arXiv:1911.13070v1 [math.NA] 29 Nov 2019

Numerical Schemes for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by G-Brownian motion

Mingshang Hu * Lianzi Jiang†

Abstract. We design a class of numerical schemes for backward stochastic differential equation driven by G-Brownian motion (G-BSDE), which is related to a fully nonlinear PDE. Based on Peng's central limit theorem, we employ the CLT method to approximate G-distributed. Rigorous stability and convergence analysis are also carried out. It is shown that the θ-scheme admits a half order convergence rate in the general case. In particular, for the case of $\theta_1 \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_2 = 0$, the scheme can reach first-order in the deterministic case. Several numerical tests are given to support our theoretical results.

Keywords. backward stochastic differential equation driven by G-Brownian motion, θ-scheme, error estimates, CLT method

AMS subject classifications. 60H35, 65C20, 60H10

1 Introduction

In 1990, Pardoux and Peng in [19] proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution for nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs). Since then, the theory of BSDEs has grown into a indispensable tool in many areas. In particular, it is used to measure financial risk under uncertainty of probabilities. But this type of BSDE is difficult to price path-dependent contingent claims in the uncertain volatility model (UVM). To overcome this obstacle, Peng systemically established a time-consistent fully nonlinear expectation theory and introduced G-expectation [20–22]. Under G-expectation framework, a new type of Brownian motion called G-Brownian motion was constructed and the corresponding stochastic calculus of Itô's type was established. Hu et al. [13] obtained the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following fully nonlinear BSDE driven by G-Brownian motion (G-BSDE for short, in this paper we always use Einstein convention):

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, ds + \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s - (K_T - K_t), \tag{1.1}$$

where $B_t = \left(B_t^1, \ldots, B_t^d\right)^\top$ is a d-dimensional G-Brownian motion, $f, g_{ij} : [0,T] \times \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$ are stochastic processes in $M_G^\beta(0,T)$ for some $\beta > 1$. Under some regularity conditions on f,

*Zhongtai Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, PR China. humingshang@sdu.edu.cn. Research supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018YFA0703900) and NSF (No. 11671231).

†Zhongtai Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, PR China. jianglianzi95@163.com.

g_{ij} and ξ, the equation (1.1) has a unique solution (Y, Z, K), K is a decreasing G-martingale. Furthermore, Hu et al. [14] studied nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula under the framework of G-expectation (see Theorem 2.3), which built the relationship between G-BSDEs and the fully nonlinear PDEs.

G-expectation theory and its applications have been developed in recent research [4, 6, 7, 12, 25, 26]. Depending on the underlying volatility, it is well known that the Black-Sholes price can be presented by the solution of BSDEs. Hu and Ji [12] studied the pricing of contingent claims under G-expectation. They found that the superhedging and subhedging prices of the given contingent claim ξ are related to Y_t, which is the solution of the following G-BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T (r_s Y_s + a_s Z_s) ds - \int_t^T b_s^{ij} Z_s d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s - (K_T - K_t),$$

where $(r_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$ is the return on the riskless asset, $(a_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$ and $(b_s^{ij})_{s\in[0,T]}$ are bounded processes in $M_G^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{1\times d})$. Indeed, in the Markovian case, the superhedging and subhedging prices are the Black-Scholes prices with volatilities $\underline{\sigma}^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2$ respectively. Therefore, proposing an efficient numerical approach to approximate the process Y_t has important practical significance.

Based on contingent claim pricing models, we devote to designing a numerical scheme for the following type of G-BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t = \xi &+ \int_t^T \left(f(s, Y_s) + a_s Z_s\right) ds + \int_t^T \left(g_{ij}(s, Y_s) + b_s^{ij} Z_s\right) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \\ &- \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dB_s - (K_T - K_t), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $B_t = \left(B_t^1, \ldots, B_t^d\right)^\top$ is a d-dimensional G-Brownian motion defined in the G-expectation space $(\Omega_T, L_G^1(\Omega_T), \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, $(a_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$ and $(b_s^{ij})_{s\in[0,T]}$ are bounded processes in $M_G^\beta(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{1\times d})$, and $f, g_{ij} : [0,T] \times \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$ satisfy the following properties:

(H1) There exists a constant $\beta > 2$ such that $f(\cdot, y), g_{ij}(\cdot, y) \in M_G^\beta(0,T)$ for any y;

(H2) There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(s,y) - f(s,y')| + \textstyle\sum_{i,j=1}^d |g_{ij}(s,y) - g_{ij}(s,y')| \le L|y - y'|.$$

Tremendous efforts have been made on the numerical computing of BSDEs (see, e.g., [1–3, 5, 9, 10, 17, 18, 27–31] and references therein), but little attention has been paid to G-BSDEs. There are some technical obstacles in developing numerical schemes for G-BSDEs. On the one hand, owing to the sublinear nature of G-expectation, many conclusions under linear expectation can not be extended to G-expectation, which increases the difficulty of theoretical analysis. On the other hand, there is no integral representation for G-normal distributed, traditional numerical integration methods of normal distribution are no longer applicable.

Zhao [29] proposed a θ-scheme to solve BSDEs directly, which is highly efficient and accurate. Unlike classical BSDEs, it is difficult to present a numerical scheme for G-BSDEs directly. To overcome it, we construct an auxiliary extended $\widetilde{G}$-expectation space and design a new θ-scheme for solving Y_t of the G-BSDE (1.2) in this space. Furthermore, on the basis of Peng's central limit theorem [23], we use the CLT

method to approximate G-distributed. Full stability and convergence analysis are also derived. It is shown that our θ-scheme admits a half order rate of convergence in the general case. In particular, for the case of $\theta_1 \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_2 = 0$, our schemes can reach first-order convergence in the deterministic case. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to design numerical schemes for G-BSDEs.

For simple representations, we introduce some notations that will be used extensively throughout the paper:

- $|\cdot|$: the standard Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$.
- $C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$: continuous functions $\phi : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\phi(t,x) - \phi(s,y)| \leq C(|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |x-y|)$, $C > 0$, $\forall\ t,s \in [0,T]$, $\forall\ x,y \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (Note: $\phi(x) \in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$ means that ϕ is a Lipschitz continuous function).
- $C_b^{l,k}$: continuously differentiable functions $\phi : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ with uniformly bounded partial derivatives $\partial_t^{l_1}\phi$ and $\partial_x^{k_1}\phi$ for $l_1 \leq l$ and $k_1 \leq k$.
- $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[X]$: the conditional $\tilde{G}$-expectation of X, under the σ-field $\sigma\{B_t = x\}$, i.e. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|B_{t_n} = x]$.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2, we recall some basic notations and results for stochastic calculus under G-framework. In Section 3, we propose a class of θ-schemes for G-BSDEs by choosing different parameters $\theta_i (i = 1,2)$. The analysis of stability and convergence is separately discussed in Section 4 and 5. In Section 6, we employ the CLT method for G-distributed simulation and conclude the paper in Section 7 with numerical examples that illustrate the performance of our schemes.

2 Preliminaries

The main purpose of this section is to recall some basic notions and results of G-Expectation, G-Brownian motion and G-BSDEs, which are needed in the sequel. More details can refer to [20–22] and references therein.

2.1 G-Brownian motion

Definition 2.1 *Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real valued functions defined on Ω, satisfies $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is considered as the space of random variables. A sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$: $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

(a) *Monotonicity: If $X \geq Y$ then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;*

(b) *Constant preservation: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$;*

(c) *Sub-additivity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X+Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;*

(d) *Positive homogeneity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ for each $\lambda > 0$.*

$(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. From the definition of the sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$, the following results can be easily obtained.

Proposition 2.2 *For $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

(*i*) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda^+ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \lambda^- \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X]$, *for each* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;

(*ii*) *If* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X]$, *then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X+Y] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;

(*iii*) $|\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]| \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X-Y|]$,*i.e.* $|\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]| \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X-Y] \vee \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y-X]$;

(*iv*) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|XY|] \le (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{1/p} \cdot (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y|^q])^{1/q}$, *for* $1 \le p, q < \infty$ *with* $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Definition 2.3 *Let X_1 and X_2 be two n-dimensional random vectors defined respectively in sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$. They are called identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$, if $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)]$, for all $\varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of bounded Lipschitz continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Definition 2.4 *In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a random vector $Y = (Y_{1,\dots,}Y_n)$, $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$, is said to be independent from another random vector $X = (X_{1,\dots,}X_m)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$, denoted by $Y \perp X$, if for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X,Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x,Y)]_{x=X}]$.*

Definition 2.5 *A d-dimensional random vector $X = (X_{1,\dots,}X_d)$ in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called G-normally distributed if for each $a, b \ge 0$ we have*

$$aX + b\bar{X} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}X,$$

where $\bar{X}$ is an independent copy of X, $G : \mathbb{S}(d) \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the function

$$G(A) := \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle AX, X\rangle], \quad A \in \mathbb{S}(d),$$

where $\mathbb{S}(d)$ denotes the collection of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices. We assume that G is non-degenerate, i.e., there exist some constants $0 < \underline{\sigma}^2 \le \bar{\sigma}^2 < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{2}\underline{\sigma}^2 tr[A-B] \le G(A) - G(B) \le \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}^2 tr[A-B]$ for $A \ge B$. Then there exists a bounded and closed subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{S}^+(d)$ such that

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2}\sup_{Q\in\Gamma} tr[AQ],$$

where $\mathbb{S}^+(d)$ denotes the collection of nonnegative elements in $\mathbb{S}(d)$. In this paper, we also assume $\sigma^2 = \underline{\sigma}^2 \le \bar{\sigma}^2 = 1$.

Now we give definitions of G-Brownian motion, G-expectation and conditional G-expectation.

Definition 2.6 *Let $\Omega_T = C_0([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of real valued continuous functions on $[0,T]$ with $\omega_0 = 0$, be endowed with the supremum norm. Set*

$$Lip(\Omega_T) = \{\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) : n \ge 1, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0,T], \varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times n})\}.$$

G-expectation on $(\Omega_T, Lip(\Omega_T))$ *is a sublinear expectation defined by*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0}\xi_1, \ldots, \sqrt{t_m - t_{m-1}}\xi_m)],$$

for all $X = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \ldots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})$, $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, *where* $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m$ *are identically distributed d-dimensional G-normally distributed random vectors in a sublinear expectation space* $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}})$ *such that* ξ_{i+1} *is independent from* $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$. *The corresponding canonical process* $B_t = (B_t^i)_{i=1}^d$ *is called a G-Brownian motion and* $(\Omega_T, Lip(\Omega_T), \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ *is called a G-expectation space.*

Definition 2.7 *We assume that* $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$ *has the representation* $\xi = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \ldots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})$, *define the conditional G-expectation* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}$ *of* ξ, *for some* $1 \le i \le m$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}[\varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \ldots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})] = \tilde{\varphi}(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, \ldots, B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \ldots, x_i) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}[\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_i, B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}, \ldots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})].$$

Define $\|\xi\|_{p,G} = (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\,|\xi|^p\,])^{1/p}$, *for* $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$ *and* $p \ge 1$. *Then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\cdot]$ *can be extended continuously to the completion* $L_G^1(\Omega_T)$ *of* $Lip(\Omega_T)$ *under the norm* $\|\cdot\|_{1,G}$.

Proposition 2.8 *For* $X \in Lip(\Omega_T)$, *we have*

(I) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\xi] = \xi$, *for* $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_t)$;

(II) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\xi X] = \xi^+ \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[X] + \xi^- \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[-X]$, *for* $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_t)$;

(III) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_s[\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[X]] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_s[X]$, *for* $s \le t$;

(IV) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[X]] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$.

2.2 *G*-Itô's formula and Feynman-Kac formula

Set

$$M_G^0(0,T) = \{\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j(\omega) I_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t) : 0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = T, \xi_j \in Lip(\Omega_{t_j})\}.$$

For $p \ge 1$ and $\eta \in M_G^0(0,T)$, let $\|\eta\|_{M_G^p} = (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\eta_s|^p \mathrm{d}s])^{1/p}$ and denote by $M_G^p(0,T)$ the completion of $M_G^0(0,T)$ under $\|\cdot\|_{M_G^p}$.

For each $1 \le i, j \le d$, we denote by $\langle B^i, B^j \rangle$ the mutual variation process. We can define Itô's integrals $\int_0^T \eta_s B_s^i$ and $\int_0^T \xi_s \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s$, for two processes $\eta \in M_G^2(0,T)$ and $\xi \in M_G^1(0,T)$ (see [20–22]).

Consider the following n-dimensional process:

$$X_t^v = X_0^v + \int_0^t \alpha_s^v ds + \int_0^t \eta_s^{vij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \int_0^t \beta_s^{vj} dB_s^j, \tag{2.1}$$

where $v = 1, \ldots, n$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$. Now we give the following G-Itô's formula.

Theorem 2.9 ([22]) *Let Φ be a C^2-function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^v}\Phi$ satisfy polynomial growth condition for $\mu, v = 1, \ldots, n$. Let α^v, β^{vj} and η^{vij}, $v = 1, \ldots, n$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$ be bounded processes in $M^2_G(0,T)$. Then for each $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(X_t) - \Phi(X_s) = &\int_s^t \partial_{x^v}\Phi(X_u)\,\beta^{vj}_u dB^j_u + \int_s^t \partial_{x^v}\Phi(X_u)\,\alpha^v_u du \\ &+ \int_s^t [\partial_{x^v}\Phi(X_u)\,\eta^{vij}_u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^v}\Phi(X_u)\,\beta^{\mu i}_u \beta^{vj}_u] d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.10 ([14]) *Let the functions f, g_{ij} be continuous with respect to t and Lipschitz continuous with respect to y, φ be an Lipschitz continuous function. Then the unique solution of G-BSDEs (1.2) can be represented as $Y_t = u(t, B_t)$, where $u(t,x) \in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$ is the unique viscosity solution of the following PDE:*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + F\left(D^2_x u, D_x u, u, t\right) = 0, \\ u(T,x) = \varphi(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(D^2_x u, D_x u, u, t\right) &= G\left(H\left(D^2_x u, D_x u, u, t\right)\right) + f(t,u) + a_t D_x u, \\ H_{ij}\left(D^2_x u, D_x u, u, t\right) &= \left(D^2_x u\right)_{ij} + 2\left(g_{ij}(t,u) + b^{ij}_t D_x u\right). \end{aligned}$$

3 Numerical schemes for G-BSDEs

3.1 Explicit expression of Y_t

Without loss of generality, we only consider the case of $d = 1$. The results still hold for the case $d > 1$. Let $\Omega_T = C_0([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, the space of $\mathbb{R}$-valued continuous functions on $[0,T]$ with $\omega_0 = 0$. we consider the following type of G-BSDE defined in the G-expectation space $(\Omega_T, L^1_G(\Omega_T), \hat{\mathbb{E}})$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t = \xi &+ \int_t^T \left(f(s, Y_s) + a_s Z_s\right) ds + \int_t^T \left(g(s, Y_s) + b_s Z_s\right) d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &- \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\xi \in L^\beta_G(\Omega_T)$, $\beta > 1$, $f, g : [0,T] \times \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (H1)-(H2), $(a_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$ and $(b_s)_{s\in[0,T]}$ are bounded processes in $M^\beta_G(0,T;\mathbb{R})$.

To get the explicit expression of Y_t excluding Z_t, we construct an auxiliary extended $\tilde{G}$-expectation space $(\tilde{\Omega}_T, L^1_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\Omega}_T), \hat{\mathbb{E}}^{\tilde{G}})$ with $\tilde{\Omega}_T = C_0([0,\infty), \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\tilde{G}(A) = \frac{1}{2}\sup_{\sigma^2 \leq v \leq 1} tr\left[A\begin{bmatrix} v & 1 \\ 1 & v^{-1}\end{bmatrix}\right], \quad A \in \mathbb{S}(2).$$

To simplify presentation, we still denote by $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ the extended $\tilde{G}$-expectation in the sequel. Let $(B_t, \tilde{B}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the canonical process in the extended space, it is easy to check that $\langle B, \tilde{B}\rangle_t = t$.

Now, introducing the following linear $\tilde{G}$-SDE:

$$X_t = 1 + \int_0^t a_s X_s d\tilde{B}_s + \int_0^t b_s X_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{3.2}$$

it is easy to verify that

$$X_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t -a_s b_s ds\right) \exp\left(\int_0^t b_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t {b_s}^2 d\langle B\rangle_s\right) \exp\left(\int_0^t a_s d\tilde{B}_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t {a_s}^2 d\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $X_t Y_t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_t Y_t = X_T\xi + \int_t^T X_s f(s, Y_s)\, ds + \int_t^T X_s g(s, Y_s)\, d\langle B\rangle_s - \int_t^T a_s X_s Y_s d\tilde{B}_s \\ - \int_t^T (X_s Z_s + b_s X_s Y_s) dB_s - \int_t^T X_s dK_s. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4 in [13], $\{\int_0^t X_s dK_s\}_{0\le t\le T}$ is a $\tilde{G}$-martingale. Thus we get the explicit expression of Y_t excluding Z_t

$$Y_t = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t\left[X_T^t\xi + \int_t^T X_s^t f(s, Y_s)\, ds + \int_t^T X_s^t g(s, Y_s)\, d\langle B\rangle_s\right], \tag{3.4}$$

where $X_s^t = X_s/X_t$.

3.2 The semi-discrete scheme

For the time interval $[0, T]$, we introduce the following partition:

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T \tag{3.5}$$

with $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ and $\Delta t = \max\limits_{0\le n\le N-1} \Delta t_n$. We denote $\Delta B_{n,s} = B_s - B_{t_n} \sim N(\{0\} \times (s - t_n)\Sigma)$ and $\Delta\tilde{B}_{n,s} = \tilde{B}_s - \tilde{B}_{t_n} \sim N(\{0\} \times (s - t_n)\tilde{\Sigma})$ with $\Sigma = [\sigma^2, 1]$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} = [1, \frac{1}{\sigma^2}]$, respectively, for $t_n \le s \le t_{n+1}$. We also denote quadratic variation processes $\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,s} = \langle B\rangle_s - \langle B\rangle_{t_n}$ and $\Delta\langle\tilde{B}\rangle_{n,s} = \langle\tilde{B}\rangle_s - \langle\tilde{B}\rangle_{t_n}$, for $t_n \le s \le t_{n+1}$.

Let $f_s = f(s, Y_s)$ and $g_s = g(s, Y_s)$. From (3.4) we can obtain

$$Y_{t_n} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x\left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} f(s, Y_s)\, ds + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} g(s, Y_s)\, d\langle B\rangle_s\right], \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$X_t^{t_n} = \exp\left(\int_{t_n}^t -a_s b_s ds\right) \exp\left(\int_{t_n}^t b_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_n}^t b_s^2 d\langle B\rangle_s\right) \exp\left(\int_{t_n}^t a_s d\tilde{B}_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_n}^t a_s^2 d\langle\tilde{B}\rangle_s\right). \tag{3.7}$$

It is easy to verify that $X_t^{t_n}$ satisfies the following $\tilde{G}$-SDE

$$X_t^{t_n} = 1 + \int_{t_n}^t a_s X_s^{t_n} d\tilde{B}_s + \int_{t_n}^t b_s X_s^{t_n} dB_s, \quad t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]. \tag{3.8}$$

Similar to numerical integration methods, using the θ-scheme to approximate the stochastic integrals in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{t_n} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x\Big[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \Big(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\Big)\Delta t_n \\ + \Big(\theta_2 g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}}\Big)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\Big] + R_y^n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $R_y^n = R_{y_1}^n + R_{y_2}^n$, with

$$\begin{aligned} R_{y_1}^n = &\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} f_s ds + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} g_s d\langle B\rangle_s \right] \\ &- \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} g_s d\langle B\rangle_s \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{y_2}^n = &\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} g_s d\langle B\rangle_s \right] \\ &- \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\theta_2 g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In the meanwhile, we employ Euler's method to approximate the stochastic integrals in $X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}$, denoted by $\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} = &\exp\left(-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} a_{t_n} b_{t_n} ds \right) \exp\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} b_{t_n} dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} b_{t_n}^2 d\langle B\rangle_s \right) \\ &\exp\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} a_{t_n} d\tilde{B}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} a_{t_n}^2 d\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Replacing $X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}$ with $\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}$ in (3.9), we get the following reference equation

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{t_n} = &\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\theta_2 g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \right] + R_x^n + R_y^n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_x^n = &\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\theta_2 g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \right] \\ &- \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \left(\theta_1 f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta t_n \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\theta_2 g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}}\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Let Y^n denote the numerical approximation to the analytic solution Y_t at time level $t = t_n$ ($n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$). To maintain the consistency of representation, we use X^n to denote $\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_{n-1}}$ ($n = 1, \ldots, N$). Based on (3.13), we propose the following semi-discrete θ-scheme for solving Y_t of the G-BSDE (3.1).

Scheme 1 *Given random variables Y^N, solve random variables Y^n, $n = N-1, \ldots, 0$ from*

$$\begin{cases} Y^n = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \left[X^{n+1} Y^{n+1} + \left(\theta_1 f(t_n, Y^n) + (1-\theta_1) X^{n+1} f(t_{n+1}, Y^{n+1})\right) \Delta t_n \right. \\ \qquad \left. + \left(\theta_2 g(t_n, Y^n) + (1-\theta_2) X^{n+1} g(t_{n+1}, Y^{n+1})\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \right] \\ X^{n+1} = \exp\left(-a_{t_n} b_{t_n} \Delta t_n\right) \exp(b_{t_n} \Delta B_{n,t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} b_{t_n}^2 \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}) \\ \qquad \exp(a_{t_n} \Delta\tilde{B}_{n,t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} a_{t_n}^2 \Delta\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}). \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

with the deterministic parameters $\theta_i \in [0,1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Remark 3.1 *Usually, we pay more attention to the solution Y_t of the G-BSDE* (3.1)*, which reflects the hedging price of a given contingent claim. By introducing the $\tilde{G}$-SDE, we obtained the scheme 1 for solving Y_t, which Z_t can be excluded completely.*

Remark 3.2 *By choosing different $\theta_i\,(i=1,2) \in [0,1]$, we can obtain a class of different θ-schemes for solving the solution Y_t of the G-BSDE. Our θ-schemes can admit a first order rate of convergence when $\theta_1 \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_2 = 0$ in the deterministic case, which will be confirmed in later numerical experiments.*

4 Stability analysis

In this section, we focus on the stability analysis of the numerical scheme. In what follows, C represents a generic constant, which may be different from line to line.

Lemma 4.1 *Let a_s, $b_s \in M_G^2(0,T)$ be bounded processes. Then for all $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$,*

1. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t] = 1$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)^2] \le 1 + C\Delta t$;
2. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X^{t_n}_t] = 1$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X^{t_n}_t)^2] \le 1 + C\Delta t$,

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of n, $X^{t_n}_\cdot$ and $\tilde{X}^{t_n}_\cdot$ are defined in (3.7) *and* (3.12)*, respectively.*

Proof. *1. For all $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, from* (3.12) *we know*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t = &\exp\left(-\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} b_{t_n} ds\right) \exp\left(\int_{t_n}^t b_{t_n} dB_s - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_{t_n}^t b^2_{t_n} d\langle B\rangle_s\right)\\ &\exp\left(\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} d\tilde{B}_s - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_{t_n}^t a^2_{t_n} d\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that $\langle B, \tilde{B}\rangle_t = t$, applying G-Itô's formula to $\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t$, we get

$$\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t = 1 + \int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} \tilde{X}^{t_n}_s d\tilde{B}_s + \int_{t_n}^t b_{t_n} \tilde{X}^{t_n}_s dB_s. \tag{4.2}$$

From the proposition 2.2, we have $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t] = 1$. Through the properties of quadratic variation $\langle B\rangle_s - \langle B\rangle_r \le (s-r)$ and $\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s - \langle \tilde{B}\rangle_r \le \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(s-r)$, $\forall\ r \le s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)^2 &= \exp\left(-2\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} b_{t_n} ds\right) \exp\left(2\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} d\tilde{B}_s - \int_{t_n}^t a^2_{t_n} d\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s\right)\\ &\quad \exp\left(2\int_{t_n}^t b_{t_n} dB_s - \int_{t_n}^t b^2_{t_n} \langle B\rangle_s\right)\\ &\le \exp\left(\tfrac{1}{\sigma^2}(a_{t_n} + b_{t_n})^2 \Delta t\right) \exp\left(-4\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} b_{t_n} ds\right)\\ &\quad \exp\left(2\int_{t_n}^t a_{t_n} d\tilde{B}_s - 2\int_{t_n}^t a^2_{t_n} d\langle \tilde{B}\rangle_s\right)\left(2\int_{t_n}^t b_{t_n} dB_s - 2\int_{t_n}^t b^2_{t_n} d\langle B\rangle_s\right),\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above process, we can deduce

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)^2] \le e^{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(a_{t_n} + b_{t_n})^2 \Delta t}. \tag{4.3}$$

It is easy to verify that $e^x \le 1 + ex$, $\forall\ 0 \le x \le 1$. Therefore, for bounded processes a_s, b_s and sufficiently small Δt, we draw the conclusion 1. Similarly, we can prove 2. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Let $(Y_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ be the solution of the G-BSDE* (3.1) *and $Y^n (n = 0, \dots, N)$ defined in the Scheme 1, R_x^n and R_y^n are defined in* (3.14) *and* (3.10) − (3.11)*, respectively. Assume that f and g satisfy (H1)-(H2) with Lipschitz constant L. Then for sufficiently small time step Δt, we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^n|^2] \quad \le C\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^N|^2] + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_x^i|^2] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_y^i|^2]}{\Delta t}\right), \tag{4.4}$$

for $n = N-1, \dots, 0$, $C > 0$ is a constant just depending on T, L and upper bounds of a and b.

Proof. *Let $e_y^n = Y_{t_n} - Y^n$, $e_f^n = f(t_n, Y_{t_n}) - f(t_n, Y^n)$ and $e_g^n = g(t_n, Y_{t_n}) - g(t_n, Y^n)$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Subtract* (3.15) *from* (3.13)*, by Proposition 2.2 (iii), we get*

$$\begin{aligned} \left|e_y^n\right| \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x \Big[& \tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_y^{n+1}| + \left(\theta_1 |e_f^n| + (1-\theta_1)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_f^{n+1}|\right) \Delta t_n \\ & + \left(\theta_2 |e_g^n| + (1-\theta_2)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_g^{n+1}|\right) \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}} \Big] + |R_x^n| + |R_y^n|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Using $\langle B\rangle_{t_{n+1}} - \langle B\rangle_{t_n} \le \Delta t_n$, (4.5) *implies*

$$\left|e_y^n\right| \le (1 + 2L\Delta t_n)\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_y^{n+1}|] + 2L\Delta t_n \left|e_y^n\right| + |R_x^n| + |R_y^n|, \tag{4.6}$$

where $L > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant. From the inequality (4.6)*, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} \left|e_y^n\right| &\le \frac{1 + 2L\Delta t_n}{1 - 2L\Delta t_n} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_y^{n+1}|] + \frac{1}{1 - 2L\Delta t_n} \left(|R_x^n| + |R_y^n|\right) \\ &\le (1 + C\Delta t)\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} |e_y^{n+1}|] + C\left(|R_x^n| + |R_y^n|\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Taking square on both side of (4.7)*, using the inequalities $(a+b)^2 \le (1+\Delta t)a^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\Delta t})b^2$, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.1, we deduce*

$$|e_y^n|^2 \le (1 + C\Delta t)\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[|e_y^{n+1}|^2] + C(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta t})\left(|R_x^n|^2 + |R_y^n|^2\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Taking $\tilde{G}$-expectation on both sides of (4.8) *and substituting e_y^i, $i = n+1, \dots, N-1$ recursively, we consequently deduce that*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^n|^2] &\le (1 + C\Delta t)^{N-n}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^N|^2] + \tfrac{C}{\Delta t} \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} (1+\Delta t)^{i-n} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_x^i|^2] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_y^i|^2]\right) \\ &\le e^{C(N-n)\Delta t}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^N|^2] + Ce^{(N-n)\Delta t} \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} \tfrac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_x^i|^2] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_y^i|^2]\right) \\ &\le C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^N|^2] + C \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} \tfrac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_x^i|^2] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|R_y^i|^2]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The proof is complete. ■

Remark 4.3 *Theorem 4.2 implies that Scheme 1 is stable.*

5 Error estimates

In this section, we will discuss the error estimates of Scheme 1 for the G-BSDE (3.1) with $\xi = \varphi(B_T)$. Under some regularity conditions on f, g and φ, we first give the estimates of truncation errors R_x^n and R_y^n defined in (3.14) and (3.10)-(3.11). Then we come to the conclusion based on Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 5.1 *Let R_x^n be the local truncation error derived from* (3.14)*. Then for sufficiently small time step Δt and $\theta_i\,(i=1,2)\in[0,1]$, we have the following conclusions:*

1. *If $a_s=a(s,B_s)$, $b_s=b(s,B_s)\in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$ are bounded stochastic processes on $[0,T]$ and $f,g,\varphi\in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$, we have*
$$|R_x^n|\le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{5.1}$$
2. *In particular, if $a_s=a(s)$, $b_s=b(s)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions on $[0,T]$ and $f,g,u\in C_b^{1,2}$, we have*
$$|R_x^n|\le C(\Delta t)^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Here $C>0$ is a constant just depending on T, L, upper bounds of a and b.

Proof. *1. From the definition of R_x^n in* (3.14) *and Proposition 2.2 (iii), we can deduce*

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_x^n| &\le \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})(Y_{t_{n+1}}+f_{t_{n+1}}\Delta t_n+g_{t_{n+1}}\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}})]\\
&\quad\vee\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})(Y_{t_{n+1}}+f_{t_{n+1}}\Delta t_n+g_{t_{n+1}}\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}})] &(5.3)\\
&\le\Big\{\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})f_{t_{n+1}}\Delta t_n] &(5.4)\\
&\quad+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})g_{t_{n+1}}\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}]\Big\}\\
&\quad\vee\Big\{\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})f_{t_{n+1}}\Delta t_n]\\
&\quad+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})g_{t_{n+1}}\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}]\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we give the estimate of $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]$. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]$ can be similarly obtained. According to (3.8), (4.2) *and Proposition 2.8 (II), it is worth noting that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\pm Y_{t_n}(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})]\\
&=Y_{t_n}^+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\pm(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})]+Y_{t_n}^-\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\mp(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})]\\
&=Y_{t_n}^+\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x\left[\pm\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}(a_tX_t^{t_n}-a_{t_n}\tilde{X}_t^{t_n})d\tilde{B}_t\pm\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}(b_tX_t^{t_n}-b_{t_n}\tilde{X}_t^{t_n})dB_t\right]\\
&\quad+Y_{t_n}^-\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x\left[\mp\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}(a_{t_n}\tilde{X}_t^{t_n}-a_tX_t^{t_n})d\tilde{B}_t\mp\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}(b_{t_n}\tilde{X}_t^{t_n}-b_tX_t^{t_n})dB_t\right]\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

Then, by Proposition 2.2 (ii), we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})(Y_{t_{n+1}}-Y_{t_n})]. \tag{5.6}$$

Under the conditions $f,g,\varphi\in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$ and Theorem 2.10, it is easy to check that $Y_t=u(t,B_t)\in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$. By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_n})(Y_{t_{n+1}}-Y_{t_n})]&\le\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[|X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[|Y_{t_{n+1}}-Y_{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le C\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[|X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[\Delta t_n+(\Delta B_{n,t_{n+1}})^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le C\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^x[|X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\Delta t_n}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

Notice that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\left|\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\eta_t d\langle B\rangle_t\right|\right]\leq\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}|\eta_t|\,dt\right],\ \eta\in M_G^1(0,T). \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\eta_t dB_t\right)^2\right]\leq\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}|\eta_t|^2\,d\langle B\rangle_t\right],\ \eta\in M_G^2(0,T) \tag{5.9}$$

Combing (3.8), (4.2), (5.8) *and* (5.9), *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|X_t^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_t^{t_n}|^2]\\
&\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^t(a_sX_s^{t_n}-a_{t_n}\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2d\langle\tilde{B}\rangle_s\right]+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^t(b_sX_s^{t_n}-b_{t_n}\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2d\langle B\rangle_s\right]\\
&\leq 2\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(a_sX_s^{t_n}-a_{t_n}\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds+2\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(b_sX_s^{t_n}-b_{t_n}\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds\\
&\leq 2\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\left(a_s(X_s^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})+(a_s-a_{t_n})\tilde{X}_s^{t_n}\right)^2\right]ds\\
&\quad+2\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\left(b_s(X_s^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})+(b_s-b_{t_n})\tilde{X}_s^{t_n}\right)^2\right]ds\\
&\leq C\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X_s^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds+C\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(a_s-a_{t_n})^2(\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds\\
&\quad+C\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(b_s-b_{t_n})^2(\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Similar to Lemma 4.1, we can prove $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}_t^{t_n})^4]\leq C<\infty$. *Using Hölder's inequality again, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|X_t^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_t^{t_n}|^2]\\
&\leq C\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X_s^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds+C\int_{t_n}^t\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(a_s-a_{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds\\
&\quad+C\int_{t_n}^t\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(b_s-b_{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds\\
&\leq C\int_{t_n}^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X_s^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_s^{t_n})^2]ds+C\int_{t_n}^t\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(a_s-a_{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds\\
&\quad+C\int_{t_n}^t\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(b_s-b_{t_n})^4]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

If $a_s=a(s,B_s)$ *and* $b_s=b(s,B_s)$ *are bounded stochastic processes in* $C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$, *due to* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|B_s-B_{t_n}|^{2k}]=3\bar{\sigma}^4(s-t_n)^k$, $k\in N^+$, *by simple calculation, we get*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(a_s-a_{t_n})^4]\leq C(s-t_n)^2,\quad\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(b_s-b_{t_n})^4]\leq C(s-t_n)^2. \tag{5.12}$$

Combing (5.11) *and* (5.12), *from Gronwall's inequality, it follows that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|X_t^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_t^{t_n}|^2]\leq C(t-t_n)^2. \tag{5.13}$$

Then from (5.6), (5.7) *and* (5.13), *we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\pm(X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n}-\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n})Y_{t_{n+1}}]\leq C(\Delta t_n)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In the same way above, we can also obtain $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\pm(X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})f_{t_{n+1}}\Delta t_n] \le C(\Delta t_n)^{\frac{5}{2}}$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\pm(X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})g_{t_{n+1}}\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}] \le C(\Delta t_n)^{\frac{5}{2}}$, (5.1) *follows from* (5.4).

2. In particular, if f, g, $u \in C^{1,2}_b$, *applying Itô's formula to* $(X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)(Y_t - Y_{t_n})$ *on* $[t_n,\ t_{n+1}]$ *and taking the conditional G-expectation* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\cdot]$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})(Y_{t_{n+1}} - Y_{t_n})]\\
&= \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)\left(\partial_t u(t,B_t) + a_t\partial_x u(t,B_t)\right)dt\right.\\
&\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)(\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx}u(t,B_t) + b_t\partial_x u(t,B_t))d\langle B\rangle_t\\
&\quad \left. + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (a_t - a_{t_n})\partial_x u(t,B_t)\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t dt + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (b_t - b_{t_n})\partial_x u(t,B_t)\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t d\langle B\rangle_t\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

From (5.8) *and Hölder's inequality, we can deduce*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})(Y_{t_{n+1}} - Y_{t_n})]\\
&\le C\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t|dt\right] + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t|d\langle B\rangle_t\right]\\
&\quad + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |a_t - a_{t_n}|\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t dt\right] + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |b_t - b_{t_n}|\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t d\langle B\rangle_s\right]\\
&\le C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t|]dt + C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|a_t - a_{t_n}|\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t]dt\\
&\quad + C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|b_t - b_{t_n}|\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t]dt\\
&\le C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|X^{t_n}_t - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_t|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt\\
&\quad + C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|a_t - a_{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt\\
&\quad + C\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|b_t - b_{t_n}|^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[(\tilde{X}^{t_n}_t)^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Since $a_s = a(s)$, $b_s = b(s)$ *are Lipschitz continuous functions on* $[0,T]$, *from* (5.11) *and* (5.15), *we can easily obtain*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\pm(X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} - \tilde{X}^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})Y_{t_{n+1}}] \le C(\Delta t_n)^2.$$

Similarly to prove (5.1) *above,* (5.2) *holds.* ■

Lemma 5.2 *Assume that* $(\phi_s)_{s\in[t_n,t_{n+1}]}$ *is a process in* $M^2_G(t_n,t_{n+1})$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[|\phi_s|^2] \le C$, $C > 0$ *is a constant. Then*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\pm\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_t^{t_{n+1}} \phi_s dB_s d\langle B\rangle_t\right] = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. *Let* $U_t = \int_t^{t_{n+1}} \phi_s dB_s$ *and* $U^K_t = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1}\int_{s_{i+1}}^{t_{n+1}} \phi_s dB_s \mathbf{I}_{[s_i,s_{i+1})}(t)$, *where* $t_n = s_1 < \cdots < s_K = t_{n+1}$ *is*

a partition on $[t_n,t_{n+1}]$. *From* (5.9), (5.8) *and Proposition 2.2, we can deduce*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}|U_t-U_t^K|^2dt\right] &\le \sum_{i=0}^{K-1}\int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}}\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\left(\int_t^{s_{i+1}}\phi_s dB_s\right)^2\right]dt\\ &\le \sum_{i=0}^{K-1}\int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}}\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_t^{s_{i+1}}|\phi_s|^2ds\right]dt \qquad (5.17)\\ &\le C\sum_{i=0}^{K-1}(s_{i+1}-s_i)^{\frac{3}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}|U_t-U_t^K|^2dt]\to 0$, *by letting* $K\to\infty$. *Thus*

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}U_t d\langle B\rangle_t = L_G^2-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}U_t^K d\langle B\rangle_t. \qquad (5.18)$$

For $i=0,\dots,K-1$, $\int_{s_{i+1}}^{t_{n+1}}\phi_s dB_s$ *are independent from* $\Delta\langle B\rangle_{i+1}=\langle B\rangle_{s_{i+1}}-\langle B\rangle_{s_i}$. *Then we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\pm\int_{s_{i+1}}^{t_{n+1}}\phi_s dB_s\Delta\langle B\rangle_{i+1}\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\pm y\int_{s_{i+1}}^{t_{n+1}}\phi_s dB_s\right]_{y=\Delta\langle B\rangle_{i+1}}\right]=0. \qquad (5.19)$$

By Proposition 2.2 (ii) *and* (5.19), *it holds that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\pm\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}U_t^K d\langle B\rangle_t\right]=0. \qquad (5.20)$$

From (5.18) *and* (5.20), *our conclusion follows.* ■

Remark 5.3 *Under the same assumption in Lemma 5.2, the conclusion is no longer true for the integral* $\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{t_n}^{t}\phi_s dB_s d\langle B\rangle_t$. *Here we just give the case* $\phi_s=1$ *to illustrate the result.*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{t_n}^{t}dB_s d\langle B\rangle_t\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^{\Delta t_n}B_s d\langle B\rangle_s\right]=\frac{1}{3}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[B^3_{\Delta t_n}]=\frac{1}{3}(\Delta t_n)^{\frac{3}{2}}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[B_1^3].$$

[11] shows $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[B_1^3]>0$, *which implies*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{t_n}^{t}dB_s d\langle B\rangle_t\right]>0.$$

Lemma 5.4 *Let* R_y^n *be the local truncation error derived from* (3.10)-(3.11). *Assume* a_s *and* b_s *are bounded processes. Then for sufficiently small* Δt, *it holds that:*

1. *For* $\theta_i\in[0,1]$ $(i=1,2)$, *if* $f,g,\varphi\in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$, *we have*

$$\left|R_y^n\right|\le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \qquad (5.21)$$

2. *In particular, for* $\theta_1\in[0,1]$ *and* $\theta_2=0$, *if* $f,g,u\in C_b^{1,2}$, *we have*

$$\left|R_y^n\right|\le C(\Delta t)^2. \qquad (5.22)$$

Here $C>0$ is a constant just depending on T, L, upper bounds of a and b, upper bounds of derivatives of f, g and φ.

Proof. *1. From the definition of $R^n_{y_1}$ and $R^n_{y_2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|R^n_{y_1}\right| \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X^{t_n}_s f_s - \theta_1 X^{t_n}_{t_n} f_{t_n} - (1-\theta_1) X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} f_{t_{n+1}} ds\right] \\ \vee \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \theta_1 X^{t_n}_{t_n} f_{t_n} + (1-\theta_1) X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} f_{t_{n+1}} - X^{t_n}_s f_s ds\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|R^n_{y_2}\right| \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X^{t_n}_s g_s - \theta_2 X^{t_n}_{t_n} g_{t_n} - (1-\theta_2) X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} g_{t_{n+1}} d\langle B\rangle_s\right] \\ \vee \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \theta_2 X^{t_n}_{t_n} g_{t_n} + (1-\theta_2) X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} g_{t_{n+1}} - X^{t_n}_s g_s d\langle B\rangle_s\right].\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

We just give the estimate of the first part of (5.23)*, the second part can be similarly obtained. If $f,g,\varphi \in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$, from Theorem 2.10, we know $Y_t = u(t,B_t) \in C^{\frac{1}{2},1}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X^{t_n}_s f_s - \theta_1 X^{t_n}_{t_n} f_{t_n} - (1-\theta_1) X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} f_{t_{n+1}} ds\right] \\ &\le \theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X^{t_n}_s f_s - X^{t_n}_{t_n} f_{t_n}]ds + (1-\theta_1)\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X^{t_n}_s f_s - X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}} f_{t_{n+1}}]ds \\ &\le \theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X^{t_n}_s (f_s - f_{t_n})]ds + \theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[f_{t_n}(X^{t_n}_s - X^{t_n}_{t_n})]ds \\ &\quad + (1-\theta_1)\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X^{t_n}_s (f_s - f_{t_{n+1}})]ds + (1-\theta_1)\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[f_{t_{n+1}}(X^{t_n}_s - X^{t_n}_{t_{n+1}})]ds.\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Combining (3.8)*,* (4.2) *and Lemma 4.1, it is easy to check that $\left|R^n_{y_1}\right| \le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Similarly, we have $\left|R^n_{y_2}\right| \le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Thus,* (5.21) *is proved.*

2. If f, g, $u \in C^{1,2}_b$, by Theorem 2.10, we define $f(t,Y_t) = f(t,u(t,B_t)) = F(t,B_t) \in C^{1,2}_b$ and $g(t,Y_t) = g(t,u(t,B_t)) = H(t,B_t) \in C^{1,2}_b$. Applying Itô's formula to $X^{t_n}_t F(t,B_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X^{t_n}_s F_s = F_{t_n} + \int_{t_n}^s (\partial_t F_r + a_r \partial_x F_r) X^{t_n}_r dr + \int_{t_n}^s (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} F_r X^{t_n}_r + b_r \partial_x F_r X^{t_n}_r) d\langle B\rangle_r \\ + \int_{t_n}^s (\partial_x F_r + b_r F_r) X^{t_n}_r dB_r + \int_{t_n}^s a_r F_r X^{t_n}_r d\tilde{B}_r.\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

From (5.26)*, we can deduce*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} f_s - \theta_1 X_{t_n}^{t_n} f_{t_n} - (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}} ds\right] \\
&\le \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{s} (\partial_t F_r + a_r \partial_x F_r) X_r^{t_n} dr - (1-\theta_1)\int_s^{t_{n+1}} (\partial_t F_r + a_r \partial_x F_r) X_r^{t_n} dr\right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{s} (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} F_r + b_r \partial_x F_r) X_r^{t_n} d\langle B\rangle_r\right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1-\theta_1)\int_s^{t_{n+1}} (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} F_r + b_r \partial_x F_r) X_r^{t_n} d\langle B\rangle_r\right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{s} (\partial_x F_r + b_r F_r) X_r^{t_n} dB_r - (1-\theta_1)\int_s^{t_{n+1}} (\partial_x F_r + b_r F_r) X_r^{t_n} dB_r\right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{s} a_r F_r X_r^{t_n} d\tilde{B}_r - (1-\theta_1)\int_s^{t_{n+1}} a_r F_r X_r^{t_n} d\tilde{B}_r\right] ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}
$$

Notice that $F_r \in C_b^{1,2}$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[X_r^{t_n}] = 1$, $\forall r \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$*, which implies*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} f_s - \theta_1 X_{t_n}^{t_n} f_{t_n} - (1-\theta_1) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f_{t_{n+1}} ds\right] \\
&\le \theta_1 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{s} |\partial_t F_r + a_r \partial_x F_r|\, X_r^{t_n} dr\right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{s} \left|\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} F_r + b_r \partial_x F_r\right| X_r^{t_n} dr\right]\right) ds \\
&\quad + (1-\theta_1)\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} |\partial_t F_r + a_r \partial_x F_r|\, X_r^{t_n} dr\right] ds \\
&\quad + (1-\theta_1)\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} \left|\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} F_r + b_r \partial_x F_r\right| X_r^{t_n} dr\right] ds \\
&\le C(\Delta t_n)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}
$$

Then, $\left|R^n_{y_1}\right| \le C(\Delta t)^2$*. When* $\theta_2 = 0$*, applying Itô's formula to* $X_t^{t_n} H(t, B_t)$*, we can similarly obtain*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_s^{t_n} g_s - \theta_2 X_{t_n}^{t_n} g_{t_n} - (1-\theta_2) X_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g_{t_{n+1}} d\langle B\rangle_s\right] \\
&\le \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} (\partial_t H_r + a_r \partial_x H_r) X_r^{t_n} dr d\langle B\rangle_s\right] \\
&\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} (\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx} H_r + b_r \partial_x H_r) X_r^{t_n} d\langle B\rangle_r d\langle B\rangle_s\right] \\
&\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} (\partial_x H_r + b_r H_r) X_r^{t_n} dB_r d\langle B\rangle_s\right] \\
&\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} a_r F_r X_r^{t_n} d\tilde{B}_r d\langle B\rangle_s\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}
$$

From Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} (\partial_x H_r + b_r H_r) X_r^{t_n} dB_r d\langle B\rangle_s\right] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}\left[-\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}}\int_{s}^{t_{n+1}} a_r F_r X_r^{t_n} d\tilde{B}_r d\langle B\rangle_s\right] = 0. \tag{5.30}
$$

Then, from (5.8)*,* (5.24)*,* (5.29) *and* (5.30)*, by a simple computation similar to* $\left|R^n_{y_1}\right|$*, it is straightforward to obtain* $\left|R^n_{y_2}\right| \le C(\Delta t)^2$*. Therefore,* (5.22) *holds.* ■

Based on the discussion above, let us show the error estimates of Scheme 1.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $(Y_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ be the solution of the G-BSDE (3.1) and $Y^n (n = N, \dots, 0)$ defined in the Scheme 1. Then for sufficiently small Δt, it holds that:*

1. *For $\theta_i \in [0,1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$, if $f, g, \varphi \in C_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ and $a_s = a(s, B_s)$, $b_s = (s, B_s)$ are bounded stochastic processes in $C_{\frac{1}{2},1}$, we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^n|^2] \le C\Delta t. \tag{5.31}$$

2. *In particular, for $\theta_1 \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_2 = 0$, if $f, g, u \in C_b^{1,2}$ and $a_s = a(s)$, $b_s = b(s)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions on $[0, T]$, we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|e_y^n|^2] \le C\left(\Delta t\right)^2. \tag{5.32}$$

Here $C > 0$ is a constant just depending on T, L, upper bounds of a and b, upper bounds of derivatives of f, g and φ.

Proof. *By Lemma 5.1 and 5.4, for sufficiently small Δt, it holds that*

1. *For $\theta_i \in [0,1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$, if $f, g, \varphi \in C_{\frac{1}{2},1}$, $a_s = a(s, B_s)$ and $b_s = b(s, B_s)$ are bounded stochastic processes in $C_{\frac{1}{2},1}$, we have*

$$|R_x^n| \le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \left|R_y^n\right| \le C(\Delta t)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{5.33}$$

2. *In particular, for $\theta_1 \in [0,1]$ and $\theta_2 = 0$, if $f, g, u \in C_b^{1,2}$, $a_s = a(s)$ and $b_s = b(s)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions on $[0, T]$, we have*

$$|R_x^n| \le C(\Delta t)^2, \quad \left|R_y^n\right| \le C(\Delta t)^2. \tag{5.34}$$

Using Theorem 4.2, the conclusion can be directly obtained. ■

Remark 5.6 *Theorem 5.5 shows that if a_s and b_s are general stochastic processes, the convergence rate of Scheme 1 is 0.5. In particular, if a_s and b_s are deterministic functions, f, g, φ, u are smooth enough, Scheme 1 admits a first order rate of convergence.*

6 G-distributed simulation

In this section, we first present the CLT method to approximate the G-distributed. Then we propose a fully discrete θ-scheme for solving Y_t of the G-BSDE (3.1).

6.1 CLT method for G-distributed

Before giving the CLT method, we first recall the definition of G-distributed and Peng's central limit theorem.

Definition 6.1 *The pair d-dimensional random vectors (X,η) in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called G-distributed if for each $a,b\geq 0$ we have*

$$\left(aX+b\bar{X},a^2\eta+b^2\bar{\eta}\right)\overset{d}{=}\left(\sqrt{a^2+b^2}X,(a^2+b^2)\eta\right),$$

where $(\bar{X},\bar{\eta})$ is an independent copy of (X,η), $G:\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{S}(d)\to\mathbb{R}$ denotes the binary function

$$G\left(p,A\right):=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\langle AX,X\right\rangle+\left\langle p,\eta\right\rangle\right],\quad (p,A)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{S}(d).$$

From the definition above, it is easy to check that $(B_1,\langle B\rangle_1)$ is a G-distributed.

Theorem 6.2 ([22, 23]) *Let $\{(X_i,Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$-valued random variables on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\hat{\mathbb{E}})$. We assume that $(X_{i+1},Y_{i+1})\overset{d}{=}(X_i,Y_i)$ and (X_{i+1},Y_{i+1}) is independent from $\{(X_1,Y_1),\ldots,(X_i,Y_i)\}$ for each $i=1,2,\cdots$. We further assume that $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_1]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_1]=0$. Then for each function $\varphi\in C(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying linear growth condition, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{n}},\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{Y_i}{n}\right)\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi(X,\eta)\right],\tag{6.1}$$

where the pair (X,η) is G-distributed. The corresponding sublinear function $G:\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{S}(d)\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$G\left(p,A\right):=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\langle AX_1,X_1\right\rangle+\left\langle p,Y_1\right\rangle\right],\ (p,A)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{S}(d).$$

Since Peng's central limit theorem, a great deal of work has been done to prove the following rate of convergence (see [8, 15, 16, 25, 26])

$$\left|\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{n}},\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{Y_i}{n}\right)\right]-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X,\eta)]\right|\leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{6}},\tag{6.2}$$

where C is a constant depending on φ, X_i and Y_i.

CLT method. Assume M is a positive integer. Using the property of independence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{M}},\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{Y_i}{M}\right)\right]\\
&=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=2}^{M}\frac{X_i+x_1}{\sqrt{M}},\sum_{i=2}^{M}\frac{Y_i+y_1}{M}\right)\right]_{(x_1,y_1)=(X_1,Y_1)}\right]\\
&=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\cdots\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{X_M+x_i}{\sqrt{M}},\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{Y_M+y_i}{M}\right)\right]_{\substack{(x_{M-1},y_{M-1})\\=(X_{M-1},Y_{M-1})}}\cdots\right]_{(x_1,y_1)=(X_1,Y_1)}\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Denote $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^M\left[\varphi(X,\eta)\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{M}},\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{Y_i}{M}\right)\right]$ as the approximation of $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X,\eta)]$. By choosing appropriate random variables $\{(X_i,Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{M}$, the numerical approximation of $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X,\eta)]$ can be obtained, we call it the CLT method for G-distributed. The following error estimate is straightforward

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi\left(X,\eta\right)]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}^M[\varphi\left(X,\eta\right)]+Err_{\hat{\mathbb{E}},M},\tag{6.4}$$

with $Err_{\hat{\mathbb{E}},M}$ converge to 0 with the convergence rate $M^{-\frac{1}{6}}$, as $M\to\infty$. So we can select a proper M in the CLT method to approximate the G-expectation with the required accuracy.

6.2 The fully-discrete scheme

To propose a fully-discrete scheme, we introduce the following space partition D_h:

$$D_h = \{x_i |\ x_i \in \mathbb{R},\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\, x_i < x_{i+1}\}, \tag{6.5}$$

with the space step $\Delta x_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$ and the maximum space step $\Delta x = \max_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \Delta x_i$.

Now by (3.13), we solve Y_t at the time-space point (t_n, x_i) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{t_n}^{x_i} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M} \Big[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}} + \Big(\theta_1 f(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_1)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta t_n \\ + \Big(\theta_2 g(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_2)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\Big] + R_x^n + R_y^n + R_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where R_x^n and R_y^n are truncation errors defined in (3.10)-(3.11) and (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}^n = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i} \Big[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} Y_{t_{n+1}} + \Big(\theta_1 f(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_1)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}, Y_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta t_n \\ + \Big(\theta_2 g(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_2)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g(t_{n+1}, Y_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\Big] \\ - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M} \Big[\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}} + \Big(\theta_1 f(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_1)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} f(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta t_n \\ + \Big(\theta_2 g(t_n, Y_{t_n}^{x_i}) + (1-\theta_2)\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}}^{t_n} g(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}})\Big)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{Y}_{t_{n+1}}$ is the interpolation value at the point $x_i + \Delta B_{n,t_{n+1}}$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M}[\cdot]$ is an approximation of $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i}[\cdot]$ by using the CLT method, and corresponding approximation error $R_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}^n$ converge to 0 as $M \to \infty$.

Based on (6.6), by omitting numerical errors R_x^n, R_y^n and $R_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}^n$, we propose the following fully-discrete θ-scheme for solving Y_t of the G-BSDE (3.1):

Scheme 2 *Denote Y_i^n $(n = N, N-1, \ldots, 0,\ i \in \mathbb{Z})$ as a numerical solution to the analytic solution Y_t of the G-BSDE* (3.1) *at the time-space point (t_n, x_i). Given the random variable Y_i^N, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, solve Y_i^n by*

$$\begin{cases} Y_i^n = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M} \Big[X_i^{n+1}\hat{Y}^{n+1} + \Big(\theta_1 f(t_n, Y_i^n) + (1-\theta_1)X_i^{n+1} f(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}^{n+1})\Big)\Delta t_n \\ \qquad + \Big(\theta_2 g(t_n, Y_i^n) + (1-\theta_2)X_i^{n+1} g(t_{n+1}, \hat{Y}^{n+1})\Big)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\Big] \\ X_i^{n+1} = \exp\left(-a_i^n b_i^n \Delta t_n\right)\exp\left(b_i^n \Delta B_{n,t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}(b_i^n)^2 \Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\right) \\ \qquad \exp(a_i^n \Delta\tilde{B}_{n,t_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}(a_i^n)^2 \Delta\langle\tilde{B}\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}). \end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

with the deterministic parameters $\theta_i \in [0,1]$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Here $\hat{Y}^{n+1}$ is the interpolation value of Y^{n+1} at the point $x_i + \Delta B_{t_{n+1}}$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$. X_i^{n+1} is grid discrete approximation of the X^{n+1} with $a_i^n = a(t_n, x_i)$ and $b_i^n = b(t_n, x_i)$. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M}[\cdot]$ is the approximation of the conditional G-expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i}[\cdot]$ by using the CLT method. More precisely, we take the special case as an example:

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_n}^{x_i,M}\left[\hat{Y}^{n+1}\left(B_{t_{n+1}}\right)\Delta\langle B\rangle_{n,t_{n+1}}\right] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\hat{Y}^{n+1}\left(x_i + \sqrt{\Delta t_n}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{M}}\right)\Delta t_n \sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{Y_i}{M}\right],$$

where $\hat{Y}^{n+1}\left(x_i + \sqrt{\Delta t_n}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{M}}\right)$ is the interpolation approximation of Y^{n+1} at spacial non-grid point $x_i + \sqrt{\Delta t_n}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{M}}$, M is a positive integer. In our numerical experiments, we choose the sequence of

discrete random variables

$$(X_i, Y_i) = \begin{cases} (1,\ 1) & q/2 \\ (0,\ 0) & 1-q \\ (-1, 1) & q/2 \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \ldots, M, \tag{6.8}$$

with uncertainty $q \in \{\sigma^2, 1\}$, $\sigma^2 \in (0, 1]$, and $G(p, a) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\frac{1}{2}aX_1^2 + pY_1] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\frac{1}{2}aB_1^2 + p\langle B\rangle_1]$, for all $(p, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. In the same way, the conditional G-expectation in Scheme 2 can be defined.

Remark 6.3 *Notice that the spacial mesh D_h is essentially unbounded. However, in real computations, we are interested in acquiring certain values of Y_t at (t_n, x) with x belonging to a bounded domain. In fact, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\varphi(B_{t_{n+1}})] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}^x_{t_n}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{\Delta t_n}B_1)I_{|B_1|\leq D}] + Err_{\varphi,D}$, where $Err_{\varphi,D}$ is the truncation error. If φ is a bounded function, the truncation error $|Err_{\varphi,D}| \leq C(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[I_{B_1\leq -D}] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[I_{B_1\geq D}])$ is negligible when $D \geq 3$ (see Section 4.2 in [24] for more details).*

7 Numerical experiments

In this section, some numerical calculations have been carried out to illustrate the high accuracy of our numerical schemes. In our numerical experiments, we let $D = 3$ and select a appropriate M in the CLT method. We also apply cubic spline interpolation to compute spatial non-grid points. Set $x_0 = 0$, the initial time $t_0 = 0$ and terminal time $T = 1$. In the following tables, $|Y_0 - Y^0|$ denotes the absolute error between the exact and numerical solution for Y_t at (t_0, x_0). We shall denote by "CR" the convergence rate.

Example 7.1 *Consider the following linear G-BSDE*

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = -Y_t dt + \frac{1}{2}Y_t d\langle B\rangle_t - Z_t dB_t - dK_t,\ 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ Y_T = \exp(T)\sin(B_T). \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

The analytic solution of (7.1) *is*

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \exp(t)\sin(B_t), \\ Z_t = \exp(t)\cos(B_t), \\ K_t = 0. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

The solution Y_t at initial time $t_0 = 0$ is $Y_0 = 0$. Errors and convergence rates for different time partitions and different σ^2 are listed in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the convergence rates of the θ-scheme for time step varying from 2^3 to 2^7 with different parameters $\theta_i (i = 1, 2)$. By contrast, we can clearly see that the convergence rate of the θ-scheme is highly correlated with θ_2. For $\theta_1 \in [0, 1]$, the scheme is half-order convergence with $\theta_2 \neq 0$. When $\theta_2 = 0$, the scheme can achieve first order, which is consistent with our theoretical analysis.

Example 7.2 *Consider the following nonlinear G-BSDE*

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = (f(t, Y_t) - Y_t)\, dt + (g(t, Y_t) + Z_t)\, d\langle B\rangle_t - Z_t dB_t - dK_t,\ 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ Y_T = \frac{\exp(T+B_T)}{1+\exp(T+B_T)}, \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

where $f(t, y) = J_\varepsilon * y^2$, $g(t, y) = J_\varepsilon * (-y^3 + 2.5y^2 - 1.5y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with the mollifier J_ε and the convolution

$$J_\varepsilon * u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_\varepsilon(x - y)u(y)dy.$$

Table 1: Errors and convergence rates for Example 7.1.

$\sigma^2 = 0.25$		$\lvert Y_0 - Y^0 \rvert$					CR
N		8	16	32	64	128	
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0$	8.10E-03	4.00E-03	2.00E-03	1.00E-03	4.84E-04	1.013
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0$	2.10E-03	1.10E-03	5.17E-04	2.90E-04	1.29E-04	0.994
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 0$	3.70E-03	1.90E-03	9.18E-04	4.88E-04	2.28E-04	1.003
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0.5$	1.38E-02	1.01E-02	7.20E-03	5.20E-03	3.60E-03	0.482
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 1$	2.94E-02	2.08E-02	1.47E-02	1.04E-02	7.30E-03	0.501
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 1$	3.18E-02	2.18E-02	1.50E-02	1.05E-02	7.40E-03	0.526
$\sigma^2 = 0.5$		$\lvert Y_0 - Y^0 \rvert$					CR
N		8	16	32	64	128	
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0$	5.30E-03	2.60E-03	1.30E-03	6.58E-04	3.13E-04	1.012
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0$	9.98E-04	5.43E-04	2.48E-04	1.54E-04	6.19E-05	0.984
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 0$	3.10E-03	1.60E-03	7.61E-04	4.11E-04	1.90E-04	0.997
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0.5$	9.90E-03	7.20E-03	5.10E-03	3.70E-03	2.60E-03	0.486
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 1$	2.07E-02	1.47E-02	1.03E-02	7.30E-03	5.20E-03	0.501
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 1$	2.24E-02	1.54E-02	1.06E-02	7.40E-03	5.20E-03	0.526
$\sigma^2 = 0.75$		$\lvert Y_0 - Y^0 \rvert$					CR
N		8	16	32	64	128	
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0$	2.50E-03	1.30E-03	6.12E-04	3.30E-04	1.52E-04	1.008
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0$	2.81E-04	1.78E-04	6.91E-05	6.07E-05	1.73E-05	0.960
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 0$	1.90E-03	9.77E-04	4.68E-04	2.60E-04	1.17E-04	0.989
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0.5$	5.40E-03	3.90E-03	2.80E-03	2.00E-03	1.40E-03	0.489
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 1$	1.11E-02	7.90E-03	5.50E-03	3.90E-03	2.80E-03	0.500
$\theta_1 = 1$	$\theta_2 = 1$	1.19E-02	8.20E-03	5.70E-03	4.00E-03	2.80E-03	0.524




Figure 1: Convergence rates with different parameters $\theta_i (i = 1, 2)$.




Figure 2: Convergence rates with different σ^2.

The analytic solution of (7.3) *is* $(Y_t, Z_t, K_t) = \left(\frac{\exp(t+B_t)}{1+\exp(t+B_t)}, \frac{\exp(t+B_t)}{(1+\exp(t+B_t))^2}, 0\right)$. *Then* Y_t *at initial time* $t_0 = 0$ *is* $Y_0 = 0.5$.

Table 2: Errors and convergence rates for Example 7.2.

$\sigma^2 = 0.25$		$\lvert Y_0 - Y^0\rvert$					CR
N		8	16	32	64	128	
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0$	2.52E-02	1.29E-02	6.50E-03	3.30E-03	1.60E-03	0.988
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0$	1.30E-02	6.60E-03	3.30E-03	1.70E-03	8.42E-04	0.988
$\theta_1 = 0.75$	$\theta_2 = 0$	6.80E-03	3.50E-03	1.80E-03	8.92E-04	4.48E-04	0.983
$\theta_1 = 0.25$	$\theta_2 = 0.75$	5.00E-03	3.30E-03	2.20E-03	1.50E-03	1.10E-03	0.553
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0.5$	2.70E-03	1.90E-03	1.30E-03	9.58E-04	6.84E-04	0.496
$\theta_1 = 0.15$	$\theta_2 = 1$	5.00E-03	3.50E-03	2.50E-03	1.80E-03	1.30E-03	0.481
$\sigma^2 = 1$		$\lvert Y_0 - Y^0\rvert$					CR
N		8	16	32	64	128	
$\theta_1 = 0$	$\theta_2 = 0$	2.52E-02	1.28E-02	6.50E-03	3.30E-03	1.60E-03	0.988
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0$	1.30E-02	6.60E-03	3.30E-03	1.70E-03	8.42E-04	0.988
$\theta_1 = 0.75$	$\theta_2 = 0$	6.80E-03	3.50E-03	1.80E-03	8.79E-04	4.48E-04	0.984
$\theta_1 = 0.25$	$\theta_2 = 0.75$	1.70E-03	8.49E-04	3.97E-04	2.03E-04	9.74E-05	1.038
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$\theta_2 = 0.5$	9.50E-04	4.58E-04	2.03E-04	1.06E-04	4.90E-05	1.066
$\theta_1 = 0.15$	$\theta_2 = 1$	6.40E-03	3.20E-03	1.50E-03	7.77E-04	3.84E-04	1.014

Errors and convergence rates for different time partitions of the θ*-scheme with different parameters* $\theta_i (i = 1, 2)$ *are listed in Table 2. Figure 2 presents convergence rates of the* θ*-scheme under different uncertainty* σ^2. *When* $\sigma^2 = 1$, *G-Brownian motion is reduced to a standard Brownian motion, the* θ*-scheme can reach first order for* $\theta_i \in [0, 1]\,(i = 1, 2)$, *which coincides with Zhao [29].*

8 Conclusions

In this paper, we design a new kind of θ-scheme to approximate the solution Y_t of the G-BSDE (3.1). By choosing different θ_i $(i = 1, 2)$, a class of numerical schemes have been obtained which provide useful tools for dealing with the pricing of contingent claims. We also analyze the stability of our numerical schemes and strictly prove the error estimates. As discussed in section 5, the θ-scheme admits a half order convergence rate in the general, and when $\theta_2 = 0$, the scheme can reach first-order in the deterministic case. In addition, based on Peng's central limit theorem, we employ the CLT method to approximate G-distributed for the first time. Several numerical examples are presented to show the effectiveness of our numerical schemes.

References

[1] V. Bally, Approximation scheme for solutions of BSDE, in Backward Stochastic Dfferential Equations, Pitman Res. Notes Math. 364, Longman, Harlow, UK, 1997, pp. 177-191.

[2] C. Bender and R. Denk, A forward scheme for backward SDEs, Stochastic Process. Appl., 117 (2007), pp. 1793-1812.

[3] D. Chevance, Numerical methods for backward stochastic differential equations, "Numerical Methods in Finance," Publ. Newton Inst., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1997), pp. 232-244.

[4] L. Denis, M. Hu, and S. Peng, Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to GBrownian motion paths, Potential Anal., 34 (2011), pp. 139-161.

[5] W. E, J. Han, and A. Jentzen, Deep Learning-Based Numerical Methods for High-Dimensional Parabolic Partial Differential Equations and Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, Commun. Math. Stat., 5 (2017), no. 4, pp. 349-380.

[6] L. Epstein and S. Ji, Ambiguous volatility and asset pricing in continuous time, Review of Financial Studies, 26 (2013) pp. 1740-86.

[7] L. Epstein and S. Ji, Ambiguous volatility, possibility and utility in continuous time. J. Math. Econom., 50 (2014), pp. 269-282.

[8] X. Fang, S. Peng, Q. Shao, and Y. Song, Limit theorems with rate of convergence under sublinear expectations, Bernoulli, 25 (2019), pp. 2564-2596.

[9] E. Gobet, J.-P. Lemor, and X. Warin, A regression-based Monte Carlo method for backward stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Probab., 15 (2005), pp. 2172-2202.

[10] E. Gobet and P. Turkedjiev, Linear regression MDP scheme for discrete backward stochastic differential equations under general conditions, Math. Comput., 85 (2016), pp. 1359-1391.

[11] M. Hu, Explicit solutions of G-heat equation with a class of initial conditions by G-Brownian motion, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012), pp. 6588-6595.

[12] M. Hu and S. Ji, A note on pricing of contingent claims under G-expectation, 2013. arXiv:1303.4274 [math.PR].

[13] M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, and Y. Song, Backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 124 (2014), pp. 759-784.

[14] M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, and Y. Song, Comparison theorem, Feynman-Kac formula and Girsanov transformation for BSDEs driven by G-Brownian motion, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 124 (2014), pp. 1170-1195.

[15] S. Huang and G. Liang, A monotone scheme for G-equations with application to the convergence rate of robust central limit theorem, 2019. arXiv:1904.07184 [math.PR] [math.NA].

[16] N. V. Krylov, On Shige Peng's central limit theorem, Stochastic Process, Appl., (2019), in press.

[17] J. Ma and J. Zhang, Representations and regularities for solutions to BSDEs with reflections, Stochastic Process. Appl., 115 (2005), pp. 539–569.

[18] G. N. Milstein and M. V. Tretyakov, Numerical algorithms for forward-backward stochastic differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 28 (2006), pp. 561-582.

[19] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, Systems Control Lett., 14 (1990), pp. 55-61.

[20] S. Peng, G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type, in: Stochastic Analysis and Applications, in: Abel Symp., vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 541-567.

[21] S. Peng, Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation, Stochastic Process. Appl., 118 (12) (2008), pp. 2223-2253.

[22] S. Peng, Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty, 2010. arXiv:1002.4546v1 [math.PR].

[23] S. Peng, Law of large numbers and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations, Probab. Uncertain. Quant. Risk., 4 (2019), Paper No. 4, 8

[24] S. Peng, S. Yang, and J. Yao, Improving Value-at-Risk prediction under model uncertainty, 2019. arXiv:1805.03890 [q-fin.RM].

[25] Y. Song, Normal Approximation by Stein's Method under Sublinear Expectations, 2017. arXiv:1711.05384 [math.PR].

[26] Y. Song, Stein's Method for Law of Large Numbers under Sublinear Expectations, 2019. arXiv:1904.04674 [math.PR].

[27] J. Zhang, A numerical scheme for BSDEs, Ann. Appl. Probab., 14 (2004), pp. 459-488.

[28] Y. Zhang and W. Zheng, Discretizing a backward stochastic differential equation, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 32 (2002), pp. 103-116.

[29] W. Zhao, L. Chen, and S. Peng, A new kind of accurate numerical method for backward stochastic differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 28 (2006), pp. 1563-1581.

[30] W. Zhao, Y. Fu, and T. Zhou, New kinds of high-order multistep schemes for coupled forward backward stochastic differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 36 (2014), pp. A1731-A1751.

[31] W. Zhao, J. Wang, and S. Peng, Error Estimates of the θ-Scheme for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, Dis. Cont. Dyn. Sys. B, 12 (2009), pp. 905-924.