

L^2 -Poisson integral representations of eigensections of invariant differential operators on a homogeneous line bundle over the complex Grassmann manifold $SU(r, r + b)/S(U(r) \times U(r + b))$.

Abdelhamid Boussejra ^{*}Nouredine Imesmad [†]Achraf Ouald Chaib[‡]

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences

University Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco

December 9, 2021

Abstract

Let $E_l = G \times_K \mathbb{C}$ be the associated homogeneous line bundle to a one dimensional K -representation τ_l ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) over the noncompact complex Grassman manifold G/K ; $G = SU(r, r + b)$ and $K = S(U(r) \times U(r + b))$. Let $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$ be the algebra of G -invariant differential operators on E_l . Let λ be a real and regular spectral parameter in \mathfrak{a}^* , and let F be a solution of the system differential equations on E_l : $DF = \chi_{\lambda, l}(D)F$ for all D in $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$. In this article we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for this F to be represented by the Poisson transform of f in the section space $L^2(K \times_M \mathbb{C})$.

Keywords: Strichartz conjecture, Poisson transform, Fourier restriction estimate, asymptotic expansion for the Poisson transform

1 Introduction and main result

Let $X = G/K$ be a Hermitian symmetric space. Fix an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ and let $P = MAN$ be the usual minimal parabolic subgroup associated to it.

Let τ_l ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) be a character of K and $G \times_K \mathbb{C}$ the associated homogeneous line bundle on

^{*}e-mail: boussejra.abdelhamid@uit.ac.ma

[†]e-mail: noureddine.imesmad@uit.ac.ma

[‡]e-mail: achraf.oualdchaib@uit.ac.ma

G/K . As usual we identify its section space $\Gamma^\infty(G \times_K \mathbb{C})$ with the space $C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ of smooth \mathbb{C} -valued functions F on G satisfying

$$F(gk) = \tau_l(k)^{-1}F(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, k \in K. \quad (1.1)$$

The Poisson transform $P_{\lambda,l}$ for spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ (the complex dual of \mathfrak{a}) is the linear map $P_{\lambda,l} : \mathcal{B}(K \times_M \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ from the space of hyperfunction valued sections of the homogeneous line bundle $K \times_M \mathbb{C}$ to $C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$, given by

$$P_{\lambda,l}f(g) = \int_K e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) f(k) dk,$$

where κ and H are the Iwasawa projections of G in K and \mathfrak{a} respectively, (\mathfrak{a} being the Lie algebra of A).

Let $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$ be the algebra of left-invariant differential operators on $C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ (which is commutative). In [17] Shimeno showed that the Poisson transform $P_{\lambda,l}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}(K \times_M \mathbb{C})$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ the solution space of the system of differential equations

$$DF = \chi_{\lambda,l}(D)F, \quad D \in \mathbb{D}(E_l),$$

under certain conditions on the parameter λ , see section 2 below for more details.

In the light of this result, it is natural to look for a characterization of those F in $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ for which the boundary value f is an L^2 -section of the homogeneous line bundle $K \times_M \mathbb{C}$ for real and spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. This amounts to characterize the image of the Poisson transform $P_{\lambda,l}$ of $L^2(K \times_M \mathbb{C})$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$.

Before stating the main result of this paper, we should mention to the reader that in the case $\tau_l = 1$, the problem of characterizing the L^2 -range of the Poisson transform goes back to Strichartz [20] who proved that P_λ is a topological isomorphism from $L^2(K/M)$ onto a weighted L^2 -eigenspace of the Laplacian in the case X is the real hyperbolic space. In the same paper he conjectured that this result can be extended to Riemannian symmetric spaces of higher rank (cf. [[20], Conjecture 4.5], for more details).

An image characterization of the Poisson transform of L^2 -functions on the boundary was obtained in [3] for the complex hyperbolic space and, for all Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one by Ionescu in [12] (see also [4],[5], [6], [15], [16]). Finally in [13] Kaizuka settled the Strichartz conjecture for general Riemannian symmetric spaces.

Our main concern in this article is to extend Kaizuka's result to homogeneous line bundles over G/K when $G = SU(r, r+b)$ and $K = S(U(r) \times U(r+b))$.

More precisely, we identify the section space $L^2(K \times_M \mathbb{C})$ with the space $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ consisting of all \mathbb{C} -valued measurable (classes) functions f on K satisfying $f(km) = \tau_l(m)^{-1}f(k)$, for all $k \in K, m \in M$, with

$$\|f\|_2 = \left(\int_K |f(k)|^2 dk \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Let $L^2(G, \tau_l)$ be the space of all functions $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are L^2 with respect to the Haar measure on G and satisfy the identity (1.1). It is obvious (from the unitarity of τ_l) that $|F(g)|$ is constant on cosets, so

$$\|F\|^2 = \int_G |F(g)|^2 dg = \int_{G/K} |F(g)|^2 dg_K. \quad (1.2)$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ we define $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$ to be the space of all functions $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ that satisfy

$$\|F\|_* = \sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R^{r/2}} \left(\int_{B(R)} |F(g)|^2 dg_K \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Here $B(R)$ is the open ball of radius R about the origin $0 = eK$, d being the distance function on G/K .

The main result we prove in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$.*

(i) *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ depending only on l such that for every f in $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ we have following estimates*

$$C^{-1} |c(\lambda, l)| \|f\|_2 \leq \|P_{\lambda,l} f\|_* \leq C |c(\lambda, l)| \|f\|_2, \quad (1.3)$$

Furthermore we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |P_{\lambda,l} f(g)|^2 dg_K = 2^{-r/2} \Gamma(r/2 + 1)^{-1} |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \|f\|_2^2, \quad (1.4)$$

where $c(\lambda, l)$ is the Harish-Chandra c -function associated with τ_l and Γ the usual Gamma function.

(ii) $P_{\lambda,l}$ is a topological isomorphism from $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$.

(iii) The L^2 -boundary f of the eigenfunction $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$ is given by

$$f(k) = 2^{r/2} \Gamma(r/2 + 1) |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_{-l}(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) F(g) dg_K$$

in $L^2(K, \tau_l)$.

This extends Theorem 3.3 of Kaizuka [13], to the homogeneous line bundles over the bounded symmetric domains $SU(r, r+b)/S(U(r) \times U(r+b))$.

Consequence.

For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we define the space $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$ consisting of all $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ such that

$$M_2(F) = \left(\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |F(g)|^2 dg_K \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 we get the following result which is closely similar to O. Bray conjecture in the case of the real hyperbolic space (see [2]).

Corollary 1.1. *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ then $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$ is a Banach space.*

Remark 1.1. (i) *For $l = 0$ and $r = 1$ the above corollary was proved by Ionescu [12].*

(ii) *For $l = 0$ Kaizuka [13] generalized corollary 1.1 to all Riemannian symmetric spaces.*

We now describe in more details the contents of the paper.

Our method of proving Theorem 1.1 follows the one used by Kaizuka [13] in the trivial case. The right hand side of the estimate (1.3) follows from the following uniform continuity estimate for the Helgason-Fourier restriction operator : There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, we have

$$\left(\int_K |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 dk \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C |c(\lambda, l)| R^{r/2} \left(\int_G |F(g)|^2 dg_K \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for $R > 1$ and $F \in L^2(G, \tau_l)$ with $\text{supp} F \subset B(R)$, (see Proposition 4.1 in section 4).

On the other hand, the left hand side of (1.3) is a direct consequence of the estimate (1.4).

We should mention to the reader that the major difficulty in proving Theorem 1.1 is to establish the estimate (1.4).

Let us briefly recall the method used by Kaizuka to prove the estimate (1.4) in the trivial case ($l = 0$). It is based on an asymptotic formula for the Poisson transform. This leads to establish a uniform estimate for the elementary spherical function φ_λ on the positive Weyl chamber: For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, there exists a positive constant C_λ such that for any $H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ we have

$$|\varphi_\lambda(e^H) - \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)H}| \leq C_\lambda e^{-(\rho + \tau)(H)}, \quad (1.5)$$

The key to prove (1.5) is to use the uniform estimate for φ_λ due to Harish-Chandra [9]: There exists a positive constant C and a non negative integer d such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ and $H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ we have

$$|\pi(\lambda)\varphi_\lambda(e^H)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^d e^{-\rho(H)}. \quad (1.6)$$

We imitate this method. So we are led to establish the analogous of the estimate (1.6) in the line bundle case for the elementary spherical function of type τ_{-l}

$$\phi_{\lambda,l}(g) = \int_K e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(g^{-1}k)k^{-1}) dk$$

The elementary τ_{-l} -spherical function $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$ can be expressed by the Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric function $F(i\lambda, k(l), a)$, see [10]. More precisely we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(a) = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{e^{t_j} + e^{-t_j}}{2} \right)^{-l} F(i\lambda, k(l), a), \quad a = \exp H.$$

Then the desired uniform estimate may be read as follows: There exists a positive constant C and a non-negative integer d such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $a \in \overline{A}^+$ we have

$$|\pi(\lambda)F(i\lambda, k(l), a)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^d e^{-\rho(l)(H)}, \quad a = \exp H,$$

which is to our knowledge not yet established.

In our case the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions $F(i\lambda, k(l), a)$ are given explicitly by a determinant of a class Jacobi functions. This allows us to establish the uniform estimate for $F(i\lambda, k(l), a)$ (The key Lemma) from which we deduce an asymptotic formula for the Poisson transform. The last section is devoted to the proof of (ii) and (iii).

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C}^{2r+b} be endowed with a hermitian form $J(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^r z_j \overline{w_j} - \sum_{j=r+1}^{2r+b} z_j \overline{w_j}$. Let G be the group all complex $(2r+b) \times (2r+b)$ matrices with determinant 1 keeping invariant the hermitian form J .

Let $Gr_r(\mathbb{C}^{2r+b})$ denote the complex Grassmann manifold of all complex r -planes in \mathbb{C}^{2r+b} . The natural action of G on \mathbb{C}^{2r+b} gives rise to an action of G on

$$X_{r,r+b} = \{L \in Gr_r(\mathbb{C}^{2r+b}); J|_L \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

In fact G acts transitively on $X_{r,r+b}$ and as a homogeneous space we have the identification $G/K = X_{r,r+b}$, where K the isotropy subgroup of $E_0 = \mathbb{C}^r \times \{0\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Explicitly $K = S(U(r) \times U(r+b))$ consisting of pairs (A, D) of unitaries with $\det(AD) = 1$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G has the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K , orthogonal with respect to the Killing form $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $B(X, Y) = 2(2r+b)Tr(XY)$. The vector space \mathfrak{p} consists of block matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{r \times r} & Z \\ Z^* & 0_{r+b \times r+b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z \text{ complex } r \times (r+b) \text{ matrix},$$

Let \mathfrak{a} be the subspace of \mathfrak{p} consisting of the matrices H_T of the form

$$H_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{r \times r} & diag T & 0_{r \times b} \\ diag T & 0_{r \times r} & 0_{r \times b} \\ 0_{b \times r} & 0_{b \times r} & 0_{b \times b} \end{pmatrix}, t_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $diag T$ denotes the $r \times r$ matrix with diagonal $T = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$, $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Then \mathfrak{a} is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . Correspondingly the group A consists of the matrices

$$a_T = \begin{pmatrix} diag(\cosh t_1, \dots, \cosh t_r) & diag(\sinh t_1, \dots, \sinh t_r) & 0_{r \times b} \\ diag(\sinh t_1, \dots, \sinh t_r) & diag(\cosh t_1, \dots, \cosh t_r) & 0_{r \times b} \\ 0_{b \times r} & 0_{b \times r} & I_b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ by $\alpha_j(H_T) = t_j$. The restricted root system $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ of \mathfrak{g} in \mathfrak{a} is of type BC_r or C_r and consists of $\pm 2\alpha_j, (1 \leq j \leq r), \pm\alpha_i \pm \alpha_j, (1 \leq i \neq j \leq r)$ and $\pm\alpha_j, (1 \leq j \leq r)$ with multiplicities 1, 2 and $2b$ respectively (b may equals 0, in this case X is said to be of tube type). We identify \mathfrak{a} with \mathbb{R}^r via $H_T \mapsto T$.

We also identify \mathfrak{a}^* with \mathbb{R}^r via $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ given by $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \alpha_j$.

As usual we define the inner product \langle, \rangle on \mathfrak{a}^* by $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \frac{1}{4(2r+b)} \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \mu_j$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we put $|\lambda| = \sqrt{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}$.

The Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ is $W = \{s \mid s(t_1, \dots, t_r) = (\epsilon_1 t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \epsilon_r t_{\sigma(r)}); \sigma \in S_r, \epsilon_i = \pm 1\}$, i.e., W is the semidirect product of the permutation group S_r , acting by $t_j \rightarrow t_{\sigma(j)}$, and the group $\{\pm 1\}^r$, acting by $t_j \rightarrow \epsilon_j t_j$. We note that $|W| = 2^r r!$

The subset $\mathfrak{a}_{reg} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ of regular elements is the complement of the union of the hyper-planes $\{\beta = 0\}, \beta \in \Sigma$. In our identification of \mathfrak{a} with \mathbb{R}^r , \mathfrak{a}_{reg} corresponds to the set

$$\{T = (t_1, \dots, t_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r; t_j \neq 0, t_j \pm t_k \neq 0; 1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq j \neq k \leq r\}$$

We choose as positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ (i.e., a connected component of \mathfrak{a}_{reg} in \mathfrak{a})

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{H_T \in \mathfrak{a} : t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_r > 0\},$$

so that the set of corresponding positive roots Σ^+ is given by

$$\Sigma^+ = \{\alpha_j, 2\alpha_j, \alpha_i \pm \alpha_j; (1 \leq i < j \leq r), 1 \leq j \leq r\}.$$

Then the weighted half-sum of positive roots is $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^r (1 + b + 2(r-j))\alpha_j$.

Put $\beta_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} (1 \leq i \leq r-1)$ and $\beta_r = \delta\alpha_r$. Then the set of positive simple roots is $\Psi = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$, with $\delta = 2$ in the tube case and $\delta = 1$ in the non tube case.

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and let N be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to the subalgebra \mathfrak{n} .

Then $G = KAN$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of G and each $g \in G$ can be uniquely written $g = \kappa(g)e^{H(g)}n(g)$, with $\kappa(g) \in K, H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$.

Let $A^+ = \exp(\mathfrak{a}^+)$, and $\overline{A^+}$ the closure of A^+ in G . Then we have the polar decomposition $G = K\overline{A^+}K$ of G and for each $g \in G$ there exists a unique element $A^+(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ such that $g \in Ke^{A^+(g)}K$.

In our identification of \mathfrak{a} with \mathbb{R}^r , the closed chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ corresponds to the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{T = (t_1, \dots, t_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r; t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_r \geq 0\}.$$

We shall use the letter C to denote any positive constant independent of the parameter λ . Occasionally C may be suffixed to show its dependency on some parameters.

2.1 Integral formulas

The Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* is normalized so that the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}\phi(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{-i\lambda(H)} \phi(H) dH, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}), \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$$

has as its inverse

$$\phi(H) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} e^{i\lambda(H)} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}\phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

In the above $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the Schwartz space on \mathfrak{a} .

Consider the function Δ on A^+ defined by

$$\Delta(e^{H_T}) = \omega^2(e^{H_T}) 2^{r(2b+1)} \prod_{j=1}^r \sinh^{2b} t_j \sinh 2t_j,$$

where $\omega(e^{H_T})$ is the Weyl denominator given by

$$\omega(e^{H_T}) = 2^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\cosh 2t_j - \cosh 2t_k).$$

We normalize the invariant measure dg_K by

$$\int_{G/K} h(gK) dg_K = \int_G h(g.0) dg = \int_K \int_{A^+} h(ka) \Delta(a) dk da, \quad h \in C_c(G/K).$$

2.2 The Helgason-Fourier transform

In this section we collect some known facts on the Helgason-Fourier transform on homogeneous line bundles over $SU(r, r+b)/S(U(r) \times U(r+b))$ referring to [18], [7] for more details.

Let Z be the basis of the center of \mathfrak{k} given by $Z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{r} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{r+b} I_{r+b} \end{pmatrix}$. Then the group $K = SU(r) \times SU(r+b) \exp \mathbb{R}Z$ is a direct product so that any character of K is trivial on $SU(r) \times SU(r+b)$. Therefore every character of K is parameterized by $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and is given by $\tau_l(k_s \exp tZ) = e^{ilt}$.

The Helgason-Fourier transform of $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ is the function from $\mathfrak{a}_c^* \times K$ defined by

$$\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k) = \int_G e^{(i\bar{\lambda} - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) F(g) dg.$$

Let h_j ($0 \leq j \leq r$) be $r+1$ -nonconjugate Θ -stable Cartan subalgebras built from the following subsets θ_j of Ψ

$$\theta_j = \{\beta_i; r-j+1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

and let P_j be the corresponding cuspidal parabolic subgroup with Langlands decomposition $P_j = M_j A_j N_j$. Then the following inversion formula holds (see [18])

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) = & \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_K e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(x^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(x^{-1}k)) \tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k) |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} dk d\lambda + \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} d_j \sum_{-\lambda'' \in D_{l,j}} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_j^*} \int_K e^{-(i\lambda'+\lambda'')-\rho)H(x^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(x^{-1}k)) \tilde{F}_l(\lambda' - i\lambda'', k) |c^j(\lambda, l)|^{-2} dk d\lambda' + \\ & \sum_{i\lambda \in D_{l,r}} d_r(i\lambda, l) \int_K e^{-(i\lambda'+\lambda'')-\rho)H(x^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(x^{-1}k)) \tilde{F}_l(\lambda' - i\lambda'', k) dk, \quad (\lambda = \lambda' + i\lambda'') \end{aligned}$$

and the Plancherel formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |F(g)|^2 dg = & \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_K |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} d\lambda dk + \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} d_j \sum_{-\lambda'' \in D_{l,j}} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_j^*} \int_K \tilde{F}_l(\lambda' - i\lambda'', k) \overline{\tilde{F}_l(\lambda' + i\lambda'', k)} |c^j(\lambda, l)|^{-2} dk d\lambda' \\ & + \sum_{i\lambda \in D_{l,r}} d_r(i\lambda, l) \int_K \tilde{F}_l(\lambda' - i\lambda'', k) \overline{\tilde{F}_l(\lambda' + i\lambda'', k)} dk \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Here $|c^j(\lambda, l)|^{-2} d\lambda'$ is the Plancherel measure associated with the principal P_j series $j = 1, \dots, r-1$, (the parameter λ in [18] corresponds here to $i\lambda$).

In the above $d_j, d_r(i\lambda, r)$ are some constants and $D_{\lambda, l}$ is the set of parameters of the relative discrete series. The function $c(\lambda, l)$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_c^* \simeq \mathbb{C}^r$ given by

$$c(\lambda, l) = \frac{B}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{2^{-i\lambda_j} \Gamma(i\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))},$$

with $B = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} 2^{r(2r-1+b)} (b!)^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (b+j)^{r-j} j!$.

Now, for any $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau_l)$, define its Radon transform $\mathcal{R}F$ by

$$\mathcal{R}F(g) = e^{\rho(H(g))} \int_N F(gn) dn.$$

Then a simple calculation shows that the Fourier transform may be written as

$$\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k) = \int_A e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)(H)} dH \int_N F(ke^H n) dn.$$

Set $\mathcal{R}F(H, k) = \mathcal{R}F(ke^H)$.

For the Radon transform we have the following support theorem.

As is well known, the Killing form induces a norm $||$ on \mathfrak{p} and a distance function d on G/K . Moreover, if g is written in the form $g = ke^X$ with respect to the Cartan decomposition $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$, ($k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{p}$), then $d(0, g.0) = ||X||$, where $0 = eK$.

Define the open ball centered at 0 and of radius $R > 0$ by $B(R) = \{gK \in G/K; d(0, g.0) < R\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}(R) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; ||H|| < R\}$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau_l)$.*

(i) *If $\text{supp} F \subset \overline{B(R)}$, then $\text{supp} \mathcal{R}F \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}(R)} \times K$.*

(ii) *The Radon transform and the Fourier transforms are related by the following formula*

$$\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k) = \mathcal{F}_a[\mathcal{R}F(k, \cdot)](\lambda).$$

Proof. We have only to prove (i). We know that

$$d(0, e^H n.0) \geq |H|, \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}, n \in N,$$

and since the distance function is K -invariant it follows that $d(0, ke^H n.0) \geq |H|$ for all $k \in K, H \in \mathfrak{a}, n \in N$. Therefore $\mathcal{R}F$ has support in $\overline{\mathfrak{a}(R)} \times K$ if f has support in $\overline{B(R)}$. \square

2.3 The Poisson transform on line bundle

Let M be the centralizer of A in K . Then M is of the form

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_r}) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_r}) \end{pmatrix}; \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_r \in \pi\mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \text{if } b = 0,$$

and of the form

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_r}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_r}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L \end{pmatrix}; \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}, L \in U(b); e^{i2(\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_r)} \det L = 1 \right\},$$

if $b \neq 0$.

Let $G \times_P \mathbb{C}$ be the homogeneous line bundle associated with the character of $P = MAN$ defined by $man \rightarrow a^{\rho - i\lambda} \tau_l(m)$. We identify the space of hyperfunction valued sections of $G \times_P \mathbb{C}$ with the space $\mathcal{B}(G, \tau_l \otimes \rho - i\lambda \otimes 1)$ consisting of all hyperfunctions f on G that satisfy $f(gman) = a^{i\lambda - \rho} \tau_l(m)^{-1} f(g)$, for $g \in G, m \in M, a \in A, n \in N$. Then the Poisson transform is the G -map defined from $\mathcal{B}(G, \tau_l \otimes \rho - i\lambda \otimes 1)$ to $C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ by

$$P_{\lambda, l} f(g) = \int_K \tau_l(k) f(gk) dk.$$

By the Iwasawa decomposition, the restriction from G to K gives an isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}(G, \tau_l \otimes \rho - i\lambda \otimes 1)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(K, \tau_l)$ the space of all hyperfunctions f on K such that $f(km) = \tau_l(m)^{-1} f(k)$, for $k \in K, m \in M$.

Then a direct calculation shows that the Poisson transform of $f \in \mathcal{B}(K, \tau_l)$ is given by

$$P_{\lambda, l} f(g) = \int_K e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) f(k) dk.$$

Recall from the introduction that $P_{\lambda,l}f$ are joint eigenfunctions of the commutative algebra $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$, as f runs $\mathcal{B}(K, \tau_l)$. More precisely let γ_l denote the Harish-Chandra isomorphism from $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$ onto $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_c)^W$ the set of W -invariant elements in the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a}_c)$, see [17] for detailed discussion on the subject.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ denote the solution space of the system of differential equations on $C^\infty(G, \tau_l)$

$$DF = \gamma_l(D)(\lambda)F, \quad D \in \mathbb{D}(E_l).$$

Since $\mathbb{D}(E_l)$ contains an elliptic element coming from the Casimir element, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$ consists of real analytic functions.

Now we recall a result due to Shimeno [18] on the characterization of the image of the Poisson transform, which reads in our case as follows

Theorem 2.1. [18] *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^* \simeq \mathbb{C}^r$ satisfy the conditions*

$$-2i\lambda_j \notin \{1, 2, \dots\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq r), \quad i(\lambda_k \pm \lambda_j) \notin \{1, 2, \dots\} \quad (1 \leq k < j \leq r),$$

and

$$b + 1 + i\lambda_j \pm l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^-,$$

then the Poisson transform $P_{\lambda,l}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{B}(K, \tau_l)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}(G)$.

3 Uniform estimate for the τ_{-l} -elementary spherical functions

In this section we prove a uniform estimate for the τ_{-l} -elementary spherical functions $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$. Recall from [[17], Proposition 4.6] when $G = SU(r, r + b)$ that the functions of the form

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(g) = \int_K e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(g^{-1}k)k^{-1}) dk$$

exhaust the class of the elementary spherical functions of type τ_{-l} , with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$.

Since $G = K\overline{A}^+K$, $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$ is completely defined by its restriction to A^+ . Moreover $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$ can be expressed by the Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric functions as follows:

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $m_\alpha(l)$ be a deformation of root multiplicities defined by

$$m_{\alpha_j}(l) = 2b + 2l, \quad m_{\alpha_j \pm \alpha_k}(l) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{2\alpha_j}(l) = 1 - 2l,$$

and let $\rho(l) = \rho - l \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j$.

Put $R = 2\Sigma$ and define the multiplicities function $k(l)$ on R by $k_{2\alpha}(l) = \frac{1}{2}m_\alpha(l)$.

Let $F(\lambda, k(l), a)$ be the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function associated with R and the

multiplicities $k(l) = (b+l, 1, 1/2-l)$. Then by [[10], Theorem 5.2.2] the τ_{-l} -elementary spherical function $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(a_T) = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{e^{t_j} + e^{-t_j}}{2} \right)^{-l} F(i\lambda, k(l), a_T), \quad a_T = e^{H_T}.$$

Moreover the above hypergeometric function of Heckman-Opdam can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi functions

$$\phi_{\mu}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = F\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + 1 + i\mu}{2}, \frac{\alpha + \beta + 1 - i\mu}{2}, \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2 t\right).$$

More precisely, put $u(a_T) = \prod_{j=1}^r (e^{t_j} + e^{-t_j})$, then for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(a_T) = u(a_T)^{-l} \frac{B_1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)} \frac{\det(\phi_{\lambda_i}^{(b,-l)}(t_j))}{\omega(a_T)}, \quad (3.1)$$

with $B_1 = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} 2^{r(2(r-1)+l)} \prod_{j=1}^r (b+j)^{r-j} j!$

This follows from [[19], Theorem 2.5], with $k_s = b+l, k_m = 1$ and $k_l = 1/2-l$.

Now to state and prove the main result of this section let us introduce the polynomial function $\pi : \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\pi(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{4(b+2r)} \right)^{r^2} \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) \quad \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \alpha_j$$

Key Lemma. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exist a positive constant C and a non-negative integer d such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $a_T \in \overline{A^+}$ we have*

$$\left| \pi(\lambda) \varphi_{\lambda,l}(a_T) u(a_T)^l \right| \leq C (1 + |\lambda|^2)^d e^{-\rho(l)(H_T)}, \quad a_T = e^{H_T}. \quad (3.2)$$

For the proof of the Key Lemma, we shall need the following estimate on the Jacobi functions.

Lemma A1. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha > -1/2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\left| \lambda \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) \right| \leq C (1 + \lambda^2)^{n+1} e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}, \quad (3.3)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of this Lemma is postponed to the last section.

Now we give the proof of the Key lemma.

Proof. We will proceed by induction on the rank r .

First of all, since the function $a_T \rightarrow \varphi_{\lambda,l}(a_T)$ is continuous it is enough to prove the Key lemma for $a_T \in A^+$ with $t_1 > 1$.

Note that

$$\pi(\lambda)\varphi_{\lambda,l}(a_T)u^l(a_T) = \frac{B_1 \det(\lambda_i \phi_{\lambda_i}^{b,-l}(t_j))}{\omega(a_T)},$$

and the denominator

$$\omega(a_T) = e^{2 \sum_{j=1}^r (r-j)t_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)}),$$

so we have to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $H_T \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ with $t_1 > 1$

$$\frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)})} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^d e^{-\sum_{j=1}^r (b+1-l)t_j}, \quad (3.4)$$

where we have put $\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j) = \lambda_i \phi_{\lambda_i}^{b,-l}(t_j)$.

We consider the rank two case ($r = 2$). Noting that $1 - e^{-2(t_1 + t_2)} > 1 - e^{-2}$ ($t_1 > 1$) we have

$$\frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{(1 - e^{-2(t_1 - t_2)})(1 - e^{-2(t_1 + t_2)})} \leq (1 - e^{-2})^{-1} \frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{(1 - e^{-2(t_1 - t_2)})}$$

If $t_1 > t_2 + 1$ then $(1 - 2e^{-2(t_1 - t_2)}) > 1 - e^{-2}$ and the estimate (3.4) follows from (3.3).

When $t_2 < t_1 \leq 1 + t_2$ write the determinant as

$$\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) - \psi_{\lambda_1}(t_2) & \psi_{\lambda_1}(t_2) \\ \psi_{\lambda_2}(t_1) - \psi_{\lambda_2}(t_2) & \psi_{\lambda_2}(t_2) \end{vmatrix}.$$

From this (3.4) follows easily. Indeed, using again (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_1) - \psi_{\lambda_i}(t_2)| &\leq C(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2(t_1 - t_2) \sup_{s \in [t_2, t_1]} e^{-(b+1-l)s} \quad i = 1, 2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2(t_1 - t_2) \sup_{s \in [t_2, t_1]} e^{-(b+1-l)(s-t_1)} e^{-(b+1-l)t_1}, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2(t_1 - t_2) \left(\sup_{s \in [-1, 0]} e^{-(b+1-l)s} \right) e^{-(b+1-l)t_1} \quad i = 1, 2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2(t_1 - t_2) e^{-(b+1-l)t_1} \quad i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

Now, noting that the function $s \mapsto \frac{s}{1-e^{-s}}$ is bounded on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\frac{|\det[(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}]|}{1 - e^{-(t_1 - t_2)}} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^2 e^{-(b+1-l)(t_1 + t_2)}.$$

This finishes the proof of the estimate (3.4) for $r = 2$.

Next, assume the assertion for $r - 1$.

Case 1: Suppose that there exists $j \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ such that $t_1 > t_j + 1$.

Let p the smallest integer among $j \in \{2, \dots, r\}$, such that $t_1 > t_j + 1$, then

$$(t_1 - t_j > 1, \forall j \geq p \quad \text{and} \quad t_1 - t_j \leq 1, \forall 2 \leq j \leq p-1).$$

Since $t_1 \pm t_j > 1$ for $p \leq j \leq r$ we get

$$\frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)})} \leq \frac{(1 - e^{-2})^{-2r+p} |\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - e^{-2(t_1 - t_j)}) \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)})}$$

Now, let us introduce the interpolation polynomials

$$\begin{cases} L_{\lambda_i}(t) : &= \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \psi_{\lambda_i}(t_k) l_k(t), & i = 1, \dots, r, & \text{if } p \geq 3 \\ L_{\lambda_i}(t) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, r, & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

where l_k is the Lagrange basis polynomials associated to the points t_2, \dots, t_{p-1} ,

$$l_j(t) = \prod_{i=2, i \neq j}^{p-1} \frac{t - t_i}{t_j - t_i}, \quad j = 2, \dots, p-1, \quad p > 3,$$

and $l_2(t) = 1$ if $p = 3$.

Next, in $\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))$ replace the first column by $F_{\lambda_i}(t_1) = \psi_{\lambda_i}(t_1) - L_{\lambda_i}(t_1)$. We have

$$\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} F_{\lambda_i}(t_1) \Delta_i(t_2, \dots, t_r),$$

where

$$\Delta_i(t_2, \dots, t_r) = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{\lambda_1}(t_2) & \dots & \psi_{\lambda_1}(t_r) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_{\lambda_{i-1}}(t_2) & \dots & \psi_{\lambda_{i-1}}(t_r) \\ \psi_{\lambda_{i+1}}(t_2) & \dots & \psi_{\lambda_{i+1}}(t_r) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_{\lambda_r}(t_2) & \dots & \psi_{\lambda_r}(t_r) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus for some $d_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \dots, r$

$$\frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)})} \leq C \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r |F_{\lambda_i}(t_1)| (1 + |\lambda'_i|^2)^{d_i}}{\prod_{j=2}^{p-1} (1 - e^{-2(t_1 - t_j)})} e^{-\sum_{j=2}^r (b+1-l)t_j},$$

by the induction hypothesis with $\lambda'_i = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

Next, since the function $t_1 \rightarrow F_{\lambda_i}(t_1)$ vanishes at $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_{p-1}$, we may use the elementary lemma (see 7.2) to get

$$|F_{\lambda_i}(t_1)| \leq \prod_{j=2}^{p-1} (t_1 - t_j) \sup_{s \in [t_{p-1}, t_1]} |F_{\lambda_i}^{(p-2)}(s)|. \quad (3.5)$$

Noting that $(\frac{d}{ds})^{p-2}F_{\lambda_i}(s) = (\frac{d}{ds})^{p-2}\psi_{\lambda_i}(s)$, and using the estimate (3.3) we easily see that for $s \in [t_{p-1}, t_1]$

$$|F_{\lambda_i}^{(p-2)}(s)| \leq C(1 + \lambda_i^2)^{p-1}e^{-(b+1-l)s} \leq C(\sup_{s \in [-1,0]} e^{-(b+1-l)s})(1 + \lambda_i^2)^{r-1}e^{-(b+1-l)t_1}$$

Putting all together, we get

$$\frac{|\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))|}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r}(1 - e^{-2(t_i - t_j)})(1 - e^{-2(t_i + t_j)})} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^d e^{-\sum_{j=1}^r (b+1-l)t_j},$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, as to be shown.

Case 2 : For every $j \in \{2, \dots, r\}$, we have $t_j < t_1 \leq t_j + 1$.

In $\det(\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j))$ we replace the first column by $F_{\lambda_i}(t_1) = \psi_{\lambda_i}(t_1) - \sum_{j=2}^r l_j(t_1)\psi_{\lambda_i}(t_j)$ where $(l_j)_{j=2, \dots, r}$ is the lagrange basis of polynomials associated to the points t_2, \dots, t_r . To conclude we follow the same reasoning we did in the case 1. This finishes the proof of the Key Lemma. \square

As an immediate consequence we get a uniform estimate for the spherical function of type τ_{-l} .

Corollary 3.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists a positive constant C and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, $H \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$*

$$|\pi(\lambda)\varphi_{\lambda,l}(e^H)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^d e^{-\rho(H)}. \quad (3.6)$$

We can now prove the following uniform estimate on the τ_{-l} -elementary spherical functions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_{\lambda,l}$ such that for all $H_T \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ we have*

$$|\varphi_{\lambda,l}(e^{H_T}) - u(e^{H_T})^{-l} \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l)e^{(is\lambda - \rho(l))(H_T)}| \leq C_{\lambda,l}e^{-\rho(H_T)}e^{-\tau(H_T)}. \quad (3.7)$$

In the above $\tau(H) = \min_{\alpha \in \Psi} \alpha(H)$.

Proof. By using (3.6) and noting that $e^{-\rho(l)(H_T)}(u(e^{H_T}))^{-l} \leq e^{-\rho(H_T)}$ we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{\lambda,l}(e^{H_T}) - u(e^{H_T})^{-l} \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l)e^{(is\lambda - \rho(l))(H_T)}| \\ & \leq (C |\pi(\lambda)|^{-1} (1 + |\lambda|^2)^d + |W| |c(\lambda, l)|) e^{-\rho(H_T)}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $H_T \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$.

If $H_T \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ with $\tau(H_T) < 1$, then using the trivial estimate $e^{-\tau(H_T)}e > 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{\lambda,l}(e^{H_T}) - u(e^{H_T})^{-l} \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l)e^{(is\lambda - \rho(l))(H_T)}| \\ & \leq (C |\pi(\lambda)|^{-1} (1 + |\lambda|^2)^d + |W| |c(\lambda, l)|) ee^{-\tau(H_T)}e^{-\rho(H_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

For H_T with $\tau(H_T) \geq 1$, the estimate follows in the same manner as in the proof of the case $l = 0$, so we omit it, see [8]. \square

4 Fourier restriction Theorem

The main result of this section is

Proposition 4.1. (*Fourier restriction theorem*) *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ and $R > 1$ we have*

$$\left(\int_K |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 dk \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C |c(\lambda, l)| R^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\int_{G/K} |F(g)|^2 d(gK) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

for every $F \in L^2(G, \tau_l)$ with $\text{supp} F \subset B(R)$,

To prove Proposition 4.1 we follow mainly the same method used by Kaizuka for $l = 0$ which can be traced back to Anker [1]. To this end we shall need estimates of the Harish-Chandra c -function.

Let $\pi_l : \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the polynomial function defined by

$$\pi_l(\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{4(b+2r)} \right)^{r^2} \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2) & \text{if } b+1 \pm l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^-, \\ \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2) & \text{if } b+1 \pm l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-, \end{cases} \quad \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \alpha_j.$$

Define the function $\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l)$ on \mathfrak{a}^* by $\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l) = \pi_l(i\lambda)c(\lambda, l)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) *The function $\mathbf{b}(\cdot, l)$ has no zero in \mathfrak{a}^* .*

(ii) *There exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we have*

$$C^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + |\lambda_j|^2)^{\frac{b-\varepsilon(l)/2}{2}} \leq |\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l)|^{-1} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + |\lambda_j|^2)^{\frac{b-\varepsilon(l)/2}{2}}, \quad (4.2)$$

with $\varepsilon(l) = \pm 1$ according to $b+1 \pm l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^-$ or $b+1 \pm l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-$.

Proof. (i) Assume $b+1 \pm l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^-$, then

$$\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{2^{-i\lambda_j} \Gamma(i\lambda_j + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))},$$

and clearly $\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l)$ has no zero in \mathfrak{a}^* .

When $b+1 \pm l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-$, then $\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l)$ has a priori zeros and poles in Weyl walls

$$\cup_{j=1}^r \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*; \lambda_j = 0\}.$$

We claim that this is not the case. Indeed, suppose $b+1-l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-$, which implies that $l \geq b+1$.

We have $\lim_{\lambda_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{2^{-i\lambda_j} \Gamma(i\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{(l-b-1)/2}}{(\frac{l-b-1}{2})! \Gamma(\frac{l+b+1}{2})}$. Thus $\mathbf{b}(\lambda, l)$ is well defined on \mathfrak{a}^* and do not vanishes.

(ii) The proof uses the well known property of the Γ function

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z)} e^{-a \log z} = 1, \quad |\arg z| \leq \pi - \delta, \quad (4.3)$$

where a is any complex number, \log is the principal value of the logarithm and $\delta > 0$.

Suppose first that $b+1 \pm l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^-$. Put

$$\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l) = \frac{2^{-i\lambda_j} \Gamma(i\lambda_j + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))}.$$

Then using the duplication formula for the gamma function

$$\Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{2z-2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}), \quad (4.4)$$

we may rewrite $\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)$ as

$$\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i\lambda_j+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{i\lambda_j}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))},$$

and from (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)| &\leq C(1 + \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{1-2b}{4}} \\ |\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)|^{-1} &\leq C(1 + \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{2b-1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying over j gives the result.

Next, if $b+1-l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-$, then $\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l) = \frac{2^{-i\lambda_j} \Gamma(i\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j+l)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(b+1+i\lambda_j-l))}.$

We may use once again (4.4) as well as (4.3) to show as in i) that $\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)$ and its inverse satisfy the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)| &\leq C(1 + \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{-1-2b}{4}} \\ |\mathbf{b}(\lambda_j, l)|^{-1} &\leq C(1 + \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{1+2b}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

this finishes the proof of the Lemma. □

For the proof of Proposition 4.1, we will need the following auxiliary result, see [1].

Let η be a positive Schwartz function on \mathbb{R} whose Fourier transform has a compact support.

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\eta_m(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t) (1 + |t-x|^2)^{m/2} dt.$$

Lemma 4.2. [1]

(i) η_m is a positive C^∞ -function with

$$C^{-1}(1 + |t|^2)^m \leq \eta_m(t) \leq C(1 + |t|^2)^m, \quad (4.5)$$

for some positive constant C .

(ii) The Fourier transform of η_m has a compact support.

Now we come to the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Proof. We first notice that it is sufficient to establish (4.1) for functions $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau_l)$ supported in the ball $B(R)$. By the Plancherel formula (2.1) we have

$$\int_{B(R)} |F(g)|^2 dg_K \geq \frac{1}{|W|} \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} d\lambda dk.$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove

$$\int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} d\lambda dk \geq CR^{-r} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} \int_K |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 dk \quad (4.6)$$

for some positive constant C .

Define the function $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\lambda, l)^{-1}$ on \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^* by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\lambda, l)^{-1} = \pi_l(i\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^r \eta_{\frac{2b-\epsilon(l)}{4}}(\lambda_j).$$

Then from (4.5) and (4.2) we get

$$C^{-1} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-1} \leq |\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\lambda, l)|^{-1} \leq C |c(\lambda, l)|^{-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

Now (4.6) is equivalent to

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\lambda, l)|^{-2} \int_K |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 dk \leq CR^r \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |\tilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)|^2 |\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\lambda, l)|^{-2} d\lambda dk, \quad (4.8)$$

which is in turn equivalent to

$$\int_K |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}(T * \mathcal{R}F(\cdot, k))(\lambda)|^2 dk \leq CR^r \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}(T * \mathcal{R}F(\cdot, k))(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda dk, \quad (4.9)$$

where T is the tempered distribution defined on \mathfrak{a} by $T = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}[\tilde{\mathbf{c}}(\cdot, l)]^{-1}$. Moreover T has compact support in \mathfrak{a} , by Lemma 4.2. Let $R_0 > 1$ such that $\text{supp} T \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}(R_0)}$.

By using the euclidean Plancherel formula, we are left to prove

$$\int_K |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}(T * \mathcal{R}F(\cdot, k))(\lambda)|^2 dk \leq CR^r \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} |(T * \mathcal{R}F(\cdot, k))(H)|^2 dH dk, \quad (4.10)$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution operator on \mathfrak{a} .

Now, since F has compact support $\subset \mathfrak{a}(R)$ then $\text{supp } \mathcal{R}F \subset \mathfrak{a}(R) \times K$ by lemma 2.1. Therefore

$$\text{supp}(T * \mathcal{R}F(\cdot, k)) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}(R + R_0)}, \quad k \in K.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} | \mathcal{F}_a(T * \mathcal{R}F(, k))(\lambda) |^2 &\leq \left(\int_{a(R+R_0)} dH \right) \int_a | (T * \mathcal{R}F(, k))(H) |^2 dH \\ &\leq CR^r \int_a | (T * \mathcal{R}F(, k))(H) |^2 dH, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

which gives (4.10), and the proof of Proposition 4.1 is finished. \square

We can now prove the uniform continuity estimate for the Poisson transform $P_{\lambda, l}$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ we have*

$$\sup_{R>1} \left(\frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} | P_{\lambda, l} f(g) |^2 dg_K \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C | c(\lambda, l) | \|f\|_2, \quad (4.12)$$

for every $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$.

Proof. Let $F \in L^2(G, \tau_l)$ with $\text{supp} F \subset B(R)$, then we have

$$\int_{B(R)} P_{\lambda, l} f(g) \overline{F(g)} dg_K = \int_K f(k) \overline{\widetilde{F}_l(\lambda, k)} dk.$$

Now the estimate (4.1) implies the result by standard duality argument. \square

5 Asymptotic formula for the Poisson transform

In this section we give an asymptotic expansion for the Poisson transform. To do so we introduce the function space $B_l^*(G)$ on G , consisting of functions F in $L_{loc}^2(G, \tau_l)$ satisfying

$$\|F\|_{B_l^*(G)} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [2^{-(\frac{r}{2})j} \int_{D_j} |F(g)|^2 dg_K] < \infty,$$

where $D_0 = \{gK \in G/K; d(0, g.0) < 1\}$, $D_j = \{gK \in G/K; 2^{j-1} \leq d(0, g.0) < 2^j\}$ for $j \geq 1$.

We note for use below that the $B_l^*(G)$ norm is equivalent to the norm $\|F\|_*$. Indeed, since 2^j is in geometric progression we have

$$\|F\|_{B_l^*(G)}^2 \leq \sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |F(g)|^2 dg_K \leq 2^r (1 - 2^{-r})^{-1} \|F\|_{B_l^*(G)}^2$$

Define an equivalent relation on $B_l^*(G)$ as follows:

For $F_1, F_2 \in B_l^*(G)$ we write $F_1 \simeq F_2$ if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |F_1(g) - F_2(g)|^2 dg_K = 0.$$

Then the main result of this section can be stated as follows

Theorem 5.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. For $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ we have the following asymptotic expansion for the Poisson transform in $B_l^*(G)$.*

$$P_{\lambda,l}f(g) \simeq \tau_l^{-1}(k_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(g))} U_{s,\lambda}^l f(k_1(g)), \quad (5.1)$$

$$g = k_1(g) e^{A^+(g)} k_2(g).$$

Here $U_{s,\lambda}$ are unitary operators on $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ defined by $U_{s,\lambda} = P_{s\lambda,l}^{-1} \circ P_{\lambda,l}$.

Most part of the proof of Theorem 5.1 consists in proving the proposition below.

Denote by $\mathfrak{a}^+(R) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}^+; |H| < R\}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. Then we have the asymptotic expansion in $B_l^*(G)$ for $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$.*

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(g) \simeq \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(g)\kappa_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(g))}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$g = k_1(g) e^{A^+(g)} k_2(g).$$

Proof. Let us first show that the right hand side of (5.2) lies in $B_l^*(G)$.

Since $\Delta(e^H) \leq e^{2\rho(H)}$ and τ_l is unitary, we get

$$\frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(g)\kappa_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(g))}|^2 dg_K \leq |W| |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} dH < +\infty,$$

as to be shown.

We turn to the proof of the asymptotic formula for $\varphi_{\lambda,l}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |\varphi_{\lambda,l}(g) - \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(g)\kappa_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(g))}|^2 dg_K \\ & \leq \frac{2}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} |\varphi_{\lambda,l}(e^H) - u(e^H)^{-l} \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho(l))(H)}|^2 e^{2\rho(H)} dH \\ & + \frac{2}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} |\sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(H)} [(u(e^H))^{-l} e^{l \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(H)} - 1]|^2 e^{2\rho(H)} dH \\ & =: I_1(R) + I_2(R). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.7) and making the transformation $H \rightarrow RH$ we see that $I_1(R)$ is less than

$$2C_\lambda^2 \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} e^{-2R\tau(H)} dH,$$

from which we deduce that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} I_1(R) = 0$.

For $I_2(R)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(R) & \leq \frac{2}{R^r} |c(\lambda, l)|^2 |W| \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} |u(e^H)^{-l} e^{l \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(H)} - 1|^2 dH \\ & \leq 2 |c(\lambda, l)|^2 |W| \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - (1 + e^{-2R\alpha_j(H)})^{-l})^2 dH. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we easily see that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} I_2(R) = 0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |\varphi_{\lambda,l}(g) - \tau_l^{-1}(k_1(g)k_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(g))}|^2 dg_K = 0,$$

and the proof of proposition 5.1 is finished. \square

Lemma 5.1. *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$ then the functions*

$$k \rightarrow \sum_j a_j e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g_j^{-1}k)} \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(g_j^{-1}k)), \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad g_j \in G.$$

form a dense subspace $H_{\lambda,l}$ of $L^2(K, \tau_l)$.

Proof. Noting that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, then λ is simple. The density is just a reformulation of the definition of the simplicity. \square

For $g \in G$, put $f_g^\lambda(k) = e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k))$, $k \in K$. Then using the symmetric formula for the elementary τ_{-l} -spherical function(see [7])

$$\varphi_{\lambda,l}(h^{-1}g) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(h^{-1}k)} \tau_{-l}(\kappa(h^{-1}k)) e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_l(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) dk, \quad (5.3)$$

and the simplicity of $s\lambda$ ($s \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$) we can prove the following result in a similar manner to [Lemma 6.7 [13]].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s \in W, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. Then there exists a unitary operator $U_{s,\lambda}^l$ on $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ such that*

$$U_{s,\lambda}^l f_g^\lambda(k) = f_g^{s\lambda}(k).$$

Moreover, for $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ we have $P_{\lambda,l} f_1 = P_{s\lambda,l} f_2$ if and only if $U_{s,\lambda}^l f_1 = f_2$.

For the proof of Theorem 5.1 we shall need the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $g, h \in G$ and put $R(g, h) = A^+(h^{-1}g) - A^+(g) - H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))$.*

(i) $R(g, h)$ lies in the dual cone ${}^+\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ and there exists a positive constant $C(h)$ bounded on each compact set in G such that

$$|R(g, h)| \leq C(h) e^{-2\tau(A^+(g))}, \quad (5.4)$$

for all $g \in G$.

(ii)

$$|e^{(is\lambda - \rho)R(g,h)} - 1| \leq C(|\lambda| + |\rho|) C(h) e^{-2\tau A^+(g)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. (i) The proof of (5.4) is essentially the same as [[13], Lemma 5.2], so we omit it (see also [[11], chapter II, Proposition 4.24].

(ii) the estimate (5.5) follows from the mean value theorem and inequality (5.4) .

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1 We shall first take the case when $f = f_h^\lambda$, h being fixed in G . Noting that $P_{\lambda,l}f_h^\lambda(g) = \varphi_{\lambda,l}(h^{-1}g)$ and $U_{s,\lambda}f_h^\lambda(\kappa_1(g)) = e^{(is\lambda-\rho)H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))}\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g)))$, it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}g)\kappa_2(h^{-1}g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda-\rho)A^+(h^{-1}g)} \\ & \simeq \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_2(g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda-\rho)[A^+(g)+H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))]} \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

by (5.2).

We replace $A^+(h^{-1}g)$ by $A^+(g) + H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g)) + R(g, h)$ and decompose the left hand side of (5.6) as

$$\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_2(g))\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda-\rho)[A^+(g)+H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))]} + J(g),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(g) &= \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}g)\kappa_2(h^{-1}g)) \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda-\rho)[A^+(g)+H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))]} [e^{(is\lambda-\rho)R(g,h)} - 1] \\ &+ [\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}g)\kappa_2(h^{-1}g)) - \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g)))\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_2(g))] \sum_{s \in W} c(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda-\rho)[A^+(g)+H(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g))]} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are left to show that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J(g)|^2 dg_K = 0$.

Let us denote by $J_1(g)$ (respectively $J_2(g)$) the first (respectively the second) term in the above sum.

Put $C_h(\lambda) = (C(|\lambda| + \rho)C(h))^2 \|W\| c(\lambda, l)^2$. Then using (5.5) and noting that $\int_K e^{-2\rho(H(h^{-1}k))} dk = 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J_1(R)|^2 dg_K \leq C_h(\lambda) \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} e^{-4\tau(H)} dH,$$

from which we deduce that $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J_1(R)|^2 dg_K = 0$.

To end the proof we will need the following result, the proof of which will be done in the Appendix.

Lemma A2. *Let $h, g \in G$. Then we have*

$$(i) \quad \kappa_1(h^{-1}g)\kappa_2(h^{-1}g) = \kappa_1(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g)e^{A^+(g)})\kappa_2(h^{-1}\kappa_1(g)e^{A^+(g)})\kappa_2(g).$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For } H \in \mathfrak{a}^+, \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_l(\kappa_1(ge^{RH})\kappa_2(ge^{RH})) = \tau_l(\kappa(g)).$$

Using (i) in Lemma 5 and making the transform $R \rightarrow RH$ we easily see that $\frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J_2(R)|^2 dg_K$ is majorized by

$$|W| |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \int_{K \times \mathfrak{a}^+(1)} |\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}ke^{RH})\kappa_2(h^{-1}ke^{RH})) - \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}k))|^2 e^{-2\rho H(h^{-1}k)} dk dH,$$

which in turn is less than

$$|W| |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \sup_{k \in K} e^{-2\rho H(h^{-1}k)} \int_{K \times \mathfrak{a}^+(1)} |\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}ke^{RH})\kappa_2(h^{-1}ke^{RH})) - \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}k))|^2 dk dH.$$

It follows from (ii) in Lemma 5 that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{K \times \mathfrak{a}^+(1)} |\tau_l^{-1}(\kappa_1(h^{-1}ke^{RH})\kappa_2(h^{-1}ke^{RH})) - \tau_l^{-1}(\kappa(h^{-1}k))|^2 dH = 0,$$

by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

Thus $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J_2(R)|^2 dg_K = 0$ and therefore $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |J(g)|^2 dg_K = 0$ as to be shown.

Now we prove that (5.1) holds for $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$. First of all we introduce the operator S_λ defined for $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ by

$$S_{\lambda,l}f(g) = \tau_l^{-1}(k') \sum_{s \in W} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, l) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(H)} U_{s,\lambda}^l f(k), \quad g = ke^H k'.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\phi \in H_{\lambda,l}$ such that $\|f - \phi\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |P_{\lambda,l}f(g) - S_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K &\leq 3 \left(\frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |P_{\lambda,l}(f - \phi)(g)|^2 dg_K \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |(P_{\lambda,l}\phi - S_{\lambda,l}\phi)(g)|^2 dg_K \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}(f - \phi)(g)|^2 dg_K \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.12) we see that the first term of the right hand side of the above inequality is less than $C |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \varepsilon^2$. Also, the (i) part implies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |(P_{\lambda,l}\phi - S_{\lambda,l}\phi)(g)|^2 dg_K = 0.$$

Next, by the unitarity of $U_{s,\lambda}^l$ we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}(f - \phi)(g)|^2 dg_K &\leq |c(\lambda, l)|^2 |W| \sum_{s \in W} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{K \times \mathfrak{a}^+(R)} |U_{s,\lambda}^l(f - \phi)(k)|^2 dH dk \\ &\leq |c(\lambda, l)|^2 |W|^2 \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}(f - \phi)(g)|^2 dg_K \leq |c(\lambda, l)|^2 |W|^2 \varepsilon^2,$$

which implies that (5.1) holds for any $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ and the proof of Theorem 5.1 is completed.

6 The L^2 -range of the Poisson transform

As preparation to the proof of Theorem 1.1 we prove the following:

Proposition 6.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$. For $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ we have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |P_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K = 2^{-r/2} \Gamma(r/2 + 1)^{-1} |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \|f\|_2^2. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K &= \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,l}f(g) - S_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K + \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} \operatorname{Re} \left((\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,l}f(g) - S_{\lambda,l}f(g)) \overline{S_{\lambda,l}f(g)} \right) dg_K \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side of the above identity goes to 0 as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, by Theorem 5.1.

By using the Schwartz inequality we easily see that the third term goes to 0 as R goes to $+\infty$, since $\sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}(f)(g)|^2 dg_K < +\infty$.

For the second term, by the unitarity of $U_{s,\lambda}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |S_{\lambda,l}f(g)|^2 dg_K &= |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \|f\|_2^2 |W| \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} e^{-2\rho(H)} \Delta(e^H) dH + \\ &\quad + \sum_{s_1, s_2 \in W; s_1 \neq s_2} c(s_1\lambda, l) \overline{c(s_2\lambda, l)} \left(U_{s_1, \lambda}^l f, U_{s_2, \lambda}^l f \right)_{L^2(K)} \times J(R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} e^{iR(s_1\lambda - s_2\lambda)(H)} \Delta(e^{RH}) e^{-2R\rho(H)} dH.$$

To finish the proof, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} J(R) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} |W| \int_{\mathfrak{a}(R)} e^{-2\rho(H)} \Delta(e^H) dH = 2^{-r/2} \Gamma(r/2 + 1)^{-1}.$$

We write $J(R) = J_1(R) + J_2(R)$, with

$$J_1(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} e^{iR(s_1 - s_2)\lambda(H)} dH,$$

and

$$J_2(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} e^{iR(s_1\lambda - s_2\lambda)(H)} (\Delta(e^{RH}) e^{-2R\rho(H)} - 1) dH.$$

Since $s_1\lambda \neq s_2\lambda$ because $s_1 \neq s_2$, we have $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} J_1(R) = 0$, by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

We also have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} |\Delta(e^{RH}) e^{-2R\rho(H)} - 1| dH \leq \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(1)} \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} (1 + e^{-2R\alpha(H)})^{m_\alpha} - 1 \right| dH,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} J_2(R) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} |W| \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} e^{-2\rho(H)} \Delta(e^H) dH = 2^{-r/2} \Gamma(r/2 + 1)^{-1}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} J(R) = 0$ as to be shown and the proof is completed. \square

Let \widehat{K} be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of K . For each $\delta \in \widehat{K}$ let V_δ be a representation space for δ . Set

$$V_\delta^l = \{v \in V_\delta; \delta(m)v = \tau_l(m)v \text{ for all } m \in M\},$$

and $\widehat{K}_l = \{\delta \in \widehat{K}; V_\delta^l \neq 0\}$.

We choose an orthonormal base $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d(\delta)}\}$ of V_δ with respect to the unitary inner product \langle, \rangle of V_δ so that the first $m(\delta)$ -vectors form a basis of V_δ^l , where $d(\delta)$ is the dimension of V_δ . Let $L_\delta^2(K, \tau_l)$ denote the subspace of $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ consisting of the K -finite functions which are of type δ . Then the functions

$$f_{ij}^\delta(k) = \sqrt{d(\delta)} \langle v_j, \delta(k)v_i \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d(\delta), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m(\delta),$$

form an orthonormal base of $L_\delta^2(K, \tau_{l|_M})$ and

$$\{f_{ij}^\delta; \delta \in \widehat{K}_l, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d(\delta), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m(\delta)\}$$

is an orthonormal base of $L^2(K, \tau_l)$.

Let $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$. Define the operator $A_\delta \in \text{Hom}(V_\delta, V_\delta^l)$ by $A_\delta = \int_K f(k) \delta(k) dk$. Then f has the Fourier series expansion

$$f(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{Tr}(A_\delta \delta(k^{-1})),$$

and we have the Plancherel formula

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \|A_\delta\|_{HS}^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Consider the Eisenstein integral

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(g) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_{-l}(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) \delta(k) dk.$$

Then $\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l$ maps G into $\text{Hom}(V_\delta, V_\delta)$ and satisfies

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(kgh) = \delta(k) \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(g) \tau_l(h),$$

for all $k, h \in K, g \in G$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, $\delta \in \widehat{K}_l$.

(i) For $v_1, v_2 \in V_\delta$ and $w_1, w_2 \in V_\delta^l$, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} \langle v_1, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w_1 \rangle \overline{\langle v_1, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w_1 \rangle} dg_K = C_r^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \frac{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle}{d(\delta)}. \quad (6.2)$$

where $C_r = 2^{-r/4} \Gamma(r/2 + 1)^{-1/2}$.

(ii) Define $I_R(\lambda, \delta) \in \text{End}(V_\delta)$ by

$$I_R(\lambda, \delta) = \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(g)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(g) dg_K.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} I_R(\lambda, \delta) = Id_{V_\delta^l}, \quad \text{on } V_\delta^l. \quad (6.3)$$

Moreover there exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{reg}^*$, $\delta \in \widehat{K}_l$ and $R > 1$ we have

$$\| I_R(\lambda, \delta) \| \leq C,$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ stands for the operatorial norm.

Proof. (i) Noting that $\langle v_i, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w_i \rangle = P_{\lambda, l}(\langle v_i, \delta(\cdot) \rangle)(g)(i = 1, 2)$, it follows from Proposition 6.1 and Schur orthogonality relations that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} \langle v_1, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w_1 \rangle \overline{\langle v_1, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w_1 \rangle} dg_K \\ = C_r |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \int_K \langle v_1, \delta(k) w_1 \rangle \overline{\langle v_2, \delta(k) w_2 \rangle} dk \\ = C_r |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \frac{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle}{d_\delta} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $v, w \in V_\delta^l$. It follows from (6.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \langle I_R(\lambda, \delta) u, v \rangle &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \int_{B(R)} \langle v_j, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) w \rangle \overline{\langle v_j, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) v \rangle} dg_K \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \frac{\langle w, v \rangle}{d_\delta} \\ &= \langle v, w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

We note that (6.3) implies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(a)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}^l(a) \Delta(a) da = Id_{V_\delta^l}, \quad \text{on } V_\delta^l. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof of theorem 1.1

Proof. (i) The right hand side of the estimates (1.3) has already been proved, see corollary 4.1. On the other hand the inequality on the left hand side of (1.3) is a direct consequence of (6.1).

To prove the assertion (ii), we have only to show that $P_{\lambda,l}$ maps $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $F = P_{\lambda,l}f$ for some $f \in B(K, \tau_l)$. Let $f(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{Tr}(A_\delta \delta(k^{-1}))$ be its Fourier series. Then

$$F(g) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{Tr}(A_\delta \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}^l(g)^*).$$

From the assumption $\|F\|_* < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \|F\|_*^2 &= \sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} |F(g)|^2 dg_K \\ &= \sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{K \times \mathfrak{a}^+(R)} |F(ka)|^2 \Delta(a) dk da \\ &= \sup_{R>1} \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} \|A_\delta \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^*\|_{HS}^2 \Delta(a) da. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if Λ is an arbitrary finite subset of \widehat{K}_l , we get

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Lambda} d(\delta) \frac{1}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} \|A_\delta \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^*\|_{HS}^2 \Delta(a) da \leq \|F\|_*^2,$$

for every $R > 1$ and from (6.4) it follows that

$$C_r^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \sum_{\delta \in \Lambda} d(\delta) \|A_\delta\|_{HS}^2 \leq \|F\|_*^2,$$

which implies that $f \in L^2(K, \tau_l)$ and that $C_r^2 |c(\lambda, l)|^2 \|f\|_2^2 \leq \|F\|_*^2$.

(iii) Now we turn to the proof of the L^2 -inversion formula.

Let $F \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,l}^2(G)$. By the second part of Theorem 1.1, we know that there exists a unique f in $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ such that $F = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,l}f$. Hence, if $f(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{Tr}(A_\delta \delta(k^{-1}))$ is its Fourier series expansion, with $A_\delta = \int_K f(k) \delta(k) dk$, then

$$F(ka) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{Tr}(A_\delta \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}^*(a)) \delta(k^{-1}) \quad (6.5)$$

For $R > 1$ we define the \mathbb{C} -valued function f_R on K by

$$f_R(k) = \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_{-l}(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) F(g) dg_K.$$

To prove that $f_R \rightarrow f$ on $L^2(K, \tau_l)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, it is enough to establish $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_R\|_2 = \|f\|_2$, since

$$\langle f, f \rangle = C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \langle f_R, f \rangle,$$

by (6.1).

Let $f_R(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} d(\delta) \text{tr}(A_{\delta,R} \delta(k^{-1}))$ is the Fourier series expansion of f_R in $L^2(K, \tau_l)$, with

$A_{\delta,R} = \int_K f_R(k) \delta(k) dk$. It follows from (6.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\delta,R} &= \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \int_{B(R)} F(g) \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(g) dg_K \\ &= \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R) \times K} F(ka) \delta(k) \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \Delta(a) dk da \\ &= \frac{C_r^{-2} |c(\lambda, l)|^{-2}}{R^r} A_\delta \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+(R)} \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^* \Phi_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \Delta(a) da \end{aligned}$$

using once again (6.2) we see that

$$A_{\delta,R} \rightarrow A_\delta \quad \text{on } V_\delta^l, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, since $\|A_{\delta,R}\|_{HS} \leq C \|A_\delta\|_{HS}$ and noting that the series $\sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}_l} \|A_\delta\|_{HS}$ is convergent we conclude that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|f_R\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

7 APPENDIX

We first review some facts on Jacobi functions. We refer to [14] for more details.

For $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \neq -1 - 2, \dots$, the Jacobi functions of the first kind $\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is the solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta} + [2(\alpha + 1) \coth t + (2\beta + 1) \tanh t] \frac{d}{dt} \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta} = -(\lambda^2 + (\alpha + \beta + 1)^2) \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad (7.1)$$

which satisfied $\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(0) = 1$ and $\frac{d}{dt} \phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t)|_{t=0} = 0$. The Jacobi functions can be expressed by the Gauss Hypergeometric functions as

$$\phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = F\left(\frac{i\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{2}, \frac{-i\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{2}; \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2 t\right).$$

For $\lambda \notin -i\mathbb{N}$, another solution $\Phi_\mu^{\alpha,\beta}$ of (7.1) such that

$$\Phi_\mu^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = e^{(i\mu - \alpha - \beta - 1)t} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (7.2)$$

is given by

$$\Phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = (2 \sinh t)^{i\lambda - \alpha - \beta - 1} F\left(\frac{-i\lambda + \alpha + \beta + 1}{2}, \frac{-i\lambda - \alpha + \beta + 1}{2}, 1 - i\lambda; -\sinh^2 t\right).$$

For $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Phi_{-\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are linearly independent solutions of (7.1), so we have

$$\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)\Phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + c_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda)\Phi_{-\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t),$$

where

$$c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1-i\lambda}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+1+i\lambda}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1+i\lambda}{2})}.$$

We shall also need some estimates on the Jacobi functions from [14], but which will be stated here for $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 7.1. [14] Assume α, β with $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$.

(i) For each and $\delta > 0$ there exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \geq \delta$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\Phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t)| \leq Ce^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}. \quad (7.3)$$

(ii) There exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \geq 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t)| \leq C(1+|\lambda|)^n(1+t)e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}. \quad (7.4)$$

Lemma A1. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$$|\lambda \frac{d^n}{dt^n}\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t)| \leq C(1+\lambda^2)^{n+1}e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}$$

Proof. Consider first the case $n = 0$. Since $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ it follows from the Stirling formula that there exists a positive constant C such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ $|\lambda c(\lambda)| \leq C(1+|\lambda|)$.

It follows from (7.3) that there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda \phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t)| &\leq C(1+|\lambda|)e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t} \\ &\leq 2C(1+\lambda^2)e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have from (7.4) that there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $t \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda \phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t)| &\leq C(1+|\lambda|)e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t} \\ &\leq 2C(1+\lambda^2)e^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities, the lemma follows for $n = 0$.

We prove the case $n \geq 1$ by induction with respect to n using the formula

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{-\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{4}((\alpha+\beta+1)^2 + \lambda^2) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k}(\sinh(2t)) \frac{d^{n-1-k}}{dt^{n-1-k}}\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(t).$$

This last formula follows from the identity obtained by a simple calculation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{-\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{4}((\alpha+\beta+1)^2 + \lambda^2) \sinh(2t) \phi_{\lambda}^{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(t).$$

□

Lemma 7.2. *Let f be a \mathbb{C} -valued function on \mathbb{R} whose n th-order derivative is continuous. Assume $f(t_1) = f(t_2) = \dots = f(t_n) = 0$, t_1, \dots, t_n being real numbers such that $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_n$. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (t - t_i)} \right| \leq \sup_{s \in [\min(t, t_n), \max(t, t_1)]} |f^{(n)}(s)|.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ the result is obvious. We prove the case $n \geq 1$ by induction on n using the fundamental theorem of calculus. \square

Recall that by the Cartan decomposition $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$ each $g \in G$ can be uniquely written $g = \pi_0(g) \exp X(g)$ where $\pi_0(g) \in K$ and $X(g) \in \mathfrak{p}$. For $g = \kappa_1(g) e^{A^+(g)} \kappa_2(g)$ we know that $\pi_0(g) = \kappa_1(g) \kappa_2(g)$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $g, h \in SU(r, r + b)$ and $H_T \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then*

$$\kappa_1(h^{-1}g) \kappa_2(h^{-1}g) = \kappa_1(h^{-1} \kappa_1(g) e^{A^+(g)}) \kappa_2(h^{-1} \kappa_1(g) e^{A^+(g)}) \kappa_2(g). \quad (7.5)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_l(\kappa_1(g e^{RH_T}) \kappa_2(g e^{RH_T})) = \tau_l(\kappa(g)) \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. The identity (7.5) is obvious.

We write $g = \kappa(g) e^{H(g)} n(g)$ with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Then we have

$$\pi_0(g e^{RH_T}) = \kappa(g) \pi_0(e^{H(g)} n(g) e^{RH_T}) \quad \forall R > 0.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_l(\pi_0(e^{H(g)} n(g) e^{RH_T})) = 1.$$

We first observe that if $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\tau_l(\pi_0(g)) = \left(\frac{\det D}{|\det D|} \right)^{-l} \quad (7.7)$$

We write $e^{-RH_T} n(g) e^{RH_T}$ in $r \times r + b$ -block notation as

$$e^{-RH_T} n(g) e^{RH_T} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(R) & B_1(R) \\ A_2(R) & B_2(R) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a straightforward computation shows

$$\tau_l(\pi_0(e^{H(g)+RH_T} e^{-RH_T} n(g) e^{RH_T})) = \left(\frac{\det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tanh(RT + S) \\ 0_{b \times r} \end{pmatrix} B_1(R) + B_2(R) \right)}{\left| \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tanh(RT + S) \\ 0_{b \times r} \end{pmatrix} B_1(R) + B_2(R) \right) \right|} \right)^{-l}, \quad H(g) = H_S$$

Since $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-RH_T} n(g) e^{RH_T} = I_{2r+b}$ we get

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_l(\pi_0(e^{H(g)+RH_T})) = 1,$$

as to be shown. □

References

- [1] Anker, J. P.: A basis inequality for Scattering Theory for Riemannian Symmetric Spaces of the Noncompact Type. Amer. J. Math. 113 (3), 391-398 (1991)
- [2] Bray, W. O.: Aspects of Harmonic Analysis on Real Hyperbolic Spaces, Fourier Analysis. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 157, Dekker, New York (1994)
- [3] Boussejra, A., Intissar, A.: Caractérisation des integrales de Poisson-Szego de $L^2(\partial B^n)$ dans la boule de Bergman B^n . $n \geq 2$, C. R. Acad. Sci. 318 (1994).
- [4] Boussejra, A., Intissar, A.: L^2 -concrete Spectral Analysis of the Invariant Laplacian in the Unit Complex Ball. J. Funct. Anal. 160, 115-140 (1998)
- [5] Boussejra, A., Sami, H.: Characterization of the L^p -range of the Poisson transform in Hyperbolic spaces. J. Lie Theory. 12, 1-14 (2002)
- [6] Boussejra, A., Ourchane, N.: Characterization of the L^p -range of the Poisson transform on the Octonionic Hyperbolic Plane. J. Lie. Theory 28 (3), 805-828 (2018)
- [7] Camporesi, R.: The Helgason-Fourier transform for Homogeneous Vector Bundles over Riemannian Symmetric Spaces. Pac. J. of Math. 179 (2), 263-300 (1997)
- [8] Harish-Chandra.: Spherical functions on semisimple Lie Groups. I, Amer. J. Math. 80 (2), 241-310 (1958)
- [9] Harish-Chandra.: Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group. II, Amer. J. Math. 80, 553-613 (1958)
- [10] Heckman, G., Schlichtkrull, H.: Harmonic analysis and special functions on symmetric spaces. Academic Press (1994)
- [11] Helgason, S.: A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations. Advances in Math. 5, 1-154 (1970)
- [12] Ionescu, A. D.: On the Poisson transform on symmetric spaces of rank one, J. Funct. Anal. 174 (2), 513-523 (2000)
- [13] Kaizuka, K.: A characterization of the L^2 -range of the Poisson transform related to Strichartz conjecture on symmetric spaces of noncompact type, Adv in Math. 303, 464-501 (2016)

- [14] Koornwinder, T.: A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform, Arkiv For Matematik. 13(1-2),145-159 (1975)
- [15] Kumar, P.: Fourier restriction theorem and characterization of weak L^2 -eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami, J. Funct. Anal. 266, 5584-5597 (2014)
- [16] Kumar, P., Ray,S. K., Sarkar, R. P.: Characterization of almost L^p -eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366, 3191-3225 (2014)
- [17] Shimeno, N.: Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators on a homogeneous line bundle on a Riemannian symmetric space, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, Math. 37 , 201-234 (1990)
- [18] Shimeno, N.: The Plancherel Formula for Spherical Functions with a One-dimensional K -type on a Simply Connected Lie Group of Hermitian Type, J. Funct Anal. 121, 330-384 (1994)
- [19] Shimeno, N.: A formula for the hypergeometric function of type BC_n , Pacif. J. Math. 236 (1), 105-118 (2008)
- [20] Strichartz, R S.: Harmonic Analysis as Spectral Theory of Laplacians. J. Funct. Anal. 87, 51-148 (1991)