

BGK MODEL OF THE MULTI-SPECIES UEHLING UHLENBECK EQUATION

GI-CHAN BAE, CHRISTIAN KLINGENBERG, MARLIES PIRNER, AND SEOK-BAE YUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we propose a BGK model of the quantum Boltzmann equation for gas mixtures, and provide a sufficient condition that guarantees the existence of equilibrium coefficients so that the model shares the same conservation laws and H -theorem with the quantum Boltzmann equation. Compared to the proof in the case for classical BGK for gas mixtures, the existence of moment constraints has to be proven. This is due to the nature of Fermi and Bose distributions instead of Maxwellians in the relaxation operators. For this, we explicitly derive the nonlinear relations among the equilibrium coefficients of local quantum equilibria that arise from the conservation laws and H -theorem, and verify in a unified way that the nonlinear relations uniquely determines the coefficients under certain conditions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Quantum Boltzmann equation for gas mixture	1
1.2. Quantum BGK model for gas mixture	3
1.3. Determination of \mathcal{M}_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$)	5
1.4. literature review: Quantum BGK models	5
2. Determination of the relaxation operators for quantum mixture	6
2.1. Main result for general quantum-quantum interaction	6
2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1), (2)	7
2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (3)	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Quantum Boltzmann equation for gas mixture. The quantum modification of the celebrated Boltzmann equation was made in [61, 62] to incorporate the quantum effect that cannot be neglected for light molecules (such as Helium) in low temperature. Quantum Boltzmann equation is now fruitfully employed not just for low temperature gases, but in various circumstances such as the study of carrier mobility in various electronic devices. When the gas is composed of several different types of molecules (gas mixture), the quantum Boltzmann equation takes the form (For simplicity, we restricted our interest into two species case):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1 + \frac{p}{m_1} \cdot \nabla_x f_1 &= Q_{11}(f_1, f_1) + Q_{12}(f_1, f_2), \\ \partial_t f_2 + \frac{p}{m_2} \cdot \nabla_x f_2 &= Q_{22}(f_2, f_2) + Q_{21}(f_2, f_1). \end{aligned}$$

The momentum distribution function $f_i(x, p, t)$ denotes the number density at the phase point $(x, p) \in \Omega_x \times \mathbb{R}_p^3$ at time t . The collision operator Q_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$) takes the following form:

Key words and phrases. BGK models, boltzmann equation, Uehling Uhlenbeck equation, relaxation time approximation, gas mixture.

- Fermion-Fermion ($-$), Boson-Boson ($+$).

$$Q_{ij}(f_i, f_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B_{ij}(|p - p_*|, w) \{f'_i f'_{j,*} (1 \pm f_i)(1 \pm f_{j,*}) - f_i f_{j,*} (1 \pm f'_i)(1 \pm f'_{j,*})\} dw dp_*$$

- Fermion (f_1)-Boson (f_2) interaction:

$$Q_{ij}(f_i, f_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B_{ij}(|p - p_*|, w) \{f'_i f'_{j,*} (1 + \tau(i)f_i)(1 + \tau(j)f_{j,*}) - f_i f_{j,*} (1 + \tau(i)f'_i)(1 + \tau(j)f'_{j,*})\} dw dp_*$$

where $\tau(1) = -1$ and $\tau(2) = 1$. We used the abbreviated notation:

$$f_i = f_i(x, p, t), \quad f_{i,*} = f_i(x, p_*, t), \quad f'_i = f_i(x, p', t), \quad f'_{i,*} = f_i(x, p'_*, t), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The pre-collisional momenta p' and p'_* can be derived from the local conservation laws:

$$\begin{aligned} p' + p'_* &= p + p_*, \\ \frac{|p'|^2}{2m_1} + \frac{|p'_*|^2}{2m_2} &= \frac{|p|^2}{2m_1} + \frac{|p_*|^2}{2m_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

in the following explicit forms:

$$\begin{aligned} p' &= p - \frac{2m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} w \left[\left(\frac{p}{m_1} - \frac{p_*}{m_2} \right) \cdot w \right], \\ p'_* &= p_* + \frac{2m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} w \left[\left(\frac{p}{m_1} - \frac{p_*}{m_2} \right) \cdot w \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The collision operator has 5 collision invariants: $1, p, |p|^2$ ($k = 1, 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{kk}(f_k, f_k) dp &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{21}(f_2, f_1) dp = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{kk}(f_k, f_k) p dp &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) p + Q_{21}(f_2, f_1) p\} dp = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{kk}(f_k, f_k) |p|^2 dp &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) + Q_{21}(f_2, f_1)\} |p|^2 dp = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

which leads to the conservation of total mass, momentum and energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_1 dx dp &= 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_2 dx dp = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_1 p dx dp + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_2 p dx dp \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_1 |p|^2 dx dp + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_2 |p|^2 dx dp \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

The collision operator Q_{ii}, Q_{ij} ($i, j \in \{1, 2\}$) also satisfies the following entropy dissipation property:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 + \tau(1)f_1} Q_{11}(f_1, f_1) dp &\leq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 + \tau(2)f_2} Q_{22}(f_2, f_2) dp \leq 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 + \tau(1)f_1} Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 + \tau(2)f_2} Q_{21}(f_2, f_1) dp &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\tau(i) = -1$ when f_i denotes distribution of fermion and $\tau(i) = +1$ when f_i denotes distribution of boson.

Such dissipation implies the celebrated H -theorem for quantum mixture:

- Fermion-Fermion ($-$), Boson-Boson ($+$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(f_1, f_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 \pm f_1} Q_{11}(f_1, f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 \pm f_2} Q_{22}(f_2, f_2) dp \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 \pm f_1} Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 \pm f_2} Q_{21}(f_2, f_1) dp \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

- Fermion (f_1)-Boson (f_2):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(f_1, f_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 - f_1} Q_{11}(f_1, f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 + f_2} Q_{22}(f_2, f_2) dp \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 - f_1} Q_{12}(f_1, f_2) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 + f_2} Q_{21}(f_2, f_1) dp \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(f_1, f_2)$ denotes the H -functional:

- Fermion-Fermion interaction:

$$H(f_1, f_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \ln f_1 + (1 - f_1) \ln(1 - f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \ln f_2 + (1 - f_2) \ln(1 - f_2) dp.$$

- Boson-Boson interaction:

$$H(f_1, f_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \ln f_1 - (1 + f_1) \ln(1 + f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \ln f_2 - (1 + f_2) \ln(1 + f_2) dp.$$

- Fermion (f_1)-Boson (f_2) interaction:

$$H_{FB}(f_1, f_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \ln f_1 + (1 - f_1) \ln(1 - f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \ln f_2 - (1 + f_2) \ln(1 + f_2) dp.$$

The r.h.s of (1.1) vanishes if and only if f_1 and f_2 are quantum equilibrium:

- Fermion-Fermion ($+$), Boson-Boson interaction ($-$):

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_1} \pm 1}, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_2} \pm 1}.$$

- Fermion (f_1)-Boson (f_2) interaction

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_1} + 1}, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_2} - 1}.$$

1.2. Quantum BGK model for gas mixture. In this paper, we propose the BGK type relaxation model of (1.6) :

MQBGK

(1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f_1 + p \cdot \nabla_x f_1 &= \mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{R}_{12}, \\ \partial_t f_2 + p \cdot \nabla_x f_2 &= \mathcal{R}_{21} + \mathcal{R}_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R}_{ij} denotes the relaxation operator for the interactions of i th and j th component. More explicitly, they are defined as follows:

- Fermion-Fermion interaction ($i \neq j$):

$$\mathcal{R}_{ii} = \mathcal{F}_{ii} - f_i, \quad \mathcal{R}_{ij} = \mathcal{F}_{ij} - f_i, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where \mathcal{F}_{ii} denotes the Fermi-Dirac distribution for same-species interaction:

$$\mathcal{F}_{11} = \frac{1}{e^{a_1|p-b_1|^2+c_1} + 1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{22} = \frac{1}{e^{a_2|p-b_2|^2+c_2} + 1},$$

and \mathcal{F}_{ij} denote Fermi-Dirac distribution for inter-species interactions:

$$\mathcal{F}_{12} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} + 1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{21} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} + 1}.$$

- Boson-Boson interaction ($i \neq j$):

$$\mathcal{R}_{ii} = \mathcal{B}_{ii} - f_i, \quad \mathcal{R}_{ij} = \mathcal{B}_{ij} - f_i, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where \mathcal{B}_{ii} denotes the Bose-Einstein distribution for same-species interaction :

$$\mathcal{B}_{11} = \frac{1}{e^{a_1|p-b_1|^2+c_1} - 1}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{22} = \frac{1}{e^{a_2|p-b_2|^2+c_2} - 1},$$

while \mathcal{B}_{ij} denote Bose-Einstein distribution for inter-species interactions:

$$\mathcal{B}_{12} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} - 1}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{21} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} - 1}.$$

- Fermion (f_1)-Boson (f_2) interaction:

$$\mathcal{R}_{11} = \mathcal{F}_{11} - f_1 \quad \mathcal{R}_{22} = \mathcal{B}_{22} - f_2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{F}_{12} - f_1 \quad \mathcal{R}_{21} = \mathcal{B}_{21} - f_2,$$

where \mathcal{F}_{11} denotes the Fermi-Dirac distribution and \mathcal{B}_{22} denotes the Bose-Einstein distribution for i - i interaction :

$$\mathcal{F}_{11} = \frac{1}{e^{a_1|p-b_1|^2+c_1} + 1}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{22} = \frac{1}{e^{a_2|p-b_2|^2+c_2} - 1},$$

while \mathcal{F}_{12} denotes Fermi-Dirac distribution and \mathcal{B}_{21} denotes Bose-Einstein distribution for inter-species interactions:

$$\mathcal{F}_{12} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} + 1}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{21} = \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} - 1}.$$

For later convenience, and for unified proof, we introduce the following notation for quantum equilibriums:

- **The quantum equilibrium \mathcal{M}_{ij}**

Next, we will make statements on the equilibrium distributions in the relaxation operators that correspond to \mathcal{F}_{ij} in the fermion case and \mathcal{B}_{ij} in the boson case. In order not to list all different cases separately, we denote the equilibrium distribution by \mathcal{M}_{ij} which is equal to a Fermi-Dirac or a Bose-Einstein distribution depending on the case we consider:

- (1) Fermion-Fermion interaction

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \mathcal{F}_{ij}. \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

- (2) Boson-Boson interaction

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \mathcal{B}_{ij}. \quad (i, j = 1, 2)$$

- (3) Fermion (f_1) - Boson (f_2) interaction

$$\mathcal{M}_{1j} = \mathcal{F}_{1j}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{2j} = \mathcal{B}_{2j}. \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

The excessive computational cost has already been a very serious obstacles even for the classical Boltzmann equation. Since the difficulty mostly lies in the computation of the collision operator, various efforts to approximate the complicated collision process with a numerically more amenable model have been made. The BGK model is introduced in [9] as a result of such efforts, and now become the most popular approximate model of the Boltzmann equation because it provide a very reliable results in wide range of kinetic-fluid regime covering much of the practical problems at relatively low computational costs.

As in the classical case, the quantum BGK models are widely used in place of the quantum Boltzmann equation. However, the quantum BGK model for mixture are not rigorously studied yet. More precisely, whether the relaxation operator can be soundly defined in a rigorous manner so that it satisfies the same conservation laws and the H -theorem as the quantum Boltzmann does has never been rigorously verified in the literature. (For the relevant result for one-species quantum BGK, see [3, 4, 22, 43, ?]), which is the main motivation of the current work.

1.3. Determination of \mathcal{M}_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$). The quantum BGK model may be far more amenable in terms of numerical computation, but the highly non-linear nature of the QBGK model gives rise to various difficulties in the analysis of the model. As such, it turns out that the requirement that the QBGK model must share the conservation laws and H -theorem with the quantum Boltzmann equation, leads to a set of very complicated nonlinear relations for the equilibrium coefficients (See Section 2.2). Moreover, they involves different conditions of solvability according to the nature of the interactions: Fermion-Fermion interaction, Fermion-Boson interaction, Boson-Boson interaction.

In this paper, we explicitly derive the nonlinear relations among the equilibrium coefficients of \mathcal{M}_{11} , \mathcal{M}_{22} , \mathcal{M}_{12} , \mathcal{M}_{21} that arise from the physical requirement of the equation, and verify in a unified way that those nonlinear relations uniquely determined the coefficients under certain conditions.

First, we note that we need to determine the mixture local equilibrium \mathcal{M}_{ij} in such way that the relaxation operator in the r.h.s of (1.6) satisfies the same cancellation properties in (1.3) and the entropy dissipation in (1.5) are determined by following conservation laws.

To be more specific, let N_i , P_i and E_i ($i = 1, 2$) denotes

$$N_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_i dp, \quad P_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_i p dp, \quad E_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_i |p|^2 dp.$$

Assuming that the r.h.s of (1.6) satisfies the same identities in (1.3), we arrive at the following identities:

$$\boxed{\text{conserv 1}} \quad (1.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{ii} dp = N_i, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{ii} p dp = P_i, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{ii} |p|^2 dp = E_i, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

and

$$\boxed{\text{conserv 2}} \quad (1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{12} dp &= N_1, & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{21} dp &= N_2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{12} p dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{21} p dp &= P_1 + P_2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{12} |p|^2 dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}_{21} |p|^2 dp &= E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to show that, for each fixed N_i , P_i , E_i ($i = 1, 2$), the relations in (1.7) and (1.8) completely and uniquely determine \mathcal{M}_{ij} , which is stated in Theorem 2.1.

1.4. literature review: Quantum BGK models. The quantum modification of the celebrated Boltzmann equation, which is often called Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation or Nordheim equation in the literature, was made in [26, 37, 61, 62] and soon recognized as a fundamental equation to describe quantum particles at mesoscopic level. But due to the complexity of the collision operator, which is a serious obstacle to practical application of the equation, and relaxation time approximations, or quantum BGK models are widely used to understand the transport phenomena and compute transport coefficients for semi-conductor device and crystal lattice [2, 21, 34, 35, 36, 45, 51] and various flow problems involving quantum effects [16, 24, 23, 35, 57, 63, 64]. For the development of numerical methods for quantum BGK model, we refer to [16, 23, 24, 47, 53, 56, 57, 63, 64, 65]. We mention that The prototype of relaxation type models in quantum theory can be traced back to the Drude model [19, 20] which successfully explained the fundamental transport property of electrons such as the Ohm's law or Hall effect. .

Mathematical results on the quantum BGK model is Nouri studied the existence of weak solutions for a stationary quantum BGK model with a discretized condensation term in [48]. Braukhoff [13, 14] established the existence of analytic solutions and studied its asymptotic behaviour for a quantum BGK type model describing the dynamics of the ultra-cold atoms in an optical lattice. Bae et al considered the existence and asymptotic stability of a fermionic quantum BGK model near a global Fermi-Dirac distribution.

BGK models for gas mixtures: There are many BGK models for gas mixtures proposed in the literature. Examples include the model of Gross and Krook [30], the model of Hamel [32], the model of Garzo, Santos and Brey [27], the model of Greene [28], the model of Sofonea and Sekerka [58], the model of Klingenberg, Pirner and Puppo [39], the model of Haack, Hauck, Murillo [31], the model of Bobylev, Bisi, Groppi, Spiga [12], the model by Andries, Aoki and Perthame [1]. BGK models have also been extended to ES-BGK models, polyatomic molecules or chemical reactions; see for example [10, 11, 29, 41, 40, 50, 60]. BGK models are often used in applications because they give rise to efficient numerical computations as compared to models with Boltzmann collision terms [7, 8, 17, 18, 49, 25].

In the following Section 2.1, we state our main result. In Section 2.2, we derive a set of nonlinear functional relations and show that the equilibrium coefficients can be uniquely determined to satisfy the conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy. In Section 2.3, the BGK model defined with the equilibrium coefficients derived in Section 2.2, also satisfies the H -theorem.

2. DETERMINATION OF THE RELAXATION OPERATORS FOR QUANTUM MIXTURE

2.1. Main result for general quantum-quantum interaction. We now state our main result stating that the equilibrium coefficients, under appropriate assumptions on N_i , P_i and E_i , can be uniquely determined. To simplify the presentation, we introduce $h_{\pm 1}$, $j_{\pm 1}$, k by

$$h_{\pm 1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} \pm 1} dp, \quad j_{\pm 1}(x) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} \pm 1} dp}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} \pm 1} dp \right)^{3/5}},$$

and

$$k_{\tau, \tau'}(x, y) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x+\tau} \pm 1} dp}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x+\tau} \pm 1} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y+\tau'} \pm 1} dp \right)^{3/5}},$$

where the pair (τ, τ') is chosen as follows:

$$(\tau, \tau') = \begin{cases} (+1, +1) & \text{(fermion-fermion)} \\ (-1, -1) & \text{(boson-boson)} \\ (+1, -1) & \text{(fermion-boson)} \end{cases}$$

Using h and k , we define g , which is defined as a composite function of k and h^{-1} , as follows:

$$\boxed{\text{QQg}(x)} \quad (2.1) \quad g_{\tau, \tau'}(x) = k_{\tau, \tau'}(x, y(x)) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x+\tau} \pm 1} dp}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x+\tau} \pm 1} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)+\tau'} \pm 1} dp \right)^{3/5}},$$

where $y(x)$ denotes

$$y(x) = h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(x) \right),$$

Note that $h_{\pm 1}^{-1}$ always exist since $h_{\pm 1}$ is strictly decreasing. For simplicity of notation, we define $l : \{+1, -1\} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$l(x) = \begin{cases} l(+1) = -\infty \\ l(-1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

main result QQ

Theorem 2.1. (1) *Assume,*

$$\frac{N_1}{(E_1 - P_1^2/N_1)^{3/5}} \leq j_{\tau}(l(\tau)), \quad \frac{N_2}{(E_2 - P_2^2/N_2)^{3/5}} \leq j_{\tau'}(l(\tau')).$$

Then, we can define c_i ($i = 1, 2$) as the unique solution of

$$j_\tau(c_1) = \frac{N_1}{(E_1 - |P_1|^2/N_1)^{\frac{3}{5}}}, \quad j_{\tau'}(c_2) = \frac{N_2}{(E_2 - |P_2|^2/N_1)^{\frac{3}{5}}}.$$

With c_1, c_2 obtained above, we then define a_i ($i = 1, 2$) by

$$a_1 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_1} + \tau} dp \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} N_1^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad a_2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_2} + \tau'} dp \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} N_2^{-\frac{2}{3}},$$

and

$$b_1 = \frac{P_1}{N_1}, \quad b_2 = \frac{P_2}{N_2}.$$

Then, with such choice of a_i, b_i and c_i , \mathcal{M}_{11} and \mathcal{M}_{22} satisfies (1.7).

(2) Assume further that

$$\frac{N_1}{(E_1 + E_2 - \frac{|P_1+P_2|^2}{N_1+N_2})^{\frac{3}{5}}} \leq g \left(\max \left\{ l(\tau), h_\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} h_{\tau'}(l(\tau')) \right) \right\} \right).$$

Then c_{12}, c_{21} are defined as a unique solution of the following relations:

$$\frac{h_\tau(c_{12})}{h_{\tau'}(c_{21})} = \frac{N_1}{N_2}, \quad k_{\tau, \tau'}(c_{12}, c_{21}) = \frac{N_1}{(E_1 + E_2 - \frac{|P_1+P_2|^2}{N_1+N_2})^{\frac{3}{5}}}.$$

With such c_{12} and c_{21} , we define a and b by

$$a = \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp}{E_1 + E_2 - \frac{|P_1+P_2|^2}{N_1+N_2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}}, \quad b = \frac{P_1 + P_2}{N_1 + N_2},$$

Then, with these choice our equilibrium coefficients, our quantum BGK model for gas mixture (1.6) satisfies (1.8).

(3) With the choice of equilibrium coefficients as in (1), (2), the quantum BGK model for gas mixture (1.6) satisfies the H-theorem. The equality in the H-Theorem is characterized by f_1 and f_2 being two Fermion distributions in the Fermion-Fermion case, two Bose distributions in the Boson- Boson case and a Fermion distribution and a Bose distribution in the Fermion-Boson case. In all cases these equilibrium distributions have the same a and b .

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1), (2). The proof for (1) can be found in [3]. Therefore, we start with the proof of (2). An explicit computation from (1.8)₂ gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x, t) + P_2(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p+b}{e^{a|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p+b}{e^{a|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp \\ &= b(N_1(x, t) + N_2(x, t)). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the explicit presentation of b :

QQb

$$(2.2) \quad b(x, t) = \frac{P_1(x, t) + P_2(x, t)}{N_1(x, t) + N_2(x, t)}.$$

On the other hand, we have from (1.8)₁ that:

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\text{QQN1}} \quad (2.3) \quad N_1(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp = a^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp, \\ N_2(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp = a^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp, \end{aligned}$$

and from (1.8)₃:

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\text{QQE+E}} \quad (2.4) \quad E_1(x, t) + E_2(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{a|p-b|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp \\ &= a^{-\frac{5}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + a^{-\frac{5}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp \\ &\quad + (N_1 + N_2)b^2(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (2.2) into (2.4), we get

$$\boxed{\text{QQE12}} \quad (2.5) \quad E_1 + E_2 - \frac{|P_1 + P_2|^2}{N_1 + N_2} = a^{-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp \right)$$

We then deduce from (2.5) and (2.3)₁ that

$$\boxed{\text{QQc1}} \quad (2.6) \quad \frac{N_1}{\left(E_1 + E_2 - \frac{|P_1+P_2|^2}{N_1+N_2}\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}},$$

On the other hand, we can factor out a by dividing the two relations in (2.3):

$$\boxed{\text{QQN12}} \quad (2.7) \quad \frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_{12}} + \tau} dp}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+c_{21}} + \tau'} dp}$$

and hence:

$$\boxed{\text{QQc21}} \quad (2.8) \quad c_{21} = h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(c_{12}) \right),$$

from the monotonicity of h_{τ} . Now, considering that a is obtained from (2.5) once c_{12} and c_{21} are chosen, it remains, under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, that (2.6) and (2.7) uniquely determine c_{12} and c_{21} . In turn, in view of (2.6) and (2.8), we see that c_{12} and c_{21} can be uniquely determined once we prove the monotonicity of g , which is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Recall the definition of g given in (2.1):*

$$g_{\tau, \tau'}(x) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp\right)^{\frac{3}{5}}},$$

where

$$\boxed{\text{y}} \quad (2.9) \quad y(x) = h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(x) \right),$$

Then $g_{\tau, \tau'}(x)$ is monotone decreasing function when $x \geq \max \left\{ l(\tau), h_{\tau}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} h_{\tau'}(l(\tau')) \right) \right\}$.

Proof. Claim : We claim that establishing the following identity finishes the proof.

$$\boxed{\text{identity}} \quad (2.10) \quad g'_{\tau, \tau'}(x) = 8\pi^2 \frac{D_{\tau}(x) + \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} D_{\tau'}(y(x))}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp\right)^{\frac{4}{5}}}$$

where

$$D_\tau(x) = \frac{9}{5} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr - \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr.$$

To see this, we first observe that $h(x)$ is strictly decreasing function on $x \in [0, \infty)$ for $\tau = -1$ and $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for $\tau = +1$:

$$h'_\tau(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{|p|^2+x}}{(e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau)^2} dp < 0.$$

Therefore, our restriction on x : $x \geq h_\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} h_{\tau'}(l(\tau')) \right)$ combined with the definition of y given in (2.9), leads to

$$y(x) \equiv h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_\tau(x) \right) \geq h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_\tau \left(h_\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} h_{\tau'}(l(\tau')) \right) \right) \right) = l(\tau').$$

In conclusion, we have

$$x \geq l(\tau), \quad \text{and} \quad y(x) \geq l(\tau').$$

Therefore, we have

$$D_\tau(x) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\tau'}(y(x)) < 0.$$

Since we already know

$$D_{+1}(x) < 0 \text{ on } x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad D_{-1}(x) < 0 \text{ on } x \in [0, \infty).$$

(See [43] for boson case (+1) and [3, 44] for fermion case (-1)). In conclusion, we are all set if we established the identity (2.10):

• **Proof of (2.10):** By an explicit computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right)^{-\frac{6}{5}} \\ &\times \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right)^{\frac{3}{5}} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp \right. \\ &- \frac{3}{5} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right)^{-\frac{2}{5}} \\ &\left. \times \partial_x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We then multiply $2/5$ power of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp$$

on numerator and denominator:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right)^{-\frac{4}{5}} \\ &\times \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp \right. \\ &- \frac{3}{5} \partial_x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp \left. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We then set the denominator to be I to write

$$\frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right)^{-\frac{4}{5}} \times I,$$

where

$$I = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp \\ - \frac{3}{5} \partial_x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp.$$

We then carry out the following two integrations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-e^{|p|^2+x}}{(e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau)^2} dp \\ \text{int1} \quad (2.11) \quad &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{-r^2 e^{r^2+x}}{(e^{r^2+x} + \tau)^2} dr \\ &= -2\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \end{aligned}$$

where we used the following integration by parts : $u' = \frac{2re^{r^2+x}}{(e^{r^2+x} + \tau)^2}$, $v = \frac{1}{2}r$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|p|^2}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp \right) \\ \text{int2} \quad (2.12) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-|p|^2 e^{|p|^2+x}}{(e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau)^2} dp + \frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-|p|^2 e^{|p|^2+y(x)}}{(e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau')^2} dp \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{-r^4 e^{r^2+x}}{(e^{r^2+x} + \tau)^2} dr + 4\pi \frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \frac{-r^4 e^{r^2+y(x)}}{(e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau')^2} dr \\ &= -6\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr - 6\pi \frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we used similar integration by parts : $u' = \frac{2re^{r^2+c}}{(e^{r^2+c} + \tau)^2}$, $v = \frac{1}{2}r^3$ for

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^4 e^{r^2+c}}{(e^{r^2+c} + \tau)^2} dr = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+c} + \tau} dr.$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), we rewrite I as

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -8\pi^2 \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr + \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \\ \text{re} \quad (2.13) \quad &+ \frac{72\pi^2}{5} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr + \frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \end{aligned}$$

We then recall

$$D_\tau(x) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr + \frac{9}{5} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr < 0,$$

and express (2.13) as follows: So subtracting $D_\tau(x)$ on each sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I}{8\pi^2} - D_\tau(x) &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \\ \text{turn back} \quad (2.14) \quad &+ \frac{9}{5} \frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $\partial y(x)/\partial x$. Recall

$$y(x) = h_{\tau'}^{-1} \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_\tau(x) \right),$$

and compute

$$\frac{dy(x)}{dx} = (h_{\tau'}^{-1})' \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(x) \right) \times \frac{d}{dx} \frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(x).$$

Then, since the differentiation rule for inverse function gives

$$(h_{\tau'}^{-1})' \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} h_{\tau}(x) \right) = \frac{1}{h'_{\tau'}(y(x))},$$

we get

$$\frac{dy(x)}{dx} = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \frac{h'_{\tau}(x)}{h'_{\tau'}(y(x))}.$$

Finally, we use

$$h_{\tau}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{-e^{|p|^2+x}}{(e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau)^2} dp = 4\pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{-r^2 e^{r^2+x}}{(e^{r^2+x} + \tau)^2} dr = -2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr,$$

to obtain the following expressions for $\partial y / \partial x$:

$$\frac{\partial y(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr}.$$

Inserting this into (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I}{8\pi^2} - D_{\tau}(x) &= - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{5} \frac{N_2}{N_1} \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr - \frac{9}{5} \frac{N_2}{N_1} \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use

$$\frac{N_2}{N_1} = \frac{h_{\tau'}(y(x))}{h_{\tau}(x)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dp}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{e^{|p|^2+x} + \tau} dp} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}$$

to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I}{8\pi^2} - D_{\tau}(x) &= - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr - \frac{9}{5} \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} \right) \\ &= \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{9}{5} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^2}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^4}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+x} + \tau} dr}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{r^2+y(x)} + \tau'} dr} D_{\tau'}(y(x)), \end{aligned}$$

which complete the proof. \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (3). It remains to prove the H -theorem to conclude Theorem 2.1 (3).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f_i \leq 1$ only when f_i is the distribution function for fermion components, then we have*

$$\ln \frac{f_1}{1 - \tau f_1} \{(\mathcal{M}_{11} - f_1) + (\mathcal{M}_{12} - f_1)\} + \ln \frac{f_2}{1 - \tau' f_2} \{(\mathcal{M}_{22} - f_2) + (\mathcal{M}_{21} - f_2)\} \leq 0.$$

Proof. The proof for

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 - f_1} (\mathcal{M}_{11} - f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 - f_2} (\mathcal{M}_{22} - f_2) dp \leq 0,$$

can be found in [63]. So we only prove

$$S \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_1}{1 - \tau f_1} (\mathcal{M}_{12} - f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{f_2}{1 - \tau' f_2} (\mathcal{M}_{21} - f_2) dp \leq 0.$$

First, we observe that

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{\mathcal{M}_{12}}{1 - \tau \mathcal{M}_{12}} (\mathcal{M}_{12} - f_1) dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \ln \frac{\mathcal{M}_{21}}{1 - \tau' \mathcal{M}_{21}} (\mathcal{M}_{21} - f_2) dp = 0,$$

which follows from an explicit computation using the conservation laws (1.8):

$$\begin{aligned} I &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|p - b|^2 + c_{12}) (\mathcal{M}_{12} - f_1) dp - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|p - b|^2 + c_{21}) (\mathcal{M}_{21} - f_2) dp \\ &= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p|^2 (f_1 + f_2 - \mathcal{M}_{12} - \mathcal{M}_{21}) dp - 2ab \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p (f_1 + f_2 - \mathcal{M}_{12} - \mathcal{M}_{21}) dp \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we find

$$\begin{aligned} S - I &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\ln \frac{f_1}{1 - \tau f_1} - \ln \frac{\mathcal{M}_{12}}{1 - \tau \mathcal{M}_{12}} \right) (\mathcal{M}_{12} - f_1) dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\ln \frac{f_2}{1 - \tau' f_2} - \ln \frac{\mathcal{M}_{21}}{1 - \tau' \mathcal{M}_{21}} \right) (\mathcal{M}_{21} - f_2) dp \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\ln \frac{x}{1+x}$ is an increasing function for $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $\ln \frac{x}{1-x}$ is an increasing function when $0 < x < 1$. Here, we have equality if and only if $f_1 = \mathcal{M}_{12}$ and $f_2 = \mathcal{M}_{21}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. The equality in the H -Theorem is characterized by two distributions with the same value for a and b . Due to the fact that b is equal to pressure over the density, this leads to $P_1 = \frac{N_1}{N_2} P_2$.

Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 (3), it remains to prove that $f_i < 1$ in the case of fermions.

Lemma 2.4. *Let f_i be a distribution function for fermions and $f_i(x, p, 0) < 1$. Then we have $f_i(x, p, t) < 1$ for $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Integrating (1.6) along the characteristic, we get the mild form :

$$f_i(x, p, t) = e^{-2t} f_i(x - pt, p, 0) + \int_0^t e^{2(\tau-t)} (\mathcal{F}_{ii} + \mathcal{F}_{ij})(x + (\tau - t)p, p, \tau) d\tau,$$

for $j \neq i$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{ii} < 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ij} < 1$ for all (x, p, t) by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x, p, t) &\leq e^{-2t} f_i(x - pt, p, 0) + \int_0^t 2e^{2(\tau-t)} d\tau \\ &= e^{-2t} f_i(x - pt, p, 0) + (1 - e^{-2t}) \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement: Christian Klingenberg acknowledges support by the DFG grant KL-566/20-2. Marlies Pirner is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project F 65 and the Humboldt foundation. Seok-Bae Yun is supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1801-02.

REFERENCES

1. P. Andries, K. Aoki and B. Perthame, *A consistent BGK-type model for gas mixtures*, Journal of Statistical Physics 106 (2002) 993-1018
2. Ashcroft, N. W. : Solid State Physic. Thomson Press, 2003.
3. Bae, G.-C., Yun, S.-B. : Stationary Quantum BGK model for bosons and fermions in a bounded interval. submitted, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.08961>
4. Bae, G.-C., Yun, S.-B. : Quantum BGK model near a global Fermi-Dirac distribution. Preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.07790>
5. Baille, P., Chang, J. S., Claude, A., Hobson, R. M., Ogram, G. L., Yau, A. W.: Effective collision frequency of electrons in noble gases. Journ of Phys B: Atomic and Molecular Physics, **14** (1981) no. 9, 1485-1495.
6. Benedetto, D., Castella, F., Esposito, R., Pulvirenti, M.: A short review on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equations. Comm. Math. Sci. **5** (2007) 55-71.
7. M. Bennoune, M. Lemou and L. Mieussens, *Uniformly stable numerical schemes for the Boltzmann equation preserving the compressible Navier-Stokes asymptotics*, Journal of Computational Physics 227 (2008) 3781-3803
8. F. Bernard, A. Iollo and G. Puppo, *Accurate asymptotic preserving boundary conditions for kinetic equations on Cartesian grids*, Journal of Scientific Computing 65 (2015) 735-766
9. Bhatnagar, P. L., Gross, E. P. and Krook, M.: A model for collision processes in gases. Small amplitude process in charged and neutral one-component systems, Physical Review, **94** (1954), 511-525.
10. M. Bisi, M. Cáceres, *A BGK relaxation model for polyatomic gas mixtures*, Communication in Mathematical Sciences, 14 (2016) 297-325
11. Bisi, M., Groppi, M., Spiga, G. (2010). Kinetic Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model for fast reactive mixtures and its hydrodynamic limit. Physical Review E, 81(3), 036327.
12. Bobylev, A. V., Bisi, M., Groppi, M., Spiga, G., Potapenko, I. F. (2018). A general consistent BGK model for gas mixtures. Kinetic and Related Models, 11(6).
13. Braukhoff, M.: Semiconductor Boltzmann-Dirac-Benney equation with BGK-type collision operator: existence of solutions vs. ill-posedness. preprint. arXiv:1711.06015
14. Braukhoff, M. : Global analytic solutions of the semiconductor Boltzmann-Dirac-Benney equation with relaxation time approximation. preprint. arXiv:1803.00379.
15. Chapman, Sydney, Cowling, T.G.: The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases: an account of the kinetic theory of viscosity, thermal conduction and diffusion in gases. Cambridge university press, 1970.
16. Crouseilles, N., Manfredi, G.: Asymptotic preserving schemes for the Wigner-Poisson-BGK equations in the diffusion limit. Comp. Phys. Commun. **185** (2014) no. 2, 448-458.
Kinetic Models and Quantum Effects: A Modified Boltzmann Equation for Fermi-Dirac Particles. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **127** (1994) no. 2, 101-131.
17. G. Dimarco, L. Mieussens and V. Rispoli, *An asymptotic preserving automatic domain decomposition method for the Vlasov-Poisson-BGK system with applications to plasmas*, Journal of Computational Physics 274 (2014) 122-139
18. G. Dimarco and L. Pareschi, *Numerical methods for kinetic equations*, Acta Numerica 23 (2014) 369-520
19. Drude, P. Zur Elektronentheorie der Metalle. I. Annalen der Physik, 1 (1900) 566-613.
20. Drude, P. Zur Elektronentheorie der Metalle. II. Annalen der Physik, 3 (1900) 369-402
21. Feng, D., Jin, G. : Introduction to Condensed Matter Physics, World Scientific, 2005.
22. Escobedo, M., Mischler, S., Valle, M. A.: Entropy maximisation problem for quantum relativistic particles. Bull. Soc. math. France. **133** (2005) no. 1, 87-120.
23. Filbet, F., Hu, J., Jin, S.: A Numerical scheme for the quantum Boltzmann equation efficient in the fluid regime. arXiv preprint. arXiv:1009.3352 (2010).
24. Filbet, F., Hu, J., Jin, S.: A numerical scheme for the quantum Boltzmann equation with stiff collision terms. Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **46** (2012) no. 2, 443-463.
25. F. Filbet and S. Jin, *A class of asymptotic-preserving schemes for kinetic equations and related problems with stiff sources*, Journal of Computational Physics 20 (2010) 7625-7648
26. Fowler, R. H., Nordheim, L.: Electron Emission in Intense Electric Fields. Proc. R. Soc. London. **119** (1928) no. 781, 173-181.
27. V. Garzó, A. Santos and J. J. Brey, *A kinetic model for a multicomponent gas* Physics of Fluids, 1 (1989) 380-383
28. J. Greene, Improved Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model of electron-ion collisions. Phys. Fluids 16, 2022-2023 (1973)

29. M. Groppi, S. Monica and G. Spiga, *A kinetic ellipsoidal BGK model for a binary gas mixture*, epljournal, **96** (2011), 64002
30. E. P. Gross and M. Krook, *Model for collision processes in gases: small-amplitude oscillations of charged two-component systems*, Physical Review **3** (1956) 593
31. Haack, J. R., Hauck, C. D., Murillo, M. S. (2017). A conservative, entropic multispecies BGK model. Journal of Statistical Physics, **168**(4), 826-856.
32. B. Hamel, *Kinetic model for binary gas mixtures*, Physics of Fluids **8** (1965) 418-425
33. Ihn, T. : *Electronic quantum transport in mesoscopic semiconductor structures*, Springer, 2018
34. Jüngel, A. *Transport equations for semiconductors*. Lecture Notes in Physics, 773. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
35. Hu, J., Jin, S.: On kinetic flux vector splitting schemes for quantum Euler equations. Kinet. Relat. Models, **4** (2011) no. 2, 517-530.
36. Khalatnikov, I. M.: *An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1965.
37. Kikuchi, S., Nordheim, L.: ber die kinetische Fundamentalgleichung in der Quantenstatistik. II. Zeits. Phys. **60** (1930) no. 9-10, 652-662.
38. Klingenberg, C., Pirner, M.: Existence, uniqueness and positivity of solutions for BGK models for mixtures. J. Differential Equations **264** (2018), no. 2, 702-727.
39. C. Klingenberg, M.Pirner, G.Puppo, *A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture with an application to plasma*, Kinetic and related Models **10** (2017) 445-465
40. C. Klingenberg, M. Pirner, G. Puppo, Kinetic ES-BGK models for a multi-component gas mixture, Theory, Numerics and Applications of Hyperbolic Problems, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics (PROMS) **236** (2018)
41. C. Klingenberg, M. Pirner, G. Puppo, A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture of polyatomic molecules, Communications in Mathematical Sciences, Vol 17, No. 1 (2019), pp. 149 - 173
42. Lange, H., Toomire, B., Zweifel, P. F.: Inflow Boundary Conditions in Quantum Transport Theory. VLSI. Des. **9** (1999) no. 4, 385-396.
43. Lu, X.: A Modified Boltzmann Equation for Bose-Einstein Particles: Isotropic Solutions and Long-Time Behavior. J. Statis. Phys. **98** (2000) no. 5-6, 1335-1394.
44. Lu, X.: On Spatially Homogeneous Solutions of a Modified Boltzmann Equation for Fermi-Dirac Particles. J. Statis. Phys. **105** (2001) no. 1-2, 353-388.
45. Markowich, P. A., Ringhofer, C. A., Schmeiser, C. : *Semiconductor equations*. Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990.
46. McGaughey, A. J., Kaviani, M. : Quantitative validation of the Boltzmann transport equation phonon thermal conductivity model under the single-mode relaxation time approximation. Physical Review B, **69** (2004) no. 9, 094303.
47. Muljadi, B. P., Yang, J. Y.: Simulation of shock wave diffraction by a square cylinder in gases of arbitrary statistics using a semiclassical Boltzmann-Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook equation solver. Proc. R. Soc. A. **468** (2012) no. 2139, 651-670.
48. Nouri, A.: An existence result for a quantum BGK model. Math. Comput. Model. **47** (2008) no. 3-4, 515-529.
49. S. Pieraccini and G. Puppo, *Implicit-explicit schemes for BGK kinetic equations*, Journal of Scientific Computing **32** (2007) 1-28
50. M. Pirner, A BGK model for gas mixtures of polyatomic molecules allowing for slow and fast relaxation of the temperatures, Journal of Statistical Physics, **173**(6), 1660-1687, (2018)
51. Reinhard, P. G., Suraud, E. : A quantum relaxation-time approximation for finite fermion systems. Annals of Physics, **354** (2015), 183-202.
52. Rapp, A., Mandt, S., Rosch, A.: Equilibration rates and negative absolute temperatures for ultracold atoms in optical lattices. Phys rev lett, **105** (2010) no. 22, 220405.
53. Ringhofer, C. : Computational methods for semiclassical and quantum transport in semiconductor devices. Acta Numerica (1997), 485-521.
54. Russo, G., Santagati, P. and Yun, S.-B. : Convergence of a semi-Lagrangian scheme for the BGK model of the Boltzmann equation. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **50** (2012), no. 3, 1111-1135.
55. Schneider, U., Hackermüller, L., Ronzheimer, J. P., Will, S., Braun, S., Best, T., Bloch, I., Demler, E., Mandt, S., Rosch, A.: Fermionic transport and out-of-equilibrium dynamics in a homogeneous Hubbard model with ultracold atoms. Nature Physics, **8** (2012) no. 3, 213-218.
56. Suh, N.-D., Feix, M. R., Bertrand, P.: Numerical simulation of the quantum Liouville-Poisson system. J. Comp. Phys. **94** (1991) no. 2, 403-418.
57. Shi, Y.-H., Yang, J.Y.: A gas-kinetic BGK scheme for semiclassical Boltzmann hydrodynamic transport. J. Comp. Phys. **227** (2008) no. 22, 9389-9407.
58. V. Sofonea and R. Sekerka, *BGK models for diffusion in isothermal binary fluid systems*, Physica, **3** (2001), 494-520
59. Sparavigna, A. C.: The Boltzmann equation of phonon thermal transport solved in the relaxation time approximation I Theory. 5Mech, Mater Sci and Engi Journ, **2016** (2016) no. 3, 34-45.
60. Todorova, B. N., Steijl, R. (2019). Derivation and numerical comparison of Shakhov and Ellipsoidal Statistical kinetic models for a monoatomic gas mixture. European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids, **76**, 390-402.
61. Uehling, E. A., Uhlenbeck, G. E.: Transport Phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac Gases. I. Phys. Rev. **43** (1933) 552-561.

62. Uehling, E. A.: Transport Phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac Gases. II. Phys. Rev. **46** (1934) 917-929.
63. Wu, L., Meng, J., Zhang, Y.: Kinetic modelling of the quantum gases in the normal phase. Proc. R. Soc. A. **468** (2012) no. 2142, 1799-1823.
64. Yang, J.-Y., Hung, L.-H.: Lattice Uehling-Uhlenbeck Boltzmann-Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook hydrodynamics of quantum gases. phys. Rev. E. **79** (2009) no. 5, 056708.
65. Yano, R. : Fast and accurate calculation of dilute quantum gas using Uehling-Uhlenbeck model equation. J. Comput. Phys. **330** (2017), 10101021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16419, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `gcbae02@skku.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WÜRZBURG UNIVERSITY, EMIL FISCHER STR. 40, 97074 WÜRZBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `klingen@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIENNA UNIVERSITY, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: `marlies.pirner@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16419, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `sbyun01@skku.edu`