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#### Abstract

Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ be two hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Then there exists a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. As an application, this result generalizes the work by Gillespie in an exact case. Moreover, it highlights new phenomena when it applied to triangulated categories.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category. Hovey [H1 showed that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between abelian model structures on $\mathcal{C}$ and triples $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ having $\mathcal{W}$ is thick and admitting two complete cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R})$ and $(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$. In this case, the class $\mathcal{Q}$ is precisely the class of cofibrant objects, $\mathcal{R}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects in the abelian model structure on $\mathcal{C}$. Given such a triple $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$, called a Hovey triple, denote the associated cotorsion pairs by $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})=(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})=(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$. Then one has (1) $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and (2) $\widetilde{Q} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. It holds in the general setting of when $\mathcal{C}$ is a weakly idempotent complete exact category. Gillespie [G1] proved that Hovey's correspondence carries over to this setting.

Gillespie [G2] proved that there exists a converse when the cotorsion pairs above are hereditary. That is, if $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ are complete hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions (1) and (2) above, then there is a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple.

Extriangulated categories were recently introduced by Nakaoka and Palu (NP] by extracting those properties of Ext ${ }^{1}$ on exact categories (which is itself a generalisation of the concept of a module category and an abelian category) and on triangulated categories that seem relevant from the point of view of cotorsion pairs. In particular, exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories. There are a lot of examples of extriangulated categories which are neither exact triangulated categories nor triangulated categories, see [NP, (ZZ]. Hence, many results hold on exact categories and triangulated categories can be unified in the same framework.

[^0]Motivated by Hovey's correspondence, we introduce the notion of extriangulated model structures, which are a generalization of abelian model structures.

Definition 1.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category, and $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R}$ three classes of objects in $\mathcal{C}$.
(1) $\mathcal{W}$ is called thick if it is closed under direct summands and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property: for any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \rightarrow$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with two terms in $\mathcal{W}$, the third term belongs to $\mathcal{W}$ as well.
(2) A triple $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is called extriangulated model structures if $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R})$ and $(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ are two cotorsion pairs and $\mathcal{W}$ is thick. In this case, a triple $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is also called Hovey.

In this article we will generalise Gillespie's results [G2] into the extriangulated case. Moreover, our proof is not far from the usual exact case. We hope that our work will motivate and further study on exangulated categories.

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.2. (See Theorem 3.10 for more details) Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ be two hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Then there exists a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. Moreover, this thick class $\mathcal{W}$ can be described in the two following ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W} & =\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } X \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text { with } R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\} \\
& =\left\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } R^{\prime} \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text { with } R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main result generalizes Gillespie's results on an exact category and is new for a triangulated category case.

This article is organised as follows: In Section 2, we review some basic concepts and results concerning extriangulated categories. In Section 3, we show our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us briefly recall the definition and basic properties of extriangulated categories from [NP].
Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is equipped with an additive bifunctor

$$
\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A b
$$

where $A b$ is the category of abelian groups. For any pair of objects $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, an element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-extension. Thus formally, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension is a triplet $(A, \delta, C)$. Let $(A, \delta, C)$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-extension. Since $\mathbb{E}$ is a bifunctor, for any $a \in \mathcal{C}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}\left(C^{\prime}, C\right)$, we have $\mathbb{E}$-extensions

$$
\mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}\left(C, A^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A\right)
$$

We abbreviately denote them by $a_{*} \delta$ and $c^{*} \delta$. For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, the zero element $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called the spilt $\mathbb{E}$-extension.

Definition 2.1. [NP, Definition 2.3] Let $(A, \delta, C),\left(A^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions. A morphism

$$
(a, c):(A, \delta, C) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)
$$

of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions is a pair of morphisms $a \in \mathcal{C}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}$, satisfying the equality $a_{*} \delta=c^{*} \delta^{\prime}$. Simply we denote it as $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.2. [NP, Definition 2.6] Let $\delta=(A, \delta, C), \delta^{\prime}=\left(A^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$ extensions. Let

$$
C \xrightarrow{\iota_{C}} C \oplus C^{\prime} \stackrel{\iota^{\prime}}{\leftrightarrows} C^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
A \stackrel{p_{A}}{\leftrightarrows} A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p_{A}^{\prime}} A^{\prime}
$$

be coproduct and product in $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. Since $\mathbb{E}$ is biadditive, we have a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(C \oplus C^{\prime}, A \oplus A^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{E}(C, A) \oplus \mathbb{E}\left(C, A^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A\right) \oplus \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C \oplus C^{\prime}, A \oplus A^{\prime}\right)$ be the element corresponding to $\left(\delta, 0,0, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ through the above isomorphism. This is the unique element which satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathbb{E}\left(\iota_{C}, p_{A}\right)\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=\delta & , & \mathbb{E}\left(\iota_{C}, p_{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\iota_{C^{\prime}}, p_{A}\right)\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=0 & , & \mathbb{E}\left(\iota_{C^{\prime}}, p_{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=\delta^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Let $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$ be any pair of objects. Sequences of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \quad \text { and } \quad A \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C
$$

are said to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $b \in \mathcal{C}\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ which makes the following diagram commutative.


We denote the equivalence class of $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ by $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ ].
For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote $0=\left[A \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{(0,1)} C\right]$.
For any two equivalence classes, we denote

$$
[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \oplus\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right]=\left[A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x \oplus x^{\prime}} B \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y \oplus y^{\prime}} C \oplus C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Definition 2.3. [NP, Definition 2.9] Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ to any $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. This $\mathfrak{s}$ is called a realization of $\mathbb{E}$, if it satisfies the following condition:

- Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions, with

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C], \quad \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Then, for any morphism $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{C}\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ which makes the following diagram commutative.


In the above situation, we say that the triplet $(a, b, c)$ realizes $(a, c)$.
Definition 2.4. NP, Definition 2.10] A realization $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is called additive if it satisfies the following conditions.
(1) For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, the split $\mathbb{E}$-extension $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(0)=0$.
(2) For any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\mathfrak{s}\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{s}(\delta) \oplus \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds.

Definition 2.5. NP, Definition 2.12] A triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is called an externally triangulated category (or extriangulated category for short) if it satisfies the following conditions:
(ET1) $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A b$ is a biadditive functor.
(ET2) $\mathfrak{s}$ is an additive realization of $\mathbb{E}$.
(ET3) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions, realized as

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C], \quad \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

For any commutative square

in $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a morphism $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$ satisfying $c y=y^{\prime} b$.
(ET3) op Dual of (ET3).
(ET4) Let $(A, \delta, D)$ and $\left(B, \delta^{\prime}, F\right)$ be $\mathbb{E}$-extensions realized by

$$
A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} D \quad \text { and } \quad B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{g^{\prime}} F
$$

respectively. Then there exist an object $E \in \mathcal{C}$, a commutative diagram

in $\mathcal{C}$, and an $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{E}(E, A)$ realized by $A \xrightarrow{h} C \xrightarrow{h^{\prime}} E$, which satisfy the following compatibilities.
(i) $D \xrightarrow{d} E \xrightarrow{e} F$ realizes $f_{*}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$,
(ii) $d^{*} \delta^{\prime \prime}=\delta$,
(iii) $f_{*} \delta^{\prime \prime}=e^{*} \delta^{\prime}$.
(ET4) ${ }^{\mathrm{op}}$ Dual of (ET4).

We will use the following terminology.

Definition 2.6. NP Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category.
(1) A sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ is called a conflation if it realizes some $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in$ $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$. In this case, $x$ is called an inflation and $y$ is called a deflation.
(2) If a conflation $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, we call the pair $(A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta)$ an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle, and write it in the following way.

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}
$$

We usually do not write this " $\delta$ " if it is not used in the argument.
(3) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ and $A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}}$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If a triplet $(a, b, c)$ realizes $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$, then we write it as

and call $(a, b, c)$ a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.
Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. By Yoneda's lemma, any $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ induces natural transformations

$$
\delta_{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(-, C) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A) \text { and } \delta^{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(A,-) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C,-) .
$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, these $\left(\delta_{\sharp}\right)_{X}$ and $\delta_{X}^{\sharp}$ are given as follows:
(1) $\left(\delta_{\sharp}\right)_{X}: \mathcal{C}(X, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X, A) ; f \mapsto f^{*} \delta$.
(2) $\delta_{X}^{\sharp}: \mathcal{C}(A, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, X) ; g \mapsto g_{*} \delta$.

When there is no danger of confusion, we will sometimes instead of

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, f)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B)
$$

write the simplified form:

$$
\mathcal{C}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(X, f)} \mathcal{C}(X, B)
$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category, and

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C-\stackrel{\delta}{>}>
$$

an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. Then we have the following long exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}(-, A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, x)} \mathcal{C}(-, B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, y)} \mathcal{C}(-, C) \xrightarrow{\delta_{-}^{\sharp}} \mathbb{E}(-, A) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(-, x)} \mathbb{E}(-, B) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(-, y)} \mathbb{E}(-, C) ; \\
& \mathcal{C}(C,-) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(y,-)} \mathcal{C}(B,-) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(x,-)} \mathcal{C}(A,-) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\sharp}^{-}} \mathbb{E}(C,-) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(y,-)} \mathbb{E}(B,-) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(x,-)} \mathbb{E}(A,-) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.11 in NP.

We recall the following result, which (also the dual of it) will be used later.

Lemma 2.8. LN, Proposition 1.20] Assume that (C, $\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle, and $f: A \rightarrow D$ be any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$. Then there exists a morphism $g$ which gives a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

and moreover, the sequence $A \xrightarrow{\binom{-x}{f}} B \oplus D \xrightarrow{(g, d)} F \xrightarrow{\substack{f_{*} \delta}}$ becomes an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle.

Lemma 2.9. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let

be a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Then there exists an isomorphism $w: F \rightarrow F$ which makes

$$
A \xrightarrow{\binom{-f}{a}} B \oplus D \xrightarrow{(b, u)} F \xrightarrow{\theta}
$$

an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle, where $\theta=\left(w^{-1}\right)^{*} v^{*} \delta$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, we have the following commutative diagram of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

and moreover,

$$
A \xrightarrow{\binom{-f}{a}} B \oplus D \xrightarrow{\left(b^{\prime}, x\right)} F^{\prime} \xrightarrow[-]{y^{*} \delta}
$$

is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. By the definition of realization and [NP, Corollary 3.6], there exists an isomorphism $c: F^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ which gives a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


Consider the isomorphism $c^{-1}: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$, by NP, Proposition 3.7], we obtain that

$$
A \xrightarrow{\binom{-f}{a}} B \oplus D \xrightarrow{\left(c b^{\prime}, u\right)} F \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{v^{*} \delta}
$$

is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. Note that $(b, u)\binom{-f}{a}=0$, there exists a morphism $w: F \rightarrow F$ such that $(b, u)=w\left(c b^{\prime}, u\right)$ and then $b=w c b^{\prime}$ and $u=w u$. Since $(1-w) u=0$, there exists a morphism $d: C \rightarrow F$ such that $1-w=d v$. It follows that

$$
(1+d v)(1-d v) u=(1+d v) w u=(1+d v) u=u
$$

Observe that $v\left(b-c b^{\prime}\right)=v b-v c b^{\prime}=g-y b^{\prime}=0$, there exists a morphism $m: B \rightarrow D$ such that $b-c b^{\prime}=u m$ and then $d v b=d v\left(u m+c b^{\prime}\right)=d v c b^{\prime}$. Thus we have $(1+d v) b=b+d v b=$ $w c b^{\prime}+d v c b^{\prime}=(w+d v) c b^{\prime}=c b^{\prime}$ implies

$$
(1+d v)(1-d v) c b^{\prime}=(1+d v) w c b^{\prime}=(1+d v) b=c b^{\prime}
$$

Hence we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. By [NP, Corollary 3.6], we know that $(1+d v)(1-d v)$ is an isomorphism. Note that $(1+d v)(1-d v)=(1-d v)(1+d v)$, we obtain that $1-d v=w$ is an isomorphism. Consider the isomorphism $w^{-1}: F \rightarrow F$, by [NP, Proposition 3.7], we get that

$$
A \xrightarrow{\binom{-f}{a}} B \oplus D \xrightarrow{(b, u)} F \xrightarrow{\theta}
$$

is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle, where $\theta=\left(w^{-1}\right)^{*} v^{*} \delta$.

## 3 A Hovey triple arising from two cotorsion pairs

In this section, we will prove our main result, before we need some preparations as follows.
The following conditions are from [NP, Condition 5.8], analogous to the weak idempotent completeness.

Condition 3.1. (Condition (WIC)) Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Consider the following conditions.
(1) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $g f$ is an inflation, then so is $f$.
(2) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $g f$ is a deflation, then so is $g$.

Remark 3.2. (1) If $\mathcal{C}$ is an exact category, then Condition (WIC) is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$ is weakly idempotent complete, see [B, Proposition 7.6].
(2) If $\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category, then Condition (WIC) is automatically satisfied.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let

be a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If $c$ is a deflation, then $b$ is also a deflation.
Proof. Since $c$ is a deflation, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $D \xrightarrow{d} C \xrightarrow{c} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\eta}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. By $\mathbb{N P}$, Proposition 3.15], we obtain the following commutative diagram made of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


It follows that $c h=y^{\prime} f$ and then $\left(c, y^{\prime}\right)\binom{-h}{f}=0$. By the dual of Lemma 2.9, we have that

$$
B \xrightarrow{\binom{-y}{b}} C \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(c, y^{\prime}\right)} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\theta}
$$

is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. So there exists a morphism $k: M \rightarrow B$ such that $\binom{-y}{b} k=\binom{-h}{f}$. In particular, we have $f=b k$. By Condition (WIC) and $f$ is a deflation, this $b$ becomes a deflation.

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let

be a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If a and c are deflations, then there exists a deflation $b^{\prime}: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ which gives the following morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


Proof. Since $a$ is a deflation, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $D \xrightarrow{d} A \xrightarrow{a} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\eta}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. By (ET4), we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. By the dual of Lemma 2.8, we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. By Lemma [3.3, we have that $g$ is a deflation since $c$ is a deflation. By the definition of realization and [NP, Corollary 3.6], there exists an isomorphism $h: M \rightarrow L$ which gives a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


Hence we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $t, h$ and $g$ are deflations, we obtain that $g h t$ is a deflation. Put $b^{\prime}:=g h t$, it is what we want.

The following result is similar to the nine lemma.

Lemma 3.5. [NP, Lemma 5.9] Assume that ( $\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let

be a diagram made of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Then for some $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we obtain $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$
K \xrightarrow{m} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{n} X-^{\nu} \gg \text { and } X \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{c} C^{\prime}-\tau_{->}
$$

which make the following diagram commutative,

in which, those $\left(k, k^{\prime}, i\right),(a, b, c),\left(m, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(n, y, y^{\prime}\right)$ are morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.

Lemma 3.6. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let

be a diagram made of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If $y$ is a retraction, then there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle

$$
K \xrightarrow{m} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{n^{\prime}} K^{\prime \prime}-{ }_{-}^{\delta^{\prime \prime}}>
$$

which make the following diagram commutative

in which, those $\left(k, k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}\right),(a, b, c),\left(m, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(n^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}\right)$ are morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, there are $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$
K \xrightarrow{m} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{n} X-{ }_{-}^{\nu} \text { and } X \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{c} C^{\prime}-\tau_{->}
$$

which make the following diagram commutative

in which, those $\left(k, k^{\prime}, i\right),(a, b, c),\left(m, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(n, y, y^{\prime}\right)$ are morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $c^{\prime} y=y^{\prime} b=c y$ and $y$ is a retraction, we have $c^{\prime}=c$. By (ET3) op and [NP, Corollary 3.6], we obtain a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

where $u$ is an isomorphism. It is clear that $u_{*} \kappa^{\prime \prime}=\tau$. Put $n^{\prime}=u^{-1} n$, by [NP, Proposition 3.7], there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle

$$
K \xrightarrow{m} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{n^{\prime}} K^{\prime \prime}-_{-}^{\delta^{\prime \prime}}>
$$

where $\delta^{\prime \prime}=u^{*} \nu$. It is straightforward to verify that $k^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime}=y k^{\prime}$. Moreover, one can show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{*} \delta^{\prime \prime}=k_{*} u^{*} \nu=u^{*} k_{*} \nu=u^{*} i^{*} \delta=(i u)^{*} \delta=k^{\prime \prime} \delta, \\
n_{*}^{\prime} \kappa^{\prime}=u_{*}^{-1} n_{*} \kappa^{\prime}=u_{*}^{-1} y^{\prime *} \tau=y^{\prime *} u^{-1} \tau=y^{\prime *} \kappa^{\prime \prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $\left(k, k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(n^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}\right)$ are morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.
Definition 3.7. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category and $\mathcal{W}$ a class of objects in $\mathcal{C}$.
(1) $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under cocones of deflations if for any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ which satisfies $B, C \in \mathcal{W}$, then $A \in \mathcal{W}$.
(2) $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under cones of inflations if for any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow[-]{\delta}$ which satisfies $A, B \in \mathcal{W}$, then $C \in \mathcal{W}$.
(3) $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under extensions if for any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ which satisfies $A, C \in \mathcal{W}$, then $B \in \mathcal{W}$.

Definition 3.8. NP, Definition 4.1] Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be two classes of objects in $\mathcal{C}$. We call $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ a cotorsion pair if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})=0$.
(b) For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there are two $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$
R_{C} \rightarrow Q_{C} \rightarrow C \rightarrow \quad \text { and } C \rightarrow R^{C} \rightarrow Q^{C} \rightarrow
$$

satisfying $Q_{C}, Q^{C} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $R_{C}, R^{C} \in \mathcal{R}$.

A cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ is called hereditary if $\mathcal{Q}$ is closed under cocones of deflations and $\mathcal{R}$ is closed under cones of inflations.

Remark 3.9. If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an exact category, then the above cotorsion pair is just complete cotorsion pair in the sense of [H2].

Now we state and prove our main result.
Theorem 3.10. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ be two hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Then there exists a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. Moreover, this thick class $\mathcal{W}$ can be described in the two following ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W} & =\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } X \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text { with } R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\} \\
& =\left\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } R^{\prime} \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text { with } R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (1) We write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{W}_{1}=\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } X \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text { with } R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\} \\
\mathcal{W}_{2}=\left\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an } \mathbb{E} \text {-triangle } R^{\prime} \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text { with } R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now show that $\mathcal{W}_{1}=\mathcal{W}_{2}$.

For any $X \in \mathcal{W}_{1}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $X \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow$ where $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ is cotorsion pair, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $R^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{\prime} \rightarrow R \rightarrow$ where $R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Q}$. By ( $\mathrm{ET} 4^{\mathrm{op}}$ ), we have the following commutative diagram of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed under extensions, we have $Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. It follows that $Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}=\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}$ and then $Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Now because $Q^{\prime}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widetilde{Q}$ is closed under cocones of deflations, we conclude that $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Thus we find an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $R^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow$ where $R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Hence $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{2}$. A similar argument will show that $\mathcal{W}_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{1}$.
(2) We show that $\mathcal{W}$ is thick.

- We first prove that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under direct summands.

Suppose $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X \xrightarrow{i} W \xrightarrow{p} X$ satisfies $p i=1_{X}$. We want to show that $X \in \mathcal{W}$.
Since $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $W \xrightarrow{x} \widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow$ where $\widetilde{R} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ is a cotorsion pair, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $X \xrightarrow{k} R \xrightarrow{h} \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ where $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, \widetilde{R})$ to the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $X \xrightarrow{k} R \xrightarrow{h} \widetilde{Q^{\prime}}-\rightarrow$, by Lemma 2.7, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(R, \widetilde{R}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(k, \widetilde{R})} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \widetilde{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, \widetilde{R}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus there exists a morphism $j: R \rightarrow \widetilde{R}$ such that $x i=j k$.
Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, R)$ to the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $W \xrightarrow{x} \widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow$, by Lemma 2.7, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{R}, R) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, R)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{Q}, R)=0
$$

Thus there exists a morphism $q: \widetilde{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $k p=q x$. By (ET3), we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. It follows that $\left(1_{R}-q j\right) k=k-q j k=k-k p i=0$. So there exists a morphism $t: \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow R$ such that $t h=1_{R}-q j$. That is to say, $1_{R}-q j$ factors through $\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}$. We claim that $1_{R}-q j$ factors through some object in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. In fact, Since $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ is a cotorsion pair, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{u} R^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v} \widetilde{Q^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow$ where $R^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\widetilde{Q^{\prime \prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is closed under extensions, we have $R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ implies $R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Hence $R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$.

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, R)$ to the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{u} R^{\prime} \xrightarrow{v} \widetilde{Q^{\prime \prime}}--\rightarrow$, by Lemma 2.7, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(R^{\prime}, R\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(u, R)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, R\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime \prime}}, R\right)=0
$$

Thus there exists a morphism $\beta: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$ such that $t=\beta u$ and then $1_{R}-q j=t h=\beta(u h)$. It follows that $(q, \beta)\binom{j}{u h}=1_{R}$. Namely, the composition below is the identity $1_{R}$.

$$
R \xrightarrow{\binom{j}{u h}} \widetilde{R} \oplus R^{\prime} \xrightarrow{(q, \beta)} R
$$

This means that $R$ is a direct summand of $\widetilde{R} \oplus R^{\prime}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed under direct sums and direct summands, we obtain $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. This shows that $X \in \mathcal{W}$, as required.

- We show that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under extensions.

Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, we have the following claim.
Claim I: Let $R \xrightarrow{m} Y \xrightarrow{n} W \xrightarrow{\rightarrow}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle with $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles, where $\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{R^{\prime}}, R^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$.
Indeed, since $R, W \in \mathcal{W}$, there are two $\mathbb{E}$-triangles:

$$
\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{Q} \xrightarrow{a} R \rightarrow \text { and } \widetilde{R^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{d} \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{c} W \rightarrow
$$

where $\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{R^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, R\right)=0$.
Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}},-\right)$ to the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $R \xrightarrow{m} Y \xrightarrow{n} W \rightarrow-\rightarrow$, by Lemma 2.7, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, Y\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, n\right)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, W\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, R\right)=0
$$

Thus there exists a morphism $w: \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow Y$ such that $c=n w$. Hence we have the following
commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $a, c$ are deflations, by Lemma 3.4, there exists a deflation $b^{\prime}: \widetilde{Q} \oplus \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow Y$ which gives the following morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.


Since $b^{\prime}$ is a deflation, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $\widetilde{R^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \widetilde{Q} \oplus \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow Y-\rightarrow$. By Lemma 3.6, we have a commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is closed under direct sums and extensions, we have $\widetilde{Q} \oplus \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed under extensions, we have $\widetilde{R^{\prime \prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. So we have proved our claim.

Now we show that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under extensions. Let

$$
W \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow W^{\prime}--
$$

be an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle with $W, W^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$. We need to show that $Y \in \mathcal{W}$ too.

Since $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $W \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow$ where $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. By [NP, Proposition 3.15], we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. By Claim I, there exists a commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles, where $\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{Q^{\prime}}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{R^{\prime}}, R^{\prime \prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. By (ET4 ${ }^{\text {op }}$ ), we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ is closed under cocones of deflations, we have $L \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Therefore $Y \in \mathcal{W}$. This show that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under extensions.

- Now we show that $\mathcal{W}$ satisfies the 2 -out-of- 3 property.

Let $W \longrightarrow W^{\prime} \longrightarrow Z \rightarrow$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle with $W, W^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$. We need to show that $Z \in \mathcal{W}$ too. A dual argument shows that if $X \rightarrow W^{\prime} \rightarrow W \rightarrow$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle with $W, W^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}$, then $X \in \mathcal{W}$.

Since $W \in \mathcal{W}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $W \rightarrow \widetilde{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow$ where $\widetilde{R} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. By [NP, Proposition 3.15], we have the following commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under extensions, we have $M \in \mathcal{W}$. So there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $M \rightarrow \widetilde{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ where $\widetilde{R^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{Q^{\prime}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. By (ET4), we have the following
commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is closed under cones of inflations, we have $L \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. Hence $Z \in \mathcal{W}$.
This completes the proof that $\mathcal{W}$ is thick.
(3) Now we show that $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. It suffices to show $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R}=\widetilde{R}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}=\widetilde{Q}$.

We only prove that $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R}=\widetilde{R}$. Through similar assertions, we can prove $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}=\widetilde{Q}$.
It is clear that $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R}$. Conversely, for any $X \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $X \rightarrow \widetilde{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{Q} \longrightarrow$ where $\widetilde{R} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{Q}, X)=0$. We conclude that the above $\mathbb{E}$-triangle splits. Thus $X$ is a direct summand of $\widetilde{R}$. It follows that $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. This completes the proof that $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple.
(4) Now we show that $\mathcal{W}$ is a unique.

Suppose that $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{R})$ is any other Hovey triple, we must have $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{R}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}=\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{V}=\widetilde{Q}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{W}$. By the definitions of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, we have $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{V}$.

When our main result is applied to an exact category, we have the following.
Corollary 3.11. [G2, Theorem 1.2] Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a weakly idempotent complete exact category. Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ be two hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Then there exists a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. Moreover, this thick class $\mathcal{W}$ can be described in the two following ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W} & =\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an exact sequence } X \mapsto R \rightarrow Q \text { with } R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\} \\
& =\left\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists an exact sequence } R^{\prime} \mapsto Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X \text { with } R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When our main result is applied to a triangulated category, we have the following.
Corollary 3.12. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{R})$ be two hereditary cotorsion pairs satisfying conditions $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \cap \widetilde{R}$. Then there exists a unique thick class $\mathcal{W}$ for which $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{R})$ is a Hovey triple. Moreover, this thick class $\mathcal{W}$ can be described in the two following ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W} & =\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists a triangle } X \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow X[1] \text { with } R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\} \\
& =\left\{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text { there exists a triangle } R^{\prime} \rightarrow Q^{\prime} \rightarrow X \rightarrow R^{\prime}[1] \text { with } R^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, Q^{\prime} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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