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#### Abstract

A polynomial $A(q)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} q^{i}$ is said to be unimodal if $a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq$ $\cdots \leq a_{k} \geq a_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n}$. We investigate the unimodality of rational $q$-Catalan polynomials, which is defined to be $C_{m, n}(q)=\frac{1}{[n+m]}\left[\begin{array}{c}m+n \\ n\end{array}\right]_{q}$ for a coprime pair of positive integers $(m, n)$. We conjecture that they are unimodal with respect to parity, or equivalently, $(1+q) C_{m+n}(q)$ is unimodal. By using generating functions and the constant term method, we verify our conjecture for $m \leq 5$ in a straightforward way.
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## 1. Introduction

We will consider the unimodality of some symmetric polynomials. A sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is said to be symmetric if $a_{i}=a_{n-i}$ for all $i$. It is said to be unimodal if there is a $k$ such that $a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{k} \geq a_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n}$. It is said to be unimodal with respect to parity if $a_{0}, a_{2}, \ldots$ and $a_{1}, a_{3}, \ldots$ are both unimodal. A polynomial $P(q)=a_{0}+a_{1} q+\cdots+a_{n} q^{n}$ of degree $n$ is said to be symmetric (resp., unimodal) if its coefficient sequence $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is symmetric (resp., unimodal).

Stanley gave a nice survey [22] on various methods for showing that a sequence is unimodal (or log-concave which we will not discuss here). A classical example is the following.

Theorem 1. The Gaussian polynomial $G_{m, n}(q)$ is symmetric and unimodal, where

$$
G_{m, n}(q)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n \\
m
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{[m+n]!}{[m]![n]!},
$$

with the classical $q$-notation $[n]=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q},[n]!=[n][n-1] \cdots[1]$.
This important result has many proofs. See $[4,6,7,17,19,22,29,30]$. It is an outstanding open question to find an explicit order matching proof for the unimodality. Though the Gaussian polynomials have been extensively studied, the closely related $q$-Catalan polynomials are less studied.

The $q$-Catalan polynomials (or numbers) $C_{n}(q)$ we are discussing here are defined by

$$
C_{n}(q)=\frac{1}{[n+1]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 n \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{[2 n]!}{[n+1]![n]!}
$$

It starts with $C_{0}(q)=C_{1}(q)=1, C_{2}(q)=1+q^{2}, C_{3}(q)=1+q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}+q^{6}$, $C_{4}(q)=1+q^{2}+q^{3}+2 q^{4}+q^{5}+2 q^{6}+q^{7}+2 q^{8}+q^{9}+q^{10}+q^{12}$. Clearly, one sees that $C_{n}(q)$ is symmetric, but not unimodal. However, we have the following conjecture.

[^0]Conjecture 2. The $q$-Catalan polynomials $C_{n}(q)$ are unimodal with respect to parity.
We find that Conjecture 2 can be extended for rational $q$-Catalan polynomials. For a pair $(m, n)$ of positive integers, define

$$
C_{m, n}(q)=\frac{1}{[n+m]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{1}{[m]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n-1 \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q} .
$$

When $m$ and $n$ are coprime to each other, i.e., $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1, C_{m, n}(q)$ is known to be in $\mathbb{N}[q]$ (a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients), and is called the ( $m, n$ ) rational $q$-Catalan polynomials (or $q$-Catalan numbers). See, e.g., [13].

Conjecture 3. For a coprime pair of positive integers $(m, n)$, the ( $m, n$ )-rational $q$ Catalan polynomials $C_{m, n}(q)$ are unimodal with respect to parity.

When $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)>1, C_{m, n}(q)$ is usually not a polynomial, while it has been shown that $\bar{C}_{m, n}(q)=[\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)] C_{m, n}(q)$ is in $\mathbb{N}[q]$. See, e.g., $[1,9,12]$.

Conjecture 4. For a pair of positive integers $(m, n)$, the polynomial $\bar{C}_{m, n}(q)$ is unimodal with respect to parity.

Conjecture 4 includes Conjecture 3 as a special case, since $\bar{C}_{m, n}(q)$ reduces to $C_{m, n}(q)$ when $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. We state the latter separately because the combinatorial meaning of $C_{m, n}(q)$ is much more elegant as we will explain later in Section 5. Conjecture 3 includes Conjecture 2 as a special case, since $C_{n+1, n}(q)=C_{n}(q)$. We state the latter separately because $C_{n}(q)$ has a different combinatorial interpretation. See Section 5.

We have verified Conjecture 4 for $m, n \leq 180$ by Maple. Observe that $\bar{C}_{m, k m}(q)=$ $[m] C_{m, k m}=\left[\begin{array}{c}k m+m-1 \\ k m\end{array}\right]_{q}$, which by Theorem 1 is indeed unimodal. We will prove this conjecture for $m \leq 5$. Our method is to compute the corresponding generating functions by means of the constant term method. It turns out that for $m \leq 5$, the positivity is transparent in view of their generating functions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is this introduction. Section 2 introduces the basic concepts and idea for our proof. The unimodality conjectures are translated by using their generating functions. Section 3 tries direct computation, which already becomes complicated for $m=4$. Section 4 uses the constant term method to compute the corresponding generating functions, whose positivity is transparent and hence proves Conjecture 3 for $m \leq 5$. In Section 5, we discuss possible representation approach for settling these conjectures. We also introduce the combinatorial interpretations of $q$-Catalan polynomials.

## 2. Preliminary

A Laurent polynomial $L(q)$ is said to be symmetric if $L\left(q^{-1}\right)=L(q)$, and is said to be anti-symmetric if $L\left(q^{-1}\right)=-L(q)$.

Define the normalization of a polynomial $P(q)$ of degree $n$ by

$$
\mathcal{N} P(q):=\mathcal{N}(P(q))=P\left(q^{2}\right) q^{-n}, \quad \mathcal{N}(0)=0
$$

Then the symmetry of $P(q)$ (i.e., $P(q)=q^{n} P\left(q^{-1}\right)$ ) is transformed to the more natural symmetry of the Laurent polynomial $\mathcal{N} P(q)$. The following properties are easy to verify and will be used without mentioning:
(1) For polynomials $P(q)$ and $Q(q)$, we have $\mathcal{N}(P(q) Q(q))=\mathcal{N}(P(q)) \mathcal{N}(Q(q))$.
(2) The product of two symmetric Laurent polynomials is still symmetric.
(3) If $L_{1}\left(q^{-1}\right)=L_{1}(q)$ and $L_{2}\left(q^{-1}\right)=-L_{2}(q)$, then $\left.L_{1}(q) L_{2}(q)\right|_{q=q^{-1}}=-L_{1}(q) L_{2}(q)$.

We will also use the following linear operators on Laurent polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{PT} \sum_{i} a_{i} q^{i}=\sum_{i>0} a_{i} q^{i}, \quad \text { (extracting the positive exponent terms) } \\
& \mathrm{CT} \sum_{i} a_{i} q^{i}=a_{0}, \quad \text { (extracting the constant term) } \\
& \mathrm{NT}_{q} \sum_{i} a_{i} q^{i}=\sum_{i<0} a_{i} q^{i}, \quad \text { (extracting the negative exponent terms) }
\end{aligned}
$$

These operators clearly extend to $\mathbb{Q}\left[q, q^{-1}\right][[x]]$, the ring of power series in $x$ with coefficients Laurent polynomials in $q$. Indeed, they act coefficient wise in $x$.

The following lemma is transparent.
Lemma 5. Suppose $P(q)$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree $n$. Then
(1) $P(q)$ is unimodal if and only if $P T_{q} \mathcal{N}((q-1) P(q)) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$.
(2) $P(q)$ is unimodal with respect to parity if and only if

$$
\underset{q}{P T \mathcal{N}}\left(q^{2}-1\right) P(q)=\underset{q}{P} T\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{N} P(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q] .
$$

Thus Conjecture 3 can be restated as follows.
Conjecture $\mathbf{3}^{a}$. For a coprime pair of positive integers ( $m, n$ ), the polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{PTN}_{q} T\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{m, n}(q)=P_{q} T\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) C_{m, n}\left(q^{2}\right) q^{-(m-1)(n-1)} \\
& \quad=P_{q} T\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \frac{\left(1-q^{2 m+2}\right)\left(1-q^{2 m+4}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{2 m+2 n-2}\right)}{\left(1-q^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{2 m}\right)} q^{-(m-1)(n-1)} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

has nonnegative integer coefficients.
Our point is that it is usually easier to consider generating functions. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(x, q):=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{N} C_{m, n}(q) x^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the coefficients in $x$ are not always Laurent polynomials in $q$. We take $F_{m}(x, q)$ as an element in $\mathbb{Q}((q))[[x]]$, the ring of power series in $x$ with coefficients Laurent series in $q$.

For integers $m>r \geq 0$, let $X_{m, r}$ be the linear operator acting on $\mathbb{Q}(q)[[x]]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m, r} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n}(q) x^{n}=\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k m+r}(q) x^{k} . \quad \text { (extracting the terms with special exponents) } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=1, X_{m, r} F_{m}(x, q) \in \mathbb{Q}\left[q, q^{-1}\right][[x]]$.
Then Conjecture $3^{a}$ is transformed as follows.

Conjecture $3^{b}$. Let $m>r$ be positive integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=1$. Then

$$
P_{q} T X_{m, r} F_{m}(x, q)=X_{m, r} P_{q} T F_{m}(x, q)
$$

is a power series in $x$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q]$.
The case $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=d$ is a little complicated. We need to consider the generating function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{N}\left(\left(q^{2}-1\right)[d] C_{m, k m+r}(q)\right) x^{k} & =\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{N}\left(C_{m, k m+r}(q)\right) x^{k} \\
& =\frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}} X_{m, r} \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{N}\left(C_{m, n}(q)\right) x^{n} \\
& =\frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}} X_{m, r} F_{m}(x, q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Conjecture 4 can be transformed as follows.
Conjecture $4^{b}$. Let $m>r$ be nonnegative integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=d$. Then

$$
P_{q} T X_{m, r} \frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{m}(x, q)=X_{m, r} P_{q} T \frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{m}(x, q)
$$

is a power series in $x$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q]$.
We remark that $\frac{q^{d}-q^{-d}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{m}(x, q)$ is a power series in $x$ with coefficient Laurent series in $q$, so we need to extend the $\mathrm{PT}_{q}$ operator. See Section 4.

To our surprise, $F_{m}(x, q)$ has a product formula as follows.
Proposition 6. For any positive integer $m$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(x, q)=\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)}{\left(q^{m}-q^{-m}\right)} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x q^{1-m} \cdot q^{2 i}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proposition is indeed a consequence of the following well-known identity. See, e.g., [23, pp. 272].

$$
\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x q)\left(1-x q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-x q^{m}\right)}=\sum_{n>0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n  \tag{5}\\
m
\end{array}\right]_{q} x^{n}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n>0} q^{\frac{-(n-1)(m-1)-2}{2}}\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{m, n}(q) x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n>0} q^{\frac{-(n-1)(m-1)-2}{2}}\left(q^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-q}{1-q^{m}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n-1 \\
m-1
\end{array}\right]_{q} x^{n} \\
& =q^{\frac{m-3}{2}}\left(q^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-q}{1-q^{m}} \sum_{n>0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
m+n-1 \\
m-1
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left(q^{\frac{-(m-1)}{2}} x\right)^{n} \\
& =q^{\frac{m-3}{2}}\left(q^{2}-1\right) \frac{1-q}{1-q^{m}} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x q^{\frac{-(m-1)}{2}} q^{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{m}(x, q) & =\sum_{n>0}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \mathcal{N} C_{m, n}(q) x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n>0}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) C_{m, n}\left(q^{2}\right) q^{-(m-1)(n-1)} x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n>0} q^{-(n-1)(m-1)-2}\left(q^{4}-1\right) C_{m, n}\left(q^{2}\right) x^{n} \\
& =q^{m-3}\left(q^{4}-1\right) \frac{1-q^{2}}{1-q^{2 m}} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x q^{1-m} \cdot q^{2 i}\right)} \\
& =\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)}{\left(q^{m}-q^{-m}\right)} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x q^{1-m} \cdot q^{2 i}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Direct computation

Conjecture 3 can be verified directly for $m=3$, but already becomes complicated for $m=4$.
3.1. The case $m=3$. In this case, we have the following explicit expansion.

Proposition 7. We have

$$
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{3, n}(q)= \begin{cases}q^{3 k+1}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{-(3 i+1)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{3 i+1}\right), & \text { if } n=3 k+1  \tag{1}\\ q^{3 k+2}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{-(3 i+2)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{3 i+2}\right), & \text { if } n=3 k+2\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, Conjecture 3 holds true for $m=3$.

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{3, n}(q) & =\frac{\left(q^{2}-1\right)(1-q)}{1-q^{n+3}} \frac{\left(1-q^{n+1}\right)\left(1-q^{n+2}\right)\left(1-q^{n+3}\right)}{(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right)} \\
& =-\frac{\left(1-q^{n+1}\right)\left(1-q^{n+2}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $n=3 k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{3,3 k+1}(q) & =-\frac{\left(1-q^{3 k+2}\right)\left(1-q^{3 k+3}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)} \\
& =\left(q^{3 k+2}-1\right)\left(1+q^{3}+q^{6}+\cdots+q^{3 k}\right) \\
& =q^{3 k+1}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{-(3 i+1)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{3 i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Conjecture 3 for $(m, n)=(3,3 k+1)$.

When $n=3 k+2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{3,3 k+2}(q) & =-\frac{\left(1-q^{3 k+3}\right)\left(1-q^{3 k+4}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)} \\
& =\left(q^{3 k+4}-1\right)\left(1+q^{3}+q^{6}+\cdots+q^{3 k}\right) \\
& =q^{3 k+2}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{-(3 i+2)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k} q^{3 i+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Conjecture 3 for $(m, n)=(3,3 k+2)$.
3.2. The case $m=4$. This case is already complicated. We have

$$
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{4, n}(q)=-\frac{\left(1-q^{n+1}\right)\left(1-q^{n+2}\right)\left(1-q^{n+3}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}
$$

We can have explicit polynomial representation, but that will not help to prove our conjecture. For instance, if $n=12 k+1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{4,12 k+1}(q) & =-\frac{\left(1-q^{12 k+2}\right)\left(1-q^{12 k+3}\right)\left(1-q^{12 k+4}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)} \\
& =\left(q^{12 k+2}-1\right) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{4 k} q^{3 i} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{3 k} q^{4 j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is unclear why its coefficients in $q^{r}$ is negative for $r \leq \frac{3 n-1}{2}$.

## 4. The generating function method

4.1. Basic idea. We illustrate the idea by redoing the case $m=3, n=3 k+1$. Consider the generating function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(q-q^{-1}\right) q^{-3 k} C_{3,3 k+1}(q) x^{k} & =\sum_{k \geq 0}-q^{-3 k-1} \frac{\left(1-q^{3 k+2}\right)\left(1-q^{3 k+3}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3}\right)} x^{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-q^{3}} \sum_{k \geq 0}\left(-q^{-3 k-1}+q+q^{2}-q^{3 k+4}\right) x^{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-q^{3}}\left(-\frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{-3} x}+\frac{q^{2}+q}{1-x}-\frac{q^{4}}{1-q^{3} x}\right) \\
& =\frac{q(1-q)(1+q)\left(x+q+q^{2} x\right)}{(1-x)\left(x-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking partial fraction decompositions in $q$, we obtain:

$$
\frac{q(1-q)(1+q)\left(x+q+q^{2} x\right)}{(1-x)\left(x-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)}=\frac{q^{2}}{\left(q^{3}-x\right)(x-1)}+\frac{q}{\left(q^{3} x-1\right)(x-1)}
$$

When expanding as a power series in $x$, the first term has only negative powers in $q$ and the second term has only positive powers in $q$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{q^{2}}{\left(q^{3}-x\right)(x-1)} & =\frac{-q^{-1}}{\left(1-q^{-3} x\right)(1-x)}=-q^{-1}\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(q^{-3} x\right)^{i}\right) \\
\frac{q}{\left(q^{3} x-1\right)(x-1)} & =q\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(q^{3} x\right)^{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by extracting positive powers in $q$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{k \geq 0} \operatorname{PT}_{q}\left(q-q^{-1}\right) q^{-3 k} C_{3,3 k+1}(q) x^{k}=\frac{q}{\left(q^{3} x-1\right)(x-1)} \in \mathbb{N}[q][[x]]
$$

Conjecture $3^{b}$ thus holds for the case $(m, r)=(3,1)$.
The case $(m, r)=(3,2)$ can be done similarly.
Extracting positive powers in $q$ of a general class of rational series can be done systematically by the constant term method. The resulting rational function turns out to be trivially positive for $m \leq 5$.
4.2. The constant term method. Constant term extraction or residue computation has a long history. See, e.g., [26] for further references. The fundamental problem we are concerned here is to compute the constant term of in a set of variables of a formal series in the field of iterated Laurent series $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \cdots\left(\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$, which is called the working field. The reader is referred to [9] for the original development of the field of iterated Laurent series. Here we only recall that $K$ defines a total ordering $0<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}<1$ on the variables (more precisely, a total group order on its monomials), which can be formally treated as $0 \ll x_{1} \ll x_{2} \ll \cdots \ll x_{n} \ll 1$. Every rational function has a unique series expansion in $K$. We will focus on the class of Elliott-rational functions, which are rational functions whose denominators are the product of binomials. It is known that the constant term of an Elliott-rational function is still an Elliott-rational function. Efficient algorithms have been developed to evaluate this type of constant terms, such as the Omega Mathematica package [2, 3], Ell Maple package [27] developed from Algebraic Combinatorics. See [25] for further references on algorithmic development from Computational Geometry and Algebraic Combinatorics.

We will use the first author's (updated) Ell2 Maple package. We use a list xvar = $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ to specify the working field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \cdots\left(\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$. Let var $=\left[x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{s}}\right]$ be a list of variables to be eliminated, then the constant term of an Elliott-rational function $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$

$$
\underset{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{s}}}{\mathrm{CT}} F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

can be evaluated by the command $E \_O e q W(F, x v a r, v a r)$ after loading the Ell2 package.
In what follows, we always specify the working field $K$ by letting $0<x<q<\lambda<1$. This $K$ includes all the rings, such as $\mathbb{Q}((q))[[x]]$, appear below as a subring. Firstly, we shall explain how to realize the $\mathrm{PT}_{q}$ and $X_{m, r}$ operators by the constant term operator.

For anti-symmetric Laurent polynomials $L(q)$, we have $\mathrm{PT}_{q} L(q)=-\left.\mathrm{NT}_{q} L(q)\right|_{q=q^{-1}}$. For anti-symmetric $F(x, q) \in \mathbb{Q}((q))[[x]], \mathrm{PT}_{q} F(x, q)$ is not in $\mathbb{Q}[q][[x]]$, but $\mathrm{NT}_{q} F(x, q)$
belongs to $\mathbb{Q}\left[q^{-1}\right][[x]]$. It is convenient for us to use

$$
\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F(x, q)=-\left.\underset{q}{\mathrm{NT}} F(x, q)\right|_{q=q^{-1}}
$$

to replace $\mathrm{PT}_{q} F(x, q)$, since they agree when $F(x, q) \in Q\left[q, q^{-1}\right][[x]]$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F(x, q)=-\mathrm{NT}_{q} & \left.F(x, q)\right|_{q=q^{-1}}
\end{array}=-\mathrm{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda q}{1-\lambda q} F(x, \lambda),
$$

The above identities are easily verified for $F(x, q)=q^{i} x^{j}$ and then extended by linearity for arbitrary $F(x, q)$.

Let us redo the $m=3$ case for the sake of clarity. The cases $n=3 k+1$ and $n=3 k+2$ can be done simultaneously. By starting with the formula

$$
F_{3}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}{(1-x)\left(x-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)\left(1-q^{6}\right)},
$$

we compute

$$
G_{3}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F_{3}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2} x}{\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)} .
$$

This clearly belongs to $\mathbb{N}[q][[x]]$, and hence reprove Conjecture $3^{b}$ for $(m, r)=(3,1)$ and $(m, r)=(3,2)$. Indeed, a further step gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3,1} G_{3}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)} \in \mathbb{N}[q][[x]], \\
& X_{3,2} G_{3}(x, q)=\frac{q^{4}}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)} \in \mathbb{N}[q][[x]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The case $n=3 k$ is a little different. We need to compute

$$
H_{3}^{0}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{3}-q^{-3}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{3}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)},
$$

which clearly belongs to $\mathbb{N}[q][[x]]$. This implies the positivity of $X_{3,0} H_{3}^{0}(x, q)$ and hence reproves Conjecture $4^{b}$ for $(m, r)=(3,0)$. Indeed, we have

$$
X_{3,0} H_{3}^{0}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1+q^{2} x+q^{4} x\right)}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)}
$$

4.3. The case $m=4$. We shall establish the following result.

Proposition 8. Conjecture $4^{b}$ holds true for $m=4$.
Proof. We start with the formula

$$
F_{4}(x, q)=-\frac{q^{5}\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}{(x-q)(1-q x)\left(x-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-q^{8}\right)} .
$$

By constant term extraction, we obtain

$$
G_{4}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F_{4}(x, q)=-\frac{x q\left(-q+x^{3}-q x^{2}+q^{2} x-q x^{4}\right)}{(1-q x)\left(1-x q^{3}\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}
$$

which do not show the positivity directly. By applying $X_{4, r}$ for $r=1,3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{4,1} G_{4}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1+q^{6} x+q^{6} x^{2}+q^{12} x^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)\left(1-q^{12} x\right)} \\
& X_{4,3} G_{4}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1+q^{6}+q^{6} x+q^{12} x^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)\left(1-q^{12} x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Conjecture $3^{b}$ for $(m, r)=(4,1),(4,3)$.
For the case $(m, r)=(4,2)$, we need to compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{4}^{2}(x, q)=\mathrm{P}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{2}-q^{-2}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{4}(x, q)=\frac{x q\left(1-x^{4}\right)\left(1-q x+q^{2}-q^{3} x+q^{3} x^{3}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)} \\
X_{4,2} H_{4}^{2}(x, q)=\frac{q^{4}\left(1+q^{2}\right)\left(1+x q^{6}\right)}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)\left(1-q^{12} x\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is clearly in $\mathbb{N}[q][[x]]$.
For the case $(m, r)=(4,0)$, we need to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{4}^{0}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{4}-q^{-4}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{4}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)} \\
& X_{4,0} H_{4}^{0}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1+q^{2} x+q^{4} x+2 x q^{6}+q^{8} x+q^{10} x+q^{12} x^{2}\right)}{(1-x)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)\left(1-q^{12} x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is clearly in $\mathbb{N}[q][[x]]$.

### 4.4. The case $m=5$.

Proposition 9. Conjecture $4^{b}$ holds true for $m=5$.
Proof. We start with the formula

$$
F_{5}(x, q)=-\frac{q^{8}\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}{(1-x)\left(x-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)\left(x-q^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)\left(1-q^{10}\right)} .
$$

By the constant term method, we obtain

$$
G_{5}(x, q)=\mathrm{P}_{q}^{\prime} F_{5}(x, q)=\frac{x q^{2}(1-x)\left(1+x-x^{3}-q^{2} x+q^{2} x^{3}+q^{2} x^{4}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)\left(1-x^{5}\right)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)},
$$

which do not show the positivity directly. By applying $X_{4, r}$ for $r=1,2,3,4$, we obtain

$$
X_{5, r} G_{5}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2} P_{5, r}(x, q)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{10} x\right)\left(1-q^{20} x\right)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{5,1}(x, q) & =1+q^{2} x+q^{2} x^{2}+q^{4} x+q^{4} x^{2}+q^{4} x^{3}+q^{6} x^{2}+2 q^{6} x^{3}+q^{8} x+q^{6} x^{4} \\
& +2 q^{8} x^{2}+q^{8} x^{3}+q^{8} x^{4}+2 q^{10} x^{2}+2 q^{10} x^{3}+q^{12} x+q^{10} x^{4}+q^{12} x^{2} \\
& +2 q^{12} x^{3}+q^{14} x+q^{12} x^{4}+q^{14} x^{2}+q^{14} x^{3}+q^{14} x^{4}+2 q^{16} x^{2}+q^{14} x^{5} \\
& +2 q^{16} x^{3}+q^{16} x^{4}+q^{18} x^{2}+2 q^{18} x^{3}+2 q^{18} x^{4}+q^{20} x^{2}+q^{20} x^{3}+q^{20} x^{4} \\
& +q^{20} x^{5}+q^{22} x^{3}+q^{22} x^{4}+q^{22} x^{5}+q^{24} x^{3}+q^{24} x^{4}+q^{26} x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{5,2}(x, q) & =x+q^{2} x^{2}+q^{4}+q^{2} x^{3}+q^{4} x+q^{4} x^{2}+q^{6} x+q^{6} x^{2}+q^{6} x^{3}+q^{8} x \\
& +q^{6} x^{4}+2 q^{8} x^{2}+2 q^{8} x^{3}+q^{10} x+q^{10} x^{2}+2 q^{10} x^{3}+q^{12} x+q^{10} x^{4} \\
& +2 q^{12} x^{2}+q^{12} x^{3}+q^{12} x^{4}+2 q^{14} x^{2}+2 q^{14} x^{3}+q^{16} x+q^{14} x^{4}+q^{16} x^{2} \\
& +2 q^{16} x^{3}+q^{18} x+q^{16} x^{4}+q^{18} x^{2}+q^{18} x^{3}+q^{18} x^{4}+q^{20} x^{2}+q^{18} x^{5}+2 q^{20} x^{3} \\
& +q^{20} x^{4}+q^{22} x^{2}+q^{22} x^{3}+q^{22} x^{4}+q^{24} x^{4}+q^{24} x^{5}+q^{26} x^{3}, \\
P_{5,3}(x, q)= & x^{2}+q^{2}+q^{2} x+q^{4} x+q^{4} x^{2}+q^{4} x^{3}+q^{6} x+2 q^{6} x^{2}+q^{8}+q^{6} x^{3}+q^{8} x \\
& +q^{8} x^{2}+q^{8} x^{3}+q^{10} x+q^{8} x^{4}+2 q^{10} x^{2}+q^{10} x^{3}+q^{12} x+q^{10} x^{4}+2 q^{12} x^{2} \\
& +2 q^{12} x^{3}+q^{14} x+q^{14} x^{2}+2 q^{14} x^{3}+q^{16} x+q^{14} x^{4}+2 q^{16} x^{2}+q^{16} x^{3}+q^{16} x^{4} \\
& +2 q^{18} x^{2}+2 q^{18} x^{3}+q^{20} x+q^{18} x^{4}+q^{20} x^{2}+q^{20} x^{3}+q^{20} x^{4}+q^{22} x^{3}+q^{22} x^{4} \\
& +q^{24} x^{2}+q^{22} x^{5}+q^{24} x^{3}+q^{26} x^{4}, \\
P_{5,4}(x, q)= & x^{3}+q^{2} x+q^{2} x^{2}+q^{4}+q^{4} x+q^{4} x^{2}+q^{6}+q^{6} x+q^{6} x^{2}+q^{6} x^{3}+2 q^{8} x+2 q^{8} x^{2} \\
+ & q^{8} x^{3}+q^{10} x+2 q^{10} x^{2}+q^{12}+2 q^{10} x^{3}+q^{12} x+q^{12} x^{2}+q^{12} x^{3}+q^{14} x+q^{12} x^{4} \\
+ & 2 q^{14} x^{2}+q^{14} x^{3}+q^{16} x+q^{14} x^{4}+2 q^{16} x^{2}+2 q^{16} x^{3}+q^{18} x+q^{18} x^{2}+2 q^{18} x^{3} \\
+ & q^{20} x+q^{18} x^{4}+2 q^{20} x^{2}+q^{20} x^{3}+q^{22} x^{2}+q^{22} x^{3}+q^{22} x^{4}+q^{24} x^{3}+q^{24} x^{4}+q^{26} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Conjecture $3^{b}$ for $m=5$ and $r=1,2,3,4$.
For the case $n=5 k$, we have

$$
H_{5}^{0}(x, q)=\mathrm{P}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{5}-q^{-5}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{5}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2}\left(1+q^{2} x^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{2} x\right)\left(1-q^{4} x\right)},
$$

which clearly implies the positivity for $X_{5,0} H_{5}^{0}(x, q)$. Indeed, we have

$$
X_{5,0} H_{5}^{0}(x, q)=\frac{q^{2} P_{5,0}(x, q)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{10} x\right)\left(1-q^{20} x\right)},
$$

where $P_{5,0}(x, q) \in \mathbb{N}[q, x]$ contains 64 terms. This reproves Conjecture $4^{b}$ for $(m, r)=$ $(5,0)$.
4.5. The cases $m \geq 6$. When we calculated the case $m \geq 6$ in a similar way, we are not able to prove the positivity in a straightforward way as before. Let us explain the problem by working with the $m=6$ case. We start with the formula

$$
F_{6}(x, q)=\frac{q^{12}\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}{(x-q)(1-q x)\left(x-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(x-q^{5}\right)\left(1-q^{5} x\right)\left(1-q^{12}\right)} .
$$

By the constant term method, we obtain

$$
G_{6}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F_{6}(x, q)=-\frac{x q M_{6}}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{6}\right)\left(1-q^{5} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)\left(1-x^{12}\right)},
$$

where $M_{6}$ is a polynomial of many terms that does not show positivity. We can apply $X_{6, r}$ for $r=1,5$, corresponding to the $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=1$ case. Neither of the two cases shows the positivity directly.

For the $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=2$ case, i.e., $r=2,4$, we need to compute

$$
H_{6}^{2}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{2}-q^{-2}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{6}(x, q)=\frac{\text { A lengthy polynomial }}{(1-x)^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)\left(1-q^{18} x\right)\left(1-q^{30} x\right)},
$$

We can apply $X_{6, r}$ for $r=2,4$. Neither of the two cases shows the positivity directly.
For the $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r)=3$ case, i.e., $r=3$, we need to compute

$$
H_{6}^{3}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{3}-q^{-3}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{6}(x, q)=\frac{\text { A lengthy polynomial }}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)\left(1-q^{18} x\right)\left(1-q^{30} x\right)},
$$

We can apply $X_{6,3}$, and the result does not show the positivity directly.
For the case $r=0$, we need to compute

$$
H_{6}^{0}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} \frac{q^{6}-q^{-6}}{q-q^{-1}} F_{6}(x, q)=\frac{\text { A lengthy polynomial }}{(1-x)^{2}\left(1-x^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6} x\right)\left(1-q^{18} x\right)\left(1-q^{30} x\right)},
$$

We can apply $X_{6,0}$, and the result does not show the positivity directly.
4.6. An extension. The computation of the case $m=6$ suggests that we need to consider different cases for proving Conjecture $4^{b}$. However, we find a possible unified way to attack the conjecture. More precisely, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}(x, q)=\mathrm{PT}_{q}^{\prime} F_{m}(x, q) \text { for } m \geq 3 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q^{i}\right] G_{m}(x, q)=\underset{q}{\mathrm{CT}}-q^{i} F_{m}(x, q)=\underset{q}{\mathrm{CT}}-q^{i}\left(q^{2}-q^{-2}\right) \frac{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)}{\left(q^{m}-q^{-m}\right)} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x q^{1-m} \cdot q^{2 i}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G_{m}(x, q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q][[x]]$, and it is easy to verify that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[q^{0}\right] G_{m}(x, q) } & =0 \\
{\left[q x^{n}\right] G_{m}(x, q) } & =[q] \mathcal{N}\left(q^{2}-1\right) C_{m, n}(q) \neq 0 \text { only when } m, n \text { are both even, } \\
{[q] G_{4}(x, q) } & =-\frac{1}{1-x^{4}}, \\
{[q] G_{6}(x, q) } & =-\frac{x^{6}\left(1-x^{2}+x^{4}-x^{6}-x^{8}\right)}{\left(1-x^{6}\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)\left(1-x^{12}\right)} \\
& =-\left(x^{6}+x^{10}+2 x^{18}+x^{22}+2 x^{30}+x^{34}+2 x^{42}+x^{54}\right)+\text { positive terms }, \\
{[q] G_{10}(x, q) } & =-\left(x^{6} q+x^{10} q\right)+\text { positive terms. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We summarized all the other cases in the following conjecture.
Conjecture 10. Let $G_{m}(x, q)$ be as in (3). Then $G_{m}(x, q)$ is almost positive for $m \geq 3$. More precisely, besides the above formula, we have
(1) For every $k \geq 3$, $[q] G_{2 k}(x, q)$ has only finitely many negative terms.
(2) For every $i \geq 2,\left[q^{i}\right] G_{m}(x, q) \in \mathbb{N}[[x]]$.

A unified approach for $m=4$ and $r=1,3$ can be given as follows. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{4}(x, q) & =-\frac{x q\left(-q+x^{3}-x^{2} q+q^{2} x-x^{4} q\right)}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)} \\
& =\frac{q^{2} x\left(1+x^{2}-q x-q^{2} x^{4}+q^{3} x^{5}\right)}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}-\frac{x^{4} q}{1-x^{8}} \\
& =\frac{q^{2} x\left(1-q x+x^{2}\left(1-q^{2} x^{2}\right)\right)}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}+\frac{q^{2} x\left(q^{3} x^{5}\right)}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}-\frac{x^{4} q}{1-x^{8}} \\
& =\frac{q^{2} x\left(1+x^{2}+q x^{3}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}+\frac{q^{5} x^{6}}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}-\frac{x^{4} q}{1-x^{8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
X_{4, r} G_{4}(x, q)=X_{4, r} \frac{q^{2} x\left(1+x^{2}+q x^{3}\right)}{\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)}+X_{4, r} \frac{q^{5} x^{6}}{(1-q x)\left(1-q^{3} x\right)\left(1-x^{8}\right)},
$$

which is clearly positive.
Generally, for odd $m$, we need to show the positivity of $G_{m}(x, q)$. It is possible to decompose $G_{m}(x, q)$ as a sum of trivially positive rational functions. The decomposition is nontrivial even for $G_{5}(x, q)$. The even $m$ case needs a minor modification. We succeeded in doing this type of decomposition in [20], and hence decomposition of $G_{m}(x, q)$ for small $m$, at least for $m \leq 6$, should be possible. This suggested us to reconsider the following problem in the near future.

Problem: Given an Elliott rational function $Q$, how to decompose $Q=\sum_{i} Q_{i}$ with $Q_{i}$ all trivially positive if possible.

## 5. Combinatorial model

5.1. Combinatorial interpretation of $C_{m, n}(q)$. In this section $(m, n)$ is always a coprime pair of positive integers, unless specified otherwise. The general case $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=$ $d>1$ can be considered but is much more complicated.

We should mention that representation theory maybe suitable for settling our conjectures. For instance, Conjecture 3 can be proved if we can find (usually hard to find) an $s l(2)$ module whose character is $q^{-(m-1)(n-1) / 2} C_{m, n}(q)$. This is based on the following well-known result. See, e.g., [22, Theorem 15].
Theorem 11. Let $\psi: s l(2) \mapsto g l(n)$ be a representation of $\operatorname{sl}(2)$ with

$$
\operatorname{char} \psi=\sum_{i} b_{i} q^{i}
$$

Then the sequence $\ldots, b_{-2}, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$ is symmetric and unimodal with respect to parity.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{m, n}$ be the set of Dyck paths in the $m \times n$ lattice rectangle, i.e., paths from $(0,0)$ to $(m, n)$ with unit North step and unit East step, that never go below the diagonal line $y=n x / m$. The rational $q, t$ Catalan polynomials are defined by

$$
C_{m, n}(q, t)=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_{m, n}} q^{\operatorname{area}(D)} t^{\operatorname{dinv}(D)}
$$

where the sum is over Dyck paths in the $m \times n$ lattice rectangle, area $(D)$ gives the number of lattice squares between the path and the diagonal, and $\operatorname{dinv}(D)$ is a Dyck path statistic that can also be given a relatively simple geometric construction. There is also an equivalent interpretation in terms of simultaneous core partitions. See [5, 24].

The rational $q$-Catalan polynomials are specializations of the $q, t$ Catalan polynomials introduced by Garsia and Haiman [10]. They have the following combinatorial interpretation:

$$
C_{m, n}(q)=q^{(m-1)(n-1) / 2} C_{m, n}\left(q, q^{-1}\right)=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_{m, n}} q^{\operatorname{coarea}(D)+\operatorname{dinv}(D)}
$$

It seems hard to show the parity unimodality of $C_{m, n}(q)$ by this model, because the dinv statistic is still hard to understand.

A mysterious property of $C_{m, n}(q, t)$ is its symmetry in $q$ and $t$, i.e., $C_{m, n}(q, t)=$ $C_{m, n}(t, q)$. As a symmetric polynomial, $C_{m, n}(q, t)$ has a Schur expansion

$$
C_{m, n}(q, t)=\sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}[q, t],
$$

where $\lambda$ can has only two parts, say $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, and

$$
s_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}[q, t]=(q t)^{\lambda_{2}}\left[\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right]_{q, t}, \quad \text { where }[k]_{q, t}=q^{k-1}+q^{k-2} t+\cdots+t^{k-1} .
$$

Then the ( $q, t$ ) Schur positivity of $C_{m, n}(q, t)$ (i.e., $c_{\lambda} \geq 0$ for all $\lambda$ ) implies the unimodality of $C_{m, n}(q)$ with respect to parity.

The symmetry of $C_{m, n}(q, t)$ is a consequence of the rational shuffle conjecture, which can be written as

$$
Q_{m, n}(-1)^{n}=H_{m, n}(X ; q, t),
$$

where $H_{m, n}(X ; q, t)$ is the Hikita polynomial that has combinatorial interpretation as a sum over rational parking functions [14], and $Q_{m, n}$ is a symmetric function operator introduced by Gosky and Negut [11]. The rational Shuffle conjecture was proved by Mellit [18]. Detailed definitions are too involved. The reader is referred to [21] for further information on this topic. We should mention that no combinatorial proof of this symmetry is known up to now.

As a symmetric function in $X$, we can write

$$
H_{m, n}(X ; q, t)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]_{m, n} s_{\lambda}[X] .
$$

Then $C_{m, n}(q, t)$ is just $\left[s_{1^{n}}\right]_{m, n}$. From the algebraic side, $H_{m, n}(X ; q, t)$ is easily seen to be $q, t$ symmetric, so is its coefficients $\left[s_{\lambda}\right]_{m, n}$. It is then natural to conjecture that $\left[s_{\lambda}\right]_{m, n}(q, t)$ is ( $q, t$ ) Schur positive. The positivity (though not stated this way) has been proved for the case $n=2$ by Leven [15] and for the case $n=3$ by Qiu and Remmel [21].
5.2. Combinatorial interpretation of $C_{n}(q)$. Since $C_{n}(q)=C_{n+1, n}(q)$, we have a combinatorial interpretation of $C_{n}(q)$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ be short for $\mathcal{D}_{n, n}$. Then we have

$$
C_{n}(q)=\frac{1}{[n+1]_{q}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 n \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}} q^{\operatorname{coarea}(D)+\operatorname{dinv}(D)}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}} q^{\operatorname{coarea}(D)+\operatorname{bounce}(D)} .
$$

The second equality follows by the symmetry of $C_{n}(q)$ and by application of the zeta map, which is a bijection from $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ to itself that takes dinv to area and area to bounce.

See $[24,16]$. Usually we think the statistic bounce is simpler than dinv in this case, (while for $D \in \mathcal{D}_{m, n}$, the $\operatorname{dinv}(D)$ is known but the bounce $(D)$ is not).

Currently the simplest way to compute coarea $(D)$ and bounce $(D)$ might be as follows (see [28]). Firstly, there is a easy way to convert $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}$ to a standard Young tableau $T(D)$ of shape $(n, n)$. Then coarea $(D)$ is just the sum of the first row entries minus $\binom{n}{2}$, and bounce $(D)$ is the sum of the first row ranks, where the ranks of the entries of $T$ can be computed in a simple way: i) $r(1)=0$; ii) $r(i)=r(i-1)$ if $i$ is in the first row; iii) $r(j)=r(i)+1$ if $j$ is under $i$. For example, Figure 1 illustrates these statistics for the case $n=3$.


Figure 1. Bounce and coarea for $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ : The top row gives the 5 standard Young tableaux. The bottom row gives the corresponding rank tableaux.

There is a better interpretation found earlier than the above statistics. See, e.g., [23].

$$
C_{n}(q)=\frac{1}{[n+1]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 n \\
n
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}} q^{\operatorname{maj}(D)}
$$

where $\operatorname{maj}(D)$ is the major index of $D$, usually defined as the sum of the descent positions. (Here a descent corresponds to a $E N$ turn). The major index is also defined for standard Young tableaux. We only exhibit the major index for $C_{3}(q)$.


Figure 2. Major index by standard Young tableaux of shape ( $n, n$ ). Entry $i$ is a descent if $i+1$ appears to the left of $i$.

There are also two closely related results. One is the following [22, p. 523].
Theorem 12. The polynomial $K_{n}(q)=\frac{1+q}{1+q^{n}} C_{n}(q)$ is symmetric and unimodal.


Figure 3. Major index by Dyck paths in $\mathcal{D}_{n}$. Descents appear at the $E N$ turns.
For instance, $K_{0}(q)=K_{1}(q)=1, K_{2}(q)=1+q, K_{3}(q)=1+q+q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}, K_{4}(q)=$ $1+q+q^{2}+2 q^{3}+2 q^{4}+2 q^{5}+2 q^{6}+q^{7}+q^{8}+q^{9}$. This is also a $q$-analogue of the Catalan number $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$. The degree of $K_{n}(q)$ is $(n-1)^{2}$.

It is not hard to see that the unimodality of $K_{n}(q)$ implies that $(1+q) C_{n}(q)=\left(q^{n}+\right.$ 1) $K_{n}(q)$ is almost unimodal. Indeed, if we let $K_{n}(q)=\sum_{i} k_{i} q^{i}$ and $(1+q) C_{n}(q)=\sum_{i} c_{i} q^{i}$, then $c_{i}=k_{i}+k_{i+n}$. Consider

$$
c_{i+1}-c_{i}=\left(k_{i+1}+k_{i+1+n}\right)-\left(k_{i}+k_{i+n}\right)=\left(k_{i+1}-k_{i}\right)+\left(k_{i+1+n}-k_{i+n}\right) .
$$

Thus the unimodality of $K_{n}(q)$ (Theorem 12) implies that $c_{i+1}-c_{i} \geq 0$ for $0<i<$ $\frac{n^{2}-4 n-1}{2}$, while the desired positivity $c_{i+1}-c_{i} \geq 0$ is for $1 \leq i<\frac{n^{2}-3 n-1}{2}$.

The other one is the following conjecture. See [8].
Conjecture 13. Write $C_{n}(q)=\sum_{k} m_{n}(k) q^{k}$. The sequence $\left(m_{n}(1), m_{n}(2), \ldots, m_{n}(n(n-\right.$ 1) -1 )) is unimodal when $n$ is sufficiently large. (Seem to hold for $n \geq 16$.)

If this conjecture is true for $n \geq 16$, then Conjecture 3 is also true because the $n \leq 16$ cases are easily verified to be true.
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