

(G,P)-OPERS AND GLOBAL SLODOWY SLICES

BRIAN COLLIER AND ANDREW SANDERS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a generalization of G -opers for arbitrary parabolic subgroups $P < G$ of a complex semisimple Lie group. For parabolic subgroups associated to “even nilpotents”, we parameterize (G,P) -opers by an object generalizing the base of the Hitchin fibration. In particular, we describe and parameterize families of opers associated to higher Teichmüller spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
(G,P)-opers	3
Main results	5
2. Lie theory preliminaries	7
2.1. Parabolics	7
2.2. Nilpotents and the Jacobson-Morozov Theorem	9
2.3. Even Jacobson-Morozov parabolics	10
3. Geometric preliminaries	13
3.1. Bundles and connections	13
3.2. Extensions and reductions of structure group	14
3.3. λ -connections and Higgs bundles	15
4. The category of (G,P) -opers	17
5. The global Slodowy slice for even JM-parabolics	19
5.1. The \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category	20
5.2. Proofs of Theorems 5.6 and 5.9	22
5.3. Explicit models for $SL_4\mathbb{C}$ -opers	27
5.4. The (\mathfrak{s}, λ) -Slodowy functor	29
6. More explicit examples and related objects	30
6.1. B-opers for classical groups	31
6.2. Compact dual of Tube-type Hermitian symmetric space	32
6.3. Some more opers for $SL_n\mathbb{C}$ and $SO_n\mathbb{C}$	33
6.4. Remarks on Nonabelian Hodge and Higher Teichmüller spaces	35
References	36

Date: January 30, 2022.

B.C.’s research leading to these results has received funding from a National Science Foundation Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Fellowship, NSF MSPRF no. 1604263. The authors also acknowledge support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 “RNMS: GEometric structures And Representation varieties” (the GEAR Network).

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a second order holomorphic ordinary differential equation on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$, if there exists a basis of holomorphic solutions $\{u_1, u_2\}$ which never simultaneously vanish, they define a holomorphic map $D : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ by the formula $D = u_1/u_2$. Imposing certain invariance and non-degeneracy conditions on the ODE under the action of a co-compact Fuchsian group $\Gamma < \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ leads to a representation $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2\mathbb{C}$ for which the map D is an equivariant holomorphic immersion.

Pairs (D, ρ) arising in this way are called complex projective structures on the Riemann surface $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ (see [23]). Treating the ODE itself as fundamental leads to a differential geometric description of such structures as particular rank-2 holomorphic flat vector bundles on X . Extensions of complex projective structures to higher order equations have been under development since (at least) the 1960's [24, 39], with the relevant objects now being certain rank- n holomorphic flat vector bundles on X .

Generalizing the case of $\text{PGL}_n\mathbb{C}$, Drinfeld-Sokolov [14] developed a local theory of ordinary holomorphic differential equations which takes as input an arbitrary complex reductive Lie group G . In their work on the geometric Langlands conjecture, Beilinson-Drinfeld [2] globalized this theory to the notion of a G -oper on a Riemann surface X . According to Beilinson-Drinfeld, a G -oper on X is a triple (E_G, E_B, ω) , where E_G is a holomorphic principal G -bundle on X , E_B is a holomorphic reduction to a Borel subgroup $B < G$, and ω is a holomorphic connection on E_G which satisfies certain transversality and nowhere vanishing properties with respect to the reduction E_B .

Around the same time, Hitchin [26] discovered a remarkable component of the space of conjugacy classes of homomorphisms $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $G_{\mathbb{R}} < G$ is a split real form of a complex simple Lie group (e.g. $\text{PSL}_n\mathbb{R}$ is the split real form of $\text{PSL}_n\mathbb{C}$) and X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. This component is now called the *Hitchin* component. When $G = \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, the Hitchin component is the *Fuchsian* space of conjugacy classes of holonomy representations $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$ of hyperbolic structures on the underlying oriented topological surface Σ and, via the uniformization theorem, is identified with the Teichmüller space of Σ .

In [32], Labourie proved that the representations in Hitchin components generalize many geometric features of Fuchsian space: in particular, they are discrete and faithful quasi-isometric embeddings. This was achieved by showing that Hitchin representations satisfy a very strong dynamical property, called the *Anosov* property. Since Labourie's work, there has been intense activity aimed at organizing and classifying Anosov representations.

Although Hitchin representations and G -opers are very different geometrically, the resulting moduli spaces share many common features. Most notably, both moduli spaces are parameterized by a vector space of holomorphic differentials on X called the base of the Hitchin fibration [2, 26]. More

recently, in [15], the authors showed that an operation called the *conformal limit* identifies these parameterizations, proving a conjecture of Gaiotto [17].

In the theories of G-opers and Hitchin representations, a primary role is played by a Borel subgroup. In general, Anosov representations are defined relative to a parabolic subgroup. Over the last fifteen years, other components of surface group representations into real Lie groups have been discovered, which in many ways are analogues of Hitchin components, but with a larger parabolic subgroup replacing the role of the Borel subgroup. Such components are now referred to as higher Teichmüller components.

With these motivations, in this paper we introduce the notion of a (G, P)-oper for $P < G$ an arbitrary parabolic subgroup. Our main results generalize the parameterization of Hitchin components and G-opers by the Hitchin base. While we cast the general theory in Lie theoretic terms, in most cases the objects can be made relatively explicit with matrices and vector bundles. A significant part of the paper is dedicated to explicating this aspect.

(G,P)-opers. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $P < G$ be a parabolic subgroup of a connected complex semisimple Lie group G . Roughly, a (G, P)-oper on X is a triple (E_G, E_P, ω) , where E_G is a holomorphic principal G -bundle on X , E_P is a holomorphic reduction to the parabolic $P < G$, and ω is a holomorphic connection on E_G which satisfies certain transversality and nowhere vanishing properties with respect to the reduction E_P . The subtle point is defining the correct notion of nowhere vanishing (see Definition 4.1).

A simple example is given by $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ and P is the stabilizer of a subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. In this case, a (G, P)-oper is equivalently defined as a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{V}_{2n}, \mathcal{V}_n, \nabla, \Omega)$, where \mathcal{V}_{2n} is a rank $2n$ holomorphic vector bundle with a holomorphic volume form Ω , $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_{2n}$ is a rank n holomorphic subbundle, and ∇ is a holomorphic connection on \mathcal{V}_{2n} for which Ω is parallel and such that the induced \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{2n}/\mathcal{V}_n$ is an isomorphism. Here, \mathcal{K} is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of X .

We briefly describe the picture we wish to generalize. In [25], Hitchin introduced the (coarse) moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^0(G)$ of poly-stable G-Higgs bundles on X . Some relevant aspects of the theory of Higgs bundles and opers are:

- (1) There is a homeomorphism $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_X^0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^1(G)$ from the moduli space of poly-stable Higgs bundles to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^1(G)$ of reductive holomorphic flat G-bundles on X [12, 13, 25, 36]. This is known as the non-abelian Hodge correspondence.
- (2) There is a holomorphic map $\mathcal{M}_X^0(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rk} G} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$ called the *Hitchin* fibration [27]. Here $\{m_j\}$ are the exponents of \mathfrak{g} .
- (3) The Hitchin fibration has a section s_h and the Hitchin components are the holonomy representations of $\mathcal{T} \circ s_h$ [26].
- (4) Each connected component of isomorphism classes of G-opers is parameterized by $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rk} G} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$ [2].

We summarize this in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_X^0(G) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathcal{M}_X^1(G) \\ \uparrow s_h \downarrow & \nearrow op & \\ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk} G} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}) & & \end{array}$$

In fact, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the above moduli spaces fit into a family of moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_X^\lambda(G)$ of holomorphic λ -connections. Moreover, there is a family of maps $op_\lambda : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk} G} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^\lambda(G)$ with $op_0 = s_H$ and $op_1 = op$. Roughly, the maps op_λ are defined by choosing a base point $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper and adding holomorphic differentials to the connection as coefficients in certain highest weight spaces of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -representations. The main goal of this paper is to extend this story to the setting of (G, P) -opers, with the fundamental new idea being the identification of the analogue of the Hitchin base.

Our main theorems parameterizing (G, P) -opers apply to a class of parabolics called *even Jacobson-Morozov parabolics* (see Definition 2.8). Such parabolics are associated to embeddings of $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ in the adjoint Lie group, or equivalently, even $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebras of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . There are many examples, for instance, the Borel subgroup $B < G$ and the stabilizer of a linear subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. The object which parameterizes such (G, P) -opers (i.e., the generalization of the Hitchin base) is a groupoid which we call the *Slodowy category*. A key player in the definition of the Slodowy category is the centralizer of the associated $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra.

Given an even $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, let $S < G$ be the connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} and $C < G$ be the centralizer of S . The \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ has objects $(E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$, where E_C is a holomorphic C -bundle with holomorphic connection ψ_0 and, for $1 \leq j \leq N$, ψ_{m_j} is a holomorphic section of an associated vector bundle $E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}$. Here the vector spaces V_{2m_j} are highest weight spaces of certain $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ representations (see Definition 5.1). When $B < G$ is the Borel subgroup, C is the center of G and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ is the product of the Hitchin base with the finite set of C -bundles on X . When $P < \text{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ is the stabilizer of a subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, objects of the Slodowy category correspond to triples $(\mathcal{W}, \psi_0, \psi_1)$, where \mathcal{W} is a rank n holomorphic vector bundle on X with $\det(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes 2}$ trivial, ψ_0 is a holomorphic connection on \mathcal{W} compatible with the trivialization of $\det(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes 2}$, and $\psi_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{W}))$.

Remark 1.1. In the case of the Borel subgroup $B < G$, the work of Hitchin and Beilinson-Drinfeld yield holomorphic parameterizations of the moduli spaces of Hitchin representations and (G, B) -opers, respectively. The existence of these moduli spaces is simplified by the subgroup C in the previous paragraph being discrete. In general, the construction of relevant moduli spaces will depend on natural stability conditions. To avoid complications with stability conditions, we work entirely with groupoids/categories and leave the topic of constructing moduli spaces/stacks for another time.

Main results. Denote the category of (G, P) -opers on X by $\mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$. For an even $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, let $S < G$ be the associated connected Lie group and $P < G$ be the associated even Jacobson-Morozov parabolic. In Theorem 5.6 we establish the following: For each S -oper Θ on X , there is a functor

$$F_\Theta : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P),$$

which is an equivalence of categories (see also Theorem 5.14). In particular, the functor F_Θ induces a bijection at the level of isomorphism classes. The functor F_Θ is defined in Definition 5.4. Roughly, the sections ψ_{m_j} are *coefficients* in certain highest weight spaces of \mathfrak{g} as an $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -module, and are used to affinely deform the fixed S -oper as a (G, P) -oper. This is analogous to the Hitchin section when P is a Borel subgroup $B < G$.

To remove the choice of S -oper, we define a full subcategory $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ which is analogous to setting the quadratic differential in the Hitchin base to be zero. This yields a functor $F : \mathfrak{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$ given by $F(\Theta, \Xi) = F_\Theta(\Xi)$, which we call the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy functor.

Theorem 1.2. (Theorem 5.9) *Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra, $S < G$ be the associated connected subgroup and $P < G$ be the associated even Jacobson-Morozov parabolic. Then the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy functor*

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathfrak{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P) \\ (\Theta, \Xi) &\mapsto F_\Theta(\Xi) \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories when $S \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ and essentially surjective and full when $S \cong \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. In particular, the Slodowy functor induces a bijection on isomorphism classes.

Remark 1.3. For $G = \mathrm{SL}_{kr}\mathbb{C}$ and the parabolic P stabilizing a partial flag $\mathbb{C}^r \subset \mathbb{C}^{2r} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^{kr}$, the above theorem recovers results of Biswas in [4]. Partially motivated by the work presented in the current article, Biswas-Schaposnik-Wang recently considered certain symplectic and orthogonal analogues of such partial flags, see [5].

The category of (G, P) -opers and the Slodowy category have natural λ -connection generalizations, denoted respectively by $\mathfrak{Op}_X^\lambda(G, P)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}^\lambda(G)$. The Slodowy functor also generalizes to this context, and in Theorem 5.16 we establish the analogue of the above theorem in this setting. When $\lambda = 0$, a (λ, G, P) -oper is a special type of Higgs bundle.

For $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of a linear subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, the objects of the Slodowy category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}^0(G)$ consist of triples $(\mathcal{W}, \psi_0, \psi_1)$, where \mathcal{W} is a holomorphic vector bundle of rank n such that $\det(\mathcal{W})^{\otimes 2}$ is trivial, $\psi_0 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}))$ is a \mathcal{K} -twisted traceless endomorphism, and $\psi_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2 \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}))$ is a \mathcal{K}^2 -twisted endomorphism.

For the $(0, \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{B})$ -oper $\Theta = (\mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$, the functor F_Θ is defined by

$$F_\Theta(\mathcal{W}, \psi_0, \psi_1) = \left((\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 & q_2 \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \psi_1 \\ \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} & \psi_0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

When $\psi_0 = 0$ and $q_2 = 0$, this is an $\mathrm{SU}_{n,n}$ -Higgs bundle, and the above description recovers the so called Cayley correspondence for maximal $\mathrm{SU}_{n,n}$ -Higgs bundles of [7]. Moreover, when the associated Higgs bundles are poly-stable, the nonabelian Hodge correspondence identifies them with the higher Teichmüller spaces known as *maximal $\mathrm{SU}_{n,n}$ -representations*.

Due to the work of Guichard-Wienhard [22] on Θ -positive structures, it is reasonable to define a higher Teichmüller space as a connected component of the space of conjugacy classes of representations $\pi_1 X \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ consisting entirely of Θ -positive Anosov representations. Here $\mathrm{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of a complex simple Lie group which admits a so called Θ -positive structure, see [22]. In §6 we describe how, ignoring stability conditions, our construction recovers all known Higgs bundle parameterizations of higher Teichmüller spaces. In particular, we recover the Hitchin component of [26] when $\mathrm{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a split real Lie group, the Cayley correspondence for maximal representations of [3] when $\mathrm{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Hermitian Lie group of tube type, and the generalized Cayley correspondence of [1] when $\mathrm{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{p,q}$. There is exactly one more expected family of higher Teichmüller spaces which are associated to certain real forms of the exceptional Lie groups F_4 , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . For all expected higher Teichmüller spaces, the parameterization of the associated moduli spaces of Higgs bundles by the Slodowy functor will be described in [6].

Remark 1.4. Higher Teichmüller spaces only arise for very special even JM-parabolics. It would be interesting to understand the geometric properties of representations associated to applying nonabelian Hodge to the set of poly-stable $(0, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P})$ -opers for arbitrary even JM-parabolic.

Finally, we discuss the relationship between (G, P) -opers, Simpson's partial oper stratification and the conformal limit. In [15], the authors showed that the space of (G, B) -opers are identified with the Hitchin section by an operation called the *conformal limit*, proving a conjecture of Gaiotto [17]. For $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C}$, the space of B -opers and the Hitchin section are each a closed stratum of natural stratifications introduced by Simpson [35]. Moreover, under a smoothness assumption, the conformal limit correspondence for arbitrary strata was established in [10]. In the generality of this paper, it is natural to expect that the conformal limit also identifies $(0, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P})$ -opers with $(1, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P})$ -opers. Indeed, for the case $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of a subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, when the bundle \mathcal{W} in the Slodowy category is a stable bundle, this follows from the work in [10].

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Anna Wienhard for encouraging us to investigate a generalization of opers, and Jeff Adams, François

Labourie and Richard Wentworth for many useful conversations and suggestions. We also express our appreciation for the hospitality of the University of Heidelberg and the Simons Center program *Geometry and Physics of Hitchin Systems*, where much of this work was carried out.

2. LIE THEORY PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall most of the Lie theory which will be used throughout the paper. For this section, G will be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and Killing form $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2.1. Parabolics. A Borel subgroup $B < G$ is a maximal connected solvable subgroup. There is a unique Borel subgroup up to conjugation. A subgroup $P < G$ is called a parabolic subgroup if it contains a Borel subgroup.

For a parabolic $P < G$, let $U < P$ be the unipotent radical. There is a canonical filtration of $U = U^1$,

$$U^m < \dots < U^2 < U^1 < P.$$

The quotient $L = P/U$ is a reductive group called the Levi factor of P . The exact sequence $1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow P \rightarrow L \rightarrow 1$ is split, and a choice of splitting defines a Levi subgroup of $L < P$.

Let $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ be the Lie subalgebra of the unipotent radical $U < P$. There is a canonical P -invariant Lie algebra filtration of \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^m \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-m} = \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_x(\mathfrak{u}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}\}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{p}$.

A choice of a Levi subgroup $L < P$ induces an L -invariant splitting $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, where \mathfrak{l} is the Lie algebra of L . Moreover, \mathfrak{g} acquires an L -invariant grading

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j,$$

which is L -equivariantly isomorphic to the associated graded of the canonical filtration (2.1). Thus, $\mathfrak{g}_j \cong \mathfrak{g}^j / \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}$ as L -modules, and $\mathfrak{g}^k \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq k} \mathfrak{g}_j$ as P -modules. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{p} \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_j, \quad \mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u} \cong \bigoplus_{j > 0} \mathfrak{g}_j.$$

By a theorem of Vinberg (see [29, Theorem 10.19]), each graded piece \mathfrak{g}_j has a unique dense open L -orbit $\mathcal{O}_j \subset \mathfrak{g}_j$. Since the action of P and L on $\mathfrak{g}^j / \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}$ are the same, there is a unique open dense P -orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^j / \mathfrak{g}^{j+1}$.

Example 2.1. For the group $G = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, the group of unit determinant upper triangular matrices defines a Borel subgroup. The parabolic subgroups which contain this choice of B consist of block upper triangular matrices. Geometrically, B is the stabilizer of a complete flag in \mathbb{C}^4 and other parabolics are stabilizers of partial flags in \mathbb{C}^4 . Here are some explicit examples:

- (1) The Borel subgroup $P = B$ which stabilizes a complete flag $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ consists of unit determinant upper triangular matrices. The unipotent radical $U < B$ consists upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal. The diagonal matrices define a Levi subgroup $L < B$, and, with this choice, the graded pieces \mathfrak{g}_j are given by the j^{th} -super diagonal. In particular, \mathfrak{g}_{-1} is given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ x_1 & 0 & & \\ & x_2 & 0 & \\ & & x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The unique open L -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is defined by $x_j \neq 0$ for all j .

- (2) The group $P < \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ which stabilizes a partial flag $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ consists of unit determinant (2×2) -block matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unipotent radical $U < P$ is the subgroup where $A = \text{Id} = D$, and the subgroup where $B = 0$ defines a Levi subgroup. This choice of Levi subgroup determines the grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unique open L -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is defined by $\det(C) \neq 0$.

- (3) The group $P < \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ which stabilizes a partial flag $\mathbb{C}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ is given by unit determinant matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Block diagonal matrices define a Levi subgroup, and with this choice,

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unique open L -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ a & 0 & 0 & \\ b & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & c & d & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid (c \ d) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

- (4) For the parabolic subgroup $P < \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ which stabilizes a line $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, block diagonal matrices define a Levi subgroup. With this choice, the associated grading is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unique open L -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ consists of nonzero vectors.

The next example involves some basic root theory.

Example 2.2. For $P = B < G$ the Borel subgroup, a Levi subgroup $L < B$ is a *Cartan* subgroup. The data (L, B) determines a set of positive simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}\}$. The spaces \mathfrak{g}_j consist of direct sums of root spaces

\mathfrak{g}_α associated to roots $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} n_i \alpha_i$ with *length* $\sum n_i = j$. In particular, the \mathfrak{g}_{-1} space consists of the direct sum of negative simple root spaces. In this case, an element

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}}$$

is in the open dense L-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ if and only if $x_j \neq 0$ for all j .

2.2. Nilpotents and the Jacobson-Morozov Theorem. An element $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent if the linear map $\text{ad}_e : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent endomorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Denote the centralizer of a nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ by $V(e) := V$,

$$V = \ker(\text{ad}_e : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}) .$$

The Jacobson-Morozov theorem defines a bijective correspondence between conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} and conjugacy classes of nonzero nilpotents in \mathfrak{g} (see for example [11, §3]). Namely, every non-zero nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be completed to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where

$$[h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f \quad \text{and} \quad [e, f] = h,$$

and if $\langle f, h, e \rangle, \langle f', h, e \rangle$ are two such \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples then $f = f'$. Throughout the paper, we will use the letter \mathfrak{s} to denote an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra.

Fix an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle = \mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. This data decomposes \mathfrak{g} into $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -modules and ad_h -weight spaces. Namely, as an $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -module,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} W_j,$$

where W_j is isomorphic to a direct sum of n_j -copies (with $n_j \geq 0$) of the unique $(j+1)$ -dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ representation, and, as ad_h -weight spaces,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_j := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_h(x) = jx\}$. By definition, $e \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Note also that the trivial representation W_0 is a reductive subalgebra since it is the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} . We will denote this subalgebra by $W_0 := \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s}) := \mathfrak{c}$.

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{s} = \langle h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{s} . The centralizer V of e decomposes $(\mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{s})$ -invariantly as

$$(2.5) \quad V = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} V_j,$$

where $V_j = \mathfrak{g}_j \cap W_j$ is the highest weight space of W_j . The affine subspace

$$f + V \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

is called the *Slodowy slice* through f . It is transverse to the G-orbit of f .

Definition 2.3. Fix an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle$. We call the $(\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{c})$ -invariant decomposition (2.5) of the centralizer V of e the *Slodowy data* of $\langle f, h, e \rangle$.

The following proposition is immediate and will be useful later.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\bigoplus_j V_j$ be the Slodowy data of an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle$. Then the subspace V_2 has an $\mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{s}$ -invariant decomposition*

$$(2.6) \quad V_2 = \langle e \rangle \oplus \hat{V}_2,$$

where \hat{V}_2 is the kernel of the linear functional $B_\mathfrak{g}(f, -)|_{V_2}$.

The decomposition (2.4) associates a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle$:

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_j.$$

Moreover, this parabolic comes with a choice of Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Note that \mathfrak{p} also decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{p} = V \oplus \text{ad}_f(\mathfrak{u}).$$

For grading (2.4), the following theorem relates the open dense L-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ with the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. It is a combination of results of Kostant and Malcev, see [29, §10] or [11, §3.4] for details.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, and consider the \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ from (2.4). Let \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{l} be the associated parabolic and Levi subalgebras with associated Lie subgroups $L < P < G$. Then the nilpotent elements e and f are contained in the unique open dense L-orbits $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_{-2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$, respectively. Moreover, the L-stabilizer of e is the Lie group $C < G$ which centralizes the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra.*

2.3. Even Jacobson-Morozov parabolics. A parabolic subalgebra which arises from an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra is called a *Jacobson-Morozov parabolic* (abbreviated JM-parabolic). Not all parabolic subalgebras are JM-parabolics. For example, the stabilizer of a line in \mathbb{C}^n is not a JM-parabolic when $n > 2$. Moreover, different conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples can define the same JM-parabolic. In this paper, the following notion of an *even* \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple is essential.

Definition 2.6. An \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called *even* if $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$ in the decomposition (2.4).

Remark 2.7. An \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is even if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_{2j+1} = 0$ for all j in decomposition (2.4). Equivalently, the $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -module decomposition from (2.3) consists only of odd dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representations. Another equivalent definition of $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle$ being even is that the associated subgroup $S < G_{\text{Ad}}$ of the adjoint group is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.8. A parabolic subgroup $P < G$ will be called an *even JM-parabolic* if it arises from an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

Remark 2.9. Since the JM-parabolic \mathfrak{p} associated to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle$ comes with a choice of Levi subalgebra, we have two \mathbb{Z} -gradings. Namely, the ad_h -weight space decomposition (2.4) and the associated graded of the canonical filtration (2.2). For even JM-parabolics, the j^{th} -graded piece of (2.2) is the $2j^{\text{th}}$ -graded piece of (2.4).

The following proposition is an easy consequence of [11, §3.8].

Proposition 2.10. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between conjugacy classes of even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples and conjugacy classes of even JM-parabolics.*

Fix an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $1 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_N$ be such that the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representations W_{2m_j} from (2.3) are nonzero. We have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N W_{2m_j}.$$

The Slodowy data from (2.5) is

$$(2.8) \quad V = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_{2m_j}.$$

Example 2.11. The first three parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$ described in Example 2.1 are even JM-parabolics. In fact, up to conjugacy these are the only even JM-parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$. Below, we use the same numbering as the items in Example 2.1.

- (1) An associated \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple is

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

The centralizer of \mathfrak{s} is the center, $C = \langle \sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Id} \rangle$. The associated \mathfrak{s} -module decomposition (2.3) is

$$\mathfrak{sl}_4\mathbb{C} = W_2 \oplus W_4 \oplus W_6,$$

with multiplicities $(n_2, n_4, n_6) = (1, 1, 1)$. The Slodowy data is

$$V_2 \oplus V_4 \oplus V_6 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

and $\hat{V}_2 = 0$.

- (2) An associated \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple is given by 2×2 -block matrices

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{Id} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

The centralizer of \mathfrak{s} is given by

$$C = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(A)^2 = 1 \right\} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2^\pm\mathbb{C}.$$

The associated \mathfrak{s} -module decomposition (2.3) is

$$\mathfrak{sl}_4\mathbb{C} = W_0 \oplus W_2,$$

with multiplicities $(n_0, n_2) = (3, 4)$. The Slodowy data is

$$V_0 \oplus V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(X) = 0$, and the subspace \hat{V}_2 is given by $\mathrm{Tr}(Y) = 0$.

(3) An associated \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple is given by

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

The centralizer of \mathfrak{s} is

$$C = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & & \\ & \alpha & & \\ & & \alpha^{-3} & \\ & & & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\} \cong \mathrm{GL}_1 \mathbb{C}.$$

The associated \mathfrak{s} -module decomposition (2.3) is

$$\mathfrak{sl}_4 \mathbb{C} = W_0 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_4,$$

with multiplicities $(n_0, n_2, n_4) = (1, 3, 1)$. The Slodowy data is

$$V_0 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_4 = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & -3a & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y & 0 \\ & & x & \\ & & & z \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & w \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the subspace \hat{V}_2 is defined by setting $x = 0$.

The next example sketches the construction and states some properties of principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples, for more information see [30] or [11, §4].

Example 2.12. The Borel subgroup $B < G$ is an even JM-parabolic. An associated even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple is called a *principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra*. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and a set of simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}\}$. For each α_j , choose $e_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j}$ and $f_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_j}$ so that $\langle f_j, [e_j, f_j], e_j \rangle$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Choose $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ so that $\alpha_j(h) = 2$ for each j and write $h = \sum_j a_j [e_j, f_j]$. Then

$$\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle = \left\langle \sum_j f_j, h, \sum_j a_j e_j \right\rangle$$

is a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. In particular, note that the projection of f onto each $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_j}$ is nonzero, so $f \in \mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.

In this case, the centralizer $C < G$ of \mathfrak{s} is the center of the group G , thus $\mathfrak{c} = W_0 = \{0\}$. The associated \mathfrak{s} -module decomposition is $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_j W_{2m_j}$ where $\{m_j\}$ are the exponents of \mathfrak{g} . The multiplicities satisfy $n_{m_j} = 1$ for all j , with the exception that $n_{4n-2} = 2$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Finally, we recall a generalization of a theorem of Kostant proven by Lynch in [33, Theorem 1.2]. This theorem is the fundamental Lie theoretic ingredient which will allow us to understand (G, P) -opers for $P < G$ an even JM-parabolic in terms of simpler data, namely the Slodowy category.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $P < G$ be an even JM-parabolic subgroup determined by an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\langle f, h, e \rangle$. Let $U^m < U^{m-1} < \dots < U^1 < P$ be the filtration of P by unipotent subgroups and $\mathfrak{g}^m \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding canonical filtration of \mathfrak{p} . Then, for $V = \ker(\mathrm{ad}_e : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g})$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} U^i \times \{f + V\} &\longrightarrow f + V + \mathfrak{g}^{i-1} \\ (u, f + v) &\longmapsto \mathrm{Ad}(u)(f + v) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of affine algebraic varieties for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Remark 2.14. In [18, Lemma 2.1], a more general version of Theorem 2.13 is proven for any (not necessarily even) \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple together with a choice of isotropic subspace of \mathfrak{g}_1 from (2.4). It would be interesting to consider how such data could be used to generalize our results to arbitrary parabolics.

3. GEOMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we rapidly review the theory of connections on principal bundles, and fix some notation which we shall use throughout the paper.

3.1. Bundles and connections. Throughout this paper, all principal bundles are taken to be *right* principal bundles. If G is a Lie group and E_G is a principal G -bundle, then we denote the G -invariant vertical vector field on E_G induced by $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ by Y^\sharp .

If V is a complex manifold equipped with a *left* holomorphic G -action, we will suppress the action and denote the associated holomorphic fiber bundle by $E_G[V]$, remembering that the structure group of this bundle is G . The usual example arises from a Lie group acting on some vector subspace/quotient of a Lie algebra: for example, $E_G[\mathfrak{g}]$ is the holomorphic vector bundle determined by the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} .

For the rest of this section, E_G will be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over a *Riemann surface* X and \mathcal{K} will denote the holomorphic cotangent bundle of X .

Definition 3.1. A holomorphic connection ω on E_G is a \mathfrak{g} -valued holomorphic 1-form $\omega : TE_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

- (1) $R_g^*\omega = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \omega$ for all $g \in G$.
- (2) $\omega(Y^\sharp) = Y$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The curvature $F(\omega) = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ of a holomorphic connection ω defines an $E_G[\mathfrak{g}]$ -valued holomorphic $(2,0)$ -form on X , and therefore always vanishes. Thus, all holomorphic connections on E_G are flat (i.e. integrable), and we refer to the pair (E_G, ω) as a holomorphic flat G -bundle.

Definition 3.2. The category $\mathcal{F}_X(G)$ of holomorphic flat G -bundles on X has objects (E_G, ω) , where

- E_G is a holomorphic G -bundle on X ,
- ω is a holomorphic connection on E_G .

Morphisms $\Phi : (E_G, \omega) \rightarrow (F_G, \eta)$ are given by isomorphisms $\Phi : E_G \rightarrow F_G$ covering the identity map on X , such that $\Phi^*\eta = \omega$.

Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a trivializing open cover for a holomorphic principal G -bundle E_G defined by local sections $s_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow E_G$ with transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow G$ where $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Given a holomorphic connection ω on E_G , define the local connection forms $\omega_\alpha := s_\alpha^*\omega \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g})$. These local connection forms satisfy the relation

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_\alpha = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \omega_\beta + g_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_G,$$

where θ_G is the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form on G . Moreover, given a set of trivializing local sections $\{s_\alpha\}$, any collection of locally defined holomorphic 1-forms satisfying (3.1) defines a holomorphic connection on E_G .

Finally, let E_G, F_G be holomorphic principal G -bundles and η be a holomorphic connection on F_G . Let $s : U \rightarrow E_G$ and $t : U \rightarrow F_G$ be local sections over an open set $U \subset X$. Consider a morphism $\Phi : E_G \rightarrow F_G$ and the local connection forms $\eta_U := t^*\eta$ and $\eta_U^\Phi := s^*(\Phi^*\eta)$. Then, with respect to the trivializations induced by s and t , Φ is determined by a holomorphic map $\phi : U \rightarrow G$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \eta_U^\Phi = \text{Ad}(\phi^{-1}) \circ \eta_U + \phi^* \theta_G.$$

3.2. Extensions and reductions of structure group. Given a holomorphic principal G_1 -bundle E_{G_1} and a homomorphism $b : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ between complex Lie groups, there is an associated holomorphic principal G_2 -bundle

$$E_{G_1}[G_2] = (E_{G_1} \times G_2) / \sim,$$

where $(p, g_2) \sim (p \cdot g_1, b(g_1^{-1}) \cdot g_2)$ for $g_1 \in G_1$ and the action of G_2 on $E_{G_1}[G_2]$ is given by right multiplication on the second factor. Transition functions of $E_{G_1}[G_2]$ are defined by post-composing transition functions of E_{G_1} with the homomorphism $b : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. This process is usually called extending the structure group.

Let ω be a holomorphic connection on E_{G_1} and θ_{G_2} be the left invariant Maurer-Cartan form of G_2 . Then the G_2 -equivariant map

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_b : T(E_{G_1}) \times TG_2 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \\ (v, w) &\longmapsto db(\omega(v)) + \theta_{G_2}(w) \end{aligned}$$

descends to define a connection on the extended bundle $E_{G_1}[G_2]$. We will denote the connection on $E_{G_1}[G_2]$ induced by ω by the same symbol ω .

If E_{G_1}, E_{G_2} are holomorphic G_1, G_2 bundles respectively, then their fiber product defines a holomorphic principal $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -bundle $E_{G_1 \times G_2}$. Moreover, holomorphic connections ω, η on E_{G_1}, E_{G_2} respectively, induce a holomorphic connection $\omega \oplus \eta$ on $E_{G_1 \times G_2}$. When $G_1, G_2 < G$ are two subgroups which commute with each other, then the multiplication map $m : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism. In this case, two holomorphic bundles with holomorphic connection $(E_{G_1}, \omega), (E_{G_2}, \eta)$ induce a holomorphic G -bundle with connection which we denote by

$$(3.3) \quad (E_{G_1} \star E_{G_2}[G], \omega \star \eta) := (E_{G_1 \times G_2}[G], \omega \oplus \eta).$$

Note that the transition functions of $E_{G_1} \star E_{G_2}[G]$ are just the product of the transition functions of E_{G_1} and E_{G_2} in G and that locally $\omega \star \eta$ is just a sum of the connection forms.

Let V be a complex vector space with a holomorphic G -action. A holomorphic V -valued 1-form β on E_G is called *horizontal* if $\beta(Y^\sharp) = 0$ for

every $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and *equivariant* if $R_g^* \beta = g^{-1} \cdot \beta$. There is a canonical isomorphism between equivariant, horizontal V -valued holomorphic 1-forms and holomorphic sections of the vector bundle $\mathcal{K} \otimes E_G[V]$ over X .

If $H < G$ is a complex Lie subgroup, then a holomorphic reduction of structure group of a holomorphic principal G -bundle E_G to H is a holomorphic sub-bundle $E_H \subset E_G$: note that the inclusion homomorphism $H < G$ induces an isomorphism $E_H[\mathfrak{g}] \cong E_G$. Given a holomorphic connection ω on E_G , the second fundamental form of ω relative to a reduction of structure $E_H \subset E_G$ measures the failure of ω to be induced by a connection on E_H .

Definition 3.3. Suppose $E_H \subset E_G$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure to a closed, complex subgroup $H < G$. The second fundamental form $\Psi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes E_H[\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}])$ is the holomorphic section determined by the H -equivariant, horizontal 1-form

$$TE_H \longrightarrow TE_G \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}.$$

Finally, we define a notion of relative position for a holomorphic connection relative to a reduction of structure.

Definition 3.4. Let (E_G, ω) be a holomorphic flat G -bundle and $E_H \subset E_G$ be a holomorphic reduction to a complex subgroup $H < G$ with second fundamental form Ψ . Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ be a $\mathbb{C}^* \times H$ -invariant sub-manifold. Then the position of ω relative to E_H is equal to \mathcal{O} , denoted $\text{pos}_{E_H}(\omega) = \mathcal{O}$, if and only if $\Psi(v) \in E_H(\mathcal{O})$ for all non-zero vectors $v \in TX$.

Note, the \mathbb{C}^* -invariance implies that there is a well defined holomorphic fiber bundle $\mathcal{K} \otimes E_H[\mathcal{O}]$, and the second fundamental form Ψ defines a holomorphic section of this fiber bundle.

3.3. λ -connections and Higgs bundles. In this subsection we generalize the notion of a holomorphic connection to depend on a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. These objects were introduced by Deligne and have been studied by Simpson [34], and many others. Let G be a complex semisimple Lie group and E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle on a Riemann surface X .

Definition 3.5. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, a holomorphic λ -connection on E_G is a holomorphic 1-form $\omega : TE_G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

- (1) $R_g^* \omega = \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \omega$ for all $g \in G$.
- (2) $\omega(Y^\sharp) = \lambda Y$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 3.6. A holomorphic 1-connection is just an ordinary holomorphic connection, and if ω is a λ -connection for $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega$ is an ordinary connection. In contrast, a 0-connection ω vanishes on vertical vectors, thus ω is equivalent to a holomorphic section $\widehat{\omega} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes E_G[\mathfrak{g}])$, such a section is usually called a *Higgs field*.

Remark 3.7. Recall that local connection forms transform as in (3.1) and (3.2). Local λ -connections transform the same way with the Maurer-Cartan form θ_G in (3.1) and (3.2) replaced by $\lambda \theta_G$.

Definition 3.8. The category of holomorphic (G, λ) -connections $\mathcal{QF}_X(G)$ on a Riemann surface X has objects (λ, E_G, ω) , where

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,
- $E_G \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic principal G -bundle,
- ω is a holomorphic λ -connection on E_G .

A morphism between two objects (λ_1, E_G, ω) and (λ_2, F_G, η) is an isomorphism $\Phi : E_G \rightarrow F_G$ covering the identity on X such that $\Phi^*\eta = \omega$. In particular, there are no such morphisms when $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Note that there is a projection $\pi : \mathcal{QF}_X(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\pi(\lambda, E_G, \omega) = \lambda$. For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}^\lambda_X(G)$ defines a full subcategory $\mathcal{F}^\lambda_X(G) \subset \mathcal{QF}_X(G)$. There is also a \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathcal{QF}_X(G)$:

$$(3.4) \quad \xi \cdot (\lambda, E_G, \omega) = (\xi\lambda, E_G, \xi\omega),$$

and π is equivariant with respect to the standard action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbb{C} .

When $\lambda = 0$, the category of 0-connections $\mathcal{F}^0_X(G)$ is the well-known *category of G -Higgs bundles* on X . In this case, a holomorphic zero connection $(0, E_G, \omega)$ is equivalent to the data $(E_G, \hat{\omega})$ where $\hat{\omega} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{E}_G[\mathfrak{g}])$.

Given a homogeneous basis $\{p_1, \dots, p_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}\}$ of the G -invariant polynomials on \mathfrak{g} with $\deg(p_j) = m_j + 1$, we can define the *Hitchin fibration*:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H : \mathcal{F}^0_X(G) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}) \\ (E_G, \hat{\omega}) &\longmapsto (p_1(\hat{\omega}), \dots, p_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}(\hat{\omega})) \end{aligned}$$

The vector space $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$ is called the *Hitchin base*. In [26], Hitchin used the special features of principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples to construct a section of this map. Since one of the main innovations in this paper is to put the work of Hitchin and Beilinson-Drinfeld in a more general context, we sketch the construction of the *Hitchin section* for adjoint groups G_{Ad} here.

Let $\langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple from Example 2.12. Recall that the Lie algebra decomposes into ad_h -eigenspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{2j}$. Consider the holomorphic Lie algebra bundle

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{K}^j \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{2j}.$$

The holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is canonically associated to a principal G_{Ad} -bundle, so that $E_{G_{\text{Ad}}}[\mathfrak{g}]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Recall that $V = \ker(\text{ad}_e) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} V_{2m_j}$ is a sum of highest weight spaces where $V_{2m_j} = V \cap \mathfrak{g}_{2m_j}$. Choose a non-zero vector e_{2m_j} in each highest weight space V_{2m_j} . Note that $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$ defines a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{K} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-2})$ and $q_{m_j+1} \otimes e_{2m_j}$ defines a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{K} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^{m_j} \otimes V_{2m_j}) \subset \mathcal{K} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^{m_j} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{2m_j})$ for each $q_{m_j+1} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$. Consider

the map $s_H : \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_X^0(G_{\text{Ad}})$ defined by

$$(3.6) \quad s_H(q_{m_1+1}, \dots, q_{m_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}+1}) = \left(E_{G_{\text{Ad}}}, f + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} q_{m_j+1} \otimes e_{2m_j} \right).$$

In [31], Kostant proved that there is a homogeneous basis $(p_1, \dots, p_{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})})$ of the G -invariant polynomials on \mathfrak{g} with $\deg(p_j) = m_j + 1$ such that

$$p_j \left(f + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} a_{2m_j} e_{2m_j} \right) = a_{2m_j}.$$

Defining the Hitchin fibration with respect to this choice of basis for the invariant polynomials makes s_H a section of the map H in equation (3.5).

4. THE CATEGORY OF (G,P)-OPERS

In this section we define the main new object of the paper: (G, P) -opers. Let X be a connected Riemann surface, G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, and $P < G$ be a parabolic subgroup. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{p}$ be the unique open P -orbit on $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{p}$ from §2.1. Note that \mathcal{O} is also \mathbb{C}^* -invariant.

Definition 4.1. A (G, P) -oper on X is a triple (E_G, E_P, ω) such that

- (1) (E_G, ω) is a holomorphic flat G -bundle over X ,
- (2) $E_P \subset E_G$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure to the parabolic subgroup $P < G$,
- (3) the position of ω relative to E_P satisfies $\text{pos}_{E_P}(\omega) = \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 4.2. Note that there is an isomorphism $E_P[\mathfrak{g}^{-1}/\mathfrak{p}] \cong E_L[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}]$ where $L \cong P/U$ is the Levi factor of P . When $P = B$, using Example 2.12, the third condition of Definition 4.1 is that the projection of ω to every negative simple root space is nowhere vanishing. This recovers Beilinson-Drinfeld's definition of a G -oper in [2].

A morphism between two (G, P) -opers $(E_G, E_P, \omega), (F_G, F_P, \eta)$ is an isomorphism $\Phi : E_G \rightarrow F_G$ of holomorphic principal bundles such that $\Phi|_{E_P} : E_P \rightarrow F_P$ is an isomorphism and $\Phi^*\eta = \omega$. The category of (G, P) -opers over X is denoted $\mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$.

Remark 4.3. The definition of a (G, P) -oper extends immediately to the context of λ -connections. We denote the category of (G, P, λ) -opers over X as λ ranges over \mathbb{C} by $\mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$. Namely, the objects of $\mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$ consists of tuples $(\lambda, E_G, E_P, \omega)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and (E_G, E_P, ω) is a λ -oper.

Using Example 2.1, we can rephrase the above definition for $G = \text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$ in terms of rank 4-vector bundles.

Example 4.4. Given a holomorphic $\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$ -bundle \mathcal{E} with connection ω , let $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \Omega)$ be the associated holomorphic vector bundle with connection ∇ and parallel volume Ω .

- (1) Since B is the stabilizer of full flag in \mathbb{C}^4 , a holomorphic B -reduction $\mathcal{E}_B \subset \mathcal{E}$ defines a holomorphic filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \mathcal{V}_3 \subset \mathcal{V}_4 = \mathcal{V},$$

where $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_i) = i$. The third condition of Definition 4.1 is that, for each i , $\nabla(\mathcal{V}_i) \subset \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and ∇ induces a bundle isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{V}_{i+1}/\mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

- (2) For P the stabilizer of a subspace $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, a holomorphic P -reduction $\mathcal{E}_P \subset \mathcal{E}$ defines a holomorphic filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \mathcal{V}_4 = \mathcal{V},$$

where $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_i) = i$. The third condition of Definition 4.1 is that ∇ induces a bundle isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

- (3) For P the stabilizer of a partial flag $\mathbb{C}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, a holomorphic P -reduction $\mathcal{E}_P \subset \mathcal{E}$ defines a holomorphic filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_3 \subset \mathcal{V}_4 = \mathcal{V},$$

where $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_i) = i$. The third condition of Definition 4.1 is that $\nabla(\mathcal{V}_1) \subset \mathcal{V}_3 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and the composition

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{V}_3 \otimes \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\nabla \otimes \text{id}} \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{K}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 \otimes \mathcal{K}^2$$

is a bundle isomorphism.

- (4) For P the stabilizer of a subspace $\mathbb{C}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, a holomorphic P -reduction $\mathcal{E}_P \subset \mathcal{E}$ defines a holomorphic line sub-bundle $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}$. The third condition of Definition 4.1 is that the map $\mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ induced by ∇ is an injective bundle map.

Remark 4.5. Each of the above examples generalizes naturally to higher rank holomorphic vector bundles. For the first example, we recover the classical vector bundle definition of an oper. Namely, an $(\text{SL}_n\mathbb{C}, B)$ -oper consists of a rank n holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{V} with holomorphic volume form Ω , equipped with a holomorphic filtration $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{V}$ with $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_i) = i$, and a holomorphic connection ∇ preserving Ω and satisfying $\nabla(\mathcal{V}_i) \subset \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\nabla : \mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i+1}/\mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}$ an isomorphism for all i .

If $G = S$ is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ or $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, the Borel $B_S < S$ is the only proper parabolic subgroup. In terms of vector bundles, an $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper is a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_1, \nabla, \Omega)$, where $\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = 2$ and Ω is a holomorphic volume form on \mathcal{V} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a holomorphic line sub-bundle and ∇ is a holomorphic connection preserving Ω such that the induced map $\nabla : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an isomorphism. When X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, this implies $\mathcal{V}_1 \cong \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is one of the 2^{2g} -square roots of

\mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_1 \cong \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The ambient holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{V} is isomorphic to the unique non-split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Choosing a smooth identification of \mathcal{V} with $\mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, an explicit example of an oper is given by the following Dolbeault operator and holomorphic connection:

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{1/2} & h \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{1/2}^h & q \\ 1 & \nabla_{-1/2}^h \end{pmatrix} .$$

Here, $h \in \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(X, \mathcal{K})$ is the uniformizing hyperbolic metric on X , $\bar{\partial}_{1/2}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{-1/2}$ are Dolbeault operators on $K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively, $\nabla_{1/2}^h$ and $\nabla_{-1/2}^h$ are the $(1,0)$ -parts of the Chern connections of the induced metrics on $K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively, and $q \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2)$. In fact, every $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper is isomorphic to (4.1). This will be generalized in Theorem 5.14 for (G, P) -opers when P is an even JM-parabolic.

We can also use (4.1) to construct sections of $\mathcal{QOp}_X(\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. One such section is given by

$$(4.2) \quad \tau(\lambda) = (\lambda, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}, \nabla) = \left(\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{1/2} & \lambda h \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \nabla_{1/2}^h & \lambda^2 q \\ 1 & \lambda \nabla_{-1/2}^h \end{pmatrix} \right) ,$$

Remark 4.6. In the above discussion, we have a choice of a square root of \mathcal{K} . Each such choice defines the same $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper.

5. THE GLOBAL SLODOWY SLICE FOR EVEN JM-PARABOLICS

In this section, we define the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ associated to any even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Throughout the rest of the paper, we fix once and for all a connected, compact Riemann surface X of genus $g \geq 2$. All holomorphic objects are taken over X .

We first prove that the category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{Op}_X(G, P)$ of (G, P) -opers, where P is the even JM-parabolic associated to \mathfrak{s} . This equivalence can be interpreted as a “global slice theorem”. When \mathfrak{s} is a principal subalgebra and G is an adjoint group, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ is the Hitchin base and we recover Beilinson-Drinfeld’s parameterization of (G, B) -opers.

Let $S < G$ be the connected subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} . The functor realizing the equivalence depends on the choice of an S -oper. We then show that there is a full subcategory $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ and a functor $\mathcal{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Op}_X(G, P)$ which is an equivalence when $S \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, and essentially surjective and full when $S \cong \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Finally we extend these results to the category of (λ, G, P) -opers. For $\lambda = 0$ and $P = B$, we recover the Hitchin section.

5.1. The \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category. Let G be a connected, complex semi-simple Lie group. Fix an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$, let $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and let $B_S < S < G$ be the associated connected subgroups of G . Recall from (2.7) that we have an \mathfrak{s} -module decomposition of \mathfrak{g}

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N W_{2m_j}.$$

Recall also that the Slodowy data of \mathfrak{s} given by

$$V = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_{2m_j}.$$

Moreover, from Proposition 2.4, we have $V_2 = \langle e \rangle \oplus \hat{V}_2$.

The Slodowy data of \mathfrak{s} is the Lie theoretic mechanism which defines the generalization of the Hitchin base.

Definition 5.1. Let G be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group and $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple with centralizer $C < G$. The \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ has objects $(E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$, where

- E_C is a holomorphic C -bundle.
- ψ_0 is a holomorphic C -connection on E_C .
- $\psi_{m_j} \in H^0(X, E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$.

The morphisms are given by isomorphisms of holomorphic flat C -bundles which identify holomorphic sections of $E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1}$ for every j .

Remark 5.2. For a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $C < G$ is the center of G and $\psi_{m_j} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1})$. In this case, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ is the product of the Hitchin base with the finite set of holomorphic C -bundles on X . In particular, for an adjoint group G , $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ is the Hitchin base.

The following lemma allows us to view the *coefficients* ψ_{m_j} in the Slodowy category as holomorphic 1-forms valued in some auxiliary bundle, which are the kind of objects that can be added to connections.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Theta = (F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta)$ be an (S, B_S) -oper. For every holomorphic C -bundle E_C , the second fundamental form of η induces an isomorphism*

$$(5.1) \quad E_C \star F_{B_S}[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K}^{m_j+1},$$

where we recall the \star -notation from (3.3).

Proof. The oper condition implies that the second fundamental form of η relative to F_{B_S} induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \cong F_{B_S}[\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}]$. This implies that $\mathcal{K} \cong F_{B_S}[\langle e \rangle]$. Since B_S acts on V_{2m_j} via a multiplicative character of exponent $2m_j$, this implies that $\mathcal{K}^{m_j} \otimes V_{2m_j} \cong F_{B_S}[V_{2m_j}]$. \square

We now define the *Slodowy* functor, which should be viewed as a generalized affine deformation of the Hitchin section.

Definition 5.4. Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, and $S < G$ the associated connected subgroup. For each (S, B_S) -oper $\Theta = (F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta)$, the Θ -Slodowy functor

$$(5.2) \quad F_\Theta : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$$

is defined by

$$F_\Theta(\Xi) = (E_C \star F_S[G], E_C \star F_{B_S}[P], \eta \star \psi_0 + \psi_{m_1} + \cdots + \psi_{m_N}),$$

where $\Xi = (E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$ is an object in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ and we have identified each ψ_{m_j} with a holomorphic section of $F_{B_S} \star E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K}$ using the isomorphism (5.1). If $\Phi : E_C \rightarrow E'_C$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$, then $F_\Theta(\Phi) = \Phi \star \text{Id}_{F_S} : E_C \star F_S(G) \rightarrow E'_C \star F_S(G)$.

Note that the Slodowy functor *does* produce a (G, P) -oper, since the resulting holomorphic connection leaves the \mathfrak{g}_{-1} -space unchanged, and therefore the second fundamental form of $\eta \star \psi_0 + \psi_{m_1} + \cdots + \psi_{m_N}$ relative to $E_C \star F_{B_S}[P]$ is identified with the second fundamental form of η .

Remark 5.5. The Slodowy functor can be extended to a functor

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} F : \mathfrak{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P) \\ (\Theta, \Xi) &\longmapsto F_\Theta(\Xi) \end{aligned}$$

Given morphisms $\Phi_1 : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta'$ and $\Phi_2 : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi'$, we have $F(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \Phi_1 \star \Phi_2 : F(\Theta, \Xi) \rightarrow F(\Theta', \Xi')$.

Recall that a functor defines an equivalence of categories if it is essentially surjective and fully faithful, i.e. every isomorphism class is in the image and the functor induces a bijection on morphisms. The central theorem of this paper is the following *parameterization* of (G, P) -opers via the Slodowy category.

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group, $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, and $P < G$ be the associated even JM-parabolic. For every (S, B_S) -oper Θ , the Θ -Slodowy functor*

$$F_\Theta : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Remark 5.7. When \mathfrak{s} is a principal subalgebra and $P = B$ is the Borel subgroup, Theorem 5.6 is due to Beilinson-Drinfeld [2]. Note that, in this case, the Slodowy functor is defined similarly to the Hitchin section (3.6), see (5.14) for the explicit relation.

To remove the choice of (S, B_S) -oper from Theorem 5.6, we introduce a full sub-category $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$. Since the space V_2 decomposes C -invariantly as $\langle e \rangle \oplus \hat{V}_2$, for any holomorphic C -bundle E_C we have

$$E_C[V_2] \otimes \mathcal{K}^2 \cong \mathcal{K}^2 \oplus (E_C[\hat{V}_2] \otimes \mathcal{K}^2).$$

Thus, for an object $(E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$, ψ_{m_1} decomposes as

$$(5.4) \quad \psi_{m_1} = q \oplus \hat{\psi}_{m_1},$$

where $q \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2)$ and $\hat{\psi}_{m_1} \in H^0(X, E_C[\hat{V}_2] \otimes \mathcal{K}^2)$.

Definition 5.8. Let $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Define the *traceless quadratic \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category* to be the full subcategory $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ whose objects are $(E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$ with $\psi_{m_1} = \hat{\psi}_{m_1}$.

The following theorem mirrors Theorem 5.6, but reinstates the symmetry broken by the choice of a fixed (S, B_S) -oper.

Theorem 5.9. *Let G be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group, $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, $S < G$ the connected subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} , and $P < G$ the associated even JM-parabolic. Then, the functor*

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathfrak{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P) \\ (\Theta, \Xi) &\longmapsto F_{\Theta}(\Xi) \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories when $S \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, and essentially surjective and full when $S \cong \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 5.10. Recall that when G is an adjoint group, the subgroup $S < G$ is always isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Thus, for adjoint groups, the above functor is an equivalence of categories.

5.2. Proofs of Theorems 5.6 and 5.9. In this section we will prove Theorems 5.6 and 5.9. These proofs will be relatively straightforward consequences of Lemma 5.13. The reader is encouraged to skip to Theorem 5.14 to understand the usage of this technical statement.

Fix an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and retain the notation from the previous sections. In particular, $P < G$ is the even JM-parabolic associated \mathfrak{s} and $U < P$ is the unipotent radical which has a filtration $U^{m_N} < \dots < U^1 = U$. Throughout this section we will use the \mathbb{Z} -grading (2.2), i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_j \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the j^{th} eigenspace of $\mathrm{ad}_{\frac{h}{2}}$. Recall the open orbits $\mathcal{O}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathbb{C}^* \cdot f$, and the vector space $V = \ker(\mathrm{ad}_e) \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Before proving Lemma 5.13 we prove two auxillary lemmas.

Lemma 5.11. *Let U be a 1-connected domain and consider holomorphic 1-forms $\omega : TU \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ and $\eta : TU \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ such that $\omega(v) \in \mathcal{O}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}$ and $\eta(v) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s}} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ for all nonzero $v \in TU$. Then there exists a holomorphic map $\Psi : U \rightarrow P$ such that*

$$(5.5) \quad \psi := \mathrm{Ad}(\Psi^{-1}) \circ \omega + \Psi^* \theta_P - \eta : TU \rightarrow V,$$

where θ_P is the left invariant Maurer-Cartan form on P .

Proof. Since P acts transitively on $\mathcal{O}_{-1} + \mathfrak{p}$ and U is 1-connected, there is a holomorphic map $\Psi_0 : U \rightarrow P$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\Psi_0^{-1}) \circ \omega - \eta : TU \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}.$$

Define

$$\omega_0 := \text{Ad}(\Psi_0^{-1}) \circ \omega + \Psi_0^* \theta_L : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + \mathfrak{p}.$$

By Theorem 2.13, there exists a unique holomorphic $\Psi_1 : U \rightarrow U^1$ such that

$$\text{Ad}(\Psi_1^{-1}) \circ \omega_0 : U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + V$$

Then, define

$$\omega_1 := \text{Ad}(\Psi_1^{-1}) \circ \omega_0 + \Psi_1^* \theta_{U^1} : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + V + \mathfrak{u}^1.$$

Using Theorem 2.13 inductively for each $i \geq 2$, we obtain a unique $\Psi_i : U \rightarrow U^i$ such that $\text{Ad}(\Psi_i^{-1}) \circ \omega_{i-1} : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + V$, and the resulting

$$\omega_i := \text{Ad}(\Psi_i^{-1}) \circ \omega_{i-1} + \Psi_i^* \theta_{U^i} : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + V + \mathfrak{u}^i.$$

When $i = m_N$, since $\mathfrak{g}^{m_N} \subset V$, we obtain $\omega_{m_N} : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s + V$.

Then $\Psi = \Psi_0 \cdots \Psi_{m_N+1} : U \rightarrow P$ is the desired map since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(\Psi^{-1}) \circ \omega + \Psi^* \theta_P &= \text{Ad}(\Psi_{m_N+1}^{-1}) \circ \omega_{m_N} - \text{Ad}(\Psi_{m_N+1}^{-1} \cdots \Psi_1^{-1}) \circ \Psi_0^* \theta_L \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{m_N} \text{Ad}(\Psi_{m_N+1}^{-1} \cdots \Psi_{i+1}^{-1}) \circ \Psi_i^* \theta_{U^i} + \Psi^* \theta_P \\ &= \text{Ad}(\Psi_{m_N+1}^{-1}) \circ \omega_{m_N} + \Psi_{m_N+1}^* \theta_{U_S}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We also need the following Lie theoretic lemma.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $u \in U$*

- (1) *If $u = \exp(x_j + \cdots + x_{m_N})$, where $x_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, then $\text{Ad}(u)(f) = f - \text{ad}_f(x_j) + b$ for $b \in \mathfrak{u}^j$.*
- (2) *Suppose u is in the group generated by U_S and U^j for $j \geq 2$. If the projection of $\text{Ad}(u)(f)$ onto $\text{ad}_f(\mathfrak{u}^j) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ is zero, then u is in the group generated by U_S and U^{j+1} .*

Proof. The first part follows from a direct computation. We have

$$\text{Ad}(u)(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}_x^i(f)}{i!} = f - \text{ad}_f(x_j) + b,$$

where $b \in \mathfrak{u}^j$ is given by $b = -\sum_{k=j+1}^{m_N} \text{ad}_f(x_k) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}_x^i(f)}{i!}$.

For the second part, suppose u is in the group generated by U_S and U^j for $j \geq 2$. Then $u = \exp(\lambda e + x_j + \cdots + x_{m_N})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$. A computation shows that

$$\text{Ad}(u)(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}_x^i(f)}{i!} = f + \text{ad}_{\lambda e}(f) + \frac{\text{ad}_{\lambda e}^2(f)}{2} - \text{ad}_f(x_j) + b,$$

where $b \in \mathfrak{u}^j$. The projection onto $\text{ad}_f(\mathfrak{u}^j) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ is $-\text{ad}_f(x_j)$, and is zero if and only if $x_j = 0$. Hence, this projection is zero if and only if u is in the group generated by U_S and U^{j+1} . □

Lemma 5.13. *Let $U_\alpha, U_\beta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be 1-connected domains such that $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ is 1-connected. For $i = \alpha, \beta$, let ω_i, η_i, Ψ_i and ψ_i be as in Lemma 5.11. Suppose there exists holomorphic maps $p_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ and $b_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_S$ such that*

$$\omega_\alpha = \text{Ad}(p_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \omega_\beta + p_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\alpha = \text{Ad}(b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta + b_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{B}_S}.$$

If $q_{\alpha\beta} := \Psi_\beta^{-1} \cdot p_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \Psi_\alpha : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$, then

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(\eta_\beta + \psi_\beta) + q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}} = \eta_\alpha + \psi_\alpha.$$

Furthermore, the holomorphic map $q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ is valued in \mathbf{C} .

Proof. By assumption we have $\psi_i + \eta_i = \text{Ad}(\Psi_i^{-1}) \circ \omega_i + \Psi_i^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}$ for $i = \alpha, \beta$. Equation (5.6) follows from the definition of $q_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ (\eta_\beta + \psi_\beta) &= \text{Ad}(\Psi_\alpha^{-1} \cdot p_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \cdot \Psi_\beta)(\text{Ad}(\Psi_\beta^{-1}) \circ \omega_\beta + \Psi_\beta^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\Psi_\alpha^{-1}) \circ \omega_\alpha + \Psi_\alpha^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}} - q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}} \\ &= \eta_\alpha + \psi_\alpha - q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting (5.6) leads to

$$(5.7) \quad \psi_\alpha - \text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta + \eta_\alpha - \text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta = q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

Since $\mathbf{P} \cong \mathbf{L} \ltimes \mathbf{U}$, there are unique holomorphic maps $l_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$ and $u_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ such that $q_{\alpha\beta} = u_{\alpha\beta} \cdot l_{\alpha\beta}$.

Equation (5.7) is a direct sum of graded pieces. By hypothesis, the (-1) -graded piece is the first non-zero term. Since the (-1) -graded pieces of ψ_α , $\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta$ and $q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}$ vanish, we have

$$(5.8) \quad (\eta_\alpha)_{-1} - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_{-1} = (\eta_\alpha)_{-1} - \text{Ad}(l_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1} = 0.$$

Thus, $l_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{l}_{S, \alpha\beta} \cdot c_{\alpha\beta}$ for holomorphic maps $c_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\widehat{l}_{S, \alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_S$, where $\mathbf{L}_S < \mathbf{B}_S$ is the Levi associated to $\langle h \rangle \subset \mathfrak{b}_S$.

For the zeroth graded piece we have

$$(5.9) \quad (\eta_\alpha)_0 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_0 + (\psi_\alpha)_0 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_0 = c_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}} + \widehat{l}_{S, \alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

The term $(\psi_\alpha)_0 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_0$ takes values in \mathfrak{c} . Since $(q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}})_0$ is valued in $\langle h \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{c}$, the term $(\eta_\alpha)_0 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_0$ is valued in $\langle h \rangle$. Thus,

$$(5.10) \quad (\psi_\alpha)_0 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_0 = (\psi_\alpha)_0 - \text{Ad}(c_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ (\psi_\beta)_0 = c_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

Since \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{L}_S act trivially on $\langle h \rangle$, the other term is given by

$$(5.11) \quad (\eta_\alpha)_0 - (\eta_\beta)_0 - \text{Ad}(\widehat{l}_{S, \alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ (\text{Ad}(u_{\alpha\beta}) \circ \eta_\beta)_0 = \widehat{l}_{S, \alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

Since $(\eta_\beta)_{-1}$ is valued in $\langle f \rangle$, Lemma 5.12 implies $u_{\alpha\beta}$ is valued in the group generated by \mathbf{U}_S .

Now, the first graded piece is valued in $\langle e \rangle$ and given by

$$(\eta_\alpha)_1 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_1 + (\psi_\alpha)_1 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_1 = (q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{\mathbf{P}})_1.$$

Since ψ_β is valued in V and $q_{\alpha\beta}$ is valued in the group generated by C , B_S and U^2 , the term $(\psi_\alpha)_1 - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_1$ is valued in V . Hence the projection of $(\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_1$ onto $\text{ad}_f(\mathfrak{u}^2)$ is zero. In particular, this implies that the projection of $\text{Ad}(u_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1}$ onto $\text{ad}_f(\mathfrak{u}^2)$ is zero. Hence, $u_{\alpha\beta}$ takes values in the group generated by U_S and U^3 by Lemma 5.12.

For $j \geq 2$, assume $u_{\alpha\beta}$ is valued in the group generated by U_S and U^{j+1} . Then $0 = (q_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_P)_j$ and the term $(\psi_\alpha)_j - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_j$ is valued in V . Thus, $(\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_j = 0$. By Lemma 5.12, the image of $q_{\alpha\beta}$ is contained in the subgroup of P generated by C , B_S and U^{j+2} , and

$$(5.12) \quad (\psi_\alpha)_j - (\text{Ad}(q_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \psi_\beta)_j = 0.$$

Since $U^{m_N+1} = 0$, $q_{\alpha\beta}$ is valued in the subgroup generated by B_S and C .

Hence, we have shown that $q_{\alpha\beta}$ factors as $c_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \widehat{b}_{\alpha\beta}$, for holomorphic maps $c_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C$ and $\widehat{b}_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow B_S$. We also have $b_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow B_S$ such that $\eta_\alpha = \text{Ad}(b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta + b_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{B_S}$. Moreover, there are unique holomorphic maps $l_{S,\alpha\beta}, \widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow L_S$ and $u_{S,\alpha\beta}, \widehat{u}_{S,\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow U_S$ such that $b_{\alpha\beta} = l_{S,\alpha\beta} \cdot u_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\widehat{b}_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta} \cdot \widehat{u}_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively.

Using (5.8) and the fact that C acts trivially on \mathcal{O}_s , we have

$$\text{Ad}(\widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1} - \text{Ad}(l_{S,\alpha\beta}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1} = 0.$$

Since L_S acts transitively on \mathcal{O}_s with stabilizer the center of S , $l_{S,\alpha\beta}$ and $\widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta}$ differ by an element of the center of S . In particular, $l_{S,\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{L_S} = \widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{L_S}$.

Now using (5.11), we have

$$\text{Ad}(\widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1})(\text{Ad}(\widehat{u}_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_0 - \text{Ad}(l_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1})(\text{Ad}(u_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta)_0 = 0.$$

Note that $\text{Ad}(\widehat{l}_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1}) = \text{Ad}(l_{S,\alpha\beta}^{-1})$ and, for $u \in U_S$,

$$(\text{Ad}(u) \circ \eta_\beta)_0 = (\eta_\beta)_0 + (\text{Ad}(u) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1})_0.$$

Thus,

$$(\text{Ad}(u_{S,\alpha\beta}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1})_0 - (\text{Ad}(\widehat{u}_{S,\alpha\beta}) \circ (\eta_\beta)_{-1})_0 = 0,$$

and hence $u_{S,\alpha\beta} = \widehat{u}_{S,\alpha\beta}$.

From the above argument $\widehat{b}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}$ is valued in the center of S and hence in C . Thus $q_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \widehat{b}_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies $q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C$. \square

The proofs of Theorems 5.6 and 5.9 follow almost immediately from the following parameterization theorem.

Theorem 5.14. *Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, $S < G$ be the connected subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} , $C < G$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} and $P < G$ be the associated even JM-parabolic. Let $\Theta = (F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta)$ be an (S, B_S) -oper and (E_G, E_P, ω) a (G, P) -oper. Then, there exists a flat C -bundle (E_C, ψ_0) and an isomorphism*

$\Psi : (E_C \star F_{B_S})[P] \rightarrow E_P$ such that

$$(5.13) \quad \Psi^* \omega - \eta \star \psi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_{m_j} \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^N H^0(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes (E_C \star F_{B_S}[V_{2m_j}])).$$

Finally, if $(\widetilde{E}_C, \widetilde{\psi}_0)$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is another flat C -bundle and isomorphism, respectively, which satisfies (5.13), then the isomorphism $\widetilde{\Psi}^{-1} \Psi : (E_C \star F_{B_S})[P] \rightarrow (\widetilde{E}_C \star F_{B_S})[P]$ induces an isomorphism in the Slodowy category between $(E_C, \psi_0, \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_{m_j})$ and $(\widetilde{E}_C, \widetilde{\psi}_0, \sum_{j=1}^N \widetilde{\psi}_{m_j})$.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a trivializing open cover of both E_P and F_{B_S} and let

$$\{p_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow P\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{b_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow B_S\}$$

be the transition functions of E_P and F_{B_S} respectively. The restrictions of ω and η to $U_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy

$$\omega_\alpha = \text{Ad}(p_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \omega_\beta + p_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_P \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\alpha = \text{Ad}(b_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \circ \eta_\beta + b_{\alpha\beta}^* \theta_{B_S}.$$

By Lemma 5.11, we obtain $\{\Psi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow P\}$ so that

$$\text{Ad}(\Psi_\alpha^{-1}) \circ \omega_\alpha + \Psi_\alpha^* \theta_P - \eta_\alpha := \psi_\alpha : TU_\alpha \rightarrow V.$$

Let E_Q be the principal P -bundle defined by the transition functions $Q := \{q_{\alpha\beta} = \Psi_\beta^{-1} p_{\alpha\beta} \Psi_\alpha : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow P\}$. By construction, the locally defined Ψ_α patch to a globally defined isomorphism $\Psi : E_Q \rightarrow E_P$. Now, we are exactly in the setting of Lemma 5.13 and we deduce that $q_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta} \cdot b_{\alpha\beta}$ for some holomorphic map $c_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C$.

Since B_S and C commute, the functions $c_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow C$ are the transition functions of a holomorphic C -bundle E_C . Therefore, $E_Q = E_C \star F_{B_S}[P]$ and $\Psi : E_C \star F_{B_S}[P] \rightarrow E_P$ is the promised isomorphism.

Next, by Lemma 5.13 equation (5.6), the locally defined holomorphic 1-forms $\{\eta_\alpha + \psi_\alpha\}$ glue to define a holomorphic connection on $E_C \star F_{B_S}[G]$ which, by construction, is equal to $\Psi^* \omega$.

By equation (5.9), $\{(\psi_\alpha)_0\}$ defines a holomorphic connection on E_C . Moreover, by (5.12) each $\{(\psi_\alpha)_{m_j}\}$ defines a section $\psi_{m_j} \in H^0(X, E_C \star F_{B_S}[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Thus,

$$\Psi^* \omega = \eta \star \psi_0 + \psi_{m_1} + \cdots + \psi_{m_N}.$$

Finally, assume we are given a $\widetilde{\Psi}$ as in the statement of the Theorem which satisfies

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^* \omega = \eta \star \widetilde{\psi}_0 + \widetilde{\psi}_{m_1} + \cdots + \widetilde{\psi}_{m_N}.$$

Then by breaking into graded pieces we immediately obtain $(\widetilde{\Psi}^{-1} \circ \Psi)^*(\eta \star \widetilde{\psi}_0) = \eta \star \psi_0$ and $(\widetilde{\Psi}^{-1} \circ \Psi)^*(\widetilde{\psi}_i) = \psi_i$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore, this defines a morphism in the Slodowy category. \square

We now prove Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.9.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. By Theorem 5.14, for each (S, B_S) -oper Θ , the Slodowy functor F_Θ is essentially surjective. Given a morphism $\Phi : (E_C, \psi_0) \rightarrow (E'_C, \psi'_0)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$, $F_\Theta(\Phi)$ is defined by $\Phi \star \text{Id}_{B_S} : E_C \star F_{B_S}[G] \rightarrow E'_C \star F_{B_S}[G]$. This is clearly faithful.

The fullness follows from the final statement of Theorem 5.13. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.9. Fix an (S, B_S) -oper $\Theta = (F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta)$ and let (E_G, E_P, ω) be a (G, P) -oper. By Theorem 5.14, there is $\Xi = (E_C, \psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$ such that

$$(E_G, E_P, \omega) \cong (F_S \star E_C[G], F_{B_S} \star E_C[P], \eta \star \psi_0 + \psi_{m_1} + \dots + \psi_{m_N}),$$

where, using the isomorphism (5.1), $\psi_{m_j} \in H^0(X, F_{B_S} \star E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

By (5.4), ψ_{m_1} decomposes as $\psi_{m_1} = q + \hat{\psi}_{m_1}$, where

$$q \in H^0(X, E_C \star F_{B_S}[\langle e \rangle] \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong H^0(X, F_{B_S}[\langle e \rangle] \otimes \mathcal{K}),$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{m_1} \in H^0(X, E_C \star F_{B_S}[\hat{V}_2] \otimes \mathcal{K}).$$

Let $\hat{\Xi} = (E_C, \psi_0, \hat{\psi}_{m_1}, \psi_{m_2}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$ be the associated object in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$. Note that $\Theta_q = (F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta + q)$ is another (S, B_S) -oper and

$$F_\Theta(\Xi) = F_{\Theta_q}(\hat{\Xi}).$$

Thus, the functor $F : \mathfrak{Op}_X(S, B_S) \times \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(G, P)$ defined by $F(\Theta, \hat{\Xi}) = F_\Theta(\hat{\Xi})$ is essentially surjective.

For fullness, consider

$$\Phi : E_C \star F_{B_S}[P] \rightarrow E'_C \star F'_{B_S}[P]$$

such that $\Phi^*(\eta' \star \psi'_0 + \hat{\psi}'_1 + \dots + \psi'_{m_N}) = \eta \star \psi_0 + \hat{\psi}_1 + \dots + \psi_{m_N}$. Locally, $\Phi = \{\Phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow P\}$, where each Φ_α preserves $\mathfrak{s} + V$. By Lemma 5.12, we have $\Phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow C \star B_S$, and $\Phi : E_C \star F_{B_S} \rightarrow E'_C \star F'_{B_S}$. Such a Φ can be written as a product $\Phi_C \cdot \Phi_{B_S}$ where $\Phi_C : E_C \rightarrow E'_C$ and $\Phi_{B_S} : F_{B_S} \rightarrow F'_{B_S}$.

For faithfulness, note that the multiplication map $S \times C \rightarrow G$ is injective if and only if $S \cong \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Thus, the functor F is faithful if and only if $S \cong \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. \square

5.3. Explicit models for $\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$ -opers. Recall Examples 2.1, 2.11 and 4.4. For $\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}$, the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy categories are defined as follows.

- (1) For $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4\mathbb{C}$ a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 , we have $C = \langle \sqrt{-1}\text{Id} \rangle$. The objects of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C})$ consists of tuples

$$(\mathcal{L}, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3),$$

where \mathcal{L} is a holomorphic line bundle on X such that $\mathcal{L}^4 = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\psi_j \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{j+1})$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

- (2) For $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4\mathbb{C}$ the even \mathfrak{sl}_2 whose associated JM-parabolic is the stabilizer of subspace $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, we have $C \cong \text{SL}_2^\pm\mathbb{C}$. Using Example 2.11, the objects of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C})$ are tuples

$$(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1),$$

where \mathcal{W} is a rank two holomorphic vector bundle with $\det(\mathcal{W})^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a holomorphic connection on \mathcal{W} compatible with the isomorphism $\det(\mathcal{W})^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, and $\psi_1 \in H^0(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^2)$. The decomposition (5.4) is $\psi_1 = q \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \hat{\psi}_1$, where $q \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2)$ and $\hat{\psi}_1 \in H^0(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^2)$ is a traceless.

- (3) For $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{sl}_4\mathbb{C}$, the even \mathfrak{sl}_2 whose associated JM-parabolic is the stabilizes a partial flag $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, we have $\mathbb{C} \cong \text{GL}_1\mathbb{C}$. Using Example 2.11, the objects of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C})$ are tuples

$$(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}, \psi_1, \psi_2),$$

where \mathcal{L} is a degree zero line bundle, $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a holomorphic connection on \mathcal{L} , $\psi_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^4\mathcal{K}^2) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{-4}\mathcal{K}^2)$ and $\psi_2 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^3)$. The decomposition (5.4) of ψ_1 is $\psi_1 = q + \hat{\psi}_1$, where $q \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^2)$ and $\hat{\psi}_1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^4\mathcal{K}^2) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{-4}\mathcal{K}^2)$.

We now describe the Slodowy functor for each of these examples. To make the descriptions more concrete we will choose a smooth isomorphism between filtered objects and their associated graded. The holomorphic bundles with connections will then be expressed as Dolbeault operators and connections on the smooth bundle.

Recall Examples 4.4 and Example 2.11. Let Θ the $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper from (4.1). The ordering of the below cases is the same as the previous examples.

- (1) The Θ -Slodowy functor $F_{\Theta} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{B})$ is

$$F_{\Theta}(\mathcal{L}, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) = (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla)$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla)$ are Dolbeault operators and holomorphic connections on the smooth bundle $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}^{\frac{3}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{L}\mathcal{K}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ given by

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{3/2} & 3h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{1/2} & 4h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{-1/2} & 3h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{-3/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{3/2}^h & 3q + 3\psi_1 & \psi_2 & \psi_3 \\ 1 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{1/2}^h & 4q + 4\psi_1 & \psi_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{-1/2}^h & 3q + 3\psi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{-3/2}^h \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the holomorphic connection which induces the trivial connection on $\mathcal{L}^4 = \mathcal{O}_X$.

- (2) The Θ -Slodowy functor $F_{\Theta} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(\text{SL}_4\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{P})$ is

$$F_{\Theta}(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1) = (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla),$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla)$ are Dolbeault operators and holomorphic connections on the smooth bundle $(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ given by

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{1/2} & h \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \nabla_{1/2}^h & q \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} + \psi_1 \\ \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} & \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes \nabla_{-1/2}^h \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) The Θ -Slodowy functor $F_\Theta : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(\mathrm{SL}_4\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X(\mathrm{SL}_4\mathbb{C}, P)$ is

$$F_\Theta(\mathcal{L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}, \psi_1, \psi_2) = (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla)$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla)$ are Dolbeault operators and holomorphic connections on the smooth bundle $\mathcal{L}K \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-3} \oplus \mathcal{L}K^{-1}$ given by

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_1 & h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 & h \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{-3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_1^h & 2q + 2\alpha & \beta & \psi_3 \\ 1 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} & 0 & 2q + 2\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}^{-3}} & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{-1}^h \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\psi_1 = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in H^0(X, K^2) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^4 K^2) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{-4} K^2)$.

5.4. The (\mathfrak{s}, λ) -Slodowy functor. We now describe appropriate generalizations of Theorems 5.6, 5.9 and 5.14 to the category $\mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$ of (λ, G, P) -opers. Since the proofs and statements of the results are almost identical to the $\lambda = 1$ case, we mostly omit the details.

First note that the definition of the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$ generalizes immediately to a (λ, \mathfrak{s}) -Slodowy category $\mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$ whose objects consist of tuples $(\lambda, E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$, where (λ, E_C, ψ_0) is an object in $\mathcal{QF}(C)$ and $\psi_{m_j} \in H^0(X, E_C[V_{2m_j}] \otimes K^{m_j+1})$. Similarly, we define the traceless quadratic part $\widehat{\mathcal{QB}}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G)$ to be the locus where $\psi_{m_1} = \hat{\psi}_{m_1}$.

There are natural projections,

$$\mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Denote the fiber category over $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by $\mathfrak{Op}_X^\lambda(G, P)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}^\lambda(G)$, respectively.

The Slodowy functor from Definition 5.4 also immediately generalizes to λ -connections. For each (λ, S, B_S) -oper $\Theta_\lambda = (\lambda, F_S, F_{B_S}, \eta)$, define the Θ_λ -Slodowy functor $F_{\Theta_\lambda} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}^\lambda(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X^\lambda(G, P)$ by

$$F_{\Theta_\lambda}(\Xi_\lambda) = (\lambda, E_C \star F_S(G), E_C \star F_{B_S}(P), \eta \star \psi_0 + \psi_{m_1} + \dots + \psi_{m_N}),$$

where $\Xi_\lambda = (\lambda, E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N})$.

Lemmas 5.11 and 5.13 are valid when the Maurer-Cartan form θ_P in (5.5) and (5.6) are replaced by $\lambda\theta_P$. Moreover, the natural λ -connection generalization of Theorem 5.14 is proven by replacing the use of Lemma 5.13 by the λ -version. In particular, this implies that the λ -Slodowy functor $F_{\Theta_\lambda} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s},X}^\lambda(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Op}_X^\lambda(G, P)$ is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, each section $\tau : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S)$ defines an equivalence of categories

$$F_\tau : \mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$$

$$\Xi_\lambda \longmapsto F_{\tau(\lambda)}(\Xi_\lambda)$$

Remark 5.15. Recall that one such sections $\tau : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S)$ is defined in (4.2). When $\langle f, h, e \rangle$ is a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, the functor

$$(5.14) \quad F_{\tau(0)} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}^0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Op}_X^0(G, B) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_X^0(G)$$

recovers the Hitchin section from (3.6).

For the group $SL_4\mathbb{C}$, explicit models of the (λ, \mathfrak{s}) -Slodowy category are given by replacing the C -connection in §5.3 with a (λ, C) -connection. Explicit descriptions of the λ -Slodowy functor are defined by replacing the $SL_2\mathbb{C}$ -oper from (4.1) with the $(\lambda, SL_2\mathbb{C})$ -oper $\tau(\lambda)$ defined above. In particular, the associated Higgs bundles are obtained by setting $\lambda = 0$.

Finally, analogous to Theorem 5.9, the above equivalence can be upgraded to remove choice of section τ . There are natural \mathbb{C}^* -actions on $\mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ and $\mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$ defined by

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi \cdot (\lambda, E_G, E_P, \omega) &= (\xi\lambda, E_G, E_P, \xi\omega) \\ \xi \cdot (\lambda, E_C, \psi_0, \psi_{m_1}, \dots, \psi_{m_N}) &= (\xi\lambda, E_C, \xi\psi_0, \xi\psi_{m_1}, \dots, \xi\psi_{m_N}) \end{aligned}$$

Denote the fiber product of the categories $\mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S)$ and $\mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ with respect to the natural projections to \mathbb{C} by

$$\mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S) \times_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{QB}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G).$$

The diagonal \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S) \times \widehat{\mathcal{QB}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G)$ induces a natural \mathbb{C}^* -action on the fiber product.

The proof of the following theorem is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 5.16. *Let G be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, $\mathfrak{s} = \langle f, h, e \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an even \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple, $S < G$ the connected subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} and $P < G$ be the associated even JM-parabolic. Let F_{Θ_λ} be the Θ_λ -Slodowy functor associated to an (λ, S, B_S) -oper Θ_λ . Then, the functor*

$$\mathcal{QF} : \mathcal{QOp}_X(S, B_S) \times_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{QB}}_{\mathfrak{s}, X}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(G, P)$$

defined by $F(\Theta_\lambda, \hat{\Xi}_\lambda) = F_{\Theta_\lambda}(\hat{\Xi}_\lambda)$ is an equivalence of categories when $S \cong PSL_2\mathbb{C}$ and essentially surjective and full when $S \cong SL_2\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, \mathcal{QF} is equivariant with respect to the natural \mathbb{C}^ -actions from (5.15).*

6. MORE EXPLICIT EXAMPLES AND RELATED OBJECTS

So far all of our explicit examples have been for $G = SL_4\mathbb{C}$ or the parabolic being the Borel subgroup. In this section we discuss a few more explicit examples which generalize the $SL_4\mathbb{C}$ -examples discussed throughout the paper. We also discuss the relation between some of the examples below and so called higher Teichmüller spaces.

The parabolics of the groups $SO_n\mathbb{C}$ and $Sp_{2m}\mathbb{C}$ will be described in terms of the subspaces they stabilize in \mathbb{C}^n ($n = 2m$ for $Sp_{2m}\mathbb{C}$) equipped with

a nondegenerate bilinear form which is symmetric for $\mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$ and skew-symmetric for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}\mathbb{C}$. Also, a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{V} with a holomorphic volume form Ω will sometimes be equipped with a compatible holomorphic symplectic structure B or a compatible holomorphic orthogonal structure Q . By this we mean,

- $B \in H^0(X, \Lambda^2 \mathcal{V}^*)$ is non-degenerate and compatible with Ω .
- $Q \in H^0(X, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}^*)$ is non-degenerate and compatible with Ω .

6.1. B-opers for classical groups. An $(\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C}, B, \lambda)$ -oper is a tuple

$$(\lambda, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{V}_n, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{V} is a rank n holomorphic vector bundle with $\det \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, \mathcal{V}_i is a rank i holomorphic sub-bundle, and $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a λ -connection on \mathcal{V} compatible with the isomorphism $\det \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, such that $\nabla(\mathcal{V}_i) \subset \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i+1}/\mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

For $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$), a (B, λ) -oper is an $(\mathrm{SL}_{2n+1}\mathbb{C}, B, \lambda)$ -oper (resp. $(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}, B, \lambda)$ -oper), where \mathcal{V} is equipped with a holomorphic orthogonal (resp. symplectic) structure of volume 1 which is preserved by $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$, and with respect to which \mathcal{V}_i is isotropic and $\mathcal{V}_{n-i} = \mathcal{V}_i^{\perp}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$, (B, λ) -opers are described in §6.3 when $2n = 2k + 2$.

An object in the category $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C})$ is a tuple $(\lambda, \mathcal{L}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1})$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{L} is a holomorphic line bundle with $\mathcal{L}^n \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\psi_j \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{j+1})$. For the groups $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ one has $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, respectively, and $\psi_{2j} = 0$ in both cases.

For the section τ of $\mathcal{QOp}_X(\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from (4.2), the Slodowy functor $F_{\tau} : \mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(\mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C}, B)$ is given by

$$F_{\tau}(\lambda, \mathcal{L}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}) = (\lambda, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}),$$

where, $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the holomorphic λ -connection inducing the trivial connection on $\mathcal{L}^n = \mathcal{O}_X$, and $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$ are Dolbeault operators and λ -connections on the smooth bundle $\mathcal{K}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$ given by

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \lambda\mu_1 h & & & \\ & \bar{\partial}_{\frac{n-3}{2}} & \lambda\mu_2 h & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \bar{\partial}_{\frac{3-n}{2}} & \lambda\mu_{n-1} h \\ & & & & \bar{\partial}_{\frac{1-n}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{V}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\nabla_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^h & \mu_1(q+\psi_1) & \psi_2 & \cdots & \psi_{n-1} \\ 1 & \lambda\nabla_{\frac{n-3}{2}}^h & \mu_2(q+\psi_1) & \cdots & \psi_{n-2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \lambda\nabla_{\frac{3-n}{2}}^h & \mu_{n-1}(q+\psi_1) \\ & & & 1 & \lambda\nabla_{\frac{1-n}{2}}^h \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mu_i = i(n-i)$ and the coefficient on each ψ_i are 1 for $i > 1$. The $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$) Slodowy functor is given by the restriction of the $\mathrm{SL}_{2n+1}\mathbb{C}$

(resp. $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$) Slodowy functor. Note that \mathcal{V} has natural orthogonal (resp. symplectic) structure when $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = 2n + 1$ (resp. $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = 2n$) which is preserved by $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ when $\psi_{2j} = 0$ for all j .

6.2. Compact dual of Tube-type Hermitian symmetric space. A family of even JM-parabolics $P < G$ arise when G/P is the compact dual of a non-compact Hermitian symmetric space of tube type. There are five such pairs (G, P) :

- (1) $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of a $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$;
- (2) $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of an *isotropic* subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$;
- (3) $G = \mathrm{SO}_{4n}\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of an *isotropic* subspace $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{4n}$;
- (4) $G = \mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$ and P the stabilizer of an *isotropic* line $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$;
- (5) $G = E_7$ and, if $\sum_{i=1}^7 n_i \alpha_i$ is an expression of the longest root with respect to a choice of simple roots, P is the maximal parabolic subgroup associated to the unique simple root α_k with $n_k = 1$.

Each such parabolic is a maximal parabolic which corresponds to a simple root α_k with $n_k = 1$, where the longest root is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g})} n_i \alpha_i$. Thus, the \mathbb{Z} -gradings (2.2) are $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module decompositions (2.3) are $\mathfrak{g} = W_0 \oplus W_2$. The centralizers C and multiplicities (n_0, n_2) are

G	$\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{SO}_{4n}\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$	E_7
C	$\mathrm{SL}_n^{\pm}\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{O}_n\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}$	$\mathrm{O}_{n-3}\mathbb{C}$	F_4
n_0	$n^2 - 1$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$	$n(2n + 1)$	$\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$	52
n_2	n^2	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$	$n(2n - 1)$	$n - 2$	27

We now describe the vector bundle definition of an (G, P, λ) -oper in cases (1)-(3), case (4) will be described in the next subsection.

An $(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper consists of a tuple $(\lambda, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_n, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{V} is a rank $2n$ holomorphic vector bundle with $\Lambda^{2n}\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a λ -connection on \mathcal{V} preserving $\Lambda^{2n}\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, and $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a holomorphic rank n sub-bundle such that the induced map $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an isomorphism.

For case (2), an $(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper is an $(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper $(\lambda, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_n, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$ such that \mathcal{V} is equipped holomorphic symplectic structure $B_{\mathcal{V}}$ which is preserved by $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ and with respect to which \mathcal{V}_n is isotropic.

For case (3), an $(\mathrm{SO}_{4n}\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper is an $(\mathrm{SL}_{4n}\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper $(\lambda, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_{2n}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$ such that \mathcal{V} is equipped holomorphic orthogonal structure $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ which is preserved by $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ and with respect to which \mathcal{V}_{2n} is isotropic.

For case (1), the objects $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C})$ consist of tuples $(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{W} is a rank n holomorphic vector bundle with $\det(\mathcal{W})^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a holomorphic λ -connection on \mathcal{W} and $\psi_1 \in H^0(X, \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^2)$. For the section τ of $\mathcal{QOp}_X(\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C})$ from (4.2), the Slodowy functor is

$$F_{\tau}(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1) = (\lambda, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}),$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_V, \nabla_V)$ are Dolbeault operators and λ -connections on the smooth bundle $\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ given by

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{\partial}_V = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_W \otimes \bar{\partial}_{1/2} & \lambda h \otimes \text{Id}_W \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_W \otimes \bar{\partial}_{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_V = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_W \otimes \lambda \nabla_{1/2}^h & q \otimes \text{Id}_W + \psi_1 \\ \text{Id}_W & \nabla_W \otimes \lambda \nabla_{-1/2}^h \end{pmatrix}.$$

For case (2), the objects of $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{Sp}_{2n}\mathbb{C})$ are objects $(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_W, \psi_1)$ of $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C})$ where \mathcal{W} is equipped with a holomorphic orthogonal structure Q_W which is preserved by ∇_W and with respect to which ψ_1 is symmetric. The Slodowy functor F_τ is the restriction of functor on $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{SL}_{2n}\mathbb{C})$. The symplectic structure on $\mathcal{W} \otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{W} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined by

$$B_V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_W \\ -Q_W & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For case (3), the objects of $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{SO}_{4n}\mathbb{C})$ are objects $(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_W, \psi_1)$ of $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{SL}_{4n}\mathbb{C})$ where \mathcal{W} is equipped with a holomorphic symplectic structure B_W which is preserved by ∇_W and with respect to which ψ_1 is symmetric. The Slodowy functor F_τ is the restriction of functor on $\mathcal{QB}_{s,X}(\text{SL}_{4n}\mathbb{C})$. The orthogonal structure on $\mathcal{W} \otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{W} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined by

$$Q_V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_W \\ -B_W & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.3. Some more opers for $\text{SL}_n\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$. Another family of even JM-parabolics of $\text{SL}_n\mathbb{C}$ for are given by the stabilizers of partial flags

$$\mathbb{C}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-k} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

where $2k < n$. When \mathbb{C}^n is equipped with an orthogonal structure and the subspaces \mathbb{C}^i are isotropic for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the associated parabolic $P < \text{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$ is also an even JM-parabolic. In particular, for the orthogonal group, the parabolic P is the Borel subgroup when $n = 2k + 1$ and $n = 2k + 2$, and P is the parabolic from case (4) of the previous section when $k = 1$.

For the above parabolic P , an $(\text{SL}_n\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper consists of a tuple

$$(\lambda, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{V}_k \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-k} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{V}, \nabla_V),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{V} is a rank n holomorphic vector bundle with $\Lambda^n \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, ∇_V is a λ -connection on \mathcal{V} compatible with $\Lambda^n \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a holomorphic rank i sub-bundle such that:

- for $i \neq k$, $\nabla_V(\mathcal{V}_i) \subset \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and ∇_V induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{V}_{i-1} \cong \mathcal{V}_{i+1}/\mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}$;
- $\nabla_V(\mathcal{V}_k) \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-k} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and ∇_V^2 induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_k/\mathcal{V}_{k-1} \cong \mathcal{V}_{n-k+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n-k} \otimes \mathcal{K}^2$.

When $G = \text{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$, an $(\text{SO}_n\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper is an $(\text{SL}_n\mathbb{C}, P, \lambda)$ -oper where the holomorphic bundle \mathcal{V} is equipped with an orthogonal structure Q_V which is preserved by ∇_V and with respect to which \mathcal{V}_i is isotropic and $\mathcal{V}_{n-i} = \mathcal{V}_i^{\perp Q_V}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We will describe the \mathfrak{s} -Slodowy category and functor for $G = \mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}$, the $G = \mathrm{SL}_n\mathbb{C}$ case is left to the reader. The \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module decomposition of the even JM-parabolic is

$$\mathfrak{so}_n\mathbb{C} = W_0 \oplus W_{2k} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \frac{k}{2}+1}}^k W_{4j-2}.$$

The centralizer C of the even \mathfrak{sl}_2 is isomorphic to $O_{n-2k+1}\mathbb{C}$, and the multiplicities are $n_0 = \frac{(n-2k-1)(n-2k-2)}{2}$, $n_{4j-2} = 1$ for $4j-2 \neq 2k$, and

$$n_{2k} = \begin{cases} n-2k & \text{if } k \text{ odd} \\ n-2k-1 & \text{if } k \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

When k is odd, the C -representation space Z_{2k} decomposes as a direct sum of the one dimensional trivial representation and the standard $(n-2k-1)$ -dimensional representation twisted by the determinant representation.

The objects of the category $\mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(\mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C})$ consists of tuples

$$(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{2k-1}),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{W} is a rank $(n-2k-1)$ holomorphic vector bundle equipped with an orthogonal structure $Q_{\mathcal{W}}$, $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a λ -connection on \mathcal{W} which preserves $Q_{\mathcal{W}}$, $\psi_{2j-1} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^{2j})$ for $2j-1 \neq k$ and

$$\psi_k = \begin{cases} (q_k, \hat{\psi}_k) \in H^0(X, K^{k+1}) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{W} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^{k+1}) & \text{if } k \text{ odd} \\ \hat{\psi}_k \in H^0(X, \mathcal{W} \otimes \det(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^{k+1}) & \text{if } k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

The Slodowy functor $F_{\tau} : \mathcal{QB}_{\mathfrak{s},X}(\mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{QOp}_X(\mathrm{SO}_n\mathbb{C}, P)$ is given by

$$F_{\tau}(\lambda, \mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{2k-1}) = (\lambda, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}),$$

where $(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$ are Dolbeault operators and λ -connections on the bundle $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2k+1} \otimes \det \mathcal{W}$ given by

$$(6.3) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{W}} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\det \mathcal{W}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathcal{W}} & \hat{\Psi}_k^T \\ \hat{\Psi}_k & \nabla_{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}} \otimes \nabla_{\det \mathcal{W}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,

- $(\lambda, \mathcal{W}_{2k+1}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}})$ denotes the $(\mathrm{SO}_{2k+1}\mathbb{C}, B, \lambda)$ -oper (6.1) associated to the Slodowy functor evaluated on

$$\begin{cases} (\psi_1, \psi_3, \psi_{k-2}, q_k, \psi_{k+2}, \dots, \psi_{2k}) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ (\psi_1, \psi_3, \dots, \psi_{2k}) & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

- $\hat{\Psi}_k : \mathcal{W}_{2k+1} \otimes \det \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a holomorphic bundle map which, in the smooth splitting of \mathcal{W}_{2k+1} from (6.1), is given by

$$\hat{\Psi}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \hat{\psi}_k \end{pmatrix} : (\mathcal{K}^k \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{K}^{-k}) \otimes \det \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

The orthogonal structure $Q_{\mathcal{V}}$ on $\mathcal{W} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2k+1} \otimes \det \mathcal{W}$ is given by

$$Q_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\mathcal{W}} & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}} \otimes \det Q_{\mathcal{W}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.4. Remarks on Nonabelian Hodge and Higher Teichmüller spaces.

So far, we have avoided the discussion of moduli spaces and stability. However, for every λ , the categories $\mathcal{B}_{s,X}^{\lambda}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{Op}_X^{\lambda}(G, P) \subset \mathcal{F}_X^{\lambda}(G)$ have natural stability conditions and corresponding coarse moduli spaces.

We expect the natural stability conditions on $\mathcal{B}_{s,X}^{\lambda}(G)$ and $\mathcal{F}_X^{\lambda}(G)$ to be compatible so that the Slodowy functor $F_{\Theta_{\lambda}}$ induces a well defined map on coarse moduli spaces. This is especially desirable when $\lambda = 0$ because one can associate a holomorphic connection to a point in the moduli space of poly-stable Higgs bundles via the non-abelian Hodge correspondence.

Denote the moduli spaces of isomorphism classes of poly-stable G -Higgs bundles and reductive holomorphic connections on a compact Riemann surface X by $\mathcal{M}_X^0(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}_X^1(G)$ respectively. We refer the reader to [37, 38] for the construction of these coarse moduli spaces.

Furthermore, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^1(G)$ is analytically isomorphic to the complex analytic variety (the *character variety*) $\mathcal{X}_X(G)$ of conjugacy classes of reductive homomorphisms of the fundamental group of X in G :

$$\mathcal{X}_X(G) = \text{Hom}^{\text{red}}(\pi_1(X), G)/G.$$

Note that $\mathcal{X}_X(G)$ as a complex analytic variety only depends on the topological surface underlying the Riemann surface X .

The non-abelian Hodge correspondence (see [12, 13, 25, 36]) defines a real analytic isomorphism between these spaces:

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_X^0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^1(G) \cong \mathcal{X}_X(G).$$

For $(0, \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}, B)$ -opers, the Higgs bundles are always stable and the image under the map \mathcal{T} can be identified with the Teichmüller space of the underlying topological surface [25]. More precisely, the image of $(0, \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}, B)$ -opers under the non-abelian Hodge correspondence \mathcal{T} consists of all conjugacy classes of holonomies of hyperbolic structures on the underlying topological surface.

For $(0, G, B)$ -opers (i.e., the Hitchin section) it is not hard to show that the Higgs bundles are always stable and so define points in $\mathcal{M}_X^0(G)$. Moreover, applying non-abelian Hodge to this locus defines a (union of) connected component of the character variety of representations into the split real form of G called the Hitchin component [26]. Moreover, the surface group representations in this component generalize many features of Teichmüller space. In particular, they are all discrete and faithful quasi-isometric embeddings [16, 32], and are holonomies of locally homogeneous geometric structures on closed manifolds [21, 28]. The Hitchin component was the first example of what is now referred to as a higher Teichmüller space/component.

The family of $(0, G, P)$ -opers described in §6.2 are related to a family of higher Teichmüller spaces known as maximal representations into a real Hermitian Lie group of tube type. In these cases, when the Higgs field ψ_0 is identically zero and $q = 0$, the Slodowy functor $F_{\tau(0)}$ recovers the Cayley correspondence of [3, §5] (see also [7, 8, 19]). This correspondence relates Higgs bundles with so called maximal Toledo invariant for a real¹ Hermitian Lie group of tube type $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ with \mathcal{K}^2 -twisted Higgs bundles for another group. For the complex groups $SL_{2n}\mathbb{C}$, $Sp_{2n}\mathbb{C}$, $SO_{4n}\mathbb{C}$, $SO_n\mathbb{C}$ and E_7 , the Hermitian Lie group $G^{\mathbb{R}}$ is $SU_{n,n}$, $Sp_{2n}\mathbb{R}$, SO_{4n}^* , $SO_{2,n-2}$ and E_7^{-25} , respectively.

Under the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, the isomorphism classes of such Higgs bundles which are poly-stable are in bijective correspondence with the set of conjugacy classes of so called maximal representations. Such representations have been studied by many authors, and correspond to unions of connected components of the character variety which consist entirely of discrete and faithful representations [9].

In contrast, the $(0, SL_n\mathbb{C}, P)$ -opers from §6.3 are *not* related to unions of connected components of the character variety of a real form of $SL_n\mathbb{C}$. However, the $(0, SO_n\mathbb{C}, P)$ -opers from §6.3 *are* related to connected components of character varieties. In this case, when the Higgs field ψ_0 is identically zero and $q = 0$, the Slodowy functor $F_{\tau(0)}$ recovers the generalized Cayley correspondence of [1]. Similar to the case of maximal representations, under the non-abelian Hodge correspondence, the isomorphism classes of such Higgs bundles which are poly-stable are identified with unions of connected components of the character variety for the real form $SO(k-1, n-k+1)$.

Remark 6.1. For a general even JM-parabolic, when $\lambda = 0$ and $\psi_0 = 0$, the Slodowy functor produces poly-stable Higgs bundles whose associated representation via the non-abelian Hodge correspondence is *not* always valued in a real form. However, using Guichard-Wienhard's work on Θ -positivity [22], one expects one more such family for certain real forms of the exceptional groups F_4 , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . This is indeed the case and the relationship between the Slodowy functor and higher Teichmüller spaces will be described in [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Marta Aparicio-Arroyo, Steven Bradlow, Brian Collier, Oscar García-Prada, Peter B. Gothen, and André Oliveira. $SO(p,q)$ -Higgs bundles and higher Teichmüller components. *Invent. Math.*, 218(1):197–299, 2019.
- [2] A. A. Beilinson and V. G. Drinfeld. Quantization of Hitchin's fibration and Langlands' program. In *Algebraic and geometric methods in mathematical physics (Kaciveli, 1993)*, volume 19 of *Math. Phys. Stud.*, pages 3–7. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.

¹We have not discussed Higgs bundles for real groups, for appropriate definitions see for example [20].

- [3] Olivier Biquard, Oscar García-Prada, and Roberto Rubio. Higgs bundles, the Toledo invariant and the Cayley correspondence. *Journal of Topology*, 10(3):795–826, 2017.
- [4] Indranil Biswas. Coupled connections on a compact riemann surface. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 82(1):1 – 42, 2003.
- [5] Indranil Biswas, Laura P. Schaposnik, and Mengxue Yang. Generalized B-Opers. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1911.11842, Nov 2019.
- [6] Steven Bradlow, Brian Collier, Oscar García-Prada, Peter B. Gothen, and André Oliveira. A general Cayley correspondence for higher Teichmüller spaces. *In preparation*, 2019.
- [7] Steven B. Bradlow, Oscar García-Prada, and Peter B. Gothen. Surface group representations and $U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles. *J. Differential Geom.*, 64(1):111–170, 2003.
- [8] Steven B. Bradlow, Oscar García-Prada, and Peter B. Gothen. Maximal surface group representations in isometry groups of classical Hermitian symmetric spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 122:185–213, 2006.
- [9] Marc Burger, Alessandra Iozzi, and Anna Wienhard. Surface group representations with maximal Toledo invariant. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):517–566, 2010.
- [10] Brian Collier and Richard Wentworth. Conformal limits and the Białynicki-Birula stratification of the space of λ -connections. *Adv. Math.*, 350:1193–1225, 2019.
- [11] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [12] Kevin Corlette. Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(3):361–382, 1988.
- [13] S. K. Donaldson. Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):127–131, 1987.
- [14] V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov. Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type. In *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 81–180. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984.
- [15] O. Dumitrescu, L. Fredrickson, G. Kydonakis, R. Mazzeo, M. Mulase, and A. Neitzke. From the Hitchin section to opers through nonabelian Hodge. *J. Differential Geom.*, accepted, 2018.
- [16] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov. Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (103):1–211, 2006.
- [17] D. Gaiotto. Opers and TBA. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.
- [18] Wee Liang Gan and Victor Ginzburg. Quantization of Slodowy slices. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (5):243–255, 2002.
- [19] Peter B. Gothen. Components of spaces of representations and stable triples. *Topology*, 40(4):823–850, 2001.
- [20] Peter B. Gothen. Representations of surface groups and Higgs bundles. In *Moduli spaces*, volume 411 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 151–178. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [21] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard. Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 190(2):357—438, 2012.
- [22] Olivier Guichard and Anna Wienhard. Positivity and higher Teichmüller theory. *proceedings of the 7th European Congress of Mathematics*, 2016.
- [23] R. C. Gunning. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*. Princeton Mathematical Notes. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1966.
- [24] Dennis A. Hejhal. Monodromy groups for higher-order differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81:590–592, 1975.
- [25] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [26] N. J. Hitchin. Lie groups and Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 31(3):449–473, 1992.

- [27] Nigel Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [28] Michael Kapovich, Bernhard Leeb, and Joan Porti. Dynamics on flag manifolds: domains of proper discontinuity and cocompactness. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(1):157–234, 2018.
- [29] Anthony W. Knap. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.
- [30] Bertram Kostant. The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group. *Amer. J. Math.*, 81:973–1032, 1959.
- [31] Bertram Kostant. Lie group representations on polynomial rings. *Amer. J. Math.*, 85:327–404, 1963.
- [32] François Labourie. Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space. *Invent. Math.*, 165(1):51–114, 2006.
- [33] Thomas Emile Lynch. *Generalize Whittaker vectors and representation theory*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1979. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [34] Carlos Simpson. The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 217–281. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [35] Carlos Simpson. Iterated destabilizing modifications for vector bundles with connection. In *Vector bundles and complex geometry*, volume 522 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 183–206. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [36] Carlos T. Simpson. Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(4):867–918, 1988.
- [37] Carlos T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (79):47–129, 1994.
- [38] Carlos T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (80):5–79 (1995), 1994.
- [39] Costake Teleman. Sur les structures fibrées oscultrices d’une surface de Riemann. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 34:175–184, 1960.

B. COLLIER, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
E-mail address: `briancollier01@gmail.com`

A. SANDERS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEIDELBERG UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: `asanders@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`