

Reconnection of magnetic fields in neutron stars driven by the electron mass term in the triangle anomaly

Maxim Dvornikov and Victor B. Semikoz

Pushkov Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radiowave Propagation (IZMIRAN), 108840 Troitsk, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: maxdvo@izmiran.ru

Abstract. The Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly for massive particles is studied in an external magnetic field. The contributions of the mean spin and the pseudoscalar are accounted for in the quasiclassical approximation. The equation for the evolution of the magnetic helicity with the new quantum corrections is derived. We show that the quantum contribution to the helicity evolution can overcome the classical one in the dense degenerate matter which can be present in the core of a neutron star. The application of the obtained results for the interpretation of magnetar bursts are discussed.

In the wake of the formulation of the chiral magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [1], its applications to the studies of various effects in astrophysics [2], cosmology [3] and accelerator physics [4] are developed. In the majority of situations, the masses of fermions are neglected in the studies of chiral phenomena. Recently, the effect of a nonzero mass on the generation of an electric current along the magnetic field, i.e. the chiral magnetic effect (the CME), was analyzed in our works [5, 6].

In the present work, we summarize our recent results [7] on the fermion mass contribution to the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly, which is known to be closely related to the CME [8]. We start with the Adler anomaly for massive fermions and calculate all the terms in the presence of an external magnetic field. Then, we derive the evolution equation for the magnetic helicity, which accounts for the new quantum corrections. We are particularly interested in the ultrarelativistic degenerate plasma, which can be encountered in the core of a neutron star (NS). In the situation, when the chiral imbalance is washed out, e.g., because of interparticle collisions, we compare the classical and quantum surface terms in the magnetic helicity evolution. The quantum contribution is revealed to be dominant in some cases. Finally, astrophysical applications of the obtained results for the explanation of magnetar bursts [9] are briefly discussed.

We start with the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly for massive fermions in the general form [10],

$$\partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi) = 2im \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi + \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where ψ is the bispinor of an electron with the nonzero mass m , $\gamma^\mu = (\gamma^0, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ and $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ are the Dirac matrices, $F_{\mu\nu} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ is the electromagnetic field tensor, $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} = (-\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E})$ is the dual tensor, and $e > 0$ is the absolute value of the elementary charge.

Decomposing the bispinor into the right and left components $\psi = \psi_R + \psi_L$, we rewrite equation (1) in the form,

$$\partial_t (\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R - \psi_L^\dagger \psi_L) + \nabla \cdot (\psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \psi) = 2im \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi + \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \quad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma^5$ are the Dirac matrices. After averaging equation (2) in electron gas, we get that $\langle \psi_R^\dagger \psi_R \rangle - \langle \psi_L^\dagger \psi_L \rangle = n_R - n_L \equiv n_5$ is the chiral imbalance, where $n_{R,L}$ are the densities of right and left electrons, and $\langle \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \psi \rangle = \mathbf{S}$ is the mean spin of electrons.

The mean spin of the electron gas having the chemical potential χ and the temperature T was obtained in [11] as

$$\mathbf{S} = -\frac{e\mathbf{B}}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp \left\{ \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} - \chi)/T] + 1} - \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} + \chi)/T] + 1} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

In the case of ultrarelativistic electron gas with $\max(\chi, T) \gg m$ the mean spin in equation (3) takes the form,

$$\mathbf{S} = -\frac{e\chi\mathbf{B}}{2\pi^2}. \quad (4)$$

The result in equation (4) was also known as the chiral separation effect [12] since the mean spin coincides with the spatial components of the axial current, $\psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \psi = \bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma^5 \psi \equiv \mathbf{J}_A$.

The calculation of the averaged pseudoscalar $\langle \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi \rangle$ in the external magnetic field was performed in our work [7]. Assuming that the magnetic field is relatively weak, $eB \ll \mathcal{E}_p^2$, where $\mathcal{E}_p = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$ is the electron energy, and using the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin approximation, we obtain the following result:

$$2im_e \int \frac{d^3x}{V} \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi \rangle = - \oint_S \frac{d^2S}{V} (\mathbf{S}_5 \cdot \mathbf{n}), \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{n} is unit vector of the external normal to the surface S , V is the normalization volume, and

$$\mathbf{S}_5 = - \int \frac{d^3p}{\gamma(2\pi)^3} [\mathbf{S}_e(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{S}_{\bar{e}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, t)] \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3\gamma} \right), \quad (6)$$

is the new pseudovector. In equation (6), $\gamma = \mathcal{E}_p/m$ is the Lorentz factor and $\mathbf{S}_{e,\bar{e}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, t)$ are the spin distribution functions of electrons and positrons.

Note that the calculation of the pseudoscalar $\bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi$ in parallel electric and magnetic fields was made in [13]. The relation of the chirality generation with the pairs production was studied in [13].

The spin distribution function of the electron gas in the state of equilibrium in the external magnetic field has the form [7],

$$\mathbf{S}_e^{(\text{eq})} = \frac{\mu_B \mathbf{B}}{\gamma} \frac{df_e^{(\text{eq})}}{d\mathcal{E}_p}, \quad (7)$$

where $f_e^{(\text{eq})} = \{\exp[\beta(\mathcal{E}_p - \chi)] + 1\}^{-1}$ is the equilibrium Fermi-Dirac distribution, $\beta = 1/T$ is the reciprocal temperature, and $\mu_B = e/2m$ is the Bohr magneton. We again use the weak magnetic field approximation to derive equation (7). The equilibrium spin distribution function for positrons $\mathbf{S}_e^{(\text{eq})}$ can be obtained analogously.

The scalar product $(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ in equation (2) can be rewritten in terms of the magnetic helicity variation. The magnetic helicity H is defined as

$$H = \int d^3x (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{A} is the vector potential of the electromagnetic field: $\mathbf{B} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})$. Basing on equation (8) and accounting for the Maxwell equation, $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E})$, one obtains that the magnetic helicity density, $h = H/V$, evolves as [14]

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = -2 \int \frac{d^3x}{V} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \oint_S \frac{d^2S}{V} (\mathbf{n} \cdot [A_0 \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{A})]), \quad (9)$$

where A_0 is the scalar potential: $\mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{A} - \nabla A_0$.

Taking into account equations (2), (5), and (9), one gets the modified equation for the magnetic helicity evolution, which accounts for the quantum contributions [7],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(n_R - n_L + \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{\pi} h \right) = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{\pi} \oint_S \frac{d^2S}{V} (\mathbf{n} \cdot [A_0 \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{A})]) - \oint_S \frac{d^2S}{V} (\mathbf{n} \cdot [\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_5]), \quad (10)$$

where $\alpha_{\text{em}} = e^2/4\pi \approx 1/137$ is the fine structure constant.

The effective mean spin of the electron gas $\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_5$ can be derived on the basis of equations (3), (6), and (7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = & -\frac{e\mathbf{B}}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp \left[1 - \frac{1}{3\gamma^2} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\exp[\beta(\mathcal{E}_p - \chi)] + 1} - \frac{1}{\exp[\beta(\mathcal{E}_p + \chi)] + 1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using equation (11), one gets that the combined spin effect practically vanishes in a nonrelativistic plasma, $\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}_5 \rightarrow 0$ since $\mathcal{E}_p = \gamma m \approx m$. In the case of the ultrarelativistic electron gas with $\gamma \gg 1$, one obtains from equation (11) that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} \approx \mathcal{S} = -e\chi \mathbf{B}/2\pi^2$; cf. equation (4). Hence the magnetization effect is great under the condition $\chi \gg m$, which can be implemented, e.g., in the core of NS.

To have a nonzero contribution of the quantum surface terms to the magnetic helicity evolution in equation (10), one should suppose that the chemical potential χ is coordinate dependent. Otherwise, $\oint_S d^2S (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}) \equiv 0$ because of the Gauss theorem. The dependence

$\chi(\mathbf{x})$ can be accounted for if we recall that the number density of the degenerate electron gas in an external magnetic field has the form [15],

$$n_e = \frac{eBp_{Fe}}{2\pi^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{n_{\max}} \frac{2eB\sqrt{p_{Fe}^2 - 2eBn}}{2\pi^2}, \quad (12)$$

where p_{Fe} is the Fermi momentum of the electron gas and n_{\max} is the maximal Landau number, which depends on the integer part of $p_{Fe}^2/(2eB)$.

Let us discuss a moderately strong magnetic field with $m^2 \ll 2eB \ll p_{Fe}^2$, that is valid for charged particles within NS obeying $p_{Fe} = p_{Fp} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$, where p_{Fp} is the Fermi momentum of protons. Here we assume that a plasma is electrically neutral, $n_e = n_p$. The above condition is fulfilled for magnetars having $B \sim 10^{15} \text{ G}$ [9]. Using equation (12), one obtains the correction to the number density of electrons at the lowest Landau level linear in the magnetic field strength [15],

$$n_e \approx \frac{p_{Fe}^3}{3\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{3eB}{2p_{Fe}^2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

If we consider the correction to the electron number density from the magnetic field in equation (13), χ in equation (11) becomes dependent on the magnetic field. Hence the chemical potential is coordinate dependent in case of a nonuniform magnetic field.

Let us consider the evolution of the magnetic field in the dense degenerate matter of NS. The electron gas is ultrarelativistic in this case, as mentioned above. The chiral imbalance in such a system is washed out very rapidly because of electron-proton collisions. The typical relaxation time for n_5 was found in [16, 17] to be 10^{-11} s for $T \sim 10^8 \text{ K}$. Thus we should set $n_R = n_L$ in equation (10). The magnetic field in NS should be taken as axially symmetric. Hence, using equation (13) one gets that

$$\chi(r, \theta) = [3\pi^2 n_e(r)]^{1/3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{eB(r, \theta)}{2[3\pi^2 n_e(r)]^{2/3}} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where $n_e(r) = n_{\text{core}} Y_e (1 - r^2/R_{\text{NS}}^2)$ [18], $n_{\text{core}} \sim 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is the density in the NS center, $R_{\text{NS}} \sim 10 \text{ km}$ is the typical NS radius, and $Y_e \sim$ (several percent) is the electron fraction. In equation (14), we use the spherical coordinates r and θ .

Then, we use equations (10) and (14), as well as take into account the Ohm law $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{j}/\sigma_{\text{cond}}$, where \mathbf{j} is the electric current and σ_{cond} is the electric conductivity. Assuming that σ_{cond} is great in the NS matter, we get that only the surface terms contribute to the magnetic helicity evolution [7],

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \left(\frac{dH}{dt} \right)_{\text{class}} + \left(\frac{dH}{dt} \right)_{\text{quant}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\left(\frac{dH}{dt} \right)_{\text{class}} = \oint_S d^2S [(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B})], \quad (16)$$

$$\left(\frac{dH}{dt} \right)_{\text{quant}} = \frac{1}{\chi(R)} \oint_S d^2S B(R, \theta)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}). \quad (17)$$




Figure 1. The schematic illustration of the magnetic field configuration given in equation (18). The toroidal component is $\mathbf{B}_t = B_\varphi(r) \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_\varphi$ and the poloidal field reads $\mathbf{B}_p = B_p(r)[\cos 2\theta \mathbf{e}_r + \sin 2\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta]$.

Equation (16) is well known in classical MHD [14]. The new quantum contribution in equation (17) is given by the chemical potential $\chi(R) = [3\pi^2 n_e(R)]^{1/3}$ at the distance R from the NS center.

Let us choose the quadrupole configuration of the magnetic field as

$$\mathbf{B}(r, \theta) = B_p(r)[\cos 2\theta \mathbf{e}_r + \sin 2\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta] + B_\varphi(r) \cos \theta \mathbf{e}_\varphi, \quad (18)$$

where B_p and B_φ are the amplitudes of the poloidal and the toroidal components, and the angle θ is measured from the equator of NS. The structure of the magnetic field in equation (18) is schematically illustrated in figure 1.

For the magnetic field given in equation (18) the quantum contribution to the magnetic helicity evolution in equation (15) can be estimated as [7]

$$\left(\frac{dH}{dt} \right)_{\text{quant}} = \frac{2\pi}{\chi} R^2 B_p B_\varphi A \sim \frac{B^2 R^2}{\chi}, \quad (19)$$

where $A = A(B_p, B_\varphi)$ is a given function of the poloidal and toroidal magnetic field components.

We found in [7] that the quantum contribution in equation (19) to the magnetic helicity evolution can be greater than the classical one in equation (16) in the dense matter in NS if we consider almost rigid rotation of a star in a corotating frame. The variation of the magnetic helicity leads to the change of the magnetic field topology. This change can be interpreted as the reconnection of magnetic field lines. The phenomenon of the magnetic reconnection is known to result in the dissipation of the magnetic energy [14]. This transformation of the magnetic energy can have the implication to electromagnetic bursts of magnetars [9].

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (M.D.) is thankful to RFBR (research project No. 18-02-00149a) for a partial support.

- [1] Son D T and Surówka P 2009 Hydrodynamics with triangle anomalies *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** 191601 (*Preprint* arXiv:0906.5044)
- [2] Masada Y, Kotake K, Takiwaki T and Yamamoto N 2018 Chiral magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in core-collapse supernovae *Phys. Rev. D* **98** 083018 (*Preprint* arXiv:1805.10419)
- [3] Schober J *et al* 2018 Laminar and turbulent dynamos in chiral magnetohydrodynamics. II. Simulations *Astrophys. J. D* **858** 124 (*Preprint* arXiv:1711.09733)
- [4] Hirono Y 2017 Chiral magnetohydrodynamics for heavy-ion collisions *Nucl. Phys. A* **967** 233–40 (*Preprint* arXiv:1705.09409)
- [5] Dvornikov M 2016 Role of particle masses in the magnetic field generation driven by the parity violating interaction *Phys. Lett. B* **760** 406–10 (*Preprint* arXiv:1608.04940)
- [6] Dvornikov M 2018 Equilibrium electric current of massive electrons with anomalous magnetic moments induced by a magnetic field and the electroweak interaction with matter *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33** 1850154 (*Preprint* arXiv:1801.07788)
- [7] Dvornikov M and Semikoz V B 2018 Magnetic helicity evolution in a neutron star accounting for the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* JCAP08(2018)021 (*Preprint* arXiv:1805.04910)
- [8] Nielsen H B and Ninomiya M 1983 Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly and Weyl fermions in crystal *Phys. Lett. B* **130** 389–96
- [9] Kaspi V M and Beloborodov A 2017 Magnetars *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **55** 261–301 (*Preprint* arXiv:1703.00068)
- [10] Ioffe B L 2006 Axial anomaly: The modern status *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21** 6249–66 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0611026)
- [11] Semikoz V B and Valle J W F 1997 Erratum: nucleosynthesis constraints on active sterile neutrino conversions in the early universe with random magnetic field *Nucl. Phys. B* **485** 545–7 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9607208)
- [12] Metlitski M A and Zhitnitsky A R 2005 Anomalous axion interactions and topological currents in dense matter *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 045011 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0505072)
- [13] Copinger P, Fukushima K and Pu S 2018 Axial Ward identity and the Schwinger mechanism – Applications to the real-time chiral magnetic effect and condensates *Preprint* arXiv:1807.04416
- [14] Priest E and Forbes T 2000 *Magnetic reconnection* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [15] Nunokawa H, Semikoz V B, Smirnov A Yu and Valle J W F 1997 Neutrino conversions in a polarized medium *Nucl. Phys. B* **501** 17–40 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9701420)
- [16] Dvornikov M 2016 Relaxation of the chiral imbalance and the generation of magnetic fields in magnetars *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **123** 967–78 (*Preprint* arXiv:1510.06228)
- [17] Dvornikov M 2017 Relaxation of the chiral chemical potential in the dense matter of a neutron star *Russ. Phys. J.* **191** 1881–90 (*Preprint* arXiv:1702.05737)
- [18] Lattimer J M and Prakash M 2001 Neutron star structure and the equation of state *Astrophys. J.* **550** 426–42 (*Preprint* astro-ph/0002232)