

Unique weak solutions of the d -dimensional micropolar equation with fractional dissipation

Oussama Ben Said ^{*1} and Jiahong Wu ^{†2}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

December 2, 2019

Abstract

This article examines the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the d -dimensional micropolar equations ($d = 2$ or $d = 3$) with general fractional dissipation $(-\Delta)^\alpha u$ and $(-\Delta)^\beta w$. The micropolar equations with standard Laplacian dissipation model fluids with microstructure. The generalization to include fractional dissipation allows simultaneous study of a family of equations and is relevant in some physical circumstances. We establish that, when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, any initial data (u_0, w_0) in the critical Besov space $u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ yields a unique weak solution. For $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta = 0$, any initial data $u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ also leads to a unique weak solution as well. The regularity indices in these Besov spaces appear to be optimal and can not be lowered in order to achieve the uniqueness. Especially, the 2D micropolar equations with the standard Laplacian dissipation, namely $\alpha = \beta = 1$ have a unique weak solution for $(u_0, w_0) \in B_{2,1}^0$. The proof involves the construction of successive approximation sequences and extensive *a priori* estimates in Besov space settings.

Key words: Micropolar equations, Littlewood-Paley, Local solution, Uniqueness.

MSC-2010: 35A01, 35A02, 35Q35, 76D03

1 Introduction

The micropolar equations were first proposed in 1965 by C.A Eringen to modal micropolar fluids which are fluids with microstructure (see [6, 10–12]). These equations can model large

*obensai@okstate.edu

†jiahong.wu@okstate.edu

number of complex fluids such as animal blood, suspensions, and liquid crystals. In this paper, we focus on the following d -dimensional ($d = 2$ or $d = 3$) incompressible micropolar equations with fractional dissipation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (\nu + k)(-\Delta)^\alpha u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \Pi - 2k \nabla \times w = 0, \\ \partial_t w + 4kw + 2k \nabla \times u + u \cdot \nabla w + \gamma(-\Delta)^\beta w = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad w(x, 0) = w_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the fluid velocity, $w = w(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the field of microrotation representing the angular velocity of the rotation of the fluid particles, $\Pi = \Pi(x, t)$ the scalar pressure, and the parameter ν denotes the Newtonian kinematic viscosity, k the microrotation viscosity and γ the angular viscosity. Here the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ (which is also referred as the Riesz potential operator) is defined via the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^\alpha f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\alpha} \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

Besides their many physical applications, the micropolar equations are also of great interest in mathematics. Fundamental issues such as the well-posedness problem on (1.1) have recently attracted considerable interest and an array of important results have been established (see, e.g., [2, 4, 5, 13, 17–19, 21, 22, 24]). More recent focuses have been on the micropolar equations with partial or fractional dissipation (see, e.g., [7–9, 14, 16, 23]). Investigations on nonlocal diffusion have now become a trend [3]. The study of fractionally dissipated micropolar equations allow us to simultaneously treat a family of equations including those with the standard Laplacian dissipation. The investigations on the micropolar equations with fractional dissipation help reveal how the global well-posedness problem is related to the fractional regularization.

The main goal of this study is to obtain the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1) in a weakest possible functional setting for the largest possible ranges of α and β . Our main results can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider (1.1) with $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Assume the initial data u_0 and w_0 satisfy*

$$\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0, \quad u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1-2\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then there exist $T > 0$ and a unique weak solution (u, w) of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (1.2)$$

$$w \in L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (1.3)$$

Here $B_{p,q}^r$ denotes the inhomogeneous Besov space. A review of the Besov spaces and related facts is provided in the following section. As a special consequence of Theorem 1.1, the two-dimensional (2D) micropolar equations with $\alpha = \beta = 1$, namely the standard Laplacian dissipation always possess a unique local solution (u, w) in the critical Besov space $L^\infty(0, T; B_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2))$. For the 3D micropolar equations with the standard Laplacian dissipation, the uniqueness is also attained in the critical Besov space $L^\infty(0, T; B_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Here the critical Besov spaces are the Besov space settings for which the solution of the differential equations and its scaling invariant counterparts share the same norm. In the general fractional dissipation cases, the regularity indices $1 + \frac{d}{2} - 2\alpha$ and $1 + \frac{d}{2} - 2\beta$ in the Besov spaces appear to be optimal and one may not be able to achieve the uniqueness when they are lowered.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider (1.1) with $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Assume the initial data (u_0, w_0) satisfies*

$$\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0, \quad u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then there exist $T > 0$ and a unique weak solution (u, w) of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (1.4)$$

$$w \in L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.2 deals with the case when the equation of w involves no diffusion. The Besov space for u remains the same, but the setting for w needs to be in a more regular Besov space due to the lack of diffusion in the equation of w . For an inviscid equation, the regularity index $\frac{d}{2}$ in the Besov space $B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can not be lowered in order to obtain the uniqueness of solutions.

The proof for each of the theorems is naturally split into two parts: the existence and uniqueness parts. The existence part starts with the construction a successive approximation sequence which iteratively solves systems close to (1.1). This successive approximation sequence is then shown to be uniformly bounded in suitable Besov spaces via the method of mathematical induction. These bounds allow us to extract a subsequence, which converges weakly to a limit. Using the Aubin-Lions Lemma, the weak limit is then shown to be the weak solution of (1.1). The main efforts are devoted to proving the uniform boundedness. This process involves various analysis tools and techniques. The uniqueness is established by analyzing the differences in the L^2 space.

The rest of this paper is divided into three sections. The second section serves as a preparation. It reviews the Besov space and related tools to be used in the subsequent sections. The third section proves Theorem 1.1. It is further divided into two subsections with one devoted to the existence and the other to the uniqueness. The last section provides the proof of Theorem 1.2. It is again split into two subsections, one for the proof of existence and one for the uniqueness.

2 Preparations: Besov spaces

This section serves as a preparation. Materials presented here will be used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The definition of the Besov space and related simple facts can be found in [1]. Lemma 2.6 is taken from [15, Lemma A.5]. In what follows, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Schwartz class and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the tempered distribution.

Definition 2.1 (Inhomogenous Besov space $B_{p,q}^s$). $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to $B_{p,q}^s$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ if

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \equiv \|2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\|_{l^q} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} (2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p})^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \geq -1} 2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p} & \text{if } q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite.

Lemma 2.2. Let $B(0, r)$ and $C(0, r_1, r_2)$ denote the standard ball and the annulus, respectively,

$$B(0, r) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\xi| \leq r\}, \quad C(0, r_1, r_2) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, r_1 \leq |\xi| \leq r_2\}.$$

There are two compactly supported smooth radial functions ϕ and ψ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \phi &\subset B(0, \frac{4}{3}), \quad \text{supp } \psi \subset C(0, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{3}), \\ \phi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi(2^{-j}\xi) &= 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 can be found in [1, p.59].

Notations 2.2.1. We use \tilde{h} and h to denote the inverse Fourier transforms of ϕ and ψ respectively

$$\tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi, \quad h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi.$$

We write $\psi_j(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi)$. By a simple property of the Fourier transform,

$$h_j(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi_j(x) = 2^{dj}h(2^jx).$$

Definition 2.3. The inhomogeneous dyadic block operator Δ_j are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j f &= 0 && \text{for } j \leq -2, \\ \Delta_{-1} f &= \tilde{h} * f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)\tilde{h}(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_j f = h_j * f = 2^{dj} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)h(2^j y) dy \quad \text{for } j \geq 0 .$$

The corresponding inhomogeneous low frequency cut-off operator S_j is defined by

$$S_j f = \sum_{k \leq j-1} \Delta_k f .$$

Remarks 2.3.1. For any function f in the usual Schwarz class \mathcal{S} , (2.1) implies

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \phi(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi) ,$$

or in terms of the inhomogeneous dyadic block operators

$$f = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j f \quad \text{or} \quad Id = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j ,$$

where Id denotes the identity operator. For generality, for any F in the space of tempered distributions \mathcal{S}' ,

$$F = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j F \quad \text{or} \quad Id = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}' . \quad (2.2)$$

(2.2) is referred to as the Littlewood-Paley decomposition for tempered distributions.

Definition 2.4. In terms of inhomogeneous dyadic block operators, we can write the standard product in terms of the paraproducts, namely

$$FG = \sum_{|j-k| < 2} S_{k-1} F \Delta_k G + \sum_{|j-k| < 2} \Delta_k F S_{k-1} G + \sum_{k \geq j-1} \Delta_k F \widetilde{\Delta}_k G ,$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_k = \Delta_{k-1} + \Delta_k + \Delta_{k+1}$. This is the so-called Bony decomposition.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

(1) If f satisfies

$$\text{supp } \widetilde{f} \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad |\xi| \leq K2^j \} ,$$

for some integer j and a constant $K > 0$ then

$$\|(-\Delta)^\alpha f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_1 2^{2\alpha j + jd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} .$$

(2) If f satisfies

$$\text{supp } \widetilde{f} \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad K_1 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq K_2 2^j \} ,$$

for some integer j and constants $0 < K_1 \leq K_2$ then

$$c_1 2^{2\alpha j} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|(-\Delta)^\alpha f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_2 2^{2\alpha j + jd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} ,$$

where c_1, c_2 are constants depending only on α, p, q .

Below we state bounds for the triple products involving Fourier localized functions. These bounds will be used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We refer the reader to Lemma A.5 in [15] for a detailed proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer. Let Δ_j be the inhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley-localization operator. For any vectors field F, G, H with $\nabla \cdot F = 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j(F \cdot \nabla G) \cdot \Delta_j H \, dx \right| &\leq c \|\Delta_j H\|_{L^2} \left(2^j \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}m} \|\Delta_m F\|_{L^2} \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k G\|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k F\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m G\|_{L^2} + \sum_{k \leq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k F\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k G\|_{L^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j(F \cdot \nabla G) \cdot \Delta_j G \, dx \right| &\leq c \|\Delta_j G\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m F\|_{L^2} \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k G\|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{|j-k| \leq 2} \|\Delta_k F\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m G\|_{L^2} + \sum_{k \leq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k F\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k G\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Existence of a weak solution

This subsection proves the existence part of Theorem 1.1. The approach is to construct a successive approximation sequence and show that the limit of a subsequence actually solves (1.1) in the weak sense.

Proof for the existence part of Theorem 1.1. We consider a successive approximation $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u^{(1)} = S_2 u_0, & w^{(1)} = S_2 w_0, \\ \partial_t u^{(n+1)} + (\nu + k)(-\Delta)^\alpha u^{(n+1)} = \mathbb{P}(-u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla u^{(n+1)}) + 2k \nabla \times w^{(n)}, \\ \partial_t w^{(n+1)} + \gamma(-\Delta)^\beta w^{(n+1)} = -4k w^{(n+1)} - 2k \nabla \times u^{(n)} - u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}, \\ u^{(n+1)}(x, 0) = S_{n+1} u_0, & w^{(n+1)}(x, 0) = S_{n+1} w_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathbb{P} = I - \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}$ is the standard Leray Projection. For

$$M = 2(\|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + \|w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}}),$$

$T > 0$ sufficiently small and $0 < \delta < 1$ (to be specified later), we set

$$Y \equiv \left\{ (u, w) \mid \begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M, \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \leq M, \\ &\|u\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta, \quad \|w\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

We show that $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ has a subsequence that converges to the weak solution of (1.1). This process consists of three main steps. The first step is to show that $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ is uniformly bounded in Y . The second step is to extract a strongly convergent subsequence via the Aubin-Lions Lemma. While the last step is to show that the limit is indeed a weak solution of (1.1).

To show the uniform bound for $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ in Y , we prove by induction. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} &= \|S_2 u_0\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M, \\ \|w^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} &= \|S_2 w_0\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

If $T > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq T \|S_2 u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \leq T c \|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \leq \delta, \\ \|w^{(1)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq T \|S_2 w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \leq T c \|w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})$ obeys the bounds defined in Y , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} &\leq M, \quad \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \leq M, \\ \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \delta, \quad \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta, \end{aligned}$$

we prove that $\{(u^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)})\}$ obeys the same bound for suitably selected $T > 0$, $M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. For the sake of clarity, the proof of the four bounds is achieved in the following four steps.

3.1.1 The estimate of $u^{(n+1)}$ in $B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer. Applying Δ_j to the second equation in (3.1) and then dotting with $\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\nu + k) \|\Lambda^\alpha \Delta u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 = A_1 + A_2, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2k \Delta_j (\nabla \times w^{(n)}) \cdot \Delta_j u^{(n+1)} dx , \\ A_2 &= - \int \Delta_j (u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla u^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j u^{(n+1)} dx . \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the projection operator \mathbb{P} has been eliminated due to the divergence-free condition $\nabla \cdot u^{(n+1)} = 0$. The dissipative part admits a lower bound

$$(\nu + k) \|\Lambda^\alpha \Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 \geq c_0 2^{2\alpha j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 ,$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is a constant. By Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2k \Delta_j (\nabla \times w^{(n)}) \cdot \Delta_j u^{(n+1)} dx \right| \\ &\leq 2k \|\Delta_j (\nabla \times w^{(n)})\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} . \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2| &= \left| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j (u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla u^{(n+1)}) \cdot \Delta_j u^{(n+1)} dx \right| \\ &\leq c \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + c \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + c \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} . \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates above in (3.3) and eliminating $\|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}$ from the both sides , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + c_0 2^{2\alpha j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 , \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} , \\ J_2 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} , \\ J_3 &= c 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} , \\ J_4 &= c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.4) in time yields

$$\|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} (J_1 + \dots + J_4) d\tau. \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying (3.5) by $2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j}$ and summing over j , we obtain

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} (J_1 + \dots + J_4) d\tau. \quad (3.6)$$

The terms on the right hand side of (3.6) can be estimated as follows using the simple bound

$$e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} \leq 1.$$

Recalling the definition of J_1 above and using the inductive assumption on $u^{(n)}$, we have for any $t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} J_1 d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_0^t \|u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \|u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} d\tau \\ & \leq c \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,t,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,t,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\ & \leq c \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

The term involving J_2 admits the same bound. In fact, by Young's inequality for series convolution,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} J_2 d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{2\alpha(m-j)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_0^t \|u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} d\tau \\ & \leq c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for the term with J_3 is also similar,

$$\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (t-\tau)} J_3 d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \sum_{k \geq j-1} c 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)(j-k)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \|u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to bound the term with J_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha} j(t-\tau)} J_4 d\tau \\
&= \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha} j(t-\tau)} c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau = c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau = c \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,t,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq c \delta .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the bounds above and inserting them in (3.6), we find for any $t \leq T$

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + c \delta .$$

Therefore

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + c \delta .$$

Choosing δ such that $c \delta \leq \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{M}{4})$ we get

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq \frac{M}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + \frac{M}{4} ,$$

which implies

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M .$$

3.1.2 The estimate of $\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}$. We multiply (3.5) by $2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j}$, sum over j and integrate in time to obtain

$$\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha} j t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_0^s \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} (J_1 + \dots + J_4) d\tau ds. \quad (3.7)$$

We estimate the terms on the right hand side of (3.7) and start with the first term.

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt = c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} (1 - e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}T}) \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)}$, then by Dominated convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} (1 - e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}T}) \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, we can choose T sufficiently small such that

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \leq \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Applying Young's inequality for the time convolution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_1 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(m)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(m)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}s} ds \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that there exists $c_2 > 0$ satisfying for $j \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}s} ds \leq c 2^{-2\alpha j} (1 - e^{-c_2 T}), \quad (3.8)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_1 d\tau ds \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_j 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(m)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c \delta (1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}.$$

The terms with J_2 and J_3 can be similarly estimated and obey the same bound.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_2 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (3.8) and the above inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_2 d\tau ds \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_3 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, due to (3.8),

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_3 d\tau ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for the term with J_4 we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_4 d\tau ds &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} s} ds \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\stackrel{\text{since } \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}}{\leq} c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \underbrace{\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{=\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta} \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates above leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \frac{\delta}{4} + c\delta(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} + c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{4} + c\delta(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) M + c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta .
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing T sufficiently small such that $c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \leq \min(\frac{1}{4M}, \frac{1}{2})$ we get

$$\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta .$$

3.1.3 The estimate of $w^{(n+1)}$ in $L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We apply Δ_j to the third equation in (3.1) and then dotting with $\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 + (c_1 2^{2\beta j} + 4k) \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq -2k \int \Delta_j(\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx \\
&\quad - \int \Delta_j(u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx \\
&= B_1 + B_2 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= -2k \int \Delta_j(\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx , \\
B_2 &= - \int \Delta_j(u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx .
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1| &= | -2k \int \Delta_j(\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx | \\
&\leq 2k \|\Delta_j(\nabla \times u^{(n)})\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq c 2^j \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2| &= | - \int \Delta_j(u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx | \\
&\leq c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates above in (3.9) and eliminating $\|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}$ from both sides of the inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + (c_1 2^{2\beta j} + 4k) \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 , \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= c 2^j \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} , \\
K_2 &= c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} , \\
K_3 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$K_4 = c \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} .$$

Integrating (3.10) in time yields, for any $t \leq T$,

$$\|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-(c_1 2^{2\beta j})t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-(c_1 2^{2\beta j})(t-\tau)} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau . \quad (3.11)$$

Multiplying (3.11) by $2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j}$ and summing over j , we have

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} \leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} + \sum_{j \geq -1} \int_0^t e^{-(c_1 2^{2\beta j})(t-\tau)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau . \quad (3.12)$$

The terms containing K_1 through K_4 on the right hand side of (3.12) can be bounded suitably as follows. We start with the term with K_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq -1} \int_0^t 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} K_1 d\tau &= \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} c 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq \underbrace{c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta} \leq c \delta . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the term with K_2 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \int_0^t K_2 d\tau &= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\ &\leq c \delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} . \end{aligned}$$

The terms related to K_3 and K_4 obey also the same bound,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \int_0^t K_3 d\tau &= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\ &\leq c \delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} . \end{aligned}$$

For the term with K_4 we write

$$\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \int_0^t K_4 d\tau = c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c \delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates and inserting them in (3.12), we obtain for any $t \leq T$

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} \leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} + c \delta + c \delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})}.$$

Choosing $c \delta \leq \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{M}{4})$, we get

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \leq \frac{M}{2} + \frac{M}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})},$$

which implies

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \leq M.$$

3.1.4 The estimate of $\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}$. We recall (3.11)

$$\|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-(c_1 2^{2\beta j})t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(t-\tau)} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau.$$

We multiply by $2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j}$, sum over j and integrate in time to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau ds. \quad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt = c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} (1 - e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}T}) \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}$, we have by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} (1 - e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}T}) \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, we can choose T sufficiently small

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Applying Young's inequality for the time convolution, the term with K_1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j (s-\tau)} K_1 d\tau ds &\leq c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j (s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \cdot \int_0^T e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that there exists $c_3 > 0$ satisfying for all $j \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^T e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j s} ds \leq c 2^{-2\beta j} (1 - e^{-c_3 T}), \quad (3.14)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j (s-\tau)} K_1 d\tau ds &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \underbrace{\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{=\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta} \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by applying Young's inequality for the time convolution, the term with K_2 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j (s-\tau)} K_2 d\tau ds &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j (s-\tau)} c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \left(\int_0^T e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta} j s} ds \right) \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \underbrace{\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})}}_{\leq M} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T})\delta M .$$

The terms involving K_3 and K_4 obey also the same bound,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} K_3 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \right) d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \left(\int_0^T e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j} s} ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, owing to (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} K_3 d\tau ds \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &= c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \underbrace{\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})}}_{\leq M} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \\ &\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T})\delta M . \end{aligned}$$

The term containing K_4 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} K_4 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \left(\int_0^T e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j} s} ds \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, due to (3.14)

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_1 2^{2\beta j}(s-\tau)} K_4 d\tau ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)j} 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)(j-k)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta)k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \int_0^T \|u^{(n)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \underbrace{\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})}}_{\leq M} \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \delta M .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates above and inserting them in (3.13), we obtain

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + c(1 - e^{-c_3 T})\delta + c(1 - e^{-c_3 T})\delta M .$$

Choosing T sufficiently small such that $c(1 - e^{-c_3 T}) \leq \min(\frac{1}{4M}, \frac{1}{4})$, we get

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} = \delta .$$

These uniform bounds allow us to extract a weakly convergent subsequence. That is, there is $(u, w) \in Y$ such that subsequence of (u^n, w^n) (still denoted by (u^n, w^n)) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
u^n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}) , \\
w^n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} w \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}) .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that (u, w) is a weak solution of (1.1) we need to further extract a subsequence which converges strongly to (u, w) . We use the Aubin-Lions Lemma. We can show by making use of the equation (3.1) that $(\partial_t u_n, \partial_t w_n)$ is uniformly bounded in

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u^n &\in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1-3\alpha}) , \\
\partial_t w^n &\in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}) \cap L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1-3\beta}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Since we are in this case in the whole space \mathbb{R}^d , we need to combine Cantor's diagonal process with the Aubin-Lions Lemma to show that a subsequence of a weakly convergent subsequence, still denoted by (u_n, w_n) , has the following strongly convergent property

$$(u_n, w_n) \longrightarrow (u, w) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-\gamma}(Q)) ,$$

where $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq 3\alpha$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact subset. This strong convergence property would allow us to show that (u, w) is indeed a weak solution of (1.1). This completes the proof for the existence part of Theorem 1.1. \square

3.2 Uniqueness of weak solutions

Proof. Assume that $(u^{(1)}, w^{(1)})$ and $(u^{(2)}, w^{(2)})$ are two solutions of (1.1) in the regularity class in (1.4) and (1.5). Their difference (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) with

$$\tilde{u} = u^{(2)} - u^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w} = w^{(2)} - w^{(1)}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} + (\nu + k)(-\Delta)^\alpha \tilde{u} = -\mathbb{P}(u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)}) + 2k \nabla \times \tilde{w}, \\ \partial_t \tilde{w} + \gamma(-\Delta)^\beta \tilde{w} = -4k \tilde{w} - 2k \nabla \times \tilde{u} - u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)}, \\ \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0, \\ \tilde{u}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \tilde{w}(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

We estimate the difference (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Dotting (3.15) by (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) and applying the divergence-free condition, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + (\nu + k) \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma \|\Lambda^\beta \tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 + 4k \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx \\ & \quad - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx \\ &= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx, \\ L_2 &= - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx, \\ L_3 &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx, \\ L_4 &= - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\nabla \cdot u^{(2)} = 0$, we find $L_1 = L_3 = 0$ after integration by parts. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx \\ &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\tilde{u}|^2 \right) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int \nabla \cdot (u^{(2)} \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{u}|^2) dx \\
&= 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_2| &= | - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} dx | \\
&\leq \| \nabla u^{(1)} \|_{L^\infty} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} \| \Delta_j \nabla u^{(1)} \|_{L^\infty} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq c \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{dj(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\infty})} 2^j \| \Delta_j u^{(1)} \|_{L^2}}_{= \| u^{(1)} \|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}}} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \leq c \| u^{(1)} \|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

To bound L_4 , we set

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{d}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta}{d} \quad (\text{or } \frac{d}{q} = \beta)$$

By Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_4| &= | - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} dx | \\
&\leq \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla w^{(1)} \|_{L^q} \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^p} \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} \| \Delta_j \nabla w^{(1)} \|_{L^q} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2} \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^p} \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^j 2^{dj(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})} \| \Delta_j w^{(1)} \|_{L^2} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2} \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^p} \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{j + \frac{dj}{2} - 2\beta j} \| \Delta_j w^{(1)} \|_{L^2} \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^2} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^p} \\
&\leq c \| w^{(1)} \|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-\beta}} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2} \| \Lambda^\beta \tilde{w} \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \| \Lambda^\beta \tilde{w} \|_{L^2}^2 + c \| w^{(1)} \|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-\beta}}^2 \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have made use of

$$\| \tilde{u} \|_{L^p} \leq c \| \Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u} \|_{L^2} .$$

Combining the estimates leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\| \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^2}^2 \right) + 2(\nu + k) \| \Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma \| \Lambda^\beta \tilde{w} \|_{L^2}^2 + 8k \| \tilde{w} \|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\leq \left(c \|u^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} + c \|w^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-\beta}}^2 \right) \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Since $u^{(1)} \in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})$ and $w^{(1)} \in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}) \cap L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|u^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} dt &< \infty, \\ \int_0^T \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}}^2 dt &\leq \int_0^T \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta}} dt \\ &\leq \|w^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\beta})} \int_0^T \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.17) yields

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2} = \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

which leads to the desired uniqueness. This completes the proof of the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the one of Theorem 1.1. To avoid repetitions, we will refer next to some inequalities already showed in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In this proof, we consider the system of equations (1.1) with $\beta = 0$, that is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (\nu + k)(-\Delta)^\alpha u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \Pi - 2k \nabla \times w = 0, \\ \partial_t w + (4k + \gamma)w + 2k \nabla \times u + u \cdot \nabla w = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad w(x, 0) = w_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

4.1 Existence of a weak solution

This subsection proves the existence part of Theorem 1.2. The approach is to construct a successive approximation sequence and show that the limit of a subsequence actually solves (4.1) in the weak sense.

Proof for the existence part of Theorem 1.2. We consider a successive approximation $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u^{(1)} = S_2 u_0, & w^{(1)} = S_2 w_0 \\ \partial_t u^{(n+1)} + (\nu + k)(-\Delta^\alpha) u^{(n+1)} = \mathbb{P}(-u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla u^{(n+1)}) + 2k \nabla \times w^{(n)} \\ \partial_t w^{(n+1)} = -(4k + \gamma) w^{(n+1)} - 2k \nabla \times u^{(n)} - u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)} \\ u^{(n+1)}(x, 0) = S_{n+1} u_0, & w^{(n+1)}(x, 0) = S_{n+1} w_0, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathbb{P} = I - \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}$ is the standard Leray Projection. For

$$M = 2(\|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + \|w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}),$$

$T > 0$ being sufficiently small and $0 < \delta < 1$ (to be specified later), we set

$$Y \equiv \left\{ (u, w) \mid \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M, \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq M, \\ \|u\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta, \quad \|w\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

We show that $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ has a subsequence that converges to the weak solution of (4.1). This process consists of three main steps. The first step is to show that $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ is uniformly bounded in Y . The second step is to extract a strongly convergent subsequence via the Aulin-Lions Lemma. While the last step is to show that the limit is indeed a weak solution of (4.1).

To show the uniform bound for $\{(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})\}$ in Y , we prove by induction. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} &= \|S_2 u_0\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M, \\ \|w^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} &= \|S_2 w_0\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

If $T > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq T \|S_2 u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \leq T c \|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \leq \delta, \\ \|w^{(1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq T \|S_2 w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq T c \|w_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $(u^{(n)}, w^{(n)})$ obeys the bounds defined in Y , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} &\leq M, \quad \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq M, \\ \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \delta, \quad \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

we prove that $\{(u^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)})\}$ obeys the same bound for suitably selected $T > 0$, $M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. For sake of clarity, the proof of the four bounds is achieved in the following four steps.

4.1.1 The estimate of $u^{(n+1)}$ in $L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Following the same method as in the proof of the first step of Theorem 1.1, we write the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} &\leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} (J_1 + \dots + J_4) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2}, \\ J_2 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}, \\ J_3 &= c 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2}, \\ J_4 &= c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The terms on the right hand side can be estimated as follows. Recalling the definition of J_1 above and using the inductive assumption on $u^{(n)}$, we have for any $t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} J_1 d\tau \\ &= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq c \underbrace{\int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{=\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}}} \underbrace{\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{=\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}} \\ &\leq c \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\ &\leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

The terms with J_2 and J_3 can be similarly estimated and obey the same bound. In fact, by Young's inequality for series convolution,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \leq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} J_2 d\tau \\ &\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{2\alpha(m-j)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \int_0^t \|u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \\
&\leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly the term with J_3 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} J_3 d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^j 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)(j-k)} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \|u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \\
&\leq c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now for the term with J_4 we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} J_4 d\tau &= \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \int_0^t e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(t-\tau)} c 2^j \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} \int_0^t c 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \underbrace{c}_{\text{since } \alpha \geq 1} \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c \delta .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the bounds above and inserting them in (4.4), we find for any $t \leq T$

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} \leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + c \delta .$$

Therefore

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq \|u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}} + c \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + c \delta .$$

Choosing δ such that $c \delta \leq \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{M}{4})$ we get

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq \frac{M}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} + \frac{M}{4} ,$$

which implies

$$\|u^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \leq M .$$

4.1.2 The estimate of $\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}$. Following the same method as in the proof of the second step of Theorem 1.1, we write the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_0^s \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (s-\tau)} (J_1 + \dots + J_4) d\tau ds . \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We estimate the terms on the right and start with the first term.

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt = c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} (1 - e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} T}) \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} .$$

Since $u_0 \in B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}$, then by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} (1 - e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} T}) \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} = 0 .$$

Therefore, we can choose T sufficiently small such that

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} t} \|\Delta_j u_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} .$$

Applying Young's inequality for the time convolution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (s-\tau)} J_1 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} (s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} s} ds . \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the fact that there exists $c_2 > 0$ satisfying for $j \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j} s} ds \leq c 2^{-2\alpha j} (1 - e^{-c_2 T}) , \quad (4.6)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_1 d\tau ds \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(m)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \underbrace{\|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})}}_{\leq \delta} \\
& \leq c\delta(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} ,
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with J_2 and J_3 can be similarly estimated and obey the same bound.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_2 d\tau ds \\
& = c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
& \leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}s} ds .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence due to (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_2 d\tau ds \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
& \leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_3 d\tau ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}s} ds .
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.6) and the inequality above,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_3 d\tau ds \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \delta \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})} .
\end{aligned}$$

The term with J_4 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} J_4 d\tau ds \\
&= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \int_0^T e^{-c_0 2^{2\alpha j}s} ds \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(2+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha)j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\stackrel{\text{since } \alpha \geq 1}{\leq} c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates above and inserting them in (4.5) leads to

$$\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + c\delta(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + c\delta(1 - e^{-c_2 T})M + c(1 - e^{-c_2 T})\delta .
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing T sufficiently small such that $c(1 - e^{-c_2 T}) \leq \min(\frac{1}{4M}, \frac{1}{2})$ we get

$$\|u^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta .$$

4.1.3 The estimate of $w^{(n+1)}$ in $L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Applying Δ_j to the third equation in (4.2) and then dotting with $\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 + (4k + \gamma) \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 &= -2k \int \Delta_j (\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx \\
&\quad - \int \Delta_j (u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx \\
&= B_1 + B_2 , \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= -2k \int \Delta_j (\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx , \\
B_2 &= - \int \Delta_j (u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx .
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Bernstein's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1| &= | -2k \int \Delta_j (\nabla \times u^{(n)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx | \\
&\leq 2k \|\Delta_j (\nabla \times u^{(n)})\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq c 2^j \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_2| &= | - \int \Delta_j (u^{(n)} \cdot \nabla w^{(n+1)}) \Delta_j w^{(n+1)} dx | \\
&\leq c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}^2 \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} 2^j \sum_{k \leq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates above in (4.7) and eliminating $\|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}$ from both sides of the inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + (8k + 2\gamma) \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} &\leq c 2^j \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \\
&+ c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \\
&+ c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&+ c 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Integrating (4.8) in time yields, for any $t \leq T$,

$$\|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-(8k+2\gamma)t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(t-\tau)} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau , \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= c 2^j \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\| , \\
K_2 &= c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} , \\
K_3 &= c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} , \\
K_4 &= c 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} .
\end{aligned}$$

We multiply (4.9) by $2^{(\frac{d}{2})j}$ and sum over j to get

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \sum_{j \geq -1} \int_0^t e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(t-\tau)} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau . \tag{4.10}$$

The term with K_1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^t e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(t-\tau)} K_1 d\tau &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} c 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with K_2 through K_4 can be bounded suitably and obey the same bound. In fact, for the term involving K_2 we write

$$\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^t e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(t-\tau)} c \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u^{(n)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly the term with K_3 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^t e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(t-\tau)} c \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

For the term with K_4 we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^t c 2^j \sum_{k \geq j-1} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} 2^{\frac{dk}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates and inserting them in (4.10), we obtain for any $t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} &\leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + c \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} + c \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + c\delta + c\delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \|w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + c\delta + c\delta \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} .$$

Choosing $c\delta \leq \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{M}{4})$ we get

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{M}{2} + \frac{M}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} ,$$

which implies

$$\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq M .$$

4.1.4 The estimate of $\|w^{(n+1)}(t)\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}$. We multiply (4.9) by $2^{(\frac{d}{2})j}$, sum over j and integrate in time to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} &\leq \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} e^{-(8k+2\gamma)t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} (K_1 + \dots + K_4) d\tau ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Clearly

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} e^{-(8k+2\gamma)t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt = c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} (1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}$, it follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} (1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Therefore, we can choose T sufficiently small such that

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} e^{-(8k+2\gamma)t} \|\Delta_j w_0^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} dt \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Applying the Young's inequality for the time convolution, the term with K_1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} K_1 d\tau ds \\ &= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\ &\leq \left(c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^T e^{-(8k+2\gamma)s} ds \right) \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \underbrace{\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau}_{= \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \delta} \\ &\leq c (1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by applying Young's inequality for the time convolution the term with K_2 is bounded by

$$\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} K_2 d\tau ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
&\leq \left(c \sum_{j \leq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^T e^{-(8k+2\gamma)s} ds \right) \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \int_0^T \sum_{j \leq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j-1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \delta M .
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality for the time convolution, the term with K_3 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} K_3 d\tau ds \\
&= c \left(\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \right) \left(\sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \right) d\tau ds \\
&\leq \left(c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \int_0^T \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^T e^{-(8k+2\gamma)s} ds \right) \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{m \leq j} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})m} \|\Delta_m w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\Delta_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c \left(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T} \right) \delta M .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly the term with K_4 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} K_4 d\tau ds \\
&= c \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^s e^{-(8k+2\gamma)(s-\tau)} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau ds \\
&\leq \left(c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \int_0^T \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^T e^{-(8k+2\gamma)s} ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \sum_{k \geq j-1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}k} \|\tilde{\Delta}_k w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_k u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \int_0^T \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{(1+\frac{d}{2})j} \|\Delta_j u^{(n)}\|_{L^2} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{d}{2}j} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|u^{(n)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})} \\
&\leq c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \delta M .
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates above and inserting them in (4.11), we obtain

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \delta + c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \delta M .$$

Choosing T sufficiently small such that $c(1 - e^{-(8k+2\gamma)T}) \leq \min(\frac{1}{4M}, \frac{1}{4})$, we get

$$\|w^{(n+1)}\|_{L^1(0,T,B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} = \delta .$$

These uniform bounds allow us to extract a weakly convergent subsequence. That is there is $(u, w) \in Y$ such that a subsequence of (u^n, w^n) (still denoted by (u^n, w^n)) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
u^n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}) , \\
w^n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} w \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that (u, w) is a weak solution of (4.1) we need to further extract a subsequence which converges strongly to (u, w) . We use the Aubin-Lions Lemma. We can show by making use of the equation (3.1) that $(\partial_t u^n, \partial_t w^n)$ is uniformly bounded in

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u^n &\in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-3\alpha}) , \\
\partial_t w^n &\in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) \cap L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Since we are in this case in the whole space \mathbb{R}^d , we need to combine Cantor's diagonal process with the Aubin-Lions Lemma to show that a subsequence of a weakly convergent subsequence, still denoted by (u^n, w^n) , has the following strongly convergent property

$$(u^n, w^n) \longrightarrow (u, w) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}-\gamma}(Q)) ,$$

where $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq 3\alpha$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact subset. This strong convergence property would allow us to show that (u, w) is indeed a weak solution of (4.1). This completes the proof for the existence part of Theorem 1.2. \square

4.2 Uniqueness of weak solutions

Proof. Assume that $(u^{(1)}, w^{(1)})$ and $(u^{(2)}, w^{(2)})$ are two solutions of (4.1) in the regularity class in (1.4) and (1.5). Their difference (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) with

$$\tilde{u} = u^{(2)} - u^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w} = w^{(2)} - w^{(1)}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} + (\nu + k)(-\Delta)^\alpha \tilde{u} = -\mathbb{P}(u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)}) + 2k \nabla \times \tilde{w}, \\ \partial_t \tilde{w} = -(4k + \gamma) \tilde{w} - 2k \nabla \times \tilde{u} - u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)}, \\ \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0, \\ \tilde{u}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \tilde{w}(x, 0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

We estimate the difference (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Dotting (4.12) by (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) and applying the divergence-free condition, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + (\nu + k) \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + (4k + \gamma) \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx \\ & \quad - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx \\ &= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx, \\ L_2 &= - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} \, dx, \\ L_3 &= - \int u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx, \\ L_4 &= - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\nabla \cdot u^{(2)} = 0$, we find $L_1 = L_3 = 0$ after integration by parts. As in (3.16),

$$|L_2| \leq c \|u^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

To bound L_4 , We set

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{d}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{d} \quad (\text{or } \frac{d}{q} = \alpha).$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_4| &= \left| - \int \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{w} \, dx \right| \\
&\leq \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla w^{(1)}\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq -1} \|\Delta_j \nabla w^{(1)}\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^j 2^{dj(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})} \|\Delta_j w^{(1)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&= \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^j 2^{\frac{dj}{2} - \frac{d}{q}j} \|\Delta_j w^{(1)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\stackrel{\text{since } \alpha \geq 1}{\leq} c \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\frac{dj}{2}} \|\Delta_j w^{(1)}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq c \|w^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{(\nu + k)}{2} \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + c \|w^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Where in the last inequality we make use of

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^p} \leq c \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2}.$$

Combining the estimates leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + (\nu + k) \|\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + (8k + 2\gamma) \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \left(c \|u^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} + c \|w^{(1)}\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \right) \left(\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u^{(1)} \in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}})$ and $w^{(1)} \in L^1(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) \cap L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})$,

$$\int_0^T \|u^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 dt \leq T \|w^{(1)}(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T, B_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}^2.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (4.13) yields

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2} = \|\tilde{w}\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

which leads to the desired uniqueness. This completes the proof of the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.2. \square

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, in: Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

- [2] J. Boldrini, M. Durán, M. Rojas-Medar, *Existence and uniqueness of strong solution for the incompressible micropolar fluid equations in domains of \mathbb{R}^3* , Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sci. Mat. 56 (2010), 37–51.
- [3] C. Bucur, E. Valdinoci, *Nonlocal Diffusion and Applications*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, vol. 20, Springer, International Publishing, ISBN 978-3-319-28738-6, 2016, xii, 155 pp.
- [4] J. Chen, Z.-M. Chen, B. Dong, Existence of H^2 -global attractors of two-dimensional micropolar fluid flows, J Math. Anal. Appl., 322 (2006), 512-522.
- [5] Q. Chen, C. Miao, *Global well-posedness for the micropolar fluid system in critical Besov spaces*, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), 2698–2724.
- [6] S.C. Cowin, *Polar fluids*, Phys. Fluids, 11 (1968), 1919–1927.
- [7] B. Dong, J. Li, J. Wu, *Global well-posedness and large-time decay for the 2D micropolar equations*, J. Differential Equations, 262, (2017), 3488–3523.
- [8] B. Dong, J. Wu, X. Xu, Z. Ye, *Global regularity for the 2D micropolar equations with fractional dissipation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 38(8) (2018), 4133–4162.
- [9] B. Dong, Z. Zhang, *Global regularity of the 2D micropolar fluid flows with zero angular viscosity*, J. Differential Equations, 249 (2010), 200–213.
- [10] M.E. Erdogan, *Polar effects in the apparent viscosity of suspension*, Rheol. Acta, 9 (1970), 434–438.
- [11] A. Eringen, *Theory of micropolar fluids*, J. Math. Mech., 16 (1966), 1–18.
- [12] A.C. Eringen, *Micropolar fluids with stretch*, Int. J. Engng. Eci., 7 (1969), 115–127.
- [13] G. Galdi, S. Rionero, *A note on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the micropolar fluid equations*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci., 15 (1977), 105–108.
- [14] Q. Jiu, J. Liu, J. Wu, H. Yu, *On the initial- and boundary-value problem for 2D micropolar equations with only angular velocity dissipation*, Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik, und Physik, 68 (2017), 68:107.
- [15] Q. Jiu, X. Suo, J. Wu and H. Yu, Unique weak solutions of the magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional dissipation, submitted for publication.
- [16] J. Liu, S. Wang, *Initial- and boundary-value problem for 2D micropolar equations without angular viscosity*, arXiv:1705.05151 [math.AP]
- [17] G. Lukaszewicz, *On nonstationary flows of asymmetric fluids*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., 12(1988), 83–97.

- [18] G. Lukaszewicz, *On the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic properties for solutions of flows of asymmetric fluids*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., 13(1989), 105–120.
- [19] G. Lukaszewicz, *Micropolar Fluids. Theory and Applications, Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [20] A. Majda, A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [21] B. Nowakowski, *Large time existence of strong solutions to micropolar equations in cylindrical domains*, Nonlinear Analysis: RWA, 14 (2013), 635–660.
- [22] M. Rojas-Medar, E. Ortega-Torres, *The equations of a viscous asymmetric fluid: An interactive approach*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 85 (2005), 471–489.
- [23] L. Xue, *Well posedness and zero microrotation viscosity limit of the 2D micropolar fluid equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 34 (2011), 1760–1777.
- [24] N. Yamaguchi, *Existence of global strong solution to the micropolar fluid system in a bounded domain*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 28 (2005), 1507–1526.