

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RELATION BETWEEN TWO ℓ -MODULAR CORRESPONDENCES

ROBERT KURINCZUK AND NADIR MATRINGE

ABSTRACT. Let F be a non archimedean local field of residual characteristic p and ℓ a prime number different from p . Let V denote Vignéras' ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence [7], between irreducible ℓ -modular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and n -dimensional ℓ -modular Deligne representations of the Weil group W_F . In [4], enlarging the space of parameters to Deligne representations with non necessarily nilpotent operators, we proposed a modification of the correspondence of Vignéras into a correspondence C compatible with the formation of local constants in the generic case. In this note, following a remark of Alberto Mínguez, we characterize the modification $C \circ V^{-1}$ by a short list of natural properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a non-archimedean local field with finite residue field of cardinality q , a power of a prime p , and W_F the Weil group of F . Let ℓ be a prime number different from p . The ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence established by Vignéras in [7] is a bijection from isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and n -dimensional Deligne representations (Section 2.1) of the Weil group W_F with nilpotent monodromy operator. It is uniquely characterized by a non-naive compatibility with the ℓ -adic local Langlands correspondence ([5], [1], [2], [6]) under reduction modulo ℓ , involving twists by Zelevinsky involutions. In [4], at the cost of having a less direct compatibility with reduction modulo ℓ , we proposed a modification of the correspondence V of Vignéras, by in particular enlarging its target to the larger space of Deligne representations with non necessarily nilpotent monodromy operator (it is a particularity of the ℓ -modular setting that such operators can live outside the nilpotent world). The modified correspondence C is built to be compatible with local constants on both sides of the correspondence ([3] and [4]) and we proved that it is indeed the case for generic representations in [4]. Here, we show in Section 3 that if we expect a correspondence to have such a property, and some other natural properties, then it will be uniquely determined by V . Namely we characterize the map $C \circ V^{-1}$ by a list of five properties in Theorem 3.2. The map $C \circ V^{-1}$ endows the image of C with a semiring structure because the image of V is naturally equipped with semiring laws. We end this note by studying this structure from a different point of view in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\nu : W_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$ be the unique character trivial on the inertia subgroup of W_F and sending a geometric Frobenius element to q^{-1} , it corresponds to the normalized absolute value $\nu : F^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$ via local class field theory.

We consider only smooth representations of locally compact groups, which unless otherwise stated will be considered on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ -vector spaces. For \mathcal{G} a locally compact topological group, we let $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of \mathcal{G} .

2.1. Deligne representations. We follow [4, Section 4], but slightly simplify some notation. A *Deligne-representation* of W_F is a pair (Φ, U) where Φ is a finite dimensional semisimple representation of W_F , and $U \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W_F}(\nu\Phi, \Phi)$; we call (Φ, U) *nilpotent* if U is a nilpotent endomorphism over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$.

The set of morphisms between Deligne representations $(\Phi, U), (\Phi', U')$ (of W_F) is given by $\mathrm{Hom}_D(\Phi, \Phi') = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W_F}(\Phi, \Phi') : f \circ U = U' \circ f\}$. This leads to notions of irreducible and indecomposable Deligne representations. We refer to [4, Section 4], for the (standard) definitions of dual and direct sums of Deligne representations.

We let $\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of Deligne-representations; and $\text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ (resp. $\text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, $\text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$) denote the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable (resp. irreducible, nilpotent) Deligne representations. Thus

$$\text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \subset \text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \subset \text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F), \quad \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \subset \text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F).$$

Let $\text{Rep}_{\text{ss}}(W_F)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of W_F , we have a canonical map $\text{Supp}_{W_F} : \text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{ss}}(W_F)$, $(\Phi, U) \mapsto \Phi$; we call Φ the W_F -support of (Φ, U) .

For $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$ we denote by $o(\Psi)$ the cardinality of the *irreducible line* $\mathbb{Z}_\Psi = \{\nu^k \Psi, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$; it divides the order of q in \mathbb{F}_ℓ^\times hence is prime to ℓ . We let $\mathcal{I}(W_F) = \{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi : \Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)\}$.

The fundamental examples of non-nilpotent Deligne representation are the *cycle representations*: let I be an isomorphism from $\nu^{o(\Psi)}\Psi$ to Ψ and define $\mathcal{C}(\Psi, I) = (\Phi(\Psi), C_I) \in \text{Rep}_{\text{ss}}(\text{D}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ by

$$\Phi(\Psi) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{o(\Psi)-1} \nu^k \Psi, \quad C_I(x_0, \dots, x_{o(\Psi)-1}) = (I(x_{o(\Psi)-1}), x_0, \dots, x_{o(\Psi)-2}), \quad x_k \in \nu^k \Psi.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(\Psi, I) \in \text{Irr}_{\text{ss}}(\text{D}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ and its isomorphism class only depends on (\mathbb{Z}_Ψ, I) , by [4, Proposition 4.18].

To remove dependence on I , in [4, Definition 4.6 and Remark 4.9] we define an equivalence relation \sim on $\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$. The equivalence class of $\mathcal{C}(\Psi, I)$ is independent of I , and we set

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) := [\mathcal{C}(\Psi, I)] \in [\text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)].$$

The sets $\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, $\text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, $\text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, and $\text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ are unions of \sim -classes, and if X denotes any of them we set $[X] := X / \sim$. Similarly, for $(\Phi, U) \in \text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ we write $[\Phi, U]$ for its equivalence class in $[\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$. On $\text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ the equivalence relation \sim coincides with equality.

The operations \oplus and $(\Phi, U) \mapsto (\Phi, U)^\vee$ on $\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ descend to $[\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$. Tensor products are more subtle; for example, tensor products of semisimple representations of W_F are not necessarily semisimple. We define a semisimple tensor product operation \otimes_{ss} on $[\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ in [4, Section 4.4], turning $([\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)], \oplus, \otimes_{\text{ss}})$ into an abelian semiring.

The basic non-irreducible examples of elements of $\text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ are called *segments*: For $r \geq 1$, set $[0, r-1] := (\Phi(r), N(r))$, where

$$\Phi(r) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{r-1} \nu^k, \quad N(r)(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}) = (0, x_0, \dots, x_{r-2}), \quad x_k \in \nu^k.$$

We now recall the classification of equivalence classes of Deligne representations of W_F of [4].

Theorem 2.1 ([4, Section 4]). (i) Let $\Phi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, then there is either a unique $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$ such that $\Phi = \Psi$, or a unique irreducible line \mathbb{Z}_Ψ such that $[\Phi] = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$.
(ii) Let $[\Phi, U] \in [\text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$, then there exist a unique $r \geq 1$ and a unique $\Theta \in [\text{Irr}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ such that $[\Phi, U] = [0, r-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Theta$.
(iii) Let $[\Phi, U] \in [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$, then there exist $[\Phi_i, U_i] \in [\text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $[\Phi, U] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r [\Phi_i, U_i]$.

We recall the following classical result about tensor products of segments.

Lemma 2.2. For $n \geq m \geq 1$, one has

$$[0, n-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} [0, m-1] = [0, n+m-2] \oplus [1, n+m-3] \oplus \dots \oplus [m-1, n-1].$$

Proof. In the ℓ -adic setting the corresponding statement can be translated into a statement on tensor product of irreducible representations of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is well-known and easily checked by the highest weight theory. The corresponding ℓ -adic representations are integral and \otimes_{ss} coincides with the standard tensor product on segments, the statement follows from reduction modulo ℓ of the ℓ -adic result. \square

2.2. L-factors. We set $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F)) := \coprod_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ where $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$.

Let π and π' be a pair of cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ and $\text{GL}_m(F)$ respectively. We denote by $L(X, \pi, \pi')$ the Euler factor attached to this pair in [3] via the Rankin-Selberg method. We recall that a cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ is called *banal* if $\nu \otimes \pi \neq \pi$. The following is a part of [3, Theorem 4.9].

Proposition 2.3. Let $\pi, \pi' \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F))$. If π or π' is non-banal, then $L(X, \pi, \pi') = 1$.

Let $[\Phi, U] \in [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$, for brevity from now on we often denote such a class just by Φ , we denote by $L(X, \Phi)$ the L-factor attached to it in [4, Section 5], their most basic property is that

$$L(X, \Phi \oplus \Phi') = L(X, \Phi)L(X, \Phi')$$

for Φ and Φ' in $[\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$. We need the following property of such factors.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$ and $a \leq b$ be integers, put $\Phi = [a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi$ and $\Phi' = [-b, -a] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee$, then $L(X, \Phi \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Phi')$ has a pole at $X = 0$.

Proof. According to [4, Lemma 5.7], it is sufficient to prove that $L(X, \Psi \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee)$ has a pole at $X = 0$ for $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$, but this property follows from the definition of the L-factor in question, and the fact that $\Psi \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee$ contains a nonzero vector fixed by W_F . \square

2.3. The map CV. For $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$ we set $\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{o(\Psi)-1} \nu^k \Psi$. By Theorem 2.1, an element $\Phi \in \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ has a unique decomposition

$$\Phi = \Phi_{\text{acyc}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} n_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi),$$

where for all $k \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)$, Φ_{acyc} has no summand isomorphic to $[0, k-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$; i.e. we have separated Φ into an acyclic and a cyclic part. Then following [4, Section 6.3], we set:

$$\text{CV}(\Phi) = \Phi_{\text{acyc}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} n_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi).$$

We denote by $\text{C}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ the image of $\text{CV} : \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \rightarrow [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$, and call $\text{C}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ the set of *C-parameters*.

2.4. ℓ -modular local Langlands. We let $\text{Irr}(\text{GL}(F)) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ where $\text{Irr}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$.

In [7], Vignéras introduces the ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence: a bijection

$$V : \text{Irr}(\text{GL}(F)) \rightarrow \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}},$$

characterized in a non-naive way by reduction modulo ℓ . For this note, we recall $\text{Supp}_{W_F} \circ V$, the *semisimple ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence* of Vignéras, induces a bijection between supercuspidal supports elements of $\text{Irr}(\text{GL}_n(F))$ and $\text{Rep}_{\text{ss}}(W_F)$ compatible with reduction modulo ℓ .

In [4], we introduced the bijection

$$C = \text{CV} \circ V : \text{Irr}(\text{GL}(F)) \rightarrow \text{C}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F);$$

which satisfies $\text{Supp}_{W_F} \circ V = \text{Supp}_{W_F} \circ C$. Moreover, the correspondence C is compatible with the formation of L-factors for generic representations, a property V does not share; in the cuspidal case:

Proposition 2.5 ([4, Proposition 6.13]). For π and π' in $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F))$ one has $L(X, \pi, \pi') = L(X, C(\pi), C(\pi'))$.

We note another characterization of non-banal cuspidal representations:

Proposition 2.6 ([4, Sections 3.2 and 6.2]). A representation $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F))$ is non-banal if and only if $V(\pi) = \ell^k \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$, or equivalently $C(\pi) = \ell^k \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$, for some $k \geq 0$ and $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$.

Amongst non-banal cuspidal representations, those for which $k = 0$ in the above statement, shall play a special role in our characterization. We denote by $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}^*(\text{GL}(F))$ the subset of $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F))$ consisting of those $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{GL}(F))$ such that $C(\pi) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$, for some $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$

3. THE CHARACTERIZATION

In this section, we provide a list of natural properties which characterize $\text{CV} : \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \rightarrow [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\text{CV}' : \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \rightarrow [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ be any map, and $C' := \text{CV}' \circ V$. Suppose

- (1) $\text{Supp}_{W_F} \circ C'$ is the semisimple ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence of Vignéras; in other words, CV' preserves the W_F -support;
- (2) C' (or equivalently CV') commutes with taking duals;
- (3) $L(X, \pi, \pi^\vee) = L(X, C'(\pi), C'(\pi)^\vee)$ for all non-banal representations $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}^*(\text{GL}(F))$.

Then for all $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$, one has $\text{CV}'(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$.

Proof. Thanks to (1), $\text{CV}'(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi))$ has W_F -support $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{o(\Psi)-1} \nu^k \Psi$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, its image under CV' is either $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$ or a sum of Deligne representations of the form $[a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi$ for $0 \leq a \leq b \leq o(\Psi) - 1$. If we are in the second situation, writing $\text{CV}'(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)) = ([a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi) \oplus W$, we have $\text{CV}'(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi))^\vee = ([-b, -a] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee) \oplus W^\vee$, thanks to (2). However, writing τ for the non-banal cuspidal representation $V^{-1}(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi))$, we have $L(X, \tau, \tau^\vee) = 1$ according to Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.6, whereas

$$\begin{aligned} L(X, C(\tau), C(\tau^\vee)) &= L(X, ([a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi) \oplus W) \otimes_{\text{ss}} ([-b, -a] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee) \oplus W^\vee \\ &= L(X, ([a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi) \otimes_{\text{ss}} ([-b, -a] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee)) L'(X) \end{aligned}$$

for $L'(X)$ an Euler factor. Now, observe that $L(X, ([a, b] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi) \otimes_{\text{ss}} ([-b, -a] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi^\vee))$ has a pole at $X = 0$ according to Lemma 2.4, hence cannot be equal to 1. The conclusion of this discussion, according to (3) is $\text{CV}'(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$. \square

It follows that (1)-(3) characterize $\text{C}|_{\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}^*(\text{GL}(F))}$ without reference to Vignéras' correspondence V .

On the other hand any map CV' satisfying (1)-(3) must send each $\nu^k \Psi$ to itself if $o(\Psi) > 1$ by (1). So there is no chance that CV' will preserve direct sums because $\text{CV}'(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{o(\Psi)-1} \nu^k \Psi) \neq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$. In particular any compatibility property of CV' with direct sums will have to be non-naive. Here is our characterization of the map CV :

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $\text{CV}' : \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F) \rightarrow [\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ satisfies (1)-(3) of Proposition 3.1, and suppose moreover

- (A) If $\Phi' \in \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ and $\Phi' = \Phi'_1 \oplus \Phi'_2$ in $[\text{Rep}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)]$ then $\Phi'_1, \Phi'_2 \in \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$. Moreover, if $\Phi' = \text{CV}'(\Phi)$, $\Phi'_i = \text{CV}'(\Phi_i)$ for $\Phi, \Phi_i \in \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$, and $\Phi' = \Phi'_1 \oplus \Phi'_2$, then $\Phi = \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$.
- (B) $\text{CV}'([0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Phi) = [0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \text{CV}'(\Phi)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and $\Phi \in \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$.

Then $\text{CV}' = \text{CV}$.

Proof. For $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$, it follows at once from Proposition 3.1 and (B) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CV}'([0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi) &= [0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi, \quad \text{if } o(\Psi) > 1 \text{ and} \\ \text{CV}'([0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)) &= [0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that $\text{Im}(\text{CV}') \subset \text{C}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$. By (A), an element of $\text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of elements in $\text{Im}(\text{CV}') \cap [\text{Indec}_{\text{D,ss}}]$, and (A) reduces the proof of the inclusion $\text{Im}(\text{CV}') \subset \text{C}_{\text{D,ss}}(W_F)$ to showing that $[0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \text{St}_0(\Psi) \notin \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ for $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$, $j \geq 1$.

We first assume that $o(\Psi) = 1$, so $\text{St}_0(\Psi) = \Psi$. The only possible pre-image of Ψ by CV' is Ψ by (1), however $\text{CV}'(\Psi) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$ by Proposition 3.1 so $\text{St}_0(\Psi) \notin \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$. Now suppose $[0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi \in \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ for $j \geq 2$, then by (B) this would imply that $[0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} [0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi \in \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$, hence that

$$[0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} [0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi = [0, 2j-2] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi \oplus \cdots \oplus [j-1, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi$$

also belongs to $\text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ thanks to Lemma 2.2. However as $o(\Psi) = 1$, the Deligne representation $[j-1, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \Psi$ is nothing else than Ψ , which does not belong to $\text{Im}(\text{CV}')$, contradicting (A).

If $o(\Psi) > 1$, then $\text{CV}'(\nu^k \Psi) = \nu^k \Psi$. If $\text{St}_0(\Psi)$ belonged to $\text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ then (A) would imply that $\text{St}_0(\Psi) = \text{CV}'(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{o(\Psi)-1} \nu^k \Psi)$, which is not the case thanks to Proposition 3.1. To see that $[0, j-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} \text{St}_0(\Psi) \notin \text{Im}(\text{CV}')$ for all $j \geq 2$ we use the same trick as in the $o(\Psi) = 1$ case.

Now take $\Phi \in \text{Nilp}_{\text{D,ss}}$, as we just noticed $\text{CV}'(\Phi)$ is a C-parameter and we write it

$$\text{CV}'(\Phi) = \text{CV}'(\Phi)_{\text{acyc}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in l(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} n_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$$

as in Section 2.3, where for each irreducible line \mathbb{Z}_Ψ we have fixed an irreducible $\Psi \in \mathbb{Z}_\Psi$. Then (A) and the beginning of the proof imply that

$$\Phi = \text{CV}'(\Phi)_{\text{acyc}} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in l(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{\text{ss}} n_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi),$$

hence that $\text{CV}'(\Phi) = \text{CV}(\Phi)$. \square

4. THE SEMIRING STRUCTURE ON THE SPACE OF C-PARAMETERS

As $(\text{Nilp}_{D,ss}(W_F), \oplus, \otimes_{ss})$ is a semiring, the map CV endows $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$ with a semiring structure by transport of structure. We show that this semiring structure on $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$ can be obtained without referring to CV directly, thus shedding a slightly different light on the map CV .

We denote by $\mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ the Grothendieck group of the monoid $([\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)], \oplus)$. We set

$$\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)) = \langle [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) - [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F), k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}},$$

the additive subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ generated by the differences $[0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) - [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)$ for $\mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

Proposition 4.1. The canonical map $h_C : C_{D,ss}(W_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))/\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$, obtained by composing the canonical projection $h : \mathcal{G}([\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)]) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))/\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ with the natural injection of $C_{D,ss}(W_F) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$, is injective. Moreover, its image is stable under the operation \oplus . In particular, this endows the set $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$ with a natural monoid structure.

Proof. Note that h_C is the restriction of the canonical surjection h to $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$. Let Φ, Φ' be C-parameters, as in Section 2.3 and the last proof, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{o(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)-1} m_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i} \nu^i \Psi \right) \oplus n_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \right) \\ \Phi' &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{o(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)-1} m'_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i} \nu^i \Psi \right) \oplus n'_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where for each (\mathbb{Z}_Ψ, k) , there are i, i' such that $m_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i} = 0$ and $m'_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i'} = 0$. Suppose that both Φ and Φ' have same the image under h_C , then $\Phi' - \Phi \in \text{Ker}(h) = \mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$. We thus get an equality of the form

$$\Phi - \Phi' = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, \mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in \mathcal{I}(W_F)} a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} ([0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) - [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)),$$

where all sums are finite. Set J^+ to be the set of pairs (\mathbb{Z}_Ψ, k) such that $a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \geq 0$ and J^- to be the set of pairs (\mathbb{Z}_Ψ, k) such that $b_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} := -a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} > 0$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \oplus \bigoplus_{(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^-} b_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) &\oplus \bigoplus_{(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^+} a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \\ &= \Phi' \oplus \bigoplus_{(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^-} b_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \oplus \bigoplus_{(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^+} a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} [0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

in $[\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)]$. Now take $(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^+$, there is i such that $m_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i} = 0$. Comparing the occurrence of $[0, k-1] \otimes_{ss} \nu^i \Psi$ on the left and right hand sides of the equality we obtain

$$0 = m'_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i} + a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} \Rightarrow a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} = 0.$$

Hence we just proved that $a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} = 0$ for all $(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^+$. The symmetric argument shows that for $(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k) \in J^-$, there is i' such that

$$m_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k, i'} + b_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} = 0 \Rightarrow b_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} = 0,$$

which is impossible by assumption. Hence $J = J^+$ and $a_{\mathbb{Z}_\Psi, k} = 0$ for all $\mathbb{Z}_\Psi \in J$, which implies $\Phi = \Phi'$, so h_C is indeed injective.

For the next assertion, suppose that $h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi) \in \text{Im}(h_C)$. Take $\Phi_0 \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]$ and consider $h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi) \oplus h_C(\Phi_0)$. If Φ_0 “completes a cycle” of $\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi$, i.e. if $\Phi_0 = [0, k] \otimes_{ss} \Psi$ with Ψ an irreducible representation Ψ of W_F , and if all other elements of $[0, k] \otimes_{ss} \mathbb{Z}_\Psi$ appear in $\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi$ as representations $[0, k] \otimes_{ss} \nu^j \Psi$ with corresponding multiplicities $n_{[0, k] \otimes_{ss} \nu^j \Psi} \geq 1$, then setting $I = \{[0, k] \otimes_{ss} \nu^j \Psi, j = 1, \dots, o(\Psi) - 1\}$, one gets

$$h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi) \oplus h_C(\Phi_0) = h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \notin I} n_\Phi \Phi \oplus \oplus_{\Phi \in I} (n_\Phi - 1) \Phi \oplus \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_\Psi)).$$

If Φ_0 does not complete a cycle, one has

$$h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi) \oplus h_C(\Phi_0) = h_C(\oplus_{\Phi \in [\text{Indec}_{D,ss}(W_F)]} n_\Phi \Phi \oplus \Phi_0).$$

The assertion follows by induction. \square

In fact the tensor product operation descends on $\text{Im}(h_C)$.

Proposition 4.2. The additive subgroup $\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ of the ring $\mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ is in fact an ideal. Moreover $\text{Im}(h_C)$ is stable under \otimes_{ss} . In particular this endows $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$ with a natural semiring structure, and h_C becomes a semiring isomorphism from $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$ to $\text{Im}(h_C)$.

Proof. For the first part, taking $\Psi_0 \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$, it is enough to prove that for any $\Phi_1 \in \text{Irr}_{D,ss}(W_F)$ and $k, l \geq 0$, the tensor product $[0, k] \otimes_{ss} (\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0})) \otimes_{ss} [0, l] \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$. By associativity and commutativity of tensor product, and because $[0, i] \otimes_{ss} [0, j]$ is always a sum of segments by Lemma 2.2, it is enough to check that $(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0})) \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$. Suppose first that Φ_1 is nilpotent, i.e. $\Phi_1 = \Psi_1 \in \text{Irr}(W_F)$. Because $\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1$ is fixed by ν under twisting and because its Deligne operator is zero, we get that

$$\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1 = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi} \in I(W_F)} a_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}} \text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}).$$

On the other hand because $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1$ is fixed by ν and because its Deligne operator is bijective we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1 = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi} \in I(W_F)} b_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}).$$

Now observing that both $\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Psi_1$ have the same $\text{Irr}(W_F)$ -support, it implies that $a_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}} = b_{\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi}}$ for all lines \mathbb{Z}_{Ψ} , from which we deduce that $(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0})) \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1 \in \mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$. With the same arguments we obtain that $(\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0})) \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1 = 0 \in \mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$ when Φ_1 is of the form $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_1})$ (because in this case both $\text{St}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_{\Psi_0}) \otimes_{ss} \Phi_1$ have bijective Deligne operators). \square

The following proposition is proved in a similar, but simpler manner than the propositions above.

Proposition 4.3. Let h_{Nilp} be the restriction of

$$h : \mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F)) / \mathcal{G}_0(\text{Rep}_{D,ss}(W_F))$$

to $\text{Nilp}_{D,ss}(W_F)$, then h_{Nilp} is a semiring isomorphism and $\text{Im}(h_{\text{Nilp}}) = \text{Im}(h_C)$.

The above propositions have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.4. One has $CV = h_C^{-1} \circ h_{\text{Nilp}}$, in particular it is a semiring isomorphism from $\text{Nilp}_{D,ss}(W_F)$ to $C_{D,ss}(W_F)$.

Acknowledgements. We thank the Anglo-Franco-German Network in Representation Theory and its Applications: EPSRC Grant EP/R009279/1, the GDRI ‘‘Representation Theory’’ 2016-2020, and the LMS (Research in Pairs) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.
- [2] Guy Henniart. Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour $GL(n)$ sur un corps p -adique. *Invent. Math.*, 139(2):439–455, 2000.
- [3] Robert Kurinczuk and Nadir Matringe. Rankin-Selberg local factors modulo ℓ . *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 23(1):767–811, 2017.
- [4] Robert Kurinczuk and Nadir Matringe. The ℓ -modular local Langlands correspondence and local constants. to appear in *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*.
- [5] G. Laumon, M. Rapoport, and U. Stuhler. \mathcal{D} -elliptic sheaves and the langlands correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 113(2):217–338, 1993.
- [6] Peter Scholze. The local langlands correspondence for gl_n over p -adic fields. *Invent. Math.*, 192(3):663–715, 2013.
- [7] Marie-France Vignéras. Correspondance de Langlands semi-simple pour $GL(n, F)$ modulo $l \neq p$. *Invent. Math.*, 144(1):177–223, 2001.