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#### Abstract

We prove that a general determinantal hypersurface of dimension 3 is nodal. Moreover, in terms of Chern classes associated with bundle morphisms, we derive a formula for the intersection homology Euler characteristic of a general determinantal hypersurface.


## 1. Introduction

A large and important class of varieties is the class of determinantal varieties. These are a particular case of degeneracy loci $D_{i}(\sigma)$ associated with a morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ of vector bundles on $M$, which is locally defined by the ideal generated by all $(i+1)$-minors of a matrix for $\sigma$.

On the other hand, it is well known that a hypersurface

$$
x_{0} g_{\delta}\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{4}\right)+x_{1} f_{\delta}\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{4}\right)=0
$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ is a nodal determinantal hypersurface, that is, all its singular points are ordinary double points (ODPs). Here, $g_{\delta}$ and $f_{\delta}$ are general polynomials of degree $\delta$. In this note, we generalize this to a general determinantal hypersurface of dimension 3 .

To state our result, we need an appropriate notion of the generality of morphisms. Suppose that $M$ is a smooth proper variety and $\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}$ have the same rank $n+1$. A morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is said to be $n$-general if for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, the subset $D_{i}(\sigma) \backslash D_{i-1}(\sigma)$ is smooth of codimension $(n+1-i)^{2}$ in the smooth proper variety $M$ (see Definition 3.1).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.4). Suppose that the smooth proper variety $M$ has dimension 4. If a morphism $\sigma$ is $n$-general, then the determinantal hypersurface $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is nodal, provided that it is irreducible.

This gives us a way to construct 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau (or Fano) hypersurfaces with ODPs (see Example 4.7 and 4.8) admitting a natural small resolution (see (3.1) and Proposition 3.6).

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will give a formula for the intersection homology Euler characteristic of $D_{n}(\sigma)$, for a $n$-general morphism $\sigma$. The global topology of the determinantal hypersurface imposes strong restrictions on the singularities $D_{n}(\sigma)$ can have.

We set $\mathscr{L}:=\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathscr{F}$. Note that the maximal degeneracy locus $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is defined by $\operatorname{det}(\sigma)$ associated to a global section of $\mathscr{L}$. It is known
that

$$
\chi(M \mid \mathscr{L}):=\int_{M} c_{1}(\mathscr{L})\left(1+c_{1}(\mathscr{L})\right)^{-1} c\left(T_{M}\right)
$$

is the topological Euler characteristic of the smooth hypersurface defined by a global section of $\mathscr{L}$ (cf. [10, Example 4.2.6]).

Let $d:=\operatorname{dim} M \geqslant 4$. We let $\chi_{I H}(-)$ denote the intersection homology Euler characteristic. As an analogy of the generalized Milnor number of singular hypersurfaces in [20], we write

$$
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right):=(-1)^{d}\left(\chi_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma)\right)-\chi(M \mid \mathscr{L})\right)
$$

In terms of Schur polynomials $s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ (see Notation 2.4) we prove:
Theorem 1.2 (Theorem4.1). If $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is n-general, then

$$
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right)=\sum_{\lambda \supseteq(2,2)}(-1)^{d+|\lambda|} f^{\lambda} \int_{M} s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) c_{d-|\lambda|}\left(T_{M}\right),
$$

where $f^{\lambda}$ denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$.
Here, we write $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ if the Young diagram of $\mu$ is contained in that of $\lambda$ and the integer $|\lambda|$ for the total number of boxes of the diagram $\lambda$. We adopt the convention that $c_{i}\left(T_{M}\right)=0$ for $i<0$.

In the following cases, $\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right)$ is expressed in terms of the singular locus of $D_{n}(\sigma)$, being $D_{n-1}(\sigma)$ (see Remark 3.3), for a $n$-general $\sigma$.

Corollary 1.3 (Corollary 4.2). Assume that the smooth proper variety $M$ satisfies one of the following assumptions (1) $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, or (2) $\operatorname{dim} M=5$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)=c_{1}(\mathscr{F})-c_{1}(\mathscr{E}) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma$ is $n$-general, then

$$
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right)=(\operatorname{dim} M-2) \int_{M} c\left(T_{M}\right) \cap\left[D_{n-1}(\sigma)\right] .
$$

The equality (1.1) is called the Calabi-Yau condition.
The above results are motivated by considering the special case where the bundle $\mathscr{F}$ is trivial and $\mathscr{E}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. We proved the case 1 in this situation [22, Proposition 3.4]. It supply a proof of the famous result that all 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau complete intersections in product of projective spaces are connected through conifold transitions (see [12] or [22, Theorem 5.6]). When the rank of $\mathscr{F}$ is two, the case 2]was proved in [2] for $M$ being a complete intersection in a product of projective spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic materials on Young diagrams, Schur polynomials and intersection cohomology groups. Section 3 contains a brief exposition of the required $n-$ generality of degeneracy loci. We conclude with Section 4 where we prove main results and derive interesting formulas (see Proposition4.5) for maximal degeneracy loci under the Calabi-Yau condition.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper all varieties are irreducible and defined over complex field C.
2.1. Young Diagrams. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{k} \geqslant 0\right)$ be a partition of size $|\lambda|=\sum \lambda_{i}$, identified with its Young diagram. It consists of $|\lambda|$ boxes arranged in $k$ left adjusted rows of length $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}$. We abbreviate $(i, \cdots, i)$ ( $k$-times) to $(i)^{k}$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, i+\mu_{1}, \cdots, i+\mu_{k}\right)$ to $\lambda,(i)^{k}+\mu$. If $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are two Young diagrams, we write $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ if the diagram of $\mu$ is contained in that of $\lambda$, that is, $\mu_{i} \leqslant \lambda_{i}$ for all $i$.

A standard Young tableau with shape $\lambda$ is a numbering of a Young diagram $\lambda$ with $1,2, \cdots,|\lambda|$ in which the numbers appear in ascending order within each row or column from left to right and top to bottom. Let $f^{\lambda}$ denote the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$. The numbers $f^{\lambda}$ satisfy the following inductive formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mu}=\sum_{\substack{|\lambda|=|\mu|-1 \\ \lambda \subseteq \mu}} f^{\lambda} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since giving a standard tableau with $n$ boxes is the same as giving one with $n-1$ boxes and saying where to put the $n$th box.

For a Young diagram, each box determines a hook, which consists of that box and all boxes in its column below the box or in its row to right of the box. The hook length of a box is the number of boxes in its hook. Let $h(\lambda)$ be the product of the hook lengths of all boxes in $\lambda$. We have the following closed formula for the number $f^{\lambda}$, the hook length formula [9, p. 53].
Proposition 2.1 ([9]). If $\lambda$ is a Young diagram with $n$ boxes, then the number $f^{\lambda}$ of standard Young tableaux with shape $\lambda$ is $n$ ! divided by the product of the hook lengths of the boxes. In particular, $f^{\lambda}=\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for each hook $\lambda=(k, 1, \cdots, 1)$.

Let us illustrate the above definitions and notations with an example.
Example 2.2. $\lambda=(3,2)$ is drawn as $\square$. The number $f^{\lambda}$ of standard Young tableaux is 5 , which has the inductive formula $f^{(3,2)}=f^{(3,1)}+f^{(2,2)}$.

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |


| 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |


| 1 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| 1 | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |


| 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |

The product of the hook lengths of all boxes in $\lambda$ is $h(\lambda)=4 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1=24$.
2.2. Schur Polynomials. Let $\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be commuting variables and $c_{0}=s_{0}=1$, related by the identity $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} t^{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} s_{i} t^{i}\right)=1$.

Example 2.3. For vector bundles $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$, write

$$
c(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}):=c(\mathscr{F}) / c(\mathscr{E})=1+\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{F})-c_{1}(\mathscr{E})\right)+\cdots
$$

and let $c_{i}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ be the ith term in this expansion. If $c_{i}=c_{i}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$, then

$$
s_{i}=(-1)^{i} c_{i}(\mathscr{E}-\mathscr{F})=c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)
$$

and thus $s_{1}=c_{1}$. In particular, if $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{O}$, then $s_{i}=s_{i}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)$ are the Segre classes of the dual bundle $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$.

Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right)$, we define the corresponding Schur polynomial

$$
s_{\lambda}:=\operatorname{det}\left(s_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant k} .
$$

Note that adding an arbitrary string of zeros to $\lambda$ does not change $s_{\lambda}$.
Notation 2.4. We will denote the Schur polynomial by $s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ associated with $s_{i}=c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)$. To distinguish between these and Segre classes, we will use $s_{(i)}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ to denote $s_{i}=c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F} \vee\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$.

The following lemma is a consequence of Pieri's formula (cf. [9, p. 24] or [10, Lemma 14.5.2]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \cdot s_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} s_{\mu} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all partitions $\mu$ such that $\mu \supseteq \lambda$ and $|\mu|=|\lambda|+1$.
Lemma 2.5. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, s_{1}^{\ell}=\sum_{|\lambda|=\ell} f^{\lambda} s_{\lambda}$.
Proof. We argue by induction on $\ell$. From (2.2) and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1} \cdot s_{1}^{\ell-1} & =\sum_{|\lambda|=\ell-1} f^{\lambda} s_{1} \cdot s_{\lambda} \\
& \left.=\sum_{|\lambda|=\ell-1} f^{\lambda}\left(\sum_{\substack{|\mu|=\ell \\
\mu \supseteq \lambda}} s_{\mu}\right)=\sum_{\substack{|\mu|=\ell \\
\mid}} \sum_{\substack{|\lambda|=\ell-1 \\
\mu \supseteq \lambda}} f^{\lambda}\right) s_{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma now follows immediately from the inductive formula (2.1).
2.3. Intersection Cohomology Groups. Let $V$ be a variety of dimension $m$. Let $\mathbf{I C}_{V}^{*}$ denote the intersection cohomology complex for the (lower) middle perversity on $V$ (see [11, §2.1] or [11, §3] for Deligne's construction). If $V$ is smooth, then $\mathbf{I C}_{V}^{*}=\mathbf{Q}_{V}[2 m]$ is just the constant sheaf $\mathbf{Q}$ put in degree $2 m$. For an integer $i \geqslant 0$, the intersection cohomology group $I H^{i}(V)$ is defined in terms of the hypercohomology groups of $\mathbf{I C}_{V}^{*}$, that is,

$$
I H^{i}(V):=\mathscr{H}^{i-2 m}\left(V, \mathbf{I C}_{V}^{\bullet}\right) .
$$

Example 2.6. Let $V$ be a proper variety of dimension $m$ with isolated singularities and let $\Sigma=\operatorname{Sing}(V)$. For the lower middle perversity, we have the following description of intersection cohomology groups in terms of ordinary ones (cf. [16, Proposition 4.4.1]):

$$
I H^{i}(V)= \begin{cases}H^{i}(V) & i>m, \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{i}(V) \rightarrow H^{i}(V \backslash \Sigma)\right) & i=m, \\ H^{i}(V \backslash \Sigma) & i<m .\end{cases}
$$

For an isolated singularity $a \in V$, let us denote by $\mu_{0}(V, a)$ the $(m-1)$ th Betti number of the link of the germ of the singularity $(V, a)$. The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of [7, (6.4.23)], where it is stated for hypersurfaces.

Proposition 2.7 ([7]). Let $m \geqslant 3$ and $V$ be an $m$-dimensional proper variety with only isolated complete intersection singularities. Then

$$
\chi_{I H}(V)=\chi(V)+(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{a \in \operatorname{Sing} V} \mu_{0}(V, a),
$$

where $\chi_{I H}(-)$ is the intersection homology Euler characteristic.
For the convenience of the reader, we include a proof.
Proof. Let $\Sigma=\operatorname{Sing}(V)$, and let $h^{i}(V), h_{\Sigma}^{i}(V)$ and $I h^{i}(V)$ be the dimension of $H^{i}(V), H_{\Sigma}^{i}(V)$ and $I H^{i}(V)$ respectively. According to Example 2.6 and the following long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}^{i}(V) \rightarrow H^{i}(V) \rightarrow H^{i}(V \backslash \Sigma) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{m}(V) \rightarrow I H^{m}(V) \rightarrow 0
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{I H}(V)-\chi(V) & =\sum_{i<m}(-1)^{i}\left(h^{i}(V \backslash \Sigma)-h^{i}(V)\right)+(-1)^{m}\left(h^{m}(V)-h^{m}(V)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{i+1} h_{\Sigma}^{i}(V)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{i+1}\left(\sum_{a \in \Sigma} h_{\{a\}}^{i}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $V$ has only isolated singularities, the $(i-1)$ th reduced cohomology group of the link of the germ $(V, a)$ is isomorphic to $H_{\{a\}}^{i}(V)$ (by replacing $V$ with a contractible open neighborhood of $a \in \Sigma$ ). Then the proposition follows from the fact that the link of ( $V, a$ ), being a local complete intersection singularity, is $(m-2)$-connected (see [13, Corollary 1.3]).

Remark 2.8. For an isolated rational singularity $(V, a)$, the number $\mu_{0}(V, a)$ measures how far $(V, a)$ is from being $Q$-factorial. Indeed, we have the defect

$$
\sigma(V, a):=\operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{Weil}(V, a) / \operatorname{Cart}(V, a))
$$

of the germ $(V, a)$, where $\operatorname{Weil}(V, a)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Cart}(V, a))$ is the Abelian group of Weil (resp. Cartier) divisors of ( $V, a)$. It is a finite number [15, Lemma 1.12]. If $\sigma(V, a)=0$, the $\operatorname{germ}(V, a)$ is called Q-factorial. On the other hand, the defect $\sigma(V, a)$ equals $h_{\{a\}}^{m}(V)$ (cf. the proof of [18, Proposition 3.10]) and thus equals
the $(m-1)$ th Betti number $\mu_{0}(V, a)$ of the link of $(V, a)$ as seen in the proof of Proposition 2.7

We recall the definition of small morphisms in the sense of Goresky and MacPherson [11].

Definition 2.9. Let $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ be a proper morphism of varieties. For every integer $k \geqslant 1$ we define

$$
V^{k}:=\left\{x \in V \mid \operatorname{dim} \pi^{-1}(x)=k\right\} .
$$

We say that $\pi$ is small if $\operatorname{dim} W-\operatorname{dim} V^{k}>2 k$ for each $k$.
Remark 2.10. Suppose that $V$ is a 3-dimensional projective variety with isolated rational singularities that admits a small resolution $\pi: W \rightarrow V$. Then the exceptional set of the small resolution $\pi$ consists of irreducible (rational) curves, and the defect $\sigma(V, a)$, as well as $\mu_{0}(V, a)$, equals the number of the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(a)$ (see [15, Lemma 3.4]).

The following proposition connects the topology of $W$ and $V$.
Proposition 2.11 ([11, §6.2]). If a proper morphism $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ is a small resolution, then $I H^{i}(V)=I H^{i}(W)=H^{i}(W)$ for all $i$.

In particular, the topological Euler characteristic $\chi(W)$ of the smooth variety $W$ equals the intersection homology Euler characteristic $\chi_{I H}(V)$ of $V$.
2.4. Chern Classes. We collect some basic material from Fulton's book [10] that we will need in Section 4.

Lemma 2.12. Let $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ be vector bundles with the same rank $r$ and $\mathscr{L}$ a line bundle. Set $\xi=c_{1}(\mathscr{L})$. Then for each integer $k \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{k}(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{L}-\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\binom{k-1}{i-1} c_{i}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) \xi^{k-i}  \tag{2.3}\\
c_{r}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) & =\sum_{i \geqslant 0} c_{i}(\mathscr{E}) \xi^{r^{r-i}} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By [10, Example 3.2.2], the Chern polynomial of $\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is

$$
c_{t}(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{L})=(1+\xi t)^{r} c_{\tau(t)}(\mathscr{F})
$$

where $\tau(t)=t /(1+\xi t)$, and similarly for $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}$. Then, by substituting one into $t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{L}-\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})=c_{\tau(1)}(\mathscr{F}) / c_{\tau(1)}(\mathscr{E})=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} c_{i}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})(1+\tilde{\xi})^{-i} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2.3) follows from the binomial expansion of $(1+\xi)^{-i}$ and (2.5). From the splitting principle, it is easy to get the equation (2.4) (see [10, Remark 3.2.3 (b)]).

Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on a smooth proper variety $M$. We say that a subvariety $V$ of $M$ is a complete intersection with respect to the vector bundle $\mathscr{V}$ if it is the zero scheme of a regular section of $\mathscr{V}$, that is, $V$ has codimension $r$ in $M$ (see [10, B.3.4]). Then the fundamental class of $V$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[V]=c_{r}(\mathscr{V}) \cap[M] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [10, Example 3.2.16]) and the restriction $\left.\mathscr{V}\right|_{V}$ is the normal bundle of $V$ in $M$. The Fulton class of $V$ is defined by

$$
c^{F}(V):=c\left(\left.T_{M}\right|_{V}-\left.\mathscr{V}\right|_{V}\right) \cap[V],
$$

where $T_{M}$ is the tangent bundle of $M$ (cf. [10, Example 4.2.6]). We will denote by $\chi(M \mid \mathscr{V})$ the degree of 0 -dimensional component of the Fulton class $c^{F}(V)$ and

$$
\mu_{I H}(V, M):=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} M}\left(\chi_{I H}(V)-\chi(M \mid \mathscr{V})\right)
$$

We will write $\mu_{I H}(V)$ instead of $\mu_{I H}(V, M)$ when no confusion can arise.
Remark 2.13. If we suppose that $V$ is smooth, at least a rational homology manifold, then $\mu_{I H}(V)$ is equal (up to a sign) to the degree of the 0 -dimensional components of the (motivic) Milnor class of $V$ (see [23] and references therein).

The following proposition is probably well known. For the readers convenience, we provide a proof of this proposition.
Proposition 2.14. Let $m \geqslant 3$ and $V$ be a m-dimensional complete intersection with respect to $\mathscr{V}$. If $V$ has only isolated singularities, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{I H}(V)=(-1)^{\mathrm{rank} \mathscr{V}-1} \sum_{a \in \operatorname{Sing}(V)}\left(\mu_{0}(V, a)+\mu(V, a)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(V, a)$ is the Milnor number and $\mu_{0}(V, a)$ is the $(m-1)$ th Betti number of the link of the singularity $(V, a)$.

Proof. Note that $m=\operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{rank} \mathscr{V}$. By [21, Theorem 2.4], we have

$$
\chi(V)=\chi(M \mid \mathscr{V})+(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{a \in \operatorname{Sing}(V)} \mu(V, a) .
$$

The formula (2.7) follows from Proposition 2.7 and the definition of $\mu_{I H}(V)$.

Remark 2.15. For a hypersurface $V$ with (possibly nonisolated) singularities, there is a formula for $\mu_{I H}(V)$ in terms of a stratification of the singular locus (cf. [17, Corollary 4.4]).

## 3. Determinantal Contractions

Let $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ be a morphism of vector bundles of ranks $e$ and $f$ on a smooth proper variety $M$. Note that there is a natural bijection between morphisms $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ and global sections of $\mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{F}$.

For $i \leqslant \min (e, f)$, we define the $i$ th degeneracy locus of $\sigma$ by

$$
D_{i}(\sigma)=\{x \in M \mid \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(x)) \leqslant i\}
$$

with the convention $D_{-1}(\sigma)=\varnothing$. Its ideal is locally generated by $(i+1)-$ minors of a matrix for $\sigma$. Notice that the 0th degeneracy locus of $\sigma$ is the zero scheme $Z(\sigma)$. The codimension of $D_{i}(\sigma)$ in $M$ is less than or equal to $(e-i)(f-i)$ (see Remark 3.2 below or [10, Theorem 14.4 (b)]), which is called its expected codimension.
Definition 3.1 ([19]). For a given integer $r \geqslant 0$, we say that $\sigma$ is $r$-general if for every $i=0,1, \cdots, r$, the subset $D_{i}(\sigma) \backslash D_{i-1}(\sigma)$ is smooth of (expected) codimension $(e-i)(f-i)$ in the smooth variety $M$.

Before proceeding further, let us remark on the generality of morphisms.
Remark 3.2. Parusiński and Pragacz [19] defined the notion of $r$-general morphisms for an arbitrary variety $M$ with a fixed Whitney stratification $\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, consider the vector bundle $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}) \text { and the universal degeneracy loci }}$ $\mathbb{D}_{i}$ whose fiber over $x \in M$ consists of all homomorphisms $\mathscr{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(x)$ of rank $\leqslant i$. The codimension of $\mathbb{D}_{i}$ in $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$ is $(e-i)(f-i)$ and each $\mathbb{D}_{i} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{i-1}$ is smooth. If $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is viewed as

$$
s_{\sigma}: M \rightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})
$$

a section of the vector bundle $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$, then the degeneracy locus $D_{i}(\sigma)$ is the pullback $s_{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{i}\right)$, which is isomorphic to the intersection of $s_{\sigma}(M)$ with $\mathbb{D}_{i}$, and thus it has codimension at most $(e-i)(f-i)$ in $M$. Then $\sigma$ is $r$-general in the sense of [19] if the section $s_{\sigma}$ induced by $\sigma$ intersects, on each stratum of $\mathcal{S}$, the subset $\mathbb{D}_{i} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{i-1}$ transversely for $i=0,1, \cdots$, $r$. It coincides with Definition 3.1 if $M$ is smooth [19, Lemma 2.9].

Remark 3.3. According to Definition 3.1] it follows that for $i=0, \cdots, r$, the singular locus of $D_{i}(\sigma)$ is contained in $D_{i-1}(\sigma)$ if $\sigma$ is $r$-general. Moreover, the inclusion is an equality. Indeed, since the statement is local, we pick a connection on the vector bundle $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})$. Then

$$
\nabla\left(\wedge^{i+1} s_{\sigma}\right)=(i+1)\left(\nabla s_{\sigma}\right) \wedge\left(\wedge^{i} s_{\sigma}\right)
$$

by the Leibniz rule. Therefore the derivative of $\wedge^{i+1} s_{\sigma}$ vanishes along $D_{i}(\sigma)$, that $i s, \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{i}(\sigma)\right) \supseteq D_{i-1}(\sigma)$.

If the $(r-1)$ th degeneracy locus has codimension exactly $\operatorname{dim} M$, then the number of points of it is the degree of $\left[D_{n-1}(\sigma)\right]$, because $s_{\sigma}(M)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{r-1}$ intersect transversely.

From now on we assume that $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ have the same rank $n+1$.
Definition 3.4. For a morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$, we call the maximal degeneracy locus $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is a determinantal hypersurface if it has the expected codimension (which equals one).

Note the $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is the zero scheme of the section $\operatorname{det} \sigma$ of the line bundle $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathscr{F}$.

Example 3.5. The prototypical setting is where $M=\mathbb{P}^{d}$ and the vector bundles are sums of line bundles. A morphism of rank $n+1$ vector bundles

$$
\sigma: \bigoplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d}}\left(-a_{i}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d}}\left(b_{j}\right)
$$

is represented as a $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix $\left[\sigma_{i j}(z)\right]$ of homogeneous polynomials such that $a_{i}+b_{j}$ is the degree of $\sigma_{i j}(z)$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n+1$. Then nth degeneracy locus $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is defined by the determinant of the matrix $\left[\sigma_{i j}(z)\right]$.

To get small resolutions of maximal degeneracy loci, we consider the following geometric construction (cf. [10, Example 14.4.10]). Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$ be the projective bundle with the structure morphism $p$. Fix a morphism $\sigma$ and let $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ denote the zero scheme in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$ of the global section of $p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}(1)$ induced by the composition of $p^{*} \sigma$ with $p^{*} \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}(1)$.

We can interpret $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ as the projectivization of the cokernel sheaf $\mathscr{C}$ of $\sigma$. Indeed, thinking of $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$ as the bundle of 1-dimensional quotient of $\mathscr{F}$

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})=\{(x, \lambda) \mid \lambda: \mathscr{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\},
$$

the zero scheme $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ induced by $p^{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow p^{*} \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}(1)$ is exactly

$$
\{(x, \lambda) \mid \lambda \circ \sigma(x): \mathscr{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text { is zero }\}
$$

which is nothing but the subscheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{C})$ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$. So $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ maps onto $D_{n}(\sigma)$ by $p$, because the linear map $\sigma: \mathscr{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(x)$ is not surjective if and only if there is a nonzero functional $\lambda: \mathscr{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \circ \sigma(x)$ being the zero map.

Let $d=\operatorname{dim} M \geqslant 4$. Denoting by $\pi$ the restriction of $p$ to $Z_{n}(\sigma)$, we have the following commutative diagram:


The morphism $\pi$ is called the determinantal contraction of $Z_{n}(\sigma)$.
The following proposition is a reformulation of [19, Theorem 2.12] for maximal degeneracy loci.

Proposition 3.6 ([19]). With the above notation, if $\sigma$ is $n$-general then $\pi$ is a small resolution and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma)\right)=\chi\left(Z_{n}(\sigma)\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the pushforward of the total Chern class of $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{*\} *} c\left(Z_{n}(\sigma)\right)=\sum_{0 \leqslant i+j \leqslant d-1}(-1)^{i+j}\binom{i+j}{i} s_{1+i,(1)^{j}}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) \cap c(M) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ is the projectivization of $\mathscr{C}=\operatorname{Coker}(\sigma)$, the fiber of $\pi$ over $x \in D_{n}(\sigma)$ is just the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{C}(x))$. On the other hand, the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{C}(x))$ has dimension $\geqslant k$ if and only if $x \in D_{n-k}(\sigma)$. Therefore, if $x \in D_{n}(\sigma) \backslash D_{n-1}(\sigma)$ then the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a point. In other word, $\pi$ is birational.

By the assumption that $\sigma$ is $n$-general, the dimension of $D_{k}(\sigma) \backslash D_{k-1}(\sigma)$ is $d-(n+1-k)^{2}$. It is straightforward to show that the morphism $\pi$ is small (see Definition 2.9). Thanks to [19, Lemma 2.9], it follows that $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ is smooth (for details see [19, pp. 810-811]). Hence the morphism $\pi$ is a small resolution and (3.2) follows from Propositon 2.11. The formula (3.3) of the total Chern class $p_{*]_{*}}\left(c\left(T_{Z_{n}(\sigma)}\right) \cap\left[Z_{n}(\sigma)\right]\right)$ is a special case of [19, Proposition 2.5].

Remark 3.7. It is known that the pushforward of the Chern class of a small (or crepant) resolution is independant of the resolution. The class obtained is called the stringy Chern class and the integration of such class is called the stringy Euler number [1,8]. In the situation (3.1), the stringy Chern class of $D_{n}(\sigma)$ and $\pi_{*}\left(c\left(T_{Z_{n}(\sigma)}\right) \cap\left[Z_{n}(\sigma)\right]\right)$ coincide [8, Proposition 4.5].

## 4. Main result

Keeping the notation introduced in Section 3, we can now prove the formula for the intersection homology Euler characteristic of general determinantal hypersurfaces.

Theorem 4.1. If the morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is $n$-general, then

$$
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right)=\sum_{\lambda \supseteq(2,2)}(-1)^{d+|\lambda|} f^{\lambda} \int_{M} s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) c_{d-|\lambda|}\left(T_{M}\right),
$$

where $f^{\lambda}$ denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$.
Proof. Let $\mathscr{L}=\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathscr{F}$. We abbreviate $D_{n}(\sigma)$ and $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ to $D$ and $Z$ respectively. Recall that the Fulton class of $D$ is

$$
c^{F}(D)=c\left(\left.T_{M}\right|_{D}-\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{D}\right) \cap[D] .
$$

According to Proposition 3.6 and the commutative diagram (3.1), it follows that

$$
\left.\mu_{I H}(D)=(-1)^{d} \int_{M}\left(p_{*}\right]_{*} c(Z)-\iota_{*} c^{F}(D)\right) .
$$

Our goal is to find the difference of classes $p_{* 1 * c} c(Z)-\iota_{*} c^{F}(D)$. First, we observe that $\iota_{*}[D]=c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) \cap[M]$ in $A_{d-1}(M)$,

$$
c_{1}(\mathscr{L})=c_{1}(\mathscr{F})-c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=s_{(1)}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})
$$

and the inverse of total Chern class of $\mathscr{L}$ is $\left(1+c_{1}(\mathscr{L})\right)^{-1}$. By the projection formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{*} c^{F}(D) & =\iota_{*}\left(\iota^{*} c\left(T_{M}-\mathscr{L}\right) \cap[D]\right) \\
& =c\left(T_{M}-\mathscr{L}\right) \cap\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) \cap[M]\right) \\
& =\left(1+c_{1}(\mathscr{L})\right)^{-1} c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) \cap\left(c\left(T_{M}\right) \cap[M]\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell} s_{(1)}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})^{\ell+1} \cap c(M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by Proposition 3.6 and the hook length formula (see Proposition 2.1),

$$
p_{*]_{*} c}(Z)=\sum_{0 \leqslant i+j \leqslant d-1}(-1)^{i+j} f^{1+i,(1)^{j}} s_{1+i,(1)^{j}}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) \cap c(M) .
$$

Denote $s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ briefly by $s_{\lambda}$. According to Lemma [2.5, it follows that for $\ell \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i+j=\ell}(-1)^{i+j} f^{1+i,(1)^{j}} s_{1+i,(1)^{j}}-(-1)^{\ell} s_{(1)}^{\ell+1} \\
= & (-1)^{\ell+1}\left(-\sum_{i+j=\ell} f^{1+i,(1)^{j}} s_{1+i,(1)^{j}}+\sum_{|\lambda|=\ell+1} f^{\lambda} s_{\lambda}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
= & (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{\lambda \supseteq(2,2),|\lambda|=\ell+1} f^{\lambda} s_{\lambda} .
\end{align*}
$$

The desired formula follows now by (4.1) and taking 0 -dimensional components of the difference of classes. This completes the proof.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem4.1.
Corollary 4.2. Assume that the smooth proper variety $M$ satisfies one of the following assumptions (1) $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, or (2) $\operatorname{dim} M=5$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)=c_{1}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma$ is $n$-general, then

$$
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma), M\right)=(\operatorname{dim} M-2) \int_{M} c\left(T_{M}\right) \cap\left[D_{n-1}(\sigma)\right] .
$$

Proof. For simplicity, we let $s_{\lambda}$ stand for $s_{\lambda}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$. For the case $\operatorname{dim} M=$ 5, we first observe that the first Chern class of $T_{M}$ is $s_{(1)}$ by (4.2) (see Example 2.3). From Proposition 2.1, $f^{(2,2)}=2$ and $f^{(3.2)}=f^{(2,2,1)}=5$. Theorem 4.1 now yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma)\right) & =f^{(3,2)} \int_{M} s_{(3,2)}+f^{(2,2,1)} \int_{M} s_{(2,2,1)}-f^{(2,2)} \int_{M} s_{(2,2)} c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right) \\
& =5 \int_{M} s_{(2,2)} s_{(1)}-2 \int_{M} s_{(2,2)} c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)=3 \int_{M} s_{(2,2)} c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the second equality follows from Pieri's formula (2.2). Then the corollary follows from the Giambelli-Thom-Porteous formula [10, Theorem 14.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{n-1}(\sigma)\right]=s_{(2,2)}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E}) \cap[M] . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the case $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, twice the degree of $\left[D_{n-1}(\sigma)\right]$ is equal to $\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}(\sigma)\right)$.

Remark 4.3. Corollary 4.2 also can be computed by standard tools in [10], e.g., (4.5) and (4.8) below (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.5).

We are now ready to prove the main result. Recall that if $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is $n$-general, then $\pi: Z_{n}(\sigma) \rightarrow D_{n}(\sigma)$ is a small resolution by Proposition 3.6,

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that the smooth proper variety $M$ has dimension 4. Given a n-general morphism $\sigma$, we assume that $Z_{n}(\sigma)$ is connected. Then the determinantal hypersurface $D_{n}(\sigma)$ is nodal.

Proof. We abbreviate $D_{i}(\sigma)$ to $D_{i}$ for all $i$. By assumption, the determinantal hypersurface $D_{n}$ is irreducible. Since $\sigma$ is $n$-general and the codimension of $D_{n-1}$ in $M$ is 4 , the number of singular locus $D_{n-1}$ of $D_{n}$ equals the degree of the zero cycle $\left[D_{n-1}\right]$ (see Remark [3.3). The theorem will be proved by showing that the Milnor number $\mu\left(D_{n}, x\right)$ is 1 for $x \in D_{n-1}$.

By Proposition 2.14 and Corollary 4.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in D_{n-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\left(D_{n}, x\right)+\mu\left(D_{n}, x\right)\right)=\mu_{I H}\left(D_{n}\right)=2 \cdot\left|D_{n-1}\right| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to that integers $\mu\left(D_{n}, x\right)$ and $\mu_{0}\left(D_{n}, x\right)$ are greater than zero for all $x \in D_{n-1}$ (see Remark 2.10) and (4.4), it follows that these are equal to one. Hence the 3-dimensional hypersurface $D_{n}$ has only ODPs.

We are going to give a formula of intersections products on the smooth variety $Z_{n}(\sigma)$. Recall that $\jmath: Z_{n}(\sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$ is the inclusion, $p: \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}) \rightarrow$ $M$ the bundle map and $d=\operatorname{dim} M$. To simplify notations, we write $Z$ (resp. $D)$ instead of $Z_{n}(\sigma)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.D_{n}(\sigma)\right)$.

Proposition 4.5. Fix a n-general morphism $\sigma$. Let $\xi=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}(1)\right)$ and $L=$ $\jmath^{*} \xi$. Given a line bundle $\mathscr{Q}$ on $M$, let $H_{M}=c_{1}(\mathscr{Q})$ and $H_{Z}=\jmath^{*} p^{*} H_{M}$.
(1) For $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d-1$,

$$
\int_{Z} H_{Z}^{k} \cdot L^{d-1-k}=\int_{M} H_{M}^{k} \cdot c_{d-k}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)
$$

(2) Under the assumption $d=4$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})=c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{Z} c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right) \cdot H_{Z}=\int_{M} c_{2}\left(T_{M}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right) \cdot H_{M} \\
\int_{Z} c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right) \cdot L=\int_{M} c_{2}\left(T_{M}\right) \cdot c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)-|\operatorname{Sing}(D)| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we write $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)$ instead of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}(1)$. Recall that $Z$ is the zero scheme of the global section of $p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O} \mathscr{F}(1)$, which is induced by $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$. Then we have, by (2.6) and (2.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{*}[Z] & =c_{n+1}\left(p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)\right) \cap[\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})] \\
& =\sum_{i \geqslant 0} c_{i}\left(p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot \xi^{n+1-i} \cap[\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})]  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \xi^{n+1-i} \cap p^{*}\left(c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cap[M]\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the projection formula, (4.5) and the definition of $c_{d-k}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.p_{*}\right]_{*}\left(L^{d-1-k} \cap[Z]\right) & =p_{*}\left(\sum_{i \geqslant 0} \xi^{n+d-k-i} \cap p^{*}\left(c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cap[M]\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_{d-k-i}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right) \cdot c_{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cap[M]  \tag{4.6}\\
& =c_{d-k}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right) \cap[M],
\end{align*}
$$

which establishes the formula (1) of $\int_{Z} H_{Z}^{k} \cdot L^{d-1-k}$ for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d-1$.
Now assume that $d=4$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})=c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right)=\jmath^{*} p^{*}\left(c_{2}\left(T_{M}\right)-c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)\right)-\jmath^{*} p^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot L . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume the claim is proved. Then the zero cycle $\left.p_{*}\right]_{*}\left(c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right) \cdot L \cap[Z]\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{[ c _ { 2 } ( T _ { M } ) - c _ { 2 } ( \mathscr { E } ^ { \vee } - \mathscr { F } ^ { \vee } ) ] \cdot c _ { 2 } \left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right.\right. & \left.-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right) \\
& \left.-c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot c_{3}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)\right\} \cap[M] .
\end{aligned}
$$

by (4.6). Since $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right)=-c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F} \vee\right)$, we get

$$
\int_{Z} c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right) \cdot L=\int_{M}\left(c_{2}\left(T_{M}\right) \cdot c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)-s_{(2,2)}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)\right) .
$$

It is easily seen that $s_{(2,2)}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=s_{(2,2)}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})$ (cf. [10, Lemma 14.5.1]). Then the formula of $\int_{Z} c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right)$. L follows from the singular locus of $D$ being the $(n-1)$ th degeneracy locus of $\sigma$ and Giambelli-Thom-Porteous formula (4.3). The formula of $\int_{Z} c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right) \cdot H_{Z}$ is proved similarly.

To show the formula of $c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right)$, we first recall that by [10, Example 3.2.11],

$$
c\left(T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}\right)=c\left(p^{*} T_{M}\right) \cdot c\left(p^{*} \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)\right) .
$$

From the normal exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{Z} \rightarrow \jmath^{*} T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})} \rightarrow \jmath^{*}\left(p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where the right hand term is the normal bundle of $Z$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(T_{Z}\right) & =\jmath^{*}\left(c\left(T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})}\right) / c\left(p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)\right)\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& =\jmath^{*}\left(c\left(p^{*} \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)-p^{*} \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{F}}(1)\right) \cdot c\left(p^{*} T_{M}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the formula (2.3) to (4.8), we get

$$
c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right)=\jmath^{*} p^{*}\left(c_{2}\left(T_{M}\right)+c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)+c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\jmath^{*} p^{*} c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot L . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the observation that $c\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot c\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=1$ and $c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)=$ $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)$ by our assumption, it follows that

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right) \cdot c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)+c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}-\mathscr{E}^{\vee}\right)=-c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right),
$$

and (4.7) is proved.
Remark 4.6. If we replace (4.7) with (4.9), then the same proof works when we drop the assumption $c_{1}\left(T_{M}\right)=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\vee}-\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)$. For simplicity, we state Proposition 4.5(2) under the Calabi-Yau condition.

Let us illustrate the above results with examples.
Example 4.7. We use the same notation as in Proposition 4.5 where $M=\mathbb{P}^{4}$ and $\mathscr{Q}=\mathcal{O}(1)$, and construct quintic hypersurfaces with 46 ODPs.

Let $\mathscr{F}=\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4}$ and $\mathscr{E}=\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$. Given a 3-general morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$, let $Z=Z_{3}(\sigma)$ and $D=D_{3}(\sigma)$. Observe that $Z$ is a complete intersection of a $(2,1)$ and three $(1,1)$ ample divisors in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F})=\mathbb{P}^{4} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and thus it is connected by Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5. we obtain Table 1 (cf. [6, Lemma 4.1]).

TABLE 1. Intersection numbers of the quintic containing $B$

| $L^{3}$ | $L^{2} . H$ | L.H | $H^{3}$ | L.c $c_{2}\left(T_{Z}\right)$ | H.c. $\left(T_{Z}\right)$ | \# of ODPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 44 | 50 | 46 |

This construction includes the example in [6], which is the blow-up of D along a smooth surface $B \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{4}$ (cf. [6, (4.4)]). More precisely, let B be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at 10 general points, which is called a Bordiga surface. We can describe B in terms of a degeneracy locus in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Indeed, the surface $B$ is the locus where the $4 \times 3$ matrix $\tau=\left[\tau_{i j}\right]$ of generic linear forms on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ has rank $\leqslant 1$. In other words, we have the resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{B}(3) \rightarrow 0
$$

and $B=D_{2}(\tau)$, where $\mathcal{I}_{B}$ is the ideal sheaf of $B$ and $\tau$ is 2-general.
Choose four generic homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ which induce a morphism $\sigma_{1}: \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$. Then it gives rise to a 3 -general morphism $\sigma=\tau \oplus \sigma_{1}$ from $\mathscr{E}$ to $\mathscr{F}$. Observe that $D=D_{3}(\sigma)$ contains the Bordiga surface $B$ and there is a natural morphism $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{B} D$ factoring the determinantal contraction $\pi: Z \rightarrow D$. Since $\varphi$ is a birational morphism between projective varieties with the same Picard number, the blow-up of D along B is isomorphic to Z.

A series of examples of 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties will be discussed in detail in a forthcoming paper.
Example 4.8. We also can construct Fano determinantal hypersurfaces in a similar way as Example 4.7 For instance, we consider the case that $M=\mathbb{P}^{4}$ and vectore bundles $\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}$ of rank $n+1$ are direct sums of line bundles with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F}-\mathscr{E})=$
$c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(4))$. The determinant of a n-general morphism $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ gives rise to a quartic hypersurface with ODPs in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$.

Abbreviating $\oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}\left(a_{i}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)$, we have the complete list of such $n$-general morphisms $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ in Table 2 (up to tensor product with a line bundle and dualizing morphisms $\sigma$ ). The first four cases correspond to [5, Example 7.3-7.6], which induce building blocks used to construct examples of compact $G_{2}$-manifold (see [5] and references therein).

TABLE 2. Quartics in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ contain a special surface

| Morphism $\sigma$ | Special surface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ <br> containing Sing $(D)$ | \# of ODPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(0,0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(1,3)$ | a plane $\Pi$ |  |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(-1,0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(1,2)$ | a quadric surface $Q_{2}^{2}$ | 12 |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(0,0,0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(1,1,2)$ | a cubic scroll surface $\mathbb{F}$ | 17 |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(0,0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(2,2)$ | a c.i. of two quadrics $F_{2,2}$ | 16 |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(0,0,0,0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(1,1,1,1)$ | a Bordiga surface $B$ | 20 |

Example 4.9. We use the same notation with above examples. Let $\mathscr{E}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(0,0)$ and $\mathscr{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(2,2)$. We pick a 1-general morpshim $\sigma: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ such that $D_{1}(\sigma)$ is a nodal quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ containing a smooth del Pezzo surface $F_{2,2}$ of degree 4 and $\left|\operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{1}(\sigma)\right)\right|=16$. Also, $Z_{1}(\sigma) \rightarrow D_{1}(\sigma)$ is a small resolution of $D_{1}(\sigma)$.

Let us apply the formula of $\mu_{I H}\left(D_{1}(\sigma)\right)$ to compute $\chi\left(Z_{1}(\sigma)\right)$. Note that the topological Euler characteristics of a smooth quartic hypersurface is -56 . Since $D_{1}(\sigma)$ has exactly 16 ODPs and Corrollary 4.2, we get $\mu_{I H}\left(D_{1}(\sigma)\right)=32$. By Proposition [3.6] $\chi\left(Z_{1}(\sigma)\right)=\mu_{I H}\left(D_{1}(\sigma)\right)-56=-24$.

Furthermore, the sufficiently general hypersurface $D_{1}(\sigma)$ is nonrational [3, Theorem 11]. Indeed, I. Cheltsov (see [3, §3]) observed that the restriction of $Z_{1}(\sigma)$ to the second projection $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is a standard del Pezzo fibration of degree 4. Then $D_{1}(\sigma)$ is nonrational if $\chi\left(Z_{1}(\sigma)\right) \neq 0,-4$ or -8 .

Remark 4.10. We mention the rationality problem for other (sufficiently general) quartic threefolds $D$ in Table 2 If $D$ contains $\Pi$ or $Q_{2}^{2}$, then it is nonrational (see [3, Theorem 6, Example 10], [4, Corollary 1.11]). On the other hand, D is rational if it contains $\mathbb{F}$ or B (see [14, Entry 30 in Table 1], [3, Example 7]).
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