

Non-commutative space engine: a boost to thermodynamic processes

Tanmoy Pandit,¹ Pritam Chattopadhyay,² and Goutam Paul^{2,*}

¹*Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel*[†]

²*Cryptology and Security Research Unit, R.C. Bose Center for Cryptology and Security,
Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, India*[‡]

We introduce quantum heat engines that perform quantum Otto cycle and the quantum Stirling cycle by using a coupled harmonic oscillator as its working substance. In the quantum regime, different working medium is considered for the analysis of the engine models to boost the efficiency of the cycles. In this work, we present Otto and Stirling cycle in the quantum realm where the phase space is non-commutative in nature. By using the notion of quantum thermodynamics we develop the thermodynamic variables in non-commutative phase space. We encounter a catalytic effect on the efficiency of the engine in non-commutative space (i.e, we encounter that the Stirling cycle reaches near to the efficiency of the ideal cycle) when compared with the commutative space. Moreover, we obtained a notion that the working medium is much more effective for the analysis of the Stirling cycle than that of the Otto cycle.

I. INTRODUCTION

Non-commutativity is one of the possible theories for the general structure of the spacetime. One can describe the quantization of manifold by utilizing the language of non-commutative (NC) geometry. The seminal work of Gelfand-Naimark [1] has shown a one-to-one relation between commutative algebra and certain space structures. The idea behind the non-commutative geometry is to inculcate non-commutative algebras as non-commutative geometric spaces. To ameliorate the ultraviolet singularity in Quantum Field Theory, Snyder [2] pioneered the idea of a short distance cut-off scale using NC spacetime. This work obtained its popularity when Seiberg and Witten [3] in their work utilized NC spacetime for the analysis of the open strings with endpoints fixed on “ D -branes” at low energy limit. To analyze and predict quantum gravity in low energy phenomena [4–7], further forms of NC spacetime were formulated. The formalism of NC spacetime predicts the existence of the minimum length. It gives rise to a contradictory statement with the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [8]. Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle conveys that the uncertainty in position can be infinitely small. In NC spacetime, Generalized Uncertainty Relation (GUP) [4, 9–13] furnishes the description of the existence of the minimum length. The minimum length is coined as Plank length.

In theoretical physics, thermodynamics has a strong ground of its own. In recent times, exploration of thermodynamics in the quantum regime, namely, quantum thermodynamics [14] have acquired its importance. A great effort has been devoted to the exploration and discussions about the derivation of the second law of thermodynamics in the quantum regime or deriving the whole theory of thermodynamics from the quantum information theory viewpoint [15]. In previous works, the definition of the second law of thermodynamics in the presence

of an ancilla [16, 17], or system which have coherence [18, 19] has been explored in great details. Under appropriate limits, one can produce the classical version of the second law from the quantum version.

In quantum realm, one can take different approaches for the analysis of thermodynamic processes such as information-theoretic viewpoint [20–25] or resource-theoretic approach [26–28]. One of the prime constituent areas of study in this direction is the work extraction from quantum systems [29–31]. An intense focus on quantum thermodynamic machines provides new insight. It was during the 1950’s when the first work [32] in this direction appeared but it regained its interest when Kieu [33, 34] in his work, proposed a quantum heat engine by using a single two-level system as a working medium.

The study of quantum thermodynamic machines assists us to interpret the behavior of the thermodynamic quantities in the quantum realm like work, efficiency, heat due to the non-classical features that occur in the quantum regime such as entanglement, quantum superposition, squeezing [35–37]. This type of thermodynamic machine has practical importance in the field of quantum computation and refrigeration in micro regimes [38]. Coupled quantum systems, as the working medium for heat engines, have been studied widely in previous works [39, 40]. It is shown in the work [39, 40] that with appropriate coupling the efficiency of the system can be increased compared with the uncoupled one.

In this work, we have considered a coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance for the analysis of quantum cycles in commutative space and non-commutative spacetime. We have considered two non-commutative phase space structure for our analysis. Our ultimate goal is to inspect different quantum engine cycles with coupled harmonic oscillators as the working substance for considered non-commutative phase-space structures and also for the commutative space structure. Different heat cycles in the quantum realm have a harmonic oscillator as its working principle. We have considered two reversible cycles i.e, the Stirling cycle and the Otto cycle for analysis. The efficiency of the cycle is evaluated for each engine cycle for commutative and NC phase space structure after the working substance evolves through every stage of their individual cycles. The effects are astonishing when

* goutam.paul@isical.ac.in

† tanmoyPandit163@gmail.com

This work was done when the author was visiting Indian Statistical Institute

‡ pritam.cphys@gmail.com

the cycles are in NC phase space. In the case of the Otto cycle, the coupling strength of the coupled oscillator produce a constant efficiency in commutative phase space but it gets a catalytic effect when the engine is in NC phase space. Similarly, when the Stirling cycle is analyzed with the coupled harmonic oscillator as the working medium the coupling strength results in higher efficiency than the decoupled oscillator. But when in NC phase space the efficiency gets a boost and picks up the efficiency near to the ideal models of the engine cycles. The working medium is much more effective for the Stirling cycle than the Otto cycle in all forms of space structure that is analyzed in this work. Though it seems to be mathematically feasible, one immediate question that comes to one's mind is regarding the physical accessibility of the NC phase space with the so-far existing modern quantum technology. Recent works for the physical accessibility of the NC phase space using quantum optics [41, 42] and Opto-mechanical [43] setup has been developed. So, the possibility of experimental verification and analysis of NC phase space will provide a boost for the exploration of quantum information theory in NC space structure.

II. COUPLED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

We will examine two coupled harmonic oscillator (HO) [45, 46] specified by the coordinates x_1, x_2 and masses m_1, m_2 . One can describe this using the Hamiltonian as the sum of free and interacting parts

$$H_\alpha = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{1}{2} (C_1 x_1^2 + C_2 x_2^2 + C_3 x_1 x_2), \quad (1)$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are constant parameters and p_1, p_2 are the momentum of the two oscillators. Re-scaling the position variables of the oscillators

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha &= \left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_1, \\ x_\beta &= \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} x_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and similarly for the momentum we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha &= \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} P_1, \\ p_\beta &= \left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} P_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

So, the Hamiltonian H_α in Eq. (1) using Eq. (2), (3) takes the form

$$H_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{2m} (p_\alpha^2 + p_\beta^2) + \frac{1}{2} (c_1 x_\alpha^2 + c_2 x_\beta^2 + c_3 x_\alpha x_\beta) \quad (4)$$

where the parameters take the form

$$\begin{aligned} m &= (m_1 m_2)^{1/2}, \quad c_3 = C_3, \\ c_1 &= C_1 \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_1}}, \quad c_2 = C_2 \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian (4) represents the interaction between the two oscillators. The analysis of the system for this Hamiltonian is not so straightforward. To streamline the situation we transform to new phase variables

$$y_i = M_{ij} x_j, \quad q_i = M_{ij} p_j, \quad (5)$$

where M_{ij} takes the form $M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. Here, M_{ij} is a unitary rotation operator with the angle θ . Using this transformation (5) the Hamiltonian (4) takes the form

$$H_{final} = \frac{1}{2m} (q_\alpha^2 + q_\beta^2) + \frac{K}{2} (e^{2\zeta} y_\alpha^2 + e^{-2\zeta} y_\beta^2) \quad (6)$$

where $K = \sqrt{c_1 c_2 - c_3^2/4}$, $e^\zeta = \frac{c_1 + c_2 + \sqrt{(c_1 - c_2)^2 + c_3^2}}{2K}$. Here e^ζ describes the coupling between the two coupled oscillators. The Hamiltonian has to satisfy the conditions $4c_1 c_2 > c_3^2$ and $\alpha = \frac{c_3}{c_2 - c_1}$. Solving the Hamiltonian (6) for the eigenvalues we get

$$E_{n_1, n_2} = \hbar \omega \left(e^\zeta \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + e^{-\zeta} \left(n_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

Eq. (7) represents the energy spectrum of the two coupled harmonic oscillators in commutative space.

A. Coupled HO for non-commutative space

Now, we will analyze two coupled HO in NC spacetime. Based on the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra [44] the NC space structure abides the commutation relation

$$[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad [p_i, p_j] = 0,$$

where $\theta_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}\theta$ is the non-commutative parameter and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta which results to one when $i = j$ and zero otherwise. One can derive this relation by using the star product definition

$$f(x) \star h(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \theta_{ij} \partial_{x^i} \partial_{y^j} \right\} f(x) h(y) \Big|_{x=y}, \quad (8)$$

where f and h are two arbitrary functions of two variables x and y . This defines the generalized quantum mechanics which boils down to the standard one when $\theta = 0$. We can develop the Hamiltonian for this space structure by using the definition (8) in Eq. (4), for NC space structure. The Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha_1}^{NC} &= \frac{1}{2m} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \frac{c_1}{2} \left(x_1 - \frac{\theta}{2\hbar} p_2 \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{c_2}{2} \left(x_2 + \frac{\theta}{2\hbar} p_1 \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{c_3}{2} \left(x_1 - \frac{\theta}{2\hbar} p_2 \right) \left(x_2 + \frac{\theta}{2\hbar} p_1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By transforming the Hamiltonian (9), we can develop a compact form for the Hamiltonian. It takes the form

$$H_1^{NC} = \frac{1}{2M} (\Xi_1^2 + \Xi_2^2) + \frac{K}{2} (\Theta_1^2 + \Theta_2^2) + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar} (\Theta_2\Xi_1 - \Theta_1\Xi_2), \quad (10)$$

where M depicts the effective mass of the system. It is described as $M = \frac{m}{1 + (\frac{m\omega\theta}{2\hbar})^2}$. The effective mass, M , boils down to the defined mass m when $\theta = 0$. To establish the compact form of the Hamiltonian we have rescaled the variables to new co-ordinates Ξ_i and Θ_i . If we compare Eq. (10) with Eq. (6), we will encounter an extra term in the Hamiltonian of NC space which is a function of θ . The new co-ordinates defined in Eq. (10) (Θ, Ξ) which represents the position and the momentum variables respectively are expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators as

$$\Theta_i = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\Omega}{2K}} (b_i + b_i^\dagger), \quad \Xi_i = i\sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\Omega}{2}} (b_i^\dagger - b_i), \quad (11)$$

which satisfy the relation

$$[b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij},$$

where b_i, b_j^\dagger are the annihilation and creation operator respectively. The effective frequency Ω is a function of θ and is described as $\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{K}{M}}$. We can re-define the Hamiltonian in Eq. (10) by transforming it with another set of operators. The new Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_{final}^{NC} = \hbar\Omega_1 B_1^\dagger B_1 + \hbar\Omega_2 B_2^\dagger B_2 + \hbar\Omega, \quad (12)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \Omega + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}$, $\Omega_2 = \Omega - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}$. The new operators are expressed as

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(b_1 + ib_2), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-b_1 + ib_2), \\ B_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(b_1^\dagger - ib_2^\dagger), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-b_1^\dagger - ib_2^\dagger),$$

where b_1, b_2 are the annihilation operator and b_1^\dagger, b_2^\dagger are the creation operator. The most compact form of the Hamiltonian for the considered NC space structure is defined in Eq. (12). Solving this Hamiltonian the energy eigenvalues results as

$$E_{n_1, n_2}^{NC} = \hbar\Omega_1 n_1 + \hbar\Omega_2 n_2 + \hbar\Omega. \quad (13)$$

The energy spectrum for the coupled HO for the considered NC space structure is depicted in the Eq. (13).

B. Coupled HO for generalized NC space

We will consider a generalized NC space for our analysis. The position and the momentum of this space structure satisfies the following commutation relation

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = i\gamma_{ij}, \quad [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\xi_{ij}, \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij},$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}\gamma$, $\xi_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}\xi$ is the non-commutative parameter and δ_{ij} represents the Kronecker delta. We can define the Hamiltonian for this space structure by separating out the Hamiltonian (6) into two parts which are described as

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{GNC} = \left(\frac{e^\zeta \sqrt{K} \sin a}{\sqrt{2}} y_\alpha + \frac{\cos a}{\sqrt{2m}} q_\beta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{e^{-\zeta} \sqrt{K} \sin b}{\sqrt{2}} y_\beta + \frac{\cos b}{\sqrt{2m}} q_\alpha \right)^2, \\ \mathcal{H}_2^{GNC} = \left(\frac{e^\zeta \sqrt{K} \cos a}{\sqrt{2}} y_\alpha - \frac{\sin a}{\sqrt{2m}} q_\beta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{e^{-\zeta} \sqrt{K} \cos b}{\sqrt{2}} y_\beta - \frac{\sin b}{\sqrt{2m}} q_\alpha \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

Here a and b take values such that

$$\sin(a-b) = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{Km}(e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})}{\lambda_1}, \quad \cos(a-b) = \frac{Km\gamma - \xi}{\lambda_1}, \\ \sin(a+b) = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{Km}(e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})}{\lambda_2}, \quad \cos(a+b) = -\frac{Km\gamma + \xi}{\lambda_2},$$

where $\lambda_1 = (e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})\hbar\sqrt{Km}\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1}$, and $\lambda_2 = (e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})\hbar\sqrt{Km}\sqrt{1 + \Delta_2}$. Here Δ_1 and Δ_2 denote the non-commutative effect of the phase space. When $\gamma = \xi = 0$, we have $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$, and it returns to the ordinary commutative phase space. Δ_1, Δ_2 is evaluated as $\Delta_1 = \frac{(Km\gamma - \xi)^2}{(e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})^2 \hbar^2 Km}$, and $\Delta_2 = \frac{(Km\gamma + \xi)^2}{(e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})^2 \hbar^2 Km}$.

By further simplification the compact form for a and b is evaluated as

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\arctan \Lambda + \arctan \varkappa \right), \\ b = \frac{1}{2} \left(\arctan \Lambda - \arctan \varkappa \right),$$

where $\Lambda = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{Km}(e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})}{-(Km\gamma + \xi)}$, and $\varkappa = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{Km}(e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})}{Km\gamma - \xi}$. To obtain the eigenvalues we have to solve the Hamiltonian (14). So, the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian for the considered NC space results to

$$E_{n_1, n_2} = E_{n_1}^{(1)} + E_{n_2}^{(2)} \\ = \frac{1}{2m} \left((n_1 + n_2 + 1)\lambda_1 + (n_1 - n_2)\lambda_2 \right) \\ = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left((n_1 + n_2 + 1)(e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} \right. \\ \left. + (n_1 - n_2)(e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_2} \right) \\ = \hbar \left(n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 + \frac{\omega}{2}(e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} \right), \quad (15)$$

where the frequencies are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ (e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} + (e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} \right\} \\ \omega_2 &= \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ (e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} - (e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta})\sqrt{1 + \Delta_1} \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

So, the energy spectrum of coupled harmonic oscillator for the generalized NC space is depicted in Eq. (15).

III. OTTO CYCLE WITH COUPLED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

We will briefly describe the quantum Otto engine proposed by Kieu [33]. The Otto cycle in the classical realm is composed of two adiabatic processes and two isochoric processes, which are being used in automobile piston engines. In the classical engine model, the adiabatic processes are described by the adiabatic expansion and contraction of the piston. In the quantum regime, the engine cycles are modeled based on different quantum systems as its working medium instead of considering an ideal gas. For our analysis, we have adopted a quantum system, namely, coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance for the engine cycle. The adiabatic increase and decrease in the quantum realm are controlled by the change in the energy levels which occurs due to the change in the frequency of the oscillator. The isochoric process in the quantum version is represented by the thermalization processes, during which it exchanges heat with the bath. Work is done during the adiabatic process of the cycle. The change in the mean energies guides us to calculate the work and heat for the cycle, where mean energy for the system is represented by the state ρ and the Hamiltonian H . It is defined as $Tr[\rho H]$.

The four-staged of a quantum Otto cycle with harmonic oscillator as the working medium is schematically described in Fig. (1). The four stages of the quantum Otto cycle are

(i) The first stage is the isochoric process. During this stage of the cycle, the working medium is coupled with the bath at temperature T_h . In other words, the Hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$ is connected to the bath. The system is represented by the density matrix $\rho_c^{(2)}$. The Hamiltonian remains fixed throughout this process. The system approaches equilibrium with the bath at the end of this process. So, the final state of the system after this stage is given as $\rho_h^{(1)} = \frac{\exp(-\mathcal{B}_h H)}{Tr[\exp(-\mathcal{B}_h H)]}$, where $\mathcal{B}_h = 1/k_B T_h$, with k_B as the Boltzmann constant. The amount of heat absorbed from the bath at temperature T_h is $Q_{hot} = Tr[H(\rho_h^{(1)} - \rho_c^{(2)})]$.

(ii) The second stage of the cycle is the adiabatic process. The working medium in this phase is decoupled from the bath slowly so that the quantum adiabatic theorem is valid throughout the process. The Hamiltonian of the system changes from $H^{(1)}$ to $H^{(2)}$. During this process, we donot encounter any heat exchange between the system and the bath. So, the change in energy is equivalent to the work done. The work done is described as

$$W_1 = Tr[(\rho_h^{(1)} H^{(1)} - \rho_h^{(2)} H^{(2)})],$$




FIG. 1. (Color online) The schematic representation of a quantum Otto cycle is shown. The working substance of the cycle is a coupled harmonic oscillator. The first stage and the third stage of the cycle are the thermalization processes, and the second phase and the fourth phase corresponds to the adiabatic processes.

where $\rho_h^{(2)} = U_1 \rho_h^{(1)} U_1^\dagger$, with U_1 as the unitary operator which is associated with the adiabatic process. It is defined as

$$U_1 = \mathcal{T} e^{[-(\frac{i}{\hbar}) \int_0^T H(t) dt]},$$

where \mathcal{T} represents the total time of evolution for the quasi-static process. Here $H(0) = H^{(1)}$ and $H(\mathcal{T}) = H^{(2)}$.

(iii) The third stage is represented by the isochoric process. At this phase of the cycle the system is coupled with a cold bath at a temperature T_c . Similar to the stage one, the system attains equilibrium with the cold bath at the end of this stage. The state of the system at this phase is described as $\rho_c^{(1)} = \frac{\exp(-\mathcal{B}_c H^{(2)})}{Tr[\exp(-\mathcal{B}_c H^{(2)})]}$. So, heat is rejected to the bath and is evaluated as $Q_{cold} = Tr[H^{(2)}(\rho_c^{(1)} - \rho_h^{(2)})]$.

(iv) The last stage of the cycle is the isothermal process. In this last phase of the cycle, the working substance is decoupled from the cold bath and at the end of this process, the system gets coupled with the hot bath. During this process, the Hamiltonian changes from $H^{(2)}$ to $H^{(1)}$. So, the work done in this process is

$$W_2 = Tr[\rho_c^{(1)} H^{(2)} - \rho_c^{(2)} H^{(1)}],$$

which is equivalent to variation in the mean energy. Here $\rho_c^{(2)}$ is the density state of the system at the end of this process. It is defined as $\rho_c^{(2)} = U_2 \rho_c^{(1)} U_2$, where U_2 is evaluated as

$$U_2 = \mathcal{T} e^{[-(\frac{i}{\hbar}) \int_0^T H(t) dt]}.$$

Here $H(0) = H^{(2)}$ and $H(\mathcal{T}) = H^{(1)}$.

A. In commutative phase space

Here, we will consider two coupled oscillators as our working substance. The Hamiltonian for this coupled system in commutative phase space is described in Eq. (12). Now we will consider the Otto cycle described above with the coupled system as the working medium.

During the first adiabatic process, the Hamiltonian of the working substance of the Otto cycle changes its initial value from $H^{(1)}$ to $H^{(2)}$. The change in the Hamiltonian is due to the change in the eigenfrequency of the oscillators from ω_1 to ω_2 . It reverts to its respective initial values after the execution of the second adiabatic process of the cycle. The total work done by the system is the sum of the contribution of the two oscillators. So, the work done is a function of the frequency of the oscillators and the coupling strength of the two oscillators. The frequency of the two oscillators is considered to be the same for our analysis. During the execution of the adiabatic process, it is assumed that there is no cross over of the energy level of the Hamiltonian of the coupled oscillator. It is also taken care of that the system abides the quantum adiabatic theorem. So, the process occurs slowly enough such that the population of the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian remains constant throughout the process. The total amount of heat absorbed by the working medium from the hot bath is given by

$$Q = \frac{\hbar\omega_1 e^\zeta}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h \hbar\omega_1 e^\zeta}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c \hbar\omega_2 e^\zeta}{2} \right] \right) + \frac{\hbar\omega_1 e^{-\zeta}}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h \hbar\omega_1 e^{-\zeta}}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c \hbar\omega_2 e^{-\zeta}}{2} \right] \right). \quad (17)$$

The total work done of the Otto cycle is define $W = W_1 + W_2$. So, the work done for the Otto cycle with coupled HO as the working medium is expressed as

$$W = \frac{\hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2)e^\zeta}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h \hbar\omega_1 e^\zeta}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c \hbar\omega_2 e^\zeta}{2} \right] \right) + \frac{\hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2)e^{-\zeta}}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h \hbar\omega_1 e^{-\zeta}}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c \hbar\omega_2 e^{-\zeta}}{2} \right] \right). \quad (18)$$

The efficiency of the coupled system which is considered as the working medium is defined as the ratio of total work over the total heat absorbed by this system during the execution of the process. It is given as

$$\eta_{otto_c} = \frac{W}{Q} = f(\zeta).$$

We have considered the hot reservoir temperature $T_h = 2K$ and that of the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$. The frequency for the coupled oscillator is considered as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 3$ for the evaluation of the efficiency of the Otto cycle with respect to the variation of the coupling strength. The efficiency of the Otto cycle with coupled harmonic oscillator




FIG. 2. (Color online) Efficiency of the Otto cycle as a function of the coupling parameter in commutative space with coupled HO as the working substance.

as the working substance remains constant with the change in the coupling parameter as shown in Fig. (2). The nature of the effect is similar to the variation shown in the work [40]. So, we can infer that the coupling strength of the coupled oscillators results in a constant efficiency even for the two different approaches.

B. In non-commutative phase space

In the case of commutative space, the coupled oscillators as the working substances result in a constant efficiency with respect to the coupling strength of the system. Now here, we will analyze how the change in the phase space affects the thermodynamic process. The Hamiltonian of the two harmonic oscillators coupled with each other in the NC phase space is described in Eq. (12). Following the same methodology as used in the case of commutative space we will analyze the quantum Otto cycle in the NC phase space.

Similar to the case in commutative space, the Hamiltonian changes its initial value from $H^{(1)}$ to $H^{(2)}$ during the first adiabatic process. The change in the Hamiltonian is due to the change in the eigenfrequency of the oscillators from ω_1 to ω_2 . It goes back to its respective initial values after the second adiabatic process of the cycle. So, the work done is a function of the frequency of the oscillators, the coupling strength of the two oscillators and the NC parameter of the phase space. For our analysis, the frequency of the two oscillators is considered to be the same throughout. We take care of the fact that there is no cross over of the energy levels of the Hamiltonian which ultimately satisfies the quantum adiabatic theorem in the NC

phase space. The net amount of heat absorbed is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
Q = & \frac{\hbar\left(\omega_1 + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2} \left(\coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\hbar\left(\omega_1 + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right. \\
& - \coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\hbar\left(\omega_2 + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \left. \right) + \frac{\hbar\left(\omega_1 - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2} \\
& \times \left(\coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\hbar\left(\omega_1 - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\hbar\left(\omega_2 - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right). \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

The total work done of the Otto cycle is define as $W = W_1 + W_2$. So, the work done for the Otto cycle with coupled HO as the working medium in NC space is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
W = & \frac{\hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{2} \left(\coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\hbar\left(\omega_1 + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right. \\
& - \coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\hbar\left(\omega_2 + \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \left. \right) + \frac{\hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{2} \\
& \times \left(\coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\hbar\left(\omega_1 - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \coth\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\hbar\left(\omega_2 - \frac{K\theta}{2\hbar}\right)}{2}\right) \right). \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

The efficiency of the coupled system is defined as the ratio of total work over the total heat absorbed by this system during the execution of the process. It is described as

$$\eta_{otto_{NC}} = \frac{W}{Q} = f(\theta).$$




FIG. 3. (Color online) Efficiency of the Otto cycle as a function of the NC parameter in NC phase space with coupled HO as the working substance.

Similar to the case of commutative space, we have considered the hot reservoir temperature $T_h = 2K$ and that of the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$. The frequency for the coupled oscillator is considered as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 3$ for the evaluation of the efficiency of the Otto cycle with respect to the variation of the NC space parameter. For our analysis, we have fixed the coupling strength $\zeta = 2$ and the constant $K = 0.25$. The efficiency of the Otto cycle with coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance in the NC phase space as shown in Fig. (3), is high for the lower values of the NC parameter and decreases gradually with the increase of the NC parameter.

C. In generalized non-commutative phase space

In the case of non-commutative phase space, the coupled oscillators as the working substances result in a catalytic effect to the efficiency with respect to the NC space parameter of the system. The efficiency is high for the lower values of the NC parameter. Now, we will analyze how the generalized NC phase space affects the thermodynamic process. The Hamiltonian of the two coupled harmonic oscillators in the NC phase space is described in Eq. (14). Following the same methodology, as used in the case of non-commutative space we will analyze the quantum Otto cycle in the NC phase space.

In the case of generalized NC phase space, the Hamiltonian is separated into two part as shown in Eq. (14). During the adiabatic process, the individual Hamiltonian changes from the initial values from $H^{(1)}$ to $H^{(2)}$. The total Hamiltonian is the sum of the effect of these two Hamiltonians. The changes in the Hamiltonian is due to the change in the eigenfrequency of the oscillators, where the eigenfrequency for the first oscillator changes from ω_1 to ω_1' and for the second oscillator the frequency changes from ω_2 to ω_2' . After the second adiabatic process, the eigenfrequencies return to the respective initial stage. So, we can consider that the working substance is composed of two independent oscillators. The total work done is a result of the contribution of the two oscillators. Therefore, the work done is a function of the frequency of the system and the NC phase space parameters. Similar to the previous cases, we have to take care of the fact that there is no cross over of the energy levels of the total Hamiltonian during the execution of the adiabatic process. The total amount of heat absorbed by the system is described as

$$\begin{aligned}
Q = & \frac{\omega_1}{2} \left(\coth\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\omega_1}{2}\right] - \coth\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\omega_1'}{2}\right] \right) \\
& + \frac{\omega_2}{2} \left(\coth\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_h\omega_2}{2}\right] - \coth\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_c\omega_2'}{2}\right] \right). \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The total work done by the system is equivalent to the sum of the work done by the individual systems. So, the total work

done for the system can be expressed as

$$W = \frac{(\omega_1 - \omega'_1)}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\beta_h \omega_1}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\beta_c \omega'_1 \right] \right) + \frac{(\omega_2 - \omega'_2)}{2} \left(\coth \left[\frac{\beta_h \omega_2}{2} \right] - \coth \left[\beta_c \omega'_2 \right] \right). \quad (22)$$

where the frequencies of the system is defined equivalent to the Eq. (16).

The efficiency of the coupled harmonic oscillator system for the generalized NC phase space is defined as the ratio of total work over the total heat absorbed by this system. It is described as

$$\eta_{otto_{GNC}} = \frac{W}{Q} = f(\gamma, \xi). \quad (23)$$




FIG. 4. (Color online) Efficiency of the Otto cycle as a function of the NC parameters in generalized NC phase space parameters with coupled HO as the working substance.

Similar to the case of non-commutative space, we have considered the hot reservoir temperature $T_h = 2K$ and that of the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$ for the analysis of the Otto cycle in generalized NC phase space. The frequency for the coupled oscillator is taken as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 3$ for the evaluation of the efficiency of the Otto cycle with respect to the variation of the generalized NC space parameters. The coupling strength is taken as $\zeta = 2$ and the constant $K = 0.25$ throughout the process. The three-dimensional plot (in Fig. (4)) shows the variation of the efficiency of the Otto cycle with coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance in the generalized NC phase space. The variation in the parameter ξ (ϕ in the graph) has a prominent effect on the efficiency of the engine.

IV. STIRLING CYCLE WITH COUPLED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

A Stirling cycle [47–52], which is an example of a discrete engine is a four-stroke engine that comprises of two isothermal processes and two isochoric processes. It is a reversible




FIG. 5. Schematic representation of the Stirling cycle in classical regime, where AB and CD describes the isothermal processes and BC and DA the isochoric process of the cycle. During the DA and AB processes the system remain connected to a hot bath and for the other two processes the system remains coupled with the cold bath.

thermodynamic cycle. The pressure-volume (P-V) diagram of the Stirling cycle in the classical realm is depicted in Fig. (5).

In the quantum realm, the engine is modeled by different working substances like a 1-D potential well, harmonic oscillator, etc. We will analyze the Stirling cycle with coupled HO as the working substance. The isochoric process in the quantum version is represented by the thermalization processes during which it exchanges heat with the bath. The isothermal expansion and compression in the quantum realm are controlled by the change in the energy levels due to the variation in the frequency of the oscillators. The Stirling cycle is a quantum regime with coupled HO as the working substance is depicted in Fig. (6). The four stages of the quantum Stirling cycle are

(i) First stage of the Stirling cycle: the *isothermal process* ($A \rightarrow B$). The working substance in this stage is coupled with the heat bath at temperature T_h . All the way round during the execution of this process, the system remains in thermal equilibrium with the hot reservoir. Due to the quasi-static changes in the Hamiltonian of the working medium, we encounter changes in the energy spectrum and the internal energy of the system. During this process, heat is extracted from the bath isothermally.

(ii) Second phase: the *isochoric process* ($B \rightarrow C$). The system undergoes an isochoric heat exchange while is goes through this phase of the cycle. The system is now decoupled from the hot reservoir and coupled with the cold reservoir at temperature T_c . So, heat gets released during this process of the cycle.

(iii) Third phase: the *isothermal process* ($C \rightarrow D$). The




FIG. 6. Schematic representation of the Stirling cycle in quantum realm with harmonic oscillator as the working substance. ζ represents the coupling strength of the coupled harmonic oscillator.

system remains connected to the cold reservoir at temperature T_c throughout this process. This phase follows the same condition that is being followed during the execution of the first isothermal process. The system remains at thermal equilibrium with the reservoir. So, heat is rejected to the reservoir in this stage of the cycle.

(iv) Fourth stage: the *isochoric process* ($D \rightarrow A$). The system is decoupled from the cold reservoir and reverted to the hot reservoir at temperature T_h . So, heat is extracted from the bath in this process of the cycle.

The efficiency of the Stirling Cycle is defined as the ratio of work output to the heat input. For our analysis, the efficiency with being a function of the coupling strength and the NC parameters in NC phase space.

A. Commutative phase space

Now, for our analysis, we will consider a coupled HO in commutative phase space as the working substance of the Stirling cycle. The Hamiltonian of the system is described in Eq. (6). The energy eigenvalues for this Hamiltonian is evaluated as conveyed in Eq. (7). The partition function for the considered system is described as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_n e^{-B E_n}, \\ &= \frac{e^{-B\omega \cosh(\zeta)}}{B^2 \omega^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the system has to satisfy the condition $Re[e^\zeta B\omega] > 0$.

The heat exchange that takes place during the first stage (i.e,

the isothermal process) of the Stirling cycle is

$$Q_{AB} = U_A - U_B + \frac{1}{B_h} \ln \left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, B_h}}{Z_{\omega_2, B_h}} \right), \quad (25)$$

One can evaluate the partition function Z_A, Z_B of the system using Eq. (24). The internal energy U_A, U_B is evaluated using the definition $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial B_h$, where $i = A, B$. The internal energy is described as

$$U = -\frac{2}{B} - \omega \cosh(\zeta).$$

In the second phase of the cycle heat is released from the system. The heat exchange throughout this process can be expressed as

$$Q_{BC} = U_C - U_B. \quad (26)$$

The third phase is again a isothermal process. Heat gets rejected from the system in this stage. The heat exchange is represented as

$$Q_{DC} = U_D - U_C + \frac{1}{B_c} \ln \left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, B_c}}{Z_{\omega_2, B_c}} \right), \quad (27)$$

where $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial B_h$ with $i = C, D$.

And in the final stage of the cycle the system undergoes an isochoric heat addition process. So, the heat addition to the system can be expressed as

$$Q_{DA} = U_A - U_D. \quad (28)$$

The net work done for the cycle is $W_{totC} = Q_{AB} + Q_{BC} + Q_{CD} + Q_{DA}$. The efficiency of the Stirling heat cycle from Eq. (25), (26), (27) and (28) is defined as

$$\eta_{StirC} = 1 + \frac{Q_{BC} + Q_{CD}}{Q_{DA} + Q_{AB}}.$$




FIG. 7. (Color online) Efficiency of the Stirling cycle with coupled HO as the working substance in commutative phase space.

The hot reservoir temperature is considered as $T_h = 2K$, and that of , the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$. For the analysis of the efficiency of the Stirling cycle with respect to

the variation of the coupling strength, the frequency for the coupled oscillator is considered as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 2$. The efficiency of the Stirling cycle with coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance increases with the increase in the coupling parameter as shown in Fig. (7). The efficiency gets saturated at a certain value of the coupling strength, and thereafter it remains constant with respect to the parameter. We encounter a high efficiency of the Stirling engine when compared with the Otto cycle with the coupled HO as the working medium.

B. Non-commutative phase space

In the case of commutative space, the coupled oscillators as the working substances result in a catalytic effect to the efficiency with respect to the coupling strength of the system. Now, we want to analyze how the change in the phase space affects the thermodynamic process. The Hamiltonian of the two harmonic oscillators coupled with each other in the NC phase space is described in Eq. (12). The energy eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian is expressed in the form shown in Eq. (13). Following the same methodology, as used in the case of commutative space, we will analyze the quantum Stirling cycle in the NC phase space.

The partition function for the system is evaluated and it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_n e^{-\mathcal{B}E_n}, \\ &= \frac{-4}{\mathcal{B}^2\theta^2(K - 4\omega^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

subjected to the condition $Re\left[\frac{K\mathcal{B}\theta}{2} + \mathcal{B}\sqrt{K\theta^2\omega^2}\right] > 0$.

The heat exchange that occurs when the system undergoes the first stage of the Stirling cycle is

$$Q_{AB} = U_A - U_B + \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_h} \ln\left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, \mathcal{B}_h, \theta}}{Z_{\omega_2, \mathcal{B}_h, \theta}}\right), \quad (30)$$

The partition function Z_A, Z_B of the system can be derived using Eq. (24). The internal energy U_A, U_B is evaluated using the definition $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial \mathcal{B}_h$, where $i = A, B$. The internal energy is described as

$$U = -\frac{2}{\mathcal{B}} - \omega \cosh(\zeta).$$

In the second phase of the cycle heat is unleashed from the system. So, the heat exchange throughout this process can be expressed as

$$Q_{BC} = U_C - U_B. \quad (31)$$

The third phase is an isothermal process where heat gets rejected from the system. So, the heat exchange is represented as

$$Q_{DC} = U_D - U_C + \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_c} \ln\left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, \mathcal{B}_c, \theta}}{Z_{\omega_2, \mathcal{B}_c, \theta}}\right), \quad (32)$$

where $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial \mathcal{B}_h$ with $i = C, D$.

And in the last stage of the cycle the system undergoes an isochoric heat addition process. So, the heat addition to the system can be expressed as

$$Q_{DA} = U_A - U_D. \quad (33)$$

The work done for the cycle is described as $W_{tot_{NC}} = Q_{AB} + Q_{BC} + Q_{CD} + Q_{DA}$. The efficiency of the Stirling heat cycle for the coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance in NC phase space can be derived from Eq. (30), (31), (32) and (33). It is expressed as

$$\eta_{Stir_{NC}} = 1 + \frac{Q_{BC} + Q_{CD}}{Q_{DA} + Q_{AB}}.$$




FIG. 8. (Color online) Efficiency of the Stirling cycle with coupled HO as the working substance in non-commutative phase space.

Similar to the commutative space model the hot reservoir temperature is $T_h = 2K$ and that of the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$. We take the frequency for the coupled oscillator as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 2$ for the evaluation of the efficiency of the Stirling cycle with respect to the variation of the NC space parameter. For our analysis we fix the coupling strength to $\zeta = 2$ and the constant at $K = 0.25$. The efficiency of the Stirling cycle (as shown in Fig. (8)) in the NC phase space with coupled HO as the working medium increases with the increase of the NC parameter and attains a steady state after a certain value of the NC parameter. The efficiency of the engine is near to the efficiency of the ideal engine cycle. So, we can infer that the NC phase space provides a catalytic effect on the efficiency of the engine. The comparison of the efficiency of the Otto cycle with the Stirling cycle conveys that the working model provides a more catalytic effect on the efficiency of the Stirling cycle than that of the Otto cycle.

C. Generalized non-commutative phase space

In the case of non-commutative phase space, the coupled oscillators as the working substances give a boost to the efficiency with respect to the NC space parameter of the system.

The efficiency increases with the increase of the NC parameter and shows a steady efficiency for the higher values. Now, we will study how the generalized NC phase space affects the thermodynamic process. The Hamiltonian of the two coupled harmonic oscillators in the NC phase space is described in Eq. (14). The energy eigenvalues are described in Eq. (15). Following the same methodology, as used in the case of non-commutative space we will analyze the quantum Stirling cycle in the NC phase space.

In generalized NC phase space, the Hamiltonian is separated into two part as shown in Eq. (14). During the first stage of the cycle, i.e, the isothermal process the individual Hamiltonian changes from the initial values from $H^{(1)}$ to $H^{(2)}$ to keep the system in thermal equilibrium with the hot reservoir. The total Hamiltonian of the system is the sum of the effect of these two Hamiltonian. The partition function for this system when evaluated results to

$$Z = -\frac{2e^{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}B\omega \cosh(\zeta)[4+2K(-K\gamma+\xi)^2 \operatorname{sech}(\zeta)]^{1/2}}{\omega^2 B^2 [-2e^{\zeta} + 2e^{2\zeta} K^2 \gamma \xi - K(K^2 \gamma^2 + \xi^2)]}, \quad (34)$$

$$\text{subjected to the condition } \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{\zeta} B \omega \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{e^{\zeta} K(-K\gamma+\xi)^2}{1+e^{2\zeta}}} + e^{2\zeta} \sqrt{1 + \frac{e^{\zeta} K(-K\gamma+\xi)^2}{1+e^{2\zeta}}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{e^{\zeta} K(-K\gamma+\xi)^2}{-1+e^{2\zeta}}} + e^{2\zeta} \sqrt{1 + \frac{e^{\zeta} K(-K\gamma+\xi)^2}{-1+e^{2\zeta}}} \right) > 0 \right]$$

The heat exchange that takes place when the system undergoes the first stage of the Stirling cycle is

$$Q_{AB} = U_A - U_B + \frac{1}{B_h} \ln \left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, B_h, \gamma, \xi}}{Z_{\omega_2, B_h, \gamma, \xi}} \right), \quad (35)$$

The partition function Z_A , Z_B of the system can be assessed using Eq. (24). The internal energy U_A , U_B is developed using the definition $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial B_h$, where $i = A, B$.

The Hamiltonian remains at $H^{(2)}$ while the temperature of the system decreases from T_h to T_c during the second phase of the cycle. As a result, heat is removed by the system to the reservoir and it can be mathematically defined as

$$Q_{BC} = U_C - U_B. \quad (36)$$

In the third stage, the system remains coupled to the hot reservoir at temperature T_c and the quasi-static changes in the Hamiltonian is depicted by the change of the Hamiltonian from $H^{(2)}$ to $H^{(1)}$. Heat exchange for this phase of the cycle is given as

$$Q_{DC} = U_D - U_C + \frac{1}{B_c} \ln \left(\frac{Z_{\omega_1, B_c, \gamma, \xi}}{Z_{\omega_2, B_c, \gamma, \xi}} \right), \quad (37)$$

where $U_i = -\partial \ln Z_i / \partial B_h$ with $i = C, D$.

During the fourth stage of the cycle in generalized NC space, the system Hamiltonian stays as it is in $H^{(1)}$ while the temperature changes from T_c to T_h as the system is reverted back to the initial stage of the cycle. The heat exchange throughout this stage of this cycle is described as

$$Q_{DA} = U_A - U_D. \quad (38)$$




FIG. 9. (Color online) Efficiency of the Stirling cycle with coupled HO as the working substance for generalized non-commutative phase space.

The grand total work done for the cycle is $W_{totGNC} = Q_{AB} + Q_{BC} + Q_{CD} + Q_{DA}$. The efficiency of the Stirling heat cycle for the coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance in generalized NC phase space can be derived from Eq. (35), (36), (37) and (38). It is expressed as

$$\eta_{StirGNC} = 1 + \frac{Q_{BC} + Q_{CD}}{Q_{DA} + Q_{AB}}.$$

Following the same values of the parameters as done in the case of non-commutative space we considered the hot reservoir temperature $T_h = 2K$ and that of the cold reservoir temperature $T_c = 1K$ for the analysis of the Stirling cycle in generalized NC phase space. The frequency for the coupled oscillator is taken as $\omega_1 = 4$ and $\omega_2 = 2$ for the evaluation of the efficiency of the Stirling cycle with respect to the variation of the generalized NC space parameters. Throughout the process the coupling strength is $\zeta = 2$ and the constant is $K = 0.25$. The three-dimensional plot (in Fig. (9)) shows the variation of the efficiency of the Stirling cycle with the coupled harmonic oscillator as the working substance in the generalized NC phase space. The variation in the parameter ξ (ϕ in the graph) shows a prominent effect on the efficiency of the engine in this space structure model. If we compare the efficiency of the Stirling cycle with that of the Otto cycle we visualize that the maximum attainable efficiency for both the cycle is near about the same, but a slightly higher for the Stirling cycle.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

To conclude, we analyzed quantum heat engines with coupled harmonic oscillators as the working medium for the commutative and the non-commutative space. The coupled harmonic oscillator in non-commutative phase space outperforms the oscillator in the commutative space in terms of the efficiency of both the quantum cycles that are being analyzed. In the case of the Otto cycle, the efficiency is high for the lower values of the NC parameter. Even if it shows

a monotonous decrease in the efficiency of the Otto cycle, it still remains higher than the expected efficiency in the commutative space. Whereas in the case of the Stirling cycle we encounter a steep boost with the increase of the NC parameter. It tends to reach the efficiency of the ideal cycle. So, we can infer that the working medium considered for our analysis is an effectual working substance for the Stirling cycle than that of the quantum Otto cycle. Even in the case of generalized NC phase space, the efficiency gets a catalytic effect for the NC parameter over the commutative phase space. The non-linearity that we encounter in the Hamiltonian is the consequence of the NC parameter of the non-commutative phase space.

For the analysis and implementation of the quantum Otto cycle, one has to maintain a quasi-static adiabatic process to prevent coherence generation in the Hamiltonian of the considered system. This should be kept in mind while the execution of the adiabatic process so that one can prevent the mean population change. During the thermalization process of the cycle, to achieve the thermal equilibrium state where the system is coupled with the reservoirs, the system must stay coupled with the bath for a longer period of time. It will be interesting to analyze various thermodynamic processes by using the general form of coupling of the harmonic oscillator as the working substance.

The NC phase space can be an effectual resource for different application areas of the quantum theory [54], which needs further exploration. Various other coupled working medium is used for the analysis of quantum cycles [55]. One can make use of the NC phase space structure to analysis these models for the cycles and even can extend it for exploring non-Markovian reservoirs. For our analysis, we have focused on quantum heat cycles. One can study the effect of the non-commutative phase space on the coefficient of performance of the quantum refrigerator cycles for coupled oscillators and even for other working substances. The analysis of the ex-

isting thermodynamic cycles in NC phase space is required to provide the generic statement about the catalytic effect it yields to the efficiency of the cycles. The analysis of the irreversible and continuous cycles [56–59], and quantum phase transition in NC phase space needs exploration to visualize the effect of the NC parameter in different thermodynamic processes. The challenging task in the NC space is to analyze the NC spacetime in the relativistic regime. Recent work [13] have analyzed the different potential problem in the NC spacetime with relativistic correction. This gives us the insight to analyze the thermodynamic process in the relativistic realm of NC phase space which needs exploration.

One can utilize generalized uncertainty principle to instigate a bound not only in the efficiency of the different cycle but even to the various thermodynamic process by getting motivated from previous works [51–53]. The experimental realization of the NC phase space [42, 43] with our existing technology will provide a boost for the analysis of thermodynamic processes and quantum information theory in NC spacetime. Dey and Hussin [60] in their work have shown that non-commutative systems result in more entanglement than the usual quantum systems. The experimental validation of this will provide a boost in the study of entanglement theory and its application to the different area of quantum information theory.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge for the useful discussions and suggestions from Dr. Raam Uzdin, Senior Lecturer (Assist. Prof.) at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Faculty of Science, Fritz Haber Center for Molecular Dynamics Institute of Chemistry.

-
- [1] Gelfand I M, Naimark M A 1943 *Math. Sbornik* 12 2.
 - [2] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* 71 (1947) 38.
 - [3] Seiberg, Nathan, and Edward Witten. “String theory and noncommutative geometry.” *Journal of High Energy Physics* 1999.09 (1999): 032.
 - [4] Das, Saurya, and Elias C. Vagenas. “Universality of quantum gravity corrections.” *Physical review letters* 101.22 (2008): 221301.
 - [5] Ali, Ahmed Farag. “Minimal length in quantum gravity, equivalence principle and holographic entropy bound.” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 28.6 (2011): 065013.
 - [6] Tkachuk, V. M. “Deformed Heisenberg algebra with minimal length and the equivalence principle.” *Physical Review A* 86.6 (2012): 062112.
 - [7] Ghosh, Subir. “Quantum gravity effects in geodesic motion and predictions of equivalence principle violation.” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 31.2 (2013): 025025.
 - [8] Heisenberg W. *Z. Phys.*, 43 (1927), p. 172.
 - [9] Kempf, Achim, Gianpiero Mangano, and Robert B. Mann. “Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation.” *Physical Review D* 52.2 (1995): 1108.
 - [10] Souvik, P., and G. Subir. “GUP-based and Snyder Non-Commutative Algebras, Relativistic Particle models and Deformed Symmetries: A Unified Approach.” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 28 (2013): 1350131.
 - [11] Pramanik, Souvik, Subir Ghosh, and Probir Pal. “Conformal invariance in noncommutative geometry and mutually interacting Snyder particles.” *Physical Review D* 90.10 (2014): 105027.
 - [12] Husain, Viqar, Dawood Kothawala, and Sanjeev S. Seahra. “Generalized uncertainty principles and quantum field theory.” *Physical Review D* 87.2 (2013): 025014.
 - [13] Todorinov, Vasil, Pasquale Bosso, and Saurya Das. “Relativistic generalized uncertainty principle.” *Annals of Physics* 405 (2019): 92-100.
 - [14] Gemmer, Jochen, Mathias Michel, and Gnter Mahler. “Quantum thermodynamics: Emergence of thermodynamic behavior within composite quantum systems.” Vol. 784. Springer, 2009.
 - [15] Goold, John, et al. “The role of quantum information in thermodynamicsa topical review.” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 49.14 (2016): 143001.

- [16] Horodecki, Micha, and Jonathan Oppenheim. "Fundamental limitations for quantum and nanoscale thermodynamics." *Nature communications* 4 (2013): 2059.
- [17] F. Brando, M. Horodecki, N. H. Y. Ng, J. Oppenheim and S. Wehner, "The second laws of quantum thermodynamics," *PNAS* 112, 3275 (2015).
- [18] M. Lostaglio, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, "Description of quantum coherence in thermodynamic processes requires constraints beyond free energy," *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6383 (2015).
- [19] M. Lostaglio, K. Korzekwa, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, "Quantum Coherence, Time-Translation Symmetry, and Thermodynamics," *Phys. Rev. X* 5, 021001 (2015).
- [20] R. Landauer, "Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process," *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 5, 183 (1961).
- [21] C. H. Bennett, "The Thermodynamics of Computation- a Review," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 21, 905 (1982).
- [22] E. Lubkin, "Keeping the entropy of measurement: Szilard revisited," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 26, 523 (1987).
- [23] K. Maruyama, F. Nori, and V. Vedral, "Colloquium: The physics of Maxwells demon and information," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81,1(2009).
- [24] L. d. Rio, J. berg, R. Renner, O. Dahlsten and V. Vedral, "The thermodynamic meaning of negative entropy," *Nature* 474, 6163 (2011)
- [25] G. Thomas and R. S. Johal, "Expected behavior of quantum thermodynamic machines with prior information," *Phys. Rev. E* 85, 041146 (2012).
- [26] F. G. S. L. Brando, M. Horodecki, J. Oppenheim, J. M. Renes, and R. W. Spekkens, "Resource Theory of Quantum States Out of Thermal Equilibrium," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111, 250404 (2013).
- [27] M. Horodecki and J. Oppenheim, "Quantumness in the context of resource theories," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 27, 1345019 (2013).
- [28] G. Gour, M. P. Miller, V. Narasimhachar, R. W. Spekkens, N. Y. Halpern, "The resource theory of informational nonequilibrium in thermodynamics," *Phys. Rep.* 583, 1 (2015).
- [29] J. berg, "Truly work-like work extraction via a single-shot analysis," *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1925 (2013).
- [30] P. Skrzypczyk, A. J. Short and S. Popescu, "Work extraction and thermodynamics for individual quantum systems," *Nat. Comm.* 5, 4185 (2014).
- [31] M. Perarnau-Llobet, K. V. Hovhannisyan, M. Huber, P. Skrzypczyk, N. Brunner, and A. Acn, "Extractable work from correlations," *Phys. Rev. X* 5, 041011 (2015).
- [32] H.E.D. Scovil and E.O. Schulz-DuBois, "Three-level masers as heat engines," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2 (1959) 262.
- [33] T.D. Kieu, "The second law, Maxwell's demons, and work derivable from quantum heat engines," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004) 140403.
- [34] T.D. Kieu, "Quantum heat engines, the second law and Maxwell's daemon," *Eur. Phys. J.D* 39 (2006) 115.
- [35] M.O. Scully, M. Zubairy, Suhail, G.S. Agarwal, and H. Walther, "Extracting Work from a Single Heat Bath via Vanishing Quantum Coherence," *Science*, 299, 862 (2003).
- [36] R. Kosloff, "Quantum Thermodynamics: A Dynamical Viewpoint," *Entropy* 15, 2100 (2013).
- [37] J. Ronagel, O. Abah, F. Schmidt-Kaler, K. Singer, E. Lutz, "Nanoscale Heat Engine Beyond the Carnot Limit," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112, 030602 (2014).
- [38] N. Linden, S. Popescu, and P. Skrzypczyk, "How Small Can Thermal Machines Be? The Smallest Possible Refrigerator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 130401 (2010).
- [39] G. Thomas and R. S. Johal, "Coupled quantum Otto cycle," *Phys. Rev. E* 83, 031135 (2011).
- [40] Thomas, George, Manik Banik, and Sibasish Ghosh. "Implications of coupling in quantum thermodynamic machines." *Entropy* 19.9 (2017): 442.
- [41] I. Pikovski et al., "Probing Planck-scale physics with quantum optics," *Nature Phys.* 8, 393397 (2012).
- [42] S. Dey, A. Bhat, D. Momeni, M. Faizal, A. F. Ali, T.K. Dey and A. Rehman, "Probing noncommutative theories with quantum optical experiments." *Nucl. Phys. B* 924, 578-587 (2017).
- [43] M. Khodadi, K. Nozari, S. Dey, A. Bhat and M. Faizal, "A new bound on polymer quantization via an opto-mechanical setup" *Nature Scientific Reports* 8, 1659 (2018).
- [44] Feinsilver, Philip. "Discrete analogues of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra." *Monatshefte fr Mathematik* 104.2 (1987): 89-108.
- [45] Jellal, Ahmed, Michael Schreiber, and El Hassan El Kinani. "Two coupled harmonic oscillators on noncommutative plane." *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 20.07 (2005): 1515-1529.
- [46] Lin, Bingsheng, Sicong Jing, and Taihua Heng. "Deformation quantization for coupled harmonic oscillators on a general non-commutative space." *Modern Physics Letters A* 23.06 (2008): 445-456.
- [47] Saygin, H., & iman, A. (2001). "Quantum degeneracy effect on the work output from a Stirling cycle. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 90(6), 3086-3089.
- [48] G. S. Agarwal and S. Chaturvedi, "Quantum dynamical framework for Brownian heat engines. *Phys. Rev. E* 88, 012130 (2013).
- [49] X.-L. Huang, X.-Y. Niu, X.-M. Xiu, and X.-X. Yi, "Quantum Stirling heat engine and refrigerator with single and coupled spin systems. *The European Physical Journal D* 68, 32 (2014).
- [50] V. Blickle and C. Bechinger, "Realization of a micrometre-sized stochastic heat engine. *Nat. Phys.* 8, 143 (2011).
- [51] Chattopadhyay, Pritam, Ayan Mitra, and Goutam Paul. "Bound on efficiency of heat engine from uncertainty relation viewpoint. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.06804* (2019).
- [52] Chattopadhyay, P., Paul, G. "Relativistic quantum heat engine from uncertainty relation standpoint." *Sci Rep* 9, 16967 (2019)
- [53] Chattopadhyay, Pritam, Ayan Mitra, and Goutam Paul. "Probing Uncertainty Relations in Non-Commutative Space. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* (2017): 1-13.
- [54] M. Huber, M. Perarnau-Llobet, K. V. Hovhannisyan, P. Skrzypczyk, C. Klckl, N. Brunner, and A. Acn, "Thermodynamic cost of creating correlations, *New J. Phys.* 17, 065008 (2015).
- [55] T. Zhang, W-T. Liu, P-X. Chen, and C-Z. Li, "Four-level entangled quantum heat engines, *Phys. Rev. A* 75, 062102 (2007).
- [56] Liu, Xiaowei, et al. "Fundamental optimal relation of an irreversible quantum Carnot heat pump with spin-1/2 systems." *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 54.1-2 (2011): 190-202.
- [57] Dalkran, Alper, Emin Akkalp, and Necmettin Caner. "Analysis of a quantum irreversible Otto cycle with exergetic sustainable index." *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 453 (2016): 316-326.
- [58] Kosloff, Ronnie, and Amikam Levy. "Quantum heat engines and refrigerators: Continuous devices." *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* 65 (2014): 365-393.
- [59] Klatzow, James, et al. "Experimental demonstration of quantum effects in the operation of microscopic heat engines." *Physical Review Letters* 122.11 (2019): 110601.
- [60] Dey, Sanjib, and Vronique Hussin. "Entangled squeezed states in noncommutative spaces with minimal length uncertainty relations. *Physical Review D* 91.12 (2015): 124017.