

# On localizing subcategories of derived categories of categories of quasi-coherent sheaves on Noetherian algebraic spaces

Li Lu

December 15, 2021

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Classification of localizing subcategories is quite an active subject widely studied by a number of authors (see, for example, [25], [14], [16], [1], [15], [27], [29], [9], [18], [3] and [12]). In [25], Neeman and Bokstedt state a remarkable theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (*Neeman-Bokstedt, 1992*) *Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring. We denote by  $\text{supp}^{-1}(\Phi)$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(R)$  consisting of all  $R$ -complexes  $M^\bullet$  with  $\text{supp}(M^\bullet) \subset \Phi$ . There is an inclusion-preserving bijection of sets*

$$\{\text{localizing subcategories of } \mathbf{D}(R)\} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{supp}^{-1}]{\text{supp}} \{\text{subsets of } \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

Neeman and Bokstedt's theorem is a beautiful result. Tarrío, López and Salorio in [29] proved a similar result for a Noetherian formal scheme.

**Theorem 1.2** (*Tarrío-López-Salorio, [29], Theorem 4.12, 2004*) *For a Noetherian formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  there is a bijection between the class of rigid localizing subcategories of  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{qct}}(\mathfrak{X})$  and the set of all subsets of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

Our aim is to prove a similar result for a Noetherian separated algebraic space.

### 1.2 The Main theorem 1

**Definition 1.3** *Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fppf}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be an algebraic space over  $S$ .*

- *We say  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a locally Noetherian algebraic space if for every scheme  $U$  and every étale morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  the scheme  $U$  is locally Noetherian.*

- We say  $\mathfrak{X}$  is quasi-separated over  $S$  if the diagonal morphism  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_S \mathfrak{X}$  is quasi-compact. (A morphism of algebraic spaces is called quasi-compact if the underlying map of topological spaces is quasi-compact. We say that a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is quasi-compact if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$  of every quasi-compact open  $V \subset Y$  is quasi-compact.)
- We say  $\mathfrak{X}$  is Noetherian if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is quasi-compact, quasi-separated and locally Noetherian.
- We say  $\mathfrak{X}$  is separated over  $S$  if the diagonal morphism  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times_S \mathfrak{X}$  is a closed immersion.

Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ . For a subset  $W$  of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ , we denote by  $\text{supp}^{-1}(W)$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  consisting of all complexes  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$  with  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \subset W$ . We define the subcategory  $\mathcal{L}_W$  as the smallest localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  that contains the set  $\{j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) : x \in W\}$ . Here is our first result:

**Main Theorem 1** (Theorem 5.1) *Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ . Let  $W \subset |\mathfrak{X}|$  be a subset. Then the following categories are the same:*

- (1)  $\text{supp}^{-1}(W)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}_W$ .
- (3) The full subcategory of complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to injective complexes whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  with  $x \in W$ .

*Remark.* According to Lemma 5.4, they are all rigid localizing subcategories of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .

### 1.3 The Main theorem 2

We will establish a bijective correspondence between the class of rigid localizing subcategories of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  and the class of  $E$ -stable subcategories of  $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$  closed under direct sums and summands.

**Main Theorem 2** (Theorem 5.5, Theorem 5.8) *Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ . Consider the following three sets:*

- (1)  $\mathbb{LS} = \{\text{Rigid localizing subcategories of } \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{SX} = \{\text{Subsets of } |\mathfrak{X}|\}$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{ES} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E - \text{stable subcategories of } \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ closed} \\ \text{under direct sums and summands} \end{array} \right\}$ .

All of the three sets are bijectively corresponding to one another:

$$\mathbb{L}\mathcal{S} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{supp}^{-1}]{\text{supp}} \mathbb{S}\mathcal{X} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{supp}]{(\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}.$$

## 1.4 Symbols

$\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$	big <i>fppf</i> site
$S$	scheme
$\mathfrak{X}$	algebraic space over $S$
$ \mathfrak{X} $	underlying topological space of $\mathfrak{X}$
$x \in  \mathfrak{X} $	point of $\mathfrak{X}$
$\bar{x}$	geometric point lying over $x$
$QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$	category of quasi-coherent $O_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaves
$Coh(\mathfrak{X})$	category of coherent $O_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaves
$\mathcal{A}$	Abelian category
$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{A})$	category of $\mathcal{A}$ -complexes
$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$	homotopy category of chain complexes in $\mathcal{A}$
$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$	derived category of $\mathcal{A}$

## 1.5 Brief outline

We now give a brief outline of the paper.

In section 2, we set notation and review some basics of localizing subcategories, torsion theories,  $t$ -structures, truncation of complexes, homotopy colimits and injective quasi-coherent sheaves.

Section 3 is concerned with the local cohomology functors. The local cohomology functor is a helpful tool for the classification of all the rigid localizing subcategories of  $\mathbf{D}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$ .

In Section 4, we will study basic properties of the small supports.

In Section 5, we will prove the Main Theorem 1 and the Main Theorem 2.

# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 Localizing subcategories of Grothendieck categories

Our main references for categories are [9], [11], [21], [8].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a nonempty full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Serre subcategory provided that it is closed under extensions, subobjects, and quotient objects.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Serre subcategory. There exists an Abelian category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$  and an exact functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$ , which is essentially surjective and whose kernel is  $\mathcal{S}$ . The category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$  and the functor  $F$  are characterized by the following universal property: For any exact functor  $G :$

$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Ker}(G)$  there exists a factorization  $G = H \circ F$  for a unique exact functor  $H : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

The Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{S}$  is called localizing, if the quotient functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$  has a right adjoint  $G : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

A Grothendieck category is an Abelian category which has coproducts, in which direct limits are exact and which has a generator. A category  $\mathcal{A}$  is well-powered if for each  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , the class of subobjects of  $A$  is a set. Grothendieck categories are well-powered. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Grothendieck category, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has products and  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives (See [8], Theorem 6.25).

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck category, then a Serre subcategory  $\mathcal{S}$  is localizing if and only if  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under arbitrary coproducts. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck category and  $\mathcal{S}$  a localizing subcategory, then the quotient category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{S}$  is again a Grothendieck category.

## 2.2 Localizing subcategories of triangulated categories

For the definition of the triangulated category see, for example, [30], [24], [31].

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a full additive subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

- (1)  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a triangulated subcategory if every object isomorphic to an object of  $\mathcal{X}$  is in  $\mathcal{X}$ , if  $\mathcal{X}[1] = \mathcal{X}$ , and if for any distinguished triangle

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1] \quad (1)$$

*such that the objects  $X$  and  $Y$  are in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the object  $Z$  is also in  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

- (2) We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is thick if  $\mathcal{X}$  is triangulated and closed under direct summands.
- (3) We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is localizing if  $\mathcal{X}$  is triangulated and closed under arbitrary direct sums.

*Remark.* Localizing subcategories of  $\mathcal{D}$  are closed under direct summands (see [33], Proposition 3.6.1).

## 2.3 Torsion theories

Our main references for the torsion theories are [6], [26], [20].

A torsion theory in a Grothendieck category  $\mathcal{A}$  is a couple  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  of strictly full additive subcategories called the torsion class  $\mathcal{T}$  and the torsion free class  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .
- (2) For all  $M \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists  $N \subset M$ ,  $N \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $M/N \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F})$ .

For every object  $M$  there exist the largest subobject  $t(M) \subset M$  which is in  $\mathcal{T}$  and it is called the torsion part of  $M$ .

$$t : M \mapsto t(M) \quad (2)$$

is an additive functor. A torsion theory is hereditary if  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects, or equivalently,  $t$  is left exact functor.

A radical functor, or more generally a preradical functor, has its own long history in the theory of categories and functors. See [10] or [22] for the case of module category. Let  $F, G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be functors. Recall that  $F$  is said to be a subfunctor of  $G$ , denoted by  $F \subset G$ , if  $F(M)$  is a subobject of  $G(M)$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  and if  $F(f)$  is a restriction of  $G(f)$  to  $F(M)$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$ .

**Definition 2.2** *A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a preradical functor if  $F$  is a subfunctor of  $\mathbf{1}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** ([32], Lemma 1.1) *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a preradical functor and assume that  $F$  is a left exact functor on  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $N$  is a subobject of  $M$ , then the equality  $F(N) = N \cap F(M)$  holds.*

**Definition 2.4** *A preradical functor  $F$  is called a radical functor if  $F(M/F(M)) = 0$  for all  $M \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

If  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a left exact radical functor, then there is a hereditary torsion theory  $(\mathcal{T}_F, \mathcal{F}_F)$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_F &= \{M \in \mathcal{A} \mid F(M) = M\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_F &= \{M \in \mathcal{A} \mid F(M) = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

## 2.4 $t$ -structures

The notion of a  $t$ -structure arose in the work [2] of Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne, and Gabber on perverse sheaves.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category.  $t$ -structure in  $\mathcal{D}$  is a pair  $\mathbf{t} = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$  of full subcategories, closed under taking direct summands in  $\mathcal{D}$ , which satisfy the following properties:

- (t-S.1)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(U, W[-1]) = 0$ , for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ;
- (t-S.2)  $\mathcal{U}[1] \subset \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}[-1] \subset \mathcal{W}$ ;
- (t-S.3) for each  $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ , there is a triangle  $A \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B \rightarrow A[1]$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{W}[-1]$ .

A  $t$ -structure  $\mathbf{t} = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a stable  $t$ -structure on  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are triangulated subcategories.

**Theorem 2.5** ([23], Proposition 2.6) *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{U}$  a triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

- (1) There is a triangulated subcategory  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$  is a stable  $t$ -structure on  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- (2) The natural embedding functor  $i : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  has a right adjoint  $\rho : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .

If it is the case, setting  $\delta = i \circ \rho : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , we have the equalities  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Im}(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \text{Ker}(\delta)$ . There is an natural morphism  $\phi : \delta \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity functor on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Every  $C \in \mathcal{D}$  can be embedded in a triangle of the form

$$\delta(C) \xrightarrow{\phi(C)} C \rightarrow D \rightarrow \delta(C)[1]. \quad (4)$$

## 2.5 Truncation of complexes

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. Let  $M^\bullet$  be a chain complex. There are several ways to truncate the complex  $M^\bullet$ :

- (1) The left brutal truncation  $\sigma_{\leq n}$  is the subcomplex  $\sigma_{\leq n}M^\bullet$  defined by the rule

$$(\sigma_{\leq n}M^\bullet)^i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i > n) \\ M^i & (i \leq n). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

- (2) The right brutal truncation  $\sigma_{\geq n}$  is the subcomplex  $\sigma_{\geq n}M^\bullet$  defined by the rule

$$(\sigma_{\geq n}M^\bullet)^i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i < n) \\ M^i & (i \geq n). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

- (3) The left good truncation  $\tau_{\leq n}$  is the subcomplex  $\tau_{\leq n}M^\bullet$  defined by the rule

$$(\tau_{\leq n}M^\bullet)^i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i > n) \\ \text{Ker} d^n & (i = n) \\ M^i & (i < n). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

- (4) The right good truncation  $\tau_{\geq n}$  is the subcomplex  $\tau_{\geq n}M^\bullet$  defined by the rule

$$(\tau_{\geq n}M^\bullet)^i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \geq n) \\ \text{Im} d^{n-1} & (i = n-1) \\ M^i & (i < n-1). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

**Lemma 2.6 ([33], Lemma 2.6.1)** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. Let  $M^\bullet$  be a chain complex. Then*

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma_{\geq n}M^\bullet \rightarrow M^\bullet \rightarrow \sigma_{\leq n-1}M^\bullet \rightarrow 0 \quad (9)$$

*is an exact sequence.*

**Lemma 2.7 ([33], Lemma 2.6.2)** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. Let  $M^\bullet$  be a chain complex. Then*

$$\text{colim}_{n \geq 0} [\sigma_{\geq -n}(\tau_{\leq n+1}M^\bullet)] = M^\bullet. \quad (10)$$

## 2.6 Homotopy colimits

Our main references for the homotopy colimits are [4].

**Lemma 2.8** ([33], **Proposition 1.3.4**) *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category. Let  $I$  be a set.*

- (1) *Let  $\{X_i, i \in I\}$  be a family of objects of  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $\bigoplus X_i$  exists, then  $(\bigoplus X_i)[1] = \bigoplus X_i[1]$ .*
- (2) *Let  $X_i \rightarrow Y_i \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow X_i[1]$  be a family of distinguished triangles of  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $\bigoplus X_i, \bigoplus Y_i, \bigoplus Z_i$  exist, then  $\bigoplus X_i \rightarrow \bigoplus Y_i \rightarrow \bigoplus Z_i \rightarrow \bigoplus X_i[1]$  is a distinguished triangle.*

**Lemma 2.9** ([4], **Lemma 1.1**) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Grothendieck category. Write  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$  for the homotopy category of chain complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$  has direct sums.*

**Definition 2.10** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category with direct sums. Suppose  $\{X_i, i \geq 0\}$  is a sequence of objects in  $\mathcal{D}$ , together with maps  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ . We say an object  $K$  is a derived colimit, or a homotopy colimit of the system  $\{X_i, i \geq 0\}$  if there is a distinguished triangle*

$$\bigoplus X_i \rightarrow \bigoplus X_i \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus X_i[1] \quad (11)$$

where the map  $\bigoplus X_i \rightarrow \bigoplus X_i$  is given by  $1 - f_n$  in degree  $n$ . If this is the case, then we sometimes indicate this by the notation  $K = \text{hocolim}(X_i)$ .

**Lemma 2.11** ([4], **Remark 2.2**) *If  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck category, then*

$$\mathbf{H}^i(\text{hocolim}(X_j)) = \text{colim} \mathbf{H}^i(X_j). \quad (12)$$

## 2.7 Injective quasi-coherent sheaves

Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian algebraic space over  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf. For each  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ , denote the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{x}}$ , the residue field of  $\bar{x}$  by  $\kappa(\bar{x}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{x}}$ , and an injective hull of  $\kappa(\bar{x})$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}})$  by  $E(\bar{x}) = E_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}}}(\kappa(\bar{x}))$ . Let  $j_{\bar{x}} : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be the canonical morphism. We state that every injective quasi-coherent sheaf is a direct sum of indecomposable injective quasi-coherent sheaves of this form.

**Theorem 2.12** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally Noetherian algebraic space over  $S$ .*

- (1) *For every family  $\{\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of injective quasi-coherent sheaves, the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$  is also injective.*
- (2) *Every injective quasi-coherent sheaf has an indecomposable decomposition.*

- (3) For each  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ , let  $j_{\overline{x}} : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  be the canonical morphism. There is a bijection

$$|\mathfrak{X}| \rightarrow \frac{\{\text{indecomposable injective quasi-coherent sheaves}\}}{\cong} \quad (13)$$

given by

$$x \mapsto j_{\overline{x}*} E(\overline{x}). \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* (1) See [15], Theorem 5.9.

(2) See [15], Theorem 5.9.

(3) Choose a scheme  $U$  with a point  $u$  and an étale morphism  $j_U : U \rightarrow X$  mapping  $u$  to  $x$ , then we have  $j_U^* j_{\overline{x}*} E(\overline{x}) = j_{u*} E(u)$  is an indecomposable injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -sheaf by [13] Theorem 7.11. Hence  $j_{\overline{x}*} E(\overline{x})$  is an indecomposable injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf.

Now for an indecomposable injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{J}$ , we have  $j_U^* \mathcal{J}$  is an indecomposable injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf, hence  $j_U^* \mathcal{J} = j_{u*} E(u)$  for some  $u \in U$ , we have  $j_{\overline{x}*} E(\overline{x}) \subset \mathcal{J}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is an indecomposable injective quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf, we have  $\mathcal{J} = j_{\overline{x}*} E(\overline{x})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. A complex  $J^\bullet$  is  $K$ -injective if for every acyclic complex  $M^\bullet$  we have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})}(M^\bullet, J^\bullet) = 0$ . An important property of  $K$ -injective objects is that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})}(M^\bullet, J^\bullet) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})}(M^\bullet, J^\bullet), \quad (15)$$

for every  $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ . A  $K$ -injective complex  $J^\bullet$  together with a quasi-isomorphism  $M^\bullet \rightarrow J^\bullet$  is called a minimal  $K$ -injective resolution of  $M^\bullet$ , if for all  $i$  the kernel of the differential  $J^i \rightarrow J^{i+1}$  is an essential subobject of  $J^i$ .

**Lemma 2.13** ([28], Theorem 5.4; [17], Proposition B.2) *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ , and let  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  be the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . In the category  $\mathbf{C}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$  of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -complexes, every object has a minimal  $K$ -injective resolution.*

### 3 Local cohomology

Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . For each  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ , denote the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}$ , the residue field of  $\overline{x}$  by  $\kappa(\overline{x}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}$ , and an injective hull of  $\kappa(\overline{x})$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}})$  by  $E(\overline{x}) = E_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}}(\kappa(\overline{x}))$ .

**Definition 3.1** *We say  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$  is associated to  $\mathcal{F}$  if the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}$  is associated to the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}_{\overline{x}}$ . We denote  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{F})$  the set of associated points of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{F} \in QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$ . Following [7], the small support of  $\mathcal{F}$  is by definition

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \{x \in X | \text{Tor}_*^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}}(\mathcal{F}_{\overline{x}}, \kappa(\overline{x})) \neq 0\}. \quad (16)$$



The (usual) support of  $\mathcal{F}$  is by definition

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}} \neq 0\}. \quad (17)$$

Note that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})$  and equality holds if  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathfrak{X})$  (See [7], Lemma 2.6).

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$  and let  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ , then  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\bar{x}*}\kappa(\bar{x})) = \{x\}$ ,  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x})) = \{x\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $\text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $\text{Ass}(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  (See [5] Proposition 4.1.1). Therefore  $(j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x}))_{\bar{x}} = j_{\bar{x}}^{-1}j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x}) = E(\bar{x}) = E_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\bar{x}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\bar{x}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{x}})$ . Hence  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x})) = \{x\}$ .

**Definition 3.3** *For any subset  $V \subset |\mathfrak{X}|$  we say that  $V$  is a specialization-closed subset if for any  $x \in V$  and any  $y \in |\mathfrak{X}|$  we have  $y \in V$  whenever  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$ .*

**Definition 3.4** *Let  $V$  be a specialization-closed subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . We can define the section functor  $\Gamma_V$  with support in  $V$  as*

$$\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F} \mid \text{Supp}(\mathcal{G}) \subset V\} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F} \mid \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}) \subset V\}$$

for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Theorem 3.5** *The following conditions are equivalent for a left exact preradical functor  $F$  on  $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ .*

- (1)  $F$  is a radical functor.
- (2)  $F$  preserves injectivity.
- (3)  $F$  is a section functor with support in a specialization-closed subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.5 consists of a succession of relatively short lemmas.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ , and  $V$  be a specialization-closed subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the equality  $\Gamma_V(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \cap \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})$  holds.*
- (2)  *$\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}/\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})) = 0$  for every  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ .*
- (3)  *$\Gamma_V$  is a left exact radical functor.*

*Proof.* (1) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H} \subset \Gamma_V(\mathcal{G}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}) \subset V \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \subset \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{G}.$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H} \subset \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}/\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}/\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}) \subset V \Rightarrow \mathcal{H} = 0.$$

(3) Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{G}$  be an exact sequence in  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$ . By (1) we have  $\Gamma_V(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K} \cap \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})$ , hence  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_V(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})$  is an exact sequence. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We have

$$\mathcal{H} \subset \text{Ker} \Gamma_V(g) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \subset \text{Ker} g \cap \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Im} f \cap \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \subset \text{Im} \Gamma_V(f),$$

hence  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_V(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_V(\mathcal{G})$  is an exact sequence.

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian algebraic space over  $S$ . Let  $F : QCoh(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  be a left exact radical functor.*

- (1) *Let  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ , then  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}))$  is identical to either  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  or 0.*
- (2)  *$F$  preserves injectivity.*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $F$  is a left exact radical functor, there is a hereditary torsion theory  $(\mathcal{T}_F, \mathcal{F}_F)$ , which is defined in (3). Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{T}_F$  and  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_F$ . If  $\mathcal{G} = 0$ , then  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}) \cong \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_F$ , therefore  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{G} \neq 0$ , since  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = x$ , we have  $\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{G}) = x$ , hence  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \subset \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_F$  is a localizing subcategory and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{T}_F$ , we have  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \in \mathcal{T}_F$ . By Proposition 3.2  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}) \in \mathcal{T}_F$ . Therefore  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$ .

(2) For an injective sheaf  $\mathcal{J} \in QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$ , by Theorem 2.12 it has an indecomposable decomposition  $\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)$ . We set  $\mathcal{J}_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_1} j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_2} j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)$ , where

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \{i \in \mathcal{I} | F(j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)) = j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)\}, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \{i \in \mathcal{I} | F(j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)) = 0\}. \quad (19)$$

By (1) we have  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_1 \oplus \mathcal{J}_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_F$  is closed under taking direct sums and  $\mathcal{F}_F$  is closed under taking direct products and subsheaves, we have  $\mathcal{I}_1 \in \mathcal{T}_F$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_2 \in \mathcal{F}_F$ . Therefore we have an equality  $F(\mathcal{J}) = F(\mathcal{I}_1) \oplus F(\mathcal{I}_2) = \mathcal{I}_1$ , which is an injective sheaf.

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $F : QCoh(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  be a left exact preradical functor which preserves injectivity and  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$  be a point, then*

- (1)  *$F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}))$  is identical to either  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  or 0.*
- (2)  *$F(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}))$  is identical to either  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$  or 0.*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}))$  is an injective subsheaf of an indecomposable injective sheaf  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$ , it is a direct summand of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$ . Thus the indecomposability of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  forces  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}))$  is either  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  or 0.

(2) It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})) = j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \cap F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}))$ , therefore  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}))$  is either  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$  or 0 by (1).

For a left exact preradical functor  $F$  which preserves injectivity, we define a subset  $V_F$  of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  as follows:

$$V_F = \{x \in |\mathfrak{X}| : F(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})) = j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})\}. \quad (20)$$

Note from the proof of Proposition 3.8 that  $V_F$  is the same as the set

$$\{x \in |\mathfrak{X}| : F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})\}. \quad (21)$$

**Proposition 3.9** *Let  $F$  be a left exact preradical functor which preserves injectivity. Then  $V_F$  is a specialization-closed subset.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in V_F$  and  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$ . A natural nontrivial morphism  $\kappa(\overline{x}) \rightarrow \kappa(\overline{y}) \rightarrow E(\overline{y})$  extends to a non-zero morphism  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}) \rightarrow j_{\overline{y}*}E(\overline{y})$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x}) \rightarrow j_{\overline{y}*}E(\overline{y}).$$

Since  $F$  is a left exact preradical functor, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) \rightarrow F(j_{\overline{y}*}E(\overline{y})).$$

We have  $F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  and  $F(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K} \cap F(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = \mathcal{K}$  by Lemma 2.3, therefore  $F(j_{\overline{y}*}E(\overline{y})) = j_{\overline{y}*}E(\overline{y})$ , hence  $y \in V_F$ .

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $F$  be a left exact preradical functor which preserves injectivity. Then the equality  $F = \Gamma_{V_F}$  holds as subfunctors of  $\mathbf{1}$ , where  $V_F$  is a specialization-closed subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  defined in Proposition 3.9.*

*Proof.* First of all, we consider the case that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective objects  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i)$  in  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then we have an equality

$$F(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{x_i \in V_F} j_{\overline{x}_i*}E(\overline{x}_i) = \Gamma_{V_F}(\mathcal{F})$$

by Proposition 3.8.

Next, we consider the case that  $\mathcal{F} \in Coh(\mathfrak{X})$ . Since the injective hull  $E(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective sheaves, we have already shown that  $F(E(\mathcal{F})) = \Gamma_{V_F}(E(\mathcal{F}))$ . Thus, using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$F(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \cap F(E(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F} \cap \Gamma_{V_F}(E(\mathcal{F})) = \Gamma_{V_F}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Finally, we show the claimed equality for an object  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  without any assumption. We should notice that a coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $F(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if the equality  $F(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$  holds. In fact, this equivalence is easily observed from the equality  $F(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \cap F(\mathcal{F})$  by Lemma 2.3. This equivalence is true for the section functor  $\Gamma_{V_F}$  as well. So  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{V_F}(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\Gamma_{V_F}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore, we see that  $\mathcal{G} \subset F(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G} \subset \Gamma_{V_F}(\mathcal{F})$ , and the proof is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 3.5.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are already proved respectively in Lemmas 3.7(2), 3.10 and 3.6(3).  $\square$

Then we will also use the following functors. Suppose  $V' \subset V$  is also a specialization-closed subset. Then, define  $\Gamma_{V/V'}(\mathcal{F}) = \Gamma_V(\mathcal{F})/\Gamma_{V'}(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 3.11** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ , and  $V' \subset V$  be specialization-closed subsets of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ , then there is a right-derived functor  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V/V'}(-) : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  such that the triangle  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V'}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_V(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V/V'}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V'}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)[1]$  is distinguished.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.13, every quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -complex has a  $K$ -injective resolution. It suffices to define  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V/V'}$  on  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})$  be quasi-isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ . Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V'}(\mathcal{J}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_V(\mathcal{J}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V/V'}(\mathcal{J}^\bullet) \rightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

is exact, and taking the corresponding distinguished triangle, we are done.

**Lemma 3.12** *Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  and let  $V$  be a specialization-closed subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is quasi-isomorphic to an injective complex whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x})$  with  $x \in V$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is quasi-isomorphic to an injective complex whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x})$  with  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}| - V$ .
- (3) The natural embedding functor  $i : \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  has a right adjoint  $\rho : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  and  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V \cong i \circ \rho$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.5,  $(\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V), \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V))$  is a stable  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .
- (4) The  $t$ -structure  $(\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V), \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V))$  divides indecomposable injective quasi-coherent sheaves, by which we mean that each indecomposable injective quasi-coherent sheaf belongs to either  $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  or  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.13, every quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -complex has a  $K$ -injective resolution. For any injective complex  $\mathcal{J}^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})$ ,  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V(\mathcal{J}^\bullet) = \Gamma_V(\mathcal{J}^\bullet)$  is the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{J}^\bullet$  consisting of injective objects supported in  $V$ . Hence every object of  $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$ ) is an injective complex whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x})$  with  $x \in V$  (resp.  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}| - V$ ). In particular, if  $x \in V$  (resp.  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}| - V$ ), then  $j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x}) \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  (resp.  $j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x}) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$ ). Since  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(j_{\bar{x}*}E(\bar{x}), j_{\bar{y}*}E(\bar{y})) = 0$  for  $x \in V$  and  $y \in |\mathfrak{X}| - V$ , we can see that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})}(\mathcal{J}_1^\bullet, \mathcal{J}_2^\bullet) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})}(\mathcal{J}_1^\bullet, \Gamma_V(\mathcal{J}_2^\bullet))$  for any  $\mathcal{J}_1^\bullet \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})$ . Hence it follows from the above equivalence that  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V$  is a right adjoint of the natural embedding  $i : \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .

## 4 Small supports

**Definition 4.1** *The small support  $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  of a complex  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is defined as the set of points  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfying  $j_{\bar{x}*}\kappa(\bar{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \neq 0$  in  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .*

For a subset  $W$  of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ , we denote by  $\text{supp}^{-1}(W)$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  consisting of all complexes  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$  with  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \subset W$ .

We define the subcategory  $\mathcal{L}_W$  as the smallest localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  that contains the set  $\{j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) : x \in W\}$ . If  $W = \{x\}$ , we will denote  $\mathcal{L}_W$  simply by  $\mathcal{L}_x$ . Note that if  $x \in W$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_x \subset \mathcal{L}_W$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  be a complex that is quasi-isomorphic to an injective complex whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{L}_x$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that every element of  $E(\overline{x})$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}^n$  for some  $n$  (see [19], Remark 3.79). Thus  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  has a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0^\bullet \subset \mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \subset \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet$  is the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  and  $j_{\overline{x}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}^i$  for each  $i$ . Then,  $j_{\overline{x}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet)$  is a complex of vector spaces over  $\kappa(\overline{x})$  since it is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{x}}$ . Now from the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$  we get distinguished triangles  $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^\bullet[1]$ . By induction, this implies that  $\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet \in \mathcal{L}_x$  for all  $i$ . But since  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = \text{colim} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet$ , and by Lemma 2.11, a localizing subcategory is closed under direct limits, we see that  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_x$ .

**Lemma 4.3** *The small support has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\text{supp}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})) = \{x\}$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet[1]$  be a distinguished triangle in  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ . Then one has the following inclusion relations:

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cup \text{supp}(\mathcal{H}^\bullet),$$

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cup \text{supp}(\mathcal{H}^\bullet),$$

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{H}^\bullet) \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cup \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet).$$

- (3) The equality

$$\text{supp}\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet\right) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet)$$

holds for any family  $\{\mathcal{F}_i^\bullet\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  of complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

- (4)  $\text{supp}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$  for  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .
- (5)  $\text{supp}^{-1}(W)$  is a localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .
- (6) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  and let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{X}$ . If  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = W$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_W$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- (7)  $\mathcal{L}_W \subset \text{supp}^{-1}(W)$ .

- (8) Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  be a complex in  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  that is quasi-isomorphic to an injective complex whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  with  $x \in W$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{L}_W$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{L}_{|\mathfrak{X}|} = \mathrm{supp}^{-1}(|\mathfrak{X}|) = \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .
- (9)  $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \neq \emptyset$  for every nontrivial  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$ .
- (10) Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  be a complex of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$  a minimal  $K$ -injective resolution of  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ . Then we have

$$\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Ass}(\mathcal{I}^i).$$

- (11)  $\mathrm{supp}^{-1}(W)$  and the full subcategory of complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to injective complexes whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  with  $x \in W$ , are the same category.
- (12)  $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathfrak{X}}(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = \{x\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x, y$  be points of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then we easily see that there are isomorphisms

$$(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{y}*}\kappa(\overline{y}))_{\overline{x}} \cong \kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{x}}}^{\mathbf{L}} (j_{\overline{y}*}\kappa(\overline{y}))_{\overline{x}}$$

and

$$(j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{y}*}\kappa(\overline{y}))_{\overline{y}} \cong (j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}))_{\overline{y}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, \overline{y}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \kappa(\overline{y}).$$

Therefore the complex  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{y}*}\kappa(\overline{y})$  is nonzero if and only if  $x = y$ .

(2) Let  $x$  be a point in  $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ . Then  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \neq 0$ . There is a distinguished triangle

$$j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \rightarrow j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{H}^\bullet \rightarrow j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet[1]$$

which says that either  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet$  or  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{H}^\bullet$  is nonzero. Thus  $x$  is in the union of  $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$  and  $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)$ . The other inclusion relations are similarly obtained.

(3) One has  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} (\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet)$  for  $x \in |\mathfrak{X}|$ . Hence  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} (\bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet)$  is nonzero if and only if  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet$  is nonzero for some  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(4) Notice that either  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) = 0$  implies  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) = 0$ . Conversely, take  $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cap \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) = \mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} (\bigoplus_i j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})[i]) = \bigoplus_j j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})[j]$  which is nontrivial.

(5) This conclusion was immediately obtained by (2) and (3).

(6) The complex  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a direct sum of shifts of  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$ , hence if  $x \in W$ , then  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \in \mathcal{X}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{L}_W$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(7) This conclusion was immediately obtained by (6).

(8) (See also [25] Lemma 2.10 for an affine scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) Suppose  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is a complex in  $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  that is quasi-isomorphic to an injective complex whose

components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  with  $x \in W$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the set of all specialization-closed subsets  $Y$  such that  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_Y(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \subset \mathcal{L}_W$ . As localizing subcategories are closed under direct limits,  $\mathbb{S}$  must be closed under the formation of increasing unions. Hence, by Zorn's Lemma,  $\mathbb{S}$  contains a maximal element  $Y$ . We assert  $Y = |\mathfrak{X}|$ .

Suppose  $Y \neq |\mathfrak{X}|$ . Because  $\mathfrak{X}$  is Noetherian,  $|\mathfrak{X}| - Y$  contains an element  $x$ , such that

$$\{x\} = \overline{\{x\}} \cap (|\mathfrak{X}| - Y).$$

But now we have

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{Y \cup \{x\}/Y}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

and  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{L}_x \subset \mathcal{L}_W$  by Lemma 4.2. Thus we deduce easily that  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{Y \cup \{x\}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{L}_W$ , and this is a contradiction to the maximality of  $Y$ .

(9) If  $\text{supp}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \emptyset$ , then by (8) we have  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = \mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0$ .

(10) (See also [18] Proposition 5.1 for an affine scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) Fix a point  $x$ . We know that

$$\mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}).$$

Suppose first that  $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) \neq 0$  and therefore  $x \in \text{Ass}(\mathcal{I}^i)$  for some  $i$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) = 0$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) = 0$  by (9), that is,  $\mathcal{I}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$  is acyclic. We want to conclude that

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^i) = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet)^i = 0$$

for all  $i$ . Here we need to use the minimality of  $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ . Also,  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet)$  is a  $K$ -injective complex of injective  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -modules. Thus  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\overline{\{x\}}/\overline{\{x\}} - \{x\}}(\mathcal{I}^\bullet) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{D}(\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}))$  implies  $x \notin \text{Ass}(\mathcal{I}^i)$  for all  $i$ , because  $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$  is minimal.

(11) This conclusion was immediately obtained by (10).

(12) This conclusion was immediately obtained by (10).

## 5 Proof of Main Theorems

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $S$  be a scheme contained in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{fppf}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Noetherian separated algebraic space over  $S$ . Let  $W \subset |\mathfrak{X}|$  be a subset. Then the following categories are the same:*

- (1)  $\text{supp}^{-1}(W)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}_W$ .
- (3) The full subcategory of complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to injective complexes whose components are direct sums of  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  with  $x \in W$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\subset$  (1). By Lemma 4.3(7).

(1) = (3). By lemma 4.3(11).

(3)  $\subset$  (2). By lemma 4.3(8).

**Definition 5.2** A localizing subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  is called rigid if for every  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$ , we have that  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Lemma 5.3** For every subset  $W \subset |\mathfrak{X}|$ , the localizing subcategory  $\mathcal{L}_W$  is rigid.

*Proof.* By lemma 4.3(4).

**Lemma 5.4** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a rigid localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$ , and let  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{X}) = W$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}_W$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}_W = \text{supp}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\mathcal{X}))$ .

If  $x \in W$ , then there exists a complex  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathcal{X}$ , such that  $x \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \neq 0$ . The complex  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\mathbf{L}} j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$  is a direct sum of shifts of  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x})$ , hence if  $x \in W$ , then  $j_{\overline{x}*}\kappa(\overline{x}) \in \mathcal{X}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{L}_W \subset \mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 5.5** One has maps

$$\mathbb{L}\mathfrak{S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{supp}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{supp}^{-1}} \end{array} \mathbb{S}\mathfrak{X}$$

The map  $\text{supp}$  is an inclusion-preserving bijection and  $\text{supp}^{-1}$  is its inverse map.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.3 the map  $\text{supp}^{-1}$  is well-defined. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a rigid localizing subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$ . By Lemma 5.4 we have  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}_W$ , where  $W = \text{supp}(\mathcal{X})$ . Therefore  $\text{supp}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\mathcal{X})) = \text{supp}^{-1}(W) = \mathcal{L}_W = \mathcal{X}$ . Thus we conclude that the composite map  $\text{supp}^{-1} \circ \text{supp}$  is the identity map.

Let  $W$  be a subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . It is obvious that  $\text{supp}(\text{supp}^{-1}(W))$  is contained in  $W$ . For  $x \in W$  we have  $\text{supp}(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = \{x\} \subset W$  by Lemma 4.3(12). This implies that  $W$  is contained in  $\text{supp}(\text{supp}^{-1}(W))$ , and we conclude that the composite map  $\text{supp} \circ \text{supp}^{-1}$  is the identity map.

**Definition 5.6** Given a quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow E^0(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d^0} E^1(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d^1} E^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

will be the minimal injective resolution of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We say that a subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  is  $E$ -stable provided that a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is in  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if so is  $E^i(\mathcal{F})$  for every  $i \geq 0$ .

For a subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$ , we denote by  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{X})$  the set of points  $x$  of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  such that  $x \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X}$ .

For a subcategory  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathbf{D}(QCoh(\mathfrak{X}))$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{X}_0$  the subcategory of  $QCoh(\mathfrak{X})$  consisting of all quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots \in \mathcal{X}$ .



**Proposition 5.7** *Let  $W$  be a subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ , and put  $\mathcal{X} = (\text{supp}^{-1}(W))_0$ .*

- (1)  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under direct sums and summands.
- (2)  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $E$ -stable.

*Proof.* (1) We observe by Lemma 4.3(3) that  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under direct sums and summands.

(2) Fix a sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ . According to Lemma 4.3(10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X} &\Leftrightarrow \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset W \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ass}E^i(\mathcal{F}) \subset W \text{ for all } i \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{supp}E^i(\mathcal{F}) \subset W \text{ for all } i \geq 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow E^i(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{X} \text{ for all } i \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $E$ -stable.

**Theorem 5.8** *One has maps*

$$\mathbb{S}\mathfrak{X} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{supp}]{(\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0} \mathbb{E}\mathfrak{S}$$

*The map  $\text{supp}$  is an inclusion-preserving bijection and  $(\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0$  is its inverse map.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.7 the map  $(\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0$  is well-defined. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $E$ -stable subcategory of  $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$  closed under direct sums and summands. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{X}$  is contained in  $(\text{supp}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\mathcal{X})))_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -sheaf with  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{X})$ . Then we see from Lemma 4.3(10) that for each  $i \geq 0$  and  $x \in \text{Ass}E^i(\mathcal{F})$  there exists a sheaf  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{X}$  and an integer  $j \geq 0$  such that  $x \in \text{Ass}E^j(\mathcal{G})$ . Hence  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $E^j(\mathcal{G})$ . The sheaf  $E^j(\mathcal{G})$  is in  $\mathcal{X}$  since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $E$ -stable, and  $j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})$  is also in  $\mathcal{X}$  since  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under direct summands. Therefore the sheaf  $E^i(\mathcal{F})$  is in  $\mathcal{X}$  for every  $i \geq 0$  since  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under direct sums, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is also in  $\mathcal{X}$  since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $E$ -stable. Thus we conclude that the composite map  $(\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0 \circ \text{supp}$  is the identity map.

Let  $W$  be a subset of  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ . It is obvious that  $\text{supp}((\text{supp}^{-1}(W))_0)$  is contained in  $W$ . For  $x \in W$  we have  $\text{supp}(j_{\overline{x}*}E(\overline{x})) = \{x\} \subset W$  by Lemma 4.3(12). This implies that  $W$  is contained in  $\text{supp}((\text{supp}^{-1}(W))_0)$ , and we conclude that the composite map  $\text{supp} \circ (\text{supp}^{-1}(-))_0$  is the identity map.

## References

- [1] P. Balmer. The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2005(588):149–168, 2005.

- [2] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. *Faisceaux pervers. Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I*, volume 100. Soc. Math. France, 1982.
- [3] D. Benson, S. Iyengar, and H. Krause. Stratifying modular representations of finite groups. *Annals of mathematics*, 17(3):1643–1684, 2011.
- [4] M. Bokstedt and A. Neeman. Homotopy limits in triangulated categories. *Compositio Mathematica*, 86(2):209–234, 1993.
- [5] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1989.
- [6] S. E. Dickson. A torsion theory for abelian categories. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 121(1):223–235, 1966.
- [7] H. B. Foxby. Bounded complexes of flat modules. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 15(2):149–172, 1979.
- [8] P. J. Freyd. *Abelian categories*. Harper & Row; International edition, 1964.
- [9] P. Gabriel. Des categories abeliennes. *Bulletin de la Societe Mathematique de France*, 90:323–448, 1962.
- [10] O. Goldman. Rings and modules of quotients. *Journal of Algebra*, 13(1):10–47, 1969.
- [11] A. Grothendieck. Sur quelques points d’algebre homologique, I. *Tohoku Math*, 9(2):119–221, 1957.
- [12] J. Hall and D. Rydh. Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks. *Compositio Mathematica*, 153(11):2318–2367, 2017.
- [13] R. Hartshorne. *Residues and duality*, volume 20. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1966.
- [14] M. J. Hopkins. Global methods in homotopy theory. *Proceedings of the 1985 LMS Symposium on Homotopy Theory*, pages 73–96, 1987.
- [15] R. Kanda. Classifying Serre subcategories via atom spectrum. *Advances in Mathematics*, 231(3-4):1572–1588, 2012.
- [16] R. Kanda. Classification of categorical subspaces of locally noetherian schemes. *Documenta Mathematica*, 20:1403–1465, 2015.
- [17] H. Krause. The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme. *Compositio Mathematica*, 141(5):1128, 2005.
- [18] H. Krause. Thick subcategories of modules over commutative Noetherian rings. *Mathematische Annalen*, 340(4):733–747, 2008.
- [19] T. Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2012.

- [20] J. Lamber. *Torsion theories, additive semantics, and rings of quotients*, volume 117. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [21] S. MacLane. Natural associativity and commutativity. *Rice University Studies*, 49(4):28–46, 1963.
- [22] J. M. Maranda. Injective structures. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 110(1):98–135, 1964.
- [23] J. Miyachi. Localization of triangulated categories and derived categories. *Journal of Algebra*, 141(2):463–483, 1991.
- [24] A. Neeman. *Triangulated Categories.(AM-148)*, volume 148. Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [25] A. Neeman and M. Bokstedt. The chromatic tower for  $D(R)$ . *Topology*, 31(3):519–532, 1992.
- [26] N. Popescu. *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, volume 3. Academic Press London, 1973.
- [27] R. Takahashi. Classifying subcategories of modules over a commutative Noetherian ring. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 78(3):767–782, 2008.
- [28] L. A. Tarrío, A. J. López, and M. J. S. Salorio. Localization in categories of complexes and unbounded resolutions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 52(1):225–247, 2000.
- [29] L. A. Tarrío, A. J. López, and M. J. S. Salorio. Bousfield localization on formal schemes. *Journal of Algebra*, 278(2):585–610, 2004.
- [30] J. Verdier. *Des Catégories Dérivées des Catégories Abéliennes*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 239, 1996.
- [31] C. A. Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [32] Y. Yoshino and T. Yoshizawa. Abstract local cohomology functors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*, 53:129–154, 2011.
- [33] P. Zhang. *Triangulated category and derived categories*. Science Press, 2015.