Compatibility of any pair of 2-outcome measurements characterizes the Choquet simplex

Yui Kuramochi

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract For a compact convex subset K of a locally convex Hausdorff space, a measurement on A(K) is a finite family of positive elements in A(K) normalized to the unit constant 1_K , where A(K) denotes the set of continuous real affine functionals on K. It is proved that a compact convex set K is a Choquet simplex if and only if any pair of 2-outcome measurements are compatible, i.e. the measurements are given as the marginals of a single measurement. This generalizes the finite-dimensional result of [Plávala M 2016 Phys. Rev. A 94, 042108] obtained in the context of the foundations of quantum theory.

Keywords Choquet simplex \cdot Bauer simplex \cdot general probabilistic theory compatibility of measurements

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) $46A55 \cdot 46B40 \cdot 81P16 \cdot 81P15$

1 Introduction and main results

Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausforff space over the reals $\mathbb R$ and let A(K) denote the set of continuous real affine functionals on K, where A(K) is a Banach space under the supremum norm $\|f\|:=\sup_{x\in K}|f(x)|$ $(f\in A(K))$. (Throughout the paper all the linear spaces are over $\mathbb R$.) A measurement on A(K) is a finite sequence $(f_k)_{k=1}^m\in A(K)^m$ for some integer m such that $f_k\geq 0$ $(1\leq k\leq m)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^m f_k=1_K$, where

This work was supported by Cross-ministerial Strategic Innovation Promotion Program (SIP) (Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (CSTI)).

Yui Kuramochi

Photon Science Center, Graduate School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Tel.: +81-03-5841-0859

 $\hbox{E-mail: kuramochi@qi.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp}$

 $1_K \equiv 1$ is the unit constant functional. A measurement belonging to $A(K)^m$ is called m-outcome. Two measurements $(f_k)_{k=1}^m$ and $(g_j)_{j=1}^n$ on A(K) are called compatible (or jointly measurable) if there exists a family $(h_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ in A(K) such that

$$h_{k,j} \ge 0$$
, $f_k = \sum_{j'=1}^n h_{k,j'}$, $g_j = \sum_{k'=1}^m h_{k',j}$ $(1 \le k \le m, 1 \le j \le n)$.

In [5] Plávala showed that for finite-dimensional K, K is a simplex if and only if any pair of 2-outcome measurements are compatible. In the physical context, a compact convex set K corresponds to a state space of a general physical system and Plávala's result indicates that incompatibility of measurements [3] characterizes non-classicality of a physical system. The proof in [5] depends on the notion of the maximal face and is not straightforwardly applicable to infinite-dimensional compact sets. The purpose of this paper is to generalize this result to an arbitrary compact convex set K (Theorems 1 and 2).

The first main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff space. Then K is a Choquet simplex if and only if any pair $(f_k)_{k=1}^2$ and $(g_j)_{j=1}^2$ of 2-outcome measurements on A(K) are compatible.

Here a compact convex set K is called a Choquet simplex if the Banach dual $A(K)^*$ equipped with the dual positive cone

$$A(K)_{+}^{*} = \{ \psi \in A(K)^{*} \mid \psi(f) \ge 0 \, (\forall f \in A(K)_{+}) \}$$

is a lattice, where $A(K)_+ := \{ f \in A(K) \mid f(x) \ge 0 \ (\forall x \in K) \}$.

A Banach space E is said to have a Banach predual E_* if E_* is a Banach space such that its Banach dual $(E_*)^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to E. We can and do take such E_* , if exists, as a closed linear subspace of the Banach dual E^* . The second main result of this paper is then the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff space. Suppose that A(K) has a Banach predual. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) K is a Bauer simplex.
- (ii) K is a Choquet simplex.
- (iii) Any pair $(f_k)_{k=1}^2$ and $(g_j)_{j=1}^2$ of 2-outcome measurements on A(K) are compatible.

Here K is a Bauer simplex if the set $\partial_{e}K$ of extremal points of K is compact and any point in K is the barycenter of a unique boundary measure [1].

The above theorems are rephrased in terms of order-unit Banach space by using the following one-to-one correspondence ([1], Sections II.1 and II.2). A pair (E,e) of an Archimedean ordered linear space E and an order unit $e \in E$ is called an order-unit Banach space if the order-unit norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E induced by e is complete. We write by E_+ the positive cone of E. For each

order-unit Banach space (E,e) its dual E^* is a base-normed ordered linear space with the positive cone $E_+^* := \{ \phi \in E^* \mid \phi(a) \geq 0 \ (a \in E_+) \}$ and the base $S(E) := \{ \phi \in E_+^* \mid \|\phi\| = \phi(e) = 1 \}$. Each element of S(E) is called a state on E. S(E) is a weakly* compact convex subset of E^* and the order-unit Banach space $(A(S(E)), 1_{S(E)})$ is shown to be order and isometrically isomorphic to (E, e). Conversely for any compact convex subset K of a locally convex Hausdorff space, $(A(K), 1_K)$ is an order-unit Banach space and K is continuously affine isomorphic to the set S(A(K)) of states on A(K).

We also define the (m-outcome) measurement on an order-unit Banach space (E,e) as a finite-sequence (belonging to E^m) of positive elements of E normalized to e. The compatibility of measurements is defined in the same way as that of measurements on A(K). By this correspondence we can readily see that Theorems 1 and 2 are respectively equivalent to

Theorem 3 Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. Then E^* is a lattice if and only if any pair of 2-outcome measurements $(a_j)_{j=1}^2$ and $(b_k)_{k=1}^2$ on (E, e) are compatible.

Theorem 4 Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. Suppose that E has a Banach predual E_* . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) S(E) is a Bauer simplex.
- (ii) E^* is a lattice.
- (iii) Any pair of 2-outcome measurements $(a_j)_{j=1}^2$ and $(b_k)_{k=1}^2$ on (E,e) are compatible.

In the rest of this paper, after introducing some preliminaries in Section 2, we prove in Section 3 the main results Theorems 3 and 4.

2 Preliminary

This section reviews necessary results of order-unit Banach spaces with Banach preduals and those of simplexes. The reader is referred to [1] and [2,4] for the complete proofs of the facts given in this section.

Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space with a Banach predual E_* . Then the predual E_* endowed with the predual positive cone

$$E_{*+} := \{ \psi \in E_* \mid \psi(a) \ge 0 \, (\forall a \in E_+) \}$$

has the base

$$S_*(E) := \{ \psi \in E_{*+} \mid \psi(e) = 1 \} = E_* \cap S(E)$$

and the base norm on E_* induced by $S_*(E)$ coincides with the predual norm

$$\|\psi\| = \sup_{a \in E: \|a\| \le 1} |\psi(a)| \quad (\psi \in E_*),$$

which is the norm on E^* restricted to E_* ([2], Theorem 6; [4]). Moreover the positive cone E_+ is weakly* closed (i.e. $\sigma(E, E_*)$ -closed) ([4], in the proof). Therefore by the bipolar theorem E_+ is the dual cone of E_{*+} , i.e.

$$E_{+} = \{ a \in E \mid \psi(a) \ge 0 \, (\forall \psi \in E_{*+}) \}.$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the unit ball of E is weakly* compact and hence so is any interval

$$[a, b] := \{ x \in E \mid a \le x \le b \} \quad (a, b \in E).$$

We have seen that a Banach predual E_* of an order-unit Banach space E is a base-normed Banach space and the positive cone of E is the dual cone of that of E_* . We can conversely show that the Banach dual $(E_*)^*$ of a base-normed Banach space E_* is an order-unit Banach space, where the positive cone of $(E_*)^*$ is the dual cone of that of E_* ([2]; [1], II.1.15). Thus we can start either from an order-unit Banach space E with a Banach predual or from a base-normed space E_* , and these definitions result in effectively the same concept. We exploit the former definition since the main Theorems 2 and 4 are stated in terms of this.

A net $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ in an ordered linear space E is called bounded if $x_i \leq a$ $(\forall i \in I)$ for some $a \in E$ and increasing if $i \leq i'$ implies $x_i \leq x_{i'}$ $(i, i' \in I)$. We denote the supremum of the subset $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ of E by $\sup_{i \in I} x_i$ if it exists. The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 1 Let (E,e) be an order-unit Banach space with a Banach predual E_* . Then any bounded increasing net $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ in E is weakly* convergent to the supremum $\sup_{i\in I} x_i$.

Proof Take an element $a \in E$ such that $x_i \leq a$ $(i \in I)$. For a fixed $i_0 \in I$ we have $x_i \in [x_{i_0}, a]$ eventually and by the compactness of $[x_{i_0}, a]$ there exists a subnet $(x_{i(j)})_{j \in J}$ weakly* converging to some $x \in [x_{i_0}, a]$. Since $x_i \leq x_{i(j)}$ eventually for each $i \in I$, the weak* closedness of the positive cone E_+ implies $x_i \leq x$ for all $i \in I$. Moreover if $x_i \leq b \in E$ $(i \in I)$, then again by the weak* closedness of E_+ we have $x \leq b$. Therefore $x = \sup_{i \in I} x_i$. Take arbitrary $\psi \in E_{*+}$. Then $(\psi(x_i))_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net in \mathbb{R} and

$$\psi(x) \ge \sup_{i \in I} \psi(x_i) \ge \sup_{j \in J} \psi(x_{i(j)}) = \psi(x),$$

which implies $\psi(x_i) \uparrow \psi(x)$. Since E_* is the linear span of E_{*+} , this implies $x_i \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}*} x$.

Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. We define the linear order \leq on the double dual space E^{**} corresponding to the double dual cone

$$E_{+}^{**} := \{ x'' \in E^{**} \mid \langle x'', \psi \rangle \ge 0 \, (\forall \psi \in E_{+}^{*}) \},$$

where we introduced the notation $\langle x'', \psi \rangle := x''(\psi)$ $(x'' \in E^{**}, \psi \in E^{*})$. As usual we regard E as a norm-closed subspace of E^{**} . Then by the bipolar

theorem we have $E_+^{**} \cap E = E_+$, which implies that the order on E^{**} restricted to E coincides with the original order on E. Moreover (E^{**}, e) is an order-unit Banach space with the Banach predual E^* and E_+^{**} is the weak* closure of E_+ by the bipolar theorem. Let us introduce the sets

$$\mathcal{E}(E) := \{ x \in E \mid 0 \le x \le e \}, \mathcal{E}(E^{**}) := \{ x'' \in E^{**} \mid 0 \le x'' \le e \}.$$

Each element of $\mathcal{E}(E)$ or $\mathcal{E}(E^{**})$ is called an *effect*.

Lemma 2 Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. Then $\mathcal{E}(E)$ is weakly* dense in $\mathcal{E}(E^{**})$.

Proof Suppose that $\mathcal{E}(E)$ is not weakly* dense in $\mathcal{E}(E^{**})$. Then by the Hahn-Banach separation theorem, there exist $\psi \in E^*$ and $x'' \in \mathcal{E}(E^{**})$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{E}(E)} \langle x, \psi \rangle < \langle x'', \psi \rangle.$$

Since the unit ball of E coincides with

$$\{-e+2x \mid x \in \mathcal{E}(E)\},\$$

we have

$$\|\psi\| = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{E}(E)} \langle -e + 2x, \psi \rangle < \langle -e + 2x'', \psi \rangle \le \| -e + 2x'' \| \|\psi \| \le \|\psi\|,$$

which is a contradiction.

The following well-known characterizations of the Choquet and Bauer simplexes are also necessary.

Lemma 3 ([1], II.3.1 and II.3.11) Let (E,e) be an order-unit Banach space. Then E^* is a lattice if and only if E has the Riesz decomposition property, i.e.

$$0 \le u \le v_1 + v_2, \quad 0 \le v_1, v_2$$

 $\implies \exists u_1, u_2 \in E : 0 \le u_j \le v_j \ (j = 1, 2), \quad u = u_1 + u_2$

holds for any $u, v_1, v_2 \in E$.

Lemma 4 ([1], II.4.1) Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. Then S(E) is a Bauer simplex if and only if E is a lattice.

3 Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4

In this section, we first prove Theorem 4 and then Theorem 3 by reducing to Theorem 4.

Let (E,e) be an order-unit Banach space. For each effect $a \in \mathcal{E}(E)$ there corresponds a 2-outcome measurement (a,e-a) on (E,e). Two effects $a,b \in E$ are said to be compatible if the corresponding 2-outcome measurements (a,e-a) and (b,e-b) are compatible. The following lemma is immediate from the definition of the compatibility (cf. [5], Proposition 7).

Lemma 5 Let (E, e) be an order-unit Banach space. Then effects $a, b \in \mathcal{E}(E)$ are compatible if and only if there exists $c \in E$ such that

$$0, a+b-e \le c \le a, b.$$

We also introduce the following notion of orthogonality.

Definition 1 Positive elements a and b in an ordered linear space E is said to be orthogonal if

$$0 \le c \le a, b \implies c = 0$$

for any $c \in E$. It readily follows that for positive elements $a, b \in E$ and positive reals $\alpha, \beta > 0$, a and b are orthogonal if and only if αa and βb are orthogonal.

Then we have

Lemma 6 Let (E,e) be an order-unit Banach space satisfying the condition (iii) of Theorem 4 and let $a,b \in E$ be orthogonal positive elements. Suppose that $a \neq 0$. Then for any $\psi \in S(E)$ with $\psi(a) = ||a||$, it holds that $\psi(b) = 0$.

Proof The statement is obvious when b=0 and we assume $b\neq 0$. Then since the effects $\|a\|^{-1}a$ and $\|b\|^{-1}b$ are compatible, by Lemma 5 there exists $c\in E$ such that

$$0, \|a\|^{-1}a + \|b\|^{-1}b - e \le c \le \|a\|^{-1}a, \|b\|^{-1}b.$$

This implies

$$0 \le \min(\|a\|, \|b\|)c \le a, b$$

and hence the orthogonality of a and b implies c=0. Therefore

$$||a||^{-1}a + ||b||^{-1}b - e < 0.$$

Thus for any state $\psi \in S(E)$ with $\psi(a) = ||a||$ we have

$$0 < ||b||^{-1}\psi(b) < \psi(e - ||a||^{-1}a) = 0,$$

which implies $\psi(b) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.

- $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ is obvious.
- (ii) \Longrightarrow (i). This can be proved in the same way as in Proposition II.3.2 of [1] by noting Lemma 4 and that the set

$$\{ w \in E \mid x, y \le w \le z \} = [x, z] \cap [y, z]$$

for any $x, y, z \in E$ is weakly* compact.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii). Assume (ii) and take arbitrary 2-outcome measurements $(a_1,a_2)=(a_1,e-a_1)$ and $(b_1,b_2)=(b_1,e-b_1)$ on (E,e). Then by $a_1 \leq e=b_1+b_2$ and the Riesz decomposition property of E, there exist elements $c_{1,1},c_{1,2}\in E$ such that

$$0 \le c_{1,j} \le b_j (j = 1, 2), \quad a_1 = c_{1,1} + c_{1,2}.$$

If we put $c_{2,j} := b_j - c_{1,j} \ge 0$, we have

$$b_j = c_{1,j} + c_{2,j} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

$$a_2 = e - a_1 = b_1 + b_2 - c_{1,1} - c_{1,2} = c_{2,1} + c_{2,2}.$$

Thus (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) are compatible.

(iii) \Longrightarrow (ii). We assume (iii) and prove the Riesz decomposition property of E. Take elements $u, v_1, v_2 \in E$ satisfying

$$0 \le u \le v_1 + v_2, \quad 0 \le v_1, v_2.$$

Let

$$A := \{ (x_1, x_2) \in E \times E \mid 0 \le x_j \le v_j (j = 1, 2), x_1 + x_2 \le u \},$$

which is non-empty by $(0,0) \in A$, and define a partial order \leq on A by

$$(x_1, x_2) \le (y_1, y_2) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\Leftrightarrow} x_1 \le y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \le y_2 \quad ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in A).$$

Let D be a directed subset of A and for each $\delta \in D$ we write as $\delta = (x_1^{\delta}, x_2^{\delta})$. Then for each j = 1, 2 the net $(x_j^{\delta})_{\delta \in D}$ in E is increasing and upper bounded by v_j . Thus by Lemma 1

$$x_j^{\delta} \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}*} \sup_{\delta \in D} x_j^{\delta} =: x_j \in E.$$

By the weak* closedness of E_+ , we have $(x_1, x_2) \in A$ and hence (x_1, x_2) is a supremum of D in A. Therefore by Zorn's lemma there exists an element $(u_1, u_2) \in A$ maximal with respect to the order \leq on A.

We next prove that $u - u_1 - u_2 \ge 0$ and $v_1 - u_1 \ge 0$ are orthogonal. Take $c \in E$ such that

$$0 \le c \le u - u_1 - u_2, v_1 - u_1.$$

Then this implies $(u_1, u_2) \leq (u_1 + c, u_2) \in A$ and hence by the maximality of (u_1, u_2) we have c = 0. Therefore $u - u_1 - u_2$ and $v_1 - u_1$ are orthogonal. Similar proof also applies to the orthogonality of $u - u_1 - u_2$ and $v_2 - u_2$.

Now assume $u - u_1 - u_2 \neq 0$. We then take a state $\psi \in S(E)$ such that

$$\psi(u - u_1 - u_2) = ||u - u_1 - u_2|| > 0.$$

Then by Lemma 6 we have $\psi(v_1 - u_1) = \psi(v_2 - u_2) = 0$ since $u - u_1 - u_2$ and $v_j - u_j$ are orthogonal (j = 1, 2). Hence, by noting $u \le v_1 + v_2$, we have

$$0 < \psi(u - u_1 - u_2) = \psi(u - v_1 - v_2) \le 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $u = u_1 + u_2$, which proves the Riesz decomposition property of E.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof of (ii) \Longrightarrow (iii) in Theorem 4 also applies to the "only if" part of the statement. To establish the "if" part, we assume that any pair of 2-outcome measurements on (E, e) is compatible and show that E^* is a lattice.

We first prove that any 2-outcome measurements on (E^{**}, e) is compatible. Since each 2-outcome measurements corresponds to an effect by

$$\mathcal{E}(E^{**}) \ni x'' \mapsto (x'', e - x''),$$

we have only to prove that any effects $a'', b'' \in \mathcal{E}(E^{**})$ are compatible. By Lemma 2 there exist nets $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{E}(E)$ such that $a_i \xrightarrow{\text{weakly*}} a''$ and $b_i \xrightarrow{\text{weakly*}} b''$. Since the effects a_i and b_i are compatible for each $i \in I$, there exists $c_i \in E$ such that

$$0, a_i + b_i - e \le c_i \le a_i, b_i.$$

Since c_i is bounded, the Banach-Alaoglu theorem implies that there exists a subnet of $(c_i)_{i\in I}$ weakly* converging to some $c'' \in E^{**}$. By the weak* closedness of the positive cone E_+^{**} , we have

$$0, a'' + b'' - e \le c'' \le a'', b'',$$

which implies the compatibility of the effects a'' and b''.

Now by Theorem 4, E^{**} is a lattice and hence an AM-space and by Corollary 1 of [2] E^* is a AL-space and so is a lattice, which completes the proof. \Box

References

- 1. Alfsen, E.M.: Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals. Springer (1971)
- Ellis, A.J.: The duality of partially ordered normed linear spaces. J. London Math. Soc. 39(1), 730–744 (1964).
- Heinosaari, T., Miyadera, T., Ziman, M.: An invitation to quantum incompatibility. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49(12), 123001 (2016).
- Olubummo, Y., Cook, T.A.: The Predual of an Order-Unit Banach Space. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38(12), 3301–3303 (1999).
- Plávala, M.: All measurements in a probabilistic theory are compatible if and only if the state space is a simplex. Phys. Rev. A 94, 042108 (2016).