## LHCb gets closer to discovering the second doubly charmed baryon<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

Marek Karliner<sup>a‡</sup> and Jonathan L. Rosner<sup>b§</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

<sup>b</sup> Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics University of Chicago, 5640 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Recently the LHCb Collaboration published the results of a search for the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  [1]. No significant signal is seen in the mass range from 3.4 to 3.8 GeV. To put this result in context, the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  baryon was seen by LHCb in decay modes  $\Lambda_c K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  [2] (2017) and  $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  [3] (2018). The weighted average of the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  mass is  $3621.24 \pm 0.65$  (stat.)  $\pm$ 0.31 (syst.) MeV [3].

The  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  have the quark content *ccu* and *ccd*, respectively. Under the isospin symmetry of the strong interactions they form an isodoublet, like the proton and the neutron. Isospin breaking in hadron masses is a very small effect [4]. Consequently we have firm reasons to expect that the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} - \Xi_{cc}^{+}$  mass difference is quite small,  $\mathcal{O}(1.5)$  MeV [5]. The production rates of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  should be similar, as the bottleneck — the production of the *cc* diquark — is the same in both cases. Consequently we *know*  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  exists in the vicinity of 3620 MeV. A claimed  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  at 3518.7±1.7 MeV [7,8] is unlikely to be the isospin partner of the established  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , and has not been confirmed by any other experiment.

The search was a "blind analysis", i.e., it was performed with the whole procedure defined before inspecting the data in the 3400 to 3800 MeV mass range. A search for a  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  signal was performed and the significance of the signal as a function of the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  mass was evaluated. If the global significance, after considering the look-elsewhere effect, was found to be above  $3\sigma$ , the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ mass was measured; otherwise, upper limits were set on the production rates for different CM energies.

As can be seen from Fig. 2 in Ref. [1], the data exhibit several peaks, but the most significant one occurs just where it is expected. The largest local significance, corresponding to  $3.1\sigma$  $(2.7\sigma \text{ after considering systematic uncertainties})$ , occurs around 3620 MeV. However, the lookelsewhere effect [6], intrinsic to the LHCb search procedure, reduces this to  $1.7\sigma$ . We believe that in this case the look-elsewhere effect may be overstated, because the peak shows up nearly (but not precisely) where expected. The result of a fit, as given in the Supplementary Material of Ref. [1], is  $M(\Xi_{cc}^+) = 3623.4 \pm 1.7$  MeV, a bit *larger* than  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$ , in contrast to the prediction of Ref. [5] and nearly all the others quoted there which find  $M(\Xi_{cc}^+)$  less than but within a few MeV of  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$ .

The upper limit on  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  production (or the significance of a signal) increases with shorter assumed lifetime, as seen in Table 6 and Fig. 6 of Ref. [1]. As a result of the internal  $cd \to su$ process in the decay of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ , its lifetime is several times shorter than that of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ : For example, Ref. [9] finds  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^+) = 53$  fs, and  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 185$  fs. (The latter was measured by LHCb to be  $256^{+24}_{-22} \pm 14$  fs [10].)

The validity of the prediction of  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$  [9] and the signal of its isospin partner not far from *its* predicted mass [5] lend credence to an estimate of the mass of the  $cc\bar{u}d$  tetraquark using

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>To appear in News & Views, Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron. 63(2): 221064 (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>marek@tauex.tau.ac.il

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>rosner@hep.uchicago.edu

similar methods, which finds this state to have a mass of  $3882 \pm 12$  MeV [11] and hence unstable with respect to strong decay. The cross section for  $cc\bar{u}\bar{d}$  tetraquark production is expected [11] to be somewhat, but not much, smaller than the cross section for production of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ baryons. Thus the new LHCb results provide additional motivation for continuing the search for the  $cc\bar{u}\bar{d}$  tetraquark.

In summary, the data contain a  $2.7\sigma$  hint of the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  signal at a mass consistent with predictions based on the measured  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  mass and isospin symmetry. More data are needed to exclude the possibility that this is a statistical fluctuation.

## References

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collab.], arXiv:1909.12273 [hep-ex].
- [2] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collab.], Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 112001 (2017).
- [3] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collab.], Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 162002 (2018).
- [4] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 100, 073006 (2019).
- [5] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 96, 033004 (2017).
- [6] E. Gross and O. Vitells, Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 525 (2010).
- [7] M. Mattson *et al.* [SELEX Collab.], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 112001 (2002).
- [8] A. Ocherashvili *et al.* [SELEX Collab.], Phys. Lett. B **628**, 18 (2005).
- [9] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094007 (2014).
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collab.], Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 052002 (2018).
- [11] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202001 (2017). See also E. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202002 (2017).