

Klein-Gordon-Maxwell Systems with Nonconstant Coupling Coefficient

Monica Lazzo * Lorenzo Pisani *

Abstract

We study a Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system, in a bounded spatial domain, under Neumann boundary conditions on the electric potential. We allow a nonconstant coupling coefficient. For sufficiently small data, we find infinitely many static solutions.

Keywords: Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems, static solutions, variational methods, Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory

MSC 2010: 35J50, 35J57, 35Q40, 35Q60

1 Introduction

We are interested in the system of nonautonomous elliptic equations

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &= m^2 u - (q(x)\phi)^2 u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta \phi &= (q(x)u)^2 \phi && \text{in } \Omega,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^3 , $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded and smooth domain, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in L^6(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. We complement these equations with the boundary conditions

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,\tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \alpha \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,\tag{2b}$$

where \mathbf{n} is the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and $\alpha \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, via E. Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy; e-mail: monica.lazzo@uniba.it, lorenzo.pisani@uniba.it

We look for *nontrivial* solutions, by which we mean pairs $(u, \phi) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$, satisfying (1)-(2) in the usual weak sense, with $u \neq 0$. Note that, if (u, ϕ) is a nontrivial solution, the pair $(-u, \phi)$ is a nontrivial solution as well.

System (1) arises in connection with the so-called Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, which model the interaction of a charged matter field with the electromagnetic field (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) . They are the Euler-Lagrange equations of the Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{KGM} = & \frac{1}{2} (|\partial_t + i q \phi| \psi|^2 - |(\nabla - i q \mathbf{A}) \psi|^2 - m^2 |\psi|^2) + \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi} (|\nabla \phi + \partial_t \mathbf{A}|^2 - |\nabla \times \mathbf{A}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where ψ is a complex-valued function representing the matter field, while ϕ and \mathbf{A} are the gauge potentials, related to the electromagnetic field via the equations $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \partial_t \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. For the derivation of the Lagrangian density, and details on the physical model, we refer to [5, 6, 11]. Let us point out that, in the physical model, q is a constant which represents the electric charge of the matter field; nonconstant coupling coefficients, however, are worth investigating from a mathematical viewpoint.

Confining attention to *standing waves*, in equilibrium with a purely electrostatic field, amounts to imposing $\psi(t, x) = e^{i\omega t} u(x)$, where u is a real-valued function and ω is a real number, $\mathbf{A} = 0$, and $\phi = \phi(x)$. With these choices, the Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations considerably simplify and become

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u = m^2 u - (\omega + q(x)\phi)^2 u & \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta \phi = q(x) (\omega + q(x)\phi) u^2 & \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In the special case of *static solutions*, corresponding to $\omega = 0$, System (3) reduces to (1). In the physical model, the boundary condition (2a) means that the matter field is confined to the region Ω , while (2b) amounts to prescribing the normal component of the electric field on $\partial\Omega$; up to a sign, the surface integral $\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha d\sigma$ represents the flux of the electric field through the boundary of Ω , and thus, the total charge contained in Ω .

Problem (3)-(2) was investigated in [9], for a constant coupling coefficient q . In this case, a degeneracy phenomenon occurs and the existence of solutions to (3)-(2) does not depend on ω (see [9] and [10, Remark 1.2]). Thus, for autonomous systems, letting $\omega = 0$ in (3) entails no loss of generality; this is not true if the coupling coefficient is not constant. The existence of infinitely many static solutions in the nonautonomous case was proved in [10], under the assumption that q vanishes at most on a set of measure zero. Our main result generalizes Theorem 1.3 in [10], in that we impose no conditions on the zero-level set of q , and provides additional

information on the solutions. We will address Problem (3)-(2) with $\omega \neq 0$ in a forthcoming paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha d\sigma \neq 0$. There exists $\delta \in (0, \infty)$ such that, if $\|q\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\alpha\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} < \delta$, the problem (1)-(2) has a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ of nontrivial solutions with the following properties:*

- (i) $u_0 \geq 0$ in Ω ;
- (ii) every bounded subsequence $\{u_{k_n}\}$ satisfies $\|q u_{k_n}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as n goes to infinity.

Remark 1.2. Unless $\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, bounded subsequences of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ do exist. Plainly, any such subsequence has in $L^6(\Omega)$ a limit point u such that $qu = 0$.

At least for small data, assuming $\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha d\sigma \neq 0$ is necessary for the existence of nontrivial solutions, as the following result shows.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha d\sigma = 0$. With the same δ as in Theorem 1.1, assume $\|q\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\alpha\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} < \delta$. Then: Problem (1)-(2) has no nontrivial solutions.*

Note that, if $\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha d\sigma = 0$, every pair $(0, \phi)$, with ϕ harmonic function satisfying the Neumann boundary condition (2b), is a trivial solution to (1)-(2).

Our results are obtained by way of variational methods. We follow an approach introduced by Benci and Fortunato (in [5] for Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems, and earlier in [4] for Schrödinger-Maxwell systems) and subsequently implemented by many authors. Most results in the literature concern systems posed in unbounded spatial domains, possibly featuring lower-order nonlinear perturbations; for instance, see [3, 7, 8, 14, 17]. We also refer to [12, 13] for recent applications to Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems with Neumann boundary conditions on Riemannian manifolds.

To prove our multiplicity result, we apply Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory to a functional J , defined in a subset of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, whose critical points correspond with nontrivial solutions to Problem (1)-(2). The definition of J depends on whether a certain Neumann problem is uniquely solvable. The easiest way to guarantee that this occurs is to assume, as in [10], that q vanishes at most on a set of measure zero. Here, instead, we build the solvability requirement into Λ_q , the domain of J .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the set Λ_q and address the solvability issue. In Section 3 we define the functional J and investigate its properties. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we will use the following notation:

- For any integrable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\|f\|_p$ is the usual norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($p \in [1, \infty]$) and \bar{f} is the average of f in Ω ;
- $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is endowed with the norm $\|\nabla f\|_2$;
- $H^1(\Omega)$ is endowed with the norm $\|f\| := \left(\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + |\bar{f}|^2 \right)^{1/2}$;
- $H' := L(H^1(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$;
- $A := \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha \, d\sigma$, $\|\alpha\|_{1/2} := \|\alpha\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$.

2.1 Reduction to homogeneous boundary conditions

We begin by turning Problem (1)-(2) into an equivalent problem with homogeneous boundary conditions in both variables. Let $\chi \in H^2(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of

$$\Delta \chi = \frac{A}{|\Omega|} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \alpha \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad \int_{\Omega} \chi \, dx = 0. \quad (4)$$

With $\varphi := \phi - \chi$, Problem (1)-(2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = m^2 u - q^2 (\varphi + \chi)^2 u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta \varphi = (q u)^2 (\varphi + \chi) - \frac{A}{|\Omega|} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Weak solutions of (5) correspond with critical points of the functional F defined in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ by

$$F(u, \varphi) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (m^2 - q^2 (\varphi + \chi)^2) u^2 \, dx - \|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 + 2A\bar{\varphi}.$$

Indeed, standard computations show that F is continuously differentiable in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F'_u(u, \varphi), v \rangle &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla u \nabla v + (m^2 - q^2 (\varphi + \chi)^2) u v \right) dx, \\ \langle F'_\varphi(u, \varphi), \psi \rangle &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \varphi \nabla \psi + \left((q u)^2 (\varphi + \chi) - \frac{A}{|\Omega|} \right) \psi \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for every $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\varphi, \psi \in H^1(\Omega)$. However, F is unbounded from above and from below, even modulo compact perturbations; this precludes a straightforward application of classical results in critical point theory.

Following [5], we associate solutions to Problem (5) with critical points of a functional J that depends only on the variable u and falls within the scope of classical critical point theory. Roughly speaking, J is the restriction of F to the zero-level set of F'_φ . A key ingredient in the construction of J is the invertibility of the map defined in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For $b \in L^3(\Omega)$, define $\mathcal{A}_b : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H'$ by*

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi), \psi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \varphi \nabla \psi + b^2 \varphi \psi) dx;$$

let $c_b := \inf_{\|\varphi\|=1} \langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi), \varphi \rangle$.

- (a) *The map $b \in L^3(\Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_b \in L(H^1(\Omega); H')$ is continuous.*
- (b) *Assume $b \neq 0$. Then: $c_b > 0$, the map \mathcal{A}_b is an isomorphism, and $\mathcal{L}_b := \mathcal{A}_b^{-1}$ has continuity constant $1/c_b$.*
- (c) *The map $b \in L^3(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mapsto \mathcal{L}_b \in L(H'; H^1(\Omega))$ is continuous.*

Proof. (a) Let $b_n, b \in L^3(\Omega)$. Suppose $\|b_n - b\|_3 \rightarrow 0$, hence $\|b_n^2 - b^2\|_{3/2} \rightarrow 0$. By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding theorem, for every n and for every $\varphi, \psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| \langle (\mathcal{A}_{b_n} - \mathcal{A}_b)(\varphi), \psi \rangle \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (b_n^2 - b^2) \varphi \psi dx \right| \leq c \|b_n^2 - b^2\|_{3/2} \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|$$

for some $c \in (0, \infty)$. This implies $\mathcal{A}_{b_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_b$ in $L(H^1(\Omega); H')$.

(b) Let $b \in L^3(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. By way of contradiction, suppose $c_b = 0$ and take a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ and $\langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi_n), \varphi_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Since $\langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi_n), \varphi_n \rangle \geq \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_2^2$, we get $\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, which implies $\|\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ for every $p \in [1, 6]$ (by the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality) and $|\bar{\varphi}_n| \rightarrow 1$. Now observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} b^2 \varphi_n^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(b^2 (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n)^2 + 2b^2 (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n) \bar{\varphi}_n + b^2 \bar{\varphi}_n^2 \right) dx. \quad (6)$$

Being smaller than $\langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi_n), \varphi_n \rangle$, the left-hand side in (6) tends to 0; moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} b^2 (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n)^2 dx &\leq \|b\|_3^2 \|\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n\|_6^2 \rightarrow 0, \\ \left| \int_{\Omega} b^2 (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n) \bar{\varphi}_n dx \right| &\leq |\bar{\varphi}_n| \|b\|_3^2 \|\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n\|_3 \rightarrow 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} b^2 \bar{\varphi}_n^2 dx &\rightarrow \|b\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (6) yields $b = 0$, a contradiction. The remaining assertions follow from the Lax-Milgram lemma, which is applicable because the bilinear form associated with \mathcal{A}_b is coercive, with coercivity constant c_b .

(c) The assertion readily follows from Part (a) and the continuity of the inversion operator. \square

Remark 2.2. For $\rho \in L^{6/5}(\Omega)$, let \mathcal{T}_ρ be the linear form defined by $\langle \mathcal{T}_\rho, \varphi \rangle := \int_\Omega \rho \varphi dx$. Following common practice, we will sometimes identify \mathcal{T}_ρ with ρ .

Fix $b \in L^3(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. In view of Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)$ is the unique solution in $H^1(\Omega)$ of the homogeneous Neumann problem associated with the equation

$$-\Delta \varphi + b^2 \varphi = \rho.$$

Note that $\|\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)\| \leq \|\rho\|_{6/5}/c_b$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)$ depends continuously on b and ρ : if $b_n \rightarrow b$ in $L^3(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ in $L^{6/5}(\Omega)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{b_n}(\rho_n) - \mathcal{L}_b(\rho)\| \leq \|\mathcal{L}_{b_n}\| \|\rho_n - \rho\|_{6/5} + \|\mathcal{L}_{b_n} - \mathcal{L}_b\| \|\rho\|_{6/5} \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 2.3. With the same notation as in the previous remark, suppose that ρ does not change sign in Ω . Since the bilinear form associated with \mathcal{A}_b is symmetric, $\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)$ can be characterized as the unique minimizer of the functional $f : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A}_b(\varphi), \varphi \rangle - \langle \mathcal{T}_\rho, \varphi \rangle$. Observing that $f(\text{sign}(\rho)|\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)|) \leq f(\mathcal{L}_b(\rho))$, we obtain $\text{sign}(\rho)|\mathcal{L}_b(\rho)| = \mathcal{L}_b(\rho)$, which implies $\rho \mathcal{L}_b(\rho) \geq 0$ in Ω .

2.2 The set Λ_q

For $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, let $\rho_u := \frac{A}{|\Omega|} - (qu)^2 \chi$. With the notation introduced in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$F'_\varphi(u, \varphi) = 2(-\mathcal{A}_{qu}(\varphi) + \rho_u)$$

for every $(u, \varphi) \in \Lambda_q \times H^1(\Omega)$. By Proposition 2.1(b), the operator \mathcal{A}_{qu} is invertible if, and only if, u belongs to the set

$$\Lambda_q := \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid qu \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Incidentally, we point out that, in order to find nontrivial solutions to (5), confining u within Λ_q is not a mere technical requirement. Indeed, if (u, φ) is a solution to (5) and $qu = 0$, then u satisfies $\Delta u = m^2 u$ in Ω , hence $u = 0$.

If q vanishes at most on a set of measure zero, as assumed in [10], Λ_q equals $H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$. In general, Λ_q satisfies the following properties.

Proposition 2.4.

- (a) Λ_q is open in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\partial\Lambda_q = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid qu = 0\}$.
- (b) If $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\text{dist}(u, \partial\Lambda_q) \rightarrow 0$, then $\|qu\|_3 \rightarrow 0$.
- (c) Λ_q contains subsets with arbitrarily large genus.

Proof. (a) Consider the linear operator $\mathcal{Q} := u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mapsto qu \in L^3(\Omega)$; clearly, $\Lambda_q = H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$. By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding theorem, \mathcal{Q} is continuous, hence $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$ is closed in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and Λ_q is open. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$ is a proper linear subset of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and thus, it has empty interior; it follows at once that $\partial\Lambda_q = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(0)$.

(b) Let $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_q$ and assume $\text{dist}(u_n, \partial\Lambda_q) \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Eventually, $\text{dist}(u_n, \partial\Lambda_q) < \varepsilon$, hence $\|\nabla(u_n - v_n)\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for some $v_n \in \partial\Lambda_q$, and

$$\|qu_n\|_3 = \|q(u_n - v_n)\|_3 \leq \|q\|_6 \|u_n - v_n\|_6 < c\varepsilon,$$

for some $c \in (0, \infty)$. This proves that $\|qu_n\|_3 \rightarrow 0$.

(c) Let S be the essential support of q , defined as the complement in Ω of the largest open set in which q equals zero almost everywhere; note that $|S| > 0$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A_1, \dots, A_k be pairwise disjoint open subsets of Ω that have nonempty intersection with S . For every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we can choose u_i in $\mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap \Lambda_q$. (If no such function existed, we would have $qu = 0$ for every $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_i)$, which implies $q = 0$ a.e. in A_i , whence $A_i \subset \Omega \setminus S$, a contradiction.) Clearly, u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly independent elements of Λ_q . It follows that Λ_q contains spheres of arbitrary dimension, which proves the assertion. \square

Remark 2.5. The arguments in the proof of Proposition 2.4 apply to any multiplication operator between Lebesgue spaces and show that the kernel has infinite codimension.

3 The constrained functional

In view of the observations at the beginning of Section 2.2, the set

$$Z_q := \{(u, \varphi) \in \Lambda_q \times H^1(\Omega) \mid F'_\varphi(u, \varphi) = 0\}$$

is the graph of the map $\Phi : \Lambda_q \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\Phi(u) := \mathcal{L}_{qu}(\rho_u).$$

Note that $F''_{\varphi\varphi}(u, \varphi) = -2\mathcal{A}_{qu}$ for every $(u, \varphi) \in \Lambda_q \times H^1(\Omega)$, hence $F''_{\varphi\varphi}(u, \varphi)$ is an isomorphism, by Proposition 2.1(b); moreover, $F''_{\varphi u}$ and $F''_{\varphi\varphi}$ are continuous in $\Lambda_q \times H^1(\Omega)$. This implies that Φ is continuously differentiable in Λ_q .

Constraining the functional F on the set Z_q amounts to considering the functional $J : \Lambda_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(u) = F(u, \Phi(u)).$$

The following assertions are a straightforward consequence of the construction of J .

Proposition 3.1. *The functional J is continuously differentiable in Λ_q . Furthermore, $(u, \varphi) \in \Lambda_q \times H^1(\Omega)$ is a critical point of F if and only if u is a critical point of J and $\varphi = \Phi(u)$.*

On account of Proposition 3.1, nontrivial solutions to Problem (1)-(2) are in one-to-one correspondence with critical points of J in Λ_q .

Remark 3.2. By the very definition of Φ , we have $\Phi(u) = \Phi(|u|)$ for every $u \in \Lambda_q$; this readily implies $J(u) = J(|u|)$ for every $u \in \Lambda_q$.

Before investigating further properties of J , we note that

$$\Phi(u) = \eta_u + \xi_u,$$

with $\eta_u := \mathcal{L}_{qu}(A/|\Omega|)$ and $\xi_u := -\mathcal{L}_{qu}((qu)^2 \chi)$, for every $u \in \Lambda_q$. By Remark 2.2, η_u and ξ_u satisfy the equations

$$-\Delta \eta_u + (qu)^2 \eta_u = \frac{A}{|\Omega|}, \quad (7)$$

$$-\Delta \xi_u + (qu)^2 \xi_u = -(qu)^2 \chi, \quad (8)$$

respectively, with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions.

Lemma 3.3.

- (a) For every $u \in \Lambda_q$, $A \eta_u \geq 0$ in Ω .
- (b) Let $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ be such that $\|f - \bar{f}\|_3 \leq \gamma \|\nabla f\|_2$ for every $f \in H^1(\Omega)$.
Then: $\|\nabla \eta_u\|_2 \leq \gamma \|qu\|_3^2 |\bar{\eta}_u|$ for every $u \in \Lambda_q$.
- (c) Suppose $A \neq 0$. If $u \in \Lambda_q$ and $\|qu\|_3 \rightarrow 0$, then $|\bar{\eta}_u| \rightarrow \infty$.
- (d) For every $u \in \Lambda_q$, $\|\xi_u\|_\infty \leq \|\chi\|_\infty$.

Proof. (a) The assertion is a straightforward consequence of Remark 2.3.

(b) Fix $u \in \Lambda_q$. Multiplying (7) by $\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u$ yields

$$\|\nabla \eta_u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \eta_u (\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u) dx = 0,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \eta_u\|_2^2 &\leq \|\nabla \eta_u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 (\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u)^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \bar{\eta}_u (\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u) dx \\ &\leq \|qu\|_3^2 |\bar{\eta}_u| \|\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u\|_3 \leq \gamma \|qu\|_3^2 |\bar{\eta}_u| \|\nabla \eta_u\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Integrating (7) over Ω gives $\int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \eta_u dx = A$, whence

$$|A| \leq \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 |\eta_u| dx \leq \|qu\|_3^2 \|\eta_u\|_3. \quad (9)$$

From (9) and Part (b) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A|}{\|qu\|_3^2} &\leq \|\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u\|_3 + \|\bar{\eta}_u\|_3 \\ &\leq \gamma \|\nabla \eta_u\|_2 + |\bar{\eta}_u| |\Omega|^{1/3} \leq (\gamma^2 \|qu\|_3^2 + |\Omega|^{1/3}) |\bar{\eta}_u|, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$|\bar{\eta}_u| \geq \frac{|A|}{\|qu\|_3^2 (\gamma^2 \|qu\|_3^2 + |\Omega|^{1/3})} \quad (10)$$

for every $u \in \Lambda_q$. If $u \in \Lambda_q$ and $\|qu\|_3 \rightarrow 0$, (10) implies $|\bar{\eta}_u| \rightarrow \infty$.

(d) Fix $u \in \Lambda_q$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and define $w_\tau := \xi_u + \tau$; observe that w_τ solves the equation $-\Delta w_\tau + (qu)^2 w_\tau = (qu)^2 (\tau - \chi)$. With $\tau = \sup \chi$ (respectively, $\tau = \inf \chi$), Remark 2.3 implies $\xi_u \geq -\sup \chi$ (respectively, $\xi_u \leq -\inf \chi$) in Ω . This proves the assertion. \square

Recall that χ is the unique solution of (4); by elliptic regularity theory and Sobolev's inequalities, there exists $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|\chi\|_\infty \leq \kappa \|\alpha\|_{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

Let $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$ be such that $\|u\|_3 \leq \sigma \|\nabla u\|_2$ for every $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let

$$\delta := \frac{1}{\kappa \sigma}. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 3.4. *Assume $A \neq 0$ and $\|q\|_6 \|\alpha\|_{1/2} < \delta$. Then:*

- (a) J is bounded from below and coercive in Λ_q .
- (b) If $\|qu\|_3 \rightarrow 0$, then $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) For $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_q$, the sequence $\{J(u_n)\}$ is unbounded if, and only if, either $\{u_n\}$ is unbounded or $\{\|qu_n\|_3\}$ is not bounded away from 0.
- (d) J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in Λ_q .

Proof. To begin with, let us write the functional J in terms of u , η_u , and ξ_u . To simplify the notation, let $\varphi_u := \Phi(u)$. By Remark 2.2, φ_u solves the homogeneous Neumann problem associated with the equation

$$-\Delta \varphi + (qu)^2 \varphi = \frac{A}{|\Omega|} - (qu)^2 \chi.$$

Then,

$$\|\nabla \varphi_u\|_2^2 = A \bar{\varphi}_u - \int_\Omega (qu)^2 \chi \varphi_u \, dx - \int_\Omega (qu)^2 \varphi_u^2 \, dx,$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= F(u, \varphi_u) \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega (m^2 - q^2 \chi^2) u^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega (qu)^2 \chi \varphi_u \, dx + A \bar{\varphi}_u. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Recall that $\varphi_u = \eta_u + \xi_u$ and observe that

$$-\int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \chi \eta_u dx = A \bar{\xi}_u;$$

this is easily obtained by multiplying Equation (7) by ξ_u and Equation (8) by η_u . Substituting into (13) yields

$$J(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (m^2 - q^2 \chi^2 - q^2 \chi \xi_u) u^2 dx + 2A \bar{\xi}_u + A \bar{\eta}_u$$

for every $u \in \Lambda_q$.

(a) By (11) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} q^2 \chi^2 u^2 dx \right| \leq \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \|q\|_6^2 \|\alpha\|_{1/2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2; \quad (14)$$

multiplying (8) by ξ_u gives

$$-\int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \chi \xi_u dx = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \xi_u|^2 + (qu)^2 |\xi_u|^2) dx \geq 0;$$

finally, Lemma 3.3(d) and (11) give

$$|\bar{\xi}_u| \leq \kappa \|\alpha\|_{1/2}. \quad (15)$$

Thus,

$$J(u) \geq \left[1 - \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \|q\|_6^2 \|\alpha\|_{1/2}^2 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - 2\kappa |A| \|\alpha\|_{1/2} + A \bar{\eta}_u. \quad (16)$$

Note that the quantity within brackets is strictly positive; moreover, $A \bar{\eta}_u \geq 0$ by Lemma 3.3(a). Thus, (16) implies the desired properties of J .

(b) The assertion readily follows from (16) and Lemma 3.3(c).

(c) In view of (14), (15), and the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} q^2 \chi \xi_u u^2 dx \right| \leq \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \|q\|_6^2 \|\alpha\|_{1/2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

there exist $c_1, c_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$J(u) \leq c_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + c_2 + |A| |\bar{\eta}_u| \quad \text{for every } u \in \Lambda_q. \quad (17)$$

Suppose $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_q$ is bounded and $\|qu_n\|_3 \geq r$ for every n , for some $r \in (0, \infty)$. Up to a subsequence, $\{u_n\}$ has in $L^6(\Omega)$ a limit u . Since $qu_n \rightarrow qu$ in $L^3(\Omega)$ and $\|qu_n\|_3 \geq r$, we deduce $qu \neq 0$ and thus, $\eta := \mathcal{L}_{qu}(A/|\Omega|)$ is well defined. By Proposition 2.1(a), $\eta_{u_n} := \mathcal{L}_{qu_n}(A/|\Omega|)$ converges to η in $H^1(\Omega)$, which implies $|\bar{\eta}_{u_n}| \rightarrow |\bar{\eta}|$. Thus, by (17), the sequence $\{J(u_n)\}$ is bounded. This proves the “only if” part of the statement; the “if” part easily follows from (a) and (b).

(d) Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_q$ is a Palais-Smale sequence, that is, $\{J(u_n)\}$

is bounded and $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$; we have to show that, up to a subsequence, $\{u_n\}$ converges in Λ_q . Since J is coercive, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$; up to a subsequence, it converges weakly to some $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Observe that

$$\Delta u_n = -\frac{1}{2} J'(u_n) + m^2 u_n - q^2 (\eta_{u_n} + \xi_{u_n} + \chi)^2 u_n. \quad (18)$$

The first and second summands in the right-hand side of (18) are bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. By (16), the sequence $\{|\bar{\eta}_{u_n}|\}$ is bounded; Lemma 3.3(b) implies that $\{\eta_{u_n}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$, and thus, in $L^6(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.3(d), $\{\xi_{u_n} + \chi\}$ is bounded in $L^6(\Omega)$ as well. It follows that $\{(\eta_{u_n} + \xi_{u_n} + \chi)^2\}$ is bounded in $L^3(\Omega)$, which in turn implies that $\{q^2 (\eta_{u_n} + \xi_{u_n} + \chi)^2 u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{6/5}(\Omega)$, and therefore in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. On account of (18), the sequence $\{\Delta u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$; the compactness of the inverse Laplace operator implies that, up to a subsequence, $\{u_n\}$ converges to u in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since $\{J(u_n)\}$ is bounded, Proposition 2.4(b) and Part (b) imply $u \in \Lambda_q$. \square

Remark 3.5. Part (a) of Proposition 3.4 holds true also if $A = 0$.

4 Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. On account of the correspondence between critical points of J and nontrivial solutions to Problem (1)-(2), it suffices to prove that J has a sequence of critical points $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda_q$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Suppose $A \neq 0$. With δ as defined in (12), assume $\|q\|_6 \|\alpha\|_{1/2} < \delta$. By Proposition 3.4, the functional J is bounded from below, has complete sublevels, and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in Λ_q . This readily implies that J attains its minimum at some $u_0 \in \Lambda_q$; by Remark 3.2, we can assume $u_0 \geq 0$ in Ω .

Recall that Λ_q has infinite genus, by Proposition 2.4(c). Thus, Ljusternik-Schnirelmann Theory applies (see [16, Corollary 4.1] and [1, Remark 3.6]) and J has a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of critical points in Λ_q . Standard arguments show that $J(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$ (see [2, Chapter 10]).

Let $\{v_n\}$ be a bounded subsequence of $\{u_n\}$. By Proposition 3.4(c), every subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{v_{k_n}\}$ such that $\|q v_{k_n}\|_3 \rightarrow 0$; this proves that $\|q v_n\|_3 \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given the equivalence between Problem (1)-(2) and Problem (5), it suffices to prove that the latter does not have non-trivial solutions.

Assume $\|q\|_6 \|\alpha\|_{1/2} < \delta$. Let (u, φ) be a solution to (5) with $A = 0$.

Multiplying the first equation in (5) by u gives

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} m^2 u^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 (\varphi + \chi)^2 dx = 0,$$

whence

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (m^2 - q^2 \chi^2) u^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \varphi^2 dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \chi \varphi dx. \quad (19)$$

Multiplying the second equation in (5) by φ gives

$$\int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \chi \varphi dx = - \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \varphi^2 dx - \|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (20) into (19), and taking (14) into account, gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (m^2 - q^2 \chi^2) u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (qu)^2 \varphi^2 dx + 2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \left[1 - \kappa^2 \sigma^2 \|q\|_6^2 \|\alpha\|_{1/2}^2 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the quantity between brackets is strictly positive, we get $u = 0$. \square

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti and V. Coti Zelati, Periodic solutions of singular lagrangian systems, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 10, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, Nonlinear analysis and semilinear elliptic problems. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 104. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [3] A. Azzollini and A. Pomponio, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **35** (2010), 33-42.
- [4] V. Benci and D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **11** (1998), 283-293.
- [5] V. Benci and D. Fortunato, Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation coupled with the Maxwell equations, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 409-420.
- [6] D. Bleeker, Gauge Theory and Variational Principles, Dover Publications, 2005.
- [7] P. C. Carrião, P. L. Cunha and O. H. Miyagaki, Positive ground state solutions for the critical Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system with potentials, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 4068-4078.

- [8] T. D'Aprile and D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **4** (2004), 307-322.
- [9] P. d'Avenia, L. Pisani and G. Siciliano, Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems in a bounded domain, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **26** (2010), 135-149.
- [10] P. d'Avenia, L. Pisani and G. Siciliano, Nonautonomous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems in a bounded domain, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **3** (2014), suppl. 1 s37-s45.
- [11] B. Felsager, *Geometry, Particles and Fields*, Springer, 1998.
- [12] M. Ghimenti and A. M. Micheletti, Nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell systems with Neumann boundary conditions on a Riemannian manifold with boundary, in: *Contributions to nonlinear elliptic equations and systems*, pp. 299-323, *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 86, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [13] M. Ghimenti and A. M. Micheletti, Low energy solutions for singularly perturbed coupled nonlinear systems on a Riemannian manifold with boundary, *Nonlinear Anal.* **119** (2015), 315-329.
- [14] L. Li and C.-L. Tang, Infinitely many solutions for a nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system, *Nonlinear Anal.* **110** (2014), 157-169.
- [15] P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 65. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [16] A. Szulkin, Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory on C^1 -manifolds, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **5** (1988), 119-139.
- [17] L. Xu and H. Chen, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonhomogeneous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2015** (2015), No. 102.