

The dynamics of hyperbolic rational maps with Cantor Julia sets

Atsushi KAMEYAMA*

February 20, 2022

Abstract

Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a hyperbolic rational map of degree $d \geq 2$ on the Riemann sphere. It has been known that the Julia set J_f is a Cantor set if and only if there exists a positive integer $n > 0$ such that $\overline{f^{-n}(U)} \subset U$ for some open topological disc U containing no critical values. Let n_f denote the minimal positive integer satisfying the above. The problem is whether $n_f = 1$ or not.

Let S_d denote the shift locus of rational maps of degree d . We show that $n_f = 1$ for generic $f \in S_d$ and that there is a rational map $\bar{f} \in S_d$ with $n_{\bar{f}} = 2$. We also prove that S_d is connected using the generic case result. In particular, generic hyperbolic rational maps of degree d with Cantor Julia sets are qc-conjugate to each other.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the dynamics of rational maps with Cantor Julia sets. Specifically, we are interested in rational maps whose dynamics on the Julia sets are the full shift. Throughout this paper, we denote by $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a rational map of the Riemann sphere to itself of degree $d \geq 2$, by $J = J_f$ its Julia set, and by $F = F_f$ its Fatou set.

In general, Cantor sets are often observed in spaces on which several contracting mappings act. For example, suppose that U is an open subset of a complete metric space (X, d) , and that $f_i : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are injective mappings with disjoint images such that $d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) \leq cd(x, y)$ for some $0 < c < 1$. Then there exists a Cantor set $K \subset \bar{U}$ satisfying $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(K)$. The mapping $g : K \rightarrow K$ defined by $g|_{f_i(K)} = f_i^{-1}$ is topologically conjugate to the one-sided N -full shift.

In the context of complex dynamics, the appearance of Cantor Julia sets for the quadratic family $q_c(z) = z^2 + c$ is well-known. If c does not belong to the Mandelbrot set, then the Julia set J_c is a Cantor set, and $q_c|_{J_c}$ is topologically conjugate to the one-sided 2-full shift. Let G be the Green's function for such a

*Gifu University

q_c , and take x with $G(0) < x < G(c)$. Then $U = \{z | G(z) < x\}$ is connected and simply connected, and q_c has two inverse branches f_1, f_2 on U . They satisfy the general setting above for the Poincaré metric on U . If c' is another point outside the Mandelbrot set, then clearly q_c and $q_{c'}$ are topologically conjugate to each other on the Julia sets. It is known that the conjugacy is extended to a qc-conjugacy on the whole sphere. However this is not the case with general polynomials of degree more than two.

As to rational maps, one of known sufficient conditions to have Cantor Julia set is as follows:

There exists a (super)attracting fixed point whose immediate basin of attraction contains all the critical points.

This is a classical result, due to Fatou [8] and Julia [10]. We call this condition *Cond C*.

For general rational maps, Cond C is not equivalent to having Cantor Julia set; one of the equivalent conditions is known as the Branner-Hubbard condition ([5],[20],[22]). However, we use Cond C in this study because we are interested in the situation where the dynamics on J is topologically conjugate to the full shift. If J is Cantor, then there exists a semi-conjugacy from the full shift (Proposition 3), which is not necessarily homeomorphic.

Definition 1. Let (σ, Σ_d) be the *one-sided d -full shift*, namely,

$$\Sigma_d := \{i_1 i_2 \cdots : i_k = 1, 2, \dots, d\} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is the set of infinite words of symbols $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and the *shift map* $\sigma : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \Sigma_d$ carries $i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots$ to $i_2 i_3 \cdots$. The set Σ_d is endowed with the product topology of the discrete space.

Definition 2. We say

1. f is *Cantor* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$;
2. f is *d -Cantor (dynamically Cantor)* if (f, J) is topologically conjugate to (σ, Σ_d) , namely there exists a homeomorphism $h : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$ such that $f \circ h = h \circ \sigma$;
3. f is *s -Cantor (strongly Cantor)* if there exists a closed topological disc $\overline{D} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ containing no critical value such that $f^{-1}(\overline{D}) \subset \overline{D}$.

We raises three questions. Let f and g be rational maps of degree d satisfying Cond C.

Q1 Is f d -Cantor?

Q2 Is f s -Cantor?

Q3 Are f and g topologically conjugate on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ to each other?

The answers will be given in the present paper. To sum up,

Answer to Q1 Yes. We show this result in two ways. In Theorem 1, we choose a collection of d inverse branches of f on J_f which are contracting in some metric. The other proof is a consequence of the next answer.

Answer to Q2 No, in general. But yes, generically. We give an example of a non-s-Cantor rational map \bar{f} satisfying Cond C (see Figure 1). In fact, we show the (possibly) stronger statement that any radial (Definition 6) for this \bar{f} induces a coding map which is not one-to-one (Theorem 8). On the other hand, if f with Cond C satisfies that no two critical orbits meet and that no critical orbit lands at a (super)attracting fixed point, then f is s-Cantor (Theorem 2). This implies that f with Cond C is topologically conjugate on J_f to the full shift, since the assumption of Theorem 2 is generic in the shift locus of rational maps.

Answer to Q3 No, in general. But yes, generically. It is trivial that two rational maps of the same degree with Cond C are in general not topologically conjugate on the whole sphere to each other. Meanwhile we show that the shift locus is connected (Theorem 5), and that if two rational maps satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2 and a generic condition on the critical points, then they are qc-conjugate on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ (Theorem 6). These are consequences of Theorem 2, and are not deduced only from the general theory in [14].




Figure 1: An example of rational maps d-Cantor but non-s-Cantor. Let $\bar{f}(z) = a(z^2 - 1) + (4a(z^2 - 1))^{-1}$, $a = 1.665i$. In the left, the gray disc is $D = \{|z| \leq 3/2\}$, and the union of the black annuli X_1, X_2 is $\bar{f}^{-1}(D)$. In the right, we see the inverse image $\bar{f}^{-1}(X_i)$, which is the union of two white annuli $X_{1i} \subset X_1$ and $X_{2i} \subset X_2$. We have $\bar{f} : X_{ji} \rightarrow X_i$ is a covering of degree two. In Theorem 8, we will prove that \bar{f} is not s-Cantor.

There exist a lot of researches on the shift locus for polynomials. Among them, [4] and [2] are the pioneer works. The shift locus for rational maps of degree 2 is investigated in [9]. The connectedness of the shift locus for polyno-

mials is known. A proof of this fact appears in [7]. However the connectedness of the shift locus for general rational maps has not been known so far.

Our main results are stated as follows.

In Section 3, we restrict f to be geometrically finite or hyperbolic. We give many equivalent conditions for f to be d-Cantor. Among them,

Theorem A. (Partial statements of Theorem 1) Suppose that f is a hyperbolic rational map. Then the following are equivalent:

- f is Cantor.
- f is d-Cantor.
- f^n is s-Cantor for some integer $n > 0$.
- There exists a (super)attracting fixed point such that the immediate basin of attraction includes at least $2d - 4$ critical values counted with multiplicity.
- Any ‘bounded iterated monodromy group’ is a finite group.

In Section 4 and 5, we consider a sufficient condition to be s-Cantor, and deduce some knowledge about the shift locus of rational maps.

Theorem 2. If a Cantor hyperbolic rational map f satisfies that no two critical orbits meet and that the orbit of any non-fixed critical point contains no fixed point, then f is s-Cantor.

To prove the theorem, we construct a topological disc D which satisfies the condition of the definition of s-Cantor. We start with a topological disc which is the whole sphere minus a small neighborhood of the (super)attracting fixed point, and deform it combinatorially several times to obtain a required D . If $d > 2$, such D is not unique up to homotopy relative to the postcritical set.

Definition 3. The *shift locus* S_d is the set of d-Cantor hyperbolic rational maps of degree d . The *strong shift locus* T_d is the set of s-Cantor hyperbolic rational maps.

Clearly, $T_d \subset S_d$.

Let Pol denote the set of polynomial maps.

Theorem B.(Theorem 3, 4, 5, and 6)

1. S_d ($d \geq 2$) is connected.
2. $T_d \cap \text{Pol} = S_d \cap \text{Pol}$ ($d \geq 2$), $T_2 = S_2$, and $T_d \subsetneq S_d$ ($d \geq 4$).
3. If f and g satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2 and a generic condition on the critical points, then they are qc-conjugate on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$

The proof of the last statement of 2 is deferred to Section 5. We prove:

Theorem 8. There exists a hyperbolic rational map \bar{f} of degree four which is Cantor but not s-Cantor.

There we show that \bar{f} in Figure 1 is not s-Cantor and \bar{f}^2 is s-Cantor. Our proof of Theorem 8 is algebraic. From \bar{f} , we extract a family of homomorphisms $\bar{f}_r : \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow D_2 \times D_2$, where D_2 is the Klein four-group. To verify the statement, we investigate the behavior of the homomorphisms.

The following problems are open:

Unsolved Problem 1

- Does there exist a Cantor hyperbolic rational map of degree three which is not s-Cantor?
- For any integer $n > 0$, does there exist a Cantor hyperbolic rational map f such that f^n is not s-Cantor and f^{n+1} is s-Cantor?

2 Preliminary

We give several notations, definitions, and basic facts. Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a rational map of degree d with Julia set $J = J_f$.

Definition 4. For $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the orbit of z is $\text{Orb}(z) := \{f^k(z) : k \geq 0\}$. Let $\text{Cr} = \text{Cr}_f$ denote the set of critical points of f , and set $P = P_f := \{f^k(c) : c \in \text{Cr}, k > 0\} = \bigcup_{c \in \text{Cr}} \text{Orb}(f(c))$. The closure of P is said to be the *postcritical set*. For $c \in \text{Cr}$, the orbit $\text{Orb}(f(c))$ is called a *critical orbit*.

Let $\text{At} = \text{At}_f$ denote the set of attracting periodic points of f , and $\text{Pa} = \text{Pa}_f$ the set of parabolic periodic points.

We say that f is *geometrically finite* if $J \cap \overline{P}$ is finite. In other words, each critical orbit is either eventually periodic, or converges to an attracting or parabolic periodic orbit.

Definition 5. We use the symbol σ also as the shift map on the set of finite words $\text{Word}(k) := \{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k : i_m = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Each finite word $w = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \in \text{Word}(k)$ can be considered as the branch of σ^{-k} , namely, $w : \text{Word}(n) \rightarrow \text{Word}(n+k), j_1 \cdots j_n \mapsto w j_1 \cdots j_n$. The empty word is denoted by \emptyset , or $\text{Word}(0) = \{\emptyset\}$. We write $\text{Word} := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Word}(k)$.

Definition 6. Let $l_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be continuous paths with the initial point $\bar{x} = l_i(0)$ in common. We say that a collection of paths $r = (l_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,d}$ is a *radial* if $f^{-1}(\bar{x}) \supset \{l_i(1) : i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Set $R := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^d [0, 1]_i / \sim$, where $[0, 1]_i$ are copies of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and we glue them at 0. (Recall that R is called the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,d}$ in graph theory.) It is convenient to consider a radial to be the mapping $r : R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ with $r(t) = l_i(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]_i$. Note that a continuous mapping $r : R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is a radial if and only if $f^{-1}(r(0)) \supset \{r(1_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

In the situation above, we call $\bar{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ the *basepoint*.

For a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ with $\gamma(0) = \bar{x}$, let $L_i(\gamma)$ be the lift of γ by f (i.e. $f \circ L_i(\gamma) = \gamma$) with $L_i(\gamma)(0) = l_i(1)$. For $w \in \text{Word}(k)$, we inductively define paths l_w such that $l_{iw} = l_i L_i(l_w)$. Naturally L_w is defined for any $w \in \text{Word}$, and $l_{ww'} = l_w L_w(l_{w'})$. For $\omega = i_1 i_2 \cdots \in \Sigma_d$, we write $\phi_r(\omega) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} l_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k}(1)$ if exists. We can naturally define an arc l_ω connecting \bar{x} and $\phi_r(\omega)$ up to a change of parameter. We say that $\phi_r : \Sigma' \rightarrow J$ is the *coding map* associated with r , where Σ' is the set of $\omega \in \Sigma_d$ for which $\phi_r(\omega)$ exists. This method of coding is originated by F. Przytycki [18],[19], and is called geometric coding tree. In many cases, ϕ_r is continuous and $\Sigma' = \Sigma_d$, in particular whenever f is geometrically finite (Lemma 2) or f is Cantor (Proposition 3).

We may consider deformation of radials. Let $r = (l_i), r' = (l'_i)$ be two radials which do not necessarily satisfy $r(0) = r'(0)$. We say that r and r' are *homotopic* if there exists a homotopy $h : R \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ between r and r' such that $h(\cdot, s) : R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is a radial for every $s \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 7. We say

1. f is *t-Cantor* (tree Cantor) if there exists a radial r such that the coding map $\phi_r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$ is a homeomorphism;
2. f is *ss-Cantor* (semi-strongly Cantor) if there exists a path-connected set $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $f^{-1}(X)$ has d connected components $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d \subset X$, and such that $\overline{X_i} \cap \overline{X_j}$ has no point of J for any $i \neq j$.

Now we have five notions of Cantor Julia sets. It is easy to see that ‘s-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘ss-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘t-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘d-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘Cantor’ (Proposition 1). It will be proved that the last three are different each other. If f is d-Cantor, then f is geometrically finite (Proposition 2).

Unsolved Problem 2

- Does it hold that ‘ss-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘s-Cantor’?
- Does it hold that ‘t-Cantor’ \Rightarrow ‘ss-Cantor’?

If f is Cantor, the Fatou set F is connected (see Theorem 13.4 [16]). Thus a Cantor rational map has neither Siegel disc nor Herman ring, and $\#(\text{At} \cup \text{Pa}) = 1$. The unique fixed point in $\text{At} \cup \text{Pa}$ is (super)attracting or parabolic with the local form $z \mapsto z + az^2 + \dots$.

Definition 8. Let $p \in \text{At} \cup \text{Pa}$, let F_0 be a connected component of the Fatou set F with $p \in \overline{F_0}$, and let n be the period of F_0 . A connected and simply connected open set U included in F_0 satisfying the following condition is called a *simple domain* for p and F_0 : $p \in \overline{U}$, $\partial U \cap \overline{P} \subset \{p\} \cap \text{Pa}$, ∂U is a piecewise smooth simple closed curve, $\overline{f^n(U)} \subset U \cup \{p\}$, $\{f^{kn}|U\}_{k \geq 0}$ converges to p uniformly, and for any $x \in F_0$ there exists $k > 0$ such that $f^{kn}(x) \in U$. If p is parabolic, we take U to be a connected component of an attracting petal. Remark that $p \in U$ if $p \in \text{At}$, and $p \notin U$ if $p \in \text{Pa}$.

We denote by U_k the connected component of $f^{-kn}(U)$ including U .

Lemma 1. *Let $p \in \text{At} \cup \text{Pa}$, and let F_0 be a connected component of F with $p \in \overline{F_0}$, and U a simple domain for p and F_0 . Take U_k as above. Then $F_0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$. In particular, $P \cap F_0 \subset U_k$ for some $k > 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $F_0 \neq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$. Then $F_0 \cap (\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k} - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, otherwise, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$ is open and closed in F_0 . Existence of $x \in F_0 \cap (\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k} - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k) \neq \emptyset$ contradicts the fact $f^{kn}(x) \in U$ for some $k > 0$. \square

Lemma 2. *If f is geometrically finite, then $\phi_r(\omega)$ converges for any radial $r : R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{P}$ and any $\omega \in \Sigma_d$. Moreover, $\phi_r = \phi_{r'}$ if r and r' are homotopic.*

Proof. This is a consequence of expansiveness of f on Ω , where $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subset defined below. The crucial part of the proof is due to [21]. We show the outline.

Let $r = (l_i) : R \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{P}$ be a radial with basepoint \bar{x} . Let W be a union of simple domains of every $p \in \text{At} \cup \text{Pa}$ and every connected component $F_0 \subset F$ with $p \in \overline{F_0}$ such that $f(W) \subset W$ and $r(R) \cap W = \emptyset$. Then the compact set $\Omega = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - W$ satisfies the following: $J \subset \Omega$, $f^{-1}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$, and Ω is a connected and finitely connected domain which has the boundary expressed as a union of finitely many smooth curves.

Let $\nu : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the ramification function for $f|_{\Omega}$, namely ν is the minimal function such that $\nu(z) = 1$ for $z \notin P$ and $\nu(z)$ is a multiple of $\nu(w) \deg_w f$ for $z \in P, w \in f^{-1}(z)$. Let $p : S \rightarrow (\text{int } \Omega, \nu)$ be the universal covering for the Riemann surface orbifold $(\text{int } \Omega, \nu)$, where $S = D = \{|z| < 1\}$ with the Poincaré metric or $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ with the Euclidean metric according to the Euler characteristic of $(\text{int } \Omega, \nu)$.

Fix a point $\hat{x} \in p^{-1}(\bar{x}) \subset S$. Let \hat{l}_i be the lift of l_i by p with $\hat{l}_i(0) = \hat{x}$. There exist holomorphic maps $g_i : S \rightarrow S$ such that $f \circ p \circ g_i = p$ and $g_i(\hat{x}) = \hat{l}_i(1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. If $\text{Pa} = \emptyset$, then there exists $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $\rho(g_i(x), g_i(y)) < \alpha \rho(x, y)$, where ρ is the distance determined by the Poincaré or Euclidean metric on S . If $\text{Pa} \neq \emptyset$, then $S = D$ and we extend $p : D \rightarrow \text{int } \Omega$ to a surjection $p : \hat{D} \rightarrow \Omega$, where $\hat{D} \subset \overline{D}$ and any continuous arc $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ can be lifted to $\hat{c} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{D}$. The maps $g_i : D \rightarrow D$ are also extended to $g_i : \hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{D}$. Modifying the Poincaré metric, we can construct a metric on \hat{D} which determines the distance ρ such that there exists an increasing function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $h(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ and $\rho(g_i(x), g_i(y)) < h(\rho(x, y))$.

Then we have a compact set $K \subset \hat{S}$ ($\hat{S} = S$ or \hat{D}) such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, d} g_i(K).$$

Namely, K is the attractor of the iterated function system $(\{g_i\}, \hat{S})$. By construction, $p(K) = J$. Moreover, $p \circ g_{i_1} \circ g_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_k}(\hat{x}) = l_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(1)$ for $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \in \text{Word}(k)$. Canonically, we have a coding map $\pi' : \Sigma_d \rightarrow K$ and $\phi_r = p \circ \pi' : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$.

Let $r' = (l'_i)$ be another radial which is homotopic to r . Then there exists a homotopy h between r and r' . Let $c = h(0, \cdot)$ be the path between the basepoints

of r and r' , and \hat{c} the lift of c with $\hat{c}(0) = \hat{x}$. Then $p(\hat{c}(1)) = r'(0)$. We have the lifts \hat{l}'_i of l'_i with $\hat{l}'_i(0) = \hat{c}(1)$, and then $g_i(\hat{c}(1)) = \hat{l}'_i(1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Thus we obtain the same iterated function system $(\{g_i\}, \hat{S})$, and so $\phi_{r'} = \phi_r$. \square

Definition 9. For a geometrically finite rational map f , we say that Ω in the proof is an *expanding domain* for f . Note that (i) for any $z \in F$ there exists $n > 0$ such that $f^n(z) \notin \Omega$, and (ii) for any compact set $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} - (\mathbf{At} \cup \mathbf{Pa})$ there exists $n > 0$ such that $f^{-n}(K) \subset \Omega$.

Proposition 1. *If f is ss-Cantor, then it is t-Cantor.*

Proof. Let X and X_i be path-connected sets as in the definition. Let us take a basepoint $\bar{x} \in X$. We have a radial $r = (l_i)$ with $l_i \subset X$ joining \bar{x} and $x_i \in f^{-1}(\bar{x}) \cap X_i$. Then ϕ_r is one-to-one since $\phi_r(i \cdots) \in X_i$. \square

Proposition 2. *Suppose that f is d-Cantor. Then $J \cap \mathbf{Cr} = \emptyset$. In particular, f is geometrically finite and $P \cap \mathbf{Pa} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $J \cap \mathbf{Cr}$ is not empty. Let $c \in J$ be a critical value. Then $\#f^{-1}(c) < d$. Thus $f|J$ cannot be topologically conjugate to a d -to-1 map. \square

Proposition 3. *Suppose that f is Cantor. Then there exists a continuous semi-conjugacy $\phi : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$.*

Proof. It is easily seen that P has a path-connected compliment. Thus we can take a radial $r = (l_i)$.

For $\omega = i_1 i_2 \cdots \in \Sigma_d$, the set of accumulation points

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} L_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{m-1}}(l_{i_m i_{m+1} \cdots i_n})}$$

is a connected subset of J , so it is a singleton. Here $L_w(\gamma)$ ($w \in \mathbf{Word}(k)$) is the lift of the curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ by f^k with $L_w(\gamma)(0) = l_w(1)$. Moreover the diameter of $\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} L_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{m-1}}(l_{i_m i_{m+1} \cdots i_n})$ converges to zero as m tends to ∞ . Thus the coding $\phi_r(\omega)$ is well-defined and continuous. \square

3 Equivalent conditions

Suppose that f is a geometrically finite rational map with $J \cap \mathbf{Cr} = \emptyset$.

Definition 10. Let X be a topological space. We say that a continuous mapping $a : X \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is *homotopically trivial* if there exists a homotopy $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ between a and a constant mapping. In particular, if $a(X) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then a is homotopically nontrivial.

A subset $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be homotopically trivial if the inclusion map is homotopically trivial.

Remark 1. For $Y \subset X$, if X is homotopically trivial, then Y is also homotopically trivial. If a simple closed curve γ is homotopically trivial, then one of the connected components of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - \gamma$ is also homotopically trivial.

Definition 11. We denote by $M_n : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, the map $s \mapsto ns \pmod{1}$ of $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to itself. Let $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a closed curve. We say a closed curve $\gamma' : S^1 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *lifted loop* of γ by f if $\gamma \circ M_n = f \circ \gamma'$ for some $n > 0$.

Definition 12. Let Ω be an expanding domain.

A closed curve $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \Omega - P$ is *homotopically invariant* if γ is homotopically nontrivial, there exists a lifted loop $\gamma' : S^1 \rightarrow \Omega - P$ of γ by f^k for some $k > 0$, and there exists a homotopy $H : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega - P$ between γ and γ' .

Lemma 3. Let $p \in \text{At} \cup \text{Pa}$, and let F_0 be a connected component of the Fatou set F with period n satisfying $p \in \overline{F_0}$, and U a simple domain for p and F_0 . Take U_k as in Definition 8. Let \mathcal{V}_k be the set of homotopically nontrivial connected components of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_k$.

Then for large enough k , \mathcal{V}_k is constant up to homotopy relative to P . Moreover, there is $x \in P$ which is not contained in $F_0 \iff \mathcal{V}_k \neq \emptyset$ for any $k \iff$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$ such that ∂V is homotopically invariant.

Proof. Note that each $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$ is a topological disc containing a point in P . Let k_0 be an integer such that $P \cap F_0 \subset U_{k_0}$. Then $\#\mathcal{V}_k \leq \#\mathcal{V}_{k+1}$ for $k \geq k_0$. Thus there exists $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that $\#\mathcal{V}_k$ is constant for $k \geq k_1$, since $\#\mathcal{V}_k \leq \#\{\text{components of } F \text{ containing a point of } P\} < \infty$.

Suppose $k \geq k_1$. It is evident that $P \subset F_0$ if and only if \mathcal{V}_k is empty. Suppose $\mathcal{V}_k \neq \emptyset$. Then for $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$, there exists unique $V' \in \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$ such that $V' \subset V$ and $(V - V') \cap P = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that there exist continuous maps $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \partial V$ and $\gamma' : S^1 \rightarrow \partial V'$ which are homotopic in $\Omega - P$.

On the other hand, for $V \in \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$, there exists $V^* \in \mathcal{V}_k$ such that $\partial V^* = f^n(\partial V)$ (recall that n is the period of F_0). Indeed, there exists V^* , a connected component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_k$ such that $\partial V^* = f^n(\partial V)$. If $V^* \notin \mathcal{V}_k$, then V^* is homotopically trivial. Then every connected component of $f^{-n}(V^*)$ is also homotopically trivial and simply connected. This implies that V is a connected component of $f^{-n}(V^*)$, and we arrived at a contradiction.

Now we consider $V \mapsto V' \mapsto V'^*$ as a selfmap on the finite set \mathcal{V}_k . It is easy to see that there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$ such that ∂V is homotopically invariant. \square

Corollary 1. Let Ω be an expanding domain. Then $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ is homotopically nontrivial for any n if and only if there exists a homotopically invariant curve.

Proof. We use the notation of Lemma 3. Suppose that there exists no homotopically invariant curve. By Lemma 3, $P \subset U_k$ for some k . Then $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_k$ is homotopically trivial, and so is $f^{-k}(\Omega)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f^{-k}(\Omega)$ is homotopically trivial for some k . For any curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$, any connected component of $f^{-k}(\gamma)$ is homotopically trivial. Thus there exists no homotopically invariant curve. \square

Lemma 4. *Let Ω be an expanding domain, and $\gamma \subset \Omega$ a homotopically invariant curve. Then there exists a closed curve $\bar{\gamma} \subset J$ which is a uniform limit of a sequence of curves homotopic to γ in $\Omega - P$. The curve is nonconstant and $f^k : \bar{\gamma} \rightarrow f(\bar{\gamma})$ is of degree more than one, where k is the period in the Definition 12.*

Proof. We have a closed curve $\gamma_1 = \gamma' : S^1 \rightarrow \Omega - P$ and a homotopy $H_0 = H : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ as in Definition 12. We inductively obtain curves γ_m such that $\gamma_{m-1} \circ M_n = f^k \circ \gamma_m$ and homotopies $H_m : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega - P$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) between γ_{m-1} and γ_m . Since γ_m is included in an expanding domain, it is easy to see that γ_m uniformly converges to a continuous curve in J . The limit $\bar{\gamma}$ is nonconstant since it is homotopically nontrivial. Thus $f^k : \bar{\gamma} \rightarrow f(\bar{\gamma})$ is of degree more than one. \square

For a (super)attracting or parabolic n -periodic point p , we use the notation

$$A(p) := \{z \in F : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{kn}(z) = p\}$$

only in this section.

Definition 13. We say that a fixed point p is *solitary* if p is either (super)attracting or parabolic with the local form $z \mapsto z + az^2 + \dots$ around p with $a \neq 0$. Then the unique Fatou component $A_0(p)$ whose closure contains p is the *immediate basin of attraction* of p .

Definition 14. Fix a basepoint $\bar{x} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$. For a set X , the symmetric group of X is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}(X)$. The *monodromy* $\alpha_f : \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(f^{-1}(\bar{x}))$ is an antihomomorphism defined by $\alpha_f([\gamma])(x) = y \iff L_x(\gamma)(1) = y$, where $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is a loop with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = \bar{x}$, and $L_x(\gamma)$ is the lift of γ by f satisfying $L_x(\gamma)(0) = x$.

Note that $[\gamma] \in \ker \alpha_f \iff L_x(\gamma)$ is a closed curve for every $x \in f^{-1}(\bar{x})$. Thus $\ker \alpha_{f^n} \subset \ker \alpha_{f^m}$ if $n > m$. Denote

$$\alpha_\infty := \prod_{n>0} \alpha_{f^n} : \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) \rightarrow \prod_{n>0} \mathfrak{S}(f^{-n}(\bar{x})).$$

Then $\ker \alpha_\infty = \bigcap_{n>0} \ker \alpha_{f^n}$. The image of α_∞ is called the *iterated monodromy group* (see [1],[17]).

Let Ω be an expanding domain. The image of

$$\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_\infty} \prod_{n>0} \mathfrak{S}(f^{-n}(\bar{x}))$$

is called the *bounded iterated monodromy group* for Ω .

Theorem 1. *Let f be a geometrically finite rational map of degree d with $J \cap \text{Cr} = \emptyset$. Take an expanding domain Ω . The following are equivalent.*

1. f is Cantor.

2. f is d -Cantor.
3. There exist $n > 0$ such that f^n is s -Cantor.
4. The Fatou set is connected.
5. Every connected component of J is simply connected.
6. J is homotopically trivial in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$.
7. There is no homotopically invariant curve.
8. There exists $n > 0$ such that for any closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega$, any lifted loop of γ by f^{-n} is homotopically trivial.
9. There exists $n > 0$ such that $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ is homotopically trivial in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$.
10. There exists a solitary fixed point p such that $A_0(p)$ includes $\mathbb{C}r$.
11. There exists a solitary fixed point p such that $A_0(p)$ contains at least $2d-4$ critical values counted with multiplicity, and $A(p)$ includes P .
12. The bounded iterated monodromy group $\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x})/\ker \alpha_\infty$ is a finite group.

Proof. ‘(2 or 3) \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 5’ and ‘9 \Rightarrow 8 \Rightarrow 7’ and ‘4 \Rightarrow 10 \Rightarrow 11’ are trivial.

7 \Rightarrow 9 by Corollary 1.

7 \Rightarrow 10 by Lemma 3.

10 \Rightarrow 9. Using the notation of Lemma 3, each connected component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_k$ is homotopically trivial for large k . By Remark 1, $f^{-k}(\Omega)$ is homotopically trivial.

3 \Rightarrow 6. Let r be a radial for f^n . For $\omega \in \Sigma_{d^n}$, we have a path $l_\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ such that $l_\omega(0) = \bar{x}$, $l_\omega(1) = \phi_r(\omega)$. Thus if ϕ_r is homeomorphic, then the map $J \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ defined by $(z, t) \mapsto l_{\phi_r^{-1}(z)}(1 - t)$ is a homotopy between the inclusion map of J and a constant map.

10 \Rightarrow 2 and 3. Suppose $P \subset A_0(p)$. Let U be a simple domain of p , and take U_k as in Definition 8. Then $P \subset U_n$ for some n . The simply connected domain $V = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bar{U}$ satisfies $f^{-n}(V) \subset V$ and that any connected component of $V - f^{-n}(V)$ other than $U_n - \bar{U}$ contains no postcritical point.

There exists a topological tree $\Gamma \subset U_n - U \subset \bar{V} - f^{-n}(V)$ satisfying:

1. $V' := V - \Gamma$ is connected and simply connected,
2. Γ includes $P \cap V$,
3. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and every connected component W of $f^{-k}(V)$, $W - \Gamma$ is connected.

Indeed, let $C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be the connected components of $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{-k}(\partial V) \cap U_n$, and $W_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ be the connected components of $(U_n \cap V) - \bigcup_i C_i$. Take points $a_i \in C_i$ and $b_j \in W_j$ for each i, j . Construct a tree Γ with vertex set $\{a_i, b_j\} \cup P \cap V$ and edge set $\{e_{ij} : C_i \subset \partial W_j\} \cup \{e_{qj} : q \in P \cap W_j\}$, where e_{ij} joins a_i and b_j in W_j , and e_{qj} joins q and b_j in W_j .

Then $f^{-1}(V')$ has exactly d connected components, and so the inverse of $f : f^{-1}(V') \rightarrow V'$ has d branches. Let $g_i : J \rightarrow J, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ be the branches restricted on the Julia set.

The following fact is crucial. For any $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \in \text{Word}(k)$, some connected component of $f^{-k}(V)$ includes $g_w(J)$, where $g_w = g_{i_1} \circ g_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_k}$. Indeed, first $J \subset V$, and by induction suppose that some connected component E of $f^{-k}(V)$ includes $g_w(J)$. Then the connected component of $f^{-k}(V) - \Gamma$ included in E includes $g_w(J)$ by the third condition of Γ . Hence for $i \in \text{Word}(1)$, $g_i(g_w(J))$ is included in a connected component of $f^{-k-1}(V)$.

Let $\rho(x, y)$ be the distance introduced in Lemma 2. If $k > n + 1$, then f is injective on each connected component of $f^{-k}(V)$. Thus the diameters in ρ of connected components of $f^{-k}(V)$ uniformly converge to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and consequently so do the diameters of $g_w(J), w \in \text{Word}(k)$. Therefore there exists a conjugacy between (f, J) and (σ, Σ_d) . Thus 2 is verified.

It is easier to show 3. Indeed, take a topological disc \bar{D} with $f^{-n}(V') \subset \bar{D} \subset V'$.

(5 or 6) \Rightarrow 7. Assume that there exists a homotopically invariant curve γ . By Lemma 4, we have a closed curve $\bar{\gamma}$ in J homotopic to γ , which is not homotopically trivial. The connected component of J including $\bar{\gamma}$ is neither simply connected nor homotopically trivial.

11 \Rightarrow 10. Suppose that 11 is satisfied. Let U be a simple domain of p , and take U_k as in Definition 8. By Lemma 1, for large $n > 0$, $(P \cup f^{-1}(p)) \cap A_0(p) \subset U_n$. Let $U_{n+1}^0 = U_{n+1}$, and let $U_{n+1}^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ be the connected components of $f^{-1}(U_n)$ other than U_{n+1} . Note that $U_{n+1}^i \not\subset A_0(p)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ since $f^{-1}(p) \cap A_0(p) \subset U_n$.

Let $W = U_n - \bigcup_{a: \text{critical value}} B_a$, and $W^i = U_{n+1}^i - \bigcup_a f^{-1}(B_a)$ the connected component of $f^{-1}(W)$ included in U_{n+1}^i , where B_a is a small disc around a . Let m_i be the number of critical points in W^i counted with multiplicity, and $d_i = \deg(f : W^i \rightarrow W)$. Note $\sum_{i=0}^s d_i = d$.

We show

$$\sum_{\gamma: \text{connected component of } \partial U_{n+1}^i} (\deg(f : \gamma \rightarrow f(\gamma)) - 1) = 2d_i - 2 - m_i \quad (1)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$. To this end, first note that $\chi(W^i) = d_i \chi(W)$, where χ denotes the Euler characteristic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\text{connected components of } \partial W^i\} &= -\chi(W^i) + 2 = -d_i \chi(W) + 2, \\ \#\{\text{connected components of } \partial W\} &= -\chi(W) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a \in \text{Cr} \cap U_{n+1}^i} (\deg(f : \partial B'_a \rightarrow \partial B_{f(a)}) - 1) \\
& + \sum_{\gamma: \text{connected component of } \partial U_{n+1}^i} (\deg(f : \gamma \rightarrow f(\gamma)) - 1) \\
& = \sum_{\gamma: \text{connected component of } \partial W^i} (\deg(f : \gamma \rightarrow f(\gamma)) - 1) \\
& = d_i(-\chi(W) + 2) - (-d_i\chi(W) + 2) = 2d_i - 2,
\end{aligned}$$

where B'_a is a connected component of $f^{-1}(B_{f(a)})$ including a . Note that $\sum_{a \in \text{Cr} \cap U_{n+1}^i} (\deg(f : \partial B'_a \rightarrow \partial B_{f(a)}) - 1) = m_i$. Hence (1) holds.

We have supposed that U_n has $2d-4$ critical values counted with multiplicity, in other words, $\sum_{i=0}^s m_i \geq 2d-4$. From (1), we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^s (2d_i - 2 - m_i) \leq 2d - 2(s+1) - (2d-4) = 2 - 2s,$$

so we have $s = 0$ or 1 .

If $s = 0$, then $f^{-1}(A_0(p)) = A_0(p)$, and so $A(p) = A_0(p)$, and every critical point is contained in $A_0(p)$.

Suppose $s = 1$. It follows from the above inequality that $2d_i - 2 - m_i = 0$ ($i = 0, 1$), and thus

$$m_0 + m_1 = 2d - 4. \quad (2)$$

We have

$$0 = 2d_i - 2 - m_i = \sum_{\gamma: \text{connected component of } \partial U_{n+1}^i} (\deg(f : \gamma \rightarrow f(\gamma)) - 1)$$

for $i = 0, 1$. This means that for any connected component $\gamma \subset \partial U_n$ and any connected component $\gamma' \subset f^{-1}(\gamma)$, the degree of $f : \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma$ is one. However, the equality (2) together with the fact that the whole sphere has $2d-2$ critical values counted with multiplicity implies that $A(p) - A_0(p)$ contains a critical value. We have an invariant curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ which is a connected component of ∂U_N for large $N > 0$ by Lemma 3. Moreover, $\deg(f^k : \tilde{\gamma}' \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}) > 1$ by Lemma 4. This is a contradiction, and so $s = 0$.

9 \Rightarrow 12. Suppose that every connected component of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ is homotopically trivial. To see $\alpha_\infty(\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x})) \subset \prod_{k=0}^\infty \mathfrak{S}(f^{-k}(\bar{x}))$ is a finite group, we show that the projection $\alpha_\infty(\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(f^{-n}(\bar{x}))$ is injective. To this end, let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega - P$ be a closed curve such that $g = [\gamma] \in \pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x})$ satisfies $\alpha_n(g) = 1$. We denote by $L_{x,k}(\gamma)$ the lift of γ by f^k with $L_{x,k}(\gamma)(0) = x$. Then $L_{x,n}(\gamma)$ is a closed curve for any $x \in f^{-n}(\bar{x})$. This implies that $L_{x,k}(\gamma)$ is a closed curve for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and any $x \in f^{-k}(\bar{x})$. Moreover, for any $x \in f^{-n}(\bar{x})$, the closed curve $L_{x,n}(\gamma)$ is homotopically trivial, since it

lies in $f^{-n}(\Omega)$. Thus every $L_{x,k}(\gamma), x \in f^{-k}(\bar{x}), k > 0$ is a closed curve. Consequently, $\alpha_\infty(\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(f^{-n}(\bar{x}))$ is injective, and so $|\alpha_\infty(\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x}))| \leq |\mathfrak{S}(f^{-n}(\bar{x}))|$.

12 \Rightarrow 7. Suppose that there exists an invariant curve γ . Let γ' and k be as in Definition 12. Then $\deg(f^k : \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma) > 1$. Thus for any $m > 0$, there exist $n > 0$ and $x \in f^{-n}(\bar{x})$ such that $L_{n,x}(\gamma^m)$ is not a closed curve. Therefore for the element $g \in \pi_1(K - P, \bar{x})$ corresponding to γ , $\alpha_\infty(g)$ is not of finite order. \square

Remark 2. The number $2d - 4$ in 11 of Theorem 1 is the best possible. Namely, for $d \geq 3$ there exists a rational map of degree d with solitary fixed point p such that $A(p)$ contains all critical points, and such that $A_0(p)$ contains exactly $2d - 5$ critical points counted with multiplicity.

Remark 3. In Definition 12, the condition $\gamma \subset \Omega - P$ is important. The following examples explain the difference between $\Omega - P$ and $\Omega - \bar{P}$, $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bar{P}$, or $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$.

- (a) There exists a geometrically finite rational map that does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 1 and has no homotopically invariant curve in $\Omega - \bar{P} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bar{P}$.
- (b) There exists a hyperbolic rational map that satisfies the condition of Theorem 1 and has a homotopically invariant curve in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$.

Set $f_\lambda(z) = \lambda z / (z^2 + 1)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $\mathbf{Cr} = \{\pm 1\}$ and $P \subset \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. It is easy to see that 0 is a fixed point with multiplier λ . The curve $\gamma = \{ia : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a completely invariant set for f_λ . If $\lambda = \pm 1$, then f_λ does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 1, and $0 \in \Omega - P$ but $0 \notin \Omega - \bar{P}$ (the case (a)). If $-1 < \lambda < 1$, then f_λ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1, and $0 \notin \Omega - P$ but $0 \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ (the case (b)).

4 Strongly Cantor rational maps

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for f to be s-Cantor.

Theorem 2. *Let f be a Cantor rational map with a (super)attracting fixed point ∞ . Suppose that the critical orbits $\text{Orb}(f(c)), c \in \mathbf{Cr}$ are disjoint (i.e. $\text{Orb}(f(c)) \cap \text{Orb}(f(c')) = \emptyset$ for distinct $c, c' \in \mathbf{Cr}$), and that ∞ is outside other critical orbits (i.e. $\infty \notin \text{Orb}(f(c))$ for $c \in \mathbf{Cr}_0 := \mathbf{Cr} - \{\infty\}$). Then f is s-Cantor.*

Proof. For a connected open set W containing ∞ and an integer $k \geq 0$, let us denote by $W(k)$ the connected component of $f^{-k}(W)$ containing ∞ . Note that $W(i)(j) = W(i+j)$ for $i, j \geq 0$.

We say a connected open set W containing ∞ is *appropriate* if ∂W is a simple closed curve, $\bar{W} \subset f^{-1}(W)$ (i.e. $f(W) \subset W$), and for any $c \in \mathbf{Cr}_0$, $(W(1) - \bar{W}(0)) \cap \text{Orb}(f(c)) \neq \emptyset$. We define $\kappa = \kappa(W)$ to be the maximal integer

such that for any $c \in \mathbf{Cr}_0$, $(W(\kappa) - \overline{W(\kappa-1)}) \cap \text{Orb}(f(c)) \neq \emptyset$. We define $\lambda = \lambda(W)$ to be the maximal integer such that $W(\lambda) \cap \mathbf{Cr}_0 = \emptyset$. Clearly, $\kappa \leq \lambda$. If W is appropriate, then $W(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$ is a topological disc.

In the sequel, we will construct an appropriate domain W such that $\kappa = \lambda$ and $\mathbf{Cr}_0 \subset W(\kappa+1) - \overline{W(\kappa)}$. To this end, we take an initial appropriate domain W_0 near ∞ and deform it in several steps. If ∞ is a superattracting fixed point of degree δ , then take a Böttcher coordinate $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ for the superattracting fixed point ∞ . Here U is a connected and simply connected neighborhood of ∞ . If $0 < r < 1$, for any $c \in \mathbf{Cr}_0$, $\varphi^{-1}(\{0 < |z| \leq r\})$ contains $f^m(c)$ for some $m > 0$, since we have assumed that the orbit of c does not land at ∞ . Let r satisfy $\varphi^{-1}(\{|z| = r\}) \cap P = \emptyset$. For a large enough integer N , we define $W_0 = \varphi^{-1}(\{|z| < r^{\delta^N}\})$. Then W_0 is appropriate with $\kappa(W_0) \geq N$. If ∞ is attracting, then take a linearizing coordinate $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for ∞ , and define W_0 similarly such that $\kappa(W_0) = N$ is large enough. The integer N will be determined later.

Let W be an appropriate domain. We use the notation $A_i = A_W(i) = W(i) - \overline{W(i-1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \lambda$. Note that A_i is an annulus and is the connected component of $f^{-1}(A_{i-1})$ such that A_{i-1} and A_i share a boundary component. The restriction $f : A_i \rightarrow A_{i-1}$ is a covering of degree δ . We set $A_{\lambda+1}$ to be the connected component of $f^{-1}(A_\lambda)$ such that A_λ and $A_{\lambda+1}$ share a boundary component. Note that $A_{\lambda+1} \subset W(\lambda+1) - \overline{W(\lambda)}$ is not an annulus. Let us denote $C_i = C_W(i) = \partial W(i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda$. It equals $\overline{A_{i+1}} \cap \overline{A_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$.

Let \mathcal{B}_m be the set of connected components of $f^{-m}(A_1)$, and

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_W = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_m. \quad (3)$$

Note that $A_i \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda+1$. We say distinct $X, X' \in \mathcal{B}$ are *adjacent* if they share a boundary component. If $X, X' \in \mathcal{B} - \{A_1\}$ are adjacent, then $f(X), f(X') \in \mathcal{B}$ are also adjacent. Define an order \prec on \mathcal{B} by $X \prec X'$ if X' separates X and W . We may use the notation $X \prec_W X'$ by specifying the domain. It is easily seen that for any $X \in \mathcal{B} - \{A_1\}$, there uniquely exists $X' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that X, X' are adjacent and $X \prec X'$. We use the notation $X+1$ for this X' , and define inductively $X+m = (X+m-1)+1$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots$. If $X' = X+m$, we write

$$L(X, X') := m.$$

If X, X' are adjacent, then $X = X' + 1$ or $X + 1 = X'$. For $X \in \mathcal{B} - \{A_1\}$, the set $\{Y \in \mathcal{B} : X \prec Y\}$ is linearly ordered.

Claim 1. If $X \prec X'$, then there exists $m > 0$ such that $X+m = X'$.

Proof of Claim 1. There is a path γ in the Fatou set F which connect X and X' . By the compactness of γ , we have $\gamma \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} f^{-k}(W)$ for some k_0 . We have $F - \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{X} = W \cup \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} f^{-m}(f^{-1}(W) - W(1))$. The connected components of $f^{-1}(W)$ other than $W(1)$ are topological disc with no point of P . Thus all the connected components of $F - \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{X}$ are topological discs. Since only

finite of them intersect γ , we can retake γ such that $\gamma \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq k_0-1} f^{-k}(A_1)$. Hence $\#\{X \in \mathcal{B} : X \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$, and the claim is true.

Claim 2. Let $k > 0$ be an integer. Suppose $f^k(X') = X$. Then

- $X \neq X'$
- $X \not\prec X'$
- $X \not\prec X' + 1$

Proof of Claim 2. The first assertion is easy.

Assume $X \prec X'$. Let $X_0 = X$ and $X_i = X_0 + i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, \dots, m$, where $X_s = X'$ and $X_m = A_1$. We have $\{f^k(X_j) : 0 \leq j \leq m-1\} \cap \mathcal{B} \supset \{f^k(X_s), f^k(X_s)+1, f^k(X_s)+2, \dots, A_1\}$, since $f^k(X_j)$ and $f^k(X_{j+1})$ are adjacent. Hence $L(f^k(X_s), A_1) \leq m$. This is a contradiction.

Assume $X \prec X' + 1$. Then $X + 1 = X' + 1$ or $X + 1 \prec X' + 1$. Since $f^k(X') = X$, $f^k(X' + 1)$ is adjacent to X . If $X + 1 = f^k(X' + 1)$, then $f^k(X' + 1) = X' + 1$ or $f^k(X' + 1) \prec X' + 1$, which contradicts the first or second assertion. If $f^k(X' + 1) + 1 = X$, then $f^k(X' + 1) \prec f^k(X' + 1) + 1 = X \prec X' + 1$, which contradicts to the second assertion. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

For $z \in \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}} X$, let $X(z) = X_W(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ be the connected component containing z .

Let c be a critical point other than ∞ . Suppose

$$c \notin A_{\lambda+1},$$

equivalently, $X(c) \prec A_{\lambda+1}$. Define an integer $\mu = \mu(c)$ satisfying $f^\mu(c) \in A_1$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{A_1}$ be a simple path such that $\gamma(0) = f^\mu(c)$, $\gamma(1) \in \partial A_1$, and $\gamma(t) \in A_1 - P$ for $0 < t < 1$. We say γ is of *V-type* for (c, W) if $\gamma(1) \in C_0 = \partial W$, and is of *Λ -type* for (c, W) if $\gamma(1) \in C_1 = \partial W(1)$.

The following lemma will be proved later.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that $X(c)$ and $X \in \mathcal{B}$ are adjacent. Then there exists a simple path γ of V-type or Λ -type for (c, W) such that the connected component γ' of $f^{-\mu}(\gamma)$ containing c has an endpoint in $E_0 = \overline{X(c)} \cap \overline{X}$.*

By this lemma, we have a simple path γ of V-type or Λ -type such that the connected component γ' of $f^{-\mu}(\gamma)$ containing c has an endpoint in $\overline{X(c)} \cap \overline{X(c) + 1}$. Let $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-j}(\overline{A_1})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1$ be the lift of γ by f^j with

$$\gamma_j(0) = f^{\mu-j}(c). \quad (4)$$

Note that $\gamma_j(0) = f^{\mu-j}(c) \in A_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \kappa - 1$.

Set

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{V-type}) \\ 1 & (\text{\(\Lambda\)-type}). \end{cases}$$

We take a Jordan domain $U_\gamma \subset A_1$ including $\gamma \cap A_1$ which is so close to γ that U_γ contains no point of P other than $\gamma(0) = f^\mu(c)$, and that $\partial U_\gamma \cap \partial A_1$

is an arc in C_σ . Define $W_\gamma := \text{int}(\overline{W \cup U_\gamma})$ (V-type) or $W_\gamma := W(1) - \overline{U_\gamma}$ (Λ -type).

Claim 3. Suppose $\kappa(W) \geq 2$. The domain W_γ is an appropriate domain with $\kappa(W) - 1 \leq \kappa(W_\gamma) \leq \kappa(W)$ and $\lambda(W_\gamma) = \lambda(W) - \sigma$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{c,W}^0 = \{X \in \mathcal{B}_W : X_W(c) + 1 \prec_W X \preceq_W A_W(\sigma + 1)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B}_{c,W_\gamma}^1 = \{X \in \mathcal{B}_{W_\gamma} : X_{W_\gamma}(c) \prec_{W_\gamma} X\}.$$

Then we have a one-to-one correspondence $\Psi = \Psi_\gamma : \mathcal{B}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^1$ such that X and $\Psi(X)$ are homotopic relative to $P - \text{Orb}(f(c))$, and Ψ preserves the order \prec and the $+1$ operation. In particular, $\Psi(A_W(\lambda(W))) = A_{W_\gamma}(\lambda(W) - \sigma) = A_{W_\gamma}(\lambda(W_\gamma)) \in \mathcal{B}^1$.

Proof of Claim 3. We have $W \subset W_\gamma \subset \overline{W_\gamma} \subset W(1)$ (V-type) or $\overline{W} \subset W_\gamma \subset W(1)$ (Λ -type). Hence $\overline{f(W_\gamma)} \subset W_\gamma$. From $W_\gamma \cap P \subset W(1) \cap P$ and $\kappa \geq 2$, we can see that W_γ is appropriate.

By Claim 2, if $z \in f^{-k}(c)$ for some $k > 0$, then $X_W(z), X_W(z) + 1 \notin \mathcal{B}^0$. Therefore if $X \in \mathcal{B}^0$, then any adjacent $X' \in \mathcal{B}$ to X contains no preimage of c . The same statement is true for \mathcal{B}^1 .

Take a point $x \in A_W(\sigma + 1) \cap A_{W_\gamma}(1)$. We define $\Psi(A_W(\sigma + 1)) = A_{W_\gamma}(1)$, and inductively $\Psi(X)$ is the connected component of $f^{-1}(\Psi(f(X)))$ such that X and $\Psi(X)$ are homotopic relative to $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{-k}(x)$. This procedure is valid for $X \in \mathcal{B}^0$ because every connected component U of $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{-k}(U_\gamma)$ adjacent to X is a topological disc such that $\partial U \cap \partial X$ is connected and U is not adjacent to $X' \in \mathcal{B}$ other than X . Here we say U and X are adjacent if $U \cap X = \emptyset$ and $\partial U \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$. The symmetric difference between X and $\Psi(X)$ is a disjoint union of such topological discs.

Let U_c be the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(U_\gamma)$ containing c . Then U_c is included in $X_W(c)$ and adjacent to $X_W(c) + 1$ by the definition of γ . Thus

$$X_{W_\gamma}(c) = (X_W(c) + 1) \cup U_c \cup \tilde{X} \cup U_+ - U_-,$$

where \tilde{X} is the union of $X \in \mathcal{B}_W$ adjacent to U_c , and each of U_-, U_+ is a disjoint union of topological discs. (Other X 's in \mathcal{B}_W do not contribute to $X_{W_\gamma}(c)$. Indeed, if $X \in \mathcal{B}_W$ is adjacent to U_c , then any $X' \in \mathcal{B}_W$ adjacent to X does not fulfill $f^k(X') = X_W(c)$ for $k > 0$ by Claim 3, because of the fact that $f^k(X) = f^k(X_W(c) + 1)$ and that $f^k(X') = X_W(c) \Rightarrow f^k(X)$ is adjacent to $X_W(c)$.) Therefore we have $\Psi(X_W(c) + 2) = X_{W_\gamma}(c) + 1$.

Hence $\Psi : \mathcal{B}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^1$ is well-defined and $X \cap \text{Orb}(c') = \Psi(X) \cap \text{Orb}(c')$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}^0$ and $c' \in \text{Cr} - \{c, \infty\}$. In particular, $A_{W_\gamma}(i) = \Psi(A_W(i + \sigma))$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda(W) - \sigma$. Clearly,

$$A_{W_\gamma}(i) \cap (P \cup \text{Cr} - \text{Orb}(c)) = A_W(i + \sigma) \cap (P \cup \text{Cr} - \text{Orb}(c))$$

and

$$A_{W_\gamma}(i) \cap \text{Orb}(c) = A_W(i + 1 - \sigma) \cap \text{Orb}(c)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda(W) - \sigma$. Thus $\kappa(W_\gamma) \geq \kappa(W) - 1$ and $\lambda(W_\gamma) \geq \lambda(W) - \sigma$. Since $A_{W_\gamma}(\lambda(W) + 1 - \sigma) \cap \mathbf{Cr} \supset A_W(\lambda(W) + 1) \cap \mathbf{Cr}$, we have $\lambda(W_\gamma) = \lambda(W) - \sigma$.

Case 1. Suppose γ is V-type. If $A_W(\kappa(W) + 1) \cap \mathbf{Orb}(c) \neq \emptyset$, then $\kappa(W_\gamma) = \kappa(W)$; otherwise $\kappa(W_\gamma) = \kappa(W) - 1$.

Case 2-i. Suppose $\kappa(W) < \lambda(W)$ and γ is Λ -type. If $A_W(\kappa(W) + 1) \cap \mathbf{Orb}(c') \neq \emptyset$ for every $c' \in \mathbf{Cr} - \{c, \infty\}$, then $\kappa(W_\gamma) = \kappa(W)$; otherwise $\kappa(W_\gamma) = \kappa(W) - 1$.

Case 2-ii. Suppose $\kappa(W) = \lambda(W)$ and γ is Λ -type. Then $\kappa(W_\gamma) = \kappa(W) - 1$.

It is clear that Ψ preserves the order \prec and the $+1$ operation. This completes the proof of Claim 3. We call this operation a γ -deformation of an appropriate domain.

In the proof above, we have proved $X_{W_\gamma}(c) + 1 = \Psi(X_W(c) + 2)$. This means that $L(X_{W_\gamma}(c), A_{W_\gamma}(\lambda(W_\gamma))) = L(X_W(c), A_W(\lambda(W))) - 1$.

We show that if $\kappa(W) \geq L(X_W(c), A_W(\lambda(W))) =: n$, then by repeating γ -deformation $n - 1$ times, we get an appropriate domain W' such that $c \in A_{W'}(\lambda(W') + 1)$ and $A_W(\lambda(W) + 1) \cap (P \cup \mathbf{Cr} - \mathbf{Orb}(c)) = A_{W'}(\lambda(W') + 1) \cap (P \cup \mathbf{Cr} - \mathbf{Orb}(c))$. Indeed, we take a sequence of paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$ which satisfies the following: γ_k is of V-type or Λ -type for (c, W^{k-1}) obtained by Lemma 5, where inductively we write $W^0 = W$ and $W^k = W_{\gamma_k}^{k-1}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$). Then $X_{W^{n-1}}(c) + 1 = \Psi_{\gamma_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{\gamma_1}(A_W(\lambda(W))) =: \tilde{X}$. We have $\tilde{X} = A_{W^{n-1}}(\lambda(W^{n-1}))$, since $\Psi_{\gamma_k} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{\gamma_1}(A_W(\lambda(W))) \in \mathcal{B}_{W^k}^0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$.

Taking N large enough, we can apply this procedure to all the critical points other than ∞ , and we have an appropriate domain \tilde{W} such that $\tilde{W}(\kappa) = \tilde{W}(\lambda)$ contains all the critical values. \square

Proof of Lemma 5. We assume $f^\mu(X) = f(A_1)$ without loss of generality. Then E_0 is a connected component of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$. Let $P_0 \subset A_1$ be the set of critical values of $f^\mu : X(c) \rightarrow A_1$. Let $m = |P_0|$ and take m points $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in C_0$ arranged counterclockwise. Take $\mathcal{L} = \{L_p\}_{p \in P_0}$, a collection of disjoint simple paths L_p 's in A_1 joining $p \in P_0$ and some $a_{j(p)}$ such that $j(p) \neq j(p')$ if $p \neq p'$, and such that L_p does not intersect P except at the endpoint. We consider that $j : P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ is a bijection which depends on \mathcal{L} . We may write the inverse $p = j^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}/(m) \rightarrow P_0$. Write $L = \bigcup_{p \in P_0} L_p$. Since each connected component of $f^{-\mu}(A_1 - L)$ in $X(c)$ is an annulus, $f^{-\mu}(C_0 \cup L) \cap \overline{X(c)}$ is connected.

For $z \in \overline{X(c)}$, let us denote by $I(z)$ the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(L)$ containing z if it exists, and similarly by $\overset{\circ}{I}(z)$ the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(L - P_0)$ containing z . We say $\mathcal{C} = (\{E_i\}_{i=0}^k, \{c_i\}_{i=0}^k)$ is a chain between E_0 and c for \mathcal{L} if E_0, E_1, \dots, E_k are distinct connected components of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$ in $\overline{X(c)}$ and c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k are distinct critical points of $f^\mu|_{X(c)}$ satisfying the following: $I_i = I(c_i)$ joins E_i and E_{i+1} ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$), $I_k = I(c_k)$ has an endpoint in E_k , and $c_k = c$. The existence of such a chain is guaranteed by the fact mentioned at the end of the previous paragraph. We say k is the length of the chain, and denote by $\text{Len}(\mathcal{C})$. If there exists a chain with $\text{Len}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$, then $L_{f^\mu(c)}$ is a required path γ .

If $m = 1$, then c is the only critical point of $f^\mu : X(c) \rightarrow A_1$. In this case, any chain between E_0 and c has length zero. Therefore we can assume $m > 1$.

Take a chain $\mathcal{C} = (\{E_i\}, \{c_i\})$ between E_0 and c for \mathcal{L} minimizing $k = \text{Len}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, if there are several candidates for E_k , then choose one minimizing $D(\mathcal{C})$ defined below. Assume $k > 0$. We will show that we can deform \mathcal{L} such that there exists a chain of length 0. To this end, we use the deformation by half Dehn twists several times. At each step, either the length $\text{Len}(\mathcal{C})$ of the chain or the “distance” $D(\mathcal{C})$ between I_k and I_{k-1} reduces by at least one.

Let $p_0 = f^\mu(c)$ and $p_1 = p(j(p_0) + 1)$. For simplicity, we set $j(p_0) = 0, j(p_1) = 1$. Let us denote by $[a_0, a_1]$ the counterclockwise arc in C_0 from a_0 to a_1 . Take a simple path l between p_0 and p_1 in A_1 which does not intersect L except at the endpoints such that the closed curve $L_{p_0} \cup l \cup L_{p_1} \cup [a_0, a_1]$ is homotopically trivial in A_1 (i.e. it does not enclose A_2). Note that l is unique up to homotopy. Take a Jordan domain $U_l \subset (A_1 - L) \cup (L_{p_0} \cup L_{p_1})$ which includes l and does not include any point of P other than p_0, p_1 .

We define a half Dehn twist on U_l as follows. Let $\tau : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the homeomorphism defined by $\tau(z) = -z$ for $|z| \leq 1/2$ and $\tau(z) = e^{2(1-|z|)\pi i} z$ for $1/2 < |z| \leq 1$. Note that $\tau([1/2, 1])$ is a path joining $-1/2$ and 1 , and $\tau((-1, -1/2])$ is a path joining -1 and $1/2$. Choose an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $h : \overline{U}_l - l \rightarrow \mathbb{D} - \{0\}$ with $h(L_{p_0} \cap \overline{U}_l - \{p_0\}) = (0, 1]$, and $h(L_{p_1} \cap \overline{U}_l - \{p_1\}) = [-1, 0)$. Let $T = h^{-1} \circ \tau \circ h : \overline{U}_l - l \rightarrow \overline{U}_l - l$. Extend T to a self-homeomorphism of $A_1 - l$ by the identity outside U_l .

We take a counterclockwise universal covering $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_0$ such that $\zeta(i + n/m) = a_n$ for $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a connected component E of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$, and $\tilde{a}_0 \in f^{-\mu}(a_0) \cap E, \tilde{a} \in f^{-\mu}(\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\}) \cap E$, we define $i = d(\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a})$ to be the smallest positive integer such that $\zeta_{\tilde{a}_0}(i/m) = \tilde{a}$, where $\zeta_{\tilde{a}_0} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is the lift of ζ by f^μ with $\tilde{\zeta}(0) = \tilde{a}_0$.

Let

$$D(\mathcal{C}) = D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d(\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}) : \tilde{a}_0 \in E_k \cap I_k, \tilde{a} \in E_k \cap I_{k-1}\}.$$

We can consider $D(\mathcal{C})$ to be a counterclockwise distance from I_k to I_{k-1} along E_k .

The following lemma is easy to see.

Lemma 6. *Let E be a connected component of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$, let $\tilde{a}_0 \in f^{-\mu}(a_0) \cap E, \tilde{a}_1 \in f^{-\mu}(a_1) \cap E$ such that $d(\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1) = 1$. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{U}_l$ be the composition of the universal covering $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{D} - \{0\}$ and $h^{-1} : \mathbb{D} - \{0\} \rightarrow \overline{U}_l - l$ such that $\alpha(n, (0, 1]) \subset L_{p_0}, \alpha(n + 1/2, (0, 1]) \subset L_{p_1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow S$ be the lift of α by f^μ (i.e. $f^\mu \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0} = \alpha$) such that $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0}(0, (0, 1]) \subset \overset{\circ}{I}(\tilde{a}_0)$.*

For $\mathcal{L}' = \{T^n(L_p)\}_{p \in P_0}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use the notation $I^n(z)$ defined similarly to $I(z)$. Then the following statements hold:

- $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0}(1/2, (0, 1]) \subset \overset{\circ}{I}(\tilde{a}_1)$.
- For $z \in f^{-\mu}(p_0) \cap \overline{S}$, if $z \in I(\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0}(n, 1))$, then $z \in I^{2n-1}(\tilde{a}_1)$.

- For $z \in f^{-\mu}(p_1) \cap \bar{S}$, if $z \in I(\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0}(n+1/2, 1))$, then $z \in I^{2n+1}(\tilde{a}_0)$.

Lemma 7. *Let E be a connected component of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$. Let $\tilde{a}_0 \in f^{-\mu}(a_0) \cap E$ and $\tilde{a}_1 \in f^{-\mu}(a_1) \cap E$ such that $d(\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1) = 1$. Let $z_1 \in f^{-\mu}(p_1) \cap I(\tilde{a}_1)$ and let l' be the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(l)$ containing z_1 . Then the following hold:*

1. *If $c \in l'$, then there exist a connected component E' of $f^{-\mu}(C_0)$ and $\tilde{a}'_0 \in I(c) \cap E'$, $\tilde{a}'_1 \in I(z_1) \cap E'$ such that $d(\tilde{a}'_0, \tilde{a}'_1) = 1$.*
2. *If $c \in l'$, then there exists an odd integer n such that $\tilde{a}_1 \in I^n(c)$.*
3. *If $c \notin l'$, then $\tilde{a}_0 \in I^n(z_1)$ for any odd integer n .*

Proof of Lemma 7. We may consider a connected component l' to be a graph with vertex set $V = f^{-\mu}(\{p_0, p_1\}) \cap l'$. Note that every edge e of l' is an inverse image of l , that is, $f^\mu : e \rightarrow l$ is bijective.

The first key to the proof is that c is the only critical point of f^μ in $f^{-\mu}(p_0)$. The second key is that if $z \in f^{-\mu}(\{p_0, p_1\}) \cap l'$ is not a critical point of f^μ , then z is an endpoint of l' .

1. For any edge e of the graph l' , there uniquely exist a connected component of E' and $\tilde{a}'_0 \in f^{-\mu}(a_0) \cap E'$, $\tilde{a}'_1 \in f^{-\mu}(a_1) \cap E'$ such that $d(\tilde{a}'_0, \tilde{a}'_1) = 1$ and $I(\tilde{a}'_0) \cap e \neq \emptyset$, $I(\tilde{a}'_1) \cap e \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, let Γ be the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(L_{p_0} \cup l \cup L_{p_1} \cup [a_0, a_1])$ including e . Then the required $\tilde{a}'_0, \tilde{a}'_1$ are the points of $f^{-\mu}(a_0) \cap \Gamma, f^{-\mu}(a_1) \cap \Gamma$.

We have to show that the graph l' has an edge with endpoints z_1 and c . If the graph l' has no edge containing both c and z_1 , then each edge containing z_1 has an endpoint which is not a critical point of f^μ , and l' does not contain c . Thus we have an edge e with endpoints z_1 and c .

2. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{a}_0} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow S$ be as in Lemma 6. Note that S is a connected component of $f^{-\mu}(\bar{U}_l - l)$. We will show that $c \in \bar{S}$. To this end, we see c belongs to $l_0 := \bar{S} - S$, which is a subgraph of l' . To the contrary, assume that $c \notin l_0$. Every point of $f^{-\mu}(p_0) \cap l_0$ is not a critical point and is an endpoint of l' . Therefore by the monodromy theorem, the covering $f^\mu : S \rightarrow \bar{U}_l - l$ is extended to $f^\mu : S' \rightarrow \bar{U}_l - \{p_1\}$ as a covering. Then $S' = S \cup l_0 - \{z_1\}$, which is a punctured disc. This means $l' = l_0$, and a contradiction to the fact $c \in l'$. Thus $c \in l_0$, and $\tilde{a}_1 \in I^n(c)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 6.

3. By a similar argument to the above, we can see that z_1 is the only point of $f^{-\mu}(p_1) \cap l'$. Thus the claim is true by Lemma 6. \square

We define a new system of paths by $\mathcal{L}' = \{T^n(L_p)\}_{p \in P_0}$ for some non-zero integer n . The integer n will be determined below. Set $I'_i = I'(c_i)$ for \mathcal{L}' similarly to I_i . We show that I'_i joins E_i and E_{i+1} if $i < k - 1$ or $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) > 1$. Indeed, $I'_i = I_i$ if $f^\mu(c_i) \notin \{p_0, p_1\}$. We know that $c = c_k$ is the only point in $\{c_i\}$ satisfying $f^\mu(c_i) = p_0$. Suppose $f^\mu(c_i) = p_1$. If c_i and c belong to the same connected component of $f^{-\mu}(l)$, then $i = k - 1$ by the minimality of $\text{Len}(\mathcal{C})$ and $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ by the minimality of $D(\mathcal{C})$ by 1 of Lemma 7. Equivalently, if $i < k - 1$ or $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) > 1$, then the connected component of $f^{-\mu}(l)$ containing c_i

does not contain c , and so we can see that I'_i joins E_i and E_{i+1} for any n by 3 of Lemma 7.

Let $\tilde{a}_0 \in E_k \cap I_k$, $\tilde{a} = \zeta_{\tilde{a}_0}(D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C})/m) \in I_{k-1} \cap E_k$ be the points we have taken to define $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\tilde{a}_1 = \zeta_{\tilde{a}_0}(1/m) \in f^{-\mu}(a_1) \cap E_k$, $\tilde{b} = \zeta_{\tilde{a}_0}(D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C})/m - 1/m)$, and $\tilde{a}' \in E_{k-1} \cap I_{k-1}$.

Case 1. Suppose $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) = 1$. Then $\tilde{a}' \in f^{-\mu}(a_1)$, $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_1$, $\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}_0$. Using 2 of Lemma 7, we have an odd integer n such that $\tilde{a}' \in I'(c)$. We have

$$\mathcal{C}' = (\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}\}, \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2}, c\})$$

is a chain for $\mathcal{L}' = \{T^n(L_p)\}_{p \in P_0}$, and so $\text{Len}(\mathcal{C}') = \text{Len}(\mathcal{C}) - 1$.

Case 2. Suppose $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) > 1$. We set $n = -1$. As we have seen above, \mathcal{C} is a chain for \mathcal{L}' .

- If $c_{k-1} \in f^{-\mu}(p_1)$, then $\tilde{b} \in f^{-\mu}(a_0)$. By the minimality of $D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C})$, c_{k-1} and c do not belong to the same connected component of $f^{-\mu}(l)$. Thus $\tilde{b} \in I'(c_{k-1})$ and $\tilde{a}_1 \in I'(c)$ by 3 of Lemma 7 and Lemma 6. Thus $D_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{C}) = D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) - 2$.

- If $c_{k-1} \notin f^{-\mu}(p_1)$, then $\tilde{a} \in I'(c_{k-1})$ and $\tilde{a}_1 \in I'(c)$ by Lemma 6. Thus $D_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{C}) = D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{C}) - 1$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 5. \square

5 The shift locus

In this section, we prove several results on the parameter spaces. Hereafter we assume f has the unique solitary fixed point ∞ without loss of generality.

Definition 3. The *shift locus* S_d is the set of d-Cantor hyperbolic rational maps of degree d . The *strong shift locus* T_d is the set of s-Cantor hyperbolic rational maps. Let Pol denote the set of polynomial maps.

Theorem 3. $T_d \cap \text{Pol} = S_d \cap \text{Pol}$.

Proof. Let $f \in S_d \cap \text{Pol}$. Then all critical points belong to the attracting basin of ∞ . Let U be a simple domain of ∞ such that U contains no critical value other than ∞ . For every $p \in (f^{-1}(U) - U) \cap P$, we take a simple arc $\gamma_p \subset f^{-1}(U) - U$ joining p and a point of ∂U such that $\gamma_p \cap \gamma_q = \emptyset$ if $p \neq q$. Note that $f^{-n}(U)$ is connected for every $n > 0$ since $f^{-1}(\infty) = \{\infty\}$. We inductively take a simple arc $\gamma_p \subset f^{-n}(U) - U$ for $p \in (f^{-n}(U) - f^{-n+1}(U)) \cap P$ joining p and a point of ∂U such that $\gamma_{f(p)} = f(\gamma_p \cap (f^{-n}(U) - f^{-1}(U)))$ and $\gamma_p \cap \gamma_q = \emptyset$ if $p \neq q$. Thus we obtain a simply connected open set $V = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - (\overline{U} \cup \bigcup_{p \in P-U} \gamma_p)$ which satisfies $f^{-1}(V) \subset V$. Then it is easy to see that f is s-Cantor. \square

Theorem 4. $T_d \subsetneq S_d$ for $d \geq 4$, and $T_2 = S_2$.

The $d = 2$ case is a consequence of the following.

Lemma 8. Let $f \in S_d$ which has exactly two critical points. Then $f \in T_d$.

Proof. Note that both of the critical points have local degree d . Take U a simple domain of ∞ . We take open sets U_k as in Definition 8. Suppose that all critical points belong to the immediate basin of attraction for ∞ . If U_k contains at most one critical value, then U_{k+1} is simply connected. Let n be the smallest integer such that U_n contains two critical values. Then f satisfies the condition of s-Cantor rational map with $\bar{D} = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_n$. \square

To prove the other cases, it is sufficient to show that there exists a rational map $f \in S_d - T_d$. We will do this in section 6.

Corollary 2. T_d is open and dense in S_d .

Theorem 5. S_d is connected.

Theorem 6. The set of rational maps $f \in T_d$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2 with an attracting (not superattracting) fixed point ∞ such that all the critical points have the local degree two is connected, and all the maps in the set are qc-conjugate to each other.

To prove these three statements, crucial is Theorem 2. Additionally, we use qc-surgery (quasiconformal surgery). For the basics of qc-surgery, see [3].

Proof of Corollary 2. It is easy to see that S_d and T_d are open in the space of rational maps of degree d . If $f \in S_d - T_d$, then we add an arbitrary small perturbation to f by qc-surgery that makes the map satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. We can deduce this theorem from Theorem 6. However we give another proof here.

Let $f \in S_d$. We construct a path in S_d which connects f and some map in $S_d \cap \text{Pol}$. First by a perturbation, we obtain f_0 satisfying the condition of Theorem 2, and moreover we can assume that any critical point other than ∞ has local degree two.

For simplicity, we omit the subscript of f_0 . By the proof of Theorem 2, we have a topological disc W containing ∞ such that $\bar{W} \subset f^{-1}(W)$, and such that $A = W' - W$ contains all the critical values other than ∞ , where W' is the connected component of $f^{-1}(W)$ including W . If f is not a polynomial, then $f^{-1}(W)$ is not connected.

Claim. There exists a topological disc \tilde{W} with smooth boundary such that $W \subset \tilde{W} \subset W'$ and such that $f^{-1}(\tilde{W})$ is a topological disc.

Proof of Claim. Let $P_0 \subset A$ be the set of critical values other than ∞ . Take distinct points $a_p \in \partial W$ for $p \in P_0$, and disjoint simple paths $L_p \subset A \cup \partial W$ joining $p \in P_0$ and a_p . Then $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{p \in P_0} L_p \cup \partial W)$ is a connected set including $\partial W'$. Take a minimal subset $P'_0 \subset P_0$ such that $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{p \in P'_0} L_p \cup \partial W)$ is connected. Then $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{p \in P'_0} L_p \cup W)$ is connected. We take a topological disc \tilde{W} with smooth boundary such that $W' \supset \tilde{W} \supset \bigcup_{p \in P'_0} L_p \cup W$ and $\tilde{W} \cap P_0 = P'_0$. This completes the proof of Claim.

From Lemma 9, we have a path $f_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ between $f_0 = f$ and a rational map f_1 such that $f_t(\infty) = \infty$, such that there is a continuous family of

topological discs between $U_0 = f^{-1}(\tilde{W})$ and U_1 with $f_t(U_t) \subset U_t$, such that all of $f_t : \hat{\mathbb{C}} - f_t^{-1}(U_t) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_t$ are topologically conjugate to each other, and such that U_1 contains no critical point other than ∞ . It is easy to see that $f_t \in S_d$ and f_1 is a polynomial. The proof is completed, since the shift locus of d -dimensional polynomial is connected ([7]). \square

Lemma 9. *Let f_0 be a rational map with a (super)attracting fixed point ∞ . Suppose that there exists a topological disc U_0 containing ∞ with smooth boundary ∂U_0 such that $f_0|_{U_0} : U_0 \rightarrow f(U_0) \subset U_0$ is a proper map of degree $m \geq 2$ and ∂U_0 contains no critical point. Then there exists a continuous family $f_t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ of rational maps with a (super)attracting fixed point ∞ and a continuous family of topological discs U_t containing ∞ such that $f_t|_{U_t} : U_t \rightarrow f_t(U_t) \subset U_t$, such that all of $f_t : \hat{\mathbb{C}} - f_t^{-1}(U_t) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_t$ are topologically conjugate to each other, and such that U_1 contains no critical point of f_1 other than ∞ .*

Proof. Take a topological disc $f^2(U_0) \subset V \subset f(U_0)$ with smooth boundary such that $A := f(U_0) - \bar{V}$ is an annulus containing no critical value. Set $V' := U_0 \cap f^{-1}(V)$ and $A' := U_0 \cap f^{-1}(A)$. Then $f : A' \rightarrow A$ is a degree m covering.

Let $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\phi' : V' \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be Riemann maps with $\phi(\infty) = \phi'(\infty) = 0$. Then $h = \phi \circ f \circ \phi'^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a proper holomorphic map with $h(0) = 0$. Thus h is a Blaschke product $e^{i\theta} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \bar{a}_k z}$, where $\{a_k\} = \phi' \circ f^{-1}(\infty)$.

Set

$$h_t(z) = e^{i\theta} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{z - (1-t)a_k}{1 - (1-t)\bar{a}_k z},$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Define a continuous family of smooth branched coverings $g_t : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $g_t(z) = \phi^{-1} \circ h_t \circ \phi'$ for $z \in V'$, $g_t(z) = f_0(z)$ for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - U_0$, and that $g_t : A' \rightarrow A$ is a degree m covering map.

Since for any point $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ its orbit passes through A' at most once, by Shishikura principle we obtain the required f_t . \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let f_1, f_2 be rational maps satisfying the assumption of the theorem. We construct a qc-conjugacy h between f_1 and f_2 in the sequel. From this, we can construct a path of qc-equivalent rational maps which connects f_1 and f_2 (cf. Theorem 2.9 of [15]).

By Theorem 2, we can assume that for $i = 1, 2$, there is a topological disc W_i containing ∞ with smooth boundary such that $A_i := W'_i - \bar{W}_i$ includes all the critical values, where W'_i is the connected component of $f_i^{-1}(W_i)$ containing ∞ .

To show the existence of h , it is sufficient to construct a diffeomorphism $m : \overline{A_1} \rightarrow \overline{A_2}$ such that there exists a lift $\tilde{m} : \overline{A'_1} \rightarrow \overline{A'_2}$ with $f_2 \circ \tilde{m} = m \circ f_1$ on $\overline{A'_1}$, where each $A'_i, i = 1, 2$ is the connected component of $f_i^{-1}(A_i)$ adjacent to A_i . Recall that A'_i contains all the critical points. If we have such a diffeomorphism m , then we define a qc map h as follows: First define $h = f_2^k \circ m \circ f_1^{-k}$ on $f_1^k(A_1), k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then we have $h : \overline{W_1} \rightarrow \overline{W_2}$. Secondly extend h on $f^{-k}(\overline{W_1}), k = 1, 2, \dots$ to satisfy $f_2 \circ h = h \circ f_1$. This extension is guaranteed by

the existence of \tilde{m} , and we have h on the Fatou set. Finally it is evident that h can be continuously extended to the Julia set by construction.

The proof is completed by Lemma 11. \square

Lemma 10. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a topological annulus with smooth boundary, $A' \subset \mathbb{C}$ a $2d$ -connected domain with smooth boundary, and $f : A' \rightarrow A$ a branched covering of degree d with $2d - 2$ critical point $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2d-2} \in A'$ of degree two. We may consider the subscripts $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2$ to be elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(2d - 2)$. Suppose $q_i = f(p_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2$ are distinct. Take $x \in A', y = f(x) \in A$. Then renumbering p_i 's if necessary, there exist simple paths $l_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2$ satisfying:*

1. l_i joins y and q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2$), and they are disjoint except at y ,
2. $l_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2$ are arranged counter-clockwise around y ,
3. $p_i \in l'_i$, where l'_i is the connected component of $f^{-1}(l_i)$ containing x , and
4. each of $l'_{2k-1} \cup l'_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, d$ is a closed curve.

Proof of Lemma 10. First we take a system of paths $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2\}$ satisfying 1. Set $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2d-2} l_i$. Modifying it step by step, we obtain required paths.

Observe that f is one-to-one on each boundary component of A' . We can show that if $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i\}$ satisfies 1, then $f^{-1}(L)$ is connected and $f^{-1}(A - L)$ has exactly d connected components. Indeed, if f is of degree q on some connected component $D \subset f^{-1}(A - L)$ and p is the number of connected components of $\partial D \cap f^{-1}(L)$, then the Euler characteristic fulfills $2 - p - 2q = \chi(D) = q\chi(A - L) = -q$, and so $q = 1$ and $p = 1$.

Moreover, observe that if there are distinct connected components $D, D' \in f^{-1}(A - L)$ such that $\overline{D} \cap \overline{D'}$ includes a nontrivial curve (i.e. neither empty nor finite points), then $\overline{D} \cap \overline{D'}$ includes a connected component of $f^{-1}(l_i)$ for some i which contains p_i .

In the following, we denote by D, D' connected components of $f^{-1}(A - L)$.

Step 1. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i\}$ be a system of paths satisfying 1. We modify \mathcal{L} to satisfy 1 and 3. Suppose that there exist a D with $x \in \partial D$ and a critical point $p_i \in \partial D$ with $p_i \notin l'_i$. Then we take a simple path $\tilde{l}'_i \subset \overline{D}$ between x and p_i , and replace l_i with $f(\tilde{l}'_i)$. By repeating this procedure, we obtain \mathcal{L} such that $p_i \in l'_i$ for any p_i, D with $x, p_i \in \partial D$. This means that for any D with $x \in \partial D$ and for any i , either $p_i \in \partial D \cap f^{-1}(l_i) = l'_i$ or $p_i \notin \partial D \cap f^{-1}(l_i)$. Therefore any D satisfies $x \in \partial D$. Indeed, if $x \in \partial D$ and $\partial D \cap \partial D'$ includes a nontrivial curve, then there exists p_i such that $p_i \in l'_i \subset \partial D \cap \partial D'$, and so $x \in \partial D'$. Hence we have \mathcal{L} with 1 and 3.

Step 2. By renumbering, we have \mathcal{L} satisfying 1, 2, and 3. We modify \mathcal{L} to satisfy 1, 2, 3, and 4. We can show that for each i , there exists $j \neq i$ such that $l'_i \cup l'_j$ is a closed curve. Indeed, it is sufficient to show that for each $x' \in f^{-1}(y) - \{x\}$, there exist two integers $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2\}$ such that l'_i and l'_j joins x and x' . Since $f^{-1}(L)$ is connected, every $x' \in f^{-1}(y) - \{x\}$ has at

least one i such that l'_i joins x and x' . If l'_i is the only one which joins x and x' , then there exists a D such that a neighborhood of p_i is included in the interior of \overline{D} , which contradicts the injectivity of $f : D \rightarrow A - L$.

Let i, j be such that $i - j \neq 0, \pm 1$ and such that $l'_i \cup l'_j$ is a closed curve. Then there exists a D such that $l'_i, l'_j \subset \partial D$. We can take a simple path \tilde{l}'_j in \overline{D} joining x and p_j such that $f(\tilde{l}'_j)$ is either between l_i and l_{i+1} or between l_{i-1} and l_i in the cyclic order around y . We replace l_j with $f(\tilde{l}'_j)$. Then the new $l'_i \cup l'_j$ is also a closed curve by the fact proved in the previous paragraph. Renumeral \mathcal{L} . By repeating this procedure, we obtain a required \mathcal{L} .

This completes the proof of Lemma 10. \square

Lemma 11. *Let $f_1 : A'_1 \rightarrow A_1$ and $f_2 : A'_2 \rightarrow A_2$ be branched coverings satisfying the assumption of Lemma 10. Then they are isomorphic to each other, that is, there exist diffeomorphisms $m : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $\tilde{m} : A'_1 \rightarrow A'_2$ such that $f_2 \circ \tilde{m} = m \circ f_1$.*

Proof of Lemma 11. By Lemma 10, we have a system of paths $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ for f_1, f_2 respectively. Take a diffeomorphism $m : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ which carries \mathcal{L}_1 to \mathcal{L}_2 . Then the image of $(m \circ f_1)_* : \pi_1(A'_1 - f_1^{-1}(Q_1), x_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(A - Q_2, y_2)$ coincides with the image of $(f_2)_* : \pi_1(A'_2 - f_2^{-1}(Q_2), x_2) \rightarrow \pi_1(A - Q_2, y_2)$, where $Q_i, i = 1, 2$ are the sets of critical values for f_i , and $x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2$ are the basepoints in A'_i and A_i . Indeed, the images are determined by only the graph structures of $(m \circ f_1)^{-1}(L_2) = f_1^{-1}(L_1)$ and $f_2^{-1}(L_2)$.

Now the existence of \tilde{m} is assured by the theory of covering spaces. \square

Remark 4.

1. The limitation “renumbering p_i ’s” in Lemma 10 can be deleted. In fact, any permutation $\tau : \{q_i\} \rightarrow \{q_i\}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism $m : A \rightarrow A$ with a homeomorphism $\tilde{m} : A' \rightarrow A'$ such that $m \circ f = f \circ \tilde{m}$. The isotopy class of m relative to $\{q_i\}$ is not unique.
2. Take a generating set $\{g_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 2\} \cup \{g'\}$ of $\pi_1(A - \{q_i\}, y)$ in Lemma 10 as follows: $g_i = [\gamma_i], g' = [\gamma']$, where γ_i is a loop with basepoint y which is the boundary of a topological disc $U_i \subset A$ such that $\overline{U_i} \cap L = l_i$, and γ' is a nontrivial loop of the annulus A . Then the image of $f_* : \pi_1(A' - f^{-1}(\{q_i\}), x) \rightarrow \pi_1(A - \{q_i\}, y)$ is generated by $\{g_i^2, g_{2k-1}g_{2k}, g_{2k-1}g_lg_{2k}, g', g_i g' g_i\}$, where i, k, l run over integers such that $1 \leq i \leq 2d - 2, 1 \leq k \leq d + 1$, and $l \neq 2k - 1, 2k$.

By a similar argument to Theorem 6, we obtain the following:

Theorem 7. *Let f, g be rational maps satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2 with a (super)attracting fixed point ∞ such that all the critical points other than ∞ have the local degree two. Suppose that there exists a qc-map $\phi : B_f \rightarrow B_g$ with $\phi \circ f = g \circ \phi$, where B_f, B_g are neighborhoods of $\text{Cr}_f \cup P_f \cup \{\infty\}, \text{Cr}_g \cup P_g \cup \{\infty\}$ respectively. Then we have:*

1. (Theorem 6 and Remark 4(1)) If ∞ is attracting, then ϕ can be extended to a qc-conjugacy on the whole sphere.
2. If ∞ is superattracting, then ϕ can be extended to a qc-conjugacy on any bounded set.

We leave the following problem to the reader.

Problem Relax the restriction of local degree in Theorem 7.

Remark 5. Theorem 7 fails if the assumption of Theorem 2 is omitted. Indeed, we give an example. Let $f \in S_2$ be a rational map with attracting fixed point ∞ and two critical points c_1, c_2 such that $f^2(c_1) = f^2(c_2)$. Then there exists no homeomorphism $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\phi \circ f = f \circ \phi$ and $\phi(c_1) = c_2$. The detail is left to the reader.

6 An example of a rational map which is Cantor but not strongly Cantor

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.

6.1 Basic facts

Definition 15. Let G, T be groups with a homomorphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow \text{Aut}G$. Then we have the semidirect product $G \rtimes_{\varphi} T$, namely, $G \rtimes_{\varphi} T = \{(g, \tau) \mid g \in G, \tau \in T\}$, and for $(g, \tau), (g', \tau') \in G \rtimes_{\varphi} T$, the product $(g'', \tau'') = (g, \tau)(g', \tau')$ is defined by $g'' = g\varphi(\tau)(g')$, $\tau'' = \tau\tau'$. We have an inclusion $i_1 : G \rightarrow G \rtimes_{\varphi} T$, $i_1(g) = (g, 1)$ and a projection $p_2 : G \rtimes_{\varphi} T \rightarrow T$, $p_2(g, \tau) = \tau$, and an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G \rtimes_{\varphi} T \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$.

For a set X , let $\mathfrak{S}(X)^{\text{op}}$ be the opposite group of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}(X)$. Namely, $\mathfrak{S}(X)^{\text{op}}$ consists of all bijective self-maps on X , and for $\tau, \tau' \in \mathfrak{S}(X)^{\text{op}}$, we set $\tau\tau' = \tau' \circ \tau$. For a group G and a set X , we have a homomorphism $\varphi = \varphi_{G, X} : \mathfrak{S}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G^X)$ defined by $\varphi(\tau)(a) = a \circ \tau$. We write $M(G, X) = G^X \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathfrak{S}(X)^{\text{op}}$.

For convenience of calculation, an element $(a, \tau) \in M(G, X)$ is expressed in the form of formal series

$$\sum_{x \in X} a(x) \cdot (x, \tau(x)).$$

Define the product of two terms of such a series by

$$[g \cdot (x, y)][g' \cdot (x', y')] = \begin{cases} gg' \cdot (x, y') & \text{if } y = x', \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x', \end{cases}$$

where $g, g' \in G, x, y, x', y' \in X$. Then we recover the operation of $M(G, X)$ using distributive law.

For subgroups $Q \subset G^X$ and $T \subset \mathfrak{S}(X)$ such that Q is T -invariant, the subgroup $Q \rtimes T = \langle i_1(Q), p_2^{-1}(T) \rangle \subset M(G, X)$ is well-defined.

Definition 16. Let $G_0 = \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x})$ be the fundamental group, and $\hat{G}_0 = \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) = \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) / \ker \alpha_\infty$ the iterated monodromy group (see Definition 14). The monodromy $\alpha = \alpha_f$ descend to the right action of \hat{G}_0 on $f^{-1}(\bar{x})$, which is denoted by the same notation α . Therefore $\alpha : \hat{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(f^{-1}(\bar{x}))^{\text{op}}$ is a homomorphism. Let $G_k = M(G_0, f^{-k}(\bar{x}))$ and $\hat{G}_k = M(\hat{G}_0, f^{-k}(\bar{x}))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This group and the homomorphism f_r^* below are used by the author in [12],[11].

Let $r = (l_i)_i$ be a radial, and $\chi_r : \text{Word}(k) \rightarrow f^{-k}(\bar{x})$ the bijection determined by $w \mapsto l_w(1)$ using the notation in Definition 6. We identify $f^{-k}(\bar{x})$ with $\text{Word}(k)$ by χ_r , and also G_k with $M(G_0, \text{Word}(k))$. We consider α to be a right action of G_0 on $\text{Word}(1) = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. This action depends on r .

For a loop $\gamma : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x})$, let denote by $[\gamma] \in G_0$ the equivalence class including γ . We obtain (non-homomorphic) mappings $\beta_{r,i} : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ defined by $\beta_{r,i}([\gamma]) = [l_i L_i(\gamma) l_{\alpha([\gamma])(i)}^{-1}]$, where $L_i(\gamma)$ is the lift of γ defined in Definition 6. Note that

$$\beta_{r,i}[\gamma\gamma'] = [l_i L_i(\gamma\gamma') l_{j'}^{-1}] = [l_i L_i(\gamma) l_j^{-1} l_j L_j(\gamma') l_{j'}^{-1}] = \beta_{r,i}([\gamma]) \beta_{r,j}([\gamma']),$$

where $j = \alpha([\gamma])(i)$ and $j' = \alpha([\gamma\gamma'])(i) = \alpha([\gamma'])(j)$. From this, we have the homomorphism $f_r^* : G_k \rightarrow G_{k+1}$ determined by

$$f_r^* : \sum_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} a(w) \cdot (w, \tau(w)) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} \beta_{r,i}(a(w)) \cdot (iw, \alpha(a(w))(i) \tau(w)).$$

Particularly, for $k = 0$,

$$f_r^* : g \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_{r,i}(g) \cdot (i, \alpha(g)(i)).$$

We write $\beta_{r,w} = \beta_{r,i_1} \circ \beta_{r,i_2} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{r,i_k}$ for $w = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \in \text{Word}(k)$. It is easy to see that $\beta_{r,w}([\gamma]) = [l_w L_w(\gamma) l_{\alpha_{f^k}([\gamma])(w)}^{-1}]$.

Proposition 4. Let r be a radial. The action α_{f^k} of G_0 on $\text{Word}(k) \cong f^{-k}(\bar{x})$ is inductively expressed as

$$\alpha_{f^k}(g)(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k) = \alpha_f(\beta_{r,i_2 \dots i_k}(g))(i_1) \alpha_{f^{k-1}}(g)(i_2 \dots i_k),$$

and

$$\overbrace{f_r^* \circ f_r^* \circ \dots \circ f_r^*}^{k \text{ times}}(g) = \sum_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} \beta_{r,w}(g) \cdot (w, \alpha_{f^k}(g)(w)).$$

Proof. By the definition of f_r^* , it is sufficient to show

$$\alpha_{f^{k+1}}([\gamma])(iw) = \alpha(\beta_{r,w}([\gamma]))(i) \alpha_{f^k}([\gamma])(w)$$

for $i \in \text{Word}(1)$ and $w \in \text{Word}(k)$. Suppose $\alpha_{f^{k+1}}([\gamma])(iw) = ju$, $j \in \text{Word}(1)$, $u \in \text{Word}(k)$. Then $L_{iw}(\gamma)(0) = l_{iw}(1)$ and $L_{iw}(\gamma)(1) = l_{ju}(1)$. Composing f , we

have $L_w(\gamma)(0) = l_w(1)$ and $L_w(\gamma)(1) = l_u(1)$. Thus $\alpha_{f^k}([\gamma])(w) = u$. On the other hand, $L_{iw}(\gamma)(1) = l_{ju}(1)$ implies that the endpoint of $L_i(l_w L_w(\gamma) l_u^{-1})$ is $l_j(1)$. Thus $\alpha(\beta_{r,w}([\gamma]))(i) = j$. \square

It is easily seen that $\beta_{r,i} : \hat{G}_0 \rightarrow \hat{G}_0$ is well-defined and the homomorphism $f_r^* : G_k \rightarrow G_{k+1}$ descends to $f_r^* : \hat{G}_k \rightarrow \hat{G}_{k+1}$ (we use the same symbol) as the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_k & \xrightarrow{f_r^*} & G_{k+1} \\ \alpha_\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_\infty \\ \hat{G}_k & \xrightarrow{f_r^*} & \hat{G}_{k+1} \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $r' = (l'_i)$ be a radial other than r with the same numbering of $f^{-1}(\bar{x})$, i.e. $l_i(1) = l'_i(1)$. Let $h_i = [l'_i l_i^{-1}] \in G_0$. Then $\beta_{r',i}([\gamma]) = h_i \beta_{r,i}([\gamma]) h_i^{-1}$. Setting $h = ((h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d), \text{id}) = \sum_{i=1}^d h_i \cdot (i, i) \in G_1$, we can describe $f_{r'}^* : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ as

$$f_{r'}^* : g \mapsto h f_r^*(g) h^{-1}.$$

However, since $\chi_r \neq \chi_{r'}$ on $\text{Word}(k)$, $k \geq 2$, the relation between f_r^* and $f_{r'}^*$ on G_k , $k \geq 1$ is complicated.

Remark 6. Let $\gamma : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x})$ be a loop. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the lifted loops of γ and the periodic cycles of $\alpha([\gamma])$. Namely, (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) is a periodic cycle of $\alpha([\gamma])$, if and only if $\tilde{\gamma} = L_{i_1}(\gamma) L_{i_2}(\gamma) \cdots L_{i_m}(\gamma)$ is a loop and $f \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma^m$.

Let us denote by e the identity element of \hat{G}_n .

Proposition 5. *The homomorphism $f_r^* : \hat{G}_k \rightarrow \hat{G}_{k+1}$ is injective.*

Proof. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} \beta_{r,i}(a(w)) \cdot (iw, \alpha(a(w))(i) \tau(w)) = e$. Then $\tau = \text{id}$, and $\beta_{r,i}(a(w)) = e$, $\alpha(a(w)) = \text{id}$ for any $w \in \text{Word}(k)$ and any $i \in \text{Word}(1)$. Thus we have $\beta_{r,u}(a(w)) = e$ for any $u \in \text{Word}$ and $w \in \text{Word}(k)$, and so $\alpha_\infty(a(w)) = 1$. \square

Lemma 12. *Let f be a Cantor hyperbolic rational map of degree d , and $r = (l_i)_i$ a radial for f . Then the coding map $\phi_r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$ is one-to-one if and only if for any $g \in \hat{G}_0$, there exists $k > 0$ such that $(f_r^*)^k(g) \in \{e\} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(k))$.*

Proof. Let Ω be an expanding domain including r , and set $\hat{G}_\Omega = \pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x}) / \ker \alpha_\infty \subset \hat{G}_0$. Recall that \hat{G}_Ω is a finite group (Theorem 1). We have $\beta_{r,w}(\hat{G}_\Omega) \subset \hat{G}_\Omega$ for any $w \in \text{Word}$; for any $g \in \hat{G}_0$, there exists $w \in \text{Word}$ such that $\beta_{r,w}(g) \in \hat{G}_\Omega$.

Let $Q = \{(\omega, \omega') \in \Sigma_d \times \Sigma_d : \phi_r(\omega) = \phi_r(\omega')\}$. For $q = (\omega, \omega') \in Q$, let us denote $\sigma(q) = (\sigma(\omega), \sigma(\omega'))$, $\phi(q) = \phi_r(\omega) = \phi_r(\omega')$, and $[\gamma_q] = [l_\omega l_{\omega'}^{-1}] \in \hat{G}_\Omega$,

where $\sigma : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \Sigma_d$ is the shift map. Note that $l_w \subset \Omega$ for any $w \in \text{Word}$. Thus $[\gamma_q] \in \hat{G}_\Omega$ for any $q \in Q$.

We show $(i\omega, j\omega) \notin Q$ if $i \neq j$. Indeed, since the Julia set J contains no critical point, $f^{-1}(z)$ consists of exactly d points for $z \in J$. Hence for $z = \phi_r(\omega)$, the two sets of lifts of l_ω coincide:

$$\{L_i(l_\omega) : i \in \text{Word}(1)\} = \{L'_y(l_\omega) : y \in f^{-1}(z)\},$$

where by $L'_y(l)$ we denotes the lift of l by f such that y is one of the endpoints. This means that $\phi_r(i\omega), i \in \text{Word}(1)$ are all distinct.

For $q = (\omega, \omega') \in Q$, we have $\sigma(q) \in Q$ and $(i\omega, j\omega') \in Q$ for every $i \in \text{Word}(1)$ and $j = \alpha([\gamma_q])(i)$. Clearly, $\beta_{r,i}([\gamma_q]) = [\gamma_{(i\omega, j\omega')}]$. From this fact, if $q = (\omega, \omega') \in Q$ and $[\gamma_q] = e$, then $wq = (w\omega, w\omega') \in Q$ and $[\gamma_{wq}] = e$ for any $w \in \text{Word}$. Therefore if $[\gamma_q] \neq e$, then $[\gamma_{\sigma^k(q)}] \neq e$ for any $k > 0$.

We show that the following are equivalent:

1. $\phi_r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J$ is one-to-one.
2. $\{[\gamma_q] \in \hat{G}_\Omega : q \in Q\} = \{e\}$.
3. $\bigcap_{k>0} \bigcup_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} \beta_{r,w}(\hat{G}_\Omega) = \{e\}$.
4. For any $g \in \hat{G}_0$, there exists $k > 0$ such that $(f_r^*)^k(g) \in \{e\} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(k))$.
5. There does not exist a non-trivial $g \in \hat{G}_0$ such that $\beta_{r,w}(g) = g$ for some $w \in \text{Word}$.

4 \iff 3 \Rightarrow 5 is easy.

5 \Rightarrow 3 is obtained by the finiteness of G_Ω .

2 \Rightarrow 1. Suppose $\{[\gamma_q] \in \hat{G}_\Omega : q \in Q\} = \{e\}$. First we show that if $q = (i\omega, j\omega') \in Q$, then $i = j$. Indeed, by the fact mentioned above, $\sigma(q) = (\omega, \omega') \in Q$ and $(i\omega, i\omega') \in Q$ since $i = \alpha([\gamma_{\sigma(q)}])(i)$. Hence $(j\omega', i\omega') \in Q$, and so $i = j$.

Applying this observation to $\sigma^k(q), k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have that Q is the diagonal set, and ϕ_r is one-to-one.

5 \Rightarrow 2. Suppose $[\gamma_q] \neq e$ for some $q \in Q$. Then $[\gamma_{\sigma^k(q)}] \neq e$ for $k \geq 0$. There exist $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > k'$ and $[\gamma_{\sigma^k(q)}] = [\gamma_{\sigma^{k'}(q)}]$, since \hat{G}_Ω is a finite group. Hence $g = [\gamma_{\sigma^{k'}(q)}] \neq e$ satisfies $\beta_{r,w}(g) = g$ for some $w \in \text{Word}(k - k')$.

1 \Rightarrow 5. Suppose that there exists a non-trivial $g \in \hat{G}_0$ such that $\beta_{r,w}(g) = g$ for some $w \in \text{Word}(k)$. Set $w' := \alpha_{f^k}(g)(w)$. Take a loop γ such that $g = [\gamma]$. We know that the length of $L_{w^n}(\gamma)$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and that $[l_{w^n} L_{w^n}(\gamma) l_{w'^{-1}}] = g$ is a non-trivial loop. Hence $w' \neq w$, and $(ww \dots, w'w' \dots) \in Q$. \square

Remark 7. In Lemma 12, the assumption that f is Cantor is not necessary. In fact, we have used only the finiteness of \hat{G}_Ω . Instead, we may use the finiteness of $\hat{G}_{\Omega,L} = \{[\gamma] \in \hat{G}_\Omega : |\gamma| < L\}$ for $L > 0$, where $|\gamma|$ is the length of a path. Moreover, for a general hyperbolic rational map f , we can show $\{[\gamma_q] : q \in Q\} = \bigcap_{k>0} \bigcup_{w \in \text{Word}(k)} \beta_{r,w}(\hat{G}_{\Omega,L})$ for some $L > 0$.

For another version of this lemma, see Section 7 of [13].

6.2 Proof

Let

$$f(z) = \frac{az^4 - 2az^2 + a + \frac{1}{4a}}{z^2 - 1} = a(z^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{4a(z^2 - 1)}.$$

Then $\text{Cr} = \{0, \infty, \pm\sqrt{1+1/2a}, \pm\sqrt{1-1/2a}\}$. $\pm\sqrt{1+1/2a} \mapsto 1 \mapsto \infty \mapsto \infty, \pm\sqrt{1-1/2a} \mapsto -1 \mapsto \infty$.

Lemma 13. *If $|a|$ is large enough, then f is d -Cantor and f^2 is s -Cantor.*

Proof. Suppose $|a| > 2$. Then it is easily seen that $|f(z)| > |a||z|$ provided $|z| > 5/3$. Hence $\{|z| > 5/3\}$ is included in $A_0(\infty)$, the immediate basin of attraction of ∞ . Thus $f(0) = -a - \frac{1}{4a} \in A_0(\infty)$.

Note that $f = g \circ h$ with $g(z) = \frac{z+1/z}{2}$, $h(z) = 2a(z^2 - 1)$. It is easy to see that $g^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \{|z| = 1\}$. Hence $f^{-1}([-1, 1])$ is a union of two simple closed curves, say Γ_1, Γ_2 , which encircle -1 and 1 separately. Observe $-\sqrt{1 \pm 1/2a} \in \Gamma_1, \sqrt{1 \pm 1/2a} \in \Gamma_2$.

Set $D = \{|z| < 5/3\}$. Then $f^{-1}(D) \subset D$ is the union of two annuli, which encircle two critical values ± 1 separately; $f^{-2}(D) \subset f^{-1}(D)$ is the union of four annuli, which encircle four critical points $\pm\sqrt{1 \pm 1/2a}$ separately. Two critical values ± 1 are included in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} - f^{-2}(D)$. Thus there exists a topological disc $D' \subset D$ such that $\pm 1 \notin \overline{D'}$ and $f^{-2}(D) \subset D'$, which satisfies the property of being s -Cantor. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 8. *Suppose that $|a|$ is large enough for f^2 to be s -Cantor. Then f is not t -Cantor, namely, for any radial r for f , $\phi_r : \Sigma_4 \rightarrow J$ is not one-to-one.*

Proof. First we show that for some radial r and a generating set $\{A, B, C_k \ (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)\} \subset G_0$, the homomorphism $f_r^* : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ has the following description:

$$A \mapsto A \cdot (1, 2) + A^{-1} \cdot (2, 1) + (3, 4) + (4, 3) \quad (5)$$

$$B \mapsto (1, 2) + (2, 1) + B \cdot (3, 4) + B^{-1} \cdot (4, 3) \quad (6)$$

$$C_0 \mapsto B \cdot (1, 4) + (2, 2) + (3, 3) + B^{-1} \cdot (4, 1) \quad (7)$$

$$C_k \mapsto (1, 1) + (2, 2) + (3, 3) + C_{k-1} \cdot (4, 4), \ (k \geq 1), \quad (8)$$

where we simply write (i, j) instead of $e \cdot (i, j)$.

To this end, let $a = \sqrt{-1}c$ with $c > 0$ large enough. The choice of the parameter is not important. We fix the parameter to avoid complicated notation. Note that $f^k(0) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ with $0 > \text{Im } f(0) > \text{Im } f^2(0) > \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}) &= \mathbb{R} \cup \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}, \\ f^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) &= J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3 \cup J_4 \subset \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= (-\infty, -\sqrt{1+1/2c}], & J_2 &= (-1, -\sqrt{1-1/2c}], \\ J_3 &= [\sqrt{1-1/2c}, 1), & J_4 &= [\sqrt{1+1/2c}, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

We also set $\bar{x} = \sqrt{-1}$. Then we have $f^{-1}(\bar{x}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $x_i \in J_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Recall that we have two loops Γ_1 and Γ_2 , which are the inverse image of $[-1, 1]$ encircling -1 and 1 respectively. Observe that the graph $L := \bigcup_{i=1}^4 J_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \Gamma_i = f^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup [-1, 1])$ divides \mathbb{C} into three connected components; one is unbounded and the other two are bounded.

Take a radial $r = (l_i)_{i=1}^4$ such that l_i 's are arcs joining \bar{x} and x_i in the upper half-plane. The group G_0 is generated by $A = [\gamma_A], B = [\gamma_B], C_k = [\gamma_{C_k}], k = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\gamma_A, \gamma_B, \gamma_{C_k}$ are loops in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ counterclockwise encircling $-1, 1, f^{k+1}(0)$ respectively. Specifically, we define γ_A (resp. γ_B) as a loop obtained by going around the segment I_1 (resp. I_2) joining \bar{x} and -1 (resp. 1), and γ_{C_0} as a loop obtained by going around a simple arc I_3 joining \bar{x} and $f(0)$ in $\mathbb{C} - (\{x \leq 1\} \cup \{\sqrt{-1}y : y \leq \text{Im } f^2(0)\})$. Finally we define $\gamma_{C_{k+1}}$ as a loop containing no critical point such that $[\gamma_{C_{k+1}}] = [f(l_4^{-1} \gamma_{C_k} l_4)], k = 0, 1, \dots$, and (8) holds.

Observe that $f^{-1}(I_1)$ has two connected components $I_{11} \subset \{\text{Im } z \leq 0\}$ joining x_1 and x_2 , and $I_{12} \subset \{\text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ joining x_3 and x_4 , and that $l_1 \cup I_{11} \cup l_2$ is homotopic to γ_A in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$, and $l_3 \cup I_{12} \cup l_4$ is a trivial loop in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$. A similar argument is true for I_2 . Now we obtain (5) and (6). The inverse image $f^{-1}(I_3)$ has three connected components, one of which, say I_{31} , joins x_1 and x_4 included in the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} - L$. It is easily seen that $l_1 \cup I_{31} \cup l_4$ is homotopic to γ_B . Thus we have (7).

Let T be the image of $\alpha : \pi_1(\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P, \bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(1))$ defined in Definition 16. Recall $\text{Word}(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \langle (14), (12)(34) \rangle \\ &= \{\text{id}, (14), (23), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23), (1243), (1342)\} \end{aligned}$$

(we write a permutation in the form of a product of cycles). Notice that the product of $\tau, \tau' \in T$ is $\tau\tau' = \tau' \circ \tau$. The group T acts on $\text{Word}(1)$ from the right by $i \cdot \tau = \tau(i)$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 = \text{Map}(\text{Word}(1), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ from the left by $\tau \cdot q = q \circ \tau$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4, \tau \in T, i \in \text{Word}(1)$.

The permutation group T is characterized as the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(1))$ which preserves the partition $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, namely,

$$T = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(1)) : P \cdot \tau \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let L be the subgroup fixing each block of \mathcal{P} , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(\text{Word}(1)) : P \cdot \tau = P \text{ for } P \in \mathcal{P}\} \\ &= \{\text{id}, (14), (23), (14)(23)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The action of T keeps the following two subgroups unchanged:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &:= \ker((q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^4 q_i) \\ &= \{(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \mid \sum_{i=1}^4 q_i = 0\}, \\ S &:= \ker((q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \mapsto (q_1 + q_4, q_2 + q_3)) \\ &= \{(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \mid q_1 = q_4, q_2 = q_3\} \\ &= \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\} \\ &= \{q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \mid \tau \cdot q = q \text{ for } \tau \in L\}. \end{aligned}$$

The group T acts on the quotient groups \mathbb{Z}_2^4/Q and Q/S trivially (not on \mathbb{Z}_2^4/S), and the group $M(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Word}(1))$ has subgroups $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T, Q \rtimes T, S \rtimes T$.

Note that the product of $(q, \tau), (q', \tau') \in M(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Word}(1))$ is $(q, \tau), (q', \tau') = (q + \tau \cdot q', \tau\tau')$, and the inverse of (q, τ) is $(q, \tau)^{-1} = (\tau^{-1} \cdot q, \tau^{-1})$.

Claim 1. The following hold.

1. For $q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4, \tau \in T$,

$$q + \tau \cdot q \in S \iff \tau \in L \text{ or } q \in Q.$$

2. $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T$ has normal subgroups $Q \rtimes T, Q \rtimes L$, and $S \rtimes L$.
3. The quotient group $R := (Q \rtimes T)/(S \rtimes L)$ is isomorphic to the Klein four-group $D_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.
4. Let $R = \{e, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$. Here $e = S \rtimes L$ is the identity element, $\zeta_1 = (S \rtimes T) - (S \rtimes L)$, $\zeta_2 = (Q \rtimes L) - (S \rtimes L)$, and $\zeta_3 = \zeta_1\zeta_2$. Then ζ_1 and ζ_3 are conjugate in $(\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T)/(S \rtimes L)$.

Proof of Claim 1.

(1) Let $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$. Then $q + \tau \cdot q = (q_1 + q_{\tau(1)}, q_2 + q_{\tau(2)}, q_3 + q_{\tau(3)}, q_4 + q_{\tau(4)})$. We have $q + \tau \cdot q \in S$ if and only if $q_1 + q_{\tau(1)} = q_4 + q_{\tau(4)}, q_2 + q_{\tau(2)} = q_3 + q_{\tau(3)}$. This is equivalent to

$$q_1 + q_4 = q_{\tau(1)} + q_{\tau(4)}, q_2 + q_3 = q_{\tau(2)} + q_{\tau(3)}, \quad (9)$$

which holds if $\tau \in L$. For $\tau \in T - L$, we know that $\{1, 4\} \cdot \tau = \{2, 3\}, \{1, 4\} \cdot \tau = \{2, 3\}$. Thus if $\tau \in T - L$, (9) is equivalent to $q_1 + q_4 = q_2 + q_3$, the equation which the elements of Q satisfy.

(2) If $(q, \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T, (s, \tau') \in S \rtimes L$, then we have $(q, \tau)(s, \tau')(q, \tau)^{-1} = (q + \tau \cdot s + \tau\tau'\tau^{-1} \cdot q, \tau\tau'\tau^{-1}) \in S \rtimes L$ from $\tau \cdot s \in S, \tau\tau'\tau^{-1} \in L$, and the fact $q + \sigma \cdot q \in S$ for $\sigma \in L$, which we have proved above. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T \triangleright S \rtimes L$. A similar argument implies $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T \triangleright Q \rtimes L$.

From the index $|\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T : Q \rtimes T| = 2$, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T \triangleright Q \rtimes T$.

(3) The order $|R| = 4$. There exist two subgroups $S \rtimes T, Q \rtimes L$ of $Q \rtimes T$ greater than $S \rtimes L$. Thus R is not the cyclic group of order 4, and so $R \cong D_2$.

(4) The element ζ_2 is conjugate to only itself, since $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T \triangleright Q \rtimes L$. To show that ζ_1 is conjugate to ζ_3 , it is sufficient to show that $S \rtimes T$ is not a normal subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T$. Take $(p, \sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 - Q$, and $(s, \tau) \in S \rtimes T$ with $\tau \in S - L$. Then $(p, \sigma)(s, \tau)(p, \sigma)^{-1} = (p + \sigma \cdot s + \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} \cdot p, \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}) \notin S \rtimes T$, since $p + \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} \cdot p \notin S$ by (1). This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Remark 8. $(\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T)/(S \rtimes L)$ is isomorphic to D_4 the dihedral group of order 8.

By the definition of R ,

$$\begin{aligned} (q, \tau) \in \zeta_1 \text{ or } \in \zeta_3 &\Rightarrow \tau \neq \text{id} \\ (q, \tau) \in \zeta_2 \text{ or } \in \zeta_3 &\Rightarrow q \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This property is the key of the proof of Theorem 8.

An element $g \in G_0$ is expressed as

$$g = t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \cdots t_m^{n_m}, t_i \in \{A, B, C_k (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)\}, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We write $|g|_A = \sum_{t_i=A} n_i$ and $|g|_B = \sum_{t_i=B} n_i$. Consider homomorphisms $\mu_A : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mu_B : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $\mu_A(g) = |g|_A \pmod 2$ and $\mu_B(g) = |g|_B \pmod 2$.

The homomorphisms μ_A and μ_B are naturally extended to $\mu_A, \mu_B : G_k \rightarrow M(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Word}(k))$. It is easily seen that the image of $f_r^* : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ is included in $G_0^4 \rtimes T$, and that the images of $\mu_A \circ f_r^*, \mu_B \circ f_r^* : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T$ are included in $Q \rtimes T$.

Consider $\mu_A \times \mu_B : f_r^*(G_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T)$, and let $\pi : (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \rightarrow D_4 \times D_4$ be the projection. Then $\pi \circ (\mu_A \times \mu_B) \circ f_r^*$ sends

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto ((1, 1, 0, 0), (12)(34)) \times ((0, 0, 0, 0), (12)(34)) \mapsto (\zeta_3, \zeta_1) \\ B &\mapsto ((0, 0, 0, 0), (12)(34)) \times ((0, 0, 1, 1), (12)(34)) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \zeta_3) \\ C_0 &\mapsto ((0, 0, 0, 0), (14)) \times ((1, 0, 0, 1), (14)) \mapsto (e, e) \\ C_k &\mapsto ((0, 0, 0, 0), \text{id}) \times ((0, 0, 0, 0), \text{id}) \mapsto (e, e), k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since the kernel of $\mu_A \oplus \mu_B : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the normal subgroup of G_0 generated by

$$\{A^2, B^2, (AB)^2, C_k | k = 0, 1, \dots\},$$

we obtain the homomorphism $\tilde{f}_r : \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T/S \rtimes L) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T/S \rtimes L) \cong D_4 \times D_4$ reduced from $f_r^* : G_0 \rightarrow G_0^4 \rtimes T \subset G_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{f_r^*} & G_0^4 \rtimes T \\ \mu_A \oplus \mu_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \times \mu_B \\ & & (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_r} & D_4 \times D_4 \end{array}$$

The image of \tilde{f}_r is included in $(Q \rtimes T/S \rtimes L) \times (Q \rtimes T/S \rtimes L) = R \times R$, and \tilde{f}_r sends $(1, 0)$ to (ζ_3, ζ_1) , $(0, 1)$ to (ζ_1, ζ_3) , (and so $(1, 1)$ to (ζ_2, ζ_2)).

Let $r' = (l'_i)$ be a radial for f other than r . Then $f_{r'}^*(g) = h f_r^*(g) h^{-1}$ for some $h \in G_0^4 \rtimes \{\text{id}\}$ as seen in Section 6.1. From $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T \supset Q \rtimes T$, we also have a similar commutative diagram as above for $f_{r'}^*$, namely, we reduce $f_{r'}^*$ to $\tilde{f}_{r'} : \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow D_4 \times D_4$, which is obtained by

$$\tilde{f}_{r'}(x) = (\mu_A(h)k_1\mu_A(h^{-1}), \mu_B(h)k_2\mu_B(h^{-1})),$$

where $\tilde{f}_r(x) = (k_1, k_2)$. By 4 of Claim 1, we have only four possibilities of the behavior of $\tilde{f}_{r'}$:

Case 1. $(1, 0) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \zeta_3), (0, 1) \mapsto (\zeta_3, \zeta_1)$

Case 2. $(1, 0) \mapsto (\zeta_3, \zeta_3), (0, 1) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \zeta_1)$

Case 3. $(1, 0) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \zeta_1), (0, 1) \mapsto (\zeta_3, \zeta_3)$

Case 4. $(1, 0) \mapsto (\zeta_3, \zeta_1), (0, 1) \mapsto (\zeta_1, \zeta_3)$

Now (10) means:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_X \circ f_{r'}^*(g) \in \zeta_1 \text{ or } \in \zeta_3 &\Rightarrow \alpha_f(g) \neq \text{id} \\ \mu_X \circ f_{r'}^*(g) \in \zeta_2 \text{ or } \in \zeta_3 &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), \mu_X \circ \beta_{r',i}(g) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $X \in \{A, B\}$.

Claim 2. In each case, we can find $g \in G_0$ such that for any $k > 0$ there exists $w \in \text{Word}(k)$ satisfying $\beta_{r',w}(g) \notin \ker(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)$.

Proof of Claim 2.

Case 1 and 4. By (11),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (1, 0) &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(\beta_{r',i}(g)) \neq (0, 0) \\ (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (0, 1) &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(\beta_{r',i}(g)) \neq (0, 0) \\ (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (1, 1) &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(\beta_{r',i}(g)) \neq (0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence any $g \notin \ker(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)$ satisfies the required property.

Case 2. By (11),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (1, 0) &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), \beta_{r',i}(g) \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\} \\ (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (1, 1) &\Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{Word}(1), \beta_{r',i}(g) \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence any $g \in (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)^{-1}(\{(1, 0), (1, 1)\})$ satisfies the required property.

The remaining case is similar to Case 2. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3. The homomorphism $\mu_A \oplus \mu_B : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ breaks up into $G_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_\infty} \hat{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof of Claim 3. We return to the original radial r , whose behavior is Case 4.

First note that if $g \in \ker \alpha_f$, then $\pi \circ (\mu_A \times \mu_B) \circ f_r^*(g) \neq (\zeta_1, \zeta_3), (\zeta_3, \zeta_1)$ by (11), and so $(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) = (0, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$.

We show $\ker \alpha_\infty \subset \ker(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)$. Take $g \in \ker \alpha_\infty$, and assume $(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g) \neq (0, 0)$. Then $g_w := \beta_{r,w}(g) \in \ker \alpha_f$ and

$$(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g_w) = (0, 0) \text{ or } (1, 1) \quad (12)$$

for any w ; by Claim 2, for any $k \geq 0$ there exists $w(k) \in \text{Word}(k)$ such that

$$(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)(g_{w(k)}) = (1, 1). \quad (13)$$

By (5) to (8), there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $k \geq k_0$ and $w \in \text{Word}(k)$, then $g_w \in \mathcal{H} := \langle A, B \rangle$. Fix $k \geq k_0$. From (12)

$$g_w \in \mathcal{H}_0 := \langle A^2, B^2, AB \rangle = \mathcal{H} \cap (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B)^{-1}(\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}).$$

We can deduce a contradiction that $g_{iw(k)} \notin \mathcal{H}_0$ for $i \in \text{Word}(1)$. Indeed, let \mathcal{H}_1 be the normal subgroup of \mathcal{H} generated by $\{A^2, B^2\}$. Then $\mu_A(\mathcal{H}_1) = \mu_B(\mathcal{H}_1) = 0$, and $f_r^*(\mathcal{H}_1) = \{e\}$. The quotient group $\mathcal{H}_0/\mathcal{H}_1$ is the cyclic group generated by $\{AB\mathcal{H}_1\}$. By (13), $g_{w(k)} \in (AB)^m\mathcal{H}_1$ with m odd. Observe $f_r^*(g_{w(k)}) = f_r^*((AB)^m) = A^m(1, 1) + A^{-m}(2, 2) + B^m(3, 3) + B^{-m}(4, 4)$. Thus the proof of Claim 3 is completed.

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{f_r^*} & G_0^4 \rtimes T \\ \alpha_\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{G}_0 & \xrightarrow{f_r^*} & \hat{G}_0^4 \rtimes T \\ \downarrow \widehat{\mu_A \oplus \mu_B} & & \downarrow \widehat{\mu_A \times \mu_B} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_r} & (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes T) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & D_4 \times D_4 \end{array}$$

Now for any radial r' , there exists $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_0$ such that for any $k > 0$ there exists $w \in \text{Word}(k)$ satisfying $\beta_{r', w}(\hat{g}) \neq e$, where e is the identity element of \hat{G}_0 . Indeed, let g be as in Claim 2, and $\hat{g} = \alpha_\infty(g)$. From Lemma 12, $\phi_{r'}$ is not one-to-one. □

Theorem 9. *There exists $\bar{f} \in S_d - T_d$ for $d \geq 4$.*

We use the Thurston type theorem for a characterization of subhyperbolic rational maps.

Definition 17. Let $g : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a topological branched covering. Let P_g be the set of the points in the critical orbits. We say g is a *subhyperbolic semi-rational* map if $\overline{P_g} - P_g$ is a finite set, g is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{P_g} - P_g$, and every periodic points in $\overline{P_g} - P_g$ is (super)attracting.

We say two subhyperbolic semi-rational maps g_1, g_2 are *c-equivalent* to each other if there exist two homeomorphisms $\phi, \psi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying: (1) $\phi \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ \psi$, (2) $\phi = \psi$ and is holomorphic on a neighborhood U of $\overline{P_{g_1}} - P_{g_1}$, (3) $\phi = \psi$ on P_{g_1} , and (4) ϕ and ψ are isotopic to each other rel $P_{g_1} \cup U$.

Theorem 10. (Zhang-Jiang [23], Cui-Tan [6]) *Let g be a subhyperbolic semi-rational map with $\#P_g = \infty$. The map g is c-equivalent to a rational map if and only if g has no Thurston obstruction.*

Corollary 3. *If g is a subhyperbolic semi-rational map without homotopically invariant curve, then g is c -equivalent to a hyperbolic rational map whose Julia set is Cantor.*

Proof of Theorem 9. We construct \bar{f} by modifying f above. Let $a = ic$ with $c > 0$ large again. Then $[\sqrt{1+1/2y}, \infty)$ is included in $A_0(\infty)$ the attracting basin of ∞ . Take two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sqrt{1+1/2y} < z_1 < z_2$ and $d-3$ smooth functions $h_i : [z_1, z_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, d-3$ such that $h_i(z_1) = h_i(z_2) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d-3$), $h_i(x) < h_{i+1}(x)$ ($z_1 < x < z_2, i = 1, 2, \dots, d-4$), and $\{x + iy : z_1 \leq x \leq z_2, h_1(x) \leq y \leq h_{d-3}(x)\} \subset A_0(\infty)$. Let $E_i = \{x + iy : z_1 \leq x \leq z_2, h_i(x) \leq y \leq h_{i+1}(x)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d-4$). Define a branched covering g of degree d with critical set $\text{Cr}_g = \text{Cr}_f \cup \{z_1, z_2\}$ satisfying $g(\partial E_i) = f([z_1, z_2])$, $g|_{\text{int} E_i} : \text{int} E_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - f([z_1, z_2])$ is homeomorphic, and $g|_{\hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bigcup_i E_i} = f \circ \beta$, where $\beta : \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bigcup_i E_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - [z_1, z_2]$ is a homeomorphism which is the identity outside a small neighborhood of $\bigcup_i E_i$.

By Corollary 3, there exists a rational map \bar{f} c -equivalent to g with $J_{\bar{f}}$ Cantor. Let $r = (l_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,d}$ be a radial for \bar{f} . If the coding map $\phi_r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J_{\bar{f}}$ is one-to-one, then we have a radial r' for f with $\phi_{r'} : \Sigma_d \rightarrow J_f$ is one-to-one. Indeed, we can assume that $x_i = l_i(1) \in \mathbb{C} - \bigcup_i E_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $x_i \in E_{i-4}$ for $i = 5, 6, \dots, d$. Moreover we can assume that $l_i \subset \mathbb{C} - \bigcup_i E_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, since $\bigcup_i E_i$ does not intersect $P_{\bar{f}}$. Define a radial $r' = (l'_i)_{i=1,2,3,4}$ for f which is derived from $(l_i)_{i=1,2,3,4}$. It is easy to see that if ϕ_r is one-to-one, so is $\phi_{r'}$. \square

Appendix

In Appendix, we describe a generalization of Section 3. We state a version of Theorem 1 without the assumption $J \cap \mathbf{Cr} = \emptyset$. We omit the proof, most of which are parallel to Theorem 1. The detail is left to the reader.

Definition 18. Let X be a topological space. We say that a continuous mapping $a : X \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is *tiny* if there exist $p \in J$ and a homotopy $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} - (P - \{p\})$ between a and a constant mapping p . In particular, a homotopically trivial mapping is tiny. We say that p is the *center* of a . If a is tiny and homotopically nontrivial, then the choice of p is unique and $p \in P$.

A subset $X \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be tiny if the inclusion map is tiny.

Definition 19. Set $P' = \bar{P} - \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{-k}(\text{Pa})$. Let Ω be an expanding domain.

A closed curve $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \Omega - P'$ is *homotopically invariant* if γ is homotopically nontrivial, and there exists a lifted loop $\gamma' : S^1 \rightarrow \Omega - P'$ of γ by f^k for some $k > 0$ and there exists a homotopy $H : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega - P'$ between γ and γ' such that for $s \in S^1$, if $H(s, t_0) \in P$ for some $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, then $H(s, t) = H(s, t_0)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 20. For a subset $U \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $p \in P$, we say that p is *weakly contained* in U if either $p \in U$ or p is the center of some tiny topological disc D satisfying $p \in D$ and $\partial D \subset U$.

Theorem 11. *Let f be a geometrically finite rational map of degree d . Take an expanding domain Ω . The following are equivalent.*

1. f is Cantor.
2. Every connected component of J is tiny.
3. $F \neq \emptyset$, and every homotopically invariant curve is tiny.
4. There exists $n > 0$ such that for any closed curve $\gamma \subset \Omega - P$, any lifted loop of γ by f^{-n} is tiny.
5. There exists $n > 0$ such that any connected component of $f^{-n}(\Omega)$ is tiny.
6. There exists a solitary fixed point p such that every postcritical value is weakly contained in $A_0(p)$.
7. There exists a solitary fixed point p , and every critical value is weakly contained in the basin of attraction $A(p)$, and at least $2d - 4$ critical values counted with multiplicity are weakly contained in the immediate basin of attraction $A_0(p)$.

Theorem 12. *Let f be a geometrically finite rational map with $\text{Pa} \cap P = \emptyset$. Take an expanding domain Ω . The following are equivalent.*

1. f is Cantor.
2. The quotient group $\pi_1(\Omega - P, \bar{x}) / \ker \alpha_\infty$ is a finite group.
3. There exists a neighborhood K of J such that $K - P$ is arcwise-connected and $\pi_1(K - P, \bar{x}) / \ker \alpha_\infty$ is a finite group.

Remark 9. Let f be a geometrically finite rational map. If J is Cantor, then F contains at least two critical values. Indeed, for a solitary fixed point p , take U_k as in Definition 8. If every U_k has at most one critical value, then every U_k is simply connected, and so J is not Cantor.

Conversely, for $d \geq 2$, there exists a rational map of degree d such that J is Cantor and F contains exactly two critical values of degree two.

Remark 10. In 3 of Theorem 11, the condition $F \neq \emptyset$ is necessary. We give an example of a subhyperbolic rational map with $F = \emptyset$ such that every homotopically invariant curve γ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is tiny.

Let $\alpha = 1 + 2i$ and define $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(z) = \alpha z$. Consider the quotient space $S = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \langle z \mapsto -z, z \mapsto z + 1, z \mapsto z + i \rangle$. Then g descends to $\bar{f} : S \rightarrow S$ by $\bar{f}([z]) = [g(z)]$. We have a bijection $h : S \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with singularity at $[0], [1/2], [i/2], [(1+i)/2]$ such that $f = h \circ \bar{f} \circ h^{-1}$ is a rational map. The map h is induced from the Weierstrass \wp function for the lattice $\langle 1, i \rangle$. For example, we have $f(z) = z(z^2 - \alpha)^2 / (\alpha z^2 - 1)^2$. We can see that $P = \{0, \pm 1, \infty\}$ consists of fixed points with multiplier α^2 , and $J = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then every homotopically invariant curve γ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is tiny.

References

- [1] Laurent Bartholdi, Rostislav Grigorchuk, and Volodymyr Nekrashevych. From fractal groups to fractal sets. In *Fractals in Graz 2001*, Trends Math., pages 25–118. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [2] Paul Blanchard, Robert L. Devaney, and Linda Keen. The dynamics of complex polynomials and automorphisms of the shift. *Invent. Math.*, 104:545–580, 1991.
- [3] Bodil Branner and Núria Fagella. *Quasiconformal Surgery in Holomorphic Dynamics*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 141. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [4] Bodil Branner and John H. Hubbard. The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. the global topology of parameter space. *Acta Math.*, 160:143–206, 1988.
- [5] Bodil Branner and John H. Hubbard. The iteration of cubic polynomials. II. patterns and parapatterns. *Acta Math.*, 169:229–325, 1992.
- [6] Guizhen Cui and Lei Tan. A characterization of hyperbolic rational maps. *Invent. Math.*, 183:451–516, 2011.
- [7] Laura DeMarco and Kevin M. Pilgrim. Polynomial basins of infinity. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 21:920–950, 2011.
- [8] P. Fatou. Sur les équations fonctionnelles. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 47:161–271, 1919.
- [9] Lisa R. Goldberg and Linda Keen. The mapping class group of a generic quadratic rational map and automorphisms of the 2-shift. *Invent. Math.*, 101:335–372, 1990.
- [10] Gaston Julia. Mémoire sur l’itération des fonctions rationnelles. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 1:47–246, 1918.
- [11] A. Kameyama. The Thurston equivalence for postcritically finite branched coverings. *Osaka J. Math.*, 38:565–610, 2001.
- [12] A. Kameyama. On Julia sets of postcritically finite branched coverings Part I – coding of Julia sets. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 55:439–454, 2003.
- [13] A. Kameyama. Coding and tiling of Julia sets for subhyperbolic rational maps. *Adv. Math.*, 200:217 – 244, 2006.
- [14] R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan. On the dynamics of rational maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 16:193–217, 1983.
- [15] Curtis T. McMullen and Dennis P. Sullivan. Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics III. the Teichmüller space of a holomorphic dynamical system. *Advances in Mathematics*, 135:351–395, 1998.

- [16] Edwin E. Moise. *Geometric Topology in Dimensiond 2 and 3*, volume 47 of *Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [17] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. *Self-similar Groups*, volume 117 of *Mathematical Suveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [18] Feliks Przytycki. Hausdorff dimension of harmonic measure on the boundary of an attractive basin for a holomorphic map. *Invent. Math.*, 80:161–179, 1985.
- [19] Feliks Przytycki. Riemann map and holomorphic dynamics. *Invent. Math.*, 85:439–455, 1986.
- [20] WeiYuan Qiu and YongCheng Yin. Proof of the Branner-Hubbard conjecture on Cantor Julia sets. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 52:45–65, 2009.
- [21] Tan Lei and Yin Yongcheng. Local connectivity of the julia set for geometrically finite rational maps. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 39:39–47, 1996.
- [22] Yu Zhai. A generalized version of Branner-Hubbard conjecture for rational functions. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 26:2199–2208, 2010.
- [23] Gaofei Zhang and Yunping Jiang. Combinatorial characterization of sub-hyperbolic rational maps. *Adv. Math.*, 221:1990–2018, 2009.