Received XXX; | Revised XXX; | Accepted XXX

DOI: xxx/xxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$ Photometry of the Open Clusters Juchert 9 and Berkeley 97

İnci Akkaya Oralhan*[1] | Raúl Michel[2] | Yonca Karslı[1] | Hikmet Çakmak[3] | Hwankyung Sung[4] | Yüksel Karataş[3]

[1]Department of Astronomy and Space Sciences, Science Faculty, Erciyes University, TR-38039, Kayseri, Turkey
[2]Observatorio Astronómico Naciona, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 877, C.P. 22800, Ensenada, B.C, México
[3]Department of Astronomy and Space Sciences, Istanbul University Science Faculty, 34116, Üniversite-Istanbul, Turkey
[4]Department of Physics and Astronomy, Sejong University, 209 Neungdong-ro, Kwangjin-gu, Seoul 05006, Korea

Correspondence
*İnci Akkaya Oralhan Email: iakkaya@erciyes.edu.tr

The CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$ photometry of the poorly studied open clusters Juchert 9 (Juc 9) and Berkeley 97 (Be 97), which are observed with the 0.84 m telescope at the San Pedro Mártir National Observatory, México has been analysed. For the likely cluster members, we determined the reddenings, E(B-V)=0.82±0.04 (Juc 9) and E(B-V)=0.87±0.05 (Be 97), from the early type stars. Our distance moduli/distances for only $(B-V)$ colour are (V_0-M_V, d(kpc)) = (13.40±0.10, 4.8±0.2 kpc) (Juc 9) and (12.40±0.12, 3.0±0.2 kpc) (Be 97), respectively. The Gaia DR2 distances are d=4.5±1.2 kpc (Juc 9) and d=3.1±0.7 kpc (Be 97) from the median parallaxes with $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi < 0.20$, which are in good agreement with the photometric distances within the uncertainties. The solar abundance PARSEC isochrones give us the intermediate ages, 30±10 Myr for Juc 9 and 100±30 Myr for Be 97.

KEYWORDS:
(Galaxy:) open clusters and associations:individual Juc 9 and Be 97, Galaxy: abundances, Galaxy: evolution

arXiv:1911.12980v1 [astro-ph.GA] 29 Nov 2019

1 | INTRODUCTION

The majority of the stars in the Galaxy are formed in groups as star clusters. One of the groups called open clusters (OCs) can be divided into three age regimes (Sung et al. , 2013); young (Age < 10 Myr), intermediate age (10 Myr < Age < 700 Myr) and old age (Age > 700 Myr). Intermediate and old age open clusters play an important role in studying the theories of stellar evolution and chemical evolution of the Galaxy as well as dynamical evolution. OCs have also been used to study the temporal and spatial evolution of the Galaxy (Buckner & Froebrich , 2014). Young OCs give valuable information on the stellar evolution of massive stars, current star formation processes as well as on the evolution of low-mass pre-main sequence (PMS) stars. OCs are also powerful probes to study the spiral structure of the Galaxy. It is widely accepted that spiral arms are the preferred sites of star formation. They may be traced by young objects such as giant molecular clouds, H II regions, OB stars, blue and red supergiants and young open/embedded clusters. As massive stars are still in the main sequence or evolved stages, young and intermediate age open clusters are ideal targets of studying the stellar initial mass function in a wide mass range.

The spiral arm structure of the outer region beyond the Perseus arm is still uncertain. Considering the importance of the spiral arm structure in the outer zones of the Milky Way, we have concentrated poorly studied open clusters, Juchert 9 (Juc 9) and Berkeley 97 (Be 97) as a part of the Sierra San Pedro Mártir National Astronomical Observatory (SPMO) open cluster survey (Schuster et al. (2007),Tapia et al. (2010), Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2010),Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2015),Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2019).)

The two OCs occupy the second Galactic quadrant. Juc 9 lies close to the Outer arm, whereas Be 97 is located at the Perseus spiral arm (Fig. 1).

We present the astrophysical parameters such as interstellar reddening $E(B-V)$, distance modulus (V_0–M_V), distance




FIGURE 1 Spatial distribution (X, Y) (kpc) (filled red dots) of Juc 09 and Be 97. The estimation of (X, Y) (kpc)[1]. The image is adapted from https://www.universetoday.com/102616/our-place-in-the-galactic-neighborhood-just-got-an-upgrade, credit by Robert Hurt, IPAC; Bill Saxton, NRAO/AUI/NSF.

d (kpc) and Age from our CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$ observations. Gaia DR2 proper motion and parallax (Brown et al. , 2018) are utilise to select the likely members of two OCs. Note that no spectroscopic observations of the two OCs are available in the literature. The intermediate age OCs (10 Myr < Age < 700 Myr) (194 OCs) in the second Galactic quadrant is 5% of 3600 OCs in the catalogue of Kharchenko et al. (2013). The distances of 146 OCs are less than 3000 pc, whose distance is similar to Be 97. The rest 48 OCs are at 3–10 kpc. In this sense these new astrophysical parameters of the two OCs will contribute to the understanding of the structure of second Galactic quadrant.

The equatorial and Galactic coordinates from Kharchenko et al. (2013) are listed in Table 1. The star charts of Juc 9 (top panel) and Be 97 (bottom panel) with the field of view of SPM detector (blue rectangle), are displayed in Fig. 2.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the observations and data reductions. The membership selection of the clusters is presented in Section 3. The astrophysical parameters such as reddening, distance modulus/distance and age are determined in Sections 4 and 5. Discussion and Conclusion are presented in Section 6.

[1]The (X, Y) (kpc) locations in the Galactic plane are calculated from their Galactic coordinates (Table 1), the Galactocentric distance of the Sun ($R_\odot$ = 8.3 ± 0.23 kpc - (Brunthaler et al. , 2011)) and the photometric distances of the two OCs (Table 5). The position of these clusters are plotted in currently accepted spiral arm structure of the Galaxy in Fig. 1.

[2]https://www.aavso.org/apps/vsp/

TABLE 1 Coordinate and observation summary.

Cluster	Juc 09	Be 97
α_{2000} (h m s)	03 55 21	22 39 28
δ_{2000} (° ′ ″)	+58 23 30	+58 59 51
ℓ (°), b (°)	145.12, +3.68	106.64, +0.36
Air mass	1.166 – 1.200	1.297 – 1.368
Filter U Exp.Time (s)	80, 900	30, 900
Filter B Exp.Time (s)	50, 500, 400	2,10, 400
Filter V Exp.Time (s)	30, 200, 200	2, 30, 200
Filter R Exp.Time (s)	20,120	1,20,100
Filter I Exp.Time (s)	18, 120, 100	2x2,20,100




FIGURE 2 The star charts of AAVSO[2]of Juc 9 (top) and Be 97 (bottom) with the field of view of SPM detector (blue rectangle), 7.4 (E-W) ×9.3 (S-N) arcmin^2. Big plus symbol shows the center of the cluster.

2 | OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Observations of Juc 9 and Be 97 were carried out at the SPMO, during photometric nights on the date of June 7-10, 2013 UT using the 0.84-m (f/15) Ritchey-Chretien telescope equipped

TABLE 2 Coefficients of the transformation equations.

Filter	Colour	ζ_λ	k_λ	η_λ	*rms*	points
U	U-B	2.567±0.009	0.452±0.005	−0.053±0.005	0.025	93
U	U-V	2.578±0.010	0.459±0.006	−0.035±0.003	0.029	96
B	U-B	1.272±0.005	0.223±0.003	−0.019±0.003	0.014	93
B	B-V	1.287±0.006	0.224±0.003	−0.03±0.004	0.016	119
V	B-V	1.330±0.007	0.126±0.004	0.025±0.004	0.019	119
V	V-R	1.328±0.007	0.127±0.004	0.039±0.007	0.020	136
R	V-R	1.274±0.007	0.088±0.004	−0.001±0.006	0.019	136
R	R-I	1.274±0.006	0.091±0.003	−0.014±0.005	0.016	122
I	V-I	1.764±0.008	0.073±0.004	−0.039±0.004	0.021	118
I	R-I	1.754±0.009	0.082±0.005	−0.090±0.008	0.026	122

TABLE 3 The mean photometric errors of V, $(R-I)$, $(V-I)$, $(B-V)$ and $(U-B)$ for Juc 9 and Be 97.

			Juc 9		
V	$<\sigma_V>$	$<\sigma_{R-I}>$	$<\sigma_{V-I}>$	$<\sigma_{B-V}>$	$<\sigma_{U-B}>$
12-13	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.006	0.005
13-14	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.008
14-15	0.006	0.003	0.006	0.008	0.006
15-16	0.006	0.003	0.007	0.010	0.008
16-17	0.006	0.003	0.006	0.009	0.011
17-18	0.007	0.004	0.007	0.009	0.022
18-19	0.007	0.005	0.008	0.017	0.049
19-20	0.011	0.008	0.011	0.040	-
20-21	0.030	0.017	0.035	-	-
			Be 97		
13-14	0.001	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003
14-15	0.003	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.010
15-16	0.003	0.006	0.007	0.005	0.004
16-17	0.004	0.007	0.008	0.004	0.004
17-18	0.005	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.017
18-19	0.024	0.012	0.015	0.026	0.060
19-20	0.014	0.015	0.022	0.071	-
20-21	0.058	0.020	0.062	0.148	-

with the Mexman filter wheel and the ESOPO CCD detector. Seeing was very good (0″.60 in V long exposure image). The ESOPO detector, a 2048x2048 13.5-μm square pixels E2V CCD42-40, has a gain of 1.65 e^-/ADU and a readout noise 3.8 e^- at 2×2 binning. The combination of telescope and detector ensures an unvignetted field of view of 7.4×9.3 arcmin^2.

Each OC was observed through the Johnson's UBV and the Kron-Cousins' RI filters with short and long exposure times in order to properly record both bright and faint stars in the region. Standard star fields (Landolt , 2009) were also observed at zenith ≈ 60 degrees and at meridian to properly determine the atmospheric extinction coefficients. Exposure times used for the observations are given in rows 5–9 of Table 1. Flat fields were taken at the begining and end of each night, and bias images were recorded between cluster observations. Data reduction was carried out by one of the authors (R.M.) with the IRAF/DAOPHOT[3] package (Stetson , 1987).

[3]IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Observatories, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.




FIGURE 3 The differences of V-mag and colour indices $(B-V)$, $(V-I)$, $(R-I)$, $(U-B)$ against V-mag for Be 97. Δ means our - Glushkova et al. (2013).

Standard magnitude for a given filter λ is obtained using the following relation.

$$M_\lambda = m_\lambda - k_\lambda X + \eta_\lambda C + \zeta_\lambda \quad (1)$$

where m_λ, k_λ, C and X are observed instrumental magnitude, extinction coefficients, colour index and air mass, respectively. M_λ, η_λ, ζ_λ are standard magnitude, transformation coefficient and photometric zero point, respectively. More details on data reduction can be found in the papers of Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2010), Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2015) and Akkaya Oralhan et al. (2019). The resulting coefficients for a given filter (Col. 1) with respect to the relevant colour (Col. 2) are listed in Table 2. The rms deviation (Col. 6) and number of stars used in the fit (Col. 7) are also presented in Table 2.

The mean photometric errors in magnitude and colours of the two OCs are listed in Table 3. The photometric errors for

faint stars (V > 18 mag) increase rapidly due to the small size of the telescope used in the observation. Our photometry is shallower than 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. , 2006), IPHAS (Drew et al. , 2005) and GAIA (Arenou et al. , 2018) by about 1 mag, 3 mag and 2 mag, respectively.

Note that there is no reported photometric study on Juc 9. Our photometry for Be 97 is compared with Glushkova et al. (2013). For common stars, differences of ΔV, $\Delta(B-V)$, $\Delta(V-I)$ and $\Delta(R-I)$ are displayed in Fig. 3. Here, the difference Δ is in the sense that our photometry minus Glushkova et al. (2013). For the interval of $13 < V < 18$, the V magnitudes of Glushkova et al. (2013) seem to be slightly fainter. Our $(V-I)$ values are somewhat redder for the same V-mag range. Whereas our (R-I) colour is slightly bluer than Glushkova et al. (2013). For $13 < V < 18$, our $(B-V)$ values are in good consistent with the ones of Glushkova et al. (2013). For $V < 18$ and $V < 19$, the mean value and standard deviation of the differences are indicated in the panels of Fig. 3.

3 | MEMBERSHIP SELECTION

We have utilised Gaia DR2 proper motions (Brown et al. , 2018) to select the probable cluster members of Juc 9 and Be 97. The stars in our photometric catalog are matched with the Gaia DR2 sources. The cluster stars of the two OCs are shown as black filled dots on the (μ_α, μ_δ) diagram in Fig. 4. The members of both OCs are almost clustered around (μ_α, μ_δ)=(-0.189 ± 0.094, -0.020 ± 0.136) and (μ_α, μ_δ)=(-2.759 ± 0.102, -1.820 ± 0.099) mas yr^{-1}, respectively. The fitted proper motion radii of 0.3 mas yr^{-1} represent well the likely cluster members. These fits have been made by eye on a circle in the μ_α versus μ_δ plot until the probable members provide good single stellar sequence on $(G, G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ and $(V, B-V)$ diagrams (bottom panels of Fig. 4). The stars within the blue circles are used to calculate both the median values ($<\mu_\alpha>$ and $<\mu_\delta>$) and the quantity $\mu_R = \sqrt{(\mu_\alpha - <\mu_\alpha>)^2 + (\mu_\delta - <\mu_\delta>)^2}$). The grey dots of Fig. 4 denote the background/foreground field stars for a region (R = 15 arc min) centered on our target OCs. The inset plots show the likely cluster members inside the proper motion circles of Juc 9 (39 members) and Be 97 (50 members). The big red pluses indicate the median values of proper motion components. These likely cluster members are considered for determining the astrophysical parameters on colour-colour and colour-magnitude diagrams of the two OCs.

The angular sizes are determined as $\theta \sim 0.08$ deg (0.0014 in rad) for Juc 9 and $\theta \sim 0.07$ deg (0.0012 in rad) for Be 97. The diameter of a cluster can be determined from its distance (Table 5) and angular size. The diameters of Juc 9 and Be 97 are 6.7 pc and 3.7 pc, respectively. In addition, the radius of $\mu = 0.3$ mas yr^{-1} can give the maximum tangential velocity of stars in the cluster. These data can be used to check the stability of the cluster. The maximum tangential velocity of stars in Juc 9 and Be 97 is 6.8 km s^{-1} (Juc 9) and 4.3 km s^{-1} (Be 97), respectively, from the relation, $V_{tan} = 4.74\mu \times d(kpc)$. These values indicate that the virial mass of each cluster is about $M_{vir} = 36000 M_\odot$ and $M_{vir} = 7900 M_\odot$, respectively, which are far larger than the cluster masses, 420 $M_\odot$ (Juc 9) and $620 M_\odot$ (Be 97), respectively. The cluster masses are obtained from the PARSEC isochrones (Bressan et al. , 2012) and Salpeter IMF (Salpeter , 1955). In this sense they may be in the state of dynamically unstable against the perturbation from the outside. And therefore, the cluster stars may be currently evaporating.

TABLE 4 E(V-λ)/E(B-V) ratios (Col. 2) in terms of four colour indices (Col. 1). R_V values are the weighted averages of four colours. Here λ is I, J, H and K_s. N (last column): cluster star numbers.

Colour	E(V-λ)/E(B-V)	N	E(V-λ)/E(B-V)	N
	Juc 9		Be 97	
V-I	1.309±0.058	13	1.274±0.030	13
V-J	2.306±0.111	14	2.243±0.068	14
V-H	2.607±0.129	14	2.589±0.083	14
V-K_s	2.799±0.119	14	2.724±0.107	14
	R_V=3.10±0.07		R_V=3.05±0.05	

4 | REDDENINGS OF JUC 9 AND BE 97

The $(U-B)$, $(B-V)$ (CC) and $(V, U-B)$ diagrams of Juc 9 and Be 97 are presented in Figs. 5 and 6. It appears that the two OCs contain some early-type stars, which are considered to be members of young open clusters. From early type stars with $(U-B) < 0.30$ on Figs. 5(a) and 6(a), the mean interstellar reddenings are estimated as $E(B-V) = 0.82\pm0.04$ for Juc 9 and $E(B-V)$=0.87±0.05 for Be 97, respectively. For these estimates, the intrinsic values of $(U-B)_0$, $(V-I)_0$, $(R-I)_0$, $(V-J)_0$, $(V-H)_0$, $(V-K_S)_0$ relative to $(B-V)_0$ have been used in tables 2–3 of Sung et al. (2013). Here we also adopt colour excess ratio $E(U-B) = 0.72E(B-V) + 0.025E(B-V)^2$ of Sung et al. (2013). According to these mean reddening values, the reddened colour sequence of the Schmidt-Kaler (SK82) (blue curves) is fitted to the diagrams of two OCs. According to Guetter & Vrba (1989), colour excess ratio of optical-near infrared colours is related to the total-to-selective extinction ratio. We estimated the reddening $E(V-I)$, $E(V-J)$, $E(V-H)$, and $E(V-K_S$ using




FIGURE 4 The μ_α versus μ_δ for Juc 9 (115 filled dots, left panels) and Be 97 (139 filled dots, right panels). The field stars inside 15 arcmin are shown with small grey dots. The fitted proper motion circles denote the radii of 0.3 mas yr^{-1}, which are considered as the likely members. The big red pluses indicate the median values. A single stellar cluster sequences of the probable members (filled dots of bottom panels) are separated out on $(G, G_{BP} - G_{RP})$ and $(V, B - V)$ diagrams.

the intrinsic colour relation of O- and B-type stars (Sung et al. , 2013), and then calculated the ratios. The ratios and the derived R_V are shown in Table 4. R_V is the weighted average of four colour indices. These reddening values have been utilised for deriving the distance moduli (distances) and ages of the two OCs. For $(G_{BP} - G_{RP})$, we have used the relation of $E(B-V) = 0.775E(G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ (Bragaglia et al. , 2018).

Normally $(R - I)$ colour is useful for very red stars with no $(V - I)$ colour. However, the colour excess ratio $E(R - I)/E(B-V)$ is uncertain and its dependence to the reddening law is still unclear. In addition, R magnitude may be affected by H_α emission as well. These are the reasons why we do not include $E(R-I)/E(B-V)$ in Table 4. Nevertheless, we estimate the ratio $E(R - I)/E(B - V)$ as 0.67±0.03 for Juc 9 and 0.70±0.03 for Be 97, respectively in order to present the astrophysical parameters for $(R - I)$ colour.

The solar abundance PARSEC isochrone (red curve) of Bressan et al. (2012) on $(V, U - B)$ (panels b of Figs. 5 and 6) is fitted to the lower ridgeline of main-sequence (MS) band and turn-off point. However, the distribution is affected by the effects of evolution and binarity. The likely cluster members seem to be quite close to the reddened isochrone.




FIGURE 5 $(U-B)$, $(B-V)$ (panel a) and $(V, U-B)$ (panel b) of Juc 9. The blue curve shows the reddened relation of MS stars from SK 82. Filled and grey dots denote the members and non-members, respectively. The arrow denotes the reddening vector. In panel (b) the red curve indicates the reddened solar abundance PARSEC isochrone.




FIGURE 6 $(U-B)$, $(B-V)$ (panel a) and $(V, U-B)$ (panel b) of Be 97. The symbols are the same as Fig. 5. The filled circle denotes the star, HD 240015.

5 | DISTANCE MODULUS AND AGE

To determine the distance moduli (DMs) and ages of Juc 9 and Be 97 from the colour magnitude diagrams (CMDs) (Figs. 7 and 8 and Figs. 9 and 10), the solar abundance (Z =+0.015) PARSEC isochrones (Bressan et al. , 2012) are adopted. The reddened isochrones are calculated according to the reddening and R_V obtained above. The PARSEC isochrones are first shifted both vertically and horizontally according to the interstellar reddening. Then the PARSEC isochrones have been shifted vertically to obtain the best fit to the observed main sequence. From this process, we determined the distances of the two OCs. And then we find the best fit isochrone which deliniates the MS turnoff and the location of evolved red giant stars. Different CMDs give slightly different distance moduli. The error in eye-fitting to the MS band is about 0.1 mag. We adopt the error in distance modulus as the quadratic sum of scatter in DMs and eye-fitting error. The error in the age is obtained by jiggling bright/faint-ward the isochrone curve until a good fit of the lower/upper main sequences produce the




FIGURE 7 $(V, B-V)$ (panel a) and $(V, V-I)$ (panel b) diagrams of Juc 9. Solid red curves show the PARSEC isochrones (Bressan et al. , 2012) with Z =+0.015. Filled and grey dots show the members and the non-members, respectively.




FIGURE 8 $(V, R-I)$ (panel a) and $(G, G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (panel b) diagrams of Juc 9. The symbols are the same as Fig. 7.

distance modulus. The derived distance moduli, distances (V_0-M_V, d(pc)) and ages are listed in Table 5. The comparisons of the physical parameters with the literature are given for $(B-V)$ (Table 6).

We also tried to determine an independent distance using high quality Gaia astrometric data ($\sigma_\varpi/\varpi < 0.20$). The median value of Gaia DR2 parallaxes is 0.223 ± 0.061 mas (n=5) for Juc 9 and 0.321 ± 0.067 mas (n=41) for Be 97. Hence the distance from Gaia DR2 to Juc 9 and Be 97 is 4.5 ± 1.2 kpc and 3.1 ± 0.6 kpc, respectively.

The Gaia DR2 parallaxes of two brighter stars with V<12.50 (Juc 9) are ϖ = 0.223±0.040 mas (d=4.5±0.8 kpc) and 0.172±0.04 mas (d=5.8±1.2 kpc), respectively. Their absolute magnitudes are $M_V = -3.95$ and -3.60, respectively, which correspond to a mass ~9.2 $M_\odot$ from PARSEC isochrone.

HD 240015 (V = 10.05, $(B-V)$ = 0.572, $(U-B)$ = −0.411, Sp. type: B2), the bright blue star in the observed region of Be 97, could be a member of Be 97 according to the




FIGURE 9 $(V, B-V)$ (panel a) and $(V, V-I)$ (panel b) diagrams of Be 97. The symbols are the same as Fig. 7. The filled circle denotes the star, HD 240015.




FIGURE 10 $(V, R-I)$ (panel a) and $(G, G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (panel b) diagrams of Be 97. The symbols are the same as Fig. 7.

star's proper motion. Its membership from its parallax is highly probable considering the error of parallax. If the star were a member of Be 97, its age might be about 9 Myr. And the mass of the star from PARSEC isochrone corresponds to 21.4 $M_{\odot}$, i.e. an O-type star. This is inconsistent with the spectral type of the star. In addition, the morphology of MS turn-off between V = 13–15 mag is slightly hooked to the right indicating being an intermediate-age or old open cluster. Therefore, the age of Be 97 from PARSEC isochrone is 100±30 Myr.

6 | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We present the astrophysical parameters for the poorly studied two OCs in the literature. The reddenings are obtained as $E(B-V)$=0.82±0.04 for Juc 9 and $E(B-V)$=0.87±0.05 for Be 97, respectively. The discrepancies with the literature are at a level of 0.13–0.60 (Juc 9) and 0.10–0.28 (Be 97). Note that Kharchenko et al. (2013) present a large value of 1.42 for Juc 9. However, our $E(B-V)$ value (Be 97) is very close to the one of Glushkova et al. (2013) within the uncertainties. For

TABLE 5 The derived fundamental astrophysical parameters of the two OCs. The reddenings are $E(B-V)$=0.82±0.04 for Juc 9 and $E(B-V)$=0.87±0.05 for Be 97. Here we adopt solar abundance value, Z=+0.015.

Juc 9	(V_o–M_V)	d (pc)	log(A)	A (Myr)
(B-V)	13.40±0.10	4790±210	7.45±0.20	30±10
(R-I)	13.40±0.25	4790±550	7.45±0.30	30±10
(V-I)	13.50±0.25	5010±560	7.45±0.30	30±10
(G_{BP}–G_{RP})	13.40±0.30	4790±660	7.60±0.30	40±20
Be 97				
(B-V)	12.40±0.12	3020±170	8.00±0.10	100±30
(R-I)	12.30±0.20	2880±270	8.00±0.10	100±30
(V-I)	12.20±0.20	2750±250	8.00±0.10	100±30
(G_{BP}–G_{RP})	12.30±0.15	2880±200	8.15±0.15	140±60

Be 97, Buckner & Froebrich (2013) and Buckner & Froebrich (2014) find the values of $A_H = 0.34$ from the photometric method and $A_H = 0.32$ from the isochrone fitting pipeline, respectively. These total absorptions convert into E(B-V)=0.63 and E(B-V)=0.59 from the relation of $A_H = 0.546E(B-V)$ (Dutra et al., 2002), which is smaller than our values. It is worth to note the reddening determination from optical photometry, especially in the $(U-B, B-V)$ diagram is accurate than that determined from near infrared CMDs.

For four colour indices, $(B-V)$, $(R-I)$, $(V-I)$ and (G_{BP}-G_{RP}), distance moduli, distances and ages of the two OCs (Table 5) are in good concordance. For only $(B-V)$, our distance moduli/distances are (V_0-M_V, d(kpc)) = (13.40±0.10, 4.8±0.2 kpc) (Juc 9) and (12.40±0.12, 3.0±0.2 kpc) (Be 97), respectively. The differences of distance moduli between ours and literature fall in the intervals of 0.04–0.83 mag (Juc 9) and 0.16–1.12 (Be 97). For the distances, the discrepancies are almost up to level of 0.1–1.5 kpc (Juc 9) and 0.1–1.5 kpc (Be 97). Our distances for Juc 9 and Be 97 are slightly larger than the ones of 2MASS JHK$_S$ diagrams and are in good agreements with the GaiaDR2 distances of Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018) within the uncertainties (Table 6).

For $\sigma_\varpi/\varpi < 0.20$, the median Gaia DR2 distances (5 stars) for Juc 9 and (41 stars) for Be 97 are d=4.5±1.2 kpc (ϖ = 0.223±0.061 mas) and d=3.1±0.7 kpc (ϖ = 0.321±0.067 mas), respectively. The Gaia DR2 distances of the two OCs are in good agreement with the photometric ones within the uncertainties (Table 5).

The Gaia DR2 parallaxes of two brighter stars with V<12.50 (Juc 9) are ϖ = 0.223±0.04 mas (d=4.5±0.8 kpc) and 0.172±0.04 mas (d=5.8±1.2 kpc), respectively. Their distances guarantee their membership. Their absolute magnitudes are the following, $M_V = -3.95$ and $M_V = -3.60$ which correspond to a mass ∼9.2 $M_\odot$ from PARSEC isochrone.

Although HD 240015 could be a member of Be 97 from Gaia DR2 proper motion and parallax (ϖ = 0.358±0.031 mas; 2790±240 pc), we consider that HD 240015 is not a member of Be 97. There are two reasons-(1) there is a big discrepancy in mass from the younger isochrone and its spectral type, and (2) there is a big gap in magnitude/mass between HD 240015 and the next bright star. The MS of Be 97 is curve to the right at V = 13.5–15.0. Therefore, the fitted PARSEC isochrone gives the age of Be 97 as 100± 30 Myr.

Age value (30±10 Myr) of Juc 9 is in reasonable concordance with the ones of the literature (Table 6), except with the 5 Myr value of Kharchenko et al. (2013). Except with the 250 Myr of Glushkova et al. (2013) and 398 Myr of Buckner & Froebrich (2014), our intermediate age value (100± 30 Myr) of Be 97 is slightly older than the literature values (Table 6). Discordances between ages of our and the literature are up to 5–26 Myr (Juc 9) and 86–300 Myr (Be 97). Discrepancies of the distance moduli, distances and ages as compared to the literature stem from the usage of different heavy element abundances, isochrones, reddenings and photometries, as discussed by Moitinho (2010). However, spectroscopic observations are needed for the memberships of brighter stars of the two OCs.

A global systematic offset of Gaia DR2 parallaxes is $\Delta\varpi$ = −0.029 mas in terms of an inertial reference frame, emphasized by Lindegren et al. (2018). Recent values for the zero point shift of parallax are found as $\Delta\varpi$ =−0.045±0.009 mas (Yalyalieva et al. , 2018), $\Delta\varpi = -0.053$±0.003 mas (Zinn et al. , 2018), $\Delta\varpi = -0.046$±0.013 mas (Riess et al. , 2018), respectively. A correction of 0.005 mas to the median values of our original Gaia DR2 parallaxes give a closer distance with a difference 0.10 kpc (Juc 9) and 0.05 kpc (Be 97).

7 | ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank our referee for the valuable suggestions. We wish to thank the staff of the San Pedro Mártir Observatory. This work has been supported by Turkish National Science Foundation (TUBITAK), Proje No: 114F123/Program code: 3501. H.S acknowledges the support of the National Research Foundation of Korea (Grant No. NRF-2019RIA2C1009495). We thank William Schuster for personel communication with A. Landolt for the exact defination of filters $(RI)_{KC}$. This paper has made use of results from the European Space Agency (ESA) space mission Gaia, the data from which were processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. The Gaia mission website is http://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia.

TABLE 6 Comparison with the literature for Juc 9 and Be 97 for only $(B-V)$ colour index.

Cluster	E(B-V)	(V_0-M_V)	d (pc)	Z	log Age	Age (Myr)	Isochrone	Photometry	Ref.
Juc 9	0.82±0.04	13.40±0.10	4790±210	+0.015	7.45±0.20	30±10	Bressan et al. (2012)	CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$	This paper
	0.73	13.36	4694±216	solar	7.54	35	Bonatto et al. (2004)	2MASS	Tadross et al. (2012)
	1.42	12.99	3971	solar	6.70	5	Girardi et al. (2002)	2MASS	Kharchenko et al. (2013)
	0.69	12.57	3263±218	solar	7.75	56	Girardi et al. (2002)	2MASS	Bukowiecki et al. (2011)
			5376±2510	(ϖ=0.186±0.087 mas)				Gaia DR2 parallax	Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018)
Be 97	0.87±0.05	12.40±0.12	3020±170	+0.015	8.00±0.10	100±30	Bressan et al. (2012)	$UBV(RI)_{KC}$	This paper
	0.77±0.06	11.91±0.19	2410±200	solar	8.40	250	Girardi et al. (2002)	CCD $BV(RI)_C$	Glushkova et al. (2013)
	0.75	11.28	1800±85	solar	7.30	20	Bonatto et al. (2004)	2MASS	Tadross (2008)
	0.74	11.28	1800	solar	7.55	35	Girardi et al. (2002)	2MASS	Kharchenko et al. (2013)
	0.73	12.07	2589±174	solar	7.15	14	Girardi et al. (2002)	2MASS	Bukowiecki et al. (2011)
	0.63	12.24	2800					2MASS	Buckner & Froebrich (2013)
	0.59	11.90	2400	solar	8.60±0.10	398±92	Lejeune & Schaerer (2001)	2MASS	Buckner & Froebrich (2014)
			3185±680	(ϖ=0.314±0.067 mas)				Gaia DR2 parallax	Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018)

REFERENCES

Akkaya Oralhan, Í., Schuster, W. J., Michel, R., Chavarría-K, C., Moitinho, A., Vázquez, R., Karataş, Y. 2010, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofis., 46, 385

Akkaya Oralhan, Í., Karataş, Y., Schuster,W.J., Michel, R., , Chavarría-K, C., 2015, New Astronomy, 34, 195

Akkaya Oralhan, Í., Michel, R., Schuster, W.J, Karataş, Y., Karslı, Y., Chavarría-K, C., 2019, Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy, 40,33

Arenou, F., Luri, X., Babusiaux, C., 2018, A&A, 616, 17

Bonatto, Ch., Bica, E., Girardi, L. 2004, A&A, 415, 571

Bragaglia, A., Fu, X., Mucciarelli, A., Andreuzzi, G., Donati, P. 2018, A&A, 619, 176

Bressan A., Marigo, P., Girardi L., Salasnich, B., Dal Cero, C., Rubele, S., Nanni, A., 2012, MNRAS, 427, 127

Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Prusti, T. et al., 2018, A&A, 616, 1G

Brunthaler, A., Reid, M.J., Menten, K.M., et.al. 2011, AN, 332, No.5, 461

Buckner, A.S.M, & Froebrich, D., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1465

Buckner, A.S.M, & Froebrich, D., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 290

Bukowiecki, L., Maciejewski, G., Konorski, P., Strobel, A., 2011, AcA 61, 231

Cantat-Gaudin, T., Jordi, C., Vallenari, A., Bragaglia, A., Balaguer-Nunez, L., Soubiran, C., Bossini, D., Moitinho, A., Castro-Ginard, A., Krone-Martins, A., and 3 coauthors., 2018, A&A, 618, 93

Drew, J.E., Greimel, R., Irwin, M. J, Aungwerojwit, A. et al., 2005, MNRAS, 362,753

Dutra, C.M., Santiago, B.X., Bica, E., 2002, A&A, 383, 219

Girardi, L., Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C., Groenewegen, M.A.T., Marigo, P., Salasnich, B., Weiss, A., 2002, A&A, 391, 195

Glushkova, E.V.,Zabolotskikh, M.V., Koposov, S.E., Spiridonova, O.I., Leonova, S.I.,Vlasyuk, V.V.,Rastorguev, A.S., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 1102 (G13)

Guetter, H. H., & Vrba, F. J. 1989, AJ, 98, 611

Lejeune, T., & Schaerer, D., 2001, A&A, 366, 538

Kharchenko, N.V., Piskunov, A.E., Schilbach, E., Röser, S., Scholz, R.D., 2013, A&A, 558, 53

Landolt, A.U., 2009, AJ, 137, 4186

Lindegren, L., Hernandez, J., Bombrun, A., Klioner, S. et al., 2018, A&A, 616, A2

Moitinho, A., 2010, Star clusters: basic galactic building blocks, Proceedings IAU Symposium No.266, eds. R.de Grijs & J.R.D. Lepine

Moraux, E., 2016, Stellar Clusters: Benchmarks of Stellar Physics and Galactic Evolution - EES2015, EAS Publications Series, 80, 73

Riess, A.G.,Casertano, S.,Yuan, W., Macri, L., Bucciarelli, B., Lattanzi, M.G., MacKenty, J.W., Bowers, J.W. et al., 2018, AJ, 861, 126

Salpeter, E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161

Schmidt-Kaler, Th. 1982, in Landolt-Bornstein, Numerical Data and Functional Relationships in Science and Technology, New Series, Group VI, Vol.2b, eds. K. Schaifers & H. H. Voigt (Berlin: Springer), p. 14 (SK82)

Schuster, W. J., Michel, R., Dias, W., Tapia-Peralta, T., Vázquez, R., Macfarland J., Chavarría, C., Santos, C., & Moitinho, A. 2007, Galaxy Evolution Across the Hubble Time, eds. F. Combes and J. Palouš, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symposium No. 235,(Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press), p. 331

Skrutskie, M.F., Cutri, R., Stiening, R., Weinberg, M.D., Schneider, S.E., Carpenter, J.M., Beichman, C., Capps, R., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Stetson P. B., 1987, PASP, 99, 191

Sung, H., Lim, B., Bessell, M.S. Kim, J.S., Hur, H., Chun, M-Y., Park, B-G., 2013, JKAS, 46, 97

Tadross, A. L., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 285

Tadross, A. L., El-Bendary, R., Osman, A., Ärsmail, N., Bakry, A., 2012, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 12, 75

Tapia, M. T., Schuster, W. J., Michel, R., Chavarría-K, C., Dias, W. S., Vázquez, R., & Moitinho, A., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 621

Yalyalieva, L.N., Chemel,A.A., Glushkova, E.V., Dambis, A.K., Klinichev,A.D., 2018, Astrophys. Bull., 73, 335

Zinn, J.C., Pinsonneault, M.H., Huber, D., Stello, D., 2018, arXiv: 1805.02650

How cite this article: Akkaya Oralhan İ, Michel R., Karslı Y., Çakmak H., Sun H., and Karataş Y. (XXXX), CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$ Photometry of the Open Clusters Juc 09 and Be 97, *AN*, *XXX;XX:X–X.*

How cite this article: Akkaya Oralhan İ, Michel R., Karslı Y., Çakmak H., Sun H., and Karataş Y. (XXXX), CCD $UBV(RI)_{KC}$ Photometry of the Open Clusters Juc 09 and Be 97, *AN*, *XXX;XX:X–X.*