(\pi)_r}{\binom{r}{r}} q^s \asymp m q^s n^{-r}.$$

For the other direction we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) = q^s \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} O(m^t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|}),$$

so it suffices to show that $m^t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|} = O(m n^{-r})$ for all t and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)$. We do this by bounding $-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|$ from below.

Since R does not have isolated nodes, $|V(R)| = |\cup_{i=1}^t V_{E_i}|$. Because R is also connected, we may relabel E_1, \dots, E_t so that V_{E_i} overlaps $\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}$ for all $i \geq 2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(R)| &= |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2}^t |V_{E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}| \\ &\leq |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2}^t (|V_{E_i}| - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}| - (t-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}| \geq r + t - 1$, and we conclude that $q^s m^t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|} \leq q^s m^{t-1} n^{-(t-1)} m n^{-r} = O(q^s m n^{-r})$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m = O(n)$ and $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$. For a connected graph R on r nodes with s links it holds that*

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R) \asymp m q^s n^{-r}.$$

Proof. By the union bound and Lemma 3.1 we have $\mathbb{P}(G \supset R) = O(m q^s n^{-r})$.

For the other direction we note that by the independence of the communities

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k \in [m]} \{E(G_k) \supset E(R)\}\right) = 1 - (1 - q^s p_r)^m.$$

The binomial theorem gives $1 - (1 - q^s p_r)^m = m q^s p_r (1 + O(m q^s p_r))$. The claim follows from $p_r \asymp n^{-r}$ and $m q^s p_r \leq m p_r = O(n^{1-r}) = o(1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $\mathbb{E}N_R = \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{|\text{Aut}(R)|} \mathbb{P}(G \supset R)$, the claim follows from $p_r \asymp n^{-r}$ and Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a connected graph on r nodes with s links, and assume $m = O(n)$ and $(\pi)_r = O(1)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}).$$

Proof. The upper bound follows from the union bound applied to (3.1). For the lower bound, define

$$L = \sum_{t_1, t_2} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} \sum_{k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}}, F_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)}}) 1((\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}) \neq (\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)})),$$

where $t_i \in [s]$, $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_i}(R)$, and $k^{(i)} \in [m]_{\neq}^{t_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$. By Bonferroni's inequality it suffices to show that $L = O(qn^{-1}) \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k})$.

Let $t = |k^{(1)} \cup k^{(2)}|$, and let $k \in [m]_{\neq}^t$ be a vector that contains all the elements of $k^{(1)}$ and $k^{(2)}$ exactly once. Define $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_t)$, where

$$E_i = \bigcup_{j=1,2} \{E_i^{(j)} \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)} : k_i^{(j)} = k_i\}.$$

Each E_i is then a union of at most two sets. We note that

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}}, F_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)}}) = \mathbb{P}(G_{k_1} \supset E_1, \dots, G_{k_t} \supset E_t).$$

We choose a link e such that $e \in E_i^{(1)} \cap E_j^{(2)}$ and $k_i^{(1)} \neq k_j^{(2)}$ for some i, j , where $E_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ and $E_j^{(2)} \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$. If $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} = \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$, there is an index i such that $k_i^{(1)} \neq k_i^{(2)}$, and we may choose any link from $E_i^{(1)}$. If $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \neq \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$, then let e^* be a link in $E_i^{(1)} \cap E_j^{(2)}$, where $E_i^{(1)} \neq E_j^{(2)}$. If $k_i \neq k_j$, we set $e = e^*$. If $k_i = k_j$, we set $e \in E_i^{(1)} \cup E_j^{(2)} \setminus (E_i^{(1)} \cap E_j^{(2)})$.

Since R is connected, we may relabel E_1, \dots, E_t so that $V_{E_i} \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}) \neq \emptyset$ for $i \geq 2$. Let E_{l_1} and E_{l_2} be the parts of \mathcal{E} that contain e , where $l_1 < l_2$. Now

$$|V(R)| = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^t V_{E_i} \right| = |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2, i \neq l_2}^t |V_{E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}| + |V_{E_{l_2}} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_2-1} V_{E_j}|.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(R)| &\leq |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2, i \neq l_2}^t (|V_{E_i}| - 1) + |V_{E_{l_2}}| - 2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}| - t, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}| \geq r + t$. Since e is contained in two parts, $\sum_{i=1}^t |E_i| \geq s + 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}}, F_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)}}) &\leq q^{s+1} \prod_{i=1}^t p_{|V_{E_i}|} \\ &= q^{s+1} O(n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|}) = q^{s+1} O(n^{-(r+t)}). \end{aligned}$$

We split L again, according to the number of elements in $k^{(1)} \cup k^{(2)}$:

$$L = \sum_{t_1, t_2} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} \sum_t \sum_{\substack{k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}: \\ |k^{(1)} \cup k^{(2)}| = t}} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}}, F_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)}}) 1((\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, k^{(1)}) \neq (\mathcal{E}^{(2)}, k^{(2)})).$$

By the previous calculations

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sum_{t_1, t_2} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} \sum_t \sum_{\substack{k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}: \\ |k^{(1)} \cup k^{(2)}| = t}} O(q^{s+1} n^{-(r+t)}) \\ &= \sum_{t_1, t_2} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} \sum_t O(m^t q^{s+1} n^{-(r+t)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $m = O(n)$, we obtain $L = O(mq^{s+1}n^{-r-1})$. By Lemma 3.1

$$\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \asymp q^s mn^{-r},$$

which gives the multiplicative error term $O(qn^{-1})$. □

3.2 Analysis of variances

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m = O(n)$, $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$, and $(\pi)_{2r} = O(1)$. Let R' be a connected graph on r nodes with s links, and let R_1 and R_2 be two R' -isomorphic graphs with $V(R_1) \cap V(R_2) = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R_1 \cup R_2) - \mathbb{P}(G \supset R_1)^2 = O(q^{2s} mn^{-2r}).$$

Proof. Let $R = R_1 \cup R_2$. Define

$$U = \sum_{t=1}^{2s} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}),$$

i.e., the upper bound of $\mathbb{P}(G \supset R)$. By Lemma 3.3

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) \left(\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} (m)_t \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \right)^2,$$

and we use Lemma 3.1 to get

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 = \left(\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} (m)_t \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \right)^2 + O(m^2 q^{2s+1} n^{-2r-1}). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $m = O(n)$, it suffices to show

$$U - \left(\sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R)} (m)_t \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \right)^2 = O(q^{2s} mn^{-2r}).$$

Define $\mathcal{P}_t^*(R)$ as the set of partitions of size t which are of the form $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \cup \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$, where $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ is a partition of $E(R_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $U = U_1 + U_2$ with

$$U_1 = q^{2s} \sum_{t=1}^{2s} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R) \setminus \mathcal{P}_t^*(R)} (m)_t p_{|V_{E_1}|} \cdots p_{|V_{E_t}|}$$

$$U_2 = q^{2s} \sum_{t_1=1}^s \sum_{t_2=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_1}(R_1)} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_2}(R_2)} (m)_{t_1+t_2} \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}} p_{|V_E|} \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} p_{|V_E|}.$$

We show that U_1 is negligible. First note that

$$U_1 = q^{2s} \sum_{t=1}^{2s} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R) \setminus \mathcal{P}_t^*(R)} O((m)_t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|}).$$

If $t = 1$, then $\mathcal{E} = \{E(R)\}$ and we obtain $(m)_t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|} = mn^{-2r}$. Let $t > 1$. Since $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R) \setminus \mathcal{P}_t^*(R)$, we may choose $A \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $A \cap E(R_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap E(R_2) \neq \emptyset$. Then let $\mathcal{E}^* = (\mathcal{E} \setminus \{A\}) \cup \{A \cap E(R_1)\} \cup \{A \cap E(R_2)\}$, which is a link partition into $t^* = t + 1$ sets. Then

$$(m)_t n^{-\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} |V_E|} = O(m^{-1}) (m)_{t^*} n^{-\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}^*} |V_E|}.$$

We continue this type of splitting procedure as long as the partition contains link sets containing links from both R_1 and R_2 . We conclude that $U_1 = O(q^{2s} mn^{-2r}) + O(m^{-1})U_2$.

To treat U_2 , we note that $(m)_{t_1+t_2} = (m)_{t_1}(m)_{t_2}(1 + O(m^{-1}))$, hence U_2 equals

$$q^{2s} \sum_{t_1=1}^s \sum_{t_2=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_1}(R_1)} \sum_{\mathcal{E}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}_{t_2}(R_2)} (m)_{t_1}(m)_{t_2}(1 + O(m^{-1})) \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}} p_{|V_E|} \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} p_{|V_E|}$$

which gives, with (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= (1 + O(m^{-1})) \left(q^s \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(R_1)} (m)_t \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}} p_{|V_E|} \right)^2 \\ &= (1 + O(m^{-1})) (\mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 + O(q^{2s+1} mn^{-2r})), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 3.2 gives $U_2 = \mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 + O(q^{2s} mn^{-2r})$ and the claim follows:

$$U - \mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 = O(q^{2s} mn^{-2r}).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The claim is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{|N_R - \mathbb{E}N_R|}{\mathbb{E}N_R} > \varepsilon \right) = o(1) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{|N_R - \mathbb{E}N_R|}{\mathbb{E}N_R} > \varepsilon \right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(N_R)}{(\mathbb{E}N_R)^2 \varepsilon^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Now

$$\text{Var}(N_R) = \sum_{R'} \sum_{R''} \left(\mathbb{P}(G \supset R', G \supset R'') - \mathbb{P}(G \supset R') \mathbb{P}(G \supset R'') \right)$$

where the sums are over $\text{Sub}(R, K_n)$. Let k be the number of common nodes in R' and R'' . Then

$$\text{Var}(N_R) = \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{R'} \sum_{R'': |R' \cap R''|=k} \left(\mathbb{P}(G \supset R' \cup R'') - \mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 \right)$$

For $k = 0$, Lemma 3.4 gives the upper bound

$$n^{2r} \left(\mathbb{P}(G \supset R' \cup R'') - \mathbb{P}(G \supset R')^2 \right) = n^{2r} O(q^{2s} m n^{-2r}) = O(q^{2s} m).$$

For $k \geq 1$, R' and R'' can be chosen in $O(n^{2r-k})$ ways, and Lemma 3.1 gives that $\mathbb{P}(G \supset R' \cup R'') = O(q^s m n^{-2r+k})$, so that

$$\text{Var}(N_R) = O(q^{2s} m) + \sum_{k=1}^r O(n^{2r-k} q^s m n^{-2r+k}) = O(q^s m).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(N_R)$ is of the order $q^s m$,

$$\text{Var}(N_R) \mathbb{E}(N_R)^{-2} = O(q^{-s} m^{-1}),$$

which is $o(1)$ by assumption. The claim now follows from Eq. (3.3). \square

3.3 Analysis of clique frequencies

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{E_1, E_2\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(K_r)$. Then either $|V_{E_1}| = r$ or $|V_{E_2}| = r$.*

Proof. For $r = 2$ the claim is obvious. Assume that $r \geq 3$ and $|V_{E_i}| < r$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $v_1 \in V(K_r) \setminus V_{E_1}$, $v_2 \in V(K_r) \setminus V_{E_2}$, and $v \in V(K_r) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$. If $v_1 = v_2$, then the link $\{v_1, v\}$ is not in E_1 or E_2 , and if $v_1 \neq v_2$, then the link $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is not E_1 or E_2 . This is a contradiction, because $\{E_1, E_2\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(K_r)$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $m = O(n)$ and $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$. Then*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\binom{r}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) = (1 + O(mp_2)) q^{\binom{r}{2}} m p_r$$

Proof. The leading term is obtained when $t = 1$:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_1(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E}, k}) = q^{\binom{r}{2}} m p_r.$$

Let $t \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_t)$. Without loss of generality we assume $|V_{E_{t-1}} \cap V_{E_t}| \geq 1$. Define $E'_{t-1} = E_{t-1} \cup E_t$, and $\mathcal{E}' = (E_1, \dots, E_{t-2}, E'_{t-1})$. Since $|V_{E_{t-1}} \cap V_{E_t}| \geq 1$ and $p_r \asymp n^{-r}$,

$$p_{|V_{E_{t-1}}|} p_{|V_{E_t}|} \leq c n^{-1} p_{|V_{E'_{t-1}}|} \quad (3.4)$$

for some constant c .

By (3.4) and the assumption $m = O(n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) &= q^{\binom{r}{2}}(m)_t \prod_{i=1}^t p_{|V_{E_i}|} = O(m^t n^{-1}) q^{\binom{r}{2}} p_{|V_{E_{t-1}}|} \prod_{i=1}^{t-2} p_{|V_{E_i}|} \\ &= O\left(\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_{t-1}(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^{t-1}} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

and especially

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = O\left(\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_2(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^2} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) \right).$$

By Lemma 3.5, for each $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_2(K_r)$ it holds that $F_{\mathcal{E},k} = q^{\binom{r}{2}}(m)_2 O(p_2) p_r$, and so

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_2(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^2} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = O(m p_2) q^s m p_r.$$

□

3.4 Analysis of cycle frequencies

Lemma 3.7. *Let $m = O(n)$, $(\pi)_r = \Theta(1)$, and let C_r be the r -cycle graph. Then*

$$\sum_{t=1}^r \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(C_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = (1 + O(n^{-1})) q^r m p_r.$$

Proof. The leading term is again obtained with $t = 1$:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_1(C_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = q^r m p_r.$$

Let $t \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(C_r)$. If $|E_t| = r - 1$, then it follows that $t = 2$ and E_1 consists of the remaining link, and

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_2(C_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^2} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = O(q^r (m)_2 n^{-|V_{E_1}| - |V_{E_2}|}) = O(q^r m n^{-r-1}).$$

If $|E_t| < r - 1$, let $e_1, e_2 \in E(C_r)$ be two distinct links that are not contained in E_t , and $|V_{e_1} \cap V_{E_t}| = 1$ and $|V_{e_2} \cap V_{E_t}| = 1$. Since the maximum degree of C_r is 2, $V_{e_1} \cap V_{E_t} \neq V_{e_2} \cap V_{E_t}$. Hence $|V_{E_t} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{t-1} V_{E_i})| \geq 2$. Since C_r is

connected, we may relabel E_1, \dots, E_t so that $V_{E_i} \cap (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(C_r)| &= \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^t V_{E_i} \right| = |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} |V_{E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_{E_j}| + |V_{E_t} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{t-1} V_{E_j}| \\ &\leq |V_{E_1}| + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} (|V_{E_i}| - 1) + |V_{E_t}| - 2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}| \leq -r - t$. We conclude that

$$\sum_{t=2}^r \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(C_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}) = O(q^r(m)_t n^{-\sum_{i=1}^t |V_{E_i}|}) = O(q^r m n^{-r-1}).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Lemma 3.3

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset K_r) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) \sum_{t=1}^{\binom{r}{2}} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(K_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}),$$

and by Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset K_r) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) m p_r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (1 + O(m p_2)).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}N_{K_r} = \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{|\text{Aut}(K_r)|} \mathbb{P}(G \supset K_r)$ and $|\text{Aut}(K_r)| = r!$,

$$\mathbb{E}(N_{K_r}) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) m (\pi)_r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (1 + O(m p_2)).$$

The claim now follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 3.3

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset C_r) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}_t(C_r)} \sum_{k \in [m]_{\neq}^t} \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathcal{E},k}).$$

and by Lemma 3.7

$$\mathbb{P}(G \supset C_r) = (1 + O(qn^{-1})) m p_r q^r (1 + O(n^{-1})),$$

Since $\mathbb{E}N_{C_r} = \frac{\binom{n}{r}}{|\text{Aut}(C_r)|} \mathbb{P}(G \supset C_r)$ and $|\text{Aut}(C_r)| = 2r$,

$$\mathbb{E}(N_{C_r}) = (1 + O(n^{-1})) (2r)^{-1} m (\pi)_r q^r.$$

The claim now follows from Theorem 2.2. □

References

- [BK17] Mindaugas Bloznelis and Valentas Kurauskas. Large cliques in sparse random intersection graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 24(2):2–5, 2017.
- [KL17] Joonas Karjalainen and Lasse Leskelä. Moment-based parameter estimation in binomial random intersection graph models. In *International Workshop on Algorithms and Models for the Web-Graph*, pages 1–15. Springer, 2017.
- [KSSC99] Michał Karoński, Edward R. Scheinerman, and Karen B. Singer-Cohen. On random intersection graphs: The subgraph problem. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 8(1-2):131–159, 1999.
- [KvLL18] Joonas Karjalainen, Johan SH van Leeuwen, and Lasse Leskelä. Parameter estimators of sparse random intersection graphs with thinned communities. In *International Workshop on Algorithms and Models for the Web-Graph*, pages 44–58. Springer, 2018.
- [PV18] Samantha Petti and Santosh Vempala. Approximating sparse graphs: The random overlapping communities model. arXiv: 1802.03652, 2018.
- [RS10] Katarzyna Rybarczyk and Dudley Stark. Poisson approximation of the number of cliques in random intersection graphs. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 47:826–840, 2010.