

A SYSTEM OF TRANSPORT EQUATIONS AND AN INVERSE PROBLEM FROM RADIATION THERAPY

JOUKO TERVO

ABSTRACT. The paper considers existence results of solution for a linear coupled system of Boltzmann transport equations and related inverse problem. The system models the evolution of three species of particles, photons, electrons and positrons. Hyper-singularities of differential cross sections associated with charged particle transport cause that modelling contains the first order partial differential term with respect to energy and the second order partial differential term with respect to velocity angle. The overall system is a partial integro-differential equation. The model is intended especially for dose calculation in the forward problem of radiation therapy. Firstly we consider a single transport equation for charged particles. After that we verify under physically relevant assumptions that the coupled equation together with relevant initial and inflow boundary values has a unique solution in appropriate L^2 -based spaces. The existence of solutions for the adjoint problem is verified as well. Moreover, we deal with the related inverse problem, a so called inverse radiation treatment planning problem. It is formulated as an optimal boundary control problem. Variational equations for an optimal control related to an appropriate differentiable strictly convex object function are verified. Its solution can be used as an initial point for an actual optimization which needs global optimization methods.

Keywords: Linear Boltzmann transport equation, charged particle transport, inverse problems, optimal control, radiation treatment planning

AMS-Classification: 35Q20, 45E99, 35R30, 49N45

1. INTRODUCTION

The Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) is an integro-partial differential equation which physically is based on the conservation laws. It has applications in many fields of scientific computation, including in among others optical tomography, cosmic radiation, nanotechnology (e.g. plasma physics) and radiation therapy, which is considered in this paper. For general mathematical theory of BTE with relevant boundary conditions we refer to [1] and [10]. See also [6], [7], [13], [35] where the subject is considered from more physical point of view. Some more recent issues related to BTE can be found [29], and some non-linear aspects in [3], [47]. A mathematical survey of non-linear collision theory of particle physics (especially in dilute gases) is found in [49]. Finally, for topics related to Monte Carlo methods in the context of BTE, both from theoretical and practical points of view, we refer to [23] and [38].

The goal in radiation therapy is to generate dose distributions in such a way that the prescribed dose conforms as well as possible to the target volume, while healthy tissue, and especially the so-called critical organs, achieve as low dose as possible. In *external radiotherapy* the problem is to find the optimal dose by defining the field intensity, that is the incoming particle flux, on (patches of) the patient surface. In *internal radiotherapy* the radioactive sources are to be positioned inside the patient tissue such that the desired dose distribution is achieved. The determination of the external particle flux (or the distribution of internal sources) required to deliver the desired dose distribution is called the *inverse radiation treatment planning* (IRTP) problem, which from mathematical point of view is an *inverse problem*. The calculation of particle fluxes or dose in the patient tissue when the

incoming fluxes or internal sources are known, is called *dose calculation*, and it is considered as a *forward problem*.

The solution of IRTP always requires some *dose calculation model* which in this paper is founded on the relevant system of BTEs. In radiation therapy the BTE-system describes how radiation is scattered and absorbed in a tissue. High energy incoming particles, such as photons or electrons mobilize (at least) three kinds of particles, photons, electrons and positrons, whose simultaneous evolution should be taken into account in the transport model. The potential creation of (or contamination by) other heavy particles (such as neutrons) will not be taken into account since their contribution is negligible (cf. [38]). Dose calculation models governed by the BTE-systems are valid in inhomogeneous material. They take rigorously into account the scattering and absorption effects in physically solid way.

We assume that the transport of particles is ruled by the following *linear coupled system of three BTEs* for $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ on $G \times S \times I$,

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi = f_1, \quad (1)$$

$$a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j + \Sigma_j \psi_j - K_{r,j} \psi = f_j, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (2)$$

together with the inflow boundary condition on Γ_- ,

$$\psi_j|_{\Gamma_-} = g_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (3)$$

and initial value condition on $G \times S$,

$$\psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (4)$$

Above $K_{r,j}$ are the *restricted collision operators* which are assumed to be of the form

$$(K_{r,j} \psi)(x, \omega, E) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{kj}(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) \psi_k(x, \omega', E') d\rho_S^{kj}(\omega') d\rho_I^{kj}(E'), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (5)$$

where $d\rho_S^{kj}(\omega')$ and $d\rho_I^{kj}(E')$ are relevant pairs of measures corresponding to the different varieties of restricted collision operators (see section 4.1 below). Here and below we denote by I' and S' the sets I and S when the integration variable is E' and ω' , respectively. On the right, the functions f_j represent the (internal) sources, and g_j in (3) are boundary (external) sources. $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the spatial (patient) domain, $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the unit sphere (velocity angle domain) and $I = [E_0, E_m] \subset \mathbb{R}$ the energy interval, where E_m is the so called *cut-off energy*. $b_j(x, E) \Delta_S$ is the *Laplace-Beltrami operator* on S together with (angular) diffusion coefficient. The functions $\Sigma_j = \Sigma_j(x, E)$ are the *restricted total cross-sections*, $\sigma_{kj} = \sigma_{kj}(x, \omega', \omega, E', E)$ are the *restricted differential cross-sections*, and the factors $a_j = a_j(x, E)$ are the so-called *restricted stopping powers*. The system is *coupled* through the collision operators $K_{r,j}$. The solution $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ of the problem (1)-(4) is a vector-valued function whose components describe the particle number densities of photons, electrons and positrons, respectively. The equations (1), (2) are steady state (stationary state) equations where there is no time dependence. This is basically always the case in applications related to radiotherapy, because the relevant radiation field ψ anyway reach the steady state nearly instantly ([5]). The use of BTEs in dose calculation needs the choice of total and differential cross-sections. In radiation therapy the cross-sections of primary interest are those for water (tissue), bone and air (void-like regions). For a more thorough discussion on the cross sections relevant to radiation therapy, we refer to [4], [20].

We point out that for some charged particle interactions the differential cross-sections may have singularities or even *hyper-singularities* which imply that the model equations (2) includes the partial differential terms $a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E}$, $b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j$ ([42], [44]). The equations are approximations of the exact partial hyper-singular integro-differential equations

related to Møller-type interactions. In literature the approximations to cover these problematic interactions are called the Continuous Slowing Down-Boltzmann Transport Equations (CSDA-BTE). We notice that the above transport model is linear and, therefore, neglects any non-linear interactions which have been reported to be meaningless in dose calculation. In addition, the inflow boundary condition (3) is not exactly correct because minor part of the particles return to the patient domain G . The reflection boundary conditions of the form $\psi_{j|\Gamma_-} = R_j(\psi_{j|\Gamma_+}) + g_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, where R_j are appropriate (unbounded) reflection operators would be more accurate (see [40], section 7.4, [10], pp. 251-262).

The (classical) example of the initial inflow boundary value problems of the form (1)-(4) is the case where only the equation like (1) is considered. Existence of solutions for this kind of transport problems has been studied for single equations e.g. in [14, 10, 1] and for coupled systems [39]. In the references it is assumed that the restricted collision operator K_r satisfies a (partial) *Schur criterion* for boundedness ([19], p. 22). In [41, 42, 17] one has studied existence of solutions for the case where the term $b_j(x, E)\Delta_S$ vanishes. For related existence results of (deterministic and linear) Fokker-Planck type equations we refer to [11, Appendix A], [45], [11], [25], [9] and [21] (where existence results are exposed in the context of dose calculation for radiation treatment planning). In part of the references the state space is $G \times V$ where V is velocity space but they can be formulated for $G \times S \times I$ via the (local) diffeomorphism $h(\omega, E) := \sqrt{E}\omega$ (see Appendix below).

In [43] we derived a refined expression for the exact transport operator related to a charged particle transport. The analysis therein was carried out only for the Møller-type scattering which is a special prototype of hyper-singular interactions. This interaction models the electron's (and positron's) inelastic collisions and other type of collisions (such as to Bremsstrahlung) could be handled analogously. In section 2.3 we recall from [44] the relevant approximation of the exact hyper-singular transport operator. The analysis yields a foundation for the CSDA-Fokker-Planck type approximations (2) of transport operators. The restricted collision operator K_r is roughly speaking the residual when the singular part is separated from the hyper-singular collision operator. In [42] we showed that K_r is a bounded operator in $L^2(G \times S \times I)$. In addition, we showed that $\Sigma - K_r$ is *coercive (accretive) operator* in $L^2(G \times S \times I)$ under relevant assumptions.

In section 3 we consider the existence of solutions. At first we recall from [44] existence results in $L^2(G \times S \times I)$ -based spaces for the transport problem corresponding to a single charged particle transport. The formulations for the above coupled system (1)-(4) in $L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ -based spaces are given in section 4. The transport problems are analysed in the variational (weak) form

$$\tilde{B}(\psi, v) = Fv, \quad v \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a suitable space of test functions and $\tilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and F are the due bilinear and linear forms, respectively. The variational formulation is an essential step in order to show existence results e.g. by applying Lions-Lax-Milgram Theorem based methods. It is also needed in searching numerical solutions for the forward problem by *finite element methods* (FEM).

In section 5 we consider the above mentioned IRTP problem under physical criteria for optimization (for some general backgrounds see e.g. [37], [28]). The patient domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ consists of tumor volume \mathcal{T} , critical organ's region \mathcal{C} and the normal tissue's region \mathcal{N} . Hence $G = \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{N}$ where the union is mutually disjoint. The tumor volume (that is, the target) includes the tumor and some safety margin. Critical organs and normal tissue are build up of healthy tissue, and should receive as low a dose as possible. Typically the resulting object

function based on the physical criteria is of the form (see section 5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = & c_{\mathcal{T}} \|D_0 - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T})}^2 + c_{\mathcal{C}} \|(D_{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))_-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2 \\ & + c_{\mathcal{N}} \|(D_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))_-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N})}^2 \\ & + c_{\text{DV}} \left(\left(v_{\mathcal{C}} - \frac{1}{m(\mathcal{C})} \int_{\mathcal{C}} H((\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))(x) - d_{\mathcal{C}}) dx \right)_- \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$, $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ and where $c_{\mathcal{T}}, c_{\mathcal{C}}, c_{\mathcal{N}}, c_{\text{DV}}$ are positive weights, m is the 3-dimensional Lebesgue measure, H is the Heaviside function and a_- denotes the negative part of $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = D(\psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))$ where D is the dose operator (see section 5.1) and $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$ is the solution of (1)-(4) with data (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) . We note that $\mathbf{f} = 0$ for external therapy and $\mathbf{g} = 0$ for internal therapy. In (6) the first three terms are convex and (locally) Lipschitz continuous and the first term is also differentiable. The last term is both non-convex and non-differentiable in general but it can be replaced a by Lipschitz continuous counterpart by replacing Heaviside function H by a Lipschitz continuous approximation. After this replacement the whole object function (6) is (locally) Lipschitz continuous. In addition, the admissible sets (as given in section 5.1) are convex.

In practice, solving deterministically the discretized BTE is a challenging numerical task because in three spatial dimensions we have in total $3 + 2 + 1 = 6$ phase space variables i.e. 3 spatial ($x \in G$), 2 angular ($\omega \in S$) and 1 energy dimensions ($E \in I$). Hence the numerical dimension of the problem is very large in the sense that the total number of grid points needed in any discretization scheme grows fast with the number of grid points used for discretizing each individual dimension. The numerical computations can be carried out by utilizing e.g. diffusion approximation, spherical harmonics, finite element methods (FEM) or moment methods. Recently several contributions for radiotherapy purposes applying transport equations have been reported. For forward problems (dose calculation) we refer e.g. to [24], [12], [20], [30], [36], [34], [48] and both forward and inverse problems (optimal control) to [4], [2], [16], [17], [21], [22], [42]. In part of the contributions also numerical simulations have been exposed.

Since the object function (6) contains non-convex terms, *global optimization* ([32]) for Lipschitz continuous functions in convex domains is needed. Moreover, the applied optimization method should be reasonably fast. The initialization (that is, the determination of an *initial solution* for global optimization scheme) is necessary since the determination of a carefully chosen initial point for a large dimensional global optimization scheme is very essential for achieving time saving and satisfactory results ([33]). We prove in section 5.3 that for a certain (related) convex object function, the optimal control exists, and we give formulas for it in a variational form. We suggest that this solution can be used as an initial solution for the actual global optimization scheme.

2. NOTATIONS AND SOME PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

2.1. Basic notations. We assume that G is an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^3 such that \overline{G} is a C^1 -manifold with boundary [26]. In particular, it follows from this definition that G lies on one side of its boundary. The unit outward (with respect to G) pointing normal on ∂G is denoted by ν and the surface measure (induced by the Lebesgue measure dx) on ∂G is denoted as $d\sigma$. We let $S = S_2$ be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 equipped with the standard surface measure $d\omega$. Furthermore, let $I = [E_0, E_m]$ where $0 \leq E_0 < E_m < \infty$. We shall denote by I° the interior of I and I is equipped with the Lebesgue measure dE . All functions considered in this paper are real-valued, and all linear Hilbert spaces are real.

For $(x, \omega) \in G \times S$ the *escape time* $t(x, \omega) = t_-(x, \omega)$ is defined as $t(x, \omega) := \inf\{s > 0 \mid x - s\omega \notin G\} = \sup\{T > 0 \mid x - s\omega \in G \text{ for all } 0 < s < T\}$. We define

$$\Gamma' := (\partial G) \times S, \quad \Gamma := \Gamma' \times I,$$

and their subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_0 &:= \{(y, \omega) \in \Gamma' \mid \omega \cdot \nu(y) = 0\}, & \Gamma_0 &:= \Gamma'_0 \times I, \\ \Gamma'_- &:= \{(y, \omega) \in \Gamma' \mid \omega \cdot \nu(y) < 0\}, & \Gamma_- &:= \Gamma'_- \times I, \\ \Gamma'_+ &:= \{(y, \omega) \in \Gamma' \mid \omega \cdot \nu(y) > 0\}, & \Gamma_+ &:= \Gamma'_+ \times I. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_+$.

In the sequel we denote for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $C^k(\overline{G} \times S \times I) := \{\psi \in C^k(G \times S \times I^\circ) \mid \psi = f|_{G \times S \times I^\circ}, f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S \times \mathbb{R})\}$, where for a C^k -manifold M without boundary, the set $C_0^k(M)$ denotes the set of all C^k -functions on M with compact support. Define the (Sobolev) space $W^2(G \times S \times I)$ by

$$W^2(G \times S \times I) := \{\psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I) \mid \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I)\}.$$

The space $W^2(G \times S \times I)$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the standard inner product

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{W^2(G \times S \times I)} := \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}.$$

Moreover, the space $C^1(\overline{G} \times S \times I)$ is a dense subspace of $W^2(G \times S \times I)$ ([18]).

Let $T^2(\Gamma)$ be the weighted Lebesgue space $L^2(\Gamma, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\sigma d\omega dE)$. The trace $\gamma(\psi) := \psi|_\Gamma$ is well-defined for $\psi \in W^2(G \times S \times I)$ and $\gamma(\psi) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Gamma_\pm, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\sigma d\omega dE)$ ([8], [40, Section 2.2]). The space

$$\widetilde{W}^2(G \times S \times I) := \{\psi \in W^2(G \times S \times I) \mid \gamma(\psi) \in T^2(\Gamma)\}$$

is a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, v \rangle_{\widetilde{W}^2(G \times S \times I)} &:= \langle \psi, v \rangle_{W^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \gamma(\psi), \gamma(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma)}; \\ \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma)} &:= \int_\Gamma h_1(x, \omega, E) h_2(x, \omega, E) |\omega \cdot \nu| d\sigma d\omega dE. \end{aligned}$$

We need the following additional Hilbert spaces. Let H_1 be the completion of $C^1(\overline{G} \times S \times I)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{H_1} := \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \gamma(\psi), \gamma(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma)} \quad (7)$$

The elements of H_1 are of the form $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi, q) \in L^2(G \times S \times I) \times T^2(\Gamma)$. Actually, they are exactly elements of the closure of the graph of trace operator $\gamma : C^1(\overline{G} \times S \times I) \rightarrow C^1(\partial G \times S \times I)$ in $L^2(G \times S \times I) \times T^2(\Gamma)$. The inner product in H_1 is

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}' \rangle_{H_1} = \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle q, q' \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma)}, \quad (8)$$

for $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi, q), \tilde{\psi}' = (\psi', q') \in H_1$. Finally, let H_2 be the completion of $C^1(\overline{G} \times S \times I)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{H_2} := \langle \psi, v \rangle_{H_1} + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}. \quad (9)$$

Obviously $H_2 \subset H_1$ and for $\psi \in H_2$ we have $q = \psi|_\Gamma$. The inner product in H_2 is given by (9).

We recall the Green's formula [10, p. 225] for every $\psi, v \in \widetilde{W}^2(G \times S \times I)$

$$\int_{G \times S \times I} (\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi) v \, dx d\omega dE + \int_{G \times S \times I} \psi (\omega \cdot \nabla_x v) \, dx d\omega dE = \int_{\partial G \times S \times I} (\omega \cdot \nu) \psi v d\sigma d\omega dE. \quad (10)$$

2.2. Hyper-singular transport operator for Møller scattering. The Møller collision operator has been considered e.g. in [40, 42]. It can be written explicitly for $E \geq E_0$ as

$$(K\psi)(x, \omega, E) = \text{p.f.} \int_E^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \\ - \text{p.f.} \int_E^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) \frac{1}{E' - E} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' + (K_0\psi)(x, \omega, E). \quad (11)$$

Here K_0 is given by

$$(K_0\psi)(x, \omega, E) := \int_{E'} \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(E', E) \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \quad (12)$$

where $\chi(E', E) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - E)$ (here $\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is the characteristic function \mathbb{R}_+). Moreover, $\gamma = \gamma(E', E, \omega) : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow S$ is a parametrization of the curve

$$\Gamma(E', E, \omega) = \{\omega' \in S \mid \omega' \cdot \omega - \mu(E', E) = 0\}, \quad \mu(E', E) := \sqrt{\frac{E(E' + 2)}{E'(E + 2)}}.$$

We choose

$$\gamma(E', E, \omega)(s) = R(\omega)(\sqrt{1 - \mu^2} \cos(s), \sqrt{1 - \mu^2} \sin(s), \mu), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where $R(\omega)$ is a rotation (unitary) matrix which maps the vector $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ to ω .

Denote as in [40], [42]

$$(K_j\psi)(x, \omega, E) := \text{p.f.} \int_E^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^j} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE', \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (13)$$

and

$$(\overline{K}_j\psi)(x, \omega, E', E) := \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds, \quad (14)$$

Then for $j = 1, 2$

$$(K_j\psi)(x, \omega, E) = \mathcal{H}_j((\overline{K}_j\psi)(x, \omega, \cdot, E))(E)$$

where \mathcal{H}_j are the Hadamard finite part singular integral operators ([40], section 3.2). The corresponding transport operator is

$$T\psi = -\mathcal{H}_2((\overline{K}_2\psi)(x, \omega, \cdot, E))(E) + \mathcal{H}_1((\overline{K}_1\psi)(x, \omega, \cdot, E))(E) \\ + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma\psi - K_r\psi. \quad (15)$$

A refined pseudo-differential like form of the operator (15) is derived in [43], Theorem 4.17 (together with Theorem 4.9, Lemma 4.10, Remark 4.11 therein).

2.3. CSDA-Boltzmann type approximation. We recall from [44] the truncation of the hyper-singular kernels and approximations leading to relevant approximation of the exact transport operator (15). The operator K_0 given by (12) is an ordinary partial Schur integral operator and so it suffices only to truncate the first and second terms $(K_j\psi)(x, \omega, E)$ of equation (11). In the following we assume that the "cut-off energy for primary particles" is

$E' = \kappa E$ where $\kappa > 1$. Then, we decompose the integration in (13) as follows for $j = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (K_j \psi)(x, \omega, E) &= \\ &= \text{p.f.} \int_E^{\kappa E} \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^j} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \\ &+ \int_{\kappa E}^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^j} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$=: (K_{j,1,\kappa} \psi)(x, \omega, E) + (K_{j,0,\kappa} \psi)(x, \omega, E) \quad (17)$$

where we noticed that the last integral $(K_{j,0,\kappa} \psi)$ does **not** involve a singular kernel.

Using these notations, we see by (11) that

$$T\psi = -K_{2,1,\kappa} \psi + K_{1,1,\kappa} \psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma \psi - (K_{2,0,\kappa} \psi - K_{1,0,\kappa} \psi + K_0 \psi). \quad (18)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{j,0,\kappa} \psi)(x, \omega, E) &= \\ &= \int_{I'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - \kappa E) \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^j} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

we find that the operators $K_{j,0,\kappa}$ are partial Schur integral operators and hence they are bounded operators $L^2(G \times S \times I) \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)$ (see section 3.1 below). That is why it suffices to consider approximations only for the operators $K_{j,1,\kappa}$.

Let for $j = 1, 2$

$$f_j(x, \omega, E', E) := (\bar{K}_j \psi)(x, \omega, E', E) = \hat{\sigma}_j(x, E', E) \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds.$$

For $K_{1,1,\kappa}$ we apply the approximation

$$f_1(x, \omega, E', E) \approx f_1(x, \omega, E, E) \quad (20)$$

on the interval $[E, \kappa E]$ which leads to the approximation

$$(K_{1,1,\kappa} \psi)(x, \omega, E) \approx (\tilde{K}_{1,1,\kappa} \psi)(x, \omega, E) := 2\pi \ln(\kappa E - E) \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E, E) \psi(x, \omega, E) \quad (21)$$

where we recalled that $\gamma(E, E, \omega)(s) = \omega$ and that ([40], section 3.1)

$$\text{p.f.} \int_E^{\kappa E} \frac{1}{E' - E} dE' = \ln(\kappa E - E).$$

For $K_{2,1,\kappa}$ we apply the approximation

$$f_2(x, \omega, E', E) \approx f_2(x, \omega, E, E) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E'}(x, \omega, E, E)(E' - E) \quad (22)$$

on the interval $[E, \kappa E]$. Then it can be shown that (see [43] Theorem 4.9, Lemma 4.10 and Remark 4.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E'}(x, \omega, E, E) &= 2\pi \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E) \psi(x, \omega, E) \\ &+ \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) (A(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega) \psi)(x, \omega, E) + 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E}(x, \omega, E). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$A(\omega, E, \partial_\omega) \psi = -\pi (\partial_{E'} \mu)(E, E) \Delta_S \psi \quad (23)$$

where Δ_S is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S . Hence we obtain the approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
(K_{2,1,\kappa}\psi)(x, \omega, E) &\approx (\tilde{K}_{2,1,\kappa}\psi)(x, \omega, E) \\
&:= -2\pi \frac{1}{\kappa E - E} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \psi(x, \omega, E) \\
&+ \ln(\kappa E - E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) (A(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega)\psi)(x, \omega, E) + 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \ln(\kappa E - E) \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial E}(x, \omega, E) \\
&+ 2\pi \ln(\kappa E - E) \frac{\partial\hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E) \psi(x, \omega, E)
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where we again recalled that $\gamma(E, E, \omega)(s) = \omega$ and that

$$\text{p.f.} \int_E^{\kappa E} \frac{1}{E' - E} dE' = \ln(\kappa E - E), \quad \text{p.f.} \int_E^{\kappa E} \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2} dE' = -\frac{1}{\kappa E - E}.$$

We introduce the following definitions,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\kappa(x, E) &:= 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \ln(\kappa E - E), \\
\Sigma_\kappa(x, E) &:= \Sigma(x, E) + 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \frac{1}{\kappa E - E} \\
&\quad - 2\pi \ln(\kappa E - E) \frac{\partial\hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E) + 2\pi \ln(\kappa E - E) \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E, E), \\
(Q_\kappa(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega)\psi)(x, \omega, E) &:= \ln(\kappa E - E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) (A(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega)\psi)(x, \omega, E), \\
K_{r,\kappa} &:= K_0 + K_{2,0,\kappa} - K_{1,0,\kappa}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the truncated and approximated transport operator, say T_κ , is given by (recall (18), (21), (24))

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\kappa\psi &:= -\tilde{K}_{2,1,\kappa}\psi + \tilde{K}_{1,1,\kappa}\psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma\psi - K_{r,\kappa}\psi \\
&= -S_\kappa(x, E) \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial E} - Q_\kappa(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega)\psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma_\kappa\psi - K_{r,\kappa}\psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Combining the above formulas we see that

$$Q_\kappa(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega)\psi = -\pi \ln(\kappa E - E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) (\partial_{E'}\mu)(E, E) \Delta_S\psi.$$

The restricted collision operator $K_{r,\kappa}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
(K_{r,\kappa}\psi)(x, \omega, E) &= ((K_0 + K_{2,0,\kappa} - K_{1,0,\kappa})\psi)(x, \omega, E) \\
&= \int_{I'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - E) \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \\
&\quad - \int_{I'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - \kappa E) \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) \frac{1}{E' - E} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \\
&\quad + \int_{I'} \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - \kappa E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \\
&= \int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_{r,\kappa}(x, E', E) \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE'
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{r,\kappa}(x, E', E) &:= \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - E) \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) - \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - \kappa E) \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) \frac{1}{E' - E} \\
&\quad + \chi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(E' - \kappa E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In [44] we verified that (in a certain sense) the approximative operator (25) converges to that exact operator (15) as κ approaches to 1.

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE SINGLE TRANSPORT PROBLEM

3.1. Assumptions for the restricted collision operator. In single charged particle transport problem we take the below assumptions for the restricted collision operator K_r . A realistic transport model comprises a coupled system of transport equations which will be considered in the next section 4. Therein we also introduce a somewhat more general restricted collision operator.

We assume that

$$(K_r\psi)(x, \omega, E) = \int_{I'} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{\sigma}_r(x, E', E)\psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE' \quad (27)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_r : G \times I' \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_r(x, E', E) dE' &\leq M_1, \text{ a.e. } (x, E) \in G \times I, \\ \int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_r(x, E, E') dE' &\leq M_2, \text{ a.e. } (x, E) \in G \times I \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\Sigma(x, \omega, E) - 2\pi \int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_r(x, E, E') dE' \geq c, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\Sigma(x, \omega, E) - 2\pi \int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_r(x, E', E) dE' \geq c. \quad (30)$$

for a.e. $(x, \omega, E) \in G \times S \times I$ where $c > 0$.

We recall from [42]

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $\Sigma \in L^\infty(G \times S \times I)$, $\Sigma \geq 0$ and that the assumptions (28), (29) and (30) are valid. Then K_r is a bounded operator $L^2(G \times S \times I) \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)$ and

$$\langle (\Sigma - K_r)\psi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \geq c \|\psi\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}^2 \text{ for all } \psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I). \quad (31)$$

Proof.

□

Remark 3.2 Note that the integrals appearing in the conditions (28), (29), (30) for the above approximative operator $K_{r,\kappa}$ (that is, for (26)) become

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_{r,\kappa}(x, E', E) dE' \\ &= \int_E^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) dE' - \int_{\kappa E}^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) \frac{1}{E' - E} dE' \\ &+ \int_{\kappa E}^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2} dE' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I'} \hat{\sigma}_{r,\kappa}(x, E, E') dE' \\ &= \int_{E_0}^E \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E, E') dE' - \int_{E_0}^{E/\kappa} \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E, E') \frac{1}{E - E'} dE' \\ &+ \int_{E_0}^{E/\kappa} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E') \frac{1}{(E - E')^2} dE'. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Variational formulations for a single transport problem. The transport problem contains solving an initial inflow boundary value problem of the form

$$T\psi = f, \quad \psi|_{\Gamma_-} = g, \quad \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0 \quad (32)$$

where T is an approximation of the exact transport operator and where $f \in L^2(G \times S \times I)$, $g \in T^2(\Gamma_-)$. The appropriate approximative operator $T = T_\kappa$ is given, by the discussion in the previous section, by equation (25). In the following we assume that T is of the form,

$$T\psi = a(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E} + b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma \psi - K_r \psi. \quad (33)$$

Note that the operator T_κ in (25) is of the form (33).

Remark 3.3 We could replace $b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi$ with a more general operator

$$b(x, \omega, E, \partial_\omega) \psi = b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi + d(x, \omega, E) \cdot \nabla_S \psi. \quad (34)$$

where $d = (d_1, d_2) \sim d_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_1} + d_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_2}$ and $d \cdot \nabla_S$ is the Riemannian inner product on $T(S)$. After minor changes the below considerations are valid for this more general setting.

At first we verify formally the corresponding variational equation. Assume that ψ is a solution of (32) in the classical sense. Let $\psi, v \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$. By the properties of Laplace-Beltrami operator we have

$$\int_S (\Delta_S \psi)(x, \omega, E) v(x, \omega, E) d\omega = - \int_S \langle (\nabla_S \psi)(x, \omega, E), (\nabla_S v)(x, \omega, E) \rangle d\omega$$

where $\langle \nabla_S \psi, \nabla_S v \rangle$ is the chosen Riemannian inner product on S . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} &= - \langle \nabla_S \psi, b(x, E) \nabla_S v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &:= - \int_G \int_I \int_S \langle (\nabla_S \psi)(x, \omega, E), b(x, E) (\nabla_S v)(x, \omega, E) \rangle d\omega dE dx. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Using integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle a \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E}, v \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} &= \int_G \int_S a(x, E_m) \psi(x, \omega, E_m) v(x, \omega, E_m) d\omega dx \\ &- \int_G \int_S a(x, E_0) \psi(x, \omega, E_0) v(x, \omega, E_0) d\omega dx - \left\langle \psi, \frac{\partial(av)}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &= - \left\langle \psi, a \frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} - \left\langle \psi, \frac{\partial a}{\partial E} v \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle a(\cdot, E_m) \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), v(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\ &- \langle a(\cdot, E_0) \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), v(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Moreover, by the Green's formula (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} &= - \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \int_{\partial G \times S \times I} (\omega \cdot \nu) \psi v d\sigma d\omega dE \\ &= - \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \int_{\Gamma_+} (\omega \cdot \nu)_+ \psi v d\sigma d\omega dE - \int_{\Gamma_-} (\omega \cdot \nu)_- \psi v d\sigma d\omega dE \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $(\omega \cdot \nu)_\pm$ is the positive/negative part of $\omega \cdot \nu$. Finally,

$$\langle K_r \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} = \langle \psi, K_r^* v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}, \quad \langle \Sigma \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} = \langle \psi, \Sigma^* v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}. \quad (38)$$

As a conclusion we see that if ψ is a classical solution of problem (32) then the following weak formulation is fulfilled

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\psi, v) &:= - \left\langle \psi, a \frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} - \left\langle \psi, \frac{\partial a}{\partial E} v \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&- \langle a(\cdot, E_0) \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), v(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&- \langle \nabla_S \psi, b(x, E) \nabla_S v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&- \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \gamma_+(\psi), \gamma_+(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)} \\
&+ \langle \psi, \Sigma^* v - K_r^* v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle g, \gamma_-(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)}. \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

Define in $C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$ inner products

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &:= \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \gamma(\psi), \gamma(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma)} \\
&+ \langle \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), v(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} + \langle \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), v(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&+ \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times I, H^1(S))} \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \psi, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}. \tag{41}$$

Let \mathcal{H} and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ be the completions of $C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$ with respect to inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}$, respectively.

We assume for the coefficients now:

$$a \in L^\infty(G, W^{\infty,1}(I)), b \in L^\infty(G \times I), \tag{42}$$

$$-\frac{\partial a}{\partial E}(x, E) \geq q_1 > 0, \text{ a.e.}, \tag{43}$$

$$-b(x, E) \geq q_2 > 0, \text{ a.e.}, \tag{44}$$

$$-a(x, E_0) \geq q_3 > 0, \quad -a(x, E_m) \geq q_3 > 0, \text{ a.e.} \tag{45}$$

In [44] we showed that under these assumptions the bilinear form $B : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \times C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ obeys the following *boundedness and coercivity* results:

Theorem 3.4 Suppose that the assumptions (28), (29), (30), (42), (43), (44), (45) are valid. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|B(\psi, v)| \leq M \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|v\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \quad \forall \psi, v \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \tag{46}$$

and

$$B(v, v) \geq c' \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \forall v \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \tag{47}$$

where

$$c' := \min\left\{\frac{q_1}{2}, \frac{q_3}{2}, q_2, \frac{1}{2}, c\right\} > 0. \tag{48}$$

Proof.

□

Because $C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \times C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$ is dense in $\mathcal{H} \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and because (46) holds, the bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot) : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \times C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a unique extension $\widetilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{H} \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

$$|\widetilde{B}(\psi, v)| \leq M \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|v\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}, v \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \tag{49}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}(v, v) \geq c' \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (50)$$

We see that actually

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(\psi, v) &= - \left\langle \psi, a \frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} - \left\langle \psi, \frac{\partial a}{\partial E} v \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &\quad - \langle a(\cdot, E_0) p_0, v(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_S \psi, b(x, E) \nabla_S v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &\quad - \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle q_{\Gamma_+}, \gamma_+(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)} \\ &\quad + \langle \psi, \Sigma^* v - K_r^* v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $q \in T^2(\Gamma)$ and $p_0 \in L^2(G \times S)$ are explained in [40], p. 14 (here we have E_0 instead of 0 therein).

In addition, since for $\psi \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\psi)| &\leq | \langle f, \psi \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} | + | \langle g, \gamma_-(\psi) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)} | \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \|g\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)} \|\gamma(\psi)\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

the linear form $F : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a unique bounded extension, which we still denote by F ,

$$F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad F(\psi) = \langle f, \psi \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle g, q_{\Gamma_-} \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)}, \quad (53)$$

Note also that the embedding $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is continuous.

Example 3.5 Consider the approximation (25) for $\kappa = 2$ (which is the usual choice in practise). We assume that $E_0 > 1$ and so $\ln(\kappa E - E) = \ln(E) \geq \ln(E_0) > 0$. In certain applications (e.g. in dose calculation of radiation therapy for primary electrons) the expressions $\hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E)$ appearing in the above differential cross sections are of the form

$$\hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) = \sigma_0(x) \frac{(E' + 1)^2}{E'(E' + 2)}, \quad (54)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) = \sigma_0(x) \frac{(E' + 1)^2}{E'(E' + 2)} \frac{2E' + 1}{(E' + 1)^2 E} \quad (55)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) = \sigma_0(x) \frac{(E' + 1)^2}{E'(E' + 2)} \left(\frac{1}{E^2} + \frac{1}{(E' + 1)^2} \right). \quad (56)$$

We assume that $\sigma_0 \in L^\infty(G)$ and

$$\sigma_0(x) \geq q_0 > 0 \text{ a.e..}$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E', E) = \sigma_0(x) \frac{2(E' + 1)E'(E' + 2) - (E' + 1)^2(2E' + 2)}{E'^2(E' + 2)^2}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E) &= \sigma_0(x) \frac{2(E + 1)E(E + 2) - (E + 1)^2(2E + 2)}{E^2(E + 2)^2} \\ &= 2\sigma_0(x) \frac{(E + 1)(E^2 + 2E - (E + 1)^2)}{E^2(E + 2)^2} = -2\sigma_0(x) \frac{E + 1}{E^2(E + 2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Note also that

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E}(x, E', E) = 0.$$

For $\mu(E', E) = \sqrt{\frac{E(E'+2)}{E'(E+2)}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu(E', E)\partial_{E'}\mu(E', E) &= \frac{EE'(E+2) - (E+2)E(E'+2)}{E'^2(E+2)^2} \\ &= \frac{E^2E' + 2EE' - E'E^2 - 2E^2 - 2EE' - 4E}{E'^2(E+2)^2} = -2\frac{E(E+2)}{E'^2(E+2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\partial_{E'}\mu(E, E) = -\frac{1}{E(E+2)}. \quad (58)$$

We see that (for $\kappa = 2$)

$$-a(x, E) = -(-S_\kappa(x, E)) = 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \ln(E) \geq q_3 > 0,$$

and since $-\ln(E) \geq -E$ for $E \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial a}{\partial E}(x, E) &= -\left(\frac{\partial(-S_\kappa)}{\partial E}\right)(x, E) \\ &= 2\pi\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E}(x, E, E) + \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E)\right) \ln(E) + 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \frac{1}{E} \\ &= 2\pi\sigma_0(x) \left(-\frac{2(E+1)}{E^2(E+2)^2} \ln(E) + \frac{(E+1)^2}{E(E+2)} \frac{1}{E}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{2\pi\sigma_0(x)}{E^2(E+2)^2} ((E+1)^2(E+2) - 2(E+1)E) \\ &= \frac{2\pi\sigma_0(x)}{E^2(E+2)^2} (E+1)((E+1)(E+2) - 2E) \geq q_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} -b(x, E) &= -\pi \ln(E) \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) (\partial_{E'}\mu)(E, E) \\ &= \pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \frac{1}{E(E+2)} \ln(E) \geq q_2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\kappa(x, E) &= \Sigma(x, E) + 2\pi \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E) \frac{1}{E} \\ &\quad - 2\pi \ln(E) \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_2}{\partial E'}(x, E, E) + 2\pi \ln(E) \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E, E) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\Sigma_\kappa \in L^\infty(G \times S \times I)$ when $\Sigma \in L^\infty(G \times S \times I)$. The conditions (28) can be easily seen to be valid. The conditions (29), (30) mean that (recall Remark 3.2)

$$\Sigma_\kappa(x, E) - \int_E^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E', E) dE' + \int_{\kappa E}^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E', E) \frac{1}{E' - E} dE' \quad (59)$$

$$- \int_{\kappa E}^{E_m} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E', E) \frac{1}{(E' - E)^2} dE' \geq c > 0 \quad (60)$$

and

$$\Sigma_\kappa(x, E) - \int_{E_0}^E \hat{\sigma}_0(x, E, E') dE' + \int_{E_0}^{E/\kappa} \hat{\sigma}_1(x, E, E') \frac{1}{E - E'} dE' \quad (61)$$

$$- \int_{E_0}^{E/\kappa} \hat{\sigma}_2(x, E, E') \frac{1}{(E - E')^2} dE' \geq c > 0. \quad (62)$$

We omit further proceedings of these conditions.

3.3. Existence of solutions of the initial and boundary value problem for a single transport problem. Let

$$P(x, \omega, E, D)\psi := a(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E} + b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi.$$

The space

$$\mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ) := \{\psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I) \mid P(x, \omega, E, D)\psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I) \text{ in the weak sense}\} \quad (63)$$

is a Hilbert space when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \phi, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ)} = \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle P(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, P(x, \omega, E, D)v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}.$$

With this notation, the equation (32) can be written as

$$P(x, \omega, E, D)\psi + \Sigma\psi - K_r\psi = f.$$

For the first instance we recall an existence of weak solutions *without boundary and initial conditions*

Theorem 3.6 Suppose that the assumptions (28), (29), (30), (42), (43), (44), (45) are valid. Let $f \in L^2(G \times S \times I)$ and $g \in T^2(\Gamma_-)$. Then the variational equation

$$\tilde{B}(\psi, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \quad (64)$$

has a solution $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ)$ and it is a weak (distributional) solution of the equation (32) that is,

$$T\psi := a(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E} + b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma\psi - K_r\psi = f. \quad (65)$$

Proof. See [44], Theorem 6.8. □

Let

$$Q(x, \omega, E, D)\psi = a(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E} + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi.$$

Define the space $\mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ)$

$$\mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ) := \{\psi \in L^2(G \times I, H^1(S)) \mid Q(x, \omega, E, D)\psi \in L^2(G \times I, H^{-1}(S))\}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ)} = \langle \psi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times I, H^1(S))} + \langle Q(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, Q(x, \omega, E, D)v \rangle_{L^2(G \times I, H^{-1}(S))}.$$

Define the traces $\gamma_\pm(\psi) := \psi|_{\Gamma_\pm}$ and $\gamma_m(\psi) := \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m)$, $\gamma_0(\psi) := \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0)$. The traces $\gamma_\pm : W(G \times S \times I) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Gamma_\pm, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\sigma d\omega dE)$ can be shown to be continuous ([8] or [40], Theorem 2.16). Moreover, we have

Theorem 3.7 Suppose that $a \in W^{\infty,1}(G \times I^\circ)$ such that

$$|a(x, E)| \geq c_0 > 0 \text{ a.e. in } \overline{G} \times I. \quad (66)$$

Then the trace operators

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\pm} &: \mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Gamma_{\pm}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\sigma d\omega dE), \\ \gamma_m &: \mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(G \times S), \\ \gamma_0 &: \mathbb{H}_Q(G \times S \times I^\circ) \rightarrow L^2_{\text{loc}}(G \times S), \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined and continuous.

Proof. See [44], Theorem 3.10. □

Let $P^*(x, \omega, E, D)$ be the formal adjoint operator of $P(x, \omega, E, D)$ that is,

$$P^*(x, \omega, E, D)v = -a \frac{\partial v}{\partial E} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial E} v + b(x, E) \Delta_S v - \omega \cdot \nabla_x v.$$

For $U \in L^2(G \times I, H^{-1}(S))$, $v \in L^2(G \times I, H^1(S))$ we define

$$\langle U, v \rangle := \int_{G \times I} (U(x, \omega, \cdot), v(x, \omega, \cdot)) dx dE$$

where $(U(x, \omega, \cdot), v(x, \omega, \cdot))$ is the canonical duality between $H^{-1}(S)$ and $H^1(S)$. We have the following extended Green's formula

Theorem 3.8 Suppose that (42), (66) hold and that $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ) \cap L^2(G \times I, H^1(S))$ and $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ for which $(\text{supp}(v)) \cap \partial(G \times S \times I)$ is a compact subset of $\Gamma_- \cup \Gamma_+ \cup (G \times S \times \{E_m\}) \cup (G \times S \times \{E_0\})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, v \rangle - \langle P^*(x, \omega, E, D)v, \psi \rangle &= \int_{\partial G \times S \times I} (\omega \cdot \nu) \psi v \, d\sigma d\omega dE \\ &+ \int_{G \times S} (a(\cdot, E_m) \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) v(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) - a(\cdot, E_0) \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) v(\cdot, \cdot, E_0)) dx d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The proof follows by applying standard Green's formula for smooth functions and density arguments. The Theorem 3.7 on the continuity of the trace operators guarantees that the Green's formula holds also in the limit. We omit details.

Remark 3.9 The Green formula has some additional generalizations. Especially, (67) holds for $\psi = v$ in the case when $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ) \cap L^2(G \times I, H^1(S))$ such that $\gamma_{\pm}(\psi) \in T^2(\Gamma_{\pm})$ and $\gamma_m(\psi), \gamma_0(\psi) \in L^2(G \times S)$ (cf. [10], p. 225).

When (66) holds the weak solution ψ of the equation (65) obtained in Theorem 4.5 can be shown to be a solution of the initial and inflow boundary value problem. We have

Theorem 3.10 Suppose that the assumptions (28), (29), (30), (42), (43), (44), (45), (66) are valid. Let $f \in L^2(G \times S \times I)$ and $g \in T^2(\Gamma_-)$. Then the transport problem

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial E} + b(x, E) \Delta_S \psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Sigma \psi - K_r \psi &= f \\ \psi|_{\Gamma_-} = g, \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

has a unique solution $\psi \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_P(G \times S \times I^\circ)$.

In addition, the solution ψ obeys the apriori estimate

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \|g\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)}). \quad (69)$$

Proof. The proof follows by the techniques applied in the proof of Theorem 5.7, [40] (items (ii)-(iii) of the proof). We omit detailed treatments. \square

Remark 3.11 Additionally, we recall from [40], Theorem 5.8 that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.10 $\psi|_{\Gamma_+} \in T^2(\Gamma_+)$ and $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \in L^2(G \times S)$.

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR COUPLED SYSTEMS

In reality e.g. the dose calculation model in radiation therapy is a coupled system of transport equations governing the simultaneous evolving of (at least) photons, electrons and positrons. In this section, we consider the coupled transport problem.

4.1. Coupled problem and existence of solutions. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$. We deal with the following coupled system of partial integro-differential equations for $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ on $G \times S \times I$,

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi = f_1, \quad (70)$$

$$a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j + \Sigma_j \psi_j - K_{r,j} \psi = f_j, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (71)$$

In order to guarantee uniqueness of solutions, we moreover impose the inflow boundary conditions on Γ_- ,

$$\psi_j|_{\Gamma_-} = g_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (72)$$

and initial value conditions on $G \times S$,

$$\psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3, \quad (73)$$

where E_m is the cut-off energy.

We assume that

$$\Sigma_j \in L^\infty(G \times S \times I), \Sigma_j \geq 0 \text{ a.e. } j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (74)$$

Define for $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$,

$$\Sigma \psi = (\Sigma_1 \psi_1, \Sigma_2 \psi_2, \Sigma_3 \psi_3) \quad (75)$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_r \psi = (K_{r,1} \psi, K_{r,2} \psi, K_{r,3} \psi). \quad (76)$$

We see that $\Sigma : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ is a bounded linear operator.

Each $K_{r,j}$ may be a sum of different type of restricted collision operators

$$K_{r,j} = K_{r,j}^1 + K_{r,j}^2 + K_{r,j}^3 \quad (77)$$

corresponding to different type of particle interactions; see section 5.4 of [40]. Here $K_{r,j}^1$ is of the form

$$(K_{r,j}^1 \psi)(x, \omega, E) = \int_{S' \times I'} \sigma_{r,j}^1(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) \psi(x, \omega', E') d\omega' dE',$$

where $\sigma_{r,j}^1 : G \times S^2 \times I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S' \times I'} \sigma_{r,j}^1(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) d\omega' dE' &\leq M_1, \\ \int_{S' \times I'} \sigma_{r,j}^1(x, \omega, \omega', E, E') d\omega' dE' &\leq M_2, \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

for a.e. $(x, \omega, E) \in G \times S \times I$. The operator $K_{r,j}^2$ is of the form

$$(K_{r,j}^2 \psi)(x, \omega, E) = \int_{S'} \sigma_{r,j}^2(x, \omega', \omega, E) \psi(x, \omega', E) d\omega',$$

where $\sigma_{r,j}^2 : G \times S^2 \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S'} \sigma_{r,j}^2(x, \omega', \omega, E) d\omega' &\leq M_1, \\ \int_{S'} \sigma_{r,j}^2(x, \omega, \omega', E) d\omega' &\leq M_2, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

for a.e. $(x, \omega, E) \in G \times S \times I$. Finally, $K_{r,j}^3$ is of the form (as in section 3.1 above)

$$(K_{r,j}^3 \psi)(x, \omega, E) = \int_{I'} \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma_{r,j}^3(x, E', E) \psi(x, \gamma(E', E, \omega)(s), E') ds dE'$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(E', E, \omega) : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow S$ be a parametrization of the curve

$$\Gamma(E', E, \omega) = \{\omega' \in S \mid \omega' \cdot \omega - \mu(E', E) = 0\}.$$

$\sigma_{r,j}^3 : G \times I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I'} \sigma_{r,j}^3(x, E', E) dE' &\leq M_1, \\ \int_{I'} \sigma_{r,j}^3(x, E, E') dE' &\leq M_2, \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

for a.e. $(x, E) \in G \times I$.

In a more concise way the components $K_{r,j}$ can be given by (see [40], section 5)

$$(K_{r,j} \psi)(x, \omega, E) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{kj}(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) \psi_k(x, \omega', E') d\rho_S^{kj}(\omega') d\rho_I^{kj}(E'), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

where we use in the relevant way the pairs of measures $(\rho_I^{kj}, \rho_S^{kj}) = (\mathcal{L}^1, \mu_S)$ or $(\rho_I^{kj}, \rho_S^{kj}) = (\mathcal{L}^1, \mu_H^1)$ or $(\rho_I^{kj}, \rho_S^{kj}) = (\mu_H^0, \mu_S)$. Here $\mathcal{L}^1 (= dE)$ and $\mu_S (= d\omega)$ are the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure on I and the usual surface measure on S , respectively. μ_H^0 and μ_H^1 are the 0-dimensional and 1-dimensional Hadamard measures. These notations serve only to compress the different varieties of collision operators. Note that in this setting $\sigma_{kj} = \sigma_{r,j}^k$.

We recall from [40] (section 5) the following theorems

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $\sigma_{kj} : G \times S^2 \times I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-negative measurable functions such that a.e. $(x, \omega, E) \in G \times S \times I$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{kj}(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) d\rho_S^{kj}(\omega') d\rho_I^{kj}(E') &\leq M_1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{jk}(x, \omega, \omega', E, E') d\rho_S^{jk}(\omega') d\rho_I^{jk}(E') &\leq M_2 \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Then $\mathbf{K}_r : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ is a bounded operator and

$$\|\mathbf{K}_r\| \leq 2\pi\sqrt{M_1 M_2}. \quad (82)$$

Proof. □

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and a.e. $(x, \omega, E) \in G \times S \times I$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_j(x, \omega, E) - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{kj}(x, \omega', \omega, E', E) d\rho_S^{kj}(\omega') d\rho_I^{kj}(E') &\geq c \\ \Sigma_j(x, \omega, E) - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{jk}(x, \omega, \omega', E, E') d\rho_S^{jk}(\omega') d\rho_I^{jk}(E') &\geq c \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Then for all $\psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$

$$\langle (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r)\psi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \geq c \|\psi\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2. \quad (84)$$

Proof. □

The Theorem 4.1 is based to the Schur boundedness criterion ([19], p.22). The conditions (78), (79), (80) are equivalent to the assumptions (81).

Remark 4.3 Notice that the coercivity (accretivity) estimate (84) is equivalent to the property that for every $\lambda > 0$, and $\psi \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$,

$$\|(\lambda I - (-\Sigma + \mathbf{K}_r + cI))\psi\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \geq \lambda \|\psi\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}, \quad (85)$$

which means that the operator $-\Sigma + \mathbf{K}_r + cI : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ is *dissipative* ([15, Section II.3.b], or [31, Section 1.4]).

For other data we impose the following criteria. We assume that functions $a_j, b_j : \overline{G} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 2, 3$ satisfy the following assumptions:

$$a_j \in L^\infty(G, W^{\infty,1}(I)), b_j \in L^\infty(G \times I), \quad (86)$$

$$-\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial E}(x, E) \geq q_{1,j} > 0, \text{ a.e.}, \quad (87)$$

$$-b_j(x, E) \geq q_{2,j} > 0, \text{ a.e.}, \quad (88)$$

$$-a_j(x, E_0) \geq q_{3,j} > 0, \quad -a_j(x, E_m) \geq q_{3,j} > 0, \text{ a.e.}, \quad (89)$$

$$|a_j(x, E)| \geq c_{0,j} > 0, \text{ a.e.} \quad (90)$$

As above, we apply the variational formulations to deduce existence of solutions. Recall that the inner product in $L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ is given by

$$\langle \phi, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle \phi_j, v_j \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)},$$

and analogously in other products of inner product spaces. Integrating by parts and applying the Green's formula we find (similarly as in section 3.2) that the bilinear form $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot) : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3 \times C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the linear form $\mathbf{F} : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

corresponding to the problem (70), (71), (72), (73) are (here we denote, as usual $\omega \cdot \nabla_x v := (\omega \cdot \nabla_x v_1, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v_2, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v_3)$) and $\gamma_+(v) := (\gamma_+(v_1), \gamma_+(v_2), \gamma_+(v_3))$ and so on)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\psi, v) &= - \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle \psi_j, a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} - \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle \psi_j, \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial E} v_j \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &\quad - \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S \psi_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ &\quad + \langle \psi, (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*) v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &\quad + \langle \gamma_+(\psi), \gamma_+(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)}, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}(v) = \langle \mathbf{f}, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle \mathbf{g}, \gamma_-(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}. \quad (92)$$

The appropriate solution spaces are (recall the definitions of H_1 , H_2 and \mathcal{H} , $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ from sections 2.1 and 3.2, respectively)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H} &:= H_1 \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}, \\ \widehat{\mathbb{H}} &:= H_2 \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

The spaces \mathbb{H} and $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ are Hilbert spaces equipped respectively with the inner products

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle \psi_1, v_1 \rangle_{H_1} + \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j, v_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and

$$\langle \psi, v \rangle_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}} = \langle \psi_1, v_1 \rangle_{H_2} + \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j, v_j \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

The bilinear form $\mathbf{B} : C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3 \times C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the following boundedness and coercivity properties.

Theorem 4.4 Suppose that the assumptions (74), (81), (83), (86), 87, (88), (89) are valid. Then there exists $M > 0$ and $c' > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbf{B}(\psi, v)| \leq M \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} \|v\|_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}} \quad \forall \psi, v \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3, \quad (94)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}(\psi, \psi) \geq c' \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \quad \forall \psi \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3. \quad (95)$$

In addition, for all $v \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))^3$,

$$|\mathbf{F}(v)| \leq (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}) \|v\|_{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (96)$$

Proof. Applying Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 the proof follows by minor modifications of the proof of Theorem 6.6 given in [44]. We omit details. \square

Due to the above theorem, the bilinear form $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has a unique extension $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{H} \times \widehat{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

$$|\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v)| \leq M \|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} \|v\|_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathbb{H}, v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}, \quad (97)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}(v, v) \geq c' \|v\|_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}}^2 \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (98)$$

Likewise, (96) implies that the linear form \mathbf{F} has a unique bounded extension $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which we still denote by \mathbf{F} . The variational equation corresponding to the problem (70), (71), (72), (73) is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = \mathbf{F}(v) \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (99)$$

For the coupled transport system we have the following existence theorem which can be verified by similar ingredients as Theorem 3.10 above.

Theorem 4.5 A. Suppose that the assumptions (74), (81), (83), (86), 87, (88), (89) are valid. Let $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ and $\mathbf{g} \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$. Then the variational equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = \mathbf{F}(v) \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}} \quad (100)$$

has a solution $\psi \in \mathbb{H}$. Furthermore, ψ is a weak (distributional) solution of the system of equations

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi = f_1, \quad (101)$$

$$a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j + \Sigma_j \psi_j - K_{r,j} \psi = f_j, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (102)$$

B. Suppose additionally to the assumptions of Part A that (90) holds. Then the system of equations (101), (102) together with the inflow boundary value and initial conditions

$$\psi|_{\Gamma_-} = \mathbf{g}, \quad \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, 3 \quad (103)$$

has a unique solution $\psi \in \mathbb{H}$.

In addition, the solution ψ obeys the apriori estimate

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}). \quad (104)$$

Proof.

□

Remark 4.6 Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 it also holds that $\psi|_{\Gamma_+} \in T^2(\Gamma_+)^3$ and $\psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \in L^2(G \times S)$, $j = 2, 3$.

Remark 4.7 The existence of solutions for the problems (68) and (101), (102), (103) can also be studied by applying m -dissipativity and non-autonomous evolution operator theories (cf. [41], [42]; especially Theorem 3.6 of [41]). The usual evolution operator theory entails that the data \mathbf{f} , \mathbf{g} is regular enough and that the compatibility condition like

$$g_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0 \text{ in } \Gamma_- \text{ for } j = 2, 3 \quad (105)$$

holds. These methods may give more refined structure and regularity of the solution. Note that the compatibility condition (105) must necessarily be valid in order that the solution ψ is continuous up to boundary.

4.2. Adjoint problem. Let

$$P_1(x, \omega, E, D)\psi_1 := \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1,$$

$$P_j(x, \omega, E, D)\psi_j := a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j, \quad j = 2, 3,$$

$$\mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi := (P_1(x, \omega, E, D)\psi_1, P_2(x, \omega, E, D)\psi_2, P_3(x, \omega, E, D)\psi_3).$$

Note that using this notation the system of equations (101), (102) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi + (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r)\psi = \mathbf{f}.$$

The formal adjoint $\mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D)$ of $\mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)$ is

$$\mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D)v := (P_1^*(x, \omega, E, D)v_1, P_2^*(x, \omega, E, D)v_2, P_3^*(x, \omega, E, D)v_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^*(x, \omega, E, D)v_1 &:= -\omega \cdot \nabla_x v_1, \\ P_j^*(x, \omega, E, D)v_j &:= -\frac{\partial(a_j v_j)}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E)\Delta_S v_j - \omega \cdot \nabla_x v_j, \quad j = 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in C_0^\infty(G \times S \times I)^3$.

The *adjoint transport problem* to (101), (102), (103) is formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} -\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1^* + \Sigma_1^* \psi_1^* - (K_r^*)_1 \psi^* &= f_1^*, \\ -\frac{\partial(a_j \psi_j^*)}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E)\Delta_S \psi_j^* - \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j^* + \Sigma_j^* \psi_j^* - (K_r^*)_j \psi^* &= f_j^*, \quad j = 2, 3, \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

$$\psi_{|\Gamma_+}^* = \mathbf{g}^*, \quad \psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, 3 \quad (107)$$

where $\mathbf{f}^* = (f_1^*, f_2^*, f_3^*) \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ and $\mathbf{g}^* = (g_1^*, g_2^*, g_3^*) \in T^2(\Gamma_+)^3$. Here $(K_r^*)_j$ are the components of the adjoint operator $\mathbf{K}_r^* : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ of \mathbf{K}_r . The components $(\mathbf{K}_r^*)_j$ can be computed and

$$((\mathbf{K}_r^*)_j \psi^*)(x, \omega, E) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{I'} \int_{S'} \sigma_{jk}(x, \omega, \omega', E, E') \psi_k^*(x, \omega', E') d\rho_S^{jk}(\omega') d\rho_I^{jk}(E'), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

The system of equations (106) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D)\psi^* + (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*)\psi^* = \mathbf{f}^*.$$

The bilinear and linear forms corresponding to the adjoint problem (106) are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) &= \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle \psi_j^*, a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \psi^*, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &- \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S \psi_j^*, b_j(x, E)\nabla_S v_j \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \psi^*, (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r)v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &+ \langle \gamma_-(\psi^*), \gamma_-(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), a_j(\cdot, E_m)v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)}, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}^*(v) = \langle \mathbf{f}^*, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle \mathbf{g}^*, \gamma_+(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3}. \quad (109)$$

For the adjoint problem, we have the following existence and uniqueness result analogous to the above Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.8 A. Suppose that the assumptions (74), (81), (83), (86), 87, (88), (89) are valid. Let $\mathbf{f}^* \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ and $\mathbf{g}^* \in T^2(\Gamma_+)^3$. Then the variational equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) = \mathbf{F}^*(v) \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}} \quad (110)$$

has a solution $\psi^* \in \mathbb{H}$. Furthermore, ψ^* is a weak (distributional) solution of the system of equations (106).

B. Suppose additionally to the assumptions of Part A that (90) holds. Then the system of equations (106) together with the inflow boundary and initial conditions

$$\psi_{|\Gamma_+}^* = \mathbf{g}^*, \quad \psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, 3 \quad (111)$$

has a unique solution $\psi^* \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. □

From the operator theoretical point of view, the above existence result for adjoint problem is based on the fact that for a densely defined closed operator $A : X \rightarrow X$ in Hilbert space X whose range $R(A^*)$ is closed in X , one has $R(A^*) = N(A)^\perp$ and $N(A^*) = R(A)^\perp$.

Remark 4.9 Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.8 it also holds that $\psi_{|\Gamma_-}^* \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ and $\psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \in L^2(G \times S)$, $j = 2, 3$.

We need the next generalized Green's Theorem

Theorem 4.10 Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 are valid. Let ψ and ψ^* be the solutions of the problem (101), (102), (103) and its adjoint problem (106), (107). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, \psi^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} - \langle \psi, \mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D)\psi^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\Gamma} \psi_j \psi_j^* (\omega \cdot \nu) dx d\sigma dE \\ &+ \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), a_j(\cdot, E_m) \psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\ &- \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) \psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)}. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

Proof. By Remark 4.6 $\gamma_+(\psi) \in T^2(\Gamma_+)^3$ and $\psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \in L^2(G \times S)$, $j = 2, 3$ and by Remark 4.9 $\gamma_-(\psi^*) \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ and $\psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \in L^2(G \times S)$, $j = 2, 3$. Note also that $\mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, \mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D)\psi^* \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$. The proof follows by limiting techniques from the standard Green formula for smooth functions. We omit details. □

The solutions ψ and ψ^* are related in the following way

Lemma 4.11 Suppose that the assumptions (74), (81), (83), (86), 87, (88), (89), (90) (of Theorem 4.5) are valid. Let ψ and ψ^* be the solutions of the problem (101), (102), (103) and its adjoint problem (106), (107). Then the expressions $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, \psi^*)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi)$ are well-defined and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, \psi^*) = \mathbf{F}(\psi^*), \quad (113)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi) = \mathbf{F}^*(\psi) \quad (114)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, \psi^*) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi). \quad (115)$$

Proof. A. By partial integration and by the Green's Theorem for $v, v^* \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I \times S)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left\langle \frac{\partial(a_j v_j^*)}{\partial E}, v_j \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} = \left\langle a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E}, v_j^* \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ & - \left(\langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), a_j(\cdot, E_m) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} - \langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & - \langle v, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} = \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ & - \left(\langle \gamma_+(v), \gamma_+(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \langle \gamma_-(v), \gamma_-(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $v, v^* \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I \times S)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}(v, v^*) &= - \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle v_j, \frac{\partial(a_j v_j^*)}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&\quad - \langle v, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S v_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&\quad + \langle v, (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*) v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad + \langle \gamma_+(v), \gamma_+(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&= \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E}, v_j^* \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2,3} \left(\langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), a_j(\cdot, E_m) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} - \langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \right) \\
&\quad + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad - \left(\langle \gamma_+(v), \gamma_+(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \langle \gamma_-(v), \gamma_-(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S v_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&\quad + \langle v, (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*) v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad + \langle \gamma_+(v), \gamma_+(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&= \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E}, v_j^* \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla_x v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S v_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&\quad + \langle (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r) v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad + \langle \gamma_-(v), \gamma_-(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle a_j(\cdot, E_m) v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} = \mathbf{B}^*(v^*, v). \quad (116)
\end{aligned}$$

For all $v, v^* \in C^1(\overline{G} \times I, C^2(S))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \langle \nabla_S v_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\
&= \langle v_j, b_j(x, E) \Delta_S v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} = \langle b_j(x, E) \Delta_S v_j, v_j^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)}
\end{aligned}$$

and so by using the above notations we obtain by (116)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}(v, v^*) &= \langle v, \mathbf{P}^*(x, \omega, E, D) v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle v, (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*) v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad + \langle \gamma_+(v), \gamma_+(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&= \langle \mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D) v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r) v, v^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\
&\quad + \langle \gamma_-(v), \gamma_-(v^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle a_j(\cdot, E_m) v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), v_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\
&= \mathbf{B}^*(v^*, v). \quad (117)
\end{aligned}$$

B. By Theorem 4.10 we find that (117) holds also for $v = \psi$, $v^* = \psi^*$ and so (115) is valid. Furthermore, recalling that $\mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi + (\Sigma - K_r)\psi = \mathbf{f}$, $\gamma_-(\psi) = \mathbf{g}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\psi, \psi^*) &= \langle \mathbf{P}(x, \omega, E, D)\psi, \psi^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle (\Sigma - \mathbf{K}_r)\psi, \psi^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &+ \langle \gamma_-(\psi), \gamma_-(\psi^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m), a_j(\cdot, E_m)\psi_j^*(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{f}, \psi^* \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle \mathbf{g}, \gamma_-(\psi^*) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} = \mathbf{F}(\psi^*) \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

and so (113) holds. The claim (114) is similarly proved which completes the proof. \square

5. INVERSE RADIATION TREATMENT PROBLEM

5.1. Radiation Treatment Planning. Radiation therapy aims to generate dose distributions in such a way that the desired dose conforms to the target volume, while the healthy tissue and especially the so-called critical organs achieve as low dose as possible. Dose can be delivered externally (external therapy) or internally (internal therapy or brachytherapy). The determination of (optimal) incoming external particle fluxes through the patches of patient surface or internal sources located inside the patient tissue is the basic task in treatment planning known as the *inverse radiation treatment planning*. We recall some details from [39].

The patient domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ consists of the tumor volume \mathcal{T} , the critical organ region \mathcal{C} and the normal tissue region \mathcal{N} , as a mutually disjoint union $G = \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{N}$. We assume that the sets \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{N} are Lebesgue measurable. The tumor volume, that is the target, includes the tumor and some safety margin around it. Critical organs and normal tissue are build up of healthy tissue, and must be conserved during the treatment as well as possible. In the sequel we only deal with the inverse treatment planning problem in the context of the stationary Boltzmann transport equation (i.e. we omit time dependency here which could be treated analogously after relevant modifications).

In radiation therapy the dose absorbed from the particle field $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ is defined by the functional

$$D(x) = (D\psi)(x) := \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{S \times I} \varsigma_j(x, E) \psi_j(x, \omega, E) d\omega dE, \quad (119)$$

where $\varsigma_j \in L^\infty(G \times I)$, $\varsigma_j \geq 0$ are the so-called (*total*) *stopping powers*. The component fields of ψ , relevant to photon and electron radiation therapy, are $\psi_1 =$ photons, $\psi_2 =$ electrons and $\psi_3 =$ positrons. We find that $D : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is a bounded linear operator and its adjoint operator $D^* : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ is simply a multiplication type operator,

$$D^*d = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \varsigma_3)d, \quad \text{for } d \in L^2(G).$$

We describe below shortly an optimization problem related to inverse radiation treatment planning.

In optimization the dose $D = D(x)$ is computed from (119) where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ satisfies the *coupled system of transport equations*,

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi = f_1, \quad (120)$$

$$a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j + \Sigma_j \psi_j - K_{r,j} \psi = f_j, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (121)$$

$$\psi|_{\Gamma_-} = \mathbf{g}, \quad \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (122)$$

We formulate the equations (120), (121), (122) in the more concise way. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\psi &:= \left(\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi, \right. \\ &a_2(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial E} + b_2(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_2 + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_2 + \Sigma_2 \psi_2 - K_{r,2} \psi, \\ &\left. a_3(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial E} + b_3(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_3 + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_3 + \Sigma_3 \psi_3 - K_{r,3} \psi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let $\mathbf{T} := (\mathbf{A}, \gamma_-) : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \times T(\Gamma_-)^3$ be the unbounded linear operator with the domain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{T}) &:= \{ \psi \in \mathbb{H} \mid \mathbf{T}\psi = (\mathbf{A}\psi, \gamma_-(\psi)) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \times T(\Gamma_-)^3, \\ &\psi_2(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = \psi_3(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ is a solution of the problem (120), (121), (122) if and only if

$$\mathbf{T}\psi = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) \quad (123)$$

and under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 \mathbf{T} is invertible and so

$$\psi = \mathbf{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) =: \psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}). \quad (124)$$

The generated dose is then

$$D = D(x) = (D(\mathbf{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))) (x), \quad x \in G.$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) := D(\psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})).$$

Then we have

Lemma 5.1 The dose operator $\mathcal{D} : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \times T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is linear and bounded, i.e.

$$\|\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}).$$

Proof. We see that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = D(\mathbf{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))$ and so \mathcal{D} is linear. Since $D : L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is bounded we have by (104)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(G)} &= \|D(\psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))\|_{L^2(G)} \leq \|D\| \|\psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ &\leq \|D\| \|\psi(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq \|D\| C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Commonly used *physical criteria* for the dose are the following ones. We demand that

$$D(x) = D_0, \quad x \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (125)$$

$$D(x) \leq D_C, \quad x \in \mathcal{C}, \quad (126)$$

$$D(x) \leq D_N, \quad x \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (127)$$

where D_0 is the prescribed (usually uniform) dose in the target \mathcal{T} and where D_C and D_N are the allowed upper bounds (usually uniforms as well) in the critical organ \mathcal{C} and normal tissue \mathcal{N} regions, respectively. Instead of (125) one may require for more flexibility (when considering the so-called feasible solutions) that only

$$d_T \leq D(x) \leq D_T, \quad x \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (128)$$

where D_T and d_T are upper and lower bounds for dose in the target.

In addition to the above requirements in modern planning, one imposes so-called *dose volume constraints* for the dose distribution, especially for the critical organ region (but also for some other tissue region's similar dose volume constraints may be considered). Dose volume constraint demands that the dose $D(x)$ cannot be greater than some prescribed dose level, say d_C , in a prescribed volume fraction v_C of \mathcal{C} . This can be expressed as follows

$$\frac{m(\{x \in \mathcal{C} \mid D(x) \geq d_C\})}{m(\mathcal{C})} \leq v_C \quad (129)$$

where m is the 3-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Clearly the dose volume constraint is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{m(\mathcal{C})} \int_{\mathcal{C}} H(D(x) - d_C) dx \leq v_C \quad (130)$$

where H is the Heaviside function. Note that the integral in (130) exists. In practice H can be replaced with a smooth function H_ϵ which approximates it to some reasonable level of accuracy.

5.2. Object Function and the Optimization Problem. Our aim is that the above requirements (125), (126), (127), (129) for the dose distribution are valid as well as possible. For that purpose, we define the object (cost) function

$$J(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = c_{\mathcal{T}} J_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{C}} J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{N}} J_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\text{DV}} J_{\text{DV}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}), \quad (131)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) &= \|D_0 - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T})}^2, \\ J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) &= \|(D_C - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))_-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2, \\ J_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) &= \|(D_N - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))_-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N})}^2, \\ J_{\text{DV}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) &= \left(\left(v_C - \frac{1}{m(\mathcal{C})} \int_{\mathcal{C}} H(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})(x) - d_C) dx \right)_- \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and where $c_{\mathcal{T}}, c_{\mathcal{C}}, c_{\mathcal{N}}, c_{\text{DV}}$ are non-negative weights with which one controls the different priorities in the optimization. Here a_- denotes the *negative part* of $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$a_- = -\min\{a, 0\} = \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a).$$

In external radiotherapy $\mathbf{f} = 0$ and in internal radiotherapy $\mathbf{g} = 0$ (from the mathematical point of view both can, of course, be non-zero and the below considerations apply after relevant modifications for this more general case). Thus the corresponding object functions in usual practice are

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{g}) &:= J(0, \mathbf{g}) \quad (\text{external radiotherapy}) \text{ and} \\ J_{\text{in}}(\mathbf{f}) &:= J(\mathbf{f}, 0) \quad (\text{internal radiotherapy}). \end{aligned}$$

The *admissible sets* for the optimal control problems are respectively

$$U_{\text{ad}} = \{\mathbf{g} \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \mid \mathbf{g} \geq 0\} \quad (\text{external radiotherapy})$$

and

$$U'_{\text{ad}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \mid \mathbf{f} \geq 0\} \quad (\text{internal radiotherapy}).$$

They both are convex sets (cones) of the ambient spaces. If (in practical optimization) one wants to take the whole ambient space as an admissible set that is, $U_{\text{ad}} = T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ or respectively $U'_{\text{ad}} = L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ one must add to the object function the penalty term

$$+c_{\text{ad}} J_{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{g}), \text{ where, } J_{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{g}) = \|\mathbf{g}_-\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}^2 \quad (\text{external radiotherapy})$$

and

$$+c_{ad'}J_{ad'}(\mathbf{f}), \text{ where } J_{ad'}(\mathbf{f}) = \|\mathbf{f}_-\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2 \text{ (internal radiotherapy).}$$

These take care of the non-negativity of the incoming flux or source, respectively. In theory as well as in practice, it is also reasonable to add *stabilizing cost terms* correspondingly

$$+c_{sc}J_{sc}(\mathbf{g}) \text{ where } J_{sc}(\mathbf{g}) = \|\psi(0, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2 \text{ or } J_{sc}(\mathbf{g}) = \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}^2, \quad (132)$$

or

$$+c_{sc'}J_{sc'}(\mathbf{f}) \text{ where } J_{sc'}(\mathbf{f}) = \|\psi(\mathbf{f}, 0)\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2 \text{ or } J_{sc'}(\mathbf{f}) = \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2. \quad (133)$$

As a conclusion, we have for the external therapy the object function

$$J_{ex}(\mathbf{g}) = c_{\mathcal{T}}J_{\mathcal{T}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{C}}J_{\mathcal{C}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{N}}J_{\mathcal{N}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{DV}J_{DV}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{sc}J_{sc}(\mathbf{g}), \quad (134)$$

when $U_{ad} = \{\mathbf{g} \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \mid \mathbf{g} \geq 0\}$ or

$$J_{ex}(\mathbf{g}) = c_{\mathcal{T}}J_{\mathcal{T}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{C}}J_{\mathcal{C}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{\mathcal{N}}J_{\mathcal{N}}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{DV}J_{DV}(0, \mathbf{g}) + c_{ad}J_{ad}(\mathbf{g}) + c_{sc}J_{sc}(\mathbf{g}). \quad (135)$$

when $U_{ad} = T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$. The object function $J_{in}(\mathbf{f})$ for the internal therapy is formulated analogously.

With these concepts the overall *optimal control initial inflow boundary value problem* can be stated as : Find the *global minimum*

$$\min\{J_{ex}(\mathbf{g}) \mid \mathbf{g} \in U_{ad}\} \quad \text{(external radiotherapy),} \quad (136)$$

or

$$\min\{J_{in}(\mathbf{f}) \mid \mathbf{f} \in U'_{ad}\} \quad \text{(internal radiotherapy),} \quad (137)$$

where U_{ad} or U'_{ad} , respectively, is chosen in the way explained above.

In [39], section 8 we showed some features (such as convexity and Lipschitz continuity properties) of the above object functions. The search of global minimum requires usually *global optimization methods* ([32], [33]). Global optimization algorithms in turn require an *initial point*. In the following section we shall give one option to calculate a potential initial point.

5.3. Computation of Initial Solutions.

One possibility to help the optimization process is to compute the initial solution for actual (global) optimization scheme as accurately and rapidly as possible. We suggest the following approach, and consider its details mainly in the case of the external radiotherapy. The computations for internal radiotherapy (formulated below) are analogous and thus omitted. The formulas related to the optimal control system are written below by using the relevant *variational equations*. The approach has some obvious benefits. For example, the finite element method (FEM) schemes can be naturally implemented applying this formulation.

Let $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$ and $\mathbf{g} \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$. Recall that under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 ψ is a solution of the problem

$$\omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_1 + \Sigma_1 \psi_1 - K_{r,1} \psi = f_1, \quad (138)$$

$$a_j(x, E) \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial E} + b_j(x, E) \Delta_S \psi_j + \omega \cdot \nabla_x \psi_j + \Sigma_j \psi_j - K_{r,j} \psi = f_j, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (139)$$

$$\psi|_{\Gamma_-} = \mathbf{g}, \quad \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3. \quad (140)$$

if and only if

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = \mathbf{F}(v), \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}} \quad (141)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the bilinear form given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = & - \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle \psi_j, a_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial E} \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} - \sum_{j=2,3} \left\langle \psi_j, \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial E} v_j \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ & - \langle \psi, \omega \cdot \nabla_x v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \nabla_S \psi_j, b_j(x, E) \nabla_S v_j \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)} \\ & + \langle \psi, (\Sigma^* - \mathbf{K}_r^*) v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \\ & + \langle \gamma_+(\psi), \gamma_+(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_+)^3} - \sum_{j=2,3} \langle \psi_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0), a_j(\cdot, E_0) v_j(\cdot, \cdot, E_0) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S)}. \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

Here \mathbf{F} is the linear form

$$\mathbf{F}(v) = \langle \mathbf{f}, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + \langle \mathbf{g}, v \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}, \quad v \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (143)$$

and $\Sigma^* = \Sigma$ and K_r^* is the adjoint of K_r . Note that the bilinear form $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ is not symmetric. The existence result of the solution to the problem (141) or equivalently to the problem (138), (139), (140) has been given above in Theorem 4.5.

In external radiotherapy $\mathbf{f} = 0$ and so we have $\psi = \psi(0, \mathbf{g})$ and $\mathcal{D}(0, \mathbf{g}) = D(\psi(0, \mathbf{g}))$. We shall denote more shortly $\psi(\mathbf{g}) = \psi(0, \mathbf{g})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{g}) = \mathcal{D}(0, \mathbf{g})$. Suppose that $d_{\mathcal{T}} \in L^2(\mathcal{T})$, $d_{\mathcal{C}} \in L^2(\mathcal{C})$, $d_{\mathcal{N}} \in L^2(\mathcal{N})$ are some given dose distributions (for example, they may be constants). We define an object function

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{g}) = & c_{\mathcal{T}} \|d_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T})}^2 + c_{\mathcal{C}} \|d_{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2 \\ & + c_{\mathcal{N}} \|d_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N})}^2 + c_{\text{sc}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

with strictly positive constants $c_{\mathcal{T}}, c_{\mathcal{C}}, c_{\mathcal{N}}, c_{\text{sc}} > 0$. In practice, only one type of particles are inflowing simultaneously (usually photons or electrons) and so only one component of \mathbf{g} is non-zero but we can formulate a more general result which includes this more realistic situation. We denote

$$\mathbf{F}(v) = (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{g})(v) := \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\partial G \times S \times I} (\omega \cdot \nu)_- g_j v_j d\sigma d\omega dE = \langle \mathbf{g}, \gamma_-(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}$$

and, as before,

$$U_{\text{ad}} = \{\mathbf{g} \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \mid \mathbf{g} \geq 0\}.$$

We shall write

$$a_+ = \max\{0, a\} = \frac{1}{2}(a + |a|)$$

for the positive part of $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_+ = ((a_1)_+, (a_2)_+, (a_3)_+)$ when $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. As in [39] (Theorem 8.7) we have the following optimality result.

Theorem 5.2 Suppose that the assumptions (74), (81), (83), (86), 87, (88), (89), (90) (of Theorem 4.5) are satisfied. Then the minimum $\min_{\mathbf{g} \in U_{\text{ad}}} J(\mathbf{g})$ exists and it is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} (\gamma_-(\psi^*))_+, \quad (145)$$

where the pair $(\psi, \psi^*) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ is the unique solution of the *coupled (non-linear) system* of variational equations (recall the dose operator D from (119))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) &+ c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \\ &= c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle d_{\mathcal{T}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle d_{\mathcal{C}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle d_{\mathcal{N}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} (\gamma_-(\psi^*))_+, \gamma_-(v) \right\rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

for all $v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 the dose operator $\mathcal{D} : T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is a bounded linear operator. Hence the object function $J : T^2(\Gamma_-)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and strictly convex (see e.g. the proof of Theorem 8.3 given in [39]; note that the last term of J makes it strictly convex). Furthermore, J is bounded from below (in fact, it is non-negative), $U_{\text{ad}} \subset T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ is convex and $\lim_{\|\mathbf{g}\|_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} \rightarrow \infty, \mathbf{g} \in U_{\text{ad}}} J(\mathbf{g}) = \infty$. Therefore, there exists a unique minimum $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ of J in U_{ad} and the necessary and sufficient conditions for the minimality of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ are (see e.g. [27], [46])

$$J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})(w - \bar{\mathbf{g}}) \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in U_{\text{ad}}, \quad (147)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}), v) = (\mathbf{F}\bar{\mathbf{g}})(v), \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (148)$$

Let

$$(e_{\mathcal{T}}h)(x) = \begin{cases} h(x), & x \in \mathcal{T} \\ 0, & x \in G \setminus \mathcal{T} \end{cases}$$

for a function $h \in L^2(\mathcal{T})$ (that is, $e_{\mathcal{T}}h$ is the extension by zero of h onto G) and similarly we define extensions by zero for functions $h \in L^2(\mathcal{C})$ and $h \in L^2(\mathcal{N})$ onto G . The differential of $J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})$ for $w \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ is, recalling that $\mathcal{D}\bar{\mathbf{g}} = D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})w &= 2c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{T}}, D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + 2c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{C}}, D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} \\ &\quad + 2c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{N}}, D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} + 2c_{\text{sc}} \langle \bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} \\ &= 2c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle e_{\mathcal{T}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{T}}), D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)} + 2c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle e_{\mathcal{C}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{C}}), D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)} \\ &\quad + 2c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle e_{\mathcal{N}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{N}}), D\psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)} + 2c_{\text{sc}} \langle \bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} \\ &= 2c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D^*e_{\mathcal{T}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{T}}), \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)^3} + 2c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D^*e_{\mathcal{C}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{C}}), \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)^3} \\ &\quad + 2c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D^*e_{\mathcal{N}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{N}}), \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G)^3} + 2c_{\text{sc}} \langle \bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

Denoting

$$\mathbf{f}^* := c_{\mathcal{T}}D^*e_{\mathcal{T}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{T}}) + c_{\mathcal{C}}D^*e_{\mathcal{C}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{C}}) + c_{\mathcal{N}}D^*e_{\mathcal{N}}(D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{N}}), \quad (150)$$

we have $\mathbf{f}^* \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3$, and so by Theorem 4.8 there exists a unique $\psi^* \in \mathbb{H}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) = -\langle \mathbf{f}^*, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}, \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (151)$$

Let $w \in T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$. Then by the above notations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(w), v) = (\mathbf{F}w)(v), \quad \forall v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (152)$$

By Lemma 4.11 $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi(w))$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(w), \psi^*)$ are well-defined and (151) and (152) are valid with $v = \psi(w)$ and $v = \psi^*$, respectively that is,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi(w)) = -\langle \mathbf{f}^*, \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}, \quad (153)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(w), \psi^*) = (\mathbf{F}w)(\psi^*) = \langle \gamma_-(\psi^*), w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}. \quad (154)$$

Moreover, by (115)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi(w)) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(w), \psi^*). \quad (155)$$

Hence we have by (153), (154), (155)

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle \mathbf{f}^*, \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} &= -2\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, \psi(w)) \\ &= -2\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi(w), \psi^*) = \langle -2\gamma_-(\psi^*), w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

Combining the previous expressions (150), (149) and (156) thus leads to

$$J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})w = 2 \langle \mathbf{f}^*, \psi(w) \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} + 2c_{\text{sc}} \langle \bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} = \langle -2\gamma_-(\psi^*) + 2c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}. \quad (157)$$

Note that $w + \bar{\mathbf{g}} \in U_{\text{ad}}$ when $w \in U_{\text{ad}}$. Choosing for w in the condition (147) subsequently $w + \bar{\mathbf{g}}$ and 0, we see that

$$J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})w \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in U_{\text{ad}} \quad (158)$$

$$-J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})\bar{\mathbf{g}} \geq 0. \quad (159)$$

From (158), (159) it follows that

$$J'(\bar{\mathbf{g}})\bar{\mathbf{g}} = 0. \quad (160)$$

By (157) and (158) one has

$$\langle -\gamma_-(\psi^*) + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{g}}, w \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in U_{\text{ad}}, \quad (161)$$

and so for each component $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$-\gamma_-(\psi_j^*) + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{g}_j \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Gamma_-. \quad (162)$$

Moreover, due to (160) we have

$$\langle -\gamma_-(\psi^*) + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \bar{\mathbf{g}} \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{g}_j (-\gamma_-(\psi_j^*) + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{g}_j) = 0, \quad (163)$$

and so by (162) for each $j = 1, 2, 3$ (since also $\bar{g}_j \geq 0$),

$$\bar{g}_j (-\gamma_-(\psi_j^*) + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{g}_j) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Gamma_-.$$

From this, using again (162), one concludes that

$$\bar{g}_j = \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} \max\{0, \gamma_-(\psi_j^*)\}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

which is the claim (145). Finally, substituting this into the equation (148) we get from (148) and (151)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) &= (\mathbf{F}\bar{\mathbf{g}})(v) = \langle \bar{\mathbf{g}}, \gamma_-(v) \rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) &= -\langle \mathbf{f}^*, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{f}^*, v \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} &= c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{T}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{C}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} \\ &\quad + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D\psi(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) - d_{\mathcal{N}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})}, \end{aligned}$$

we get the system of equations (146) for the pair (ψ, ψ^*) . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.3 If we choose the whole space $\tilde{U}_{\text{ad}} = T^2(\Gamma_-)^3$ as an admissible set instead of U_{ad} (i.e. if non-negativity of admissible controls was not imposed), we would find by considerations similar to those in the above proof that the following variation of Theorem 5.2 holds:

Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.2, the minimum $\min_{\mathbf{g} \in \tilde{U}_{\text{ad}}} J(\mathbf{g})$ exists and it is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} \gamma_-(\psi^*) \quad (164)$$

where $\psi^* \in \mathbb{H}$ is obtained from the solution of the *coupled linear system* of variational equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) + c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \\ = c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle d_{\mathcal{T}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle d_{\mathcal{C}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle d_{\mathcal{N}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \quad (165) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = \left\langle \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} \gamma_-(\psi^*), \gamma_-(v) \right\rangle_{T^2(\Gamma_-)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}$.

By using this technique the initial solution for the global optimization problem would be taken to be $\frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}}(\gamma_-(\psi^*))_+$. We point out that the equations (165) are linear, since $\psi^* \mapsto \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}}\gamma_-(\psi^*)$ is linear, and therefore no iteration scheme is necessarily required in solving them. Presumably, however, the solution of non-linear optimization problem given in Theorem 5.2 should give a more accurate initial solution for the global optimization problem. Similar observation is concerning for the internal therapy optimization described below.

In the internal therapy $\mathbf{g} = 0$ and so $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{f}) := \psi(\mathbf{f}, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}) := D(\psi(\mathbf{f}))$. The object function for the initial solution may be

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{f}) = c_{\mathcal{T}} \|d_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T})}^2 + c_{\mathcal{C}} \|d_{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2 \quad (166) \\ + c_{\mathcal{N}} \|d_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N})}^2 + c_{\text{sc}} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}^2. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U'_{\text{ad}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in L^2(G \times S \times I)^3 \mid \mathbf{f} \geq 0\}.$$

By arguments analogous to those used to prove Theorem 5.2 lead to the next result.

Theorem 5.4 Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 hold. Then the minimum $\min_{\mathbf{f} \in U'_{\text{ad}}} J(\mathbf{f})$ exists at the point $\mathbf{f} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} \in U'_{\text{ad}}$ where

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} (\psi^*)_+, \quad (167)$$

and $\psi^* \in \mathbb{H}$ is obtained from the solution of the *coupled non-linear system* of variational equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(\psi^*, v) + c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle D\psi, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \\ = c_{\mathcal{T}} \langle d_{\mathcal{T}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{T})} + c_{\mathcal{C}} \langle d_{\mathcal{C}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + c_{\mathcal{N}} \langle d_{\mathcal{N}}, Dv \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{N})} \quad (168) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\psi, v) = \left\langle \frac{1}{c_{\text{sc}}} (\psi^*)_+, v \right\rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}}$.

Proof. We shall content ourselves here with sketching briefly the part of proof leading to (167). Computations similar to those leading to (161) in the proof of Theorem 5.2, would give in the current context,

$$\langle -\psi^* + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{f}}, w \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in U'_{\text{ad}}, \quad (169)$$

hence

$$-\psi^* + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{f}} \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } G \times S \times I,$$

and those leading to (163) would give

$$\langle -\psi^* + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{f}}, \bar{\mathbf{f}} \rangle_{L^2(G \times S \times I)^3} = 0.$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{f}} \geq 0$ as $\bar{\mathbf{f}} \in U'_{\text{ad}}$, we thus get

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}(-\psi^* + c_{\text{sc}}\bar{\mathbf{f}}) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } G \times S \times I, \quad (170)$$

from which (167) easily follows. \square

As we mentioned these solutions can be utilized as an initial point for the global optimization schemes but they are not ready solutions for the treatment planning. In [39], at the end of section 8.2.3, we have discussed some other (special) admissible sets.

Remark 5.5 We finally remark that an optimization scheme can be formulated in such a way that in external therapy the device (such as MLC) parameters, say \mathbf{p} , are *directly* as decision parameters both in static and dynamical delivery techniques. This is based on the fact that the incoming flux \mathbf{g} can be expressed as a function of these parameters, say $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{p})$. Substitution of this expression $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{p})$ to $\mathcal{D}(0, \mathbf{g})$ gives the object function (6) as a function of \mathbf{p} . This approach would have obvious advantages, for example, device constraints could be taken into account directly in optimization. The resulting object function is, however, highly multiextremal, and thus seeking its global minimum is rendered more difficult.

6. APPENDIX

In this Appendix we expose (without proofs) the change of variables from (x, ω, E) -coordinates to (x, v) -coordinates where v is the velocity of particles.

6.1. Transport problem in space-velocity coordinates. We perform the change of variables where (ω, E) is replaced with the velocity variable v . Denote $r_0 := \sqrt{E_0}$, $r_m := \sqrt{E_m}$ and $B := B(0, r_m) \setminus \bar{B}(0, r_0)$. Let S_0 be the intersection of $S = S_2$ and the half plane $\mathcal{T}_2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x = (x_1, 0, x_3), x_1 \geq 0\}$ and let B_0 be the intersection of B and \mathcal{T}_2 . Furthermore, let $h : (S \setminus S_0) \times I^\circ \rightarrow B \setminus B_0$ be the diffeomorphism

$$h(\omega, E) := \sqrt{E}\omega.$$

This diffeomorphism (change of variables) is motivated by the dynamic equation $E = \frac{1}{2}m|v|^2$ where v is the velocity of particles. We find that

$$h^{-1}(v) = \left(\frac{v}{|v|}, |v|^2 \right).$$

Furthermore, define $H : G \times (S \setminus S_0) \times I^\circ \rightarrow G \times (B \setminus B_0)$ by

$$H(x, \omega, E) := (x, h(\omega, E)) = (x, \sqrt{E}\omega) = (x, v).$$

Then H is a (C^∞) diffeomorphism and

$$H^{-1}(x, v) = \left(x, \frac{v}{|v|}, |v|^2 \right).$$

Let

$$T\psi := a(x, E)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial E} + b(x, E)\Delta_S\psi + \omega \cdot \nabla_x\psi + \Sigma\psi - K_r\psi. \quad (171)$$

We express the transport problem

$$T\psi = f \text{ in } G \times S \times I, \quad \psi|_{\Gamma_-} = g(y, \omega, E), \quad \psi(\cdot, \cdot, E_m) = 0 \quad (172)$$

in v -coordinates. The equation (171) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial E}\right) \circ H^{-1} + (b(x, E)\Delta_S\psi) \circ H^{-1} + (\omega \cdot \nabla_x\psi) \circ H^{-1} \\ & + (\Sigma\psi) \circ H^{-1} - (K_r\psi) \circ H^{-1} = f \circ H^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

in $G \times (B \setminus B_0)$. The terms $\left(a\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial E}\right) \circ H^{-1}$, $(b(x, E)\Delta_S\psi) \circ H^{-1}$, $(\omega \cdot \nabla_x\psi) \circ H^{-1}$, $(\Sigma\psi) \circ H^{-1}$, $(K_r\psi) \circ H^{-1}$ can be computed where the most work is required for the term $(b(x, E)\Delta_S\psi) \circ H^{-1}$.

Let $\Psi := \psi \circ H^{-1}$ or equivalently $\psi = \Psi \circ H$. The resulting transport equation in the new coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T\Psi &= \tilde{b}(x, v)P(x, v, D)\Psi + (\tilde{a}(x, v) - 2\tilde{b}(x, v))(v \cdot \nabla_v\Psi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{|v|}(v \cdot \nabla_x\Psi) + \tilde{\Sigma}\Psi - \tilde{K}_r\Psi \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}(x, v) = \frac{1}{2|v|^2}a(x, |v|^2), \quad \tilde{b}(x, v) = b(x, |v|^2), \quad (175)$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(x, v) := (\Sigma \circ H^{-1})(x, v) = \Sigma(x, |v|^2) \quad (176)$$

and $P(x, v, D)\Psi$ is (here $\partial_{ij}\Psi = \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial v_i\partial v_j}$)

$$\begin{aligned} & (P(x, v, D)\Psi)(x, v) \\ &:= \frac{|v|^2v_2^2 + v_1^2v_3^2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2} (\partial_{11}\Psi)(x, v) - 2v_1v_2(\partial_{12}\Psi)(x, v) - 2v_1v_3(\partial_{13}\Psi)(x, v) - 2v_2v_3(\partial_{23}\Psi)(x, v) \\ &+ \frac{|v|^2v_1^2 + v_2^2v_3^2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2} (\partial_{22}\Psi)(x, v) + (v_1^2 + v_2^2)(\partial_{33}\Psi)(x, v). \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

The restricted collision operator is

$$\tilde{K}_r\Psi = \tilde{K}_r^1\Psi + \tilde{K}_r^2\Psi + \tilde{K}_r^3\Psi$$

where

$$(\tilde{K}_r^1\Psi)(x, v) := ((K_r^1\psi) \circ H^{-1})(x, v) = \int_{B'} \tilde{\sigma}^1(x, v', v)\Psi(x, v')dv', \quad (178)$$

$$(\tilde{K}_r^2\Psi)(x, v) := ((K_r^2\psi) \circ H^{-1})(x, v) = \int_{B'} \tilde{\sigma}^2(x, v', v)\Psi(x, v')dv', \quad (179)$$

$$(\tilde{K}_r^3\Psi)(x, v) := ((K_r^3\psi) \circ H^{-1})(x, v) = \int_{B'} \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{\sigma}^3(x, v', v)\Psi(x, \tilde{\gamma}(v', v)(s))dsdv'. \quad (180)$$

In the above formulas the restricted differential cross-sections are

$$\tilde{\sigma}^1(x, v', v) := \frac{2}{|v'|}\sigma^1\left(x, \frac{v'}{|v'|}, \frac{v}{|v|}, |v'|^2, |v|^2\right),$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2(x, v', v) := \frac{2}{|I'|} \frac{1}{|v'|} \sigma^2(x, \frac{v'}{|v'|}, \frac{v}{|v|}, |v|^2)$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}^3(x, v', v) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|v'|} \sigma^3(x, |v'|^2, |v|^2).$$

In addition,

$$\tilde{\gamma}(v', v)(s) := |v'| \gamma(|v'|^2, |v|^2, \frac{v}{|v|})(s).$$

Let

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_- := \{(y, v) \in \partial G \times B \mid v \cdot \nu(y) < 0\}.$$

Then we see that the inflow boundary condition for Ψ is

$$\Psi|_{\tilde{\Gamma}_-} = \tilde{g} \tag{181}$$

where $\tilde{g}(y, v) := g(y, \frac{v}{|v|}, |v|^2)$. The initial condition for Ψ is

$$\Psi(\cdot, v) = 0 \text{ for } |v| = r_m \tag{182}$$

where $r_m = \sqrt{E_m}$.

Via the use of these (x, v) -coordinates one can avoid the calculations on the (compact) manifold S (which may be challenging). With the same kind of analysis as above one can show the existence result of solutions (analogous to Theorem 4.5) for the problem

$$T\Psi = \tilde{f}, \quad \Psi|_{\tilde{\Gamma}_-} = \tilde{g}, \quad \Psi(\cdot, v) = 0 \text{ for } |v| = r_m.$$

Similarly the solution for the corresponding inverse problem (analogous to Theorem 5.2) can be verified. We omit all formulations here.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agoshkov V., *Boundary Value Problems for Transport Equations*, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 1998
- [2] Barnard, R., M. Frank and M. Herty. *Optimal radiotherapy treatment planning using minimum entropy models*. Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (5) pp. 2668-2679, 2012
- [3] Bellamo, N., A. Palczewsky and G. Toscani. *Mathematical Theory in Nonlinear Kinetic Theory*, World Scientific, 1988.
- [4] Boman, E., *Radiotherapy Forward and Inverse Problem Applying Boltzmann Transport Equation*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Kuopio, 2007.
- [5] Börgers, C., *Radiation Therapy Planning Problem*, Computational Radiology and Imaging: Therapy and Diagnostics, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Volume 110, 1999, pp 1-16.
- [6] Case, K.M. and P.F. Zweifel. *Linear Transport Theory*, Addison-Wesley, 1967
- [7] Cercignani, C. *The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications*, Springer, 1988
- [8] Cessenat, M. *Théorèmes de trace L^p pour des espaces de fonctions de la neutronique*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 299, Serie 1, number 16, 1984
- [9] Chupin, L. *Fokker-Planck equation in bounded domain*. Annales de L'Institut Fourier 60 (1), pp. 217-255, 2010
- [10] Dautray, R., Lions, J-L. *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Vol. 6. Evolution Problems II, Springer, 1999.
- [11] Degond, P. *Global existence of smooth solutions for the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation in 1 and 2 space dimensions*. Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e serie 19 (4), pp. 519-542, 1986
- [12] Ducloux, R., B. Dubroca and M. Frank. *Deterministic partial differential equation model for dose calculation in electron radiotherapy*. Phys. Med. Biol. 55 (13), 2010
- [13] Duderstadt, J. and W. Martin. *Transport Theory*, Wiley, 1979
- [14] Egger, H. and M. Schlottbom. *An L^p -theory for stationary radiative transfer*. Appl. Anal. 93 (6), pp. 1283-1296, 2014
- [15] Engel, K.-J., Nagel, R., *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Springer, 2000.
- [16] Frank, M., Herty, M. and Schäfer, M., *Optimal treatment planning in radiotherapy based on Boltzmann transport calculations*, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci. 18 (4), 2008, pp. 573-592

- [17] Frank, M., M. Herty and A.N. Sandjo. *Optimal radiotherapy treatment planning governed by kinetic equations*, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci. 20 (4), 2010, pp. 661-678
- [18] Friedrichs, K. O., *The identity of weak and strong extensions of differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 55, pp. 132-151, 1944.
- [19] Halmos P.R. and V.S. Sunder. *Bounded Integral Operators on L^2 Spaces*, Springer 1978
- [20] Hensel, H., R. Iza-Teran and N. Siedow. *Deterministic model for dose calculation in photon radiotherapy*. Phys. Med. Biol. 51, 2006, pp. 675-693
- [21] Herty, M. and Sandjo, A.N. . *On optimal treatment planning in radiotherapy governed by transport equations..* Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 21(2), pp. 345–359, 2011
- [22] Jörres, C. *Numerical methods for Boltzmann transport equations in radiotherapy treatment planning*. Dissertations of RWTH Aachen University, 2015
- [23] Lapeyre, B., Pardoux É., and Sentis, R. *Introduction to Monte-Carlo Methods for Transport and Diffusion Equations*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [24] Larsen E.W., M.M. Miften, B.A. Fraass and I.A.D. Bruinvis. *Electron dose calculation using the Method of Moments*, Med. Phys. 24 (1), 1997, pp. 111-125.
- [25] Le Bris, C. and P.-L. Lions. *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to Fokker-Planck type equations with irregular coefficients*. Comm. Partial Diff. Equations 33, pp. 1272-1317, 2008
- [26] Lee, J., *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, Springer, 2002.
- [27] Lions, J.L., *Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations*, Springer 1971.
- [28] Mayles, P., A Nahum and J.C. Rosenwald, editors. *Handbook of radiotherapy physics: Theory and practice*. Taylor and Francis, 2007
- [29] Mokhtar-Kharroubi, M. *Mathematical Topics in Neutron Transport Theory*, World Scientific, 1997.
- [30] Olbrant E. and M. Frank. *Generalized Fokker-Planck theory for electron and photon transport in biological tissues: Application to radiotherapy*. Comput. Math. Meth. Models 11 (4), pp. 313-339, 2010
- [31] Pazy, A. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 1983.
- [32] Pinter, J.D. *Global Optimization in Action*, Kluwer, 1996
- [33] Pinter, J.D. *How difficult is nonlinear optimization? A practical solver tuning approach, with illustrative results*, Submitted for publication, 2014
- [34] Pichard, T. *Mathematical modelling for dose deposition in photontherapy*. PhD thesis, RWTH Aachen University, 2016
- [35] Pomraning, G.C. *The Equations of Radiation Hydrodynamics*, Pergamon Press, 1973
- [36] Schneider, F. *Moment models in radiation transport equations. Theory and numerics*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, 2015
- [37] Shepard, D.M., M.C. Ferris, G.H. Olivera and T.R. Mackie. *Optimization the delivery of radiation therapy to cancer patients*. SIAM Reviews 41, 1999, pp. 721-744.
- [38] Seco, J. and Verhaegen, F., *Monte Carlo Techniques in Radiation Therapy*, CRC Press, 2013.
- [39] Tervo, J. and Kokkonen, P. *On existence of L^1 -solutions for coupled Boltzmann transport equation and radiation therapy treatment planning*, ArXiv: 1406.3228v2 [math.OC] 1 Feb 2017
- [40] Tervo, J. P. Kokkonen, M. Frank and M. Herty *On Existence of L^2 -solutions of Coupled Boltzmann Continuous Slowing Down Transport Equation System*, arXiv:1603.05534v3 [math.OC] 5 Apr 2018
- [41] Tervo, J., P. Kokkonen, M. Frank and M. Herty *On existence of solutions for Boltzmann Continuous Slowing Down transport Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 460 :1, 271-301, 2018
- [42] Tervo, J. P. Kokkonen, M. Frank and M. Herty. *On approximative linear Boltzmann transport equation for charged particle transport*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 14 (28), 2018.
- [43] Tervo, J. *On linear hypersingular Boltzmann transport equation and its variational formulation*. arXiv:1808.09631v2 [math.AP], 2018.
- [44] Tervo, J. and M.Herty *On approximation of a hyper-singular transport operator and existence of solutions*. Submitted, 2019
- [45] Tian, R. *Existence, uniqueness and regularity property of solutions to Fokker-Planck type equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (1), pp. 53-63, 2014
- [46] Tröltzsch, F. *Optimal Control of Partial Differential Equations-Theory, Methods and Applications*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2010
- [47] Ukai, S. and T. Yang. *Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation*, Reprint, City University of Hong Kong, 2010
- [48] Vassiliev, O.N., Wareing, T.A., McGhee, J., Failla, G., Salehpour, M.R. and Mourtada, F. *Validation of a new grid-based Boltzmann equation solver for dose calculation in radiotherapy with photon beams*, Phys. Med. Biol. 55 (2010) 581-598.
- [49] Villani, C. *A review of mathematical topics in collision kinetic theory*, in Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics (Vol. 1), edited by S. Friedlander and D. Serre, Elsevier Science, 2002

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED PHYSICS, P.O.Box 1627, 70211 KUOPIO, FINLAND

E-mail address: 040tervo@gmail.com