

Tidal forces are gravitational waves

Rituparno Goswami^{1,*} and George F. R. Ellis^{2,†}

¹*Astrophysics and Cosmology Research Unit, School of Mathematics,
Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal,
Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa.*

²*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics and ACGC,
University of Cape Town, Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa.*

In this paper we show in a covariant and gauge invariant way that in general relativity, tidal forces are actually a hidden form of gravitational waves. This must be so because gravitational effects cannot occur faster than the speed of light. Any two body gravitating system, where the bodies are orbiting around each other, may generate negligible gravitational waves, but it is via these waves that non-negligible tidal forces (causing shape distortions) act on these bodies. Although the tidal forces are caused by the electric part of the Weyl tensor, we transparently show that some small time varying magnetic part of the Weyl tensor with non zero curl must be present in the system that mediates the tidal forces via gravitational wave type effects.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv , 04.20.Dw

I. INTRODUCTION: TIDAL FORCES IN NEWTONIAN GRAVITY

Let us begin by posing the following question:

How long does it take tidal forces due to the gravitational field of the Moon to reach the Earth? In other words, is there a finite angular lag between the lunar tides in the ocean and the position of moon in the sky?

We know that the tidal force is a force that stretches a body towards and away from the centre of mass of another body due to a gradient (difference in strength) in gravitational field from the other body; it is responsible for diverse phenomena, including tides, tidal locking, breaking apart and formation of ring systems around celestial bodies. In two or multi body systems, the energy transfer between the bodies via the work done by the tidal forces, is called the process of gravitational induction. In Newtonian gravity, this phenomenon is governed by the 3-dimensional trace free symmetric tensor defined as follows [1]

$$E_{ab} = \partial_a \partial_b \Phi - \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} \partial^c \partial_c \Phi \quad (1)$$

where Φ is the gravitational potential, h_{ab} is the metric on 3 dimensional space and the indices a, b, c runs from $1 \dots 3$. This tensor is the Newtonian analogue of the Electric part of the 4 dimensional Weyl tensor in General Relativity. There is however no Newtonian analogue of the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor [1], which explains the absence of gravitational waves in Newtonian gravity.

However the true theory of gravity is relativistic, and we will take that to be General Relativity, and *no influence can travel faster than the speed of light*: the tidal influence cannot be instantaneous. Therefore this influence can either travel along null or timelike curves. To travel along a timelike curve, this influence must be mediated by massive fields. However, in vacuum spacetime no such field are present and there is no intrinsically defined preferred speed at which they would propagate: the only such speed in general relativity *is* the speed of light [2]. There must be some way in which one can regard tidal forces propagating between astronomical objects in orbital motion, such as the Earth and Moon, or binary pulsars, or even binary black holes, as propagating between them *at* the speed of light, precisely because it is *the* physical speed available. That is, even though we do not usually represent things in this way, in a sense tidal forces are a form of gravitational radiation, because otherwise they would not travel at the speed of light. The purpose of this paper is to make clear how this happens.

There are several well known examples of energy transfer via gravitational induction in Newtonian gravity:

1. **The Earth Moon system** [3]: In this system Earth is continuously losing its rotational energy through the process of tidal braking caused by Moon's gravity. As a consequence, the length of the day is getting gradually longer by about 2.3 milliseconds per century at the present time. Moon is however getting more energised through the process of Lunar recession, that results in a net forward acceleration of the Moon along its orbit and moves the Moon into a slightly larger orbit. There is a steady increase in the average Earth-Moon distance by about 3.8 cm per year.
2. **Tweedledum and Tweedledee thought experiment** [4, 5]: This thought experiment, pre-

*Electronic address: goswami@ukzn.ac.za

†Electronic address: george.ellis@uct.ac.za

sented by Bondi and MacCrea involves two intelligent and physically identical spherically shaped creatures Tweedledum and Tweedledee who are made up of pliable material, that allows them to change their shape. They are ordered to move around each other under their mutual gravitational force along highly eccentric orbit in such a way that their centre of gravity remains fixed in space. Through a series of shape changing operations as dictated by the rules of the game one sees that after each complete rotation, Tweedledum is gaining a lot of internal energy as the external tidal force is doing work on him, while Tweedledee is losing a lot of internal energy as she is doing work against the external force. This is an excellent example of how the internal energy of a system can be transferred to another system via gravitational induction, in Newtonian gravity.

However, in all these situations, the Newtonian theory assumes the effect of gravitational induction from one body to another is instantaneous, and hence these violates the principle of spacial and consequently general relativity. In the subsequent sections, we will recast a two body system in a perturbative formalism within general relativity to transparently look for the mechanism that mediates the tidal forces and transfer energy from one body to another. In this investigation, we are concerned with tidal forces associated with gravitationally governed orbital motion of two massive bodies, where we know gravitational radiation occurs when seen from outside (for example, Hulse-Taylor binary [6]).

II. THE WEYL TENSOR AS THE FREE GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

It is common to think of the metric tensor $g_{ab}(x^j)$ as the gravitational field in general relativity, with the Einstein Field Equations

$$G_{ab} := R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} \quad (2)$$

being second order partial differential equations for g_{ab} where R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor determined by g_{ab} , R the Ricci scalar, T_{ab} the matter stress-energy tensor, and Λ the cosmological constant. In the case of the local propagation of gravitational waves through a vacuum, the cosmological constant is irrelevant and $T_{ab} = 0$ so (2) reduces to

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = 0 \Leftrightarrow R_{ab} = 0, \quad (3)$$

which in the weak field limit is well known to have wave solutions [7].

However an alternative viewpoint [1] is to view the Weyl tensor

$$C_{abcd} := R_{abcd} + (g_{a[d}R_{c]b} + g_{b[c}R_{d]a}) + \frac{1}{3}Rg_{a[c}g_{d]b}, \quad (4)$$

as the free gravitational field, and the metric tensor as its (2nd order) potential field. This tensor has the same symmetries as the Riemann tensor but in addition is traceless:

$$C^a{}_{bad} = 0; \quad (5)$$

in the case of a vacuum, it is identical to the Riemann tensor:

$$\{R_{ab} = 0\} \Leftrightarrow \{C_{abcd} = R_{abcd}\} \quad (6)$$

Now the useful thing is this: given a timelike vector field $u^a(x^j) : u_a u^a = -1$ representing a family of fundamental observers, in exact parallel to the way one can split the electromagnetic field F_{ab} into electric and magnetic parts E_a, H_b in the rest frame of u^a ($E_a u^a = 0, H_a u^a = 0$) one can similarly split C_{abcd} into electric and magnetic parts E_{ab}, H_{ab} (A15,A16); but this time they are symmetric traceless tensors orthogonal to u^a (see appendix (A for details):

$$E_{ab} = E_{(ab)}, E^a{}_a = 0, E_{ab}u^a = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$H_{ab} = H_{(ab)}, H^a{}_a = 0, H_{ab}u^a = 0 \quad (8)$$

It is the electric part E_{ab} of the Weyl tensor that is responsible for tidal forces, because it enters the geodesic deviation equation and so causes relative displacement of freely falling particles, and more generally it causes relative displacement of particles in elastic media, which is how tidal forces are generated and gravitational waves are detected [8]. (for effect of Newtonian gravity on elastic media see [9]).

Now just as the Maxwell Equations

$$F_{[ab;c]} = 0, F^{ab}{}_{;b} = 0 \quad (9)$$

give the usual \dot{E} , $curl E$, \dot{H} , $curl H$ equations in the source free case (where $\dot{}$ denotes the directional derivative along the timelike congruence), in the vacuum case (3), the Bianchi identities

$$R_{ab[cd;e]} = 0 \Leftrightarrow R^a{}_{bcd;a} = 0 \quad (10)$$

(the equivalence holding only in 4 dimensions) lead to the temporal and spatial derivatives of electric and magnetic part of Weyl tensor as follows, in the vacuum case (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}^{<ab>} - \epsilon^{cd<a} D_c H_d^{b>} &= -\Theta E^{ab} + 3\sigma_c^{<a} E_c^{b>} \\ &+ \epsilon^{cd<a} (2A_c H_d^{b>} + \omega_c E_d^{b>}), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{H}^{<ab>} + \epsilon^{cd<a} D_c E_d^{b>} &= -\Theta H^{ab} + 3\sigma_c^{<a} H_c^{b>} \\ &- \epsilon^{cd<a} (2A_c E_d^{b>} - \omega_c H_d^{b>}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$D_b E^{ab} - 3\omega_b H^{ab} - \epsilon^{abc} \sigma_{bd} H_c^d = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$D_b H^{ab} + 3\omega_b E^{ab} + \epsilon^{abc} \sigma_{bd} E_c^d = 0. \quad (14)$$

Here D is the projected covariant derivative operator on 3-space orthogonal to the timelike congruence and angle brackets denote the projected trace free part.

The key point is the following: gravitational waves arise by taking the dot derivative of the dot-E equation, commuting the dot and curl operators on H , and substituting from the H -dot equation to obtain a wave equation for E_{ab} , where the wave speed is the speed of light c [10]. In empty space with a non-expanding congruence u^a , this reduces to the usual form of the linearized theory [10]:

$$\square E_{ab} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Similarly we get a wave equation for H , precisely as in the EM case. But *we only get a wave equations for E_{ab} if $\text{curl}H \neq 0 \Rightarrow H_{ab} \neq 0$.*

Hence in the kind of tidal system represented by the Earth-Moon system, even though we normally think of it in Newtonian like terms with tidal forces represented by the $\text{div}E$ equations, and H_{ab} either zero or certainly negligible, H_{ab} *cannot be neglected when there are tidal forces*. However unlike the usual gravitational wave case where E_{ab} and H_{ab} are oscillating out of phase at high frequency and with equal amplitudes, in this case E_{ab} and H_{ab} are oscillating out of phase at low frequency and E_{ab} has a much greater amplitude than H_{ab} , which has a non-zero curl. This is what enables the tidal force field to propagate from one body to another at the speed of light.

There is one further thing to note. At any instant in the comoving reference frame, the $\text{div}E$ equation is a Laplacian equation representing an instantaneous influence whereby E_{ab} can be regarded as traveling instantaneously from the Earth to the Moon. However this is not in fact instantaneous propagation, as this equation is a constraint that was required to be satisfied in order to set up consistent initial data way back in the past (when the relevant structures were formed). It remains true today because conservation of the constraint equations is a consistency condition for the whole set of propagation equations to be true. That is, they remain true at a later time because they were true at an earlier time and were propagated forward in time via the time development equations. Their specific form at any epoch is because wave propagation took place and kept them true at later times, having initially been true.

The visualisation of the interaction of E and H during the emission of gravitational radiation, due to the wave-like nature of their interaction, is beautifully illustrated by Thorne and co-workers [11], [12]. However they do not relate this to tidal forces as we do here. The fully covariant 1 + 3 electromagnetic analogy for gravity is also developed in [13]. We remark that given that H may become large during late stages of inspiral of neutron stars, it is possible that tidal forces due to E will be augmented

by gravitoelectromagnetic effects relating H to rotation of fluid masses (see for example [14]). We will not pursue that issue here.

III. TWO BODY SYSTEM IN GENERAL RELATIVITY: A PERTURBATIVE APPROACH

Unlike Newtonian gravity, Einstein equations do not have any general solution for a two body system. Therefore we resort to a perturbative approach, where the presence of the second body is taken as a perturbation over the spacetime geometry generated by the first one. We perform our entire analysis using semitetrad covariant 1 + 1 + 2 formalism, generated by a timelike and a preferred spacelike congruence (please see appendix A and B for a complete description of the formalism and important geometrical definitions and identities). This covariant formalism helps in recasting the perturbed field equations in a gauge invariant way, that brings out the gauge invariant results.

A. The background spacetime

For simplicity and transparency in our calculations, we assume that the central body is spherically symmetric. Then the vacuum spacetime around this central body must be Schwarzschild, by Birkhoff's theorem [15, 23]. In that case the geometry is necessarily static, and the directional derivatives of all geometrical variables along the timelike congruence must vanish. Thus the only non-zero geometrical variables (B24) in the background spacetime are [16]:

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{\phi, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}\}, \quad (16)$$

that satisfies the following propagation equations and constraints

$$\hat{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - \mathcal{E}, \quad (17)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = -\frac{3}{2}\phi\mathcal{E}, \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = -(\mathcal{A} + \phi)\mathcal{A}, \quad (19)$$

$$\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{A}\phi. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, we can write the Gaussian curvature of the 2-sheets perpendicular to timelike and preferred spacelike congruences (see appendix B for details)

$$K = -\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^2. \quad (21)$$

From the above equations it is clear that the electric part of the Weyl scalar is proportional to a (3/2)th power of the Gaussian curvature and the proportionality constant (that is the Schwarzschild mass m) sets up an covariant scale in the problem. We can also define the area radius

of the two sheets r , such that the Gaussian curvature is $(1/r^2)$. In that case we must have

$$r = \left(-\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{4}\phi^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (22)$$

The propagation equations can now be integrated in terms of this variable and we get [16]

$$\phi = \frac{2}{r} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \frac{m}{r^2} \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{E} = -\frac{2m}{r^3}, \quad K = \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (24)$$

B. The perturbed spacetime

Let us now consider a second spherical body, whose Schwarzschild mass is much smaller than the mass of the central body (which is the covariant scale in the problem), starts rotating around the central body. The situation is very similar to the Earth-Moon system, where the ratio of moon's mass to that of Earth's mass is ≈ 0.1 . The spacetime around and in between these bodies will then be perturbed Schwarzschild and all the geometrical quantities that vanished in the background will now be non-zero but their magnitude will be much smaller than the invariant scale. The set of these first order quantities are given as [19]

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{ \Theta, \Sigma, \Omega, \mathcal{H}, \xi, \mathcal{A}^a, \Omega^a, \Sigma^a, \alpha^a, a^a, \mathcal{E}^a, \mathcal{H}^a, \Sigma_{ab}, \mathcal{E}_{ab}, \mathcal{H}_{ab}, \zeta_{ab} \}. \quad (25)$$

We can now write the first order equations for the system as follows. The time evolution equations of ξ and $\zeta_{\{ab\}}$ are

$$\dot{\xi} = (\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2}\phi) \Omega + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^a\alpha^b + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}, \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{\zeta}_{\{ab\}} = (\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2}\phi) \Sigma_{ab} + \delta_{\{a}\alpha_{b\}} - \varepsilon_{c\{a}\mathcal{H}_{b\}}^c. \quad (27)$$

The Vorticity evolution equations:

$$\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^a\mathcal{A}^b + \mathcal{A}\xi, \quad (28)$$

$$\dot{\Omega}_a + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^b = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}(-\mathcal{A}a^b + \delta^b\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2}\phi\mathcal{A}^b). \quad (29)$$

Shear evolution equations:

$$\dot{\Sigma} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{3}(2\mathcal{A} - \phi)\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_a\mathcal{A}^a - \mathcal{E} \quad (30)$$

$$\dot{\Sigma}_{\{ab\}} = \delta_{\{a}\mathcal{A}_{b\}} + \mathcal{A}\zeta_{ab} - \mathcal{E}_{ab}, \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Sigma}_a - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathcal{A}}_a &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_a\mathcal{A} + (\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{4}\phi)\mathcal{A}_a \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}a_a - \frac{3}{2}\Sigma\alpha_a - \mathcal{E}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Evolution equation for \hat{e}_a :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_a - \dot{a}_a &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi - \mathcal{A} \right) (\Sigma_a + \varepsilon_{ab}\Omega^b) \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi + \mathcal{A} \right) \alpha_a - \varepsilon_{ab}\mathcal{H}^b. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Electric Weyl evolution:

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\Sigma - \Theta \right) \mathcal{E} + \varepsilon_{ab}\delta^a\mathcal{H}^c \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_a + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^b &= \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^b\mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{bc}\delta^b\mathcal{H}^c_a - \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{E}(\Sigma_a + 2\alpha_a) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{E}\varepsilon_{ab}\Omega^b - \left(\frac{1}{4}\phi + \mathcal{A} \right) \varepsilon_{ab}\mathcal{H}^b, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{\{ab\}} - \varepsilon_{c\{a}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{b\}}^c &= -\varepsilon_{c\{a}\delta^c\mathcal{H}_{b\}} - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{E}\Sigma_{ab} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi + 2\mathcal{A} \right) \varepsilon_{c\{a}\mathcal{H}_{b\}}^c. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Magnetic Weyl evolution:

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}} = -\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^a\mathcal{E}^b - 3\xi\mathcal{E}, \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_a - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{E}}^b &= -\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{E}\varepsilon_{ab}\mathcal{A}^b + \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{E}\varepsilon_{ab}a^b - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{bc}\delta^b\mathcal{E}^c_a \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{4}\phi + \mathcal{A} \right) \varepsilon_{ab}\mathcal{E}^b - \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^b\mathcal{E}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\{ab\}} + \varepsilon_{c\{a}\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{b\}}^c &= +\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{E}\varepsilon_{c\{a}\zeta_{b\}}^c - \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi + 2\mathcal{A} \right) \varepsilon_{c\{a}\mathcal{E}_{b\}}^c \\ &+ \varepsilon_{c\{a}\delta^c\mathcal{E}_{b\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Sheet expansion evolution:

$$\dot{\phi} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\Theta - \Sigma \right) (\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2}\phi) + \delta_a\alpha^a \quad (40)$$

Raychaudhuri equation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} - \dot{\Theta} = -\delta_a\mathcal{A}^a - (\mathcal{A} + \phi)\mathcal{A} \quad (41)$$

The propagation equations of ξ and $\zeta_{\{ab\}}$ are:

$$\hat{\xi} = -\phi\xi + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}\delta^a\alpha^b, \quad (42)$$

$$\hat{\zeta}_{\{ab\}} = -\phi\zeta_{ab} + \delta_{\{a}\alpha_{b\}} - \mathcal{E}_{ab}. \quad (43)$$

Shear divergence:

$$\hat{\Sigma} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{\Theta} = -\frac{3}{2}\phi\Sigma - \delta_a\Sigma^a \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma}_a - \varepsilon_{ab}\hat{\Omega}^b &= \frac{1}{2}\delta_a\Sigma + \frac{2}{3}\delta_a\theta - \varepsilon_{ab}\delta^b\Omega - \frac{3}{2}\phi\Sigma_a - \frac{3}{2}\Sigma a_a \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\phi + 2\mathcal{A} \right) \varepsilon_{ab}\Omega^b - \delta^b\Sigma_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma}_{\{ab\}} &= \delta_{\{a}\Sigma_{b\}} - \varepsilon_{c\{a}\delta^c\Omega_{b\}} - \frac{1}{2}\phi\Sigma_{ab} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}\Sigma\zeta_{ab} - \varepsilon_{c\{a}\mathcal{H}_{b\}}^c. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Vorticity divergence equation:

$$\hat{\Omega} = -\delta_a\Omega^a + (\mathcal{A} - \phi)\Omega. \quad (47)$$

Electric Weyl Divergence:

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = -\delta_a \mathcal{E}^a - \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{E}, \quad (48)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_a = \frac{1}{2} \delta_a \mathcal{E} - \delta^b \mathcal{E}_{ab} - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} a_a - \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{E}_a. \quad (49)$$

Magnetic Weyl divergence:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -\delta_a \mathcal{H}^a - \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{H} - 3\mathcal{E} \Omega, \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_a &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_a \mathcal{H} - \delta^b \mathcal{H}_{ab} - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} \varepsilon_{ab} \Sigma^b + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} \Omega_a \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} \Sigma \varepsilon_{ab} \mathcal{E}^b - \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{H}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Sheet expansion propagation:

$$\hat{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \delta_a a^a - \mathcal{E}. \quad (52)$$

We also have the following constraints:

$$\delta_a \Omega^a + \varepsilon_{ab} \delta^a \Sigma^b = (2\mathcal{A} - \phi) \Omega + \mathcal{H}, \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta_a \phi - \varepsilon_{ab} \delta^b \xi - \delta^b \zeta_{ab} = -\mathcal{E}_a, \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \delta_a \Theta + 2\varepsilon_{ab} \delta^b \Omega + 2\delta^b \Sigma_{ab} &= -\phi (\Sigma_a - \varepsilon_{ab} \Omega^b) \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon_{ab} \mathcal{H}^b. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

IV. MAKING THE SYSTEM GAUGE INVARIANT

The equations in the previous section has both zeroth order and first order terms. The rules for mapping the zeroth order terms from background spacetime manifold to the perturbed spacetime manifold, defines the gauge choice. However the quantities that vanish in the background spacetime are automatically gauge invariant by Stewart and Walker lemma [20, 21]. Therefore to make the system of equations gauge invariant we define a set of three new variables that vanish in the background [17, 18]

$$\mathcal{D}_{GI} = \{W_a = \delta_a \mathcal{E}, Y_a = \delta_a \phi, Z_a = \delta_a \mathcal{A}\} \quad (56)$$

The evolution and propagation equations for the new variables are now given as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{W}_a &= \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{E} (\alpha_a + \Sigma_a - \varepsilon_{ab} \Omega^b) + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} \left(\delta_a \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \delta_a \Theta \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_{bc} \delta_a \delta^b \mathcal{H}^c, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Y}_a &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \mathcal{E} \right) (\alpha_a + \Sigma_a - \varepsilon_{ab} \Omega^b) + \delta_a \delta_c \alpha^c \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi - \mathcal{A} \right) \left(\delta_a \Sigma - \frac{2}{3} \delta_a \Theta \right), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\hat{W}_a = -2\phi W_a - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E} Y_a + \frac{3}{2} \phi \mathcal{E} a_a - \delta_a \delta_b \mathcal{E}^b, \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{Y}_a = -W_a - \frac{3}{2} \phi Y_a + \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + \mathcal{E} \right) a_a + \delta_a \delta_b a^b, \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_a &= -\left(\frac{3}{2} \phi + 2\mathcal{A} \right) Z_a - \mathcal{A} Y_a + \mathcal{A} (\phi + \mathcal{A}) a_a \\ &\quad + \delta_a \dot{\Theta} - \delta_a \delta_b \mathcal{A}^b. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

These equations add no new information to what has already been given in the previous section however, they are now gauge invariant. We can now replace (30) by (61), (34) by (57), (40) by (58), (48) by (59) and (52) by (60). This will make the complete system of equations gauge invariant. The following additional constraints are also obtained for the new variables:

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \delta^a W^b = 3\phi \mathcal{E} \xi, \quad (62)$$

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \delta^a Y^b = (\phi^2 + 2\mathcal{E}) \xi, \quad (63)$$

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \delta^a Z^b = 2\mathcal{A} (\phi + \mathcal{A}) \xi. \quad (64)$$

It is also useful to replace (41) with

$$\delta_a \dot{\Sigma} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_a \dot{\Theta} = -W_a - \mathcal{A} Y_a - \phi Z_a - \delta_a \delta_b \mathcal{A}^b. \quad (65)$$

Introducing these new variables eliminates the study of possible spherically symmetric perturbations (for which they are automatically zero). However since by Birkhoff's theorem, all the vacuum spherically symmetric static spacetimes are Schwarzschild, we actually do not lose any true degrees of freedom by adding them.

V. REGGE WHEELER TENSOR AND WAVE EQUATION

As shown extensively in [17, 18], from the first order traceless tensors on the two sheets, we can construct a dimensionless, covariant, gauge invariant, transverse trace-free tensor $M_{\{ab\}}$ in the following way:

$$M_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \phi r^2 \zeta_{ab} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \mathcal{E}^{-1} \delta_{\{a} W_{b\}}. \quad (66)$$

Provided $\varepsilon_{bc} \delta_a \delta^b \mathcal{H}^c \neq 0$, this tensor obeys the wave equation

$$\ddot{M}_{\{ab\}} - \hat{M}_{\{ab\}} - \mathcal{A} \hat{M}_{\{ab\}} + (\phi^2 + \mathcal{E}) M_{ab} - \delta^2 M_{ab} = 0, \quad (67)$$

in parallel to the way that (15) follows provided $\text{curl} H \neq 0$. The tensor M_{ab} is known as *Regge Wheeler tensor* and the wave equation (67) dictates both the odd and even parity perturbations. It is interesting to note that the tensor M_{ab} gives the measure of sheet deformation, via the electric part of Weyl scalar and the deformation tensor related to the preferred spacelike direction. At this point we would like to emphasise two key points:

1. **All informations of tidal forces are encoded in the gravitational waves:** We know that the tidal forces between the two bodies are the artefact of the electric part of the Weyl tensor (\mathcal{E} in this case). Therefore the evolution and propagation equations of the variable \mathcal{E} should give the complete description of these forces. However, we have already seen that the evolution and propagation equations of $W_a \equiv \delta_a \mathcal{E}$, carries the same informations. That is the reason why we could replace (34) by (57) and (48) by (59). Therefore the tensor M_{ab} carries all the informations about the tidal forces. Unlike Newtonian gravity, where the effects of the tidal forces are instantaneous, in GR these effects travel via the gravitational waves described by (67).
2. **Manifestation of tidal forces requires non-zero curl of magnetic Weyl:** One of the necessary conditions for the existence of the wave equation (67), is that the magnetic part of Weyl tensor along with it's curl must be strictly non vanishing in the perturbed spacetime (although these quantities may be small *i.e.* of the first order). Therefore for the transmission of the action of a zeroth order non negligible electric part of Weyl, we must need, at least to the first order, the presence of the magnetic part. The existence of this non-zero curl of H , and hence of non-zero H , can be explicitly seen from the equation (57). When we take a time derivative of that equation and use the commutation relations of time and sheet derivatives for the term involving $\text{curl}H$, we get a closed form wave equation (67). If curl of H vanish in the perturbed spacetime, we will not be able to get a wave equation for the Regge Wheeler tensor.

VI. NATURE OF WAVES THAT MEDIATES TIDAL FORCES

In the previous section we have already established that, in a two body system, the action of tidal forces travel from one body to another via the gravitational wave equation (67). In other words, these tidal deformations are gravitational waves. To investigate more closely, the nature of gravitational waves that mediates these tidal effects, let us decompose all the geometrical variables as an infinite sum of basis harmonic functions. This will enable us to replace the angular derivatives appearing in the equations by a harmonic coefficient. We follow [16] where the harmonics were introduced in a covariant manner. We introduce the set of dimensionless spherical harmonic functions $Q = Q^{(\ell,m)}$, with $m = -\ell, \dots, \ell$, defined in the background, as being eigenfunctions of the spherical laplacian operator such that

$$\delta^2 Q = -\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} Q, \quad (68)$$

and Q is covariantly constant, $\hat{Q} = 0 = \dot{Q}$. As we are interested in the transmission of the effects of the scalar \mathcal{E} , we expand the wave equation in terms of the scalar harmonics in the following way: We expand any first order scalar Ψ in terms of the harmonic functions as

$$\Psi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{m=\ell} \Psi_S^{(\ell,m)} Q^{(\ell,m)} = \Psi_S Q, \quad (69)$$

where the sum over ℓ and m is implicit in the last equality. We use the subscript S to remind us that Ψ is a scalar, and that a spherical harmonic expansion has been made. Due to the spherical symmetry of the background, we can drop m in the equations.

The replacements which must be made for scalars when expanding the equations in spherical harmonics are

$$\Psi = \Psi_S Q, \quad (70)$$

$$\delta_a \Psi = r^{-1} \Psi_S Q_a, \quad (71)$$

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \delta^b \Psi = r^{-1} \Psi_S \bar{Q}_a, \quad (72)$$

where the sums over ℓ and m is implicit. We can expand 67 into scalar harmonics as

$$\ddot{M} - \hat{M} - \mathcal{A} \hat{M} + \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + 3\mathcal{E} \right] M = 0, \quad (73)$$

In appropriate coordinates the wave equation 73 is the usual *Regge-Wheeler equation*, that appears in any GR textbook. As physically expected, the effects of tidal forces must be determined by the small values of the multipole moment ' ℓ '. For example, in the earth moon system, $\ell = 1$ will account for the maximum effects of the tidal forces. For more massive neutron stars binaries, however, this value can go up to $\ell = 4, 5$ to cover the complete tidal distortions as specified by the Tidal Love Number (due to the other body) and Rotational Love Number (due to rotation of each body) [22].

Apart from this, for any nearly spherical vacuum (which definitely fits for the vacuum region between the Earth and the Moon, for example), we have the *Almost Birkhoff theorem* [23], which states that for an almost spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime there always exists a vector in the local $[u, e]$ plane which almost solves the Killing equations. If this vector is timelike then the spacetime is locally almost static, and if the Killing vector is spacelike the spacetime is locally almost spatially homogeneous.

As a direct consequence of this, when the time derivative all the background quantities are zero, we can easily see that the time derivatives of the first order quantities at a given point is of the same order of smallness as themselves. Hence the first order quantities still remains "small" as the time evolves. Therefore the time variation of the gravitational waves will be slow as set by the time

scale of the rotation of the binary system around each other. This definitively indicates the following:

Proposition 1. *The tidal forces experienced by the bodies in a binary system are very slow time varying (given by the time scale of rotation) gravitational waves of small multipole moments, with a large electric part of Weyl and a small magnetic part of Weyl, but both with non vanishing curl.*

VII. DISCUSSIONS

In hindsight, it is not surprising that non-zero magnetic Weyl tensor is associated with tidal forces: for we know that any binary system emits gravitational waves, detectable at “infinity” (i.e. at large distance from the system) that carry away mass and energy from the system [6]. We would like to emphasise here again, that in this investigation we only deal with tidal forces that are associated with gravitationally governed orbital motion of two massive bodies, where we know gravitational radiation occurs when seen from outside. Hence even though a static spherical body *per se* has no magnetic Weyl tensor component (its Weyl tensor is type D), it generates non-zero H and $curlH$ when in orbit about another such body.

Thus it should not be surprising that the same is true *within* the system, and the tensor E_{ab} , travelling at the speed of light because of the wave equation (15), exerts influences between the two bodies - which they experience as tidal forces. Our argument then is that even though rapid speeds and large distances are not involved, this should be regarded as a form of low frequency gravitational radiation, in which the electric part of the Weyl tensor dominates the magnetic part; but it is crucial for the story as a whole that the latter is non-zero. Our cri-

terion for existence of a gravitational wave (via a closed form wave equation) is

$$|curlE||curlH| \neq 0 \quad (74)$$

because that is the criterion for existence of a wave equation (15) for E , and so determining that it is in fact propagating at the speed of light (if (74) is not true, such an equation does not follow from the \dot{E} , \dot{H} equations.)

The next obvious question would be, how small is small? or what should be the relative magnitude of the magnetic and the electric Weyl? If we approximate the Earth Moon system by a Kerr spacetime (we emphasise here, that this is only an approximation as the system is definitely not Kerr), then up to the first order in the rotation parameter a of Kerr metric, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{E}} \approx \frac{a}{r} \quad (75)$$

The equation above gives a measure of the *smallness* of the magnetic Weyl with respect to the electric Weyl.

So the answer to the question at the beginning is that it takes tidal forces around 1.3 seconds to reach the Earth from the Moon and if the ocean was uniformly deep everywhere without continents, the tides would lag the position of the Moon in the sky by 0.66 seconds of arc.

Acknowledgments

We thank John Miller for helpful discussions.

RG thanks the South African National Research Foundation (NRF) for support, and GE thanks the University of Cape Town Research Committee (URC) for financial support.

-
- [1] GFR Ellis (1971) “General relativity and cosmology”, in *Proc. XLVII Enrico Fermi Summer School*, Ed. R K Sachs (Academic Press); reprinted in *General Relativity and Gravitation* **41** (2009), 581-660.
 - [2] G F Ellis and J-P Uzan (2005). *American journal of physics* **73**: 240-247.
 - [3] G. E. Williams, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **24**, 421, (1997).
 - [4] H. Bondi and W. H. MaCcrea (1960), *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **56**: 410.
 - [5] J. V. Narlikar (2002), *The lighter side of gravity*, “Proceedings of 16th international conference of General Relativity and Gravitation”, (ed. N. T. Bishop and S. D. Maharaj), World Scientific, Singapore.
 - [6] J. M. Weisberg, J. H. Taylor and L. A. Fowler, (1981), *Scientific American*. **245** (4), 74.
 - [7] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz (1951), *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press), Chapter 13.
 - [8] Pirani, F. A. (2009). *General Relativity and Gravitation*, **41**(5), 1215-1232.
 - [9] S. Mora, T. Phou, J. Fromental and Y. Pomeau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 178301, (2014).
 - [10] Hawking, S. W. (1966). *The Astrophysical Journal*, **145**, 544.
 - [11] Owen, Robert, Jeandrew Brink, Yanbei Chen, Jeffrey D. Kaplan, Geoffrey Lovelace, Keith D. Matthews, David A. Nichols et al, *Physical review letters* **106**, no. 15 (2011), 151101.
 - [12] Nichols, David A., Robert Owen, Fan Zhang, Aaron Zimmerman, Jeandrew Brink, Yanbei Chen, Jeffrey D. Kaplan et al., *Physical Review D* **84**, no. 12 (2011): 124014.
 - [13] R. Maartens, B. A. Bassett (1998), *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **15**(3), 705.
 - [14] <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravitoelectromagnetism>

- [15] Birkhoff, G. D. (1923). *Relativity and Modern Physics*. (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press). S W Hawking and G F R Ellis (1973), *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press), Appendix B.
- [16] C. A. Clarkson and R. K. Barrett (2003), *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, 3855 [gr-qc/0209051].
- [17] G. Betschart, C. A. Clarkson, *Class. Quant. Grav* **21**, 5587 (2004).
- [18] A. M. Nzioki, R. Goswami and P. K. S. Dunsby, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26**, no. 06, 1750048 (2016).
- [19] C. Clarkson (2007) *Phys. Rev. D* **76**:104034.
- [20] J. Stewart and M. Walker, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A341**, 49 (1974).
- [21] G. F. R. Ellis and M. Bruni, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 1804 (1989).
- [22] T. Hinderer, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **677**:1216, (2008).
- [23] R. Goswami, G. F. R. Ellis, *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* **43**, 2157 (2011).
- [24] J. Ehlers *Abh. Mainz Akad. Wiss. u. Litt. (Math. Nat. kl)* 11 (1961); G. F. R. Ellis and H. van Elst *Cosmological models (Cargèse lectures 1998)*, in *Theoretical and Observational Cosmology*, edited by M. Lachièze-Rey, p. 1 (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999).

Appendix A: Semitetrad 1+3 formalism

In the 1+3 formalism [24], the timelike unit vector u^a ($u^a u_a = -1$) is used to split the spacetime locally in the form $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{R} is the timeline along u^a and \mathcal{V} is the 3-space perpendicular to u^a . Thus the metric becomes

$$g_{ab} = -u_a u_b + h_{ab}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where h_{ab} is the metric on 3-space perpendicular to u^a . The covariant time derivative along the observers' worldlines, denoted by ' $\dot{}$ ', is defined using the vector u^a , as

$$\dot{Z}^{a\dots b}_{c\dots d} = u^e \nabla_e Z^{a\dots b}_{c\dots d}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

for any tensor $Z^{a\dots b}_{c\dots d}$. The fully orthogonally projected covariant spatial derivative, denoted by ' D ', is defined using the spatial projection tensor h_{ab} , as

$$D_e Z^{a\dots b}_{c\dots d} = h^r_e h^p_c \dots h^q_d h^a_f \dots h^b_g \nabla_r Z^{f\dots g}_{p\dots q}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

with total projection on all the free indices. The covariant derivative of the 4-velocity vector u^a is decomposed irreducibly as follows

$$\nabla_a u_b = -u_a A_b + \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} \Theta + \sigma_{ab} + \varepsilon_{abc} \omega^c, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where A_b is the acceleration, Θ is the expansion of u_a , σ_{ab} is the shear tensor, ω^a is the vorticity vector representing rotation and ε_{abc} is effective volume element in the rest space of the comoving observer. Furthermore the energy momentum tensor of matter, decomposed relative to u^a , is given by

$$T_{ab} = \mu u_a u_b + p h_{ab} + q_a u_b + u_a q_b + \pi_{ab}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where μ is the effective energy density, p is the isotropic pressure, q_a is the 3-vector defining the heat flux and π_{ab} is the anisotropic stress. We write down the definitions of important components including the kinematical, Weyl and matter quantities in the 1+3 formalism. Angle brackets denote orthogonal projections of vectors onto the three space as well as the projected, symmetric and trace-free part of tensors.

$$v_{\langle a} \rangle = h^b_a \dot{V}_b, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$Z_{\langle ab \rangle} = \left(h^c_{(a} h^d_{b)} - \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} h^{cd} \right) Z_{cd}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\varepsilon_{abc} = \sqrt{|\det g|} \delta^0_{[a} \delta^1_b \delta^2_c \delta^3_{d]} u^d, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\varepsilon_{abc} \varepsilon^{def} = 3! h^d_{[a} h^e_b h^f_{c]}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\varepsilon_{abc} \varepsilon^{dec} = 2 h^d_{[a} h^e_{b]}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$A_b = \dot{u}_b, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\Theta = D_a u^a, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\sigma_{ab} = \left(h^c_{(a} h^d_{b)} - \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} h^{cd} \right) D_c u_d, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$\omega^a = \varepsilon^{abc} D_b u_c, \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$E_{ab} = C_{abcd} u^c u^d = E_{\langle ab \rangle}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$H_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ade} C^{de}_{bc} u^c = H_{\langle ab \rangle}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

$$\mu = T_{ab} u^a u^b, \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$p = \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} T^{ab}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$q_a = q_{\langle a} \rangle = -h^c_a T_{cd} u^d, \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \left(h^c_{(a} h^d_{b)} - \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} h^{cd} \right) T_{cd}. \quad (\text{A20})$$

Appendix B: Semitetrad 1+1+2 formalism

In the 1+1+2 formalism [16], the 3-space \mathcal{V} is now further split by introducing the unit vector e^a orthogonal to u^a ($e^a e_a = 1, u^a e_a = 0$). The 1+1+2 covariantly decomposed spacetime is given by

$$g_{ab} = -u_a u_b + e_a e_b + N_{ab}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where N_{ab} ($e^a N_{ab} = 0 = u^a N_{ab}, N^a_a = 2$) projects vectors onto 2-spaces called 'sheets', orthogonal to u^a and e^a . We introduce two new derivatives for any tensor $\phi_{a\dots b}^{c\dots d}$:

$$\hat{\phi}_{a\dots b}^{c\dots d} \equiv e^f D_f \phi_{a\dots b}^{c\dots d}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\delta_f \phi_{a\dots b}^{c\dots d} \equiv N_f^j N_a^l \dots N_b^g N_h^c \dots N_i^d D_j \phi_{l\dots g}^{h\dots i} \quad (\text{B3})$$

The 1+3 kinematical quantities and anisotropic fluid

variables are split irreducibly as

$$A^a = \mathcal{A}e^a + \mathcal{A}^a, \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\omega^a = \Omega e^a + \Omega^a, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\sigma_{ab} = \Sigma \left(e_a e_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2\Sigma_{(a} e_{b)} + \Sigma_{ab}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$q_a = Q e_a + Q_a, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \Pi \left(e_a e_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2\Pi_{(a} e_{b)} + \Pi_{ab}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

The fully projected 3-derivative of e^a is given by

$$D_a e_b = e_a a_b + \frac{1}{2} \phi N_{ab} + \xi \varepsilon_{ab} + \zeta_{ab}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where traveling along e^a , a_a is the sheet acceleration, ϕ is the sheet expansion, ξ is the vorticity of e^a (the twisting of the sheet) and ζ_{ab} is the shear of e^a (the distortion of the sheet).

Any 3-vector Φ^a can be irreducibly split into χ , a scalar component along e^a , and a 2-vector χ^a , which is a sheet component orthogonal to e^a , as follows

$$\Phi^a = \chi e^a + \chi^a \quad (\text{B10})$$

where $\chi \equiv \Phi_a e^a$ and $\chi^a \equiv N^{ab} \Phi_b \equiv \Phi^{\bar{a}}$. Similarly we can split a projected, symmetric, trace-free tensor Φ_{ab} into scalar, 2-vector and 2-tensor parts as follows

$$\Phi_{ab} = \Phi_{\langle ab \rangle} = \chi \left(e_a e_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2\chi_{(a} e_{b)} + \chi_{ab}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &\equiv e^a e^b \Phi_{ab} = -N^{ab} \Phi_{ab}, \\ \chi_a &\equiv N_a{}^b e^c \Phi_{bc}, \\ \chi_{ab} &\equiv \chi_{\{ab\}} = \left(N_{(a}{}^c N_{b)}{}^d - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} N^{cd} \right) \Phi_{cd}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B12})$$

The curly brackets denote the part of the tensor that is projected, symmetric and trace-free on the sheet. We write down the definitions of important components in the 1+1+2 formalism.

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \equiv \varepsilon_{abc} e^c = \sqrt{|\det g|} \delta^0_{[a} \delta^1_b \delta^2_c \delta^3_{d]} e^c u^d, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$E_{ab} = \mathcal{E} \left(e_a e_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2\mathcal{E}_{(a} e_{b)} + \mathcal{E}_{ab}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$H_{ab} = \mathcal{H} \left(e_a e_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2\mathcal{H}_{(a} e_{b)} + \mathcal{H}_{ab} \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ab} \sigma^{ab} = \frac{3}{4} \Sigma^2 + \Sigma_a \Sigma^a + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{ab} \Sigma^{ab}, \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$a_a \equiv e^c D_c e_a = \hat{e}_a, \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$\alpha_a \equiv N_a{}^c \dot{e}_c, \quad (\text{B18})$$

$$\phi \equiv \delta_a e^a, \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$\xi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \delta_a e_b, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\zeta_{ab} \equiv \delta_{\{a} e_{b\}}. \quad (\text{B21})$$

The 1+1+2 split of the full covariant derivatives of u^a and e^a are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a u_b &= -u_a (\mathcal{A} e_b + \mathcal{A}_b) + e_a e_b \left(\frac{1}{3} \Theta + \Sigma \right) \\ &\quad + e_a (\Sigma_b + \varepsilon_{bc} \Omega^c) + (\Sigma_a - \varepsilon_{ac} \Omega^c) e_b \\ &\quad + N_{ab} \left(\frac{1}{3} \Theta - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \right) + \Omega \varepsilon_{ab} + \Sigma_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B22})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a e_b &= -\mathcal{A} u_a u_b - u_a \alpha_b + \left(\frac{1}{3} \Theta + \Sigma \right) e_a u_b \\ &\quad + (\Sigma_a - \varepsilon_{ac} \Omega^c) u_b + e_a a_b \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \phi N_{ab} + \xi \varepsilon_{ab} + \zeta_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B23})$$

We can now immediately see that the Ricci identities and the doubly contracted Bianchi identities, that specifies the evolution of the complete system, can now be written as the time evolution and spatial propagation and spatial constraints of a irreducible set of geometrical and thermodynamic variables. The irreducible set of geometric variables

$$\mathcal{D}_{geom} = \{ \Theta, \mathcal{A}, \Omega, \Sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \phi, \xi, \mathcal{A}_a, \Omega_a, \Sigma_a, \alpha_a, a_a, \mathcal{E}_a, \mathcal{H}_a, \Sigma_{ab}, \zeta_{ab}, \mathcal{E}_{ab}, \mathcal{H}_{ab} \}, \quad (\text{B24})$$

together with the irreducible set of thermodynamic variables

$$\mathcal{D}_{therm} = \{ \mu, p, Q, \Pi, Q_a, \Pi_a, \Pi_{ab} \}, \quad (\text{B25})$$

make up the key variables in the 1+1+2 formalism.