In situ correction of liquid meniscus in cell culture imaging system based on parallel Fourier ptychographic microscopy (96 Eyes)
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Abstract: A low-cost parallel microscopic system (96 Eyes) based on parallel Fourier ptychographic microscopy (FPM) is reported in our previous work. However, the effect of liquid meniscus on the image quality is much stronger than anticipated, introducing obvious wavevector misalignment and additional image aberration. To this end, an adaptive wavevector correction (AWC-FPM) algorithm and a pupil recovery improvement strategy are presented to solve these challenges in situ. Dual-channel fluorescence excitation is added to obtain more information for microbiologists. Experiments are demonstrated to verify their performances. Our algorithms would make the FPM algorithm more robust and practical and can be extended to other FPM-based applications to overcome similar challenges.

1. Introduction

Commercial multi-well plate readers can perform impedance or absorbance measurements on the contents of the wells with 10s per plate and has significant applications in cell culture and pharmaceutical research [1, 2]. But they can only provide gross characterization of the samples by their nature. A next generation imaging-based multi-well plate imager is designed to use a single microscope column to scan either a 96-well or 384-well plate to perform fluorescence, absorption or phase measurements, and is extensively used in large format cell culture experiments. With such a system, individual cells within a culture can be examined for their individual morphology, absorption, thickness, dispersion, integrity, vitality and their connections to neighboring cells. And fluorescence images help visualize chemical compositions and structure and track gene expression in individual cells through specific biomarker methods. However, data throughput rate of such systems is limited by the camera data rate and the mechanical scanning speed of the scanner itself. Commercial 96-well plate cell culture imagers can scan a plate with every 8 mins at 1.2 μm resolution [2], which is around 50 times longer than a non-imaging well plate reader. A high efficiency, low-cost multi-well plate imager with good performance is significant and challenging. Over the last two decades, several novel microscopy methods [3-6] were developed to overcome the space-bandwidth product (SBP) limit of the conventional microscope. We have demonstrated successful high resolution (HR) and large field-of-view (FOV) imaging of the cell cultures in both bright field (BF) and fluorescence mode via on-chip microscopy [3-5]. However, it has an inherent problem that cells have to be grown on top of the imaging sensor, which is completely different from the conventional cell culture workflow and therefore the technology has not found major use.

Fourier ptychographic microscopy (FPM) [6-11] is a recently developed and promising low-cost computational imaging technique with HR, wide FOV and aberration-free quantitative phase recovery by replacing the condenser with a light emitting diode (LED) array and applying angle-varied illuminations. The insight is that the objective can only collect light ranging a certain angle, characterized by numerical aperture (NA). However, parts of the scattering light with higher angle illumination can be also collected due to light matter interaction and the
sample’s high frequency information can be modulated into the passband of objective lens. Instead of conventionally stitching small high resolution (HR) image tiles into a large FOV, FPM uses a low NA objective to take advantage of its innate large FOV and stitches together low resolution (LR) images in Fourier space to recover HR, sharing the root of phase retrieval [12-16] and aperture synthesis [17]. Herein, no mechanical scanning and refocusing are required to achieve a complex gigapixel image. As such, we previously reported a low-cost parallel microscopic system (96 Eyes) that is capable of simultaneous imaging of all wells on a 96-well plate rapidly within cell cultures [2]. The 96 Eyes can achieve high resolution (1.2 μm) BF and aberration-free phase images of 1.1 mm by 0.85 mm per condition at the extended depth-of-field (DOF) of ± 50 μm within 90 seconds, and single-channel fluorescence images within 30 seconds via 4×/0.23 NA plastic-molded objectives. However, the effect of liquid meniscus on the image quality is much stronger than anticipated, introducing obvious wavevector misalignment and additional image aberration (field curvature and distortion). Different with the larger petri dish in [18, 19], the diameter of each well in our 96 Eyes is 6 mm so that the liquid over the samples cannot be regarded as flat medium. Note that the wavevector misalignment and additional aberration are different between every well due to different conditions. It would be quite challenging to overcome this problem from engineering perspective. We have ever added thick plastic plug to flat the liquid meniscus from engineering perspective, but it failed because it also introduces additional aberration in turn due to the inhomogeneous medium and the operation departs from conventional cell culture workflow.

In this paper, we first introduce our 96 Eyes and the significant meniscus problems in section 2. In section 3, we report an adaptive wavevector correction algorithm (termed AWC-FPM) to tackle the wavevector misalignment and present its performances. As for one tile, the AWC-FPM algorithm first separates the BF and dark field (DF) images by scanning a 5×5 box and counting the number of BF images. The box with maximum number of BF images will be selected. Next, if the box is not located at center, a global offset of wavevector will be added to all the LED elements due to the meniscus-induced misalignment. And the update order will start from the center of the box and the initial guess will be the up-sampling of the center image. Then a simulated annealing (SA) module [20, 21] will be used to adjust the minor shift of wavevector of each LED individually. Compared with using the SA method directly, our algorithm will be much robust. In section 4, we present a pupil recovery improvement approach to tackle the meniscus-induced additional aberration by utilizing the information of adjacent region, assuming adjacent region shares the same pupil function within the coherent area and demonstrate its performances. The proportion of translation is tested and around 20% is required at least. We added another channel fluorescence excitation in our 96 Eyes and display the performances of the whole system. Finally, we conclude our methods and its potential application space and discuss the future applications of parallel FPM system.

2. Working Principle of 96 Eyes and meniscus problems

The schematics and photograph of 96 Eyes system are shown in Fig. 1. The target 96-well plates are sequentially loaded into the sample stage. A piezo-electric z-axis stage is used to hold the well plate in place and to provide z-axis translation as needed. A programmable green LED array (3mm spacing) is placed at 33mm above the plate with the wavelength of 533 nm. The customized 96-in-1 image sensor board incorporates 96 individual sets of CMOS sensors (1944×2592 pixels, 1.75um pixel pitch) and 4×/0.23 NA plastic-molded objectives, each set aligned to the corresponding well of the 96-well plate (Fig. 1(b)). The camera sensor board is interfaced with four frame grabber boards, which are controlled by a graphical processing unit (GPU) array in the workstation. The entire FOV of each well will be divided into 23 rows by 17 columns (216×216 pixels per tile) with the overlapping ratio of 50% for parallel computing and stitching. A parallel illumination scheme to get rid of all darkfield image recording on the fly is used to captures a batch of 7×7 frames of all the wells on the well plate within 90 seconds. While 25 images are used in practice to get twice better resolution. Different from our previous
system [2], two pairs of liquid-guided excitation sources project the homogenized light beam (465 nm and 553 nm) from both side of the culture plate and the emission filter (510 nm and 610 nm) is used to obtain dual-channel fluorescence images. The plate is scanned with a step size of 25 μm (around twice the native DOF) and a range of 100 μm within 30 seconds. In experiments, we used the human bone osteosarcoma epithelial cells (U2OS) as our samples. More details can be found in [2].

Fig. 1. Schematics and photograph of 96 Eyes. (a) General hardware. Individual plates are loaded from the front. (b) The imaging module consists of 96 repeating units of compact miniaturized microscopes packed in a 9 mm × 9 mm × 81 mm space, where they all share the same light source. (c) The photograph of the 96 Eyes.

Fig. 2. The distortion, field curvature and wavevector misalignment induced by the meniscus. (a) The distortion with different amount of liquid from the perspective of side view and top view, respectively. (b) The proportion of a typical knife edge image with and without meniscus, respectively. (c) Model of wavevector misalignment with flat and liquid meniscus over the specimen, respectively. (d) Full FOV image of a typical well captured by 4×/0.23 NA and its close-ups. Purple region is in focus, while other regions are in different degrees of defocus. Obvious field curvature can be observed.

Compared with larger petri dish in [18, 19], the diameter of each well in our 96 Eyes is 6 mm so that the liquid meniscus over the samples cannot be regarded as flat medium, which introduces additional distortion, field curvature and wavevector misalignment (Fig. 2). Different height of liquid results in different degrees of distortion (Figs. 2(a, b)). Though we...
tried to keep 200 μL culture solution for every well in experiments, slight differences can also lead to varying degrees of distortion. Obvious field curvature can be observed from the close-ups of different regions (Fig. 2(d)). Note that locally the field curvature is generally represented as defocus and the distortion is indicated as tilt. This meniscus also brings a challenge of ptychographic illumination, known as the parallax effect, where the position of the light source appears to differ when viewed from different lateral positions on the object plane (Fig. 2(c)). It is common to compensate the wavevector with Snell’s Law for the flat medium [18, 19]. But the liquid menisci of different wells have different curvature due to the variation of liquid height and warps of wells. And note that the curvature is also not fixed for different local regions (close-ups in Fig. 2(d)).

3. Adaptive wavevector correction (AWC-FPM) algorithm

To tackle the liquid meniscus problem, we reported the AWC-FPM algorithm as follows. First, the BF images are identified after block processing by setting the threshold of 0.1, which is a common strategy to distinguish BF and DF images in FPM [8, 22]. A 5×5 box is scanned to select out the maximum number of BF images (green dash box in Fig. 3). The size of box is set according to the number of BF images, which results from the parameters of system. A proper boundary is set to accelerate the scanning operation, e.g., scanning the 5×5 box within the 7×7 center region in our experiments. The wavevector of each image in ideal model is set as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{m,n} &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{x_0 - x_{m,n} + \sqrt{(x_0 - x_{m,n})^2 + (y_0 - y_{m,n})^2 + h^2}}{
    v_{m,n} &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{y_0 - y_{m,n} + \sqrt{(x_0 - x_{m,n})^2 + (y_0 - y_{m,n})^2 + h^2}}
\end{align*}
\]

(1)
where \((x_0, y_0)\) is the central position of each tile, \(x_{m,n}\) and \(y_{m,n}\) denote the position of the LED element on the row \(m\), column \(n\), \(\lambda\) is the wavelength and \(h\) stands for the height between the LED array and sample. The red dash box in Fig. 3 denotes the BF images in simulated model. As for the center tile (purple region in Fig. 2(d)), the red box is coincident to the green box (Fig. 3(a)) except the omitted images (orange cross) due to vignetting effect [22], i.e. the simulated model matches the real case so that the reconstruction is well (Fig. 5). But for other regions, for example, the blue region in Fig. 2(d), the red box does not match the green box (Fig. 3(b)) due to the meniscus-induced wavevector misalignment and results in the wrong discard operation. The reconstruction has many artifacts (Fig. 4(a)). This case indicates a global shift of wavevector. Therefore, a global offset \((\Delta x_{m,n}, \Delta y_{m,n})\) will be added adaptively to all the LED elements (red box in Fig. 3(c)) as follows, where the \(\Delta x_{m,n}, \Delta y_{m,n}\) can be directly given by calculating the deviation of center images of red and green boxes.

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{m,n} &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( x_0 - x_{m,n} + \Delta x_{m,n} \right) \\
    v_{m,n} &= \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( y_0 - y_{m,n} + \Delta y_{m,n} \right) \\
    \end{align*}
\]

Fig. 4. The reconstructions of blue tile and green tile in Fig. 2(d) with different algorithms, respectively. Results of blue tile with EPRY-FPM algorithm (a), AWC-FPM algorithm (b), SA algorithm (c). (d) Wavevector distribution of different algorithms. Results of green tile with EPRY-FPM algorithm (a) and directly utilizing the wavevector of blue tile for adjacent tile (f).

The update order \(S_i\), where \(i\) is the iteration number, and initial guess will also be updated. Compared the Figs. 3(b1) and 3(b2), Fig. 3(b2) has better contrast and is closer to the result of normal incidence and final reconstruction. And update order will start from the center of the box with a spiral order (yellow arrays in Fig. 3(c)). Identical operations are done to green and red regions in Fig. 2(d) as shown in Figs. 3(d) and 3(f), respectively. Note that the global offset is not always in the same direction for all the tiles compared Fig. 3(c) and Fig. (f), because the curvature of liquid meniscus is also not fixed for different tiles, while it may be the same for adjacent tiles (Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)).
Fig. 5. Phase reconstruction of full FOV with different algorithms. (a) EPRY-FPM algorithm; (b) SA algorithm; (c) AWC-FPM algorithm.

Though the global offset has compensated the model misalignment vastly, the wavevector of each LED element is not always an integral shift due to different slope of liquid meniscus and the wavevector is still not accurate. Only adding the global offset cannot adjust the misalignment and the entire FOV reconstruction with global offset only can be seen in Supplementary 1. Next, a simulated annealing (SA) module [20, 21] will be used to adjust the minor shift of wavevector of each LED individually (Fig. 4(b)). A set of further estimates of the wavevector are calculated toward eight directions $r = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$. The $r$th estimate of frequency aperture can be given by

$$
\phi_{r,i,m,n}(u,v) = O(u - u_{m,n} + \Delta u_{r,m,n}, v - v_{m,n} + \Delta v_{r,m,n}) P_i(u, v)
$$

(3)

where $O(u, v)$ is the Fourier spectrum of object function, $P(u, v)$ is the pupil function and each $(\Delta u_{r,m,n}, \Delta v_{r,m,n})$ is a random frequency-shifting distance between $\pm \Delta u_{m,n}$ along the $r$th direction. The $\Delta u_{m,n}$ is the step size of SA module, which is set to 1 (pixel) in our procedure. The minor shift of wavevector $(\Delta u_{r,m,n}, \Delta v_{r,m,n})$ is selected the minimum of the error metric out as follows.

$$
E(r) = \sum_{x,y} \left( |\phi_{r,i,m,n}(x,y) - I_{m,n}(x,y)|^2 \right) \frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
R = \arg \min_r E(r)
$$

(4)

where $\phi_{r,i,m,n}(x, y)$ is the simulated wavefront at image plane and is the Fourier transform of $\phi_{r,i,m,n}(u, v)$. Compared with using the SA method directly (Fig. 4(c)), our algorithm will be much robust. Because the misalignment is much smaller after global offset and new update order and initial guess match the real case better. Obvious artifacts in Fourier spectrum can be noticed in Figs. 4(a) and 4(c) (red arrow). The recovered wavevector distribution also indicates this conclusion (Fig. 4(d)). Compared with the fixed wavevector in ideal model (blue spot in Fig. 4(d)), the recovered wavevector of AWC-FPM does not shift along one direction globally.
but expand outside. To verify the correction of wavevector distribution with our algorithm in Fig. 4(d), we use it for adjacent green tile (Fig. 2(d)) and it works well (Figs. 4(e) and 4(f)).

Fig. 6. Flow chart of AWC-FPM algorithm.

The comparison of full FOV phase reconstruction is shown in Fig. 5. An obvious annular artifact can be observed in Fig. 5(a) with original FPM algorithm. The SA algorithm removes parts of the artifacts, but there are still artifacts around the edge of FOV, especially at the top FOV. While no artifacts are in our reconstruction (Fig. 5 (c)) except for some impurities and the background is very clear. The close-ups of each figure also indicate this conclusion. As
shown in the black regions of Fig. 5, subcellular information can be observed clearly, such as the Golgi apparatus. Figure 6 shows the detailed procedure of our AWC-FPM algorithm.

4. Pupil recovery improvement strategy

Fig. 7. Pupil recovery improvement strategy with different proportion of translation along 4 axes.

Fig. 8. Final performance of the 96 Eyes with dual-channel fluorescence imaging. (a) Montage of all 96 composite images captured by the 96 Eyes imager. (b) Magnified view of well F12 on the 96-well plate. (c) Recovered aberration function with our improvement strategy. (d) Low resolution, out-of-focus raw image. (e) Recovered sample phase. (f) Fluorescence response from SYTO24 green fluorescent nucleic acid stain. (g) Fluorescence response from phalloidin probe conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 dye. (h) Composite image of phase channel in gray, SYTO24 channel in green and Phalloidin-AF568 channel in red.

Besides the meniscus-induced wavevector misalignment, the additional aberration makes the recovery of pupil function unstable as shown in Fig. 7, since only 25 BF images are used for recovery, the redundant information is not enough for so fierce aberration. The blue region in Fig. 2(d) is still used for an example. And in the meantime, there is also raster grid artifacts [9, 24] due to periodic distribution of LEDs. Instead of creating a custom-design LED array [9, 24], a pupil recovery improvement strategy is presented by utilizing the information of adjacent region to deal with this problem. Because we can assume the adjacent region shares the same pupil function within the coherent area. After 30 iteration of our AWC-FPM algorithm, each tile moves up, down, left, right and return in situ with every 30 iteration. The recovered pupil function is sequentially used as an initial guess for next movement. The number of iterations (i.e. 30 iterations in our experiments) has been tested and does not affect reconstructions. Note that the number of iterations should be enough to make the algorithm converge for every movement. But the proportion of translation has a least value and around 20% movement is
required at least as shown in Fig. 7, since the more translation is moved, the more redundant spatial information is generated. Generally, error matrix is used to monitor the performance of recovery [22]. The final error matrix is also listed below each column in Fig. 7. Note that the coherent area is decided by \( d = 2h/w = 140 \ \mu\text{m} \) for an LED size \( w = 250 \ \mu\text{m} \). The size of each tile should be selected appropriately to ensure the tile and the movement are not larger than the coherent area. The performance of the whole system with dual-channel fluorescence imaging is shown in Fig. 8.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, an AWC-FPM algorithm and a pupil recovery improvement strategy are presented to tackle the meniscus-induced wavevector misalignment and additional aberration. By analyzing the BF images of raw data, a global offset is added to the wavevector of LEDs and a minor shift is compensated with SA modules individually, which solves the misalignment and improves the robustness of FPM algorithm significantly. Considering only utilizing a few images (25 images) to obtain twice better resolution in our 96 Eyes, the redundant information is not enough to get a robust pupil function. Adjacent spatial information is used to improve the robustness of the recovery of pupil function and the general raster grid disappears. Dual-channel fluorescence excitation is designed to obtain more information for microbiologists. Experiments are demonstrated to verify their performances. On the one hand, our methods effectively solve the troublesome challenges, the meniscus problems of 96 Eyes in situ and make our system more practical. On the other hand, our algorithms make the FPM algorithm more robust and can be extended to other FPM-based applications to overcome different challenges. We also note the potential of a better imaging robustness through hardware improvement. For instance, meniscus-free culture plates [25] can be adopted to our 96 Eyes to tackle the model misalignment, thus reduces computational burden in turn. We anticipate the 96 Eyes will accelerate and help biomedical and pharmaceutical research that utilizes high-throughput cell imaging and screening format, for example, the cervical cancer screening.
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