Superconformal Generalizations of $R^n - \phi^p$ Interpolating Inflationary Theories
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We present the supersymmetric completion of the auxiliary vector modified polynomial $f(R)$ theories that interpolate between the polynomial $f(R)$ theories and chaotic inflation with the power-law potential $V(\phi) \propto \phi^p$. The supersymmetrization is achieved in two steps: First, we introduce a superconformal theory for three chiral multiplets by choosing a conformal Kähler potential and a conformal superpotential. In the second step, we use one of the chiral multiplets to compensate for the superconformal symmetries and achieve the Kähler potential and the superpotential while the other two are used to realize inflation with a stable inflationary trajectory. The stability of the inflationary trajectory requires certain deformations to the Kähler potential which we discuss their compatibility against the inflationary observables from the latest Planck data.

I. INTRODUCTION

After Planck Collaboration had released the data collected by the Planck satellite in 2013 [1], there has been an increasing number of attempts to construct inflationary models that are ranging from scalar-tensor theories to higher-order curvature invariants (for reviews, see e.g. [2,4]) and test their predictions against the inflationary observables. In particular, the observational constraints on the spectral tilt $n_s$ and tensor to scalar ratio $r$ severely restricts the spectrum of possible inflationary models but they are not decisive in the sense that many inflationary models become identical during inflation. Consequently, they predict the same inflationary observables up to the next order contribution to the inflationary parameters [5]. This universality of a large class inflationary models begs for a theoretical argument to clarify why different theories are almost indistinguishable during inflation.

In Ref. [6], a guiding principle for the universality was given from a perspective of (super)conformal symmetry breaking. There, one first considers the maximal extension of the (super)Poincaré group that is the (super)conformal group. The addition of the extra symmetries leads uniquely to a particular scalar-tensor theory whose gauge fixing gives rise to a pure de Sitter (or anti-de Sitter) solution depending on the sign of the cosmological constant. When inflation is realized within that paradigm, one naturally needs at least two scalar fields, one being the conformon that is to be used as a compensator for the conformal symmetries and the other being the inflaton. As noted in [7], if there is a $SO(1,1)$ symmetry between inflaton and conformon fields, then it is not possible to realize a scalar potential but a pure de Sitter (or anti-de Sitter) solution still exists. Therefore, a smooth deviation from a de Sitter space translates into a smooth deformation of the $SO(1,1)$ symmetry between the scalar fields. This line of thinking lead one to $T$-model of inflation with the scalar potential

$$V(\phi) = \lambda_n \tanh^{2n} \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}},$$

that is insensitive to the power $n$ that plays the role of deviation from $SO(1,1)$ symmetry. This model has an attractor point

$$1 - n_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}, \quad r = \frac{12}{N^2},$$

with $N$ being the number of e-folds between horizon exit and the end of inflation. These values of $n_s$ and $r$ perfectly fit to the latest Planck data [8]. For the future reference, this inflationary predictions coincide with the predictions of the Starobinsky model that is described by the Lagrangian [9]

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{6M^2} R^2,$$

which belongs to the class of conformal chaotic inflationary models [10]. This consideration was later generalized to $\alpha$-attractor T-Model inflation with the scalar potential that is given by

$$V(\phi) = F \left( \tanh \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6} \alpha} \right).$$

In this case, the simplest representative $V = \lambda_1 \tanh^2 \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6} \alpha}$ gives rise to the same inflationary predictions as the Starobinsky model [9], the chaotic inflation with a quartic potential and non-minimal coupling [11,12] and the supersymmetric completion of these models as well as a broad class of (super)conformal attractors [6,10,17,21] for a vast range of $\alpha$. For $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the inflationary predictions become identical to the chaotic inflation with a quadratic potential [23]

$$1 - n_s = \frac{2}{N}, \quad r = \frac{8}{N}.$$

Thus, the model interpolates between the attractor point [2] and the chaotic inflation [5].

As the $\alpha$-attractors lead to inflationary predictions that perfectly fit the latest Planck data for a wide range...
of $\alpha$ [22], it is desired to understand the theoretical origin of the $\alpha$ parameter. There have been numerous attempts in this direction in recent years. For example, in the superconformal construction of the $\alpha$-attractors, the $\alpha$ parameter is a measure of the curvature of the inflaton Kähler manifold [23]. This parameter also appears in other contexts such as the string field theory approach [24], spacetime with vector distortion [25], and scale-invariant gravity [26–27]. A particular approach, called the auxiliary vector modification [28], refers the $\alpha$ parameter as the Brans-Dicke parameter $\omega_{BD}$ by replacing the Ricci scalar $R$ with an auxiliary vector modified Ricci scalar

$$R \rightarrow R + A^\mu A_\mu + \beta \nabla^\mu A_\mu,$$

in $f(R)$ theories of gravity where $\beta$ is a free parameter. In this class of theories, the vector field $A_\mu$ is an auxiliary field, thereby such models are equivalent to a scalar-tensor theory with a particular scalar potential depending on the choice of the function $f(R)$. To show that, one first rewrites the auxiliary vector modified $f(R)$ theory as

$$L = f(R + A^\mu A_\mu + \beta \nabla^\mu A_\mu) = f(F) - \varphi (F - R - A^\mu A_\mu - \beta \nabla^\mu A_\mu).$$

Note here that the elimination of $\varphi$ precisely recover the auxiliary vector modified $f(R)$ theory. One may now eliminate $A_\mu$ and perform a Weyl rescaling $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \varphi g_{\mu\nu}$, which gives rise to the Einstein-frame action with a canonical scalar field $(\varphi)$ with a scalar potential [28]

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} e^{\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{F}}} \left( F - e^{\frac{-\varphi}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{F}}} f(F) \right),$$

where $\alpha$ is defined in terms of $\beta$ and the Brans-Dicke parameter $\omega_{BD}$ as

$$\alpha \equiv 1 + \frac{\beta^2}{6} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \omega_{BD}.$$

Here $f(F)$ satisfies $f(F) = e^{\sqrt{F}/\sqrt{3} \sqrt{F}}$. When this modification is considered for the auxiliary modified Starobinsky model $f(F) = F + (1/6M^2)F^2$, one obtains a scalar potential

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{3}{4} M_{pl}^2 M^2 \left( 1 - e^{\frac{-\varphi}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{F}}} \right)^{2},$$

This model clearly interpolates between the Starobinsky inflation (corresponding to $\alpha = 1$) and the chaotic inflation with quadratic potential (corresponding to $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$), presenting a similar behavior as the $\alpha$-attractors [23].

The generic form of the potential for the auxiliary vector modified $f(R)$ theories [8] suggests a more general interpolating behaviour than that of the Starobinsky and $\phi^{3}$ chaotic inflation. In particular, if $f(F)$ is chosen to be [28]

$$f(F) = F + m^{2(1-n)} F^n,$$

then one ends up with a scalar potential

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{(n-1)}{2n^{\alpha/(n-1)}} M^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{F}}} \left( e^{\sqrt{\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{F}}} - 1} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}},$$

where we set $M_{pl} = 1$. In this case, there is an interpolation between the $R + R^n$ type of inflationary models (corresponding to $\alpha = 1$) and the chaotic inflation with the power-law potential $V(\varphi) \propto \varphi^p$ (corresponding to $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$) where

$$p \equiv \frac{n}{n-1}.$$  

Consequently, this choice generalizes the interpolation between $R^2$ and $\varphi^2$ of the $\alpha$-attractors to a more general interpolation between $R^n$ and $\varphi^p$. Here, we refer to these models as $R^n - \varphi^p$ interpolating inflationary models. It is important to note here that while generalizing the $\alpha$-attractors to a broader class of models, the generic $R + R^n$ models do not belong to any of the universality classes and they do not present an attractor point [1]. In that sense, the $n = 2$ choice is still special due to its attractor behavior.

In this paper, our purpose is to embed this generic $R + R^n$ and $\varphi^p$ interpolating models to supergravity as a natural next step since supersymmetry is the leading proposal of physics beyond the Standard Model. As the implementation of the $\alpha$-attractors follow the superconformal embedding by considering the couplings of chiral multiplets, here we will follow a similar path and discuss the elements of four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal supergravity and its chiral multiplet couplings in Section II. Next, in Section III we discuss the superconformal embedding of $R^n - \varphi^p$ inflationary model in lights of the discussions presented in Section IIII then we gauge fix the redundant (super)conformal symmetries. At the end of the Section IIII we obtained the stability condition during inflation in terms of the scalar masses. Finally, we discuss the phenomenology of the interpolating $R^n - \varphi^p$ models. In particular, we will consantrate on the role of the $\alpha$ parameter in bringing the disfavoured $R + R^n$ and $\varphi^p$ models into the favoured region of the latest Planck data. Furthermore, we will discuss the stability of a number of favoured supersymmetric examples. In Section IV we give conclusion and discussions.

**II. FOUR DIMENSIONAL $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUPERCONFORMAL TENSOR CALCULUS**

Construction of on-shell matter coupled supergravity models is often challenging due to the necessity of the field equations for the closure of the superalgebra on the matter representations. This problem can be resolved by considering off-shell models which are most easily constructed by using superconformal tensor calculus whenever a superconformal algebra exists [20–22]. This methodology has also been used extensively in supersymmetric cosmological model building. In the context of conformal and $\alpha$-attractors, the superconformal
generalization of the Starobinsky model using chiral multiplets was considered in [10], while the superconformal α-attractors with various choices of Kähler potentials and superpotentials was achieved in [23]. The four dimensional \( N = 1 \) superconformal tensor calculus is based on the superconformal algebra \( SU(2,2|1) \) [23]. The Weyl multiplet consists of all the gauge fields that correspond to the generators of this superconformal algebra including the space-time translations \( (P_a) \), Lorentz transformations \( (M_{ab}) \), dilatations \( (D) \), special conformal symmetry transformations \( (K_a) \), \( U(1) \) chiral R-symmetry transformations \( (T) \), \( Q \)-SUSY transformations \( (Q_\alpha) \) and \( S \)-SUSY transformations \( (S_\alpha) \). Upon imposing certain curvature constraints, some of the fields become dependent and the independent fields of the Weyl multiplet are given by the gauge fields associated with general coordinate transformations \( (\epsilon_\mu^a) \), dilatations \( (b_\mu) \), the chiral \( U(1) \) symmetry \( (A_\mu) \) and \( Q \)-supersymmetry \( (\bar{\eta}_\mu) \). The \( Q \) and \( S \)-SUSY transformation rules for these independent fields are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta e_\mu^a &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^a \gamma_\mu \psi_\mu, \\
\delta b_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \phi_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \psi_\mu, \\
\delta A_\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_\mu \phi_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \bar{i} \bar{\gamma}_\mu \bar{b} \psi_\mu, \\
\delta \psi_\mu &= \left( \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2} b_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\epsilon}^a \gamma_a \gamma^b \gamma_\mu b_\nu b_\nu \right) \epsilon \\
&\quad - \epsilon \gamma^a \gamma_\mu \eta, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) and \( \eta \) are the transformation parameters for \( Q \)- and \( S \)-supersymmetry transformations respectively. The composite field \( \phi_\mu \) is defined as

\[
\phi_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^a \bar{\phi}_\mu(Q) + \frac{1}{12} \gamma_\mu \gamma^a \gamma^b \bar{R}_{ab}(Q),
\]

where

\[
\bar{R}_{\mu \nu}(Q) = 2 \partial_{[\mu} \psi_{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu \nu} \gamma^{ab} \gamma_\nu \psi_{[a} \bar{b}_{\mu]} + b_{[\mu} \psi_{\nu]} - 3i A_{[\mu} \gamma_\nu \psi_{\nu]}.
\]

The coupling of chiral multiplets to the conformal supergravity can be achieved in several ways, however, the following prescription gives the most general coupling that is determined by a conformal Kähler potential and a conformal superpotential. A chiral multiplet consists of two complex scalars \( X \) and \( F \), and left-chiral projection of a Majorana spinor \( P_L \Omega \). If the Weyl weight of the scalar \( X \) is chosen as 1, then the supersymmetry transformation rules for the chiral multiplet are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta X^I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} P_L \Omega^I, \\
\delta P_L \Omega^I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P_L \left( \Phi X^I + F^I \right) \epsilon + \sqrt{2} X^I P_L \eta, \\
\delta F &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} P_L \Omega^I.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, the index \( I \) represents the number of chiral multiplets and the superconformal derivatives are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
D_\mu X^I &= \left( \partial_\mu - b_\mu - i A_\mu \right) X^I - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_\mu P_L \Omega^I, \\
D_\mu P_L \Omega^I &= P_L \left[ \left( \partial_\mu - \frac{3}{2} b_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{ab} \gamma_\mu \gamma^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} i A_\mu \right) \Omega^I - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \Phi X^I + F^I \right) \psi_\mu - \sqrt{2} X^I \phi_\mu \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The chiral multiplets can be mapped to a real multiplet to introduce a superconformal tensor calculus. Here, we will not give the details of this embedding since the real multiplet will not be a part of our discussion on the supersymmetric inflationary models, however, we refer Section 17 of [23] for interested readers. The bosonic part of the Lagrangian describing the conformal supergravity coupling of \( N \)-number of chiral multiplets consists of two separately supersymmetric Lagrangians, the \( F \)-term \( L_F \) and the \( D \)-term \( L_D \)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\quad e^{-1} L_D = -\frac{1}{6} N R - N_{IJ} D_\mu X^I D^\mu X^J + N_{IJ} F^I F^J, \\
&\quad e^{-1} L_F = W_I F^I + \bar{W}_I F^I.
\end{align*}
\]

The total action we shall consider here is given by

\[
L = L_F + L_D.
\]

Here, \( \{ X^I, P_L \Omega^I, F^I \} \) are the elements of an anti-chiral multiplet, which is the complex conjugation of the chiral multiplet [17]. The covariant derivative of \( X^I \) is defined as

\[
D_\mu X^I = (\partial_\mu - i A_\mu) X^I.
\]

The prefactor of the Einstein-Hilbert term, \( N \), is a real function of Weyl weight 2 which satisfies [34]

\[
N = N(X, \bar{X}) = X^I N_I = X^I \bar{X}^J N_{IJ}.
\]

Considering the rigid limit of this theory [20], the interactions of scalars \( X^I \), which is determined by the function \( N_{IJ} \), can be understood in terms of Kähler metric

\[
G_{IJ} = N_{IJ},
\]

derived from the Kähler potential \( N(X, \bar{X}) \). The conformal superpotential, \( W = W(X) \), on the other hand, is an arbitrary holomorphic function and has Weyl weight 3. Its derivative, \( W_I \) is defined as

\[
W_I = \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^I}, \quad \text{with} \quad X^I W_I = 3 W.
\]

This property of the conformal superpotential comes from the fact that it can be considered as the lowest element of a chiral multiplet with Weyl weight 3. Consequently the \( S \)-SUSY tranformation of the fermionic component can have the correct form if and only if \( X^I W_I = 3 W \) is satisfied.
The superconformal model presented in [20] has two auxiliary fields: the \( U(1) \) gauge field \( A_\mu \) and the auxiliary scalars of the chiral multiplet and its complex conjugate \( F^I \) and \( \bar{F}^I \). We may eliminate these fields by their field equations and the resulting theory is given by

\[
e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{6} NR - G_{IJ} D_\mu X^I D^\mu \bar{X}^J - G^{IJ} W_I W_J, \tag{25}\]

where \( A_\mu \) appearing inside the covariant derivative is now given as

\[
A_\mu = \frac{i}{2N} \left( N_I \partial_\mu \bar{X}^I - N_I \partial_\mu X^I \right), \tag{26}\]

Here, it is important to note that the model has only the physical propagating fields but the Lagrangian (25) is still superconformal [34]. Therefore, we will take this Lagrangian as our starting point for the embedding of \( R^n - \phi^m \) interpolating bosonic models with the scalar potential \( (12) \) to conformal supergravity.

III. SUPERCONFORMAL GENERALIZATIONS OF \( R^n - \phi^m \) INTERPOLATING INFLATIONARY MODELS

Armed with the superconformal action [25] we can now proceed to the construction of the supersymmetric completion of a minimally coupled scalar-tensor theory with a scalar potential [12]. We achieve this goal by using three chiral multiplets. One of these multiplets is to be used to compensate for the superconformal symmetries, which we call a compensating multiplet with the fields \( \{ X^0, P_\ell \lambda^0, F^0 \} \). Another multiplet, which we will use to realize inflation is called an inflaton multiplet with the fields \( \{ X^1, P_\ell \lambda^1, F^1 \} \). The real part of the scalar \( X^1 \) will play the role of the inflaton. Finally, we need a third multiplet whose consistent truncation will help us to realize the scalar potential [12] in the inflationary paradigm. This multiplet is referred to as the Goldstino multiplet, which consists of the fields \( \{ S, P_\ell \tilde{\psi}, M \} \) that is labeled with \( I = 2 \). The compensating multiplet has no role in the inflationary dynamics but the scalar of the Goldstino multiplet \( S \) and the imaginary part of the scalar of the inflaton multiplet Im\( (X^1) \) could potentially cause serious problems for the stabilization of the inflationary trajectory. This problem was addressed in the context of \( \alpha \)-attractors for various choices of the Kähler potential and superpotential \([10, 23, 35, 37]\). A more general analysis for the abovementioned superconformal setting with three chiral multiplets was given in [40] and [41], thus we will briefly review the results of [40] and [41] before presenting various choices of superconformal Kähler and superpotentials that gives rise to a stable superconformal generalization of the scalar potential [12].

The defining property of the superconformal superpotential [21] implies that it can be solved as

\[
\mathcal{W} = (X^0)^2 S f \left( \frac{X^1}{X^0} \right), \tag{27}\]

where \( f \left( \frac{X^1}{X^0} \right) \) is an arbitrary holomorphic function. After gauge fixing the dilatation symmetry by using \( X^0 \), the superconformal superpotential becomes the superpotential \( W \) that is of the form

\[
W = S f(X^1). \tag{28}\]

Along the inflationary trajectory \( S = \text{Im}(X^1) = 0 \), the generic form the scalar potential in the Einstein frame is given in terms of the Kähler and the superpotential as

\[
V = e^K \left( K_{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha + K_\alpha)W(\partial_\beta + K_\beta)\bar{W} - \frac{3}{M_{pl}^2} |W|^2 \right) \approx e^K K^{SS} |f|^2, \tag{29}\]

since the superpotential only linearly depends on \( S \). Here \( \alpha, \beta = 1, 2 \) due to the use of the compensating multiplet for gauge fixing. At this point, let us discuss two symmetry argument to be imposed on the Kähler potential, which will be essential in finding a supersymmetrization of the scalar potential [12].

1. The Kähler potential can be chosen not to depend on the real part of \( X^1 \). In this case, the exponential factor \( e^K \) as well as the inverse metric \( K^{SS} \) becomes irrelevant in determining the inflationary potential if one imposes the following symmetries [40]

\[
S \to -S, \quad X^1 \to X^1, \quad X^1 \to X^1 + c. \tag{30}\]

where \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). These conditions make sure that the inflationary potential is only determined by the choice of \( f \). Consequently, at \( S = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(X^1) = 0 \), the scalar potential takes a very simple form

\[
V = f^2(\text{Re}(X^1)). \tag{31}\]

For the stability of this inflationary trajectory \( S = \text{Im}(X^1) = 0 \) against the small fluctuations of the fields \( S \) and \( \text{Im}(X^1) \) one needs to ensure that they are heavier than the Hubble scale \( H \) [38-40]. If we decompose the scalar fields \( X^1 \) and \( S \) into their real and imaginary parts as

\[
X^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i \lambda), \quad S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (s + i \gamma), \tag{32}\]

the masses \( m_\phi, m_\lambda \) and \( m_\gamma \) read [40]

\[
m_\phi^2 \approx 6H^2 (1 - K_{X^1 X^1 SS}), \quad m_\lambda^2 \approx 3H^2 K_{SSSS}, \quad m_\gamma^2 \approx -3H^2 K_{SSSS}. \tag{33}\]

during single field slow-roll inflation. Thus, the stability requires the Kähler potential to satisfy [40]

\[
K_{X^1 X^1 SS} \leq \frac{5}{6}, \quad K_{SSSS} \leq -\frac{1}{3}. \tag{34}\]
As a future reference, we emphasize that if a Kähler potential has a non-zero $K_{SSSS}$ derivative, it only contributes to the mass of $s$ and $\gamma$ but not the mass of $\lambda$. Thus, the stability of $s$ and $\gamma$ can always be achieved by modifying the Kähler potential with $(SS)^2$ term, in which case $K_{SSSS}$ does not vanish during inflation.

2. The criteria (30) only allows terms of type $X^1 - \bar{X}^1$ due to the shift symmetry of $X^1$. We may allow $X^1 + \bar{X}^1$ type of terms in which case the scalar potential does depend on both the exponential factor $e^K$ as well as the inverse metric $K^{SS}$. In this case, a possible symmetry in the space of complex fields depends on the choice of the Kähler potential. A particular choice, which will be useful in our construction, is given by

$$K = -\alpha \log(\Phi), \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi = X^1 + \bar{X}^1 - SS. \quad (35)$$

This choice has the following symmetry amongst the fields $31$

$$X^1 \rightarrow X^1 + ia + \bar{b}S + \frac{1}{2} bb, \quad S \rightarrow S + b, \quad (36)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{C}$. With this choice of Kähler potential, the masses for the imaginary part of $X^1$ and $S$ read $31$

$$m^2_\lambda = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( X^{1-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) f^2 - \frac{X^{2-\alpha}}{\alpha} ff' \right) \left( \frac{X^{1}}{\alpha} - f f'' \right),$$

$$m^2_\gamma = m^2_\gamma = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( X^{1-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) f^2 + \frac{X^{3-\alpha}}{\alpha^2} f f' \right), \quad (37)$$

where the superpotential is taken as $W = SF(\Phi)$.

We now paved the way for the analysis of the supersymmetric models and their stability. As our first example, we introduce a model for a stable supersymmetrization of the bosonic scalar potential $12$ with a canonical kinetic term for the inflaton. This means that the Kähler potential does not consist of the interpolation parameter $\alpha$ and consequently the masses and the stability is $\alpha$-independent. To achieve that, let us consider the following superconformal Kähler potential and superpotential

$$W(X) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{(n-1)m^2}{2n+3n(n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} S(X^{0})^2 e^{\left( -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} X^{1} \right)^{2}/n},$$

$$N(X, X) = -|X^{0}|^2 e^{\left( -\frac{|X^{0}|^2}{n} + \frac{3}{2n} X^{1} \bar{X}^{1} + \frac{3}{n} \frac{|X^{0}|^4}{n} \right)}, \quad (38)$$

where $\xi$ is a free parameter which will play an important role in the stability of the model. We impose the following gauge fixing conditions

$$X^{0} = \sqrt{3}, \quad b_{\mu} = 0, \quad P_{L} \Phi^{0} = 0, \quad (39)$$

where the first condition fixes dilatation while the second one fixes the special conformal symmetries and the last one fixes the $S$-SUSY. The corresponding Kähler and the superpotentials are then given by

$$W = \left( \frac{(n-1)m^2}{2n+3n(n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} S e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} X^{1}} \times \left( e^{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} X^{1}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right),$$

$$K = SS - \frac{1}{2} \left( X^{1} - \bar{X}^{1} \right)^2 - \xi |SS|^2. \quad (40)$$

Note that the Kähler potential is of the desired form $39$. At $S = \text{Im}(X^{1}) = 0$, the scalar potential is exactly given by $12$ as desired. In this case, the stability condition $30$ becomes a simple criteria to be imposed on the parameter $\xi$

$$\xi \geq \frac{1}{12}, \quad (41)$$

which is identical to the stability of the Starobinsky model for a certain choice of superpotential and Kähler potential $10$.

We may also choose to have a non-canonical kinetic term to introduce the exponential function in the scalar potential $12$ by redefining the inflaton field $\phi$. This can be most easily achieved by having a kinetic term of type $(1/\phi^2)(\partial \phi)^2$ which can be achieved by the following choice of superconformal Kähler potential

$$N(X, X) = -|X^{0}|^2 \left( \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{X^{1}}{X^{0}} + \frac{\bar{X}^{1}}{X^{0}} \right) \right) - 3SS \frac{|X^{0}|^2}{|X^{0}|^2},$$

$$+ 9\xi (SS)^2 \frac{|X^{0}|^2}{|X^{0}|^2} \alpha, \quad (42)$$

Then choosing the superconformal superpotential to be

$$W(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{\alpha(n-1)m^2}{2^{2-3n(n-1)/n}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} (X^{0})^2 S \times \left( \sqrt{3} \frac{X^{1}}{X^{0}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right), \quad (43)$$

in accordance with our choice of the superconformal Kähler potential, we achieve the following superpotential and the Kähler potential after gauge fixing $39$

$$W = \left( \frac{\alpha(n-1)m^2}{2^{2-3n(n-1)/n}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} mS \times \left( \sqrt{3} \frac{X^{1}}{X^{0}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right),$$

$$K = -3\alpha \log \left( X^{1} + \bar{X}^{1} - SS + \frac{\xi}{X^{1} + \bar{X}^{1}} \right) \left( SS \right)^2 \left( \frac{X^{0}}{X^{1} + \bar{X}^{1}} \right). \quad (44)$$
Once again, at $S = \text{Im}(X^1) = 0$, the bosonic part of the supersymmetric theory precisely generates the desired scalar potential \cite{12}. At the inflationary trajectory $S = \text{Im}(X^1) = 0$, these masses can read off using (37) and are given by

$$m_\gamma^2 = m_s^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha} (-2 + 4\xi) H^2,$$

$$m_\lambda^2 = \frac{2H^2(3\alpha - 1)}{\alpha}. \quad (45)$$

Note that $\xi$ term only modifies the mass of $\gamma$ and $s$ since the additional term always vanishes at $S = 0$. These degrees of freedom must be stabilized around (or above) the Hubble scale which can always be achieved by appropriate choice of $\xi$ as long as $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$.

We would like to elaborate this point by discussing the phenomenology of supersymmetric $R^n - \phi^p$ interpolating models in terms of tensor to scalar ratio $r$ and spectral index $n_s$ while demanding that the $s$, $\gamma$ and $\lambda$ are stabilized around (or above) the Hubble scale. Since the inflationary observables would presumably depend on $\alpha$, they should provide constraints on the stabilizing term $\xi$ which will allow us to see if the stability of the supersymmetric models are compatible with the constraints on $\alpha$ from the Planck data. The case of $n = 2$ was already examined in \cite{24} where $\xi$ was taken as $\xi = 3g$. Therefore, we will focus on the analysis of $n > 2$, in particular $n = 3, 4, 5$. For $n = 3$, this model simply reduce to $R + R^3$ for $\alpha = 1$ which is outside of the Planck contour \cite{3}. Increasing $\alpha$ pushes the model into regime $50 \lesssim N \lesssim 60$ which is viable region of Planck data \cite{3}, see Fig.1. For $\alpha = 30$, the model is marginally inside the %95 CL and between $\alpha = 38 - 1500$, model is inside the %68 CL. Within this region, the stability can be achieved by demanding i.e. $\xi > 400$. For $n = 4$, the inflationary observables are inside the %68 CL for $\alpha = 55 - 3000$ and the stability can be achieved by choosing, i.e. $\xi > 750$.

For $n = 5$, we need to choose $\alpha = 65 - 5000$ to stay inside the %68 CL and the stability can be achieved by choosing, i.e. $\xi > 1250$. Finally, we note that for $n \gg 1$, the scalar potential is given by

$$V(\phi) \approx \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{m^2}}}(1 + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{m^2}}}), \quad (46)$$

which approximates to a linear potential in $\alpha \to \infty$ that in marginally inside the %95 CL. On the other hand, for $\alpha \approx 10^2 - 10^4$ the inflationary observables stay inside the %68 CL, see Fig.2. For this range, the stability of the model is satisfies as long as $\xi > 2500$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present examples of superconformal and supersymmetric completion of the $R^n - \phi^p$ inflationary models. These models interpolate between the polynomial $f(R)$ theories and chaotic inflation with a power-law potential. They are in the %68 CL for a certain range of $\alpha$ and are stable under certain restriction on $\xi$, which becomes more restrictive as $n$ is chosen large.

The supersymmetrization procedure we utilized here makes use of three chiral multiplets. One of them, which we referred to as the Goldstino multiplet, is particularly important in the supersymmetric realization of the inflationary models as it allows a trajectory for successful inflation. Perhaps a better way to consider a supersymmetric completion could be the direct supersymmetrization of the Lagrangian

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = \tilde{R} + m^{2(1-n)} \tilde{R}^n, \quad (47)$$
where $\tilde{R} = R + A^\mu A_\mu + \beta \nabla^\mu A_\mu$. This supersymmetrization has already been achieved for a certain value of $\beta$ in \cite{12, 13} where the vector fields are sourced by the chiral $U(1)$ symmetry of the superconformal theory and it would be interesting to generalize that line of thinking to include a free parameter $\beta$. Alternatively, it would be interesting to achieve the same supersymmetrization with less number of fields, i.e. with only the conformon and interesting to achieve the same supersymmetrization with a lower bound $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$. It would be interesting to find stable scenarios that we realized here constraints $\alpha$ with a lower bound $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$. It would be interesting to find stable scenarios that allow one to smoothly go to $\alpha = 0$, allowing interpolation between $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \to \infty$.
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