

Global wellposedness and large time behavior of solutions to the n -dimensional compressible Oldroyd-B model

Xiaoping Zhai

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

Abstract

The purpose of this work is to prove global wellposedness and large time behavior results of strong solutions for the compressible Oldroyd-B model derived by Barrett, Lu, Süli (2017). Exploiting the Harmonic analysis tools (especially Littlewood-Paley theory), we first study the global well-posedness of the model with small initial data in spaces with minor regularity. Then, assuming the low frequency part of the initial data have negative regularity, we also obtain the optical decay rates of the solutions. Compared with the result by Wang and Wen [43], the polymer number density and the stress tensor can be not near nonzero equilibrium here.

Key Words: Compressible Oldroyd-B model; Global solutions; Decay rates; Besov spaces

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 76N10, 76T10, 76T15, 74H40

1. Introduction and the main results

In this paper, we mainly consider the Cauchy problem of the following compressible Oldroyd-B model:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t \eta + \operatorname{div}(\eta u) - \alpha \Delta \eta = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau + \tau \operatorname{div} u - (\nabla u \tau + \tau \nabla^\top u) - \alpha \Delta \tau = \frac{\kappa A_0}{2\lambda_1} \eta \operatorname{Id} - \frac{A_0}{2\lambda_1} \tau, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}(\tau - (\kappa L \eta + \zeta \eta^2) \operatorname{Id}), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n = 2, 3$). Here $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the density function of the fluid, $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the velocity. The symmetric matrix function $\tau = (\tau_{i,j})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ is the

Email Addresses: zhaixp@szu.edu.cn (X. Zhai).

extra stress tensor and $\eta = \eta(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ represents the polymer number density defined as the integral of a probability density function ψ with respect to the conformation vector, which is a microscopic variable in the modeling of dilute polymer chains, i.e.

$$\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(t, x, q) dq \geq 0.$$

Here ψ is governed by the Fokker-Plank equation.

The viscosities constant μ and λ are supposed to satisfy $\mu > 0$ and $3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0$. In particular, the parameters $\kappa, L, \zeta, \alpha, A_0, \lambda_1$ are all positive numbers, whereas $\zeta \geq 0$ and $L \geq 0$ with $\zeta + L \neq 0$. The term $\kappa L \eta + \zeta \eta^2$ in the momentum equation (1.1) can be seen as the polymer pressure, compared to the fluid pressure $P(\rho)$. System (1.1) is supplemented with the initial data

$$(\rho, u, \eta, \tau)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), \eta_0(x), \tau_0(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

and with far field behaviors

$$\rho \rightarrow \bar{\rho}, \quad u \rightarrow 0, \quad \eta \rightarrow 0, \quad \tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Micro-macro models of dilute polymeric fluids that arise from statistical physics are based on coupling the Navier- Stokes system to the Fokker-Planck equation. In these models polymer molecules are idealized as chains of massless beads, linearly connected with inextensible rods or elastic springs. The model we consider here was first derived by Barrett, Lu, and Süli [3] via micro-macro analysis of the compressible Navier-Stokes-Fokker-Planck system studied in a series of papers by Barrett and Süli [2]–[7]. Barrett and Süli [3] obtained the global-in-time finite-energy weak solutions with large initial data in \mathbb{R}^2 . However, the uniqueness of the global weak solution is still open. Later, Lu and Zhang [34] proved the local wellposedness, weak-strong uniqueness and a refined blow-up criterion involving only the upper bound of the fluid density. Wang and Wen [43] obtained the global wellposedness of (1.1) as well as associated time-decay estimates in Sobolev space, if the initial data is near a nonzero equilibrium state. Most recently, the author of the present paper justify the low

Mach number convergence to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model for viscous compressible Oldroyd-B model in the ill-prepared data case. When neglecting the stress diffusion in (1.1) and assuming further the extra stress tensor is a scalar matrix, Lu and Pokorný [33] obtained the global weak solutions with large data, Zhai [47] obtained the global strong solutions with small initial data.

It is interesting to note that the model (1.1) with $\eta = 0$ is related to the following compressible Oldroyd-B model, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u) - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla P = \mu_1 \operatorname{div} \tau, \\ \partial_t \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau + g(\tau, \nabla u) + \beta \tau = \mu_2 D(u), \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $D(u)$ is the symmetric part of ∇u , and $\Omega(u)$ is the skew-symmetric part of ∇u , namely

$$D(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top), \quad \Omega(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - (\nabla u)^\top),$$

and

$$g(\tau, \nabla u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau \Omega(u) - \Omega(u) \tau - b(D(u) \tau + \tau D(u)), \quad b \text{ is a parameter in } [-1, 1].$$

The Oldroyd-B model attracts continuous attentions of mathematicians, however, there has few known results concerning compressible Oldroyd-B models of (1.4). Lei [27] and Gullopé *et al.* [20] studied the incompressible limit problem of the compressible Oldroyd-B model in a torus and bounded domain \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively. Recently, Zi [49] obtained the global small solutions of (1.4) in the critical L^2 Besov spaces. The author in the present paper generalized the results of [49] about (1.4) without damping mechanism to the critical L^p spaces. For the compressible Oldroyd type model based on the deformation tensor, see the results [25], [26], [37], [39] and references therein. Let ρ be constant, the system (1.4) reduces to be the incompressible Oldroyd-B model, which has made rather rich results, see [11], [19], [21], [22], [30], [40].

Let us give now more details on the form of the solutions that we are going to consider. For convenience, to make the whole paper seem net, we assume all the coefficient appeared

in the (1.1) equal to one. Let $\bar{\rho} = 1$ in (1.3) and define $\rho = 1 + a$, we can reformulate the system (1.1) into the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} u = -\operatorname{div}(au), \\ \partial_t \eta - \Delta \eta = -\operatorname{div}(\eta u), \\ \partial_t \tau + \tau + (u \cdot \nabla) \tau - \Delta \tau = \eta \operatorname{Id} + F(\tau, u), \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla a = \operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta + G(a, u, \eta, \tau), \\ (a, u, \eta, \tau)|_{t=0} = (a_0(x), u_0(x), \eta_0(x), \tau_0(x)), \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$F(\tau, u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nabla u \tau + \tau \nabla^\top u) - \tau \operatorname{div} u,$$

$$G(a, u, \eta, \tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(a) \nabla a - I(a) (\Delta u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u) - I(a) (\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta) - (1 - I(a)) \eta \nabla \eta.$$

The first main result of the paper is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (*Local wellposedness*) *Let $n = 2, 3$ and $1 < p < 2n$. For any $u_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(\eta_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 + a_0$ bounded away from zero. Then there exists a positive time T such that*

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}), \quad u \in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}), \\ (\eta, \tau) &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}), \quad \tau \in L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the solution is uniqueness for any $1 < p < n$.

Remark 1.2. For $n \leq p < 2n$ we conjecture that the uniqueness also holds by using the Lagrangian methods developed in [16].

Remark 1.3. The solutions constructed here allow the regularity exponent $n/p - 1$ for the velocity becomes negative. Our result thus applies to large highly oscillating initial velocities (see [12] for more explanation).

Before presenting the second result of the paper, we give the following notation. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of rapidly decreasing functions over \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its dual space. For

any $z \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the lower and higher frequency parts are expressed as

$$z^\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \leq j_0} \Delta_j z \quad \text{and} \quad z^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j > j_0} \Delta_j z$$

for some fixed integer $j_0 \geq 1$ (the value of which follows from the proof of the main theorems). The corresponding truncated semi-norms are defined as follows:

$$\|z\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}^\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|z^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|z\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|z^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}.$$

Denote

$$\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{-\Delta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{Q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{I} - \nabla \Delta^{-1} \text{div}.$$

The second main result of the paper is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.4. (*Global wellposedness*) *Let $n = 2, 3$ and*

$$2 \leq p \leq \min(4, 2n/(n-2)) \quad \text{and, additionally, } p \neq 4 \text{ if } n = 2.$$

For any $(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta_0^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau_0^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $(a_0^h, \tau_0^h) \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(u_0^h, \eta_0^h) \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If there exists a positive constant c_0 such that,

$$\|(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\tau_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \|(a_0^h, \tau_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|(u_0^h, \eta_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \leq c_0, \quad (1.6)$$

then the system (1.5) has a unique global solution (a, u, η, τ) so that for any $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a^\ell &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}), & a^h &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}), \\ u^\ell &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}), & u^h &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}), \\ \eta^\ell &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}), & \eta^h &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}), \\ \tau^\ell &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}), & \tau^h &\in C_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}) \cap L^1([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exists some constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(a, u)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell \\ &+ \|(u, \eta)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})}^h + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h + \|(a, u)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})}^\ell + \|(\eta, \tau)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell \\ &+ \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2})} + \|(a, \tau)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h + \|(u, \eta)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2})}^h \leq Cc_0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.5. Compared with the result by Wang and Wen [43], the polymer number density and the stress tensor can be not near nonzero equilibrium here.

With the global solutions constructed above, next, a natural problem is what is the large time asymptotic behavior of this solutions. The study of the large-time behavior of solutions to the partial different equations is also an old subject. We refer for instance to [18], [44] for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and [37], [43], [45] for Oldroyd-B model.

One can now state the third result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.6. (*Optical decay*) *Let (a, u, η, τ) be the global small solutions addressed by Theorem 1.4. For any $\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n}{p} \leq \sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and $(a_0, u_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the following time-decay rate*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{\gamma_1}(a, u)\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_1-\sigma}{2}}, & \forall \gamma_1 \in \left(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + \sigma, \frac{n}{p} - 1\right], \\ \|\Lambda^{\gamma_2}\eta\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_2-\sigma+1}{2}}, & \forall \gamma_2 \in \left(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} - 1 + \sigma, \frac{n}{p} - 1\right], \\ \|\Lambda^{\gamma_3}\tau\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_3-\sigma-1}{2}}, & \forall \gamma_3 \in \left(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \sigma, \frac{n}{p}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.7. Let $p = 2$, one can deduce from above decay estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{\gamma_1}(a, u)\|_{L^2} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\gamma_1}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \\ \|\Lambda^{\gamma_2}\eta\|_{L^2} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\gamma_2}{2}+\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}, \quad \|\Lambda^{\gamma_3}\tau\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\gamma_3}{2}+\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the heat flows, thus our decay rate is optimal in some sense.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the Littlewood-Paley theory and give some useful lemmas about product laws, commutators estimates in Besov spaces. In Section 3, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we use three subsections to prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 5, we shall prove the decay rate of Theorem 1.6. In the Appendix A, we give some new product laws in Besov spaces.

Let us complete this section by describing the notations which will be used in the sequel.

Notations: For two operators A and B , we denote $[A, B] = AB - BA$, the commutator between A and B . The letter C stands for a generic constant whose meaning is clear from

the context. We write $a \lesssim b$ instead of $a \leq Cb$. Given a Banach space X , we shall denote $\|(a, b)\|_X = \|a\|_X + \|b\|_X$.

For X a Banach space and I an interval of \mathbb{R} , we denote by $C(I; X)$ the set of continuous functions on I with values in X , and by $C_b(I; X)$ the subset of bounded functions of $C(I; X)$. For $q \in [1, +\infty]$, $L^q(I; X)$ stands for the set of measurable functions on I with values in X , such that $t \mapsto \|f(t)\|_X$ belongs to $L^q(I)$. For short, we write $L_T^q(X)$ instead of $L^q((0, T); X)$. We always let $(d_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a generic element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_j = 1$.

2. Preliminaries

For readers' convenience, in this section, we list some basic knowledge on Littlewood-Paley theory. The Littlewood-Paley decomposition plays a central role in our analysis. To define it, fix some smooth radial non increasing function χ supported in the ball $B(0, \frac{4}{3})$ of \mathbb{R}^n , and with value 1 on, say, $B(0, \frac{3}{4})$, then set $\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\frac{\xi}{2}) - \chi(\xi)$. We have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Supp} \varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}.$$

The homogeneous dyadic blocks $\dot{\Delta}_j$ are defined on tempered distributions by

$$\dot{\Delta}_j u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi(2^{-j} D) u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) \mathcal{F} u).$$

Let us remark that, for any homogeneous function A of order 0 smooth outside 0, we have

$$\forall p \in [1, \infty], \quad \|\dot{\Delta}_j(A(D)u)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let p, r be in $[1, +\infty]$ and s in \mathbb{R} , $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define the Besov norm by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|(2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p})_j\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

We then define the spaces $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n), \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty\}$, where $u \in \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n)$ means that $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} \|\dot{S}_j u\|_{L^\infty} = 0$ (see Definition 1.26 of [1]).

When employing parabolic estimates in Besov spaces, it is somehow natural to take the time-Lebesgue norm before performing the summation for computing the Besov norm. So we next introduce the following Besov-Chemin-Lerner space $\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ (see [1]):

$$\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) = \left\{ u \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} < +\infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|2^{ks} \|\dot{\Delta}_k u(t)\|_{L^q(0,T;L^p)}\|_{\ell^r}.$$

The index T will be omitted if $T = +\infty$ and we shall denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b([0, T]; \dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ the subset of functions of $\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ which are also continuous from $[0, T]$ to $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$.

By the Minkowski inequality, we have the following inclusions between the Chemin-Lerner space $\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ and the Bochner space $L_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$:

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \quad \text{if } \lambda \leq r, \quad \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \geq \|u\|_{L_T^\lambda(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}, \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq r.$$

The following Bernstein's lemma will be repeatedly used throughout this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{B} be a ball and \mathcal{C} a ring of \mathbb{R}^n . A constant C exists so that for any positive real number λ , any non-negative integer k , any smooth homogeneous function σ of degree m , and any couple of real numbers (p, q) with $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{B} &\Rightarrow \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^q} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^{k+n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{Supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow C^{-k-1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p} \leq \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^k \|u\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{Supp } \hat{u} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow \|\sigma(D)u\|_{L^q} \leq C_{\sigma,m} \lambda^{m+n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|u\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we recall a few nonlinear estimates in Besov spaces which may be obtained by means of paradifferential calculus. Here, we recall the decomposition in the homogeneous context:

$$uv = \dot{T}_u v + \dot{T}_v u + \dot{R}(u, v) = \dot{T}_u v + \dot{T}'_v u, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\dot{T}_u v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{S}_{j-1} u \dot{\Delta}_j v, \quad \dot{R}(u, v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u \tilde{\Delta}_j v,$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_j v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \dot{\Delta}_{j'} v, \quad \dot{T}'_v u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{S}_{j+2} v \dot{\Delta}_j u.$$

The paraproduct \dot{T} and the remainder \dot{R} operators satisfy the following continuous properties.

Lemma 2.4 ([1]). For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \geq 0$, and $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$, the paraproduct \dot{T} is a bilinear, continuous operator from $\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{-\sigma} \times \dot{B}_{p_2,1}^s$ to $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s-\sigma}$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. The remainder \dot{R} is bilinear continuous from $\dot{B}_{p_1,1}^{s_1} \times \dot{B}_{p_2,1}^{s_2}$ to $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_1+s_2}$ with $s_1 + s_2 > 0$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

Lemma 2.5. ([18, Proposition A.1]) Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s_1 \leq \frac{n}{q}$, $s_2 \leq n \min\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\}$ and $s_1 + s_2 > n \max\{0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1\}$. For $\forall (u, v) \in \dot{B}_{q,1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{n}{q}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{q,1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s_2}}.$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $n \geq 2$ and $2 \leq p \leq \min(4, 2n/(n-2))$ and, additionally, $p \neq 4$ if $n = 2$. For any $u \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v^h \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|(uv)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim (\|v^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|v^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We first use Bony's decomposition to write

$$\dot{S}_{j_0+1}(uv) = \dot{T}_u \dot{S}_{j_0+1}v + \dot{S}_{j_0+1}(\dot{T}_v u + \dot{R}(v, u)) + [\dot{S}_{j_0+1}, \dot{T}_u]v. \quad (2.8)$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\|\dot{T}_u \dot{S}_{j_0+1}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|v^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}},$$

and $(\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$

$$\|\dot{S}_{j_0+1}(\dot{T}_v u + \dot{R}(v, u))\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{n}{p^*}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \quad (2.9)$$

By Lemma 6.1 in [17], the term about the commutator can be bounded

$$\|[\dot{S}_{j_0+1}, \dot{T}_u]v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{p^*,1}^{\frac{n}{p^*}-1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus, the combination of (2.8)–(2.10) shows the validity of (2.7). □

We also need the following Classical commutator's estimate:

Lemma 2.11. ([1, Lemma 2.100]) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $-n \min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1 - \frac{1}{p}\} < s \leq \frac{n}{p}$. For any $v \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla u \in \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there holds

$$\|[u \cdot \nabla, \dot{\Delta}_j]v\|_{L^p} \lesssim d_j 2^{-js} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s}.$$

Finally, we recall a composition result and the parabolic regularity estimate for the heat equation to end this section.

Lemma 2.12. ([1]) *Let G with $G(0) = 0$ be a smooth function defined on an open interval I of \mathbb{R} containing 0. Then the following estimates*

$$\|G(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|G(a)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,1}^s)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\tilde{L}_T^q(\dot{B}_{p,1}^s)}$$

hold true for $s > 0$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and a valued in a bounded interval $J \subset I$.

Lemma 2.13. ([1]) *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q_2 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$. Let u satisfy the heat equation*

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = f, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$

Then there holds the following a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_1}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma+\frac{2}{q_1}})} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^\sigma} + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{q_2}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{\sigma-2+\frac{2}{q_2}})}.$$

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove the existence part of Theorem 1.1, we use a scheme similar to the case of barotropic Navier-Stokes equations, see [12], [16], [24] for example. More precisely, let

$$u_F = e^{t\mathcal{A}}u_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta + \nabla \text{div}, \quad \eta_F = e^{tA}\eta_0 \quad (3.1)$$

and τ_F be the solution to the linear system

$$\partial_t \tau_F + \tau_F - \Delta \tau_F = 0, \quad \tau_F(0) = \tau_0. \quad (3.2)$$

Denote $\bar{u} = u - u_F$, $\bar{\eta} = \eta - \eta_F$, $\bar{\tau} = \tau - \tau_F$, then $(a, \bar{u}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\tau})$ satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \text{div} u = -\text{div}(au), \\ \partial_t \bar{\eta} - \Delta \bar{\eta} = -\text{div}(\eta u), \\ \partial_t \bar{\tau} + \bar{\tau} + (u \cdot \nabla)\tau - \Delta \bar{\tau} = \eta \text{Id} + F(\tau, u), \\ \partial_t \bar{u} + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta \bar{u} - \nabla \text{div} \bar{u} + \nabla a = \text{div} \tau - \nabla \eta + G(a, u, \eta, \tau). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

We use a standard scheme for proving the existence of the solutions:

- We smooth out the data and get a sequence of smooth solutions $(a^n, \bar{u}^n, \bar{\eta}^n, \bar{\tau}^n)$ of an approximated system of (3.3), on a bounded interval $[0; T^n]$ which may depend on n .
- We exhibit a positive lower bound T for T^n , and prove uniform estimates on $a^n, \bar{u}^n, \bar{\eta}^n, \bar{\tau}^n$.
- We use compactness to prove that the sequence $(a^n, \bar{u}^n, \bar{\eta}^n, \bar{\tau}^n)$ converges, up to extraction, to a solution of (3.3).

As the above processes are rather standard, we refer for instance to [1], [12], [17], [24]. Moreover, we can get the uniqueness in the same way as [12], [16], [24]. Here, we omit the details for brevity. \square

4. The proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we shall use three subsections to prove Theorem 1.4.

4.1. The estimates in the low frequencies

In this subsection, we give the estimates of the solutions in the low frequencies. Applying Δ_j to the second equation of (1.5), then taking the L^2 inner product with $\Delta_j \eta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \eta\|_{L^2}^2 + c2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \eta\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\Delta_j \operatorname{div}(\eta u)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \eta\|_{L^2} \quad (4.1)$$

in which we have used the following Bernstein's inequality: there exists a positive constant c so that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta \Delta_j \eta \cdot \Delta_j \eta \, dx \geq c2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \eta\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Multiplying by $1/\|\Delta_j \eta\|_{L^2} 2^{j(\frac{n}{2}-2)}$ formally on both hand side of (4.1), integrating the resultant inequality from 0 to t , we can get by summing up about $j \leq j_0$ that

$$\|\eta^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} \lesssim \|\eta_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \int_0^t \|(\eta u)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \, ds. \quad (4.2)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 and the embedding relation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\eta u)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\ &\lesssim (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

which together with (4.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\eta^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} \\ & \lesssim \|\eta_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \int_0^t (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Along the same derivation of (4.2), we can deduce from the third equation of (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tau^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2})} \\ & \lesssim \|\tau_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \int_0^t \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} ds + \int_0^t \|(F(\tau, u))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} ds + \int_0^t \|(u \cdot \nabla \tau)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 once again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(F(\tau, u))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|(u \cdot \nabla \tau)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|(F(\tau, u))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(u \cdot \nabla \tau)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|\nabla u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\nabla u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|\nabla \tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) \\ & \lesssim \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^2 + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 + (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimate into (4.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tau^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2})} \\ & \lesssim \|\tau_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \int_0^t \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} ds + \int_0^t (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^2 + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2) ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The combination of the first equation and the fourth equation in (1.5) is similar to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation up to some nonlinear terms, thus, by using the operators \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , we get the equation of incompressible part

$$\partial_t \mathcal{P}u - \Delta \mathcal{P}u = -\mathcal{P}(u \cdot \nabla u) + \mathcal{P} \operatorname{div} \tau + \mathcal{P}G(a, u, \eta, \tau), \quad (4.7)$$

and the equation of compressible part

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div} u = -\operatorname{div}(au), \\ \partial_t \mathcal{Q}u - 2\Delta \mathcal{Q}u + \nabla a = -\mathcal{Q}(u \cdot \nabla u) + \mathcal{Q} \operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta + \mathcal{Q}G(a, u, \eta, \tau). \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Applying Δ_j to (4.7) and taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting equation with $\Delta_j \mathcal{P}u$, applying the Hölder inequality and integrating the resultant inequality over $[0, t]$, then multiplying the inequality by $2^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)j}$ and taking summation for $j \leq j_0$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}u^\ell\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}u^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(\mathcal{P}\operatorname{div} \tau)^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} \\ &\quad + \|(\mathcal{P}(u \cdot \nabla u))^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|(\mathcal{P}G(a, u, \eta, \tau))^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For the equations in (4.8), an energy estimates for the barotropic linearized equations (see [1], Prop. 10.23, or [15]) thus give

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, \mathcal{Q}u)^\ell\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|(a, \mathcal{Q}u)^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})} \\ \lesssim \|(a_0, \mathcal{Q}u_0)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \int_0^t \|(\operatorname{div} \tau)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(\nabla \eta)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} ds \\ + \int_0^t \|(\operatorname{div}(au))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(G(a, u, \eta, \tau))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above two estimates implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\widetilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})} \\ \lesssim \|(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell \\ + \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|\operatorname{div}(au)^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|G(a, u, \eta, \tau)^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we estimate nonlinear terms on the right hand side of the above inequality.

Firstly, thanks to Lemma 2.5, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} &\lesssim (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\ &\lesssim (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, there hold the following three estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\operatorname{div}(au))^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}) + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}) \\ &\lesssim \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^2 + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 + \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^2 + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 \\ &\lesssim (\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(a)(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell &\lesssim \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\
&\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}), \\
\|(1 - I(a))\eta \nabla \eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell &\lesssim (1 + \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|(\eta \nabla \eta)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(\eta \nabla \eta)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\
&\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Along the same lines, one can deal with the rest two terms in $G(a, u, \eta, \tau)$, thus, collecting all the above estimates, we can deduce from (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})} \\
&\lesssim \|(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds, \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_1(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) \\
&+ \left(\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|(a^h, \tau^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \right) \left(\|(\eta^\ell, \tau^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|(a^h, \tau^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \right). \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by a suitable large constant on both sides of (4.6) and then pulsing (4.4), we can finally get by combining the resulting inequality with (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} \\
&\quad + \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2})} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})} \\
&\lesssim \|(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\eta_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \|\tau_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

4.2. The estimates in the high frequencies

In this subsection, we are concerned with the estimates in the high frequencies part. By a standard energy estimates, we can get from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{P}u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}u^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|(\operatorname{div} \tau)^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} \\
&\quad + \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|(G(a, u, \eta, \tau))^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})}. \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

By the product law in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \\ &\lesssim (\|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}) (\|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} + \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

The first two terms of $G(a, u, \eta, \tau)$ can be estimated in the same way. To bound the third term of $G(a, u, \eta, \tau)$, we use Lemmas 2.5, 2.12 and interpolation inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(a)(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h &\lesssim \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \\ &\lesssim \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} (\|(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(\operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\ &\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) \\ &\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - I(a))\eta \nabla \eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h &\lesssim (1 + \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|(\eta \nabla \eta)^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|(\eta \nabla \eta)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) \\ &\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^2 + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2) \\ &\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Inserting the above estimates into (4.15), we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}u^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}u^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} \lesssim \|\mathcal{P}u_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds, \quad (4.19)$$

with $\mathcal{N}_1(t)$ defined in (4.13).

Similarly, from the second equation of (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|\eta^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} &\lesssim \|\eta_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \int_0^t \|(\eta \operatorname{div} u)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{(\frac{n}{p}-1)j} \|[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] \eta\|_{L^p} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and from the third equation of (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tau^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2})} \\
& \lesssim \|\tau_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \int_0^t \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds + \int_0^t \|(F(\tau, u))^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds + \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{\frac{n}{p}j} \|[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] \tau\|_{L^p} ds. \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.11, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{(\frac{n}{p}-1)j} \|[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] \eta\|_{L^p} + \|(\eta \operatorname{div} u)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \\
& \lesssim \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\
& \lesssim (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{\frac{n}{p}j} \|[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] \tau\|_{L^p} + \|(F(\tau, u))^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\
& \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\
& \lesssim (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}), \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

from which, we get by summing up (4.20) and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\eta^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|\tau^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\eta^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2})} \\
& \lesssim \|\eta_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|\tau_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\
& \quad + \int_0^t (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) ds. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the high frequencies part of (a, Qu) , we follow the method used in [13], [24] to introduce a new quantity

$$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Qu - \Delta^{-1} \nabla a,$$

from which and the second equation of (4.8), we have

$$\partial_t \Gamma - 2\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma + \Delta^{-1} \nabla a - \mathcal{Q}(au) - \mathcal{Q}(u \cdot \nabla u) + \mathcal{Q} \operatorname{div} \tau - \nabla \eta + \mathcal{Q}G(a, u, \eta, \tau). \tag{4.25}$$

We get by a standard energy argument that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Gamma^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|\Gamma^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} \\
& \lesssim \|\Gamma_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \int_0^t (\|\Gamma^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-2}}) ds + \int_0^t \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds + \int_0^t \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|(u \cdot \nabla u)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(G(a, u, \eta, \tau))^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(au)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} ds. \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging $Qu = \Gamma + \Delta^{-1}\nabla a$ into the first equation in (4.8) gives

$$\partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a + a = -\operatorname{div} \Gamma - a \operatorname{div} u, \tag{4.27}$$

which further implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|a^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} \\
& \lesssim \|a_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \int_0^t \|\Gamma^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} ds + \int_0^t \|(a \operatorname{div} u)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} ds + \int_0^t \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{\frac{n}{p}j} \|[\Delta_j, u \cdot \nabla] a\|_{L^p} ds. \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.5 and interpolation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(au)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} & \lesssim \|(au)^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 \\
& \lesssim \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^2 + \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other nonlinear terms on the right hand side of (4.26), (4.28) can be estimates similarly to (4.16), (4.17), (4.22), (4.23), thus, the combination of (4.26) and (4.28) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|a^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\Gamma^h\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|a^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\Gamma^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} \\
& \lesssim \|a_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\Gamma_0^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \|\eta^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{N}_1(t)$ defined in (4.13).

Combining with (4.19), (4.24) and (4.29) and using $Qu = \Gamma + \Delta^{-1}\nabla a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(u^h, \eta^h)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})} + \|(a^h, \tau^h)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} \\
& \quad + \|(u^h, \eta^h)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})} + \|\tau^h\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2})} + \|(a^h, \tau^h)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})} \\
& \lesssim \|(u_0^h, \eta_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|(a_0^h, \tau_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_1(s) ds \tag{4.30}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{N}_1(t)$ defined in (4.13).

4.3. Complete the proof of Theorem 1.4

Now, we can complete the proof of our main Theorem 1.4 by the continuous arguments.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \| (a, u) \|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})}^h \\ & + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h + \|(a, u)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1})}^\ell + \|(\eta, \tau)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \|\tau^\ell\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2})} \\ & + \|(a, \tau)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h + \|(u, \eta)\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1})}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_t^1(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2})}^h, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\eta_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} + \|\tau_0^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|(u_0^h, \eta_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} + \|(a_0^h, \tau_0^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}.$$

Combining with (4.14) and (4.30), we can get

$$X(t) \leq X_0 + C(X(t))^2(1 + CX(t)). \quad (4.31)$$

Under the setting of initial data in Theorem 1.4, there exists a positive constant C_0 such that $X_0 \leq C_0\varepsilon$. Due to the local existence result which has been achieved by Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive time T such that

$$X(t) \leq 2C_0\varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.32)$$

Let T^* be the largest possible time of T for what (4.32) holds. Now, we only need to show $T^* = \infty$. By the estimate of (4.31), we can use a standard continuation argument to show that $T^* = \infty$ provided that ε is small enough. We omit the details here. Hence, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

5. The proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we shall follow the method used in [23] and [44] to get the decay rate of the solutions constructed in the previous section. From the proof of Theorem 1.4, we can

get the following inequality (see the derivation of (4.14) and (4.30) for more details):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\| (a, u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \| (a, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h) \\
& + \| (a, u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell + \| (\eta, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \| a \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h \\
& \lesssim (\| (a^\ell, u^\ell) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \| u^h \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}) (\| u^h \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} + \| (a^\ell, u^\ell) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) \\
& + (\| a^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \| (a^h, \tau^h) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\| (a^h, \tau^h) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}} \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) \\
& + (\| a^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \| a^h \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}). \quad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.4, the following estimate holds:

$$\| (a, u) \|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1})}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2})}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}})}^\ell + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1})}^h + \| (a, \tau) \|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}})}^h \leq c_0, \quad (5.2)$$

from which we can infer from (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\| (a, u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \| (a, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h) \\
& + \bar{c} (\| (a, u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell + \| (\eta, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \| a \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h) \leq 0. \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to derive the decay estimate of the solutions given in Theorem 1.4, we need to get a Lyapunov-type differential inequality from (5.3).

According to (5.2) and embedding relation in the high frequencies, it's obvious for any $\beta > 1$ that

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell \geq C (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell)^{1+\beta}, \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\| a \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h + \| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h \geq C (\| (u, \eta) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \| (a, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h)^{1+\beta}. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus, to get the Lyapunov-type inequality of the solutions, we only need to control the norm of $\| (a, u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell + \| (\eta, \tau) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell$ in the low frequencies. This process can be obtained from the fact that the solutions constructed in Theorem 1.4 can propagate the regularity of the initial data in Besov space with low regularity, see the following Proposition 5.1. This will ensure that one can use interpolation to get the desired Lyapunov-type inequality.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (a, u, η, τ) be the solutions constructed in Theorem 1.4. For any $\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n}{p} \leq \sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and $(a_0^\ell, u_0^\ell) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta_0^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tau_0^\ell \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ depends on the norm of the initial data such that for all $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|(a, u)(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \leq C_0. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. To simplify the process of proof, we first define the nonlinear terms in (1.5) as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\operatorname{div}(au), & f_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\operatorname{div}(\eta u), \\ f_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(u \cdot \nabla)\tau + F(\tau, u), & f_4 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(u \cdot \nabla)u + G(a, \eta, u, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

From the first and fourth equations of (1.5), we get by a similar derivation of Lemma 5.1 in [44] that

$$\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|(\eta, \tau)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1})}^\ell + \int_0^t \|(f_1, f_4)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell ds. \quad (5.7)$$

From the second and third equations of (1.5), we get by using Lemma 2.13 in the low frequencies that

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1})}^\ell \lesssim \|\eta_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \int_0^t \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell ds, \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1})}^\ell \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1})}^\ell + \int_0^t \|f_3\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell ds. \quad (5.9)$$

Multiplying by a suitable large constant on both sides of (5.8) and then pulsing (5.9), we can finally get by combining the resulting inequality with (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|(f_1, f_4)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell ds + \int_0^t \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell ds + \int_0^t \|f_3\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

To estimate the nonlinear terms in f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 , we claim the following important estimates, which we shall postpone its proof in the Appendix:

Claim : Let $n = 2, 3$ and $2 \leq p \leq \min(4, 2n/(n-2))$ additionally, $p \neq 4$ if $n = 2$, we have

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}, \quad -\frac{n}{p} \leq \sigma < \frac{n}{p}. \quad (5.11)$$

$$\|fg^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}, \quad \|fg^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}, \quad \frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n}{p} \leq \sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1. \quad (5.12)$$

To accelerate the proof, we introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h, \\ \mathcal{E}_1(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell + \|(\eta, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.11), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u \cdot \nabla a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|a \operatorname{div} u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \| \nabla a^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \| \nabla a^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \| \operatorname{div} u^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \| \operatorname{div} u^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \\ &\lesssim \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}}^h \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(t) \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Using the second estimate in (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u \cdot \nabla a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|a \operatorname{div} u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim (\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^\ell + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h) \| \nabla a^h \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h + (\|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^\ell + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h) \| \operatorname{div} u^h \|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h \\ &\lesssim (\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h) \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}}^h + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}}^h \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Combining (5.13) and (5.14) with the identity $\operatorname{div}(au) = u \cdot \nabla a^\ell + a \operatorname{div} u^\ell + u \cdot \nabla a^h + a \operatorname{div} u^h$, gives

$$\|f_1\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(t) \|(a^\ell, u^\ell)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \quad (5.15)$$

Along the same lines, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell &\lesssim \| \operatorname{div}(\eta u) \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell \lesssim \| \eta u \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \| \eta^\ell u^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \| \eta^h u^\ell \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \| \eta^\ell u^h \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \| \eta^h u^h \|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}}) + \|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}} \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}} + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p-1}}} \|u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(t) (\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell) + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

To bound the terms in f_3 , we use the decomposition $u = u^\ell + u^h$, and $\tau = \tau^\ell + \tau^h$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u \cdot \nabla \tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|\tau \operatorname{div} u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|u^\ell \cdot \nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|\tau^\ell \operatorname{div} u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \\
& \lesssim \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \|\nabla \tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \|\nabla \tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} \|\operatorname{div} u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|\operatorname{div} u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \\
& \quad + \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \|\nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} + \|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} \|\operatorname{div} u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \\
& \lesssim (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h) \|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + (\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell + \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}^h) \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(t) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}). \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the second estimate in (5.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u^h \cdot \nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \|\tau^h \operatorname{div} u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \lesssim \|u^h \cdot \nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\tau^h \operatorname{div} u^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h \|\nabla \tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h \\
& \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}^h \lesssim \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

The term of $\|F(\tau, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell$ can be bounded the same as (5.17), (5.18), thus we can get

$$\|f_3\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(t) (\|u^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell) + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t) \mathcal{E}_1(t). \tag{5.19}$$

Finally, we have to bound the terms in f_4 . Indeed, the terms $u \cdot \nabla u$, $k(a) \nabla a$, $I(a) (\Delta u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u)$, $\eta \nabla \eta$ in f_4 can be dealt with the same as (5.13), (5.14), (5.16), (5.17), here we omit the details. We only present some representative terms in the following. According to the definition of $I(a)$, it's not hard to check that

$$I(a) = a - aI(a).$$

Now, using (5.12) and Lemma 2.12, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|aI(a) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \|a^\ell I(a) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|a^h I(a) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\
& \lesssim \|I(a) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^\ell \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|I(a) \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^\ell \\
& \lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+2}}) \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\
& \quad + (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\tau^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} + \|\tau^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}, \tag{5.20}
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\|I(a)\operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_1(t)\|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t). \quad (5.21)$$

Similarly,

$$\|I(a)\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_1(t)\|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t). \quad (5.22)$$

Thanks to our's claim (5.12) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(a)\eta\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell &\lesssim \|I(a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|\eta\nabla\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \\ &\lesssim (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}) (\|\eta^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|\eta^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t)\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

In all, collecting the above estimates, we obtain that

$$\|f_4\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_1(t)(\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell) + (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(t))\mathcal{E}_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}_1(t). \quad (5.24)$$

Inserting (5.15), (5.18), (5.19), (5.24) into (5.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \\ &\lesssim \|(a_0, u_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell + \int_0^t (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(s))\mathcal{E}_\infty(s)\mathcal{E}_1(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (1 + \mathcal{E}_\infty(s))\mathcal{E}_1(s)(\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Thus, one can employ nonlinear generalisations of the Gronwall's inequality to get

$$\|(a, u)(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell + \|\eta(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell + \|\tau(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell \leq C_0 \quad (5.26)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $C_0 > 0$ depends on the norm of the initial data.

Consequently, we complete the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

Now, we are begin to get Lyapunov-type inequality from (5.3). For any $\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n}{p} \leq \sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, it follows from interpolation inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell &\leq C(\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell)^{\theta_1} (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_1} \\ &\leq C(\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell)^{\theta_1} (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_1}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{4}{n - 2\sigma + 2} \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

this together with Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}^\ell \geq c_0 (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta_1}}. \quad (5.27)$$

For any $\sigma - 1 < \frac{n}{2} - 2$,

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell \leq C (\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell)^{\theta_1} (\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_1}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{4}{n-2\sigma+2} \in (0,1),$$

which combines with (5.26) implies

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell \geq c_0 (\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta_1}}. \quad (5.28)$$

Similarly, for any $\sigma + 1 < \frac{n}{2}$, we deduce from interpolation inequality again that

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell \leq C (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell)^{\theta_1} (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_1},$$

from which and (5.26), we get

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}}^\ell \geq c_0 (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta_1}}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{4}{n-2\sigma+2} \in (0,1). \quad (5.29)$$

Now, taking $\beta = 1 + \theta_1 > 0$ in (5.4) and (5.5), then combining with (5.27), (5.28), (5.29), we deduce from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h), \\ & + \tilde{c}_0 (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h)^{1+\frac{4}{n-2\sigma-2}} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Solving this differential inequality directly, we obtain

$$\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell + \|(u, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \|(a, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4}}. \quad (5.31)$$

For any $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + \sigma < \gamma_1 < \frac{n}{p} - 1$, by the interpolation inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}}^\ell & \leq C \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\gamma_1 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}}}^\ell \\ & \leq C (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}^\ell)^{\theta_2} (\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\frac{n}{p} - 1 - \gamma_1}{\frac{n}{2} - 1 - \sigma} \in (0,1), \end{aligned}$$

which combines with Proposition 5.1 gives

$$\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}}^\ell \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(\frac{n}{2}-\sigma-1)(1-\theta_2)}{2}} = C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_1-\sigma}{2}}. \quad (5.32)$$

In the light of $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + \sigma < \gamma_1 < \frac{n}{p} - 1$, we see that

$$\|(a^h, u^h)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}} \leq C(\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4}},$$

from which and (5.32) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}} &\leq C(\|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}}^\ell + \|(a, u)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_1}}^h) \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_1-\sigma}{2}} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4}} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_1-\sigma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, thanks to the embedding relation $\dot{B}_{p,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one infer that

$$\|\Lambda^{\gamma_1}(a, u)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_1-\sigma}{2}}.$$

For any $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} - 1 + \sigma < \gamma_2 < \frac{n}{p} - 1$, we have

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}}^\ell \leq C\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\gamma_2+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}}}^\ell \leq C(\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^\ell)^{\theta_3} (\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_3}, \quad \theta_3 = \frac{\frac{n}{p} - \gamma_2 - 2}{\frac{n}{2} - 1 - \sigma} \in (0, 1),$$

which gives

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}}^\ell \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_2-\sigma+1}{2}}. \quad (5.33)$$

As $\gamma_2 < \frac{n}{p} - 1$, we see in the high frequencies part that

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}}^h \leq C\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}}^h \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4}}. \quad (5.34)$$

From (5.33) and (5.34), we have

$$\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}}^\ell + \|\eta\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_2}}^h) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_2-\sigma+1}{2}}.$$

which further implies for any $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} - 1 + \sigma < \gamma_2 < \frac{n}{p} - 1$, that

$$\|\Lambda^{\gamma_2}\eta\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_2-\sigma+1}{2}}.$$

For any $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \sigma < \gamma_3 < \frac{n}{p}$, by the interpolation inequality we have

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}}^\ell \leq C \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\gamma_3 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}}}^\ell \leq C (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}^\ell)^{\theta_4} (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{n}{2}}}^\ell)^{1-\theta_4}, \quad \theta_4 = \frac{\frac{n}{p} - \gamma_3}{\frac{n}{2} - 1 - \sigma} \in (0, 1),$$

which gives

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}}^\ell \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{(\frac{n}{2}-\sigma-1)(1-\theta_4)}{2}} = C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_3-\sigma-1}{2}}. \quad (5.35)$$

In the light of $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \sigma < \gamma_3 < \frac{n}{p}$, we see that

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}}^h \leq C \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}^h \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4}}. \quad (5.36)$$

From (5.35) and (5.36), we have

$$\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}} \leq C (\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}}^\ell + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\gamma_3}}^h) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_3-\sigma-1}{2}},$$

which further implies for any $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \sigma < \gamma_3 < \frac{n}{p}$, that

$$\|\Lambda^{\gamma_3} \tau\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\gamma_3-\sigma-1}{2}}.$$

This complete the proof of the Theorem 1.6. □

Acknowledgement. This work is partially supported by the NSFC (11601533).

Appendix A: The proof of our claim (5.11) and (5.12)

Proof. We first use the Bony's decomposition to rewrite

$$fg = \dot{T}_f g + \dot{T}_g f + \dot{R}(f, g). \quad (A.1)$$

Due to Hölder's inequality, Bernstein's inequality and the embedding $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_f g)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{S}_{k-1} f \dot{\Delta}_k g)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \|\dot{S}_{k-1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.2)$$

Similarly, for any $\sigma < \frac{n}{p}$, the second term in (A.1) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_g f)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g\|_{L^{2p/(p-2)}} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \left(\sum_{k'\leq k-2} 2^{k'(\frac{n}{p}-\sigma)} 2^{k'\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g\|_{L^2} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In view of the fact that $\sigma \geq -\frac{n}{p}$, we can deal with the last term in (A.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{R}(f, g)\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 1} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{\Delta}_k f \dot{\Delta}_{k'} g)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 1} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f \dot{\Delta}_{k'} g\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p+2}}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 1} 2^{-k\frac{n}{p}} 2^{k\frac{n}{p}} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} 2^{-k'\sigma} 2^{k'\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{-k(\sigma+\frac{n}{p})} d_k \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The combination of (A.2)–(A.4) gives the estimate in (5.11).

Now, we are in a position to prove the first estimate in (5.12). We also use Bony's decomposition to write $f g^\ell = \dot{T}_f g^\ell + \dot{T}_{g^\ell} f + \dot{R}(f, g^\ell)$. It follows from the Hölder inequality and the Bernstein inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_f g^\ell)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^\ell\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} 2^{\frac{nk'}{p}} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^p} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^\ell\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \left(\sum_{k'\leq k-2} 2^{k'} 2^{k'(\frac{n}{p}-1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^p} \right) 2^{-k(\sigma+1)} 2^{k(\sigma+1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^\ell\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Along the same lines, for any $\sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_{g^\ell} f)\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell \dot{\Delta}_k f)\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell\|_{L^{p^*}} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \sum_{k'\leq k-2} 2^{k'(\frac{2n}{p}-\frac{n}{2})} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell\|_{L^p} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j|\leq 4} \left(\sum_{k'\leq k-2} 2^{-k'(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1)} 2^{k'(\sigma+\frac{2n}{p}-n+1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell\|_{L^p} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-(\sigma+\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{2})j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\sigma+\frac{2n}{p}-n+1}} \lesssim 2^{-(\sigma+\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{2})j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

As $\sigma + \frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} > 0$, we can deal with the the remainder term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{R}(f, g^\ell)\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 1} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{\Delta}_k f \dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell)\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 1} \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} 2^{(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}k')} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^\ell\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{k \geq j-3} 2^{-k(\sigma + \frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2})} d_k \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\sigma+1}}. \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (A.5)–(A.7), noticing $\sigma + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} \leq \sigma$, we can obtain the first estimate in (5.12).

Finally, we are concerned with the second estimate in (5.12). Thanks to Bony's decomposition again

$$f g^h = \dot{T}_f g^h + \dot{T}_{g^h} f + \dot{R}(f, g^h). \tag{A.8}$$

By virtue of the Hölder inequality, Bernstein's inequality and the fact $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \leq \frac{n}{p}$, $\sigma < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, one deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_f g^h)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^{p^*}} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^h\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} 2^{k'(\frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2})} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^p} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^h\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} 2^{k'(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} 2^{k'(\frac{n}{p} - 1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} f\|_{L^p} \right) 2^{-k\sigma} 2^{k(\sigma + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_k g^h\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\sigma + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} + 1}} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \tag{A.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The term $\|\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{R}(f, g^h)\|_{L^2}$ can be dealt in a similar manner,

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{R}(f, g^h)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Thanks to Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{T}_{g^h} f)\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \sum_{k' \leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_j(\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^h \dot{\Delta}_k f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^h\|_{L^{p^*}} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} 2^{k'(\frac{2n}{p} - \frac{n}{2})} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^h\|_{L^p} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \left(\sum_{k' \leq k-2} 2^{-k'(\sigma + 1 - \frac{n}{2})} 2^{k'(\sigma + \frac{2n}{p} - n + 1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_{k'} g^h\|_{L^p} \right) \|\dot{\Delta}_k f\|_{L^p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-(\sigma - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{p})j} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\sigma + \frac{2n}{p} - n + 1}}. \tag{A.11}
\end{aligned}$$

from which and relations

$$\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2} \leq 0, \quad \sigma + \frac{2n}{p} - n + 1 \leq \frac{n}{p},$$

we have

$$\|\dot{T}_{g^h} f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma}^\ell \lesssim \|\dot{T}_{g^h} f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{p}}}^\ell \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\sigma+\frac{2n}{p}-n+1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}-1}} \|g^h\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{n}{p}}}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Collecting (A.9), (A.10) and (A.12), we can obtain the second estimate in (5.12).

Consequently, we conclude the proof of (5.11) and (5.12). \square

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. , vol. **343**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] J.W. Barrett, S. Boyaval, Existence and approximation of a (regularized) Oldroyd–B model, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **21**, 1783–1837, 2011.
- [3] J.W. Barrett, Y. Lu, E. Süli, Existence of large-data finite-energy global weak solutions to a compressible Oldroyd-B model, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **15**, 1265–1323, 2017.
- [4] J.W. Barrett, E. Süli, Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers I: Finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chains, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **21**, 1211–1289, 2011.
- [5] J.W. Barrett, E. Süli, Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers II: Hookean-type bead-spring chains, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **22**, 1150024, 2012.
- [6] J.W. Barrett, E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers with variable density and viscosity, *J. Differential Equations*, **253**, 3610–3677, 2012.

- [7] J.W. Barrett, E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to compressible isentropic finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers: The two-dimensional case, *J. Differential Equations*, **261**, 592–626, 2012.
- [8] J.W. Barrett, E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to compressible isentropic finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **26**, 469–568, 2016.
- [9] R.B. Bird, C.F. Curtiss, R.C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, Dynamics of polymeric liquids, Fluid Mechanics, vol. 1, 2nd edn Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [10] P.C. Bollada, T.N. Phillips, On the mathematical modelling of a compressible viscoelastic fluid, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **205**, 1–26, 2012.
- [11] J.Y. Chemin, N. Masmoudi, About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **33**, 84–112, 2001.
- [12] Q. Chen, C. Miao, Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with highly oscillating initial velocity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **63**, 1173–1224, 2010.
- [13] Z. Chen, X. Zhai, Global large solutions and incompressible limit for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **21**, Art. 26, 23, 2019.
- [14] P. Constantin, M. Kliegl, Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **206**, 725–740, 2012.
- [15] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Invent. Math.*, **141**, 579–614, 2000.
- [16] R. Danchin, A Lagrangian approach for the compressible Navier-Stokes Equations, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grrenoble*, **64**, 753–791, 2014.
- [17] R. Danchin, L. He, The incompressible limit in L^p type critical spaces, *Math. Ann.*, **366**, 1365–1402, 2016.

- [18] R. Danchin, J. Xu, Optimal time-decay estimates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical L^p framework, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **224**, 53–90, 2017.
- [19] T.M. Elgindi, F. Rousset, Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **68**, 2005–2021, 2015.
- [20] C. Guillopé, Z. Salloum, R. Talhouk, Regular flows of weakly compressible viscoelastic fluids and the incompressible limit, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, **14**, 1001–1028, 2010.
- [21] C. Guillopé, J.C. Saut, Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **15**, 849–869, 1990.
- [22] C. Guillopé, J.C. Saut, Global existence and one-dimensional nonlinear stability of shearing motions of viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type, *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.*, **24**, 369–401, 1990.
- [23] Y. Guo, Y. J. Wang, Decay of dissipative equations and negative sobolev spaces, *Comm. Part. Differ. Equ.*, **37**, 2165–2208, 2012.
- [24] B. Haspot, Existence of global strong solutions in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **202**, 427–460, 2011.
- [25] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global existence for the multi-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, *J. Differential Equations*, **250**, 1200–1231, 2011.
- [26] X. Hu, G. Wu, Global existence and optimal decay rates for three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **45**, 2815–2833, 2013.
- [27] Z. Lei, Global existence of classical solutions for some Oldroyd-B model via the incompressible limit, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **27**, 565–580, 2006.
- [28] Z. Lei, N. Masmoudi, Y. Zhou, Remarks on the blowup criteria for Oldroyd models, *J. Differential Equations*, **248**, 328–341, 2010.

- [29] Z. Lei, Y. Zhou, Global existence of classical solutions for the two-dimensional Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **37**, 797–814, 2005.
- [30] F. Lin, Some analytical issues for elastic complex fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65**, 893–919, 2012.
- [31] P.L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **21**, 131–146, 2000.
- [32] C. Liu, N. Walkington, An Eulerian description of fluids containing visco-elastic particles, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **159**, 229–252, 2001.
- [33] Y. Lu, M. Pokorný, Global existence of large data weak solutions for a simplified compressible Oldroyd-B model without stress diffusion, *arXiv:1910.09270v1*.
- [34] Y. Lu, Z. Zhang, Relative entropy, weak-strong uniqueness and conditional regularity for a compressible Oldroyd-B model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **50**, 557–590, 2018.
- [35] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **20**, 67–104, 1980.
- [36] J. Oldroyd, Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **245**, 278–297, 1958.
- [37] X. Pan, J. Xu, Global existence and optimal decay estimates of the compressible viscoelastic flows in L^p critical spaces, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A*, **39**, 2021–2057, 2019.
- [38] G. Ponce, Global existence of small solution to a class of nonlinear evolution equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA.*, **9**, 339–418, 1985.
- [39] J. Qian, Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for compressible viscoelastic fluids near equilibrium, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **198**, 835–868, 2010.
- [40] M. Renardy, Local existence of solutions of the Dirichlet initial-boundary value problem for incompressible hypoelastic materials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **21**, 1369–1385, 1990.

- [41] W.R. Schowalter, *Mechanics of NonNewtonian Fluids*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1978.
- [42] R. Talhouk, *Analyse Mathématique de Quelques Écoulements de Fluides Viscoélastiques*, Thèse, Université Paris-Sud, 1994.
- [43] W. Wang, H. Wen, On the Cauchy problem for an Oldroyd-B model in three dimensions, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, (Publishing).
- [44] Z. Xin, J. Xu, Optimal decay for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations without additional smallness assumptions, *arXiv:1812.11714v2*.
- [45] X. Zhai, Global wellposedness to the n -dimensional compressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism, *arXiv:1907.09829v1*
- [46] X. Zhai, The incompressible limit for the compressible Oldroyd-B model, *Preprint*.
- [47] X. Zhai, Global strong solutions to the compressible Oldroyd-B model without stress diffusion, *Preprint*.
- [48] Y. Zhu, Global classical solutions of 3D compressible viscoelastic system near equilibrium, *arXiv:1809.04490*.
- [49] R. Zi, Global solution in critical spaces to the compressible Oldroyd-B model with non-small coupling parameter, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A*, **37**, 6437–6470, 2017.