

# ON THE LARGE TIME $L^\infty$ -ESTIMATES OF THE STOKES SEMIGROUP IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL EXTERIOR DOMAINS

KEN ABE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$  for two-dimensional exterior domains. This result is an end point case of the  $L^p$ -boundedness of the semigroup for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , established by Borchers and Varnhorn (1993) and an extension of finite time  $L^\infty$ -estimates studied by the author and Giga (2014). The proof is based on the non-existence result of bounded steady flows (the Stokes paradox) and some asymptotic formula for the net force of the Stokes resolvent.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Stokes equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla q &= 0, & \operatorname{div} v &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ v &= v_0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \{t = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

for exterior domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . It is well known that the solution operator (called the Stokes semigroup)

$$S(t) : v_0 \mapsto v(\cdot, t),$$

forms an analytic semigroup on  $L^p_\sigma$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , of angle  $\pi/2$  [39], [21], i.e.  $S(t)v_0$  is a holomorphic function in the half plane  $\{\operatorname{Re} t > 0\}$  on  $L^p_\sigma$ . Here,  $L^p_\sigma$  denotes the  $L^p$ -closure of  $C^\infty_{c,\sigma}$ , the space of all smooth solenoidal vector fields with compact support in  $\Omega$ . The Stokes semigroup  $S(t)$  is defined by the Dunford integral of the resolvent of the Stokes operator  $A = \mathbb{P}\Delta$  for the Helmholtz projection operator  $\mathbb{P} : L^p \rightarrow L^p_\sigma$  [16], [34], [38]. See, e.g. [28] for analytic semigroups.

We say that an analytic semigroup on a Banach space is a *bounded* analytic semigroup of angle  $\pi/2$  if the semigroup is bounded in the sector  $\Sigma_\theta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg t| < \theta\}$  for each  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ . See, e.g. [6, Definition 3.7.3]. The boundedness in the sector implies the bounds on the positive real line

---

*Date:* December 4, 2019.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 35K90.

*Key words and phrases.* Stokes semigroup,  $L^\infty$ -estimates, Stokes paradox.

$$(1.2) \quad \|S(t)\| \leq C, \quad \|AS(t)\| \leq \frac{C}{t}, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes an operator norm on a Banach space and  $A$  is a generator. The estimates (1.2) are important to study large time behavior of solutions to (1.1). In terms of the resolvent, the boundedness of  $S(t)$  of angle  $\pi/2$  is equivalent to the estimate

$$(1.3) \quad \|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}.$$

When  $\Omega$  is bounded, the point  $\lambda = 0$  belongs to the resolvent set of  $A = \mathbb{P}\Delta$  and the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^p_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . For a half space, the boundedness of the semigroup follows from explicit solution formulas [33], [42], [8].

The boundedness of the Stokes semigroup on  $L^p_\sigma$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  have been established for exterior domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , the boundedness of  $S(t)$  on  $L^p_\sigma$  is proved in [10] based on the resolvent estimate

$$(1.4) \quad |\lambda| \|v\|_{L^p} + |\lambda|^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 < p < \frac{n}{2},$$

for  $v = (\lambda - A)^{-1}f$  and  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2} \cup \{0\}$ . The estimate (1.4) implies (1.3) for  $p \in (1, n/2)$  and the case  $p \in [n/2, \infty)$  follows from a duality. Due to the restriction on  $p$ , the two-dimensional case is more involved. Indeed, the estimate  $\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p} \leq C \|Av\|_{L^p}$  for  $p \in [n/2, \infty)$  does not hold [9]. For  $n = 2$ , the boundedness of the Stokes semigroup on  $L^p_\sigma$  is proved in [11] based on layer potentials for the Stokes resolvent.

Recently, the case  $p = \infty$  has been developed. When  $\Omega$  is a half space,  $S(t)$  forms a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$  [14], [40]. For a half space and domains with compact boundaries, we define  $L^\infty_\sigma$  by

$$L^\infty_\sigma(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^\infty(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div} f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, f \cdot N = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}.$$

Here,  $N$  denotes the unit outward normal vector field on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $S(t)$  is bounded on  $L^\infty_\sigma$ , the associated generator  $A = A_\infty$  is also defined for  $p = \infty$ . For bounded domains [3] and exterior domains [4], analyticity of the semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  follows from the a priori estimate

$$(1.5) \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty} + t^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + t \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty} + t \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty} + t \|\nabla q\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty},$$

for  $v = S(t)v_0$  and  $t \leq T$ . The estimate (1.5) is proved by a blow-up argument and implies that  $S(t)$  is analytic on  $L^\infty_\sigma$ . Moreover, by the resolvent estimates on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  [5],  $S(t)$  is analytic on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$ . When  $\Omega$  is bounded,  $S(t)$  is a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$ .

In this paper, we consider the boundedness of the Stokes semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  for exterior domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . For the Laplace operator or uniformly elliptic operators, a standard

approach to prove large time  $L^\infty$ -estimates of a semigroup is to use a Gaussian upper bound for a complex time heat kernel. See [13, Chapter 3]. However, a kernel of the Stokes semigroup does not satisfy a Gaussian bound since  $S(t)$  is unbounded on  $L^1$ . See [14], [36] for a half space. Even for exterior domains,  $S(t)$  is not bounded on  $L^1$  unless the net force vanishes [27], [22]. It seems no general method to estimate the  $L^\infty$ -norm of a semigroup for all time without a Gaussian bound.

There is a work by Maremonti [30] who proved the estimate

$$(1.6) \quad \|S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad t > 0,$$

for exterior domains and  $n \geq 3$  based on the finite time estimate in [3]. Subsequently, Hieber and Maremonti [23] proved the estimate  $t\|AS(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $t > 0$  and the results are extended in [7] for complex time  $t \in \Sigma_\theta$  and  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$  based on the approach in [30]. The method in [30] seems a perturbation from the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and excludes the case  $n = 2$ .

In the previous work [2], the author studied large time  $L^\infty$ -estimates of the Stokes semigroup for  $n \geq 2$  based on a Liouville theorem for the Stokes equations introduced by Jia, Seregin and Šverák [24]. Liouville theorems are important to study regularity of solutions. See [26], [37] for Liouville theorems of the Navier-Stokes equations. They may be also related with large time behavior. Following [24], we say that  $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega} \times (-\infty, 0])$  is an ancient solution to the Stokes equations (1.1) if  $\text{div } v = 0$  in  $\Omega \times (-\infty, 0)$ ,  $v \cdot N = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times (-\infty, 0)$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{\Omega} v \cdot (\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi) dx dt = 0,$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (-\infty, 0])$  satisfying  $\text{div } \varphi = 0$  in  $\Omega \times (-\infty, 0)$  and  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times (-\infty, 0) \cup \Omega \times \{t = 0\}$ . The conditions  $\text{div } v = 0$  and  $v \cdot N = 0$  are understood in the sense that

$$\int_{\Omega} v \cdot \nabla \Phi dx = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (-\infty, 0),$$

for all  $\Phi \in C_c^1(\overline{\Omega})$ . Liouville theorems for the Stokes equations has been established in [24] for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and bounded domains. Among others, it is proved in [24] for exterior domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 3$  that bounded ancient solutions  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (-\infty, 0))$  must satisfy

$$v(x, t) - v_\infty(t) = O(|x|^{-n+2}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some constant  $v_\infty(t)$ . Since bounded steady flows exist for  $n \geq 3$  [9], bounded ancient solutions are non-trivial. If in addition some spatial decay condition is assumed, we can exclude such solutions.

**Theorem 1.1** (Liouville theorem on  $L^p$  [2]). *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior domain with  $C^3$ -boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $v$  be an ancient solution to the Stokes equations (1.1). Assume that*

$$v \in L^\infty(-\infty, 0; L^p) \quad \text{for } p \in (1, \infty).$$

Then,  $v \equiv 0$ .

Theorem 1.1 is used to prove the large time  $L^\infty$ -estimate (1.6). By the representation formula for  $v = S(t)v_0$  [35], we have

$$(1.7) \quad v(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x-y, t)v_0(y)dy + \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} V(x-y, t-s)(TN)(y, s)dH(y)ds.$$

Here,  $T = \nabla v + {}^t\nabla v - qI$  is the stress tensor with the identity matrix  $I$  and  $V = (V_{ij})$  is the Oseen tensor

$$V_{ij}(x, t) = \delta_{ij}\Gamma(x, t) + \partial_i\partial_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(x-y)\Gamma(y, t)dy,$$

defined by the heat kernel  $\Gamma(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2}e^{-|x|^2/4t}$  and the fundamental solutions of the Laplace equation  $E$ , i.e.

$$E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n(n-2)\alpha(n)} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}, & n \geq 3, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x|, & n = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha(n)$  denotes the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For  $n \geq 3$ , the formula (1.7) describes the asymptotic behavior of bounded Stokes flows as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the Oseen tensor satisfies

$$|V(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{(|x| + t^{1/2})^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

we have

$$(1.8) \quad \left| v(x, t) - \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x-y, t)v_0(y)dy \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n-2}} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|T\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}(s), \quad |x| \geq R, \quad t > 0,$$

for some constant  $R > 0$ . The right-hand side is decaying as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for all  $t > 0$ . The large time estimate (1.6) for  $n \geq 3$  is deduced in [2] by using the asymptotic formula (1.8) and the Liouville theorem (Theorem 1.1) by a contradiction argument. Indeed, if (1.6) were false, a sequence of solutions generates a non-trivial ancient solution satisfying  $|v(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-n+2}$  for  $|x| \geq R$ ,  $t \in (-\infty, 0]$  and the Liouville theorem yields a contradiction. The boundedness of  $S(t)v_0$  in the sector  $\Sigma_\theta$  follows the same argument on the half line  $\{\arg t = \theta\}$ .

For  $n = 2$ , there is a restriction on the net force since the right-hand side of (1.8) might diverge. Indeed, we have

$$(1.9) \quad \left| v(x, t) - \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x - y, t) v_0(y) dy - \int_0^t V(x, t - s) F(s) ds \right| \\ \leq \frac{C}{|x|} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|T\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}(s), \quad |x| \geq R, \quad t > 0,$$

with the net force

$$F(s) = \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y, s) dH(y).$$

Since  $|\int_0^t V(x, s) ds| \lesssim \log(1 + t/|x|^2)$ , the decay as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  of the third term in (1.9) is not uniform for  $t > 0$  in contrast to (1.8) for  $n \geq 3$ . If the net force vanishes, the situation is the same as  $n = 3$  and we are able to prove (1.6) for  $t \in \Sigma_\theta$ . For example, when  $\Omega^c$  is a disk and initial data has some discrete symmetry (called  $C_m$ -covariance), the net force vanishes [22], i.e.  $F(s) \equiv 0$ . The following result includes the case  $n = 2$  which seems first appeared in [2].

**Theorem 1.2** (Boundedness on  $L^\infty$  for  $n \geq 3$  and  $n = 2$  with zero net force [2]). (i) For  $n \geq 3$ , the Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  of angle  $\pi/2$ . (ii) For  $n=2$ , the estimate (1.6) holds for  $t \in \Sigma_\theta$  and  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$  for which the net force vanishes (e.g.  $C_m$ -covariant vector fields when  $\Omega^c$  is a disk.)

In this paper, we prove that the assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.2 holds for any bounded initial data  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$ . Perhaps the most important vector fields with non-vanishing net force are asymptotically constant solutions of the steady Navier-Stokes flows as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  such as D-solutions or PR-solutions. See [17]. They are bounded and with finite Dirichlet integral. The situation is subtle even for bounded initial data with finite Dirichlet integral for which the fractional power estimate

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} = \|(-A)^{1/2} v\|_{L^2},$$

is available. This estimate holds only for  $n = 2$ , i.e. the estimate  $\|\nabla v\|_{L^p} \leq C \|(-A)^{1/2} v\|_{L^p}$  for  $p \in [n, \infty)$  and  $n \geq 3$  does not hold [9]. The fractional power estimate implies a uniform bound in the homogeneous  $L^2$ -Sobolev space  $\dot{H}^1$  and  $S(t)v_0$  is merely bounded in BMO even if  $v_0$  is with finite Dirichlet integral, i.e.

$$[S(t)v_0]_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1}, \quad t > 0.$$

To prove the large time  $L^\infty$ -estimate (1.6) for  $n = 2$  and any bounded initial data  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$ , we analyze the corresponding Stokes resolvent problem:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda v - \Delta v + \nabla q &= f, & \operatorname{div} v &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Existence and uniqueness of the problem (1.10) for  $f \in L_\sigma^\infty$  have been studied in [5]. In particular, the solution operator

$$R(\lambda) : f \mapsto v(\cdot, \lambda),$$

is a bounded operator on  $L_\sigma^\infty$  for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$  and for each  $\delta > 0$ , the estimate  $\|R(\lambda)\| \leq C_\delta |\lambda|^{-1}$  holds for  $|\lambda| \geq \delta$  with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $L_\sigma^\infty$ . The operator  $R(\lambda)$  is resolvent of some closed operator  $A = A_\infty$  on  $L_\sigma^\infty$ , i.e.  $R(\lambda) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ . The behavior of  $R(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  corresponds to the behavior of  $S(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Instead of proving the boundedness of  $S(t)$  in  $\Sigma_\theta$ , we shall prove the equivalent estimate (1.3) with the operator norm on  $L_\sigma^\infty$ . The main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.3** (Boundedness on  $L^\infty$  for  $n = 2$ ). *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior domain with  $C^3$ -boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

(i) *For  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(1.11) \quad \|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda|} \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}, f \in L_\sigma^\infty.$$

(ii) *The Stokes semigroup is a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L_\sigma^\infty$  of angle  $\pi/2$ .*

There is a difference on the large time behavior for  $n = 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ . By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we obtain

$$(1.12) \quad \|S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} + t\|AS(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad t > 0, v_0 \in L_\sigma^\infty,$$

for exterior domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . The estimate (1.12) implies that  $S(t)v_0$  is uniformly bounded and approaches a steady flow as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n = 2$ , any bounded solutions of

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta v + \nabla q &= 0, & \operatorname{div} v &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

must be trivial (the Stokes paradox) [12] and therefore  $S(t)v_0$  converges to zero locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, for  $n \geq 3$ , bounded steady flows of (1.13) exist and must be asymptotically constant as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the solution  $S(t)v_0$  converges to such a stationary solution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

If initial data  $v_0$  is decaying as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $S(t)v_0$  vanishes as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for all dimensions  $n \geq 2$ , i.e. for  $v_0 \in C_{0,\sigma}$ ,  $S(t)v_0$  uniformly converges to zero in  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Here,  $C_{0,\sigma}$  is the  $L^\infty$ -closure of  $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ , characterized by

$$C_{0,\sigma}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \operatorname{div} f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, f = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

See [4]. Since  $S(t)v_0$  vanishes as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $v_0 \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ , this property follows from the density in  $C_{0,\sigma}$ .

There is some issue on the large time behavior of Navier-Stokes flows. By a perturbation argument from the Stokes flow, we are able to construct a unique global-in-time solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations for bounded initial data with finite Dirichlet integral [1] satisfying the integral form

$$(1.14) \quad u(t) = S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}u \cdot \nabla u(s)ds.$$

This solution is asymptotically constant if  $u_0$  is, cf. [31]. The large time behavior of this solution is an interesting question since the space  $L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^1$  includes steady Navier-Stokes flows. See [29] for stability of PR-solutions. It is a question whether solutions of (1.14) remain bounded for all time. The estimate (1.12) implies that the Stokes flow remains bounded for all time and converges to zero locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for any bounded initial data.

The question is non-trivial even for the Cauchy problem for which solutions remain bounded in  $\dot{H}^1$  by an a priori estimate of vorticity. This solution is merely bounded in BMO. But a uniform  $L^\infty$ -bound seems unknown. The problem have been studied for merely bounded initial data  $u_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$  and a polynomial growth bound on the  $L^\infty$ -norm is derived in [43]. It is known that global-in-time solutions satisfy the upper bound  $\|u\|_{L^\infty} = O(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  [19]. See also [20].

We sketch the proof of Theorem 1.3. Our proof is based on the representation formula for the Stokes resolvent  $v = R(\lambda)f$ :

$$(1.15) \quad v(x) = \int_\Omega E^\lambda(x-y)f(y)dy + \int_{\partial\Omega} V^\lambda(x-y)TN(y)dH(y),$$

for  $T = \nabla v + {}^t\nabla v - qI$ . Here,

$$(1.16) \quad E^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}K_0(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|)$$

is the kernel of the resolvent  $(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}$  and  $K_m(\kappa)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $m$ . For  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$ ,  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  denotes the square-root of  $\lambda$  with positive real part, i.e.  $\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\lambda} > 0$ . The tensor  $V^\lambda = (V_{ij}^\lambda)$  is the kernel of  $\lambda(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}\mathbb{P}$  for the Helmholtz projection operator  $\mathbb{P} = I + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div}$ . This tensor has the explicit form [11, p.281],

$$(1.17) \quad V_{ij}^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \delta_{ij}e_1(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} e_2(\sqrt{\lambda}|x|) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\kappa) &= K_0(\kappa) + \kappa^{-1} K_1(\kappa) - \kappa^{-2}, \\ e_2(\kappa) &= -K_0(\kappa) - 2\kappa^{-1} K_1(\kappa) + 2\kappa^{-2}, \quad \kappa > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $e_1(\kappa)$  has a logarithmic singularity as  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  and decaying as  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ . The function  $e_2(\kappa)$  is bounded for  $\kappa > 0$ , i.e.

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| e_1(\kappa) + \frac{1}{2} \log \kappa \right| + |e_2(\kappa)| &\leq C, & 0 < \kappa \leq d, \\ |e_1(\kappa)| + |e_2(\kappa)| &\leq C\kappa^{-2}, & \kappa \geq d, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $d > 0$  with some constant  $C$ . Hence

$$(1.19) \quad V^\lambda(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \log \sqrt{\lambda} + \log |x| \right) I + \tilde{V}^\lambda(x),$$

with a bounded function  $\tilde{V}^\lambda$  for  $|\lambda|^{1/2}|x| \leq d$ . For  $|\lambda|^{1/2}|x| \geq d$ ,  $V^\lambda$  is bounded.

We shall suppose that  $\lambda v$  is uniformly bounded on  $L^\infty$  and observe the asymptotic behavior of  $|\lambda| \|v\|_{L^\infty}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . We take a point  $x_\lambda \in \Omega$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \approx |v(x_\lambda)|.$$

The behavior of  $\lambda v$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  is related with the behavior of  $f$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . For simplicity of the explanation, we shall consider positive  $\lambda > 0$  and asymptotically constant vector fields  $f \rightarrow f_\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  for which  $\lambda(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1} f \rightarrow f_\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

We first observe that  $\lambda v$  converges to zero locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, since  $u = \lambda v$  is uniformly bounded on  $L^\infty$  and satisfies

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda u - \Delta u + \nabla p &= \lambda f, & \operatorname{div} u &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ & & u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

for  $p = \lambda q$ , by elliptic regularity,  $u$  converges to a limit locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  together with  $\nabla u$  and  $p$ . This pressure  $p$  is unique up to constant. Since any bounded solutions of (1.13) must be trivial by the Stokes paradox, it turns out that  $u$ ,  $\nabla u$  and  $p$  converge to zero locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . This in particular implies that the stress tensor  $T = \nabla u + {}^t\nabla u - pI$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

The behavior of  $|\lambda| \|v\|_{L^\infty} = |u(x_\lambda)|$  depends on that of the points  $\{x_\lambda\}$ . If the points  $\{x_\lambda\}$  remain bounded,  $u(x_\lambda)$  converges to zero as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |u(x_\lambda)| = 0$ . If the points  $\{x_\lambda\}$  diverge, according to the logarithmic singularity of  $e_1(\kappa)$  as  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ , we consider two cases whether  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\lambda|^{1/2}|x_\lambda| > 0$  or  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\lambda|^{1/2}|x_\lambda| = 0$ . If  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\lambda|^{1/2}|x_\lambda| > 0$ , the kernel  $V^\lambda(x_\lambda)$  remains bounded by (1.18). Substituting  $x = x_\lambda$  into



$$(1.21) \quad u(x) = \lambda(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1} f + \int_{\partial\Omega} V^\lambda(x-y) TN(y) dH(y),$$

and sending  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |u(x_\lambda)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ .

If  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\lambda|^{1/2} |x_\lambda| = 0$ , the kernel  $V^\lambda(x_\lambda)$  can be singular as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . By (1.19),

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x) &= \lambda(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1} f - \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \log |x-y| TN(y) dH(y) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{V}^\lambda(x-y) TN(y) dH(y). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $x \in \Omega$ , sending  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  implies *the asymptotic formula for the net force*:

$$(1.23) \quad 0 = f_\infty - \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \log \sqrt{\lambda} \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y).$$

The formula (1.23) has been derived for the Oseen approximation by Finn and Smith [15]. It implies that the net force is asymptotically pure drag, i.e. the direction of the net force is asymptotically same as the uniform flow  $f_\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . By choosing a subsequence, we may assume that  $|\lambda|^{1/2} |x_\lambda| \rightarrow 0$ . We substitute  $x = x_\lambda$  into (1.22) and send  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $|x_\lambda| \leq |\lambda|^{-1/2}$  for small  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \log |x_\lambda - y| TN(y) dH(y) \right| \leq -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log |\lambda|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y) \right| + o(1) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

By (1.23),  $\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |u(x_\lambda)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ . Hence in all cases, the sup-norm of  $\lambda v = u$  is controlled by that of  $f$ .

Based on this observation, we apply a contradiction argument to obtain the desired estimate (1.11). We suppose that (1.11) were false and obtain sequences  $\{f_m\}$  and  $\{\lambda_m\} \subset \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}} |\lambda| \|R(\lambda) f_m\|_{L^\infty} &= 1, \quad \|f_m\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{m}, \\ |\lambda_m| \|R(\lambda_m) f_m\|_{L^\infty} &\geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_m \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We set  $u_m = \lambda_m R(\lambda_m) f_m$  and take a point  $x_m \in \Omega$  such that  $|u_m(x_m)| \geq 1/4$ . Since  $u_m$  satisfies the Stokes resolvent equations (1.20) for  $\lambda_m$  with the associated pressure  $p_m$ ,  $u_m$  converges to zero locally uniformly in  $\bar{\Omega}$  together with  $\nabla u_m$  and  $p_m$ . Then, there are two cases whether  $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_m|^{1/2} |x_m| > 0$  or  $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_m|^{1/2} |x_m| = 0$ . Since  $\|f_m\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ , in all cases we will see that  $1/4 \leq |u_m(x_m)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a contradiction.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the representation formula (1.15) for solutions of (1.10) for bounded data  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$  and non-existence of bounded solutions of (1.13). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3. After the proof of Theorem 1.3, we note large time behavior of  $S(t)v_0$  for  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$ .

## 2. STOKES RESOLVENT ON $L^\infty_\sigma$

We recall some existence and uniqueness result for the Stokes resolvent equations (1.10) for bounded data  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$ . To state a result, let  $L^p_{ul}(\overline{\Omega})$  denote the uniformly local  $L^p$ -space in  $\overline{\Omega}$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $W^{2,p}_{ul}(\overline{\Omega})$  denote the space of all uniformly local  $L^p$ -functions up to second orders. Let  $L^1_d(\overline{\Omega})$  denote the space of all functions  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $df \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with the distance function  $d(x) = \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} |x - y|$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (Resolvent estimates for large  $\lambda$ ). *(i) For  $p > 2$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$  and  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$  satisfying  $|\lambda| \geq \delta$ , there exists a unique solution  $(v, \nabla q) \in W^{2,p}_{ul}(\overline{\Omega}) \times (L^p_{ul}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^1_d(\Omega))$  of (1.10) satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad |\lambda| \|v\|_{L^\infty} + |\lambda|^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + |\lambda|^{1/p} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^p(\Omega_{x,|\lambda|^{-1/2}})} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^p(\Omega_{x,|\lambda|^{-1/2}})} \right\} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty},$$

for  $\Omega_{x,r} = \Omega \cap B(x, r)$ , where  $B(x, r)$  denotes an open ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ .

*(ii) The solution operator  $R(\lambda) : f \mapsto v$  is a bounded operator on  $L^\infty_\sigma$  and satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad \|R(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}, \quad |\lambda| \geq \delta,$$

with the constant  $C$  depending on  $\delta$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm on  $L^\infty_\sigma$ .

*Proof.* See [5, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3]. □

The a priori estimate (2.1) is obtained by applying the localization technique of Masuda [32] and Stewart [41] by using the  $L^\infty$ -estimate of the pressure. See (2.5) below. The uniqueness follows the same argument. The existence is based on the following approximation lemma for  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Approximation). *(i) There exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$  there exists a sequence  $\{f_m\} \subset C^\infty_{c,\sigma}$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_m\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ f_m &\rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The resolvent  $R(\lambda)f_m$  converges to  $R(\lambda)f$  locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion (i) is proved in [4, Lemma 5.1] by using the Bogovskiĭ operator. Since  $R(\lambda)f_m$  is resolvent of the Stokes operator on  $L_\sigma^p$ , i.e.  $R(\lambda)f_m = (\lambda - A)^{-1}f_m$  for  $A = \mathbb{P}\Delta$ , the assertion (ii) follows by applying the a priori estimate (2.1) and uniqueness of (1.10) [5].  $\square$

**Remarks 2.3.** (i) The associated pressure  $q$  of the problem (1.10) is a solution of the Neumann problem

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta q &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ N \cdot \nabla q &= -N \cdot \nabla^\perp \omega \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

for  $\omega = \partial_1 v^2 - \partial_2 v^1$ ,  $v = {}^t(v^1, v^2)$  and  $\nabla^\perp = {}^t(\partial_2, -\partial_1)$ . Since  $-\Delta v = \nabla^\perp \omega$ , this boundary condition follows by taking the normal trace of (1.10). The problem (2.4) has a unique solution satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(x) |\nabla q(x)| \leq C \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)},$$

[3], [4], [25] and by using the solution operator  $\mathbb{K} : \omega \mapsto \nabla q$ , the associated pressure gradient is represented by  $\nabla q = \mathbb{K}\omega$  for  $v = R(\lambda)f$  and  $f \in L_\sigma^\infty$ .

(ii) The operator  $R(\lambda)$  is pseudo-resolvent on  $L_\sigma^\infty$  with the trivial kernel, i.e.  $\text{Ker } R(\lambda) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $v = R(\lambda)f = 0$ , we have  $\nabla q = \mathbb{K}\omega = 0$  and  $f = 0$ . Hence by the open mapping theorem, there exists a closed operator  $A$  such that  $R(\lambda) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ . We call  $A$  the Stokes operator on  $L_\sigma^\infty$ .

We shall prove the representation formula (1.15) for solutions of (1.10) with the kernels (1.16) and (1.17).

**Lemma 2.4** (Representation formula). *The solution  $v = R(\lambda)f$  and  $\nabla q = \mathbb{K}\omega$  for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$  and  $f \in L_\sigma^\infty$  is represented by*

$$(2.6) \quad v(x) = \int_{\Omega} E^\lambda(x-y)f(y)dy + \int_{\partial\Omega} V^\lambda(x-y)TN(y)dH(y), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

for  $T = \nabla v + {}^t\nabla v - qI$ .

*Proof.* We denote by  $\overline{f}$  the zero extension of  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Observe that  $(\overline{v}, \overline{q})$  is a weak solution of the problem

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda \overline{v} - \Delta \overline{v} + \nabla \overline{q} = \overline{f} + \mu, \quad \text{div } \overline{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for a measure  $\mu$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad (\mu, \varphi) = \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) \cdot \varphi(y) dH(y), \quad \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  denotes the space of all continuous functions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  vanishing at space infinity and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the pairing between  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and its adjoint space. Indeed, multiplying  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by (1.10) and integration by parts imply (2.7) in a weak sense. The formula (2.6) formally follows by multiplying  $(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}\mathbb{P}$  by (2.7). We set  $v_1 = (\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}\bar{f}$  and  $v_2 = \bar{v} - v_1$  to see that

$$\lambda v_2 - \Delta v_2 + \nabla q = \mu, \quad \operatorname{div} v_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By the mollifications  $v_{2,\varepsilon} = v_2 * \eta_\varepsilon$ ,  $q_\varepsilon = q * \eta_\varepsilon$  and  $\mu_\varepsilon = \mu * \eta_\varepsilon$  with the standard mollifier  $\eta_\varepsilon$ ,  $(v_{2,\varepsilon}, q_\varepsilon)$  satisfies the above problem for  $\mu_\varepsilon \in L^p$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . By multiplying  $(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}\mathbb{P}$  by the equation, we have

$$v_{2,\varepsilon}(x) = (\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}\mathbb{P}\mu_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^\lambda(x-y)\mu_\varepsilon(y)dy = \eta_\varepsilon * \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} V^\lambda(x-y)TN(y)dH(y) \right).$$

Sending  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  yields (2.6). This completes the proof.  $\square$

The Stokes paradox follows a similar argument using the fundamental tensor of the Stokes equations. The following result is due to Chang and Finn [12, Theorem 3].

**Lemma 2.5** (Stokes paradox). *Let  $(v, \nabla q) \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\bar{\Omega}) \times L_{loc}^p(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , satisfy (1.13). Assume that*

$$(2.9) \quad v(x) = o(\log |x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then,  $v \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla q \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* We give a proof for completeness. Observe that the zero extension  $(\bar{v}, \bar{q})$  is a solution of the problem

$$(2.10) \quad -\Delta \bar{v} + \nabla \bar{q} = \mu, \quad \operatorname{div} \bar{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for a measure  $\mu$  defined by (2.8). By the fundamental tensor of the Stokes equations  $V = (V_{ij})$  and  $Q = (Q_j)$  [18, p.239],

$$V_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( -\delta_{ij} \log |x| + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} \right), \quad Q_j(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{x_j}{|x|^2},$$

we set  $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{q})$  by

$$\tilde{v}(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} V(x-y) TN(y) dH(y), \quad \tilde{q}(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q(x-y) \cdot TN(y) dH(y).$$

The functions  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  are locally integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\tilde{v} = O(\log |x|)$ ,  $\nabla \tilde{v} = O(|x|^{-1})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Observe that  $u = \bar{v} - \tilde{v}$  and  $p = \bar{q} - \tilde{q}$  is a weak solution of

$$(2.11) \quad -\Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Since  $u$  and  $p$  are locally integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , by mollification we may assume that they are smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\omega = \partial_1 u^2 - \partial_2 u^1$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and satisfies  $-\Delta \omega = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\omega$  is constant by the Liouville theorem. By  $-\Delta u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u = O(\log |x|)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u$  and  $p$  are constants. Hence by shifting the pressure up to constant

$$(2.12) \quad v(x) = v_\infty + \int_{\partial\Omega} V(x-y) TN(y) dH(y), \quad q(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} Q(x-y) \cdot TN(y) dH(y),$$

for some constant  $v_\infty$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= v_\infty + V(x) \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y) + O(|x|^{-1}), \\ q(x) &= Q(x) \cdot \int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y) + O(|x|^{-2}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v = o(\log |x|)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , by dividing  $v$  by  $\log |x|$  and sending  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} TN(y) dH(y) = 0.$$

Hence  $v - v_\infty = O(|x|^{-1})$  and  $\nabla v, q = O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . By multiplying  $v - v_\infty$  by (1.13) and integration by parts in  $\Omega \cap B(0, R)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B(0, R)} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_{\partial B(0, R)} (TN) \cdot (v - v_\infty) dH(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

By  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $v \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla q \equiv 0$  follow. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** For  $n \geq 3$ , the fundamental tensor of the Stokes equations (2.11) is  $V = (V_{ij})$ ,  $Q = (Q_j)$  for

$$V_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2n(n-2)\alpha(n)} \left( \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|^{n-2}} + (n-2) \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^n} \right), \quad Q_j(x) = \frac{1}{n\alpha(n)} \frac{x_j}{|x|^n}.$$

In the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.5, we see that any bounded solutions  $v$  of (1.13) is of the form (2.12) for some constant  $v_\infty$ .

### 3. THE RESOLVENT ESTIMATE

We prove the estimate (1.11). By the approximation for  $f \in L_\sigma^\infty$  (Lemma 2.2), it suffices to show (1.11) for  $f \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$ .

#### Proposition 3.1.

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}} |\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty, \quad f \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $C_{c,\sigma}^\infty \subset L_\sigma^p$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $R(\lambda)f = (\lambda - A)^{-1}f$  for  $A = \mathbb{P}\Delta$  and the Helmholtz projection operator  $\mathbb{P}$ . The domain  $D(A) = W^{2,p} \cap W_0^{1,p} \cap L_\sigma^p$  is equipped with the graph-norm and  $D(A) \subset W^{2,p}$  with continuous injection [21]. Here,  $W^{2,p}$  denotes the Sobolev space and  $W_0^{1,p}$  denotes the space of all trace zero functions in  $W^{1,p}$ . By the  $L^p$ -resolvent estimate  $|\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}$  [11] and the Sobolev embedding for  $p \in (2, \infty)$ ,

$$\|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|R(\lambda)f\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq C' (\|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^p} + \|AR(\lambda)f\|_{L^p}) \leq C'' \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda|} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Hence  $|\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^\infty}$  is bounded for  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . Since  $|\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $|\lambda| \geq 1$  by (2,2), (3.1) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists a constant  $C$  such that (1.11) holds for  $f \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$  and  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction. Suppose that (1.11) were false. Then, for  $m \geq 1$  there exists  $\tilde{f}_m \in C_{c,\sigma}^\infty$  such that

$$M_m = \sup_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}} |\lambda| \|R(\lambda)\tilde{f}_m\|_{L^\infty}(\lambda) > m\|\tilde{f}_m\|_{L^\infty}.$$

By setting  $f_m = \tilde{f}_m/M_m$ ,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}} |\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f_m\|_{L^\infty}(\lambda) = 1, \quad \|f_m\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{m}.$$

We set  $v_m = R(\lambda)f_m$  and take a point  $\lambda_m \in \Sigma_{\theta+\pi/2}$  such that

$$|\lambda_m| \|v_m\|_{L^\infty} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We may assume that  $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$  by (2.2). Observe that  $u_m = \lambda_m v_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m u_m - \Delta u_m + \nabla p_m &= \lambda_m f_m, & \operatorname{div} u_m &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_m &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with some associated pressure  $p_m$ . We take a point  $x_m \in \Omega$  such that

$$|u_m(x_m)| \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

We normalize the pressure  $p_m$  so that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} p_m dH(y) = 0$ . Since  $u_m - \Delta u_m + \nabla p_m = \lambda_m(f_m - u_m) + u_m$ , applying the resolvent estimates (2.1) for  $p > 2$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ \|\nabla^2 u_m\|_{L^p(\Omega \cap B(x,1))} + \|\nabla p_m\|_{L^p(\Omega \cap B(x,1))} \right\} &\leq C(\|\lambda_m(f_m - u_m)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_m\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq C', \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{u_m\}$  is equi-continuous in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . By choosing a subsequence (still denoted by  $\{u_m\}$ ),  $u_m$  converges to a limit  $u$  locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  together with  $\nabla u_m$  and  $p_m$ . Then the limit  $u$  is a bounded solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \nabla p &= 0, & \operatorname{div} u &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with the associated pressure  $p$ . Applying Lemma 2.5 implies that  $u \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla p \equiv 0$ . Since  $\int_{\partial\Omega} p dH(y) = 0$ ,  $p \equiv 0$ . Hence we have

$$(3.2) \quad u_m \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \overline{\Omega},$$

together with  $\nabla u_m$  and  $p_m$ . In particular,  $T_m = \nabla u_m + {}^t\nabla u_m - p_m I \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $\partial\Omega$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose that  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_m| < \infty$ . By choosing a subsequence, we may assume that  $\{x_m\}$  converges to some point in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . This implies that  $1/4 \leq |u_m(x_m)| \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction. We may assume that  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_m| = \infty$ . By choosing a subsequence, we may assume

that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_m| = \infty$ . We consider two cases depending on whether  $|\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m|$  vanishes or not.

*Case 1.*  $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| > 0$ .

We may assume that  $|\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| \geq d$  for some constant  $d > 0$  by choosing a subsequence. By the representation formula (2.6),

$$u_m(x) = (\lambda_m - \Delta)^{-1} \lambda_m f_m + \int_{\partial\Omega} V^{\lambda_m}(x-y) T_m N(y) dH(y).$$

By  $|\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| \geq d$  and (1.18),

$$\sup_{y \in \partial\Omega} |V^{\lambda_m}(x_m - y)| \leq C, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1.$$

By the  $L^\infty$ -estimate  $|\lambda_m| \|(\lambda_m - \Delta)^{-1} f_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f_m\|_{L^\infty}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq |u_m(x_m)| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{m} + \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_m N(y)| dH(y) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus Case 1 does not occur.

*Case 2.*  $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| = 0$ .

We may assume that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| = 0$ . By the representation formula (2.6) and (1.19),

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_m(x) &= (\lambda_m - \Delta)^{-1} \lambda_m f_m - \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \sqrt{\lambda_m} \int_{\partial\Omega} T_m N(y) dH(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \log |x-y| T_m N(y) dH(y) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{V}^{\lambda_m}(x-y) T_m N(y) dH(y). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), sending  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed  $x \in \Omega$  implies

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log |\lambda_m|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} T_m N(y) dH(y) \right|.$$

We substitute  $x = x_m$  into (3.3). By (1.18),

$$\sup_{y \in \partial\Omega} |\tilde{V}^{\lambda_m}(x_m - y)| \leq C, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1.$$

Since  $|\lambda_m|^{1/2}|x_m| \leq 1$  for sufficiently large  $m$ ,  $\log |x_m| \leq -\log |\lambda_m|^{1/2}$  and



$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \log|x_m - y| TN(y) dH(y) \right| &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \log \left| \frac{x_m}{|x_m|} - \frac{y}{|x_m|} \right| \right| |T_m N(y)| dH(y) + \log|x_m| \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} T_m N(y) dH(y) \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_m N(y)| dH(y) - \log|\lambda_m|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} T_m N(y) dH(y) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \leq |u_m(x_m)| &\leq \frac{C}{m} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log|\lambda_m|^{1/2} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} T_m N(y) dH(y) \right| + C \int_{\partial\Omega} |T_m N(y)| dH(y) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We obtained a contradiction. Thus Case 2 does not occur.

We conclude that both Case 1 and Case 2 do not occur. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* For  $f \in L^\infty_\sigma$ , we take a sequence  $\{f_m\} \subset C^\infty_{c,\sigma}$  satisfying (2.3) by Lemma 2.2 (i). Since  $|\lambda| \|R(\lambda)f_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty}$  for all  $m \geq 1$  and  $R(\lambda)f_m$  converges to  $R(\lambda)f$  locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$  by Lemma 2.2 (ii), the limit satisfies the desired estimate. Hence the assertion (i) holds. The assertion (ii) follows from the Dunford integral of the resolvent by using (1.11).  $\square$

**Remarks 3.3.** (i) Besides the estimate (1.12), we obtain estimates for spatial derivatives,

$$(3.5) \quad \|\nabla S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma.$$

This follows from (1.12) and the finite time estimate  $t^{1/2}\|\nabla S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} + t\|\nabla^2 S(t)v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $0 < t \leq T$  [4].

(ii) For  $n \geq 2$  and  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$ , Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$(3.6) \quad S(t)v_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In fact, suppose that (3.6) were false. Then, there exists a sequence  $\{t_m\}$  such that  $t_m \rightarrow \infty$  and (3.6) does not hold. By (1.12), (3.5) and choosing a subsequence (still denoted by  $\{t_m\}$ )  $v_m(t) = S(t + t_m)v_0$  converges to a limit  $v$  locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ . Since the limit  $v$  is bounded and independent of  $t$ ,  $v \equiv 0$  by Lemma 2.5 and  $S(t_m)v_0 \rightarrow 0$  locally uniformly in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . This is a contradiction.

(iii) For  $n \geq 3$  and  $v_0 \in L^\infty_\sigma$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad S(t)v_0 \rightarrow v \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some solution  $v$  of the stationary Stokes equations (1.13). Since any bounded solutions

of (1.13) for  $n \geq 3$  must be asymptotically constant as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  by Remark 2.6,  $S(t)v_0$  is asymptotically constant as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  for any bounded initial data  $v_0 \in L^\infty_{\sigma}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is partially supported by JSPS through the Grant-in-aid for Young Scientist (B) 17K14217, Scientific Research (B) 17H02853 and Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Joint Usage / Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics).

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Abe. Global well-posedness of the two-dimensional exterior Navier-Stokes equations for non-decaying data. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 227:69–104, (2018).
- [2] K. Abe. Liouville theorems for the Stokes equations with applications to large time estimates. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 278:108321, (2020).
- [3] K. Abe and Y. Giga. Analyticity of the Stokes semigroup in spaces of bounded functions. *Acta Math.*, 211:1–46, (2013).
- [4] K. Abe and Y. Giga. The  $L^\infty$ -Stokes semigroup in exterior domains. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 14:1–28, (2014).
- [5] K. Abe, Y. Giga, and M. Hieber. Stokes resolvent estimates in spaces of bounded functions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, 48:537–559, (2015).
- [6] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander. *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, second edition, 2011.
- [7] M. Bolkart and M. Hieber. Pointwise upper bounds for the solution of the Stokes equation on  $L^\infty_c(\Omega)$  and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 268:1678–1710, (2015).
- [8] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa.  $L^2$  decay for the Navier-Stokes flow in halfspaces. *Math. Ann.*, 282:139–155, (1988).
- [9] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa. On some coercive estimates for the Stokes problem in unbounded domains. In *The Navier-Stokes equations II—theory and numerical methods (Oberwolfach, 1991)*, volume 1530 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 71–84. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [10] W. Borchers and H. Sohr. On the semigroup of the Stokes operator for exterior domains in  $L^q$ -spaces. *Math. Z.*, 196:415–425, (1987).
- [11] W. Borchers and W. Varnhorn. On the boundedness of the Stokes semigroup in two-dimensional exterior domains. *Math. Z.*, 213:275–299, (1993).
- [12] I.-D. Chang and R. Finn. On the solutions of a class of equations occurring in continuum mechanics, with application to the Stokes paradox. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, pages 388–401, (1961).
- [13] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [14] W. Desch, M. Hieber, and J. Prüss.  $L^p$ -theory of the Stokes equation in a half space. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 1:115–142, (2001).
- [15] R. Finn and D. R. Smith. On the linearized hydrodynamical equations in two dimensions. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 25:1–25, (1967).
- [16] D. Fujiwara and H. Morimoto. An  $L_r$ -theorem of the Helmholtz decomposition of vector fields. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 24:685–700, (1977).
- [17] G. P. Galdi. Stationary Navier-Stokes problem in a two-dimensional exterior domain. In *Stationary partial differential equations. Vol. I*, Handb. Differ. Equ., pages 71–155. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.

- [18] G. P. Galdi. *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.
- [19] T. Gallay. Infinite energy solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.*, 26:979–1027, (2017).
- [20] T. Gallay and S. Slijepčević. Uniform boundedness and long-time asymptotics for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in an infinite cylinder. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 17:23–46, (2015).
- [21] Y. Giga. Analyticity of the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator in  $L_r$  spaces. *Math. Z.*, 178:297–329, (1981).
- [22] C. He and T. Miyakawa. Nonstationary Navier-Stokes flows in a two-dimensional exterior domain with rotational symmetries. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 55:1483–1555, (2006).
- [23] M. Hieber and P. Maremonti. Bounded analyticity of the Stokes semigroup on spaces of bounded functions. In *Recent developments of mathematical fluid mechanics*, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., pages 275–289. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2016.
- [24] H. Jia, G. Seregin, and V. Šverák. Liouville theorems in unbounded domains for the time-dependent Stokes system. *J. Math. Phys.*, 53:115604, 9, (2012).
- [25] C. E. Kenig, F. Lin, and Z. Shen. Homogenization of elliptic systems with Neumann boundary conditions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26:901–937, (2013).
- [26] G. Koch, N. Nadirashvili, G. A. Seregin, and V. Šverák. Liouville theorems for the Navier-Stokes equations and applications. *Acta Math.*, 203:83–105, (2009).
- [27] H. Kozono.  $L^1$ -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains. *Math. Ann.*, 312:319–340, (1998).
- [28] A. Lunardi. *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [29] Y. Maekawa. On stability of physically reasonable solutions to the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, pages 1–52, (2019).
- [30] P. Maremonti. On the Stokes problem in exterior domains: the maximum modulus theorems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 34:2135–2171, (2014).
- [31] P. Maremonti and S. Shimizu. Global existence of solutions to 2-d Navier-Stokes flow with non decaying initial data in exterior domains. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 20:899–927, (2018).
- [32] K. Masuda. On the generation of analytic semigroups by elliptic differential operators with unbounded coefficients. unpublished, (1972).
- [33] M. McCracken. The resolvent problem for the Stokes equations on halfspace in  $L_p$ . *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 12:201–228, (1981).
- [34] T. Miyakawa. On nonstationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 12:115–140, 1982.
- [35] R. Mizumachi. On the asymptotic behavior of incompressible viscous fluid motions past bodies. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 36:497–522, (1984).
- [36] J. Saal. The Stokes operator with Robin boundary conditions in solenoidal subspaces of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32:343–373, (2007).
- [37] G. Seregin and V. Šverák. On type I singularities of the local axi-symmetric solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34:171–201, (2009).
- [38] C. G. Simader and H. Sohr. A new approach to the Helmholtz decomposition and the Neumann problem in  $L^q$ -spaces for bounded and exterior domains. In *Mathematical problems relating to the Navier-Stokes equation*, volume 11 of *Ser. Adv. Math. Appl. Sci.*, pages 1–35. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [39] V. A. Solonnikov. Estimates of the solution of a certain initial-boundary value problem for a linear nonstationary system of Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 59:178–254, 257, (1976). Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 9.
- [40] V. A. Solonnikov. On nonstationary Stokes problem and Navier-Stokes problem in a half-space with initial data nondecreasing at infinity. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 114:1726–1740, (2003).
- [41] H. B. Stewart. Generation of analytic semigroups by strongly elliptic operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 199:141–162, (1974).
- [42] S. Ukai. A solution formula for the Stokes equation in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 40:611–621, (1987).

- [43] S. Zelik. Infinite energy solutions for damped Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 15:717–745, (2013).

(K. Abe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* kabe@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp