

On a characterisation theorem for $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic solenoids

G.M. Feldman

B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 47, Nauky ave, Kharkiv, 61103, Ukraine; e-mail: feldman@ilt.kharkov.ua

According to the Heyde theorem the Gaussian distribution on the real line is characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form of independent random variables given another. We prove an analogue of this theorem for linear forms of two independent random variables taking values in an $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic solenoid $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ without elements of order 2, assuming that the characteristic functions of the random variables do not vanish, and coefficients of the linear forms are topological automorphisms of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Key words and phrases: $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic solenoid, topological automorphism, Gaussian distribution, conditional distribution

Mathematical Subject Classification: 60B15, 62E10, 43A35

1. Introduction

By the well-known Heyde theorem the Gaussian distribution on the real line is characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form of independent random variables given another ([13], see also [14, § 13.4.1]). For two independent random variables this theorem can be formulated as follows.

Theorem A. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Assume that $\alpha \neq -1$. If the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric, then μ_1 and μ_2 are Gaussian distributions.*

Group analogs of Heyde's theorem in the case when independent random variables take values in a locally compact Abelian group X , and coefficients of the linear forms are topological automorphisms of X were studied in the articles [3]–[5], [7]–[11], [15]–[17], see also [6, Chapter VI]). We remark that in all cited articles the corresponding characterization theorems were proved under certain restrictions on coefficients of the linear forms. In the article we prove an analogue of Heyde's theorem for two independent random variables taking values in an $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic solenoid without elements of order 2, assuming that the characteristic function of considering random variables do not vanish. It is important that we do not impose any restrictions on coefficients of the linear forms.

We note that although our proofs of the main results use methods of abstract harmonic analysis, it was quite unexpected that some facts of complex analysis were also used, in particular, the well known Hadamard theorem on the representation of an entire function of finite order.

Before we formulate the main theorem recall some definitions and agree on notation. Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group. We will consider only such groups, without mentioning it specifically. Denote by $\text{Aut}(X)$ the group of topological automorphisms of X , and by I the identity automorphism of a group. Denote by Y the character group of the group X , and by (x, y) the value of a character $y \in Y$ at an element $x \in X$. If G is a closed subgroup of X , denote by $A(Y, G) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ its annihilator. Let X_1 and X_2 be

locally compact Abelian groups with character groups Y_1 and Y_2 respectively. Let $\alpha : X_1 \mapsto X_2$ be a continuous homomorphism. The adjoint homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha} : Y_2 \mapsto Y_1$ is defined by the formula $(\alpha x_1, y_2) = (x_1, \tilde{\alpha} y_2)$ for all $x_1 \in X_1, y_2 \in Y_2$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the set of complex numbers, by $\mathbb{R}$ the group of real numbers, by $\mathbb{Z}$ the group of integers, by $\mathbb{Z}(m) = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ the group of residue classes modulo m , and by $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ the circle group. Let $f(y)$ be a function on the group Y , and let $h \in Y$. Denote by Δ_h the finite difference operator

$$\Delta_h f(y) = f(y+h) - f(y), \quad y \in Y.$$

Let n be an integer. Denote by $f_n : X \mapsto X$ an endomorphism of the group X defined by the formula $f_n x = nx, x \in X$. Put $X^{(n)} = f_n(X)$, $X_{(n)} = \text{Ker} f_n$.

Let μ be a measure or a signed measure on the group X . The characteristic function (the Fourier transform) of μ is defined by the formula

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \int_X (x, y) d\mu(x), \quad y \in Y.$$

Denote by $M^1(X)$ the convolution semigroup of probability measures (distributions) on the group X . Let $\mu \in M^1(X)$. Denote by $\sigma(\mu)$ the support of μ . Define the distribution $\bar{\mu} \in M^1(X)$ by the formula $\bar{\mu}(B) = \mu(-B)$ for any Borel subset B of X . Note that $\hat{\bar{\mu}}(y) = \overline{\hat{\mu}(y)}$. If G is a Borel subgroup of X , we denote by $M^1(G)$ the subsemigroup of $M^1(X)$ of distributions concentrated on G . A distribution $\gamma \in M^1(X)$ is called Gaussian (see [18, Chapter IV, §6]) if its characteristic function is represented in the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(y) = (x, y) \exp\{-\varphi(y)\}, \quad y \in Y, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in X$, and $\varphi(y)$ is a continuous non-negative function on the group Y satisfying the equation

$$\varphi(u+v) + \varphi(u-v) = 2[\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)], \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (2)$$

Note that, in particular, the degenerate distributions are Gaussian. Denote by $\Gamma(X)$ the set of Gaussian distributions on X . Denote by E_x the degenerate distribution concentrated at a point $x \in X$.

2. $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoids

Recall the definition of an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid. Put $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, where all $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}, a_j > 1$. Denote by $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ the group of $\mathbf{a}$ -adic integers. Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$. Denote by B the subgroup of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the form $B = \{(n, n\mathbf{u})\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. The factor-group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}})/B$ is called an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid. The group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is compact, connected and has dimension 1 ([12, (10.12), (10.13), (24.28)]). The character group of the group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is topologically isomorphic to the discrete group of the form

$$H_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{m}{a_0 a_1 \dots a_n} : n = 0, 1, \dots; m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

In order not to complicate the notation we will identify $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ with the character group of the group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$. We will also consider $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Any topological automorphism α of the group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is of the form $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ for some mutually prime p and q , where $f_p, f_q \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}})$. We will identify $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ with the real number $\frac{p}{q}$. If $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$, then $\tilde{\alpha} = f_p f_q^{-1}$, and we will also identify $\tilde{\alpha}$ with the real number $\frac{p}{q}$. We note that if $\alpha \neq -I$, then either $\text{Ker}(I + \alpha) = \{0\}$ or $\text{Ker}(I + \alpha) \cong \mathbb{Z}(m)$ for some m . Put $G = (\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}})_{(2)}$. It is easy to verify that there are only two possibilities for G : either $G = \{0\}$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. It is obvious that $G = \{0\}$ if and only if $f_2 \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}})$.

It follows from (1) и (2) that the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution γ on an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is of the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(y) = (x, y) \exp\{-\sigma y^2\}, \quad y \in H_{\mathbf{a}},$$

where $x \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$, $\sigma \geq 0$.

Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Let $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Consider the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1 \xi_1 + \beta_2 \xi_2$ and assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 is symmetric. It is easy to see that the description of possible distributions μ_j is reduced to the case when $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$.

The main result of the article is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 . *Consider an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$. Assume that X contains no elements of order 2. Let α be a topological automorphism of the group X . Put $K = \text{Ker}(I + \alpha)$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric. Then each of the distributions μ_j can be represented in the form $\mu_j = \gamma_j * \omega$, where $\gamma_j \in \Gamma(X)$, $\omega \in M^1(K)$. Moreover, if $\alpha > 0$, then $\mu_j = E_{x_j} * \omega$, where $x_j \in X$, $j = 1, 2$.*

Corollary 1. *Consider an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$. Assume that X contains no elements of order 2. Let α be a topological automorphism of the group X . Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. The symmetry of the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ implies that μ_j are Gaussian distributions if and only if $\text{Ker}(I + \alpha) = \{0\}$.*

Theorem 1 will be proved in §3. In §4 we prove that generally speaking, Theorem 1 fails if an $\mathbf{a}$ -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ contains an element of order 2. Namely, we will prove for such groups that if $\alpha < 0$, then there exist independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in the group X and distributions $\mu_j \notin \Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$ with nonvanishing characteristic functions such that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1 we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([6, Lemma 16.1]). *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, Y be its character group, α be a topological automorphism of X . Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . The conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric if and only if the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation*

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u + v) \hat{\mu}_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) = \hat{\mu}_1(u - v) \hat{\mu}_2(u - \tilde{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (3)$$

It is convenient for us to formulate as lemmas the following well-known statements (see e.g. [6, §2]).

Lemma 2 . *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, Y be its character group. Let $\mu \in M^1(X)$. Then the set $E = \{y \in Y : \hat{\mu}(y) = 1\}$ is a closed subgroup of Y , the characteristic function $\hat{\mu}(y)$ is E -invariant, i.e. $\hat{\mu}(y)$ takes a constant value on each coset of E in the group Y , and $\sigma(\mu) \subset A(X, E)$.*

Lemma 3 . Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, G be a Borel subgroup of X , $\mu \in M^1(G)$, $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$, where $\mu_j \in M^1(X)$. Then the distributions μ_j can be replaced by their shifts $\mu'_1 = \mu_1 * E_x$, $\mu'_2 = \mu_2 * E_{-x}$, $x \in X$, in such a manner that $\mu'_j \in M^1(G)$, $j = 1, 2$.

We will formulate as a lemma the following easily verifiable statement (see e.g. [1, Lemma 6.9]).

Lemma 4 . Let $X = \mathbb{R} \times G$, where G is a locally compact Abelian group, Y and H be the character groups of the groups X and G respectively. Denote by (t, g) , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in G$, elements of the group X and by (s, h) , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in H$, elements of the group Y . Let $\mu \in M^1(X)$ and assume that $\hat{\mu}(s, 0)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, is an entire function in s . Then $\hat{\mu}(s, h)$ is an entire function in s for every fixed $h \in H$, the representation

$$\hat{\mu}(s, h) = \int_X \exp\{its\}(g, h) d\mu(t, g)$$

holds for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $h \in H$, and the inequality

$$\max_{|s| \leq r} |\hat{\mu}(s, h)| \leq \max_{|s| \leq r} |\hat{\mu}(s, 0)|, \quad h \in H, \quad (4)$$

is valid. Moreover, the function $\hat{\mu}(-iy + x, h)/\hat{\mu}(-iy, 0)$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, for any fixed y is a characteristic function of variable $(x, h) \in \mathbb{R} \times H$.

Proof of theorem 1. Denote by Y the character group of the group X , and consider Y as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ for some mutually prime p and q , where $f_p, f_q \in \text{Aut}(X)$. By Lemma 1, the symmetry of the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 implies that the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3). Put $\nu_j = \mu_j * \bar{\mu}_j$. Then $\hat{\nu}_j(y) = |\hat{\mu}_j(y)|^2 > 0$, $y \in Y$. Obviously, the characteristic functions $\hat{\nu}_j(y)$ also satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3), which takes the form

$$\hat{\nu}_1(u + v) \hat{\nu}_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) = \hat{\nu}_1(u - v) \hat{\nu}_2(u - \tilde{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (5)$$

Describe the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1. First we get some representation for the characteristic functions $\hat{\nu}_j(y)$. By the topological automorphism α we find natural numbers m and n and consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. Next we construct distributions $M_j \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$ and a continuous monomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn) \mapsto X$ such that $\nu_j = \pi(M_j)$. In so doing the characteristic functions of the distributions M_j satisfy some Heyde's functional equation. Next we solve the obtained Heyde functional equation and receive the representation for the characteristic functions of the distributions M_j . Finally, we find the desired representation for the distributions μ_j .

First prove the theorem assuming that $\alpha \neq \pm I$. Put $\varphi_j(y) = \log \hat{\nu}_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2$. It follows from (5) that the functions $\varphi_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\varphi_1(u + v) + \varphi_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) - \varphi_1(u - v) - \varphi_2(u - \tilde{\alpha}v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (6)$$

We use the finite difference method to solve this equation. Let k_1 be an arbitrary element of Y . Put $h_1 = \tilde{\alpha}k_1$. Hence, $h_1 - \tilde{\alpha}k_1 = 0$. Substitute in (6) $u + h_1$ for u and $v + k_1$ for v . Subtracting equation (6) from the resulting equation, we obtain

$$\Delta_{l_{11}} \varphi_1(u + v) + \Delta_{l_{12}} \varphi_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) - \Delta_{l_{13}} \varphi_1(u - v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (7)$$

where $l_{11} = (I + \tilde{\alpha})k_1$, $l_{12} = 2\tilde{\alpha}k_1$, $l_{13} = (\tilde{\alpha} - I)k_1$.

Let k_2 be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Put $h_2 = k_2$. Hence, $h_2 - k_2 = 0$. Substitute in (7) $u + h_2$ for u and $v + k_2$ for v . Subtracting equation (7) from the resulting equation, we find

$$\Delta_{l_{21}} \Delta_{l_{11}} \varphi_1(u + v) + \Delta_{l_{22}} \Delta_{l_{12}} \varphi_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (8)$$

where $l_{21} = 2k_2$, $l_{22} = (I + \tilde{\alpha})k_2$.

Let k_3 be an arbitrary element of Y . Put $h_3 = -\tilde{\alpha}k_3$. Hence, $h_3 + \tilde{\alpha}k_3 = 0$. Substitute in (8) $u + h_3$ for u and $v + k_3$ for v . Subtracting equation (8) from the resulting equation, we get

$$\Delta_{l_{31}}\Delta_{l_{21}}\Delta_{l_{11}}\varphi_1(u+v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (9)$$

where $l_{31} = (I - \tilde{\alpha})k_3$. Putting in (9) $v = 0$, we find

$$\Delta_{l_{31}}\Delta_{l_{21}}\Delta_{l_{11}}\varphi_1(u) = 0, \quad u \in Y. \quad (10)$$

Reasoning similarly we obtain from (8) that the function $\varphi_2(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_{l_{32}}\Delta_{l_{22}}\Delta_{l_{12}}\varphi_2(u) = 0, \quad u \in Y, \quad (11)$$

where $l_{32} = -(I - \tilde{\alpha})k_3$. Put

$$H = (I + \tilde{\alpha})(Y) \cap Y^{(2)} \cap (I - \tilde{\alpha})(Y). \quad (12)$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that the functions $\varphi_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\Delta_h^3 \varphi_j(y) = 0, \quad h \in H, \quad y \in Y, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (13)$$

It is useful to remark that we received equation (13) without using the fact that the group X contains no elements of order 2.

Since the group X contains no elements of order 2, we have $Y^{(2)} = Y$. It is obvious that $(I + \tilde{\alpha})(Y) = Y^{(m)}$ for some natural m . We can assume that m is the minimum possible. Similarly, $(I - \tilde{\alpha})(Y) = Y^{(n)}$ for some natural n , and we can also assume that n is the minimum possible. It follows from $Y^{(2)} = Y$ that m and n are odd. Since m and n are divisors of $p+q$ and $p-q$ respectively and p and q mutually prime, m and n are also mutually prime. This implies that $H = Y^{(m)} \cap Y^{(n)} = Y^{(mn)}$. Consider the factor-group Y/H . It is obvious that $Y/H \cong \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. Take an element $y_0 \in Y$ in such a way that the coset $y_0 + H$ be a generator of the factor-group Y/H . Then

$$Y = H \cup (y_0 + H) \cup (2y_0 + H) \cup \dots \cup ((mn-1)y_0 + H) \quad (14)$$

is a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup H . Put

$$\psi_{lj}(y) = \varphi_j(l y_0 + y), \quad y \in H, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, mn-1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

It follows from (13) that the functions $\psi_{lj}(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\Delta_h^3 \psi_{lj}(y) = 0, \quad h, y \in H, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, mn-1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

This implies that for any coset $l y_0 + H$ there exists the polynomial

$$P_{lj}(y) = A_{lj}y^2 + B_{lj}y + C_{lj}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (15)$$

on $\mathbb{R}$ with real coefficients such that

$$P_{lj}(y) = \varphi_j(y), \quad y \in l y_0 + H, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, mn-1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

It follows from this that

$$\hat{\nu}_j(y) = \exp\{A_{lj}y^2 + B_{lj}y + C_{lj}\}, \quad y \in l y_0 + H, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, mn-1, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (16)$$

Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ and denote by (s, l) , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ its elements. Define the mapping $\tau : Y \mapsto \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ by the following way: $\tau y = (y, l)$ if $y \in ly_0 + H$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, mn - 1$. Obviously, τ is a monomorphism and the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. Since m and n are divisors of $p + q$ and $p - q$ respectively, and p and q are mutually prime, p is mutually prime with m and with n , and q is mutually prime with m and with n . Hence, $f_p, f_q \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}(mn))$.

Let $y \in ly_0 + H$. If we consider l as an element of the group $\mathbb{Z}(mn)$, and f_p and f_q as automorphisms of the group $\mathbb{Z}(mn)$, then it is easy to see that $\tilde{\alpha}y \in (f_p f_q^{-1}l)y_0 + H$. Therefore, on the one hand, $\tau \tilde{\alpha}y = (\tilde{\alpha}y, f_p f_q^{-1}l) = (f_p f_q^{-1}y, f_p f_q^{-1}l)$. On the other hand, since $\tau y = (y, l)$ and $f_p f_q^{-1}(y, l) = (f_p f_q^{-1}y, f_p f_q^{-1}l)$, we have

$$\tau \tilde{\alpha}y = f_p f_q^{-1} \tau y, \quad y \in Y. \quad (17)$$

Define on the set $\tau(Y)$ the functions $g_j(s, l)$ in the following way. Let $(s, l) = \tau y \in \tau(Y)$. Put $g_j(s, l) = \hat{\nu}_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2$. Since τ is a monomorphism, the functions $g_j(s, l)$ are correctly defined. It follows from (16) that the functions $g_j(s, l)$ are continuous on the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ in the topology induced on $\tau(Y)$ by the topology of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$, and taking into account that the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$, the functions $g_j(s, l)$ can be extended by continuity to some continuous positive definite functions $\bar{g}_j(s, l)$ on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. By the Bochner theorem, there exist the distributions $M_j \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$ such that $\hat{M}_j(s, l) = \bar{g}_j(s, l)$, $(s, l) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. It is obvious that

$$\hat{M}_j(\tau y) = \hat{\nu}_j(y), \quad y \in Y, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (18)$$

It follows from (16) and (18) that the characteristic functions $\hat{M}_j(s, l)$ do not vanish, and hence $\hat{M}_j(s, l) > 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

Note that the character group of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. In order not to complicate the notation we will assume that it coincides with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$. Put $\pi = \tilde{\tau}$, $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn) \mapsto X$. Since the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$, π is a continuous monomorphism generating an isomorphism of the semigroups of distributions $M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$ and $M^1(\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)))$. We shall also denote this isomorphism by π . It follows from (18) that

$$\nu_j = \pi(M_j), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (19)$$

Find a representation for the characteristic functions $\hat{M}_j(s, l)$. It follows from (5) and (18) that

$$\hat{M}_1(\tau u + \tau v) \hat{M}_2(\tau u + \tau \tilde{\alpha}v) = \hat{M}_1(\tau u - \tau v) \hat{M}_2(\tau u - \tau \tilde{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (20)$$

Taking into account (17), we find from (20) that

$$\hat{M}_1(\tau u + \tau v) \hat{M}_2(\tau u + f_p f_q^{-1} \tau v) = \hat{M}_1(\tau u - \tau v) \hat{M}_2(\tau u - f_p f_q^{-1} \tau v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (21)$$

Since the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$, (21) implies that the characteristic functions $\hat{M}_j(s, l)$ on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ satisfy the following Heyde's functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{M}_1(s_1 + s_2, l_1 + l_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2, l_1 + f_p f_q^{-1}l_2) \\ &= \hat{M}_1(s_1 - s_2, l_1 - l_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2, l_1 - f_p f_q^{-1}l_2), \quad (s_j, l_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}(mn) = \mathbb{Z}(m) \times \mathbb{Z}(n)$, and represent an element $l \in \mathbb{Z}(mn)$ in the form $l = (a, b)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. Since m is a divisor of $p + q$, and n is a divisor of $p - q$, it is easy to see that the automorphism $f_p f_q^{-1}$ acts on the group $\mathbb{Z}(m) \times \mathbb{Z}(n)$ in the following way $f_p f_q^{-1}(a, b) = (-a, b)$, and we can write equation (22) in the form

$$\hat{M}_1(s_1 + s_2, a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 - a_2, b_1 + b_2)$$

$$= \hat{M}_1(s_1 - s_2, a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 + a_2, b_1 - b_2), \quad (s_j, a_j, b_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m) \times \mathbb{Z}(n). \quad (23)$$

Putting in () $s_1 = s_2 = 0, a_1 = a_2 = 0$, we get

$$\hat{M}_1(0, 0, b_1 + b_2) \hat{M}_2(0, 0, b_1 + b_2) = \hat{M}_1(0, 0, b_1 - b_2) \hat{M}_2(0, 0, b_1 - b_2), \quad b_j \in \mathbb{Z}(n). \quad (24)$$

Putting in (24) $b_1 = b_2 = b$, we get $\hat{M}_j(0, 0, 2b) = 1$ for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$, and taking into account that n is odd, we conclude that $\hat{M}_j(0, 0, b) = 1, j = 1, 2$, for $b \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. By Lemma 2, this implies that $\hat{M}_j(s, a, b) = \hat{M}_j(s, a, 0)$ for all $(s, a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m) \times \mathbb{Z}(n)$ and $\sigma(M_j) \subset A(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m) \times \mathbb{Z}(n), \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m), j = 1, 2$. Therefore we can write the characteristic function $\hat{M}_j(s, a, b)$ in the form $\hat{M}_j(s, a)$. Then equation () takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{M}_1(s_1 + s_2, a_1 + a_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 - a_2) \\ &= \hat{M}_1(s_1 - s_2, a_1 - a_2) \hat{M}_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 + a_2), \quad (s_j, a_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Put in () $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. Taking into account Lemma 1, we get by Theorem A from the obtaining equation that

$$\hat{M}_j(s, 0) = \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (26)$$

By Lemma 4, $\hat{M}_j(s, a)$ are entire functions for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. As noted above the functions $\hat{M}_j(s, a)$ do not vanish. It follows from (4) and (26) that the entire function $\hat{M}_j(s, a)$ is of at most order 2. Applying the Hadamard theorem on the representation of an entire function of finite order, we obtain that

$$\hat{M}_j(s, a) = \exp\{\alpha_{aj}s^2 + \beta_{aj}s + \gamma_{aj}\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (27)$$

Put

$$\phi_j(s, a) = \alpha_{aj}s^2 + \beta_{aj}s + \gamma_{aj}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (28)$$

It follows from () that the functions $\phi_j(s, a)$ satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_1(s_1 + s_2, a_1 + a_2) + \phi_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 - a_2) \\ & - \phi_1(s_1 - s_2, a_1 - a_2) - \phi_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2, a_1 + a_2) = 0, \quad (s_j, a_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Substituting (28) into () and putting in the obtained equation $a_1 = a_2 = a$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{2a1}(s_1 + s_2)^2 + \beta_{2a1}(s_1 + s_2) + \gamma_{2a1} + \alpha_{02}(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2)^2 + \beta_{02}(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2) + \gamma_{02} \\ & - \alpha_{01}(s_1 - s_2)^2 - \beta_{01}(s_1 - s_2) - \gamma_{01} - \alpha_{2a2}(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2)^2 - \beta_{2a2}(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2) - \gamma_{2a2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Equating the coefficients of s_1^2 and s_2^2 on each side of (), we get

$$\alpha_{2a1} + \alpha_{02} - \alpha_{01} - \alpha_{2a2} = 0, \quad \alpha_{2a1} + (pq^{-1})^2 \alpha_{02} - \alpha_{01} - (pq^{-1})^2 \alpha_{2a2} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Taking into account that $(pq^{-1})^2 \neq 1$ and the fact that m is odd, (31) and (26) imply that $\alpha_{a1} = \alpha_{01} = \sigma_1$ and $\alpha_{a2} = \alpha_{02} = \sigma_2$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. Equating the coefficients of s_1 and s_2 on each side of () we obtain

$$\beta_{2a1} + \beta_{02} - \beta_{01} - \beta_{2a2} = 0, \quad \beta_{2a1} + pq^{-1}\beta_{02} + \beta_{01} + pq^{-1}\beta_{2a2} = 0. \quad (32)$$

It follows from (26) that $\beta_{01} = \beta_{02} = 0$. Taking into account that $pq^{-1} \neq -1$ and the fact that m is odd, (32) implies that $\beta_{a1} = \beta_{a2} = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. Equating the constant term on each side of () we receive

$$\gamma_{2a1} + \gamma_{02} - \gamma_{01} - \gamma_{2a2} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Taking into account that in view of (26) $\gamma_{01} = \gamma_{02} = 0$ and the fact that m is odd, (33) implies that $\gamma_{a1} = \gamma_{a2}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. Put $\gamma_{a1} = \gamma_{a2} = c_a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. Thus, we proved that the characteristic functions $\hat{M}_j(s, a)$ have the following representation

$$\hat{M}_j(s, a) = \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2 + c_a\}, \quad (s, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (34)$$

It follows from (19) that the distributions ν_j are concentrated on the subgroup $\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$. By Lemma 3, the distributions μ_j can be substituted by their shifts μ'_j in such a manner that $\nu_j = \mu'_j * \bar{\mu}'_j$ and the distributions μ'_j are also concentrated on the subgroup $\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$. Since π is an isomorphism of the semigroups of distributions $M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn))$ and $M^1(\pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(mn)))$, we have

$$M_j = \pi^{-1}(\nu_j) = \pi^{-1}(\mu'_j) * \pi^{-1}(\bar{\mu}'_j) = \pi^{-1}(\mu'_j) * \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mu'_j)}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (35)$$

Put $N_j = \pi^{-1}(\mu'_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. We find from (35) that

$$\hat{M}_j(s, a) = \hat{N}_j(s, a) \overline{\hat{N}_j(s, a)}, \quad (s, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (36)$$

Putting in (36) $a = 0$ and taking into account (26), by the Cramér theorem on decomposition of the Gaussian distribution, we get

$$\hat{N}_j(s, 0) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2}s^2 + ib_{0j}s\right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (37)$$

where $b_{0j} \in \mathbb{R}$. We will assume without loss of generality that $b_{0j} = 0$.

By Lemma 4, $\hat{N}_j(s, a)$ are entire functions for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. It follows from (34) and (36) that they do not vanish, and (4) and (37) imply that the entire function $\hat{N}_j(s, a)$ is of at most order 2 and type $\frac{\sigma_j}{2}$. Applying the Hadamard theorem on the representation of an entire function of finite order, (34) and (36), we obtain that

$$\hat{N}_j(s, a) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2}s^2 + ib_{aj}s + d_{aj}\right\}, \quad (s, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (38)$$

where $b_{aj} \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (38) that

$$\hat{N}_j(-iy + x, a) / \hat{N}_j(-iy, 0) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2}x^2 + b_{aj}y + \sigma_j ixy + b_{aj}ix + d_{aj}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 4, the function $\hat{N}_j(-iy + x, a) / \hat{N}_j(-iy, 0)$ for any fixed y is a characteristic function of variable $(x, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m)$. Thus, in particular, for any y the inequality $|\hat{N}_j(-iy + x, a) / \hat{N}_j(-iy, 0)| \leq 1$ holds true. It follows from this that $b_{aj} = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$, and (38) implies that

$$\hat{N}_j(s, a) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2}s^2 + d_{aj}\right\}, \quad (s, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (39)$$

Let P_j be the Gaussian distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ with the characteristic functions $\hat{P}_j(s) = \exp\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2}s^2\}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by Q_j the distributions on $\mathbb{Z}(m)$ with the characteristic functions $\hat{Q}_j(a) = \exp\{d_{aj}\}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}(m)$. It follows from (39) that $N_j = P_j * Q_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and hence, $\mu'_j = \pi(N_j) = \pi(P_j) * \pi(Q_j)$. It is obvious that $\pi(P_j) \in \Gamma(X)$. Verify that $\pi(\mathbb{Z}(m)) = K$. We have

$$A(Y, K) = (I + \tilde{\alpha})(Y) = Y^{(m)}. \quad (40)$$

Note that (14) implies the equality

$$Y^{(m)} = H \cup (my_0 + H) \cup (2my_0 + H) \cup \dots \cup ((n-1)my_0 + H),$$

and hence, the equivalence

$$y \in Y^{(m)} \Leftrightarrow \tau y \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}(n). \quad (41)$$

We have, $y \in A(Y, \pi(\mathbb{Z}(m))) \Leftrightarrow (g, \tau y) = 1$ for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}(m) \Leftrightarrow \tau y \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}(n)$. Taking into account (40) and (41), this implies the equality $A(Y, \pi(\mathbb{Z}(m))) = A(Y, K)$, and hence, $\pi(\mathbb{Z}(m)) = K$. Thus, $\pi(Q_j) \in M^1(K)$. So, we proved that $\mu'_j \in \Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$, and hence $\mu_j \in \Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$, i.e. $\mu_j = \lambda_j * \rho_j$, where $\lambda_j \in \Gamma(X)$, $\rho_j \in M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Let the characteristic functions $\hat{\lambda}_j(y)$ be of the form

$$\hat{\lambda}_j(y) = (x_j, y) \exp\{-\sigma_j y^2\}, \quad y \in Y \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (42)$$

Put $L = A(Y, K)$ and note that $\tilde{\alpha}(L) = L$. Since $\rho_j \in M^1(K)$, we have $\hat{\rho}_j(y) = 1$, $y \in L$, $j = 1, 2$. Consider the restriction of Heyde's functional equation (3) for the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ to L . Taking into account (42), we find from the obtained equation that

$$\sigma_1 + pq^{-1}\sigma_2 = 0, \quad (43)$$

and hence,

$$(x_1, u + v)(x_2, u + \tilde{\alpha}v) = (x_1, u - v)(x_2, u - \tilde{\alpha}v) \quad u, v \in L. \quad (44)$$

It follows from (44) that $2(x_1 + \alpha x_2) \in K$. Since $f_2 \in \text{Aut}(X)$, we have $x_1 + \alpha x_2 \in K$. Put $x_0 = x_1 + \alpha x_2$, $\gamma_1 = \lambda_1 * E_{-x_0}$, $\omega_1 = \rho_1 * E_{x_0}$, $\gamma_2 = \lambda_2$, $\omega_2 = \rho_2$. It is obvious that $\mu_j = \gamma_j * \omega_j$ and $\omega_j \in M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$. It is easy to see that the characteristic functions $\hat{\gamma}_j(y)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3). Hence, the characteristic functions $\hat{\omega}_j(y)$ also satisfy equation (3). We have

$$\hat{\omega}_1(u + v)\hat{\omega}_2(u + \tilde{\alpha}v) = \hat{\omega}_1(u - v)\hat{\omega}_2(u - \tilde{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (45)$$

We consider here ω_j as distributions on the group X . Denote by M the character group of the group K . Since $\omega_j \in M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\alpha x = -x$ for any $x \in K$, we can consider ω_j as distributions on the group K . Then equation (45) takes the form

$$\hat{\omega}_1(u + v)\hat{\omega}_2(u - v) = \hat{\omega}_1(u - v)\hat{\omega}_2(u + v), \quad u, v \in M.$$

Putting here $u = v = y$, we get $\hat{\omega}_1(2y) = \hat{\omega}_2(2y)$, $y \in M$. Since $K \cong \mathbb{Z}(m)$, and m is odd, this implies that $\hat{\omega}_1(y) = \hat{\omega}_2(y)$, $y \in M$, and hence $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega$. Thus, $\mu_j = \gamma_j * \omega$, where $\gamma_j \in \Gamma(X)$, $\omega \in M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Assume now that $\alpha > 0$. Obviously, (43) implies that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$, i.e. γ_j are degenerate distributions, and hence, the distributions μ_j are of the required form. Thus, we proved Theorem 1 assuming that $\alpha \neq \pm I$.

It still remains the cases when $\alpha = \pm I$. Note that since the group X contains no elements of order 2, we have $Y^{(2)} = Y$. Let $\alpha = I$. Substituting in equation (5) $\alpha = I$, $u = v = y$, we receive $\hat{\nu}_1(2y) = \hat{\nu}_2(2y) = 1$, $y \in Y$. Hence, $\hat{\nu}_1(y) = \hat{\nu}_2(y) = 1$, $y \in Y$, and this means that $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = E_0$. Therefore, μ_j are degenerate distributions. In this case Theorem 1 is also proved. Let $\alpha = -I$. Then $K = X$. Substituting in equation (3) $\alpha = -I$, $u = v = y$, we obtain $\hat{\mu}_1(2y) = \hat{\mu}_2(2y)$, $y \in Y$. Hence, $\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_2(y)$, $y \in Y$, and it means that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. Theorem 1 is completely proved. $\square$

Remark 1. Consider an α -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_\alpha$, and assume that X contains no elements of order 2. Denote by Y the character group of the group X . Let $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ be a topological automorphism of the group X . Put $K = \text{Ker}(I + \alpha)$. Theorem 1 can not be strengthened. Indeed, let γ_j be Gaussian distributions on the group X with the characteristic functions of the form

$$\hat{\gamma}_j(y) = (x_j, y) \exp\{-\sigma_j y^2\}, \quad y \in Y,$$

where $x_1 + \alpha x_2 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 + pq^{-1}\sigma_2 = 0$. Let $\omega \in M^1(K)$. Put $\mu_j = \gamma_j * \omega$, $j = 1, 2$. It easily follows from Lemma 1 that if ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 , then the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric. Thus, we can not narrow the class of distributions in Theorem 1 which is characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 .

4. Heyde's characterisation theorem for α -adic solenoids containing an element of order 2

Let $X = \Sigma_{\alpha}$ and $G = X_{(2)}$. We discuss in this section the Heyde characterisation theorem for α -adic solenoids containing an element of order 2, i.e. the case when $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let α be a topological automorphism of the group X . Put $K = \text{Ker}(I + \alpha)$. It is easy to see that $K \supset G$ for any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. First we shall prove that generally speaking, Theorem 1 fails if the group X contains an element of order 2. Secondly, in the case when $K = G$ we give a complete description of distributions which are characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. It turns out that the corresponding class of distributions is wider than the class $\Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$.

Let Y be the character group of the group X . Note that a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup $Y^{(2)}$ consists of two cosets. Let $\mu \in M^1(X)$. It is easy to see that $\mu \in \Gamma(X) * M^1(G)$ if and only if the characteristic function $\hat{\mu}(y)$ is represented in the form

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \begin{cases} (x, y) \exp\{-\sigma y^2\}, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ (x, y) \kappa \exp\{-\sigma y^2\}, & y \notin Y^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

where $x \in X$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\kappa| \leq 1$. Introduce into consideration a class of distributions on the group X which is wider than the class $\Gamma(X) * M^1(G)$.

Definition 1. Let $X = \Sigma_{\alpha}$, $G = X_{(2)}$. Assume that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let Y be the character group of the group X . We say that a distribution μ on the group X belongs to the class Υ if its characteristic function can be represented in the form

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \begin{cases} (x, y) \exp\{-\sigma y^2 + i\beta y\}, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ (x, y) \kappa \exp\{-\sigma' y^2 + i\beta' y\}, & y \notin Y^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

for some $x \in X$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $\sigma' \geq 0$, $\beta, \beta', \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\kappa| \leq 1$.

Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Denote by (t, k) , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}(2)$, its elements. The character group of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ is topologically isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Denote by (s, l) , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}(2)$, elements of the character group of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let $\mu \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}) * M^1(\mathbb{Z}(2))$. It is easy to see that the characteristic function of the distribution μ is of the form

$$\hat{\mu}(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma s^2 + i\beta s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 0, \\ \kappa \exp\{-\sigma s^2 + i\beta s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\kappa| \leq 1$. Introduce into consideration a class of distributions on the group X which is wider than $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}) * M^1(\mathbb{Z}(2))$. We need this class of distributions to study distributions on α -adic solenoids containing an element of order 2 which are characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form of independent random variables given another.

Lemma 5 . Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let $f(s, l)$ be a function on the character group of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ of the form

$$f(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma s^2 + i\beta s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 0, \\ \kappa \exp\{-\sigma' s^2 + i\beta' s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 1, \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\sigma' \geq 0$, $\beta, \beta', \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f(s, l)$ is the characteristic function of a signed measure μ on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Moreover, μ is a measure if and only if either $0 < \sigma' < \sigma$ and $0 < |\kappa| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\beta-\beta')^2}{4(\sigma-\sigma')}\right\}$ or $\sigma' = \sigma$, $\beta = \beta'$ and $|\kappa| \leq 1$. In the latter case $\mu \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}) * M^1(\mathbb{Z}(2))$.

Proof. Obviously, we can prove the lemma assuming that $\kappa \neq 0$. Multiplying if necessary the function $f(s, l)$ by a suitable character of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\kappa > 0$. Let $a \geq 0$. Denote by γ_a the Gaussian distribution on the group $\mathbb{R}$ with the characteristic function

$$\hat{\gamma}_a(s) = \exp\{-as^2\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (47)$$

Consider on the group $\mathbb{R}$ the measure $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\sigma * E_\beta + \kappa \gamma_{\sigma'} * E_{\beta'})$ and the signed measure $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\sigma * E_\beta - \kappa \gamma_{\sigma'} * E_{\beta'})$. Define a signed measure μ on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ in the following way

$$\mu\{(E, 0)\} = \lambda_1\{E\}, \quad \mu\{(E, 1)\} = \lambda_2\{E\},$$

where E is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Taking into account that $\hat{\lambda}_1(s) + \hat{\lambda}_2(s) = \hat{\gamma}_\sigma(s) \exp\{i\beta s\}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_1(s) - \hat{\lambda}_2(s) = \kappa \hat{\gamma}_{\sigma'}(s) \exp\{i\beta' s\}$, we have

$$\hat{\mu}(s, l) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)} e^{its} d\mu(t, k) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}} e^{its} d\mu(t, 0) + \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}} e^{its} d\mu(t, 1) = f(s, l).$$

Thus, $f(s, l)$ is the characteristic function of the signed measure μ , and the signed measure μ is a measure if and only if the signed measure λ_2 is a measure. It is obvious that if the signed measure λ_2 is a measure, then either $\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma' > 0$ or $\sigma = \sigma' = 0$. It is clear that if $\sigma = \sigma' = 0$, then the signed measure μ is a measure if and only if $\beta = \beta'$ and $\kappa \leq 1$. The statement of the lemma is proved in this case.

Let $\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma' > 0$. Let $a > 0$. The density of the distribution γ_a is of the form

$$\rho_a(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi a}} \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{4a}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (48)$$

Taking into account (48), the signed measure λ_2 is a measure if and only if the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(t-\beta)^2}{4\sigma}\right\} - \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{\pi\sigma'}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(t-\beta')^2}{4\sigma'}\right\} \geq 0$$

holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This inequality is equivalent to the following

$$\kappa \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(t-\beta)^2}{4\sigma} + \frac{(t-\beta')^2}{4\sigma'}\right\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (49)$$

Let $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. Since $\kappa > 0$, we have $\sigma' < \sigma$. The function in the right-hand side of inequality (49) reaches its minimum at $t = \frac{\sigma\beta' - \sigma'\beta}{\sigma - \sigma'}$, and this minimum is equal to $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\beta-\beta')^2}{4(\sigma-\sigma')}\right\}$. Assume that $\sigma = \sigma'$. Then (49) implies that $\beta = \beta'$ and $\kappa \leq 1$. Thus, the signed measure λ_2 , and hence, the signed measure

μ , is a measure if and only if either $\sigma' < \sigma$ and $d \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\beta - \beta')^2}{4(\sigma - \sigma')} \right\}$ or $\sigma' = \sigma$, $\beta = \beta'$ and $d \leq 1$. It is also obvious that in the latter case $\mu \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}) * M^1(\mathbb{Z}(2))$. Lemma 5 is proved.

We also note that if in (46) $\sigma > 0$ and $\kappa = 0$, then $f(s, l)$ is the characteristic function of a convolution of a non-degenerate Gaussian distribution on the group $\mathbb{R}$ and the Haar distribution on $\mathbb{Z}(2)$. $\square$

We prove now that generally speaking, Theorem 1 fails if the group $X = \Sigma_a$ contains an element of order 2.

Proposition 1. *Let $X = \Sigma_a$, $G = X_{(2)}$. Assume that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let α be a topological automorphism of the group X , $\alpha < 0$, $\alpha \neq -I$. Put $K = \text{Ker}(I + \alpha)$. Then there exist independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in the group X and distributions $\mu_j \in \Upsilon$, $\mu_j \notin \Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$, with nonvanishing characteristic functions such that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let $a \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$. It is obvious that a is of the form $a(t, k) = (c_a t, k)$, where $c_a \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_a \neq 0$. We will identify a and c_a , i.e. we will write $a(t, k) = (at, k)$ and assume that $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$. The converse is also true. A nonzero real number corresponds to a topological automorphism of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. We remark that if $a \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$, then $\tilde{a}$ is of the form $\tilde{a}(s, l) = (as, l)$, i.e. $\tilde{a} = a$.

Choose numbers σ_j , σ'_j and κ in such a way that they satisfy the conditions: $0 < \sigma'_j < \sigma_j$, $0 < \kappa \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'_j}{\sigma_j}}$, $j = 1, 2$. Consider on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ the functions

$$f_j(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 0, \\ \kappa \exp\{-\sigma'_j s^2\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

By Lemma 5, there exist distributions $\lambda_j \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_j(s, l) = f_j(s, l)$. It is obvious that $\lambda_j \notin \Gamma(\mathbb{R}) * M^1(\mathbb{Z}(2))$, $j = 1, 2$. By the condition $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ for some mutually prime p and q , where $f_p, f_q \in \text{Aut}(X)$. It follows from $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$, that p and q are odd, and hence f_p and f_q are topological automorphisms of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Since $\alpha < 0$, we can assume that the conditions

$$\sigma_1 + pq^{-1}\sigma_2 = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$\sigma'_1 + pq^{-1}\sigma'_2 = 0 \quad (52)$$

hold. Verify that the characteristic functions $\hat{\lambda}_j(s, l)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3). Put $u = (s_1, l_1)$, $v = (s_2, l_2)$. Let either $u, v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ or $u, v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$. Then $u \pm v, u \pm \alpha v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$, and taking into account (50), equality (3) for these u, v is satisfied if the equality

$$\sigma_1(s_1 + s_2)^2 + \sigma_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2)^2 = \sigma_1(s_1 - s_2)^2 + \sigma_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2)^2, \quad s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

holds true. But this equality follows from (51). Let either $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$, $v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$ or $u \in \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$, $v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. Then $u \pm v, u \pm \alpha v \in \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$, and taking into account (50), equality (3) for these u, v is satisfied if the equality

$$\sigma'_1(s_1 + s_2)^2 + \sigma'_2(s_1 + pq^{-1}s_2)^2 = \sigma'_1(s_1 - s_2)^2 + \sigma'_2(s_1 - pq^{-1}s_2)^2, \quad s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

is valid. But this equality follows from (52). It is obvious that we exhausted all possibilities for u and v . Thus, we verified that the characteristic functions $\hat{\lambda}_j(l, s)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3).

Let ζ_1 and ζ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ and distributions λ_1 and λ_2 . Since the characteristic functions $\hat{\lambda}_j(l, s)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3), by Lemma 1, the conditional distribution of the linear form $T_2 = \zeta_1 + \alpha\zeta_2$ given $T_1 = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ is symmetric.

Denote by Y the character group of the group X . Let $y_0 \notin Y^{(2)}$ and $Y = Y^{(2)} \cup (y_0 + Y^{(2)})$ be a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup $Y^{(2)}$. Define the mapping $\tau : Y \mapsto \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ by the formula

$$\tau(y) = \begin{cases} (y, 0), & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ (y, 1), & y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

It is obvious that τ is a homomorphism. Put $\pi = \tilde{\tau}$, $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2) \mapsto X$. Since the subgroup $\tau(Y)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$, π is a monomorphism. It is easy to see that

$$\alpha\pi(t, k) = \pi f_p f_q^{-1}(t, k), \quad (t, k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2). \quad (54)$$

Put $\xi_j = \pi(\zeta_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then ξ_j are independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions $\mu_j = \pi(\lambda_j)$. We have $\hat{\mu}_j(y) = \hat{\lambda}_j(\tau(y))$, and (50) and (53) imply that

$$\hat{\mu}_j(y) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma_j y^2\}, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ \kappa \exp\{-\sigma'_j y^2\}, & y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (55)$$

Obviously, the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \pi(T_2)$ given $L_1 = \pi(T_1)$ is symmetric. We have $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, and it follows from (54) that $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$. Definition 1 and (55) imply that $\mu_j \in \Upsilon$. Since $\sigma_j \neq \sigma'_j$ and $\alpha \neq -I$, we have $\mu_j \notin \Gamma(X) * M^1(K)$, $j = 1, 2$. Proposition 1 is proved. $\square$

Remark 2. We consider here the case when $\alpha = -I$ and complete Proposition 1 by the following statement.

*Let $X = \Sigma_a$, $G = X_{(2)}$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. The conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 - \xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric if and only if either $\mu_1 = \mu_2 * \lambda$ or $\mu_2 = \mu_1 * \lambda$, where $\lambda \in M^1(G)$.*

Let Y be the character group of the group X , $y_0 \notin Y^{(2)}$ and let $Y = Y^{(2)} \cup (y_0 + Y^{(2)})$ be a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup $Y^{(2)}$. Assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 is symmetric. By Lemma 1, the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy Heyde's functional equation (3) which takes the form

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u+v)\hat{\mu}_2(u-v) = \hat{\mu}_1(u-v)\hat{\mu}_2(u+v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (56)$$

Substituting $u = v = y$ in equation (56), we get $\hat{\mu}_1(2y) = \hat{\mu}_2(2y)$, $y \in Y$. Hence,

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_2(y), \quad y \in Y^{(2)}. \quad (57)$$

Substituting $u = y$, $v = y + y_0$ in equation (56), we obtain

$$\hat{\mu}_1(2y + y_0)\hat{\mu}_2(y_0) = \hat{\mu}_1(y_0)\hat{\mu}_2(2y + y_0), \quad y \in Y. \quad (58)$$

Assume that $|\hat{\mu}_1(y_0)| \leq |\hat{\mu}_2(y_0)|$. We find from (58) that

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_1(2y + y_0)}{\hat{\mu}_2(2y + y_0)} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1(y_0)}{\hat{\mu}_2(y_0)}, \quad y \in Y. \quad (59)$$

Put $d = \hat{\mu}_1(y_0)/\hat{\mu}_2(y_0)$. It follows from $\hat{\mu}_j(-y) = \overline{\hat{\mu}_j(y)}$, $y \in Y$, that d is a real number, and (59) implies that

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = d\hat{\mu}_2(y), \quad y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}. \quad (60)$$

Denote by λ a distribution on G with the characteristic function

$$\hat{\lambda}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ d, & y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}. \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

It follows from (57), (60) and (61) that $\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_2(y)\hat{\lambda}(y)$, $y \in Y$, and hence $\mu_1 = \mu_2 * \lambda$.

The converse statement follows directly from Lemma 1.

In the case when $K = G$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$ we give a complete description of distributions which are characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of the linear form L_2 given L_1 .

Theorem 2. *Let $X = \Sigma_a$, $G = X_{(2)}$. Let α be a topological automorphism of the group X . Put $K = \text{Ker}(I + \alpha)$. Assume that $K = G$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Assume that the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric. Then $\mu_j \in \Upsilon$, $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, if $\alpha > 0$, then some sifts of the distributions μ_j are supported in G .*

To prove Theorem 2 we need such lemmas.

Lemma 6 ([16]). *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, α be a topological automorphism of X . Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X . If the conditional distribution of the linear form $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ given $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is symmetric, then the linear forms $L'_1 = (I + \alpha)\xi_1 + 2\alpha\xi_2$ and $L'_2 = 2\xi_1 + (I + \alpha)\xi_2$ are independent.*

It is well-known that the Gaussian distribution on the real line is characterized by the independence of two linear forms of n independent random variables. We need a group analogue of this theorem for $n = 2$.

Lemma 7 ([2], see also [6, Theorem 10.3]). *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group containing no subgroup topologically isomorphic to the circle group $\mathbb{T}$. Let α_j, β_j be topological automorphisms of the group X . Assume that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Then the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2$ implies that $\mu_j \in \Gamma(X)$, $j = 1, 2$.*

We will prove now that the characteristic functions of divisors of a distribution on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ with the nonvanishing characteristic function of the form (46) have the similar representation.

Lemma 8 . *Let $N \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$ and the characteristic function $\hat{N}(s, l) = f(s, l)$ is represented in the form (46), where either $0 < \sigma' < \sigma$ and $0 < |\kappa| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\beta - \beta')^2}{4(\sigma - \sigma')}\right\}$ or $\sigma' = \sigma$, $\beta = \beta'$ and $0 < |\kappa| \leq 1$. Let $N = P_1 * P_2$, where $P_j \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$. Then each of the characteristic functions $\hat{P}_j(s, l)$ is of the form*

$$\hat{P}_j(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2 + i\beta_j s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 0, \\ \kappa_j \exp\{-\sigma'_j s^2 + i\beta'_j s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, l = 1, \end{cases}$$

where either $0 < \sigma'_j < \sigma_j$ and $0 < |\kappa_j| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma'_j}{\sigma_j}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\beta_j - \beta'_j)^2}{4(\sigma_j - \sigma'_j)}\right\}$, or $\sigma'_j = \sigma_j$, $\beta_j = \beta'_j$ and $0 < |\kappa_j| \leq 1$.

Proof. We have

$$\hat{N}(s, l) = \hat{P}_1(s, l) \hat{P}_2(s, l), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}(2). \quad (62)$$

Putting in (62) $l = 0$, we obtain from (46) by the Cramér theorem on decomposition of the Gaussian distribution on the real line,

$$\hat{P}_j(s, 0) = \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2 + i\beta_j s\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (63)$$

where $\sigma_j \geq 0$, $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 4, $\hat{P}_j(s, 1)$ are entire functions, and (46) and (62) imply that they do not vanish. It follows from (4) and (63) that the entire function $\hat{P}_j(s, 1)$ is of at most order 2 and type σ_j . Taking into account that $\hat{P}_j(-s, 1) = \overline{\hat{P}_j(s, 1)}$, by the Hadamard theorem on the representation of an entire function of finite order and Lemma 5, we obtain the desired statement. Lemma 8 is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote by Y the character group of the group X and consider $Y = H_a$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\alpha = f_p f_q^{-1}$ for some mutually prime p and q , where $f_p, f_q \in \text{Aut}(X)$. It follows from $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$ that p and q are odd. Consider the distributions $\nu_j = \mu_j * \bar{\mu}_j$ and the functions $\varphi_j(y) = \log \hat{\nu}_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the functions $\varphi_j(y)$ satisfy equation (13), where H is of the form (12). Since $G \cong \mathbb{Z}(2)$ and $K = G$, it is easy to see that

$$I + \alpha = f_2 \beta, \quad (64)$$

where $\beta \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Since p and q are odd, (12) and (64) imply that $H = (I - \tilde{\alpha})(Y) = Y^{(n)}$, where n is even, and we can assume that n is the minimum possible. Consider the factor-group Y/H . It is obvious that $Y/H \cong \mathbb{Z}(n)$. Take an element $y_0 \in Y$ in such a way that the coset $y_0 + H$ is a generator of the factor-group Y/H . Let

$$Y = H \cup (y_0 + H) \cup (2y_0 + H) \cup \dots \cup ((n-1)y_0 + H)$$

be a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup H . It follows from (13) that for any coset $ly_0 + H$ there exist polynomials $P_{lj}(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with real coefficients of the form (15) such that

$$P_{lj}(y) = \varphi_j(y), \quad y \in ly_0 + H, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (65)$$

By Lemma 6, the linear forms $L'_1 = (I + \alpha)\xi_1 + 2\alpha\xi_2$ and $L'_2 = 2\xi_1 + (I + \alpha)\xi_2$ are independent. Consider the new independent random variables $\eta_j = 2\xi_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Taking into account (64), the linear forms L'_1 and L'_2 can be written as follows $L'_1 = \beta\eta_1 + \alpha\eta_2$ and $L'_2 = \eta_1 + \beta\eta_2$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and the group X contains no subgroup topologically isomorphic to the circle group $\mathbb{T}$, by Lemma 7, the random variables η_j have Gaussian distributions. This easily implies that

$$\varphi_j(y) = -\sigma_j y^2, \quad y \in Y^{(2)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (66)$$

where $\sigma_j \geq 0$.

It is obvious that $Y = Y^{(2)} \cup (y_0 + Y^{(2)})$ is a decomposition of the group Y with respect to the subgroup $Y^{(2)}$. It follows from (66) and the inequality

$$|\hat{\nu}_j(u) - \hat{\nu}_j(v)|^2 \leq 2(1 - \text{Re } \hat{\nu}_j(u - v)), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

which holds for any characteristic function on the group Y , that each of the functions $\hat{\nu}_j(y)$ is uniformly continuous on the coset $y_0 + Y^{(2)}$ in the topology induced on $y_0 + Y^{(2)}$ by the topology of the group $\mathbb{R}$. Since

$$y_0 + Y^{(2)} = (y_0 + H) \cup (3y_0 + H) \cup \dots \cup ((y_0 - 1)c + H)$$

and each coset $ly_0 + H$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$, taking into account (65) this implies that there exist polynomials $A_j y^2 + B_j y + C_j$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$P_{lj}(y) = A_j y^2 + B_j y + C_j, \quad y \in ly_0 + H, \quad l = 1, 3, \dots, n-1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

and hence, the representations

$$\varphi_j(y) = A_j y^2 + B_j y + C_j, \quad y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (67)$$

hold. Since $\varphi_j(-y) = \varphi_j(y)$, $y \in Y$, we have $B_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Put $A_j = -\sigma'_j$, $\kappa_j = e^{C_j}$. As a result, (66) and (67) imply the representations

$$\hat{\nu}_j(y) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma_j y^2\}, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ \kappa_j \exp\{-\sigma'_j y^2\}, & y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}, \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

where $0 < \kappa_j \leq 1$, $\sigma_j \geq 0$, $\sigma'_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

By Lemma 5, there exist signed measures M_j on the group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ with the characteristic functions of the form

$$\hat{M}_j(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l = 0, \\ \kappa_j \exp\{-\sigma'_j s^2\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l = 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

Let a homomorphism $\tau : Y \mapsto \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ is defined by formula (53), and $\pi = \tilde{\tau}$, $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2) \mapsto X$. Taking into account (53), it follows from (68) and (69) that $\nu_j = \pi(M_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Since π is a continuous monomorphism, the signed measures M_j are measures. Obviously, the distributions ν_j are concentrated on the Borel subgroup $F = \pi(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$. By Lemma 3, the distributions μ_j can be substituted by their shifts μ'_j in such a way that the distributions μ'_j are also concentrated on F and $\nu_j = \mu'_j * \bar{\mu}'_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Put $N_j = \pi^{-1}(\mu'_j) \in M^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}(2))$. It is obvious that $M_j = N_j * \bar{N}_j$. By Lemma 8, the characteristic functions $\hat{N}_j(s, l)$ are of the form

$$\hat{N}_j(s, l) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2} s^2 + i\beta_j s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l = 0, \\ \sqrt{\kappa_j} \exp\{-\frac{\sigma'_j}{2} s^2 + i\beta'_j s\}, & s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l = 1, \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

where $\beta_j, \beta'_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2$. Since $\mu'_j = \pi(N_j)$, we have $\hat{\mu}'_j(y) = \hat{N}_j(\tau(y))$ and it follows from (53) and (70) that the characteristic functions $\hat{\mu}'_j(y)$ are of the form

$$\hat{\mu}'_j(y) = \begin{cases} \exp\{-\frac{\sigma_j}{2} y^2 + i\beta_j y\}, & y \in Y^{(2)}, \\ \sqrt{\kappa_j} \exp\{-\frac{\sigma'_j}{2} y^2 + i\beta'_j y\}, & y \in y_0 + Y^{(2)}, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\mu_j \in \Upsilon$, $j = 1, 2$.

Let $\alpha > 0$. Assuming that $u, v \in Y^{(2)}$, substitute (66) in (6). We obtain $\sigma_1 + pq^{-1}\sigma_2 = 0$, and this implies that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$. Hence, $\hat{\nu}_j(y) = 1$, $y \in Y^{(2)}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\sigma(\nu_j) \subset A(X, Y^{(2)}) = X_{(2)} = G$, $j = 1, 2$. It means by Lemma 3, that some shifts of the distributions μ_j are supported in G . Theorem 2 is completely proved. $\square$

References

- [1] G.M. Feldman. Arithmetic of probability distributions and characterization problems on Abelian groups. Transl. Math. Monographs, **116**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [2] G.M. Feldman. A characterization of the Gaussian distribution on Abelian groups. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **126**, 91–102 (2003).
- [3] G.M. Feldman. On the Heyde theorem for finite Abelian groups. J. Theoretical Probab., **17**, 929–941 (2004).
- [4] G.M. Feldman. On a characterization theorem for locally compact abelian groups. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **133**, 345–357 (2005).
- [5] G.M. Feldman. On the Heyde theorem for discrete Abelian groups. Studia Math., **177**, 67–79 (2006).
- [6] G.M. Feldman. Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, **5**, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2008.
- [7] G.M. Feldman. The Heyde theorem for locally compact Abelian groups. J. Funct. Anal., **258**, 3977–3987 (2010).
- [8] G.M. Feldman. On a characterization of convolutions of Gaussian and Haar distributions. Math. Nachr., **286**, 340–348 (2013).
- [9] G. M. Feldman. On a characterization theorem for the group of p -adic numbers. Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, **87**, 147–166 (2015).
- [10] G.M. Feldman. On the Heyde theorem for some locally compact Abelian groups. Doklady Mathematics, **95**, 147–150 (2017).
- [11] G.M. Feldman. The Heyde characterization theorem on some locally compact Abelian groups. Theory Probab. Appl. (in Russian), **62**, 499–517 (2017).
- [12] E. Hewitt, and K.A. Ross. Abstract Harmonic Analysis, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Gottingen, Heidelberg, 1963.
- [13] C.C. Heyde. Characterization of the normal law by the symmetry of a certain conditional distribution. Sankhya, **32**, Ser. A. 115–118 (1970).
- [14] A. M. Kagan, Yu. V. Linnik, C.R. Rao. Characterization problems in mathematical statistics, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1973.
- [15] M. V. Myronyuk. Heyde’s characterization theorem for discrete abelian groups. J. Aust. Math. Soc., **88**, 93–102 (2010).
- [16] M.V. Myronyuk. The Heyde theorem on α -adic solenoids. Colloquium Mathematicum, **132**, 195–210 (2013).
- [17] M.V. Myronyuk, G.M. Feldman. On a characterization theorem on finite abelian groups. Siberian Math. J., **46**, 315–324 (2005).

- [18] K.R. Parthasarathy. Probability measures on metric spaces, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.