

ON COMPUTATIONAL POISSON GEOMETRY I: SYMBOLIC FOUNDATIONS *

M. A. EVANGELISTA-ALVARADO^{1†}, J. C. RUÍZ-PANTALEÓN^{2†},
AND P. SUÁREZ-SERRATO^{3 †}

Abstract. We present a computational toolkit for (local) Poisson-Nijenhuis calculus on manifolds. Our python module `PoissonGeometry` implements our algorithms, and accompanies this paper. We include two examples of how our methods can be used, one for gauge transformations of Poisson bivectors in dimension 3, and a second one that determines parametric Poisson bivector fields in dimension 4.

Key words. Poisson structures, Poisson-Nijenhuis calculus, Symbolic computation, Python.

AMS subject classifications. 68W30, 97N80, 53D17

1. Introduction. The origin of the concepts in this paper is the analysis of mechanical systems of Siméon Denis Poisson in 1809 [28]. A Poisson manifold is a pair (M, Π) , with M a smooth manifold and Π a contravariant 2-tensor field (bivector field) on M satisfying the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \llbracket \Pi, \Pi \rrbracket = 0,$$

with respect to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ for multivector fields [26, 10]. Suppose $m = \dim M$, fix a local coordinate system $x = (U; x^1, \dots, x^m)$ on M . Then Π has the following coordinate representation [22, 32]:

$$(1.2) \quad \Pi = \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \Pi^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$$

Here, the functions $\Pi^{ij} = \Pi^{ij}(x) \in C_U^\infty$ are called the coefficients of Π , and $\{\partial/\partial x^i\}$ is the canonical basis for vector fields on $U \subseteq M$.

The Poisson bivector, and its associated bracket, are essential elements in the comprehension of Hamiltonian dynamics [23, 10]. We recommend interested readers consult the available surveys of this field [34, 18].

Table 1 below compiles the functions in our Python module `PoissonGeometry`¹ their corresponding algorithm, and examples where such objects are used in the references. We describe all of our algorithms in section 2. In section 3 we present two applications that illustrate the usefulness of our computational methods. These are, a new result about gauge transformations of Poisson bivector fields in dimension 3 (Proposition 3.1), and a description of parametric families of Poisson bivectors in dimension 4 (Lemma 3.2).


*This research was partially supported by CONACyT, “Programa para un Avance Global e Integrado de la Matemática Mexicana” FORDECYT 265667 and UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT-IN104819.

[†]Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), México.
¹ miguel.eva.alv@matem.unam.mx, ² jcpanta@im.unam.mx, ³ pablo@im.unam.mx.

¹Our code repository is found at: <https://github.com/appliedgeometry/poissongeometry>.

Function	Algorithm	Examples
sharp_morphism	2.1	[10, 23, 6]
poisson_bracket	2.2	[23, 6]
hamiltonian_vf	2.3	[6, 31]
lichnerowicz_poisson_operator	2.4	[27, 2]
curl_operator	2.5	[9, 2]
bivector_to_matrix	2.6	[10, 23, 6]
jacobiator	2.7	[10, 23, 6]
modular_vf	2.8	[1, 16, 2]
is_homogeneous_unimodular	2.9	[9, 23, 2, 6]
one_forms_bracket	2.10	[12, 18]
gauge_transformation	2.11	[7]
linear_normal_form_R3	2.12	[27, 6]
isomorphic_lie_poisson_R3	2.13	[27, 6]
flaschka_ratiu_bivector	2.14	[9, 14, 30, 11]
is_poisson_tensor	2.15	[14, 30, 11]
is_in_kernel	2.16	[10, 23, 2, 6]
is_casimir	2.17	[9, 14, 30, 11]
is_poisson_vf	2.18	[27, 3]
is_poisson_pair	2.19	[4, 2]

Table 1: Functions, corresponding algorithms, and examples where each particular method can be, or has been, applied. The following diagram illustrates functional dependencies in PoissonGeometry.



2. Implementation of Functions in PoissonGeometry. In this section we describe the implementation of all functions of the module PoissonGeometry.

2.1. Key Functions. This subsection contains functions that serve as a basis for the implementation of almost all functions of PoissonGeometry.

2.1.1. Sharp Morphism. The function `sharp_morphism` computes the image of a differential 1-form under the vector bundle morphism $\Pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ induced by a bivector field Π on M and defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \beta, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha) \rangle := \Pi(\alpha, \beta),$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$ [10, 23]. Here, \langle, \rangle is the natural pairing for differential 1-forms and vector fields. Equivalently, $\Pi^\sharp(\alpha) = \mathbf{i}_\alpha \Pi$, with \mathbf{i}_\bullet the interior product of multivector fields and differential forms defined by the rule $\mathbf{i}_{\alpha \wedge \beta} := \mathbf{i}_\alpha \circ \mathbf{i}_\beta$ [19]. Analogously for vector fields. In local coordinates, if $\alpha = \alpha_j dx^j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \Pi^\sharp(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \alpha_i \Pi^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \alpha_j \Pi^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

Algorithm 2.1 `sharp_morphism(bivector, one_form)`

Input: a bivector field and a differential 1-form

Output: a vector field which is the image of the differential 1-form under the vector bundle morphism (2.1) induced by the bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1,2): \Pi^{12}, \dots, (m-1,m): \Pi^{m-1m}\}$  that represents
   a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   one_form  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1): \alpha_1, \dots, (m): \alpha_m\}$  that represents a differential
   1-form according to (3.1)
5:   CONVERT each value in bivector and in one_form to symbolic expression
6:   sharp_dict  $\leftarrow$  the dictionary  $\{(1): 0, \dots, (m): 0\}$ 
7:   for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do ▷ Compute the sum in (2.1)
8:     sharp_dict $[(i)] \leftarrow$  sharp_dict $[(i)] - \alpha_j * \Pi^{ij}$ 
9:     sharp_dict $[(j)] \leftarrow$  sharp_dict $[(j)] + \alpha_i * \Pi^{ij}$ 
10:  end for
11:  if all values in sharp_dict are equal to zero then
12:    return  $\{0: 0\}$  ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
13:  else
14:    return sharp_dict
15:  end if
16: end procedure

```

Observe that the morphism (2.1) is defined, in particular, for Poisson bivector fields. So the function `sharp_morphism` can be applied on this class of bivector fields.

2.1.2. Poisson Brackets. A Poisson bracket on M is a Lie bracket structure $\{, \}$ on the space of smooth functions C_M^∞ which is compatible with the pointwise product by the Leibniz rule [10, 23]. Explicitly, the Poisson bracket induced by a Poisson bivector field Π on M is given by the formula

$$(2.3) \quad \{f, g\}_\Pi = \langle dg, \Pi^\sharp(df) \rangle = (\Pi^\sharp df)^i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}, \quad \forall f, g \in C_M^\infty;$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$. The function `poisson_bracket` computes the poisson bracket, induced by a Poisson bivector field, of two scalar functions.

Algorithm 2.2 `poisson_bracket(bivector, function_1, function_2)`

Input: a Poisson bivector field and two scalar functions

Output: the Poisson bracket of the two scalar functions induced by the Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold           ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   function_1, function_2  $\leftarrow$  string expressions           ▷ Represents scalar functions
5:   if function_1 == function_2 then
6:     return 0                                           ▷ If  $f = g$  in (2.3), then  $\{f, g\} = 0$ 
7:   else
8:     CONVERT function_1 and function_2 to symbolic expressions
9:     gradient_function_1  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents the gradient vector field
of function_1 according to (3.1)
10:    sharp_function_1  $\leftarrow$  sharp_morphism(bivector, gradient_function_1)
                                           ▷ See Algorithm 2.1
11:    bracket  $\leftarrow$  0
12:    for  $i = 1$  to  $m$  do
13:      bracket  $\leftarrow$  bracket + sharp_function_1[( $i$ )] *  $\partial(\text{function}_2)/\partial x_i$ 
                                           ▷ See (2.3)
14:    end for
15:    return bracket
16:  end if
17: end procedure

```

2.1.3. Hamiltonian Vector Fields. The function `hamiltonian_vf` computes the Hamiltonian vector field

$$(2.4) \quad X_h := \Pi^\sharp(dh).$$

of a function $h \in C_M^\infty$ respect to a Poisson bivector field Π on M [10, 23].

Algorithm 2.3 `hamiltonian_vf(bivector, hamiltonian_function)`

Input: a Poisson bivector field and a scalar function

Output: the Hamiltonian vector field of the scalar function relative to the Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold           ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   hamiltonian_function  $\leftarrow$  a string expression           ▷ Represents a scalar function

```

-
-
- 5: CONVERT `hamiltonian_function` to symbolic expression
 - 6: `gradient_hamiltonian` \leftarrow a dictionary that represents the gradient vector field of `hamiltonian_function` according to (3.1)
 - 7: **return** `sharp_morphism(bivector, gradient_hamiltonian)`

\triangleright See Algorithm 2.1 and formula (2.4)
 - 8: **end procedure**
-

2.1.4. Coboundary Operator. The adjoint operator of a Poisson bivector field Π on M with respect to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket gives rise to a cochain complex $(\Gamma \wedge \mathbb{T}M, \delta_\pi)$, called the *Lichnerowicz-Poisson* complex of (M, Π) [22, 10, 23]. Here $\delta_\Pi : \Gamma \wedge^\bullet \mathbb{T}M \rightarrow \Gamma \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathbb{T}M$ is the coboundary operator ($\delta_\Pi^2 = 0$) defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \delta_\Pi(A) := [\Pi, A], \quad \forall A \in \Gamma \wedge \mathbb{T}M.$$

Here, $\Gamma \wedge \mathbb{T}M$ denotes the C_M^∞ -module of multivector fields on M . Explicitly, if $a = \deg A$, then for any $f_1, \dots, f_{a+1} \in C_M^\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi, A](df_1, \dots, df_{a+1}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{a+1} (-1)^{k+1} \{f_k, A(df_1, \dots, \widehat{df}_k, \dots, df_{a+1})\}_\Pi \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq a+1} (-1)^{k+l} A(d\{f_k, f_l\}_\Pi, df_1, \dots, \widehat{df}_k, \dots, \widehat{df}_l, \dots, df_{a+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper the symbol $\widehat{}$ will denote the absence of the corresponding factor. In particular, if $f_1 = x^1, \dots, f_{a+1} = x^{a+1}$ are local coordinates on M , we have that for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{a+1} \leq m$:

$$(2.6) \quad [\Pi, A]^{i_1 \dots i_{a+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{a+1} (-1)^{k+1} \{x^{i_k}, A^{i_1 \dots \widehat{i}_k \dots i_{a+1}}\}_\Pi$$

$$(2.7) \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq a+1} (-1)^{k+l} \frac{\partial \Pi^{i_k i_l}}{\partial x^s} A^{s i_1 \dots \widehat{i}_k \dots \widehat{i}_l \dots i_{a+1}}$$

Here $[\Pi, A]^{i_1 \dots i_{a+1}}$, $A^{i_1 \dots i_a}$ and $\Pi^{i_k i_l}$ are the coefficients of the coordinate expressions of $[\Pi, A]$, A and Π , in that order. The function `lichnerowicz_poisson_operator` computes the image of a multivector field under the coboundary operator induced by a Poisson bivector field.

Algorithm 2.4 `lichnerowicz_poisson_operator(bivector, multivector)`

Input: a Poisson bivector field and a multivector field

Output: the image of the multivector field under the coboundary operator (2.5) induced by the Poisson bivector field

1: **procedure**

- 2: $m \leftarrow$ dimension of the manifold \triangleright Given by an instance of `PoissonGeometry`
 - 3: $a \leftarrow$ degree of the multivector field
 - 4: `bivector` \leftarrow a dictionary $\{(1, 2): \Pi^{12}, \dots, (m-1, m): \Pi^{m-1 m}\}$ that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
 - 5: `multivector` \leftarrow a dictionary $\{(1, \dots, a): A^{1 \dots a}, \dots, (m-a+1, \dots, m): A^{m-a+1 \dots m}\}$ that represents a bivector field according to (3.1) or a string expression
-

```

6:  if  $a + 1 > m$  then                                ▷  $\deg[\Pi, A] = \deg(A) + 1$  in (2.5)
7:      return  $\{0: 0\}$                                   ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
8:  else if multivector is a string expression then
9:      return hamiltonian_vf(bivector, str(-1*) + multivector)
                                                    ▷ See Algorithm 2.3
10: else
11:     CONVERT each value in bivector and in multivector to symbolic expression
12:     image_multivector  $\leftarrow$  the dictionary  $\{(1, \dots, a + 1): 0, \dots, (m - a, \dots, m): 0\}$ 
13:     for each  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{a+1} \leq m$  do
14:         for  $k = 1$  to  $a + 1$  do                    ▷ Compute first summation in (2.6)
15:             image_multivector $[(i_1, \dots, i_{a+1})] \leftarrow$  image_multivector $[(i_1, \dots, i_{a+1})]$ 
16:              $+ (-1)^{k+1} \{x^{i_k}, A^{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{a+1}}\}_{\Pi}$     ▷ See Algorithm 2.2 to compute the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{\Pi}$ 
17:         end for
18:         for each  $1 \leq k < l \leq a + 1$  do          ▷ Compute second summation in (2.6)
19:             image_multivector $[(i_1, \dots, i_{a+1})] \leftarrow$  image_multivector $[(i_1, \dots, i_{a+1})]$ 
20:              $+ (-1)^{k+l} \frac{\partial \Pi^{i_k i_l}}{\partial x^s} A^{s i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots i_{a+1}}$ 
21:         end for
22:     end for
23:     if all values in image_multivector are equal to zero then
24:         return  $\{0: 0\}$                                 ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
25:     else
26:         return image_multivector
27:     end if
28: end if
29: end procedure

```

2.1.5. Curl (Divergence) Operator. Fix a volume form Ω_0 on an oriented Poisson manifold (M, Π, Ω_0) . The *divergence* (relative to Ω_0) of an a -multivector field A on M is the unique $(a - 1)$ -multivector field $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_0}(A)$ on M such that

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_0}(A)}\Omega_0 = \mathbf{di}_A\Omega_0.$$

This induces a (well defined, Ω_0 -dependent) coboundary operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega_0} : A \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_0}(A)$ on the module of multivector fields on M , called the *curl operator* [19, 23]. As any other volume form on M is a multiple $f\Omega_0$ of Ω_0 by a nowhere vanishing function $f \in C_M^\infty$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{f\Omega_0} = \mathcal{D}_{\Omega_0} + \frac{1}{f}\mathbf{i}_df$. In local coordinates, expressing Ω_0 as

$$(2.9) \quad \Omega_0 = dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m,$$

then for any a -multivector field $A = A^{i_1 \dots i_a} \partial/\partial x^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial/\partial x^{i_a}$ on M , with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq m$, the divergence of A with respect to the volume form $f\Omega_0$ is given by:

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{D}_{f\Omega_0}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{\partial A^{i_1 \dots i_a}}{\partial x^{i_k}} + \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i_k}} A^{i_1 \dots i_a} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_a}}$$

Let f_0 be a nonzero scalar function. The function `curl_operator` computes the divergence of a multivector field respect to the volume form $f_0\Omega_0$, for Ω_0 in (2.9).

Algorithm 2.5 $\text{curl_operator}(\text{multivector}, \text{function})$

Input: a multivector field and a nonzero scalar function f_0

Output: the divergence of the multivector field with respect to the volume form $f_0\Omega_0$

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:    $a \leftarrow$  degree of the multivector field
4:   multivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1, \dots, a): \mathbb{A}^{1 \dots a}, \dots, (m-a+1, \dots, m): \mathbb{A}^{m-a+1 \dots m}\}$ 
   that represents a multivector field according to (3.1) or a string expression
5:   function  $\leftarrow$  a string expression ▷ Represents a nonzero function
6:   if multivector is a string expression then
7:     return  $\{0: 0\}$  ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
8:   else
9:     CONVERT each value in multivector and function to symbolic expression
10:    curl_multivec  $\leftarrow$  the dictionary  $\{(1, \dots, a-1): 0, \dots, (m-a+2, \dots, m): 0\}$ 
11:    for each  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq m$  do ▷ Compute the summation in (2.10)
12:      for  $k = 1$  to  $m$  do
13:        curl_multivec $[(i_1, \dots, \widehat{i}_k, \dots, i_a)] \leftarrow$  curl_multivec $[(i_1, \dots, \widehat{i}_k, \dots, i_a)] +$ 
         $(-1)^{k+1} * \left( \frac{\partial \mathbb{A}^{i_1 \dots i_a}}{\partial x^{i_k}} + \frac{1}{\text{function}} * \frac{\partial (\text{function})}{\partial x^{i_k}} * \mathbb{A}^{i_1 \dots i_a} \right)$ 
14:      end for
15:    end for
16:    if all values in curl_multivec are equal to zero then
17:      return  $\{0: 0\}$  ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
18:    else
19:      return curl_multivec
20:    end if
21:  end if
22: end procedure

```

2.2. Matrix of a bivector field. The function `bivector_to_matrix` computes the (local) matrix $[\Pi^{ij}]$ of a bivector field Π on M [10, 23], the coefficients of Π in (1.2). In particular, it computes the matrix of a Poisson bivector field.

Algorithm 2.6 $\text{bivector_to_matrix}(\text{bivector})$

Input: a bivector field

Output: the (local) matrix of the bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1, 2): \Pi^{12}, \dots, (m-1, m): \Pi^{m-1 m}\}$  that represents
   a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   matrix  $\leftarrow$  a symbolic  $m \times m$ -matrix
5:   for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do
6:     CONVERT  $\Pi^{ij}$  to symbolic expression
7:     matrix $[i-1, j-1] \leftarrow \Pi^{ij}$ 
8:     matrix $[j-1, i-1] \leftarrow (-1) * \text{matrix}[i-1, j-1]$ 
9:   end for

```

```

10:   return matrix
11: end procedure
    
```

2.3. Jacobiator. The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of a bivector field Π with itself, $[[\Pi, \Pi]]$, is computed with the `jacobiator` function. This 3-multivector field is called the Jacobiator of Π . The Jacobi identity (1.1) for Π follows from the vanishing of its Jacobiator [10, 23].

Algorithm 2.7 `jacobiator(bivector)`

Input: a bivector field

Output: the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of the bivector field with itself

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   return lichnerowicz_poisson_operator(bivector, bivector)   ▷ See Algorithm 2.4
4: end procedure
    
```

2.4. Modular Vector Field. For (M, Π, Ω) an orientable Poisson manifold, and a fixed volume form Ω on M , the map

$$(2.11) \quad Z : h \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\Omega(X_h)$$

is a derivation of C_M^∞ . Therefore it defines a vector field on M , called the *modular vector field* of Π relative to Ω [33, 1, 10, 23]. Here, \mathcal{D}_Ω is the curl operator relative to Ω (2.8). Then, Z is a Poisson vector field of Π which is independent of the choice of a volume form, *modulo* Hamiltonian vector fields: $Z_{f\Omega} = Z - \frac{1}{f}X_f$. Here $Z_{f\Omega}$ is the modular vector field of Π relative to the volume form $f\Omega$ and $f \in C_M^\infty$ a nowhere vanishing function. In this context, the Poisson bivector field Π is said to be *unimodular* if Z is a Hamiltonian vector field (2.4). Equivalently, if Z is zero for some volume form on M . We can compute the modular vector field Z of Π (2.11) relative to a volume form $f\Omega$ as the (minus) divergence of Π (2.10):

$$(2.12) \quad Z_{f\Omega} = -\mathcal{D}_{f\Omega}(\Pi) = \mathcal{D}_{f\Omega}(-\Pi).$$

Let f_0 be a nonzero scalar function. The function `modular_vectorfield` computes the modular vector field of a Poisson bivector field with respect to the volume form $f_0\Omega_0$.

Algorithm 2.8 `modular_vf(bivector, function)`

Input: a Poisson bivector field and a nonzero scalar function f_0

Output: the modular vector field of the Poisson bivector field (2.12) relative to the volume form $f_0\Omega_0$,

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector ← a dictionary  $\{(1, 2): \Pi^{12}, \dots, (m-1, m): \Pi^{m-1m}\}$  that represents
   a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   function ← a string expression   ▷ Represents a scalar function
4:   for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do   ▷ A dictionary for  $-\Pi$  in (2.12)
5:     bivector $[(i, j)] \leftarrow -\Pi^{ij}$ 
6:   end for
    
```

```

7:   return curl_operator(bivector, function)      ▷ See Algorithm 2.5 and formula (2.12)
8: end procedure

```

2.5. Unimodularity of Homogeneous Poisson bivector fields. We can verify whether an homogeneous Poisson bivector field is unimodular or not with the `is_homogeneous_unimodular` function. A Poisson bivector field Π on \mathbb{R}_x^m ,

$$(2.13) \quad \Pi = \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad i, j = 1 \dots, m;$$

is said to be *homogeneous* if each coefficient Π^{ij} is an homogeneous polynomial [23]. To implement this function we use the following fact: an homogeneous Poisson bivector field on \mathbb{R}_x^m is unimodular on (the whole of) \mathbb{R}_x^m if and only if its modular vector field (2.11) relative to the Euclidean volume form is zero [20].

Algorithm 2.9 `is_homogeneous_unimodular(bivector)`

Input: a homogeneous Poisson bivector field on \mathbb{R}^m

Output: verify if the modular vector field respect to the Euclidean volume form on \mathbb{R}^m of the Poisson bivector field is zero or not

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   if modular_vf(bivector, 1) == {0: 0} then                                ▷ See Algorithm 2.8
4:     return True
5:   else
6:     return False
7:   end if
8: end procedure

```

2.6. Bracket on Differential 1-Forms. The function `one_forms_bracket` computes the Lie bracket of two differential 1-forms $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma T^*M$ induced by a Poisson bivector field Π on M [10, 23] and defined by

$$\{\alpha, \beta\}_\Pi := \mathbf{i}_{\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)} d\beta - \mathbf{i}_{\Pi^\sharp(\beta)} d\alpha + d\langle \beta, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha) \rangle.$$

Here, d is the exterior derivative for differential forms and $\{df, dg\}_\Pi = d\{f, g\}_\Pi$, by definition for all $f, g \in C_M^\infty$. The bracket on the right-hand side of this equality is the Poisson bracket for smooth functions on M induced by Π (2.3). In coordinates, if $\alpha = \alpha_k dx^k$ and $\beta = \beta_l dx^l$, for $k, l = 1, \dots, m$:

$$(2.14) \quad \{\alpha, \beta\}_\Pi = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[(\Pi^\sharp \alpha)^j \left(\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial x^i} \right) - (\Pi^\sharp \beta)^j \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial x^i} \right) \right] dx^i \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[(\Pi^\sharp \alpha)^i \left(\frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial x^j} \right) - (\Pi^\sharp \beta)^i \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_j}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial x^j} \right) \right] dx^j + \frac{\partial [(\Pi^\sharp \alpha)^l \beta_l]}{\partial x^k} dx^k$$

Algorithm 2.10 `one_forms_bracket(bivector, one_form_1, one_form_2)`

Input: a Poisson bivector field and two differential 1-forms

Output: a differential 1-form which is the Lie bracket induced by the Poisson bivector field of the two differential 1-forms

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   one_form_1  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1): \alpha_1, \dots, (m): \alpha_m\}$  that represents a differential
   1-form according to (3.1)
5:   one_form_2  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary  $\{(1): \beta_1, \dots, (m): \beta_m\}$  that represents a differential
   1-form according to (3.1)
6:   sharp_1  $\leftarrow$  sharp_morphism(bivector, one_form_1) ▷ See Algorithm 2.1
7:   sharp_2  $\leftarrow$  sharp_morphism(bivector, one_form_2)
8:   CONVERT each of one_form_1 and one_form_2 to a symbolic expression
9:   forms_bracket  $\leftarrow$  the dictionary  $\{(1): 0, \dots, (m): 0\}$ 
10:  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do ▷ Compute the first two summations in (2.14)
11:    forms_bracket[(i)]  $\leftarrow$  forms_bracket[(i)] + sharp_1[(j)] *  $(\partial\beta_i/\partial x_j -$ 
     $\partial\beta_j/\partial x_i) - \text{sharp}_2[(j)]$  *  $(\partial\alpha_i/\partial x_j - \partial\alpha_j/\partial x_i)$ 
12:    forms_bracket[(j)]  $\leftarrow$  forms_bracket[(j)] + sharp_1[(i)] *  $(\partial\beta_j/\partial x_i -$ 
     $\partial\beta_i/\partial x_j) - \text{sharp}_2[(i)]$  *  $(\partial\alpha_j/\partial x_i - \partial\alpha_i/\partial x_j)$ 
13:  end for
14:  for  $k, l = 1$  to  $m$  do ▷ Compute the last sum in (2.14)
15:    forms_bracket[(k)]  $\leftarrow$  forms_bracket[(k)] +  $\partial(\text{sharp}_1[(l)] * \beta_l)/\partial x_k$ 
16:  end for
17:  if all values in forms_bracket are equal to zero then
18:    return  $\{0: 0\}$  ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
19:  else
20:    return forms_bracket
21:  end if
22: end procedure

```

2.7. Gauge Transformations. Let Π be a bivector field on M . Suppose we are given a differential 2-form λ on M such that the vector bundle morphism

$$(2.15) \quad (\text{id}_{T^*M} - \lambda^b \circ \Pi^\sharp) : T^*M \rightarrow TM \quad \text{is invertible.}$$

Then, there exists a bivector field $\bar{\Pi}$ on M (well) defined by the skew-symmetric morphism

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{\Pi}^\sharp = \Pi^\sharp \circ (\text{id}_{T^*M} - \lambda^b \circ \Pi^\sharp)^{-1}.$$

Here, $\lambda^b : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is the vector bundle morphism given by $X \mapsto \mathbf{i}_X \lambda$. The bivector field $\bar{\Pi}$ is called the λ -gauge transformation of Π [29, 7, 8]. A pair of bivector fields Π and $\bar{\Pi}$ on M are said to be *gauge equivalent* if they are related by (2.16) for some differential 2-form λ on M satisfying (2.15). If Π is a Poisson bivector field, then $\bar{\Pi}$ is a Poisson bivector field if and only if λ is closed along the symplectic leaves of Π . A gauge transformation modifies only the leaf-wise symplectic form of Π by means of the pull-back of λ , preserving the characteristic foliation. Furthermore, gauge

transformations preserve unimodularity. The function `gauge_transformation` computes the gauge transformation of a bivector field.

Algorithm 2.11 `gauge_transformation(bivector, two_form)`

Input: a bivector field and a differential 2-form

Output: a bivector field which is the gauge transformation induced by the differential 2-form of the given bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold           ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   two_form  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a differential 2-form according to
   (3.1)
5:   bivector_matrix  $\leftarrow$  bivector_to_matrix(bivector)           ▷ See Algorithm 2.6
6:   2_form_matrix  $\leftarrow$  bivector_to_matrix(two_form)
7:   identity  $\leftarrow$  the  $m \times m$  identity matrix
8:   if det(identity - 2_form_matrix * bivector_matrix) == 0 then
9:     return False           ▷ Means that (2.15) is not invertible
10:  else
11:    gauge_matrix  $\leftarrow$  bivector * (identity - 2_form_matrix * bivector_matrix)
12:    gauge_bivector  $\leftarrow$  an empty dictionary dict()
13:    for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do
14:      gauge_bivector[(i, j)]  $\leftarrow$  gauge_matrix[i - 1, j - 1]
15:    end for
16:    return gauge_bivector, det(identity - 2_form_matrix * bivector_matrix)
17:  end if
18: end procedure

```

Observe that the function `gauge_transformation` can be used to compute the gauge transformation induced by a closed differential 2-form of a Poisson bivector field.

2.8. Classification of Lie-Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 . A *Lie-Poisson* bivector field is a homogeneous Poisson bivector field (2.13) for which each Π^{ij} is a linear polynomial [17, 15, 10]. A pair of homogeneous Poisson bivector fields Π and $\tilde{\Pi}$ on \mathbb{R}^m are said to be equivalent (or isomorphic) if there exists an invertible linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{\Pi} = T^*\Pi.$$

Under this equivalence relation in the 3-dimensional case there exist 9 non-trivial equivalence classes of Lie-Poisson bivector fields [24].

The function `linear_normal_form_R3` computes a normal form of a Lie-Poisson bivector field on \mathbb{R}^3 . The normal forms are based on well-known classifications of (real) 3-dimensional Lie algebra isomorphisms [24].

Algorithm 2.12 `linear_normal_form_R3(bivector)`

Input: a Lie-Poisson bivector field on \mathbb{R}^3

Output: a linear normal form for the Lie-Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure

```

```

2:   bivector ← a dictionary  $\{(1, 2): \Pi^{12}, (1, 3): \Pi^{13}, (2, 3): \Pi^{23}\}$  that represents
   a Lie-Poisson bivector field on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  according to (3.1)
3:   CONVERT each value in bivector to symbolic expression
4:   parameter ←  $x_1 * \Pi^{23} - x_2 * \Pi^{13} + x_3 * \Pi^{12}$ 
5:   hessian_parameter ← Hessian matrix of parameter
6:   if modular_vf(bivector) == 0 then ▷ See Algorithm 2.8
7:     if rank(hessian_parameter) == 0 then
8:       return {0: 0} ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
9:     else if rank(hessian_parameter) == 1 then
10:      return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): 0, (2, 3): x_1\}$ 
11:    else if rank(hessian_parameter) == 2 then
12:      if index(hessian_parameter) == 2 then ▷ Index of quadratic forms
13:        return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): -x_2, (2, 3): x_1\}$ 
14:      else
15:        return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): x_2, (2, 3): x_1\}$ 
16:      end if
17:    else
18:      if index(hessian_parameter) == 3 then ▷ Index of quadratic forms
19:        return  $\{(1, 2): x_3, (1, 3): -x_2, (2, 3): x_1\}$ 
20:      else
21:        return  $\{(1, 2): -x_3, (1, 3): -x_2, (2, 3): x_1\}$ 
22:      end if
23:    end if
24:  else
25:    if rank(hessian_parameter) == 0 then
26:      return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): x_1, (2, 3): x_2\}$ 
27:    else if rank(hessian_parameter) == 1 then
28:      return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): x_1, (2, 3): 4*x_1 + x_2\}$ 
29:    else
30:      if index(hessian_parameter) == 2 then ▷ Index of quadratic forms
31:        return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): x_1 - 4*a*x_2, (2, 3): 4*a*x_1 + x_2\}$ 
32:      else
33:        return  $\{(1, 2): 0, (1, 3): x_1 + 4*a*x_2, (2, 3): 4*a*x_1 + x_2\}$ 
34:      end if
35:    end if
36:  end if
37: end procedure

```

2.9. Isomorphic Lie-Poisson Tensors on \mathbb{R}^3 . Using the function `isomorphic_lie_poisson_R3` we can verify whether two Lie-Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 are isomorphic (2.17), or not.

Algorithm 2.13 `isomorphic_lie_poisson_R3(bivector_1, bivector_2)`

Input: two Lie-Poisson bivector fields

Output: verify if the Lie-Poisson bivector fields are isomorphic or not

1: **procedure**

2: **bivector_1** ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)

```

3: bivector_2 ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4: if linear_normal_form_R3(bivector_1) == linear_normal_form_R3(bivector_2)
   then ▷ See Algorithm 2.12
5:     return True
6: else
7:     return False
8: end if
9: end procedure

```

2.10. Flaschka-Ratiu Bivector Fields. Given $m-2$ functions $K_1, \dots, K_{m-2} \in C_M^\infty$ on an oriented m -dimensional manifold (M, Ω) , with volume form Ω , we can construct a Poisson bivector field Π on M defined by

$$\mathbf{i}_\Pi \Omega := dK_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dK_{m-2}.$$

Clearly, Π is non-trivial on the open subset of M where K_1, \dots, K_{m-2} are (functionally) independent. Moreover, by construction, each K_l is a Casimir function of Π . These class of Poisson bivector fields are called *Flaschka-Ratiu* bivector fields [9]. In coordinates, if $\Omega = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^m$, then

$$(2.18) \quad \Pi = (-1)^{i+j} \det P_{[i,j]} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq m.$$

Here P denotes the $(m-2) \times m$ -matrix whose k -th row is $(\partial K_k / \partial x^1, \dots, \partial K_k / \partial x^m)$, for $k = 1, \dots, m-2$; and $P_{[i,j]}$ the matrix P without the columns i and j . Moreover, the symplectic form ω_S of Π on a 2-dimensional (symplectic) leaf $S \subseteq M$ is given by

$$(2.19) \quad \omega_S = \frac{1}{|\Pi|^2} [(-1)^{i+j+1} \det P_{[i,j]} \cdot dx^i \wedge dx^j] \Big|_S, \quad |\Pi|^2 := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (\det P_{[i,j]})^2.$$

The function `flaschka_ratiu_bivector` computes the Flaschka-Ratiu bivector field and the corresponding symplectic form of a ‘maximal’ set of scalar functions [9, 14, 30, 11].

Algorithm 2.14 `flaschka_ratiu_bivector(casimir_list)`

Input: $m-2$ scalar functions

Output: the Flaschka-Ratiu bivector field induced by the $m-2$ functions and the symplectic form of this Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:    $m \leftarrow$  dimension of the manifold ▷ Given by an instance of PoissonGeometry
3:   casimir_list ← a list ['K1', ..., 'K{m-2}'] with  $m-2$  string expressions
   ▷ Each string expression represents a scalar function
4:   if at least two functions in casimir_list are functionally dependent then
5:     return {0: 0} ▷ A dictionary with zero key and value
6:   else
7:     matrix_gradients ← a symbolic  $(m-2) \times m$ -matrix
8:     for  $i = 1$  to  $m-2$  do
9:       CONVERT  $K_i$  to symbolic expressions
10:      COMPUTE the gradient vector  $\nabla K_i$  of  $K_i$ 

```

```

11:     APPEND  $\nabla K_i$  to matrix_gradients as its  $i$ -th row
12:   end for
13:   flaschka_bivector  $\leftarrow$  an empty dictionary dict()
14:   sum_bivector  $\leftarrow$  0
15:   for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do
16:     REMOVE from matrix_gradients the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th columns
17:     flaschka_bivector[( $i, j$ )]  $\leftarrow (-1)^{i+j} * \det(\text{matrix\_gradients})$   $\triangleright$  See (2.18)
18:     sum_bivector  $\leftarrow$  sum_bivector +  $\det(\text{matrix\_gradients})^{**2}$ 
19:     APPEND to matrix_gradients the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th removed
20:   end for
21:   symplectic_form  $\leftarrow$  an empty dictionary dict()
22:   for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  do
23:     symplectic_form[( $i, j$ )]  $\leftarrow (-1) * \text{sum\_bivector} * \text{flaschka\_bivector}[( $i, j$ )]
                                                     $\triangleright$  See (2.19)
24:   end for
25:   return flaschka_bivector, symplectic_form
26: end if
27: end procedure$ 
```

2.11. Test Type Functions. In this section we describe our implementation of some useful functions in the `PoissonGeometry` module which allow us to verify whether a given geometric object on a Poisson manifold satisfies certain property. The algorithms for each of these functions are similar, as they are decision-making processes.

2.11.1. Jacobi Identity. We can verify in `PoissonGeometry` if a given bivector field Π is a Poisson bivector field or not.

Algorithm 2.15 `is_poisson_tensor(bivector)`

Input: a bivector field

Output: verify if the bivector field is a Poisson bivector field or not

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   if lichnerowicz_poisson_operator(bivector, bivector) == {0: 0} then
                                                     $\triangleright$  See Algorithm 2.4
4:     return True
5:   else
6:     return False
7:   end if
8: end procedure

```

2.11.2. Kernel of a Bivector Field. The kernel of a bivector field Π is the subspace $\ker \Pi := \{\alpha \in T^*M \mid \Pi^\sharp(\alpha) = 0\}$ of T^*M . It is defined as the kernel of its sharp morphism (2.1), and is defined likewise for Poisson bivector fields [10, 23].

Algorithm 2.16 $\text{is_in_kernel}(bivector, one_form)$

Input: a bivector field and a differential 1-form

Output: verify if the differential 1-form belongs to the kernel of the (Poisson) bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   one_form  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a differential 1-form according to
   (3.1)
4:   if sharp_morphism(bivector, one_form) == {0: 0} then            $\triangleright$  See Algorithm 2.1
5:     return True
6:   else
7:     return False
8:   end if
9: end procedure

```

2.11.3. Casimir Functions. A function $K \in C_M^\infty$ is said to be a Casimir function of a Poisson bivector field Π if its Hamiltonian vector field (2.4) is zero. Equivalently, if its exterior derivative dK belongs to the kernel of Π [10, 9, 23].

Algorithm 2.17 $\text{is_casimir}(bivector, function)$

Input: a Poisson bivector field and a scalar function

Output: verify if the scalar function is a Casimir function of the Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   function  $\leftarrow$  a string expression                                $\triangleright$  Represent a scalar function
4:   if hamiltonian_vf(bivector, function) == {0: 0} then            $\triangleright$  See Algorithm 2.3
5:     return True
6:   else
7:     return False
8:   end if
9: end procedure

```

2.11.4. Poisson Vector Fields. A vector field W on M is said to be a Poisson vector field of a Poisson bivector field Π if it commutes with respect to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, $[[W, \Pi]] = 0$ [10, 23].

Algorithm 2.18 $\text{is_poisson_vf}(bivector, vector_field)$

Input: a Poisson bivector field and a vector field

Output: verify if the vector field is a Poisson vector field of the Poisson bivector field

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   vector_field  $\leftarrow$  a dictionary that represents a vector field according to (3.1)

```

```

4:   if lichnerowicz_poisson_operator(bivector, vector_field) == {0: 0} then
5:       return True
6:   else
7:       return False
8:   end if
9: end procedure

```

▷ See Algorithm 2.4

2.11.5. Poisson Pairs. We can verify whether a couple of Poisson bivector fields Π and Ψ form a Poisson pair. That is, if the sum $\Pi + \Psi$ is again a Poisson bivector field or, equivalently, if Π and Ψ commute with respect to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, $[[\Pi, \Psi]] = 0$ [10, 23].

Algorithm 2.19 `is_poisson_pair(bivector_1, bivector_2)`

Input: two Poisson bivector fields.

Output: verify if the bivector fields commute with respect to the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket

```

1: procedure
2:   bivector_1 ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
3:   bivector_2 ← a dictionary that represents a bivector field according to (3.1)
4:   if lichnerowicz_poisson_operator(bivector_1, bivector_2) == {0: 0} then
5:       return True
6:   else
7:       return False
8:   end if
9: end procedure

```

▷ See Algorithm 2.4

3. PoissonGeometry: Syntax and Applications. `PoissonGeometry` is our python module for local calculus on Poisson manifolds. First we define a tuple of symbolic variables that emulate local coordinates on a finite (Poisson) smooth manifold M . By default, these symbolic variables are just the juxtaposition of the symbol x and an index of the set $\{1, \dots, m = \dim M\}$: (x_1, \dots, x_m) .

Scalar Functions. A local representation of a scalar function in `PoissonGeometry` is written using *string literal expressions*. For example, the function $f = a(x^1)^2 + b(x^2)^2 + c(x^3)^2$ should be written exactly as follows: `'a * x1**2 + b * x2**2 + c * x3**2'`. It is *important* to remember that all characters that are not local coordinates are treated as (symbolic) parameters: a , b and c for the previous example.

Multivector Fields and Differential forms. Both multivector fields and differential forms are written using *dictionaries* with *tuples of integers* as *keys* and *string* type *values*. If the coordinate expression of an a -multivector field A on M , with $a \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by,

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a \leq m} A^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_2}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_a}}, \quad A^{i_1 \dots i_a} = A^{i_1 \dots i_a}(x),$$

then A should be written using a dictionary, as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ (1, \dots, a) : \mathcal{A}^{1 \cdots a}, \dots, (i_1, \dots, i_a) : \mathcal{A}^{i_1 \cdots i_a}, \dots, (m-a+1, \dots, m) : \mathcal{A}^{m-a+1 \cdots m} \right\}.$$

Here each key (i_1, \dots, i_a) is a tuple containing ordered indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq m$ and the corresponding value $\mathcal{A}^{i_1 \cdots i_a}$ is the string expression of the scalar function (coefficient) $A^{i_1 \cdots i_a}$ of A .

The syntax for differential forms is the same. It is important to remark that we can only write the keys and values of *non-zero coefficients*. See the documentation for more details.

3.1. Applications. We will now describe two applications. One of `gauge_transformation`, used here to derive a characterization of gauge transformations on \mathbb{R}^3 (see, Subsection 2.7), and a second one of `jacobiator`, used here to construct a family of Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}^4 (1.1).

Gauge Transformations on \mathbb{R}^3 . For an arbitrary bivector field on \mathbb{R}_x^3 ,

$$(3.2) \quad \Pi = \Pi^{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \Pi^{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + \Pi^{23} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3},$$

and an arbitrary differential 2-form

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda = \lambda_{12} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \lambda_{13} dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \lambda_{23} dx^2 \wedge dx^3,$$

we compute:

```
>>> pg3 = PoissonGeometry(3)
>>> P = {(1,2): 'P12', (1,3): 'P13', (2,3): 'P23'}
>>> lambda = {(1,2): 'L12', (1,3): 'L13', (2,3): 'L23'}
>>> (gauge_bivector, determinant) = pg3.gauge_transformation(P, lambda)
>>> print(gauge_bivector)
>>> print(determinant)

{(1,2): P12/(L12*P12 + L13*P13 + L23*P23 + 1),
 (1,3): P13/(L12*P12 + L13*P13 + L23*P23 + 1),
 (2,3): P23/(L12*P12 + L13*P13 + L23*P23 + 1)}
(L12*P12 + L13*P13 + L23*P23 + 1)**2
```

The symbols P12, P13, P23, and L12, L13, L23 stand for the coefficients of Π and λ , in that order. Then, (see (2.15)):

$$(3.4) \quad \det(\text{Id} - \lambda^b \circ \Pi^{\natural}) = (\lambda_{12}\Pi^{12} + \lambda_{13}\Pi^{13} + \lambda_{23}\Pi^{23} + 1)^2$$

So, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, the λ -gauge transformation $\bar{\Pi}$ of Π is given by:

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{\Pi} = \frac{\Pi^{12}}{\lambda_{ij}\Pi^{ij} + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Pi^{13}}{\lambda_{ij}\Pi^{ij} + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\Pi^{23}}{\lambda_{ij}\Pi^{ij} + 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}$$

With these ingredients, we can now show:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let Π be a bivector field on a 3-dimensional smooth manifold M . Then, given a differential 2-form λ on M , the λ -gauge transformation $\bar{\Pi}$ (2.16) of Π is well defined on the open subset,*

$$(3.6) \quad \{F := \langle \lambda, \Pi \rangle + 1 \neq 0\} \subseteq M.$$

Moreover, $\bar{\Pi}$ is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{\Pi} = \frac{1}{F}\Pi.$$

If Π is Poisson and λ is closed along the leaves of Π , then $\bar{\Pi}$ is also Poisson.

Proof. Suppose (3.2) and (3.3) are coordinate expressions of Π and λ on a chart $(U; x^1, x^2, x^3)$ of M . Observe that the pairing of Π and λ is given by

$$\langle \lambda, \Pi \rangle = \lambda_{12}\Pi^{12} + \lambda_{13}\Pi^{13} + \lambda_{23}\Pi^{23}.$$

Hence (3.4) yields $\det(\text{Id} - \lambda^\flat \circ \Pi^\sharp) = (\langle \lambda, \Pi \rangle + 1)^2$. This implies that the morphism $\text{Id} - \lambda^\flat \circ \Pi^\sharp$ is invertible on the open subset in (3.6) and, in consequence, the λ -gauge transformation of Π (2.15). Finally, formula (3.7) follows from (3.5) as

$$\bar{\Pi} = \frac{\Pi^{12}}{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Pi^{13}}{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\Pi^{23}}{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3},$$

for F in (3.6). □

Parametrized Poisson Bivector Fields. Poisson bivector fields also play an important role in the theory of deformation quantization, which is linked to quantum mechanics [5]. They appear in star products, that is, in general deformations of the associative algebra of smooth functions of a symplectic manifold [13]. Our module `PoissonGeometry` can be used to study particular problems around deformations of Poisson bivector fields and star products.

For example, we can modify the following 4-parametric bivector field on \mathbb{R}^4

$$\Pi = a_1x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + a_2x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + a_3x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + a_4x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3},$$

using the `jacobiator` function to construct a family of Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}^4 :

```
>>> pg4 = PoissonGeometry(4)
>>> P = {(1,2): 'a1*x2', (1,3): 'a2*x3', (1,4): 'a3*x4', (2,3): 'a4*x1'}
>>> pg4.jacobiator(P)
```

```
{(1,2,3): -2*a4*x1*(a1 + a2), (2,3,4): -2*a3*a4*x4}
```

Therefore

$$[\Pi, \Pi] = -2a_4(a_1 + a_2)x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - 2a_3a_4x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}$$

Hence, we have two cases, explained in the following:

LEMMA 3.2. *If $a_4 = 0$, then Π determines a 3-parametric family of Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}_x^4 :*

$$(3.8) \quad \Pi = a_1 x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + a_2 x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + a_3 x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}.$$

If $a_2 = -a_1$ and $a_3 = 0$, then Π determines a 2-parametric family of Poisson bivector fields on \mathbb{R}_x^4 :

$$(3.9) \quad \Pi = a_1 x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - a_1 x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + a_4 x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}.$$

Related Work. Notable contributions in similar directions include; computations of normal forms in Hamiltonian dynamics (in Maxima) [31], symbolic tests of the Jacobi identity for generalized Poisson brackets and their relation to hydrodynamics [21], and an implementation of the Schouten-Bracket for multivector fields (in Sage²).

Our work here is, to the best of our knowledge, the first comprehensive implementation of routine computations used in Poisson geometry, and in Python (based on SymPy[25]).

Future Directions. With the algorithms in this paper, numerical extensions for the same methods can be developed. Explicit computations of Poisson cohomology can also be explored. These are the subjects of ongoing, and forthcoming work.

Acknowledgments. We thank Luis A. Gómez-Telesforo for many fruitful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abouqateb and M. Boucetta. *The Modular Class of a Regular Poisson Manifold and the Reeb Class of its Symplectic Foliation*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 337, 1, 6166 (2003)
- [2] M. Ammar, G. Kass, M. Masmoudi, N. Poncin, *Strongly R-Matrix Induced Tensors, Koszul Cohomology, and Arbitrary-Dimensional Quadratic Poisson Cohomology*, Pac. J. Math. 245, 1-23 (2010)
- [3] M. Avendaño-Camacho, J. A. Vallejo and Yu. Vorobiev, *A Perturbation Theory Approach to the Stability of the Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator*, J. Math. Phys. 58 (9), (2017)
- [4] M. Avendaño-Camacho and Yu. Vorobiev, *Deformations of Poisson Structures on Fibered Manifolds and Adiabatic Slow-Fast Systems*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 14 (6), (2017)
- [5] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Licherowicz, and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization I and II*, Ann. Physics, 111 (1), 61151 (1978)
- [6] E. Bayro-Corrochano, *Geometric Algebra Applications Vol. I: Computer Vision, Graphics and Neurocomputing*, Springer International Publishing, (2019)
- [7] H. Bursztyn, *On Gauge Transformations of Poisson Structures*, Quantum Field Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, Lecture Notes in Phys., 662. Springer.
- [8] H. Bursztyn, O. Radko, *Gauge equivalence of Dirac structures and symplectic groupoids*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 53, 309-337 (2003)
- [9] P. A. Damianou and F. Petalidou, *Poisson Brackets with Prescribed Casimirs*, Canad. J. Math. 64, 991-1018 (2012)
- [10] J. P. Dufour and N. T. Zung, *Poisson Structures and their Normal Forms*, Progress in Mathematics, 242, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2005)
- [11] M. Evangelista-Alvarado, P. Suárez-Serrato, J. Torres-Orozco, R. Vera, *On Bott-Morse Foliations and their Poisson Structures in Dimension 3*, Jour. Singular. 19, 19-33 (2019)

²<https://trac.sagemath.org/ticket/23429>

- [12] R. L. Fernandes, *Lie Algebroids, Holonomy, and Characteristic Classes*, Adv. in Math. 170, 119-179 (2002)
- [13] M. Flato, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, *Déformations 1-différentiables des algèbres de Lie attachées à une variété symplectique ou de contact*, Comp. Math. 31, 47-82 (1975)
- [14] L. C. Garcia-Naranjo, P. Suárez-Serrato and R. Vera, *Poisson Structures on Smooth 4-manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. 105, 1533-1550 (2015)
- [15] V. L. Ginzburg and A. Weinstein, *Lie-Poisson Structure On Some Poisson Lie Groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc 5 no. 2, 445-453 (1992)
- [16] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda and A. R. Pires, *Codimension One Symplectic Foliations and Regular Poisson Structures*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., 42 no. 4, 607-623 (2011)
- [17] B. Konstant, *Orbits, Symplectic Structures, and Representation Theory*, Proc. U.S.-Japan Sem. Differ. Geom., Kyoto, Nippon Hyoronisha Tokyo 71, Japan, (1965)
- [18] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Poisson Manifolds, Lie Algebroids, Modular Classes: a Survey*, SIGMA 4, 005-034 (2008)
- [19] J. L. Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et Cohomologie*, Astérisque, S131, 257-271 (1985)
- [20] V. V. Kozlov, *Symmetries, Topology and Resonances in Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Udmurtian State University, Izhevsk, (1995); English Transl., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1995)
- [21] M. Kröger, M. Hütter, H. C. Öttinger, *Symbolic Test of the Jacobi Identity for Given Generalized 'Poisson' Bracket*, Comput. Phys. Commun. 137, Issue 2, 325-340 (2001)
- [22] A. Lichnerowicz. *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*. J. Differential Geom. 12, 253-300 (1977)
- [23] C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau and P. Vanhaecke, *Poisson Structures*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 347, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2013)
- [24] Z. J. Liu and P. Xu, *On Quadratic Poisson Structures*, Lett. Math. Phys. 26, 33-42 (1992)
- [25] A. Meurer et al., *SymPy: Symbolic Computing in Python*, PeerJ Comput. Sci. 3:e103 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.103>, (2017)
- [26] P. Michor, *Topics in Differential Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence 93 (2008)
- [27] N. Nakanishi, *On the Structure of Infinitesimal Automorphisms of Linear Poisson Manifolds I*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 31, 71-82 (1991)
- [28] S.-D. Poisson, *Sur la variation des constantes arbitraires dans les questions de mécanique*, J. Ecole Polytechnique 8 Cah. 15 (1809), 266-344.
- [29] P. Severa and A. Weinstein, *Poisson Geometry with a 3-Form Background*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. 144, 145-154 (2001)
- [30] P. Suárez-Serrato and J. Torres-Orozco, *Poisson Structures on Wrinkled Fibrations*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 22, 263-280 (2016)
- [31] S. Takato, J.A. Vallejo, *Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems: Symbolical, Numerical and Graphical Study*, Math. in Comp. Sci. 13, 281-295 (2019)
- [32] A. Weinstein, *The Local Structure of Poisson Manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 18, 523-557 (1983)
- [33] A. Weinstein, *The Modular Automorphism Group of a Poisson Manifold*, J. Geom. Phys. 23, 379-394 (1997)
- [34] A. Weinstein, *Poisson Geometry*, Diff. Geom. Appl. 9, 213-238 (1998)