

On the Cauchy problem of a sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard equation arising in oil-water-surfactant mixtures

Xiaopeng Zhao

College of Sciences, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110004, China

E-mail address: zhaoxiaopeng@mail.neu.edu.cn

Abstract

We study the global well-posedness and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem of three-dimensional sixth order Cahn-Hilliard equation arising in oil-water-surfactant mixtures. First, by using the pure energy method and a standard continuity argument, we prove that there exists a unique global strong solution provided that the H^2 -norm of initial data is sufficiently small. Moreover, we also establish the suitable negative Sobolev norm estimates and obtain the time decay rate of strong solutions. It is worth pointing out that although the problem we considered is a sixth-order parabolic equation, the time decay rate is equivalent to the decay rate of fourth-order generalized heat equation, which is better than our expect.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K25, 35A01, 35B40.

Keywords: Sixth order Cahn-Hilliard equation; global well-posedness; decay rate; pure energy method.

1 Introduction

In 1990s, Gompper et. al. [11, 12] introduced the following free energy functional

$$\mathcal{F}\{u\} = \int G(u, \nabla u, \Delta u) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

with the density given by

$$G(u, \nabla u, \Delta u) = f(u) + \frac{1}{2}a(u)|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}|\Delta u|^2,$$

to describe the dynamics of phase transitions in ternary oil-water-surfactant systems, where $u(x, t)$ describes the scalar order parameter which is proportional to the local difference between oil and water concentrations, δ denotes the mobility and the second gradient energy coefficient, $a(u)$ is the first gradient energy coefficient which may be of arbitrary sign, and $f(u)$ denotes the multiwell volumetric free energy density [23, 24, 29], respectively.

Let M be the mobility and μ the chemical potential difference between the oil and water phases. Applying mass conservation, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{div} j,$$

with the mass flux j given by

$$j = -M\nabla\mu.$$

Moreover, the chemical potential can be defined by the constitutive equation

$$\mu = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}\{u\}}{\delta u},$$

where $\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}\{u\}}{\delta u}$ is the first variation of the function $\mathcal{F}\{u\}$. Let the mobility $M \equiv \text{const}$, we end up with the following sixth order viscous Cahn-Hilliard type equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = M\Delta\mu, \\ \mu = \delta\Delta^2u - a(u)\Delta u - \frac{1}{2}a'(u)|\nabla u|^2 + f(u). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Many papers have studied the initial boundary value problem of equation (1.2) from the point of view of global well-posedness. In [23], Pawlow and Zajackowski assumed that the considered space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a boundary of class C^6 , the free energy density $f(u)$ is a sixth order polynomial, $a(u) = g_0 + g_2u^2$ with $g_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_2 > 0$, proved the existence of unique global smooth solution which depends continuously on the initial datum; Moreover, by using the Bäcklund transformation and the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, Pawlow and Zajackowski [25] proved the global unique solvability of equation (1.2) in the Sobolev space $H^{6,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ under the assumption that the initial datum is in $H^3(\Omega)$. Schimperna and Pawlow [29] discussed the existence, uniqueness and parabolic regularization of a weak solution to the initial boundary value problem of equation (1.2) with a singular (e.g., logarithmic) character. The authors also supposed that the parameter $\delta = 0$, investigated a fourth order system, considered the existence of weak solutions under very general conditions by means of a fixed point argument. In 2013, Schimperna and Pawlow [28] continued to study the fourth order system with singular diffusion. The authors proved that, for any final time T , the system admits a unique energy type weak solution, and for any $\tau > 0$, such solution is classical. Moreover, based on Leray-Schauder's fixed point theorem and Campanato spaces, Liu and Wang [18] prove the existence of time-periodic solutions for the initial-boundary value problem of equation (1.2) in two space dimensions.

There's also some results on the equation (1.2) with viscous term. In the paper of Pawlow and Zajackowski [24], applying Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem and suitable estimates, the authors established the existence and uniqueness of a global in time regular solution. Very recently, based on the estimates of weighted Sobolev spaces, Duan and Zhao [9] considered the existence of global attractor for equation (1.2) with viscous term in a 2D belt unbounded domain.

A Cauchy problem in mathematics asks for the solution of a partial differential equation that satisfies certain conditions that are given on a hypersurface in the domain. It is worth pointing out that the study of Cauchy problem on higher order nonlinear diffusion equations is also interesting. There are many classical results related to this topic (e.g., Caffarelli and Muler [3], Dlotko, Kania and Sun [8], Cholewa and Rodriguez-Bernal [5] for the global existence of higher order diffusion equations; Dlotko and Sun [7], Savostianov and Zelik [27] for the global dynamics of higher order diffusion equations). As far as we know, there's no reference concerning this aspect of the sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard equation till now. Can we establish some well-posedness results for the Cauchy problem of sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.2)? We will answer this question in this paper.

Consider the Cauchy problem of sixth-order viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.2) in \mathbb{R}^3 . The problem is state as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \delta\Delta^3u = -\Delta \left[a(u)\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}a'(u)|\nabla u|^2 - f(u) \right], & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.1. There are some different choices on the functions $f(s)$ and $a(s)$. For example: on the basis of Landau-Ginzburg free energy, Gompper et al. [11, 12] choose $f(s)$ and $a(s)$ as

$$f(s) = (s+1)^2(s^2+h_0)(s-1)^2, \quad a(s) = g_0 + g_2s^2, \quad (1.4)$$

where h_0, g_0 and $g_2 > 0$ are constants. Based on the fourth order gradient free energy, Pawlow and Zajczkowski [25] suppose that

$$f(s) = (1-\alpha)\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^4}{4}, \quad a(s) = -2. \quad (1.5)$$

A specific free energy with composition-dependent gradient energy coefficient $a(u)$ also arise in the modeling of phase separation in polymers [10, 24]. This energy, known as Flory-Huggins-de Gennes energy, has the form (1.1) with $\delta = 0$,

$$F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau)d\tau = (1-s)\log(1-s) + (1+s)\log(1+s) - \frac{\lambda}{2}s^2, \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

and the singular coefficient

$$a(s) = \frac{1}{(1-s)(1+s)}.$$

Remark 1.2. The sixth order Cahn-Hilliard equation model is only a phenomenological model. Hence, various modifications of it, for example, [16, 17, 19, 20] and the reference therein, has been proposed in order to capture the dynamical picture of the phase transition phenomena better.

In this paper, we suppose that $f(s)$ and $a(s)$ satisfy (1.4). There are two cases to be considered: First, the parameter $g_0 > 0$, equation (1.3)₁ can be rewritten as

$$u_t - \delta\Delta^3u + g_0\Delta^2u = -\Delta [g_2u^2\Delta u + g_2u|\nabla u|^2 - (u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)]. \quad (1.6)$$

Second, if the parameter $g_0 \leq 0$, we would like to rewrite problem (1.3) as

$$u_t - \delta\Delta^3u + (1-g_0)\Delta^2u = -\Delta \left[g_2 \left(u + \sqrt{\frac{1-2g_0}{g_2}} \right) \left(u - \sqrt{\frac{1-2g_0}{g_2}} \right) \Delta u + g_2u|\nabla u|^2 - (u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0) \right]. \quad (1.7)$$

For simplicity, we consider the following Cauchy problem in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \delta\Delta^3u + \kappa_0\Delta^2u = -\Delta [\kappa_1(u+\kappa_2)(u-\kappa_2)\Delta u + \kappa_1u|\nabla u|^2 - (u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)], \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where $\delta > 0, \kappa_0 > 0, \kappa_1 > 0, \kappa_2 \geq 0$ and $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. If $\kappa_0 = g_0 > 0, \kappa_1 = g_2$ and $\kappa_2 = 0$, then equation (1.8)₁ is equivalent to (1.6). Moreover, if $\kappa_0 = 1 - g_0 > 0, \kappa_1 = g_2$ and $\kappa_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1-2g_0}{g_2}}$, we obtain equation (1.7).

Remark 1.3. Since we consider problem (1.8) in \mathbb{R}^3 , the Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\delta$ ($\delta \in \mathbb{R}$) can be defined through the Fourier transform, namely

$$(-\Delta)^\delta f(x) = \Lambda^{2\delta} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{2\delta} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi, \quad (1.9)$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f . Moreover, ∇^l with an integral $l \geq 0$ stands for the usual spatial derivatives of order l . If $l < 0$ or l is not a positive integer, ∇^l stands for Λ^l defined by (1.9). We also use $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) to denote the homogeneous Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{R}^3 with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ defined by $\|f\|_{H^s} := \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2}$, and we use $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) to describe the usual Sobolev spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ and the usual L^p space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. We use the notation $A \lesssim B$ to mean that $A \leq cB$ for a universal constant $c > 0$ that only depends on the parameters coming from the problem and the indexes N and s coming from the regularity on the data. We also employ C for positive constant depending additionally on the initial data.

The first purpose of this paper is to consider the global well-posedness of solutions for problem (1.8). More precisely, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. Let $N \geq 2$, assume that $u_0 \in H^N(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{H^2} \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad (1.10)$$

then there exists a unique global solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^N}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla \Delta u(s)\|_{H^N}^2 + \|\Delta u(s)\|_{H^N}^2) ds \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^N}^2. \quad (1.11)$$

The asymptotic behavior of solutions is also an interesting topic in the study of the Cauchy problem of dissipative equations. In this paper, we also want to establish the results on the asymptotic behavior, i.e., we show the solutions of problem (1.8) satisfy some negative exponent decay rate:

Theorem 1.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, and assuming that $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\|\Lambda^{-s} u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\|\nabla^l u(t)\|_{H^{N-l}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{l+s}{4}}, \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem implies that for $p \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2]$, $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s = 3(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Therefore, based on Theorem 1.4, we obtain the following corollary on the optimal decay estimates.

Corollary 1.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, if we replace the $\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ assumption by $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($\frac{3}{2} \leq p \leq 2$), then the following decay estimate holds:

$$\|\nabla^l u(t)\|_{H^{N-l}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\sigma_l}, \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\sigma_l = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{l}{4}.$$

Remark 1.7. There's an amazing phenomenon: although the problem we considered is a sixth-order parabolic equation, the temporary decay of it satisfies (1.13) and (1.14), which is equivalent to the decay rate of fourth-order generalized heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \Delta^2 u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \geq 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

That is because of the introduce of the fourth order linear term $\kappa_0 \Delta^2 u$ in (1.8). After introduce this term, the last term on the right hand side, which can be seen as a second order nonlinear term, can be controlled by it. Since both $-\Delta^3 u$ and $\Delta^2 u$ are "good" term, we can use the lower order one to study the decay estimate. Hence, the decay of problem (1.8) is equivalent to the decay rate of fourth order generalized heat equation.

The main difficulties to consider the Cauchy problem of sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard equation arising in oil-water-surfactant mixtures in \mathbb{R}^3 are how to deal with the second order nonlinear term $\Delta f(u) = \Delta(u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2 + h_0)$ and how to obtain a negative Sobolev estimates to study the decay rate of solutions. Since the principle part of problem (1.8) is a six-order linear term and the nonlinear term $\Delta f(u)$ is only second-order. Due to Sobolev's embedding theorem in \mathbb{R}^3 , we can't control a second-order nonlinear term through sixth-order linear term. In order to overcome this difficulty, we borrow a fourth-order term from the other nonlinear term $\Delta(a(u)\Delta u)$, rewrite (1.3)₁ as (1.8). Hence, one can control the second-order nonlinear term by the last term of the left hand side of equation (1.8). On the other hand, to consider the temporal decay rate of solutions of dissipative equations, one of the main tools is the standard Fourier splitting method [30, 31]. By using this method, lots of decay problems were solved (see e.g., [1, 2, 4, 6, 21] and the reference therein). In this paper, since there exists the lower order linear term in the right hand side of problem (1.8), it is difficulty for us to use Fourier splitting method to study the decay rate of solutions. By using the pure energy method [14, 33, 34] of using a family of scaled energy estimates with minimum derivative counts and interpolations among them, we overcome the difficulty caused by the lower order linear term of right hand side of (1.8), obtained the suitable a priori estimates in the Sobolev space H^N and the negative Sobolev space \dot{H}^{-s} ($0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$), establish the optimal decay rate of problem (1.8) in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminary results, which are useful to prove our main results. Section 3 is devoted to prove the small data global well-posedness of problem (1.8). In the last section, we establish the time decay rate of solutions.

2 Preliminaries

First of all, we introduce the Kato-Ponce inequality which is of great importance in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 ([15]). Let $1 < p < \infty$, $s > 0$. There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|\Lambda^s(fg) - f\Lambda^s g\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|\Lambda^{s-1}g\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^s fg\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|\Lambda^s g\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}), \quad (2.2)$$

where $p_2, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$.

The following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality was proved in [22].

Lemma 2.2 ([22]). Let $0 \leq m, \alpha \leq l$, then we have

$$\|\nabla^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^l f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and α satisfies

$$\frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{1}{p} = \left(\frac{m}{3} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(1-\theta) + \left(\frac{l}{3} - \frac{1}{r}\right)\theta. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, when $p = \infty$, we require that $0 < \theta < 1$.

There's a Sobolev embedding for the homogeneous space \dot{H}^s .

Lemma 2.3 ([26]). There exists a constant c such that for $0 \leq s < \frac{3}{2}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}} \leq c\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.5)$$

We now give Agmon's inequality in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 ([26]). If $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then u is (almost everywhere equal to) a continuous function and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We also introduce the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem, which implies the following L^p type inequality.

Lemma 2.5 ([13, 32]). Let $0 \leq s < \frac{3}{2}$, $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{3} = \frac{1}{p}$, then

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.7)$$

The following special Sobolev interpolation lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.6 ([14, 34]). Let $s, k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 0$, then

$$\|\nabla^l f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla^{l+k} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta, \quad \text{with } \theta = \frac{2}{l+k+s}. \quad (2.8)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

3.1 Energy estimates

The purpose of this subsection is to establish the a priori nonlinear energy estimates for problem (1.8). Hence, we suppose that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^2(t)} = \|u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

We begin with the energy estimates including u itself.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that all assumptions in Theorem 1.4 hold. If $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^2(t)} \leq \delta$, then for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^k u|^2 dx + (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \lesssim (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^5) (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Applying ∇^k to (1.8)₁, multiplying the resulting identity by $\nabla^k u$, and then integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 by parts, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa_0 \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \{ \Delta [(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta u] \} \cdot \nabla^k u dx - \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [\Delta (u |\nabla u|^2)] \cdot \nabla^k u dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \Delta [(u - 1)^2 (u + 1)^2 (u^2 + h_0)] \cdot \nabla^k u dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We can estimate the first term of the right hand side of (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \{ \Delta [(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta u] \} \cdot \nabla^k u dx \\
&= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta u] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= -\kappa_1 \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l} C_k^l C_l^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m (u + \kappa_2) \cdot \nabla^{l-m} (u - \kappa_2) \cdot \nabla^{k-l} \Delta u \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^m (u + \kappa_2)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{l-m} (u - \kappa_2)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k-l+2} u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^{m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{l-m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k-l+3} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{m}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{m}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l-m}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l-m}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [\Delta (u|\nabla u|^2)] \cdot \nabla^k u dx \\
&= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k (u|\nabla u|^2) \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= -\kappa_1 \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l} C_k^l C_l^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m u \cdot \nabla^{l-m} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^{k-l} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^m u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{l+1-m} u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1-l} u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^{m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{l+2-m} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+2-l} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{m}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{m}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1-m}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1-m}{k+2}} \\
&\quad \cdot \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k+1-l}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{k+1-l}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, the last term of the right hand side of (3.3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \Delta [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)] \cdot \nabla^k u dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2 u^2] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&\quad + h_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= I_{31} + I_{32}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{31} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2u^2] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq l} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l} C_k^l C_l^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m (u-1)^2 \cdot \nabla^{l-m} (u+1)^2 \cdot \nabla^{k-l} u^2 \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^m (u-1)^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{l-m} (u+1)^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k-l} u^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^k \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u-1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^m (u-1)\|_{L^6} \|u+1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{l-m} (u+1)\|_{L^6} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{k-l} u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}})^3 \|\nabla^{m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{l-m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k-l+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^3) \\
&\quad \cdot (\|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{m+1}{k+2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{m+1}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l-m+1}{k+2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l-m+1}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{k-l}{k+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{k-l}{k+2}} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}) \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^2}^5 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^5 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{32} &= h_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2] \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&= h_0 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq l} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l} C_k^l C_l^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m (u-1) \cdot \nabla^{l-m} (u-1) \cdot \nabla^{k-l} (u+1)^2 \cdot \nabla^k \Delta u dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^m (u-1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{l-m} (u-1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k-l} (u+1)^2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^k \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u+1\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^m (u-1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{l-m} (u-1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k-l} (u+1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^{m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{l-m+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k-l+1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{H^2}^3 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^3 (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Plugging the estimates (3.4)-(3.8) into (3.3), because ε is small, we then obtain (3.2) and complete the proof. \square

3.2 Local well-posedness

In this subsection, we prove the local well-posedness of solution $u(t)$ in H^2 -norm.

We first construct the solution $(u^j)_{j \geq 0}$ by solving iteratively the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^{j+1} - \delta \Delta^3 u^{j+1} + \kappa_0 \Delta^2 u^{j+1} = -\Delta [\kappa_1 (u^j + \kappa_2) (u^j - \kappa_2) \Delta u^{j+1} \\ \quad + \kappa_1 u^j \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} - (u^j - 1)^2 (u^j + 1) [(u^j)^2 + h_0] (u^{j+1} + 1)], \\ u^{j+1}|_{t=0} = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

for $j \geq 0$, where $u^0 \equiv 0$ holds. One denote $(u^j)_{j \geq 0}$ in short hand by $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \geq 0}$ and denote u_0 as \mathcal{A}_0 . In the following, we shall show that $(\mathcal{A}^j)_{j \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Banach space $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$ with $T_1 > 0$ suitable small. Then, by take limit and continuous argument, one propose to prove that $u(t)$ is a global solution to Cauchy problem (1.8).

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that all assumptions in Theorem 1.4 hold. There are constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $T_1 > 0$ and $M_1 > 0$ such that if $\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{H^2} \leq \varepsilon_1$, then for each $j \geq 0$, $\mathcal{A}^j \in C([0, T_1]; H^2)$ is well defined and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}^j(t)\|_{H^2} \leq M_1, \quad j \geq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, $(\mathcal{A}^j)_{j \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Banach space $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$, the corresponding limit function denoted by $\mathcal{A}(t)$ belongs to $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$ with

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}(t)\|_{H^2} \leq M_1, \quad (3.11)$$

and $\mathcal{A} = u(t)$ is a solution over $[0, T_1]$ to problem (1.8). Finally, for the Cauchy problem (1.8), there exists at most one solution $u(t)$ in $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$ satisfying (3.11).

Proof. The inequality(3.10) will be proved by induction. By using the assumption at initial step, we get $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0$, which means $j = 0$ holds. Next, suppose that (3.10) holds for $j \geq 0$ with $M_1 > 0$ small enough to be determined later, we are going to prove it also holds for $j + 1$. Hence, we need some energy estimates on \mathcal{A}^{j+1} . On the basis of (3.9)₁, we obtain for $k = 2$ and $0 \leq l \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^l u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla^{l+3} u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla^{l+2} u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^l [(u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2) \Delta u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^l \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\ & \quad - \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^l [u^j \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^l \Delta u^j dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^l [(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2 ((u^j)^2 + h_0) \nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^{l+1} u^{j+1} dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^l [(u^j + 1)(u^j - 1)^2 ((u^j)^2 + h_0) \nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^{l+1} u^{j+1} dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^l [(u^j - 1)^2 (u^j + 1)^2 \nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^{l+1} u^{j+1} dx \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since it is trivial for the case $l = 0$, thus, we only need to consider $l = 1, 2$. For the term J_1 , if $l = 1$, we estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla [(u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2) \Delta u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla [(u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2) \Delta u^{j+1}]\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{m=0}^s C_1^s C_s^m \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^m (u^j + \kappa_2)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{s-m} (u^j - \kappa_2)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{1-s+2} u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{m=0}^s C_1^s C_s^m \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{m+1} u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{s-m+1} u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{1-s+3} u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

For the case $l = 2$, we can estimate J_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\lesssim \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2[(u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2)\Delta u^{j+1}]\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \left\| \sum_{s=0}^2 \sum_{m=0}^s \nabla^m(u^j + \kappa_2) \cdot \nabla^{s-m}(u^j - \kappa_2) \cdot \nabla^{4-s} u^{j+1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^5 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \left(\underbrace{\|u^j + \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|u^j - \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}}_{s=m=0} \right. \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\|u^j + \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}}_{s=1, m=0} + \underbrace{\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|u^j - \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}}_{s=m=1} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\|u^j + \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}}_{s=2, m=0} + \underbrace{\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}}_{s=2, m=1} \\
&\quad \left. + \underbrace{\|\nabla^2(u^j + \kappa_2)\|_{L^2} \|u^j - \kappa_2\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}}_{s=2, m=2} \right) \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For the term J_2 , if $l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla[u^j \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla \Delta u^j dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla[u^j \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1}]\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq s} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^m u^j \cdot \nabla^{s-m} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla^{1-s} \nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq s} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^m u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{s+1-m} u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{1-s+1} u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq s} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{m+1} u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{s+1-m} u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{1-s+2} u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

For the case $l = 2$ of J_2 , we estimate as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\lesssim \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{m=0}^s \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^m u^j \cdot \nabla^{s-m} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla^{2-s} \nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\quad - \kappa_1 \underbrace{\sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2} C_2^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m u^j \cdot \nabla^{2-m} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx}_{s=2} \\
&= J_{21} + J_{22}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{21} &= \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{m=0}^s \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^m u^j \cdot \nabla^{s-m} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla^{2-s} \nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^5 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \left(\underbrace{\|u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}}_{s=m=0} + \underbrace{\|u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}}_{s=1, m=0} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \underbrace{\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}}_{s=m=1} \right) \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{22} &= -\kappa_1 \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2} C_2^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^m u^j \cdot \nabla^{2-m} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^j \cdot \nabla^2 \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx - 2\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad - \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2 u^j \cdot \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&= \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx + \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^j \cdot \nabla \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad + \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^j \cdot \nabla \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^3 \Delta u^{j+1} dx - 2\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad - \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2 u^j \cdot \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 \Delta u^{j+1} dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} + \|u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^5 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|\nabla^2 u^j\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.16)-(3.18) together, if $l = 2$, we obtain

$$J_2 \lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \tag{3.19}$$

Next, for J_3 , if $l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla(u^j - 1) \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla(u^j + 1) \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2 u^j \nabla u^j \cdot \nabla u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla^2 u^{j+1} \cdot \nabla^2 u^{j+1} dx \\
&\lesssim \|u^j + 1\|_{L^6}^2 \|(u^j)^2 + h_0\|_{L^6} \|\nabla(u^j - 1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j - 1\|_{L^6} \|u^j + 1\|_{L^6} \|(u^j)^2 + h_0\|_{L^6} \|\nabla(u^j + 1)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j - 1\|_{L^6} \|u^j + 1\|_{L^6}^2 \|u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u^j\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j - 1\|_{L^6} \|u^j + 1\|_{L^6}^2 \|(u^j)^2 + h_0\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly, if $l = 1$, we can also obtain

$$J_4 + J_5 \lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \tag{3.21}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we consider the case $l = 2$ of J_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla u^{j+1}] \cdot \nabla^3 u^{j+1} dx \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\nabla u^{j+1}]\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)]\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \\
&= J_{31} + J_{32}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By using Sobolev's embedding theorem in \mathbb{R}^3 , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{31} &= \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} [\|u^j + 1\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|u^j\|_{L^\infty}^2 + |h_0|) \|u^j - 1\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j - 1\|_{L^\infty} \|u^j + 1\|_{L^\infty} (\|u^j\|_{L^\infty}^2 + |h_0|) \|u^j + 1\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + \|u^j - 1\|_{L^\infty} \|u^j + 1\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|(u^j)^2 + h_0\|_{L^6}] \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5 \\
&\lesssim \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5 (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{32} &= \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2((u^j)^2 + h_0)]\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2(u^j)^2]\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + |h_0| \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u^{j+1}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^2[(u^j - 1)(u^j + 1)^2]\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^4 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{L^2} (\|u^j\|_{H^2}^3 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5) \\
&\lesssim (\|u^j\|_{H^2}^3 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5) (\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Plugging (3.23) and (3.24) into (3.22), for the case $l = 2$, we obtain

$$J_3 \lesssim (\|u^j\|_{H^2}^3 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5)(\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \quad (3.25)$$

Similarly, if $l = 2$, we can also obtain

$$J_4 + J_5 \lesssim (\|u^j\|_{H^2}^3 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5)(\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \quad (3.26)$$

Now, summing up the estimates (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21), (3.25) and (3.26), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5)(\|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By taking time integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^t (\delta \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2) ds \\ & \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_0^t (\|u^j(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u^j(s)\|_{H^2}^5)(\|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which from the inductive assumption implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^2 + C(M_1^2 + M_1^5) \int_0^t (\|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T_1$. Take suitable small $\varepsilon > 0$, $T_1 > 0$ and $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|u^{j+1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla^3 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 ds \leq M_1^2, \quad (3.28)$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1]$. Therefore, (3.10) is true for $j + 1$ if so for j , which implies (3.10) is proved for all $j \geq 0$.

Next, by using (3.27), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \|\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(t)\|_{H^2}^2 - \|\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 \right| \\ & = \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \|\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(\tau)\|_{H^2}^2 d\tau \right| \\ & \leq C \int_s^t (\|\mathcal{A}^j(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{A}^j(s)\|_{H^2}^5)(\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2) ds \\ & \leq C(M_1^2 + M_1^5) \int_s^t (\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1}(s)\|_{H^2}^2) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1]$. Therefore, due to (3.28), the time integral in the last inequality is finite, and hence $\|\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(t)\|_{H^2}^2$ is continuous in t for each $j \geq 1$. On the other hand, we also need to consider the convergence of the sequence $(\mathcal{A}^j)_{j \geq 0}$. Taking the difference of (3.9)₁ for j and $j - 1$, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(u^{j+1} - u^j) + \delta \nabla \Delta(u^{j+1} - u^j) + \kappa_0 \Delta(u^{j+1} - u^j) \\ & = -\kappa_1 \Delta \{ (u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2)(\Delta u^{j+1} - \Delta u^j) \\ & \quad + [(u^j + \kappa_2)(u^j - \kappa_2) - (u^{j-1} + \kappa_2)(u^{j-1} - \kappa_2)] \Delta u^j \} \\ & \quad - \kappa_1 \Delta [u^j \nabla u^j \cdot (\nabla u^{j+1} - \nabla u^j) + (u^j \nabla u^j - u^{j-1} \nabla u^{j-1}) \cdot \nabla u^j] \\ & \quad + \Delta \{ (u^j - 1)^2 (u^j + 1)[(u^j)^2 + h_0](u^{j+1} - u^j) \\ & \quad - [(u^j - 1)^2 (u^j + 1)[(u^j)^2 + h_0] - (u^{j-1} - 1)^2 (u^{j-1} + 1)[(u^{j-1})^2 + h_0] \} (u^j + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Appealing to the same energy estimate as before, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^{j+1} - u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \delta \|\nabla \Delta u^{j+1} - \nabla \Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \kappa \|\Delta u^{j+1} - \Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u^j - u^{j-1}\|_{H^2}^5) (\|\nabla \Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2) \\ & \quad + C(\|u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u^j\|_{H^2}^5) (\|\nabla \Delta u^{j+1} - \nabla \Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\Delta u^{j+1} - \Delta u^j\|_{H^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 \\ & \leq C\|\mathcal{A}^j - \mathcal{A}^{j-1}\|_{H^2}^2 (\|\mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^3 + \|\mathcal{A}^{j-1}\|_{H^2}^3 + 1) (\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2) \\ & \quad + C(\|\mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^5) (\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Based on (3.28), by taking time integration, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \mathcal{A}^j)(t)\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2) ds \\ & \leq C(M_1^2 + M_1^5) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}^j - \mathcal{A}^{j-1}\|_{H^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C(M_1^2 + M_1^5) \int_0^t (\|\nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^3 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \nabla^2 \mathcal{A}^j\|_{H^2}^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since M_1 is sufficiently small, there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}^{j+1}(t) - \mathcal{A}^j(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \lambda \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}^j(t) - \mathcal{A}^{j-1}(t)\|_{H^2}^2, \quad (3.32)$$

for any $j \geq 1$. Hence, $(\mathcal{A}^j)_{j \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$, the limit function

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \mathcal{A}_0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n (\mathcal{A}^{j+1} - \mathcal{A}^j)$$

exists in $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$, satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}^j(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq M_1.$$

Hence, (3.11) is proved. Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)$ are two solutions in $C([0, T_1]; H^2)$ satisfying (3.11). By using the same process as in (3.32) to prove the convergence of $(\mathcal{A}^j)_{j \geq 0}$, we find that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}(t) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \lambda \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \|\mathcal{A}(t) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)\|_{H^2}^2,$$

for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, which implies that $\mathcal{A}(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)$. The proof of uniqueness is complete and thus the proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete too. \square

3.3 Global well-posedness

In this subsection, we shall combine all the energy estimates that we have derived in the previous sections and the Sobolev interpolation to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first close the energy estimates at each l -th level in our weak sense to prove (1.11). Let $N \geq 1$ and $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$ with $1 \leq m \leq N$. Summing up the estimates (3.3) of Lemma 3.1 from $k = l$ to m , we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{l \leq k \leq m} \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{l \leq k \leq m} (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^5) \sum_{l \leq k \leq m} (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, we deduce that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{l \leq k \leq m} \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0 \sum_{l \leq k \leq m} (\|\nabla^{k+3} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2) \leq 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Define $\mathcal{E}_l^m(t)$ to be $\frac{1}{C_0}$ times the expression under the time derivative in (3.34). Hence, we may write (3.34) as that for $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_l^m(t) + \|\nabla^{l+3} u\|_{H^{m-l}}^2 + \|\nabla^{l+2} u\|_{H^{m-l}}^2 \lesssim 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Taking $l = 0$ and $m = 3$ in (3.35) and integrating directly in time, we deduce that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_0^3(0) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2}^2. \quad (3.36)$$

By a standard continuity argument, this closes the a priori estimates (1.10) if at the initial time $\|u_0\|_{H^2}^2$ is sufficiently small. This in turn allows us to take $l = 0$ and $m = N$ in (3.36), and then integrate it directly in time to obtain (1.11). Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

4.1 Negative Sobolev estimates

In this subsection, we derive the evolution of the negative Sobolev norms of the solution.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that all assumptions in Theorem 1.4 hold. Then, for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-s} \nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-s} \Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Applying Λ^{-s} to (1.8), multiplying the resulting identity by $\Lambda^{-s} u$, integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 by parts, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\Lambda^{-s} \nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa_0 \|\Lambda^{-s} \Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} \{ \Delta [(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta u] \} \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u dx - \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} [\Delta (u |\nabla u|^2)] \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} \Delta [(u - 1)^2 (u + 1)^2 (u^2 + h_0)] \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u dx \\ & = K_1 + K_2 + K_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We will estimate the three terms of the right hand side of (4.2) one by one. For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} \{ \Delta [(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta u] \} \cdot \Lambda^{-s} u dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} (|\nabla u|^2 \Delta u)| |\Lambda^{-s} u| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} (u |\Delta u|^2)| |\Lambda^{-s} u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} (u \nabla u \nabla \Delta u)| |\Lambda^{-s} u| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} ((u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta^2 u)| |\Lambda^{-s} u| dx \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (|\nabla u|^2 \Delta u)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (u |\Delta u|^2)\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (u \nabla u \nabla \Delta u)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} ((u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2) \Delta^2 u)\|_{L^2} \\
&= K_{11} + K_{12} + K_{13} + K_{14}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We estimate K_{11} as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{11} &= \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (|\nabla u|^2 \Delta u)\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \| |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u \|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{3}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{1+s} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{1-s} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where we have used the fact $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \leq C$, which was proved in Theorem 1.4. K_{12} can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{12} &= \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (u |\Delta u|^2)\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|u |\Delta u|^2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{3}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where we have used the fact $\|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \leq C$, which was proved in Theorem 1.4. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{13} &= \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} (u \nabla u \nabla \Delta u)\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|u \nabla u \nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{3}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{H^1} \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2} - s} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s} u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{14} &= \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s}((u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2)\Delta^2u)\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|(u + \kappa_2)(u - \kappa_2)\Delta^2u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{3}}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|u + \kappa_2\|_{L^\infty} \|u - \kappa_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|\Delta^2u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + s} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2} \\
&= \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1+s} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{1-s} \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{1-s} \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we have used the fact $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C$, which was proved in Theorem 1.4. Combining (4.3)-(4.7) together gives

$$K_1 \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2}^2). \tag{4.8}$$

Next, on the basis of the estimates (4.4)-(4.6), we estimate K_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &= -\kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} [\Delta(u|\nabla u|^2)] \cdot \Lambda^{-s}u dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} (|\nabla u|^2 \Delta u) \cdot \Lambda^{-s}u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} (u|\Delta u|^2) \cdot \Lambda^{-s}u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} (u\nabla u \nabla \Delta u) \cdot \Lambda^{-s}u dx \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

For K_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^{-s} \Delta [(u-1)^2(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)] \cdot \Lambda^{-s}u dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)(u+1)(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)(u+1)^2u|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)\Delta u]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)^2(u+1)(u^2+h_0)\Delta u]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)^2(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)^2(u+1)u|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u+1)^2(u-1)^2|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [u(u+1)^2(u-1)^2\Delta u]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&= K_{31} + K_{32} + K_{33} + K_{34} + K_{35} + K_{36} + K_{37} + K_{38} + K_{39}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{31} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} [(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2]\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)|\nabla u|^2\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{3}}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|u^2+h_0\|_{L^\infty} \|u+1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|u+1\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + |h_0|) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+s} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where we have used the fact $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C$, which was proved in Theorem 1.4. Similarly, we obtain

$$K_{32} + K_{33} + K_{36} + K_{37} + K_{38} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.12}$$

For K_{34} , we can estimate as

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{34} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)\Delta u]| |\Lambda^{-s}u| dx \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-s} [(u-1)(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)\Delta u]\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|(u-1)(u+1)^2(u^2+h_0)\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{3}}}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|u^2+h_0\|_{L^\infty} \|u-1\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}} \|u+1\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + |h_0|) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+s} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-s} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where we have used the fact $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C$, which was proved in Theorem 1.4. Similarly, we obtain

$$K_{35} + K_{39} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.14}$$

It then follows from (4.10)-(4.14) that

$$K_3 \lesssim \|\Lambda^{-s}u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.15}$$

Plugging (4.8), (4.9) and (4.15) into (4.2), we deduce (4.1). □

4.2 Decay estimates

In the following, we prove Theorem 1.5 for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{-s}(t) := \|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For inequality (4.1), integrating in time, by the bound (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{-s}(t) &\leq \mathcal{E}_{-s}(0) + C \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^2}^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)} d\tau \\
&\leq C_0 \left(1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{-s}(\tau)} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

which implies (1.12) for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, that is

$$\|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0. \quad (4.17)$$

Moreover, if $l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, we may use Lemma 2.6 to have

$$\|\nabla^{l+2}f\|_{L^2} \geq C\|\Lambda^{-s}f\|_{L^2}^{-\frac{2}{l+s}}\|\nabla^l f\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{2}{l+s}}.$$

Then, by this facts and (4.17), we get

$$\|\nabla^{l+2}u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_0(\|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2}^2)^{1+\frac{2}{k+s}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Hence, for $l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$,

$$\|\nabla^{l+2}u\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 \geq C_0(\|\nabla^l u\|_{H^{N-l}}^2)^{1+\frac{2}{l+s}}.$$

Thus, we deduce from (3.35) with $m = N$ the following inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_l^N + C_0(\mathcal{E}_l^N)^{1+\frac{2}{l+s}} \leq 0, \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (4.19)$$

Solving this inequality directly gives

$$\mathcal{E}_l^N(t) \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(l+s)}, \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.20)$$

which means (1.13) holds. Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

Acknowledgement

The author appreciate very much the useful suggestions of Prof. Hao Wu. His suggestions will benefit the improvement of the paper and my future research.

References

- [1] C. T. Anh, P. T. Trang, Decay characterization of solutions to the viscous Camassa-Holm equations, *Nonlinear* 31(2018), 621-650.
- [2] L. Brandolese, Characterization of solutions to dissipative systems with sharp algebraic decay, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48(2016), 1616-1633.
- [3] L. A. Caffarelli, N. E. Muler, An L^∞ bound for solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 133(1995) 129-144.
- [4] D. Chae, M. Schonbek, On the temporal decay for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 255(2013), 3971-3982.
- [5] J.W. Cholewa, A. Rodriguez-Bernal, On the Cahn-Hilliard equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *J. Differential Equations* 253(2012) 3678-3726.

- [6] M. Dai, M. Schonbek, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to Liquid crystal systems in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 37(2012), 2138-2164.
- [7] T. Dlotko, C. Sun, Dynamics of the modified viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in \mathbb{R}^N , *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 35(2010) 277-294.
- [8] T. Dlotko, M. B. Kania, C. Sun, Analysis of the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Differential Equations* 252(2012) 2771-2791.
- [9] N. Duan, X. Zhao, Global attractor for a class of sixth-order viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in an unbounded domain, *J. Dyn. Control Syst.* 25(2019), 95-108.
- [10] P. G. de Gennes, Dynamics of fluctuations and spinodal decomposition in polymer blends, *J. Chem. Phys.* 72(1980), 4756-4763.
- [11] G. Gompper, J. Goos, Fluctuating interfaces in microemulsion and sponge phase, *Phys. Rev. E* 50(1994), 1325-1335.
- [12] G. Gompper, S. Zschocke, Ginzburg-Landau theory of oil-water-surfactant mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* 46(1992), 4836-4851.
- [13] L. Grafakos, "Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis," Pearson Education, Inc., Prentice-Hall, 2004.
- [14] Y. Guo, Y. Wang, Decay of dissipative equations and negative Sobolev spaces, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 37(2012), 2165-2208.
- [15] T. Kato, G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41(1988), 891-907.
- [16] M. D. Korzec, P. L. Evans, A. Münch, B. Wagner, Stationary solutions of driven fourth- and sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard-type equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 69(2008), 348-374.
- [17] M. D. Korzec, P. Nayar, P. Rybka, Global weak solutions to a sixth order Cahn-Hilliard type equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 44(2012), 3369-3387.
- [18] C. Liu, Z. Wang, Time periodic solutions for a sixth order nonlinear parabolic equation in two space dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 13(2014), 1087-1104.
- [19] A. Miranville, Asymptotic behavior of a sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard system, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 12(2014), 141-154.
- [20] A. Miranville, Sixth-order Cahn-Hilliard systems with dynamic boundary conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 38(2015), 1127-1145.
- [21] C. J. Niche, M. E. Schonbek, Decay characterization of solutions to dissipative equations, *J. London Math. Soc.* 91(2)(2015), 573-595.
- [22] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa* 13(1959), 115-162.
- [23] I. Pawlow, W. M. Zajaczkowski, A sixth order Cahn-Hilliard type equation arising in oil-water-surfactant mixtures, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* 10(2011), 1823-1847.
- [24] I. Pawlow, W. M. Zajaczkowski, On a class of sixth order viscous Cahn-Hilliard type equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* 6(2013), 517-546.

- [25] I. Pawlow, W. M. Zajaczkowski, The global solvability of a sixth order Cahn-Hilliard type equation via the Bäcklund transformation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 13(2014), 859-880.
- [26] J. C. Robinson, J. L. Rodrigo, W. Sadowski, "The Three-dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [27] A. Savostianov, S. Zelik, Global well-posedness and attractors for the hyperbolic Cahn-Hilliard-Oono equation in the whole space, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 26(2016) 1357-1384.
- [28] G. Schimperna, I. Pawlow, A Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular diffusion, *J. Differential Equations* 254(2013), 779-803.
- [29] G. Schimperna, I. Pawlow, On a class of Cahn-Hilliard models with nonlinear diffusion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45(2013), 31-63.
- [30] M. E. Schonbek, L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 88(2)(1985), 209-222.
- [31] M. E. Schonbek, Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 11(7)(1986), 733-763.
- [32] E. M. Stein, "Singular integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions", Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ 1970.
- [33] Z. Tan, W. Wu, J. Zhou, Global existence and decay estimate of solutions to magneto-micropolar fluid equations, *J. Differential Equations* 266(2019), 4137-4169.
- [34] Y. Wang, Decay of the Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations, *J. Differential Equations* 253 (2012) 273-297.