

AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE AFFINE 3-SPACE OF DEGREE 3

JÉRÉMY BLANC AND IMMANUEL VAN SANTEN

ABSTRACT. In this article we give two explicit families of automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 of the affine 3-space \mathbb{A}^3 such that each automorphism of degree ≤ 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 is a member of one of these families up to composition of affine automorphisms at the source and target; this shows in particular that all of them are tame. As an application, we give the list of all dynamical degrees of automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 ; this is a set of 3 integers and 9 quadratic integers. Moreover, we also describe up to compositions with affine automorphisms for $n \geq 1$ all morphisms $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 with the property that the preimage of every affine hyperplane in \mathbb{A}^n is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The results	2
1.2. Outline of the article	3
1.3. Conventions	5
2. Hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 that are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2	6
2.1. Existence of singularities at infinity	6
2.2. Hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree 1 in one variable	7
2.3. Hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 of small degree that are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2	10
3. Families of cubic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 , all isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2	15
3.1. Linear systems on \mathbb{P}^2	15
3.2. Affine linear systems of affine spaces	17
3.3. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree 3 with a conic in the base locus	19
3.4. Affine linear systems in characteristic 2 and 3	20
3.5. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree 3 with a line in the base locus	25
3.6. Reduction to affine linear systems of affine spaces in standard form	28
3.7. Study of affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ in standard form	29
3.8. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 in standard form	32
3.9. The proof of Theorem 3	34
4. Dynamical degrees of automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree at most 3	38
4.1. Affine-triangular automorphisms	38
4.2. List of dynamical degrees of all automorphisms of degree 3	39
References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The results. In this text, we fix an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of any characteristic. We denote by \mathbb{A}^n or sometimes $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$ the *affine n -space* over \mathbf{k} . Every morphism $f: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^n &\xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^m \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

for polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If $n = 3$, we often use x, y, z instead of x_1, x_2, x_3 as coordinates. For simplicity we denote the above morphism sometimes by $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. For a morphism $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ we denote by $\deg(f)$ its degree which is by definition equal to the maximum of the degrees $\deg(f_1), \dots, \deg(f_n)$.

Let $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ be the group of all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^n over \mathbf{k} . In the last decades, there has been done a lot of research on this group $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$, see e.g. the survey [vdE00]. There are two prominent subgroups of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$, namely the group of *affine automorphisms*

$$\text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) \mid \begin{array}{l} f_i \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ and } \deg(f_i) = 1 \\ \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

and the group of *triangular automorphisms*

$$\text{Triang}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) \mid \begin{array}{l} f_i \in \mathbf{k}[x_i, \dots, x_n] \\ \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

The subgroup generated by $\text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and $\text{Triang}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ inside $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is called the group of *tame automorphisms* and we denote it by $\text{Tame}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$. In case $n = 1$, all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^1 are tame (in fact they are affine) and for $n = 2$ it is proven by Jung and van der Kulk [Jun42, vdK53] that all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^2 are tame. Since a long time it was conjectured that the famous Nagata-automorphism

$$(x - 2y(zx + y^2) - z(zx + y^2)^2, y + z(zx + y^2), z) \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$$

is non-tame, until Shestakov and Umirbaev gave fifteen years ago an affirmative answer if $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, see [SU04]. It is still an open problem whether $\text{Tame}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) \neq \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ for $n \geq 4$ and when $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 0$ also for $n = 3$.

It is conjectured by Rusek [Rus88] that all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^n of degree 2 are tame. If $n = 3$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, Fornaes and Wu [FsW98] classified all automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ of degree 2 up to conjugation by affine automorphisms and it turned out that all of them are triangular up to composition of affine automorphisms at the source and target. For $n = 4$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$, Meisters and Olech [MO91] and for $n = 5$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, Sun [Sun14] gave affirmative answers to Rusek's conjecture.

Motivated by these investigations of the tame automorphisms in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$, we study in this paper automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree 3. For this let us introduce the following equivalence relation: $f, g \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ are *equivalent* if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ such that $f = \alpha \circ g \circ \beta$. The main theorem of this article is the following description of degree 3 automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 :

Theorem 1 (see Theorem 3). *Each automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree ≤ 3 is either equivalent to a triangular automorphism or to an automorphism of the form*

$$(*) \quad (x + yz + za(x, z), y + a(x, z) + r(z), z) \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$$

where $a \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2 and $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree ≤ 3 .

In fact we prove that none of the automorphisms of (*) is equivalent to a triangular automorphism, see Proposition 3.9.4.

Theorem 1 implies in particular that all automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 are tame, see Corollary 3.9.5.

As an other application of Theorem 1 we compute all dynamical degrees of automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 . Recall, that the dynamical degree of an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is defined by

$$\lambda(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \deg(f^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1},$$

satisfies $1 \leq \lambda(f) \leq \deg(f)$ and is invariant under conjugation (in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ but also in the bigger group $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of birational transformations of \mathbb{A}^n). It gives information about the iteration of the automorphism f . The dynamical degree of an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 is always an integer, and all possible integers are possible, by simply taking $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x + y^d)$, for each $d \geq 1$. The set of dynamical degrees of automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 is still quite mysterious. In 2001, K. Maegawa proved that the set of dynamical degrees of all automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ of degree 2 is equal to $\{1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, 2\}$ [Mae01, Theorem 3.1]. This also holds for each field (Theorem 2 below). Recently, we proved that for each $d \geq 1$ and each ground field k , the set of all dynamical degrees of automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_k^3 of degree $\leq d$ that are equivalent to a triangular automorphism is

$$\left\{ \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4bc}}{2} \mid (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3, a + b \leq d, c \leq d \right\} \setminus \{0\},$$

see [BvS19a, Theorem 1], reproduced below as Theorem 4.1.1. Using Theorem 1, we prove the following result:

Theorem 2. *For each $d \geq 1$ and each field k , let us denote by $\Lambda_{d,k} \subset \mathbb{R}$ the set of dynamical degrees of all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_k^3 of degree d . We then have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{1,k} &= \{1\} \\ \Lambda_{2,k} &= \{1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, 2\} \\ \Lambda_{3,k} &= \{1, \sqrt{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{3}, 2, \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{3}, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

The most interesting number in the above list is $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$. It is the dynamical degree of $f = (y + xz, z, x + z(y + xz)) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$, for each field k . It follows from [BvS19a, Theorem 1] that $\lambda(f) = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is not the dynamical degree of an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 that is equivalent (over k or over its algebraic closure $\mathbf{k} = \bar{k}$) to a triangular automorphism, of any degree, see [BvS19a, Example 4.4.6]. The fact that all dynamical degrees above arise essentially follows from [BvS19a], the main contribution of this text to Theorem 2 is to show that we cannot get more dynamical degrees. Theorem 2 implies that every dynamical degree of an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ of degree 2 is also the dynamical degree of an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ of degree 3, contrary to the case of dimension 2 (an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ of degree 3 has dynamical degree equal to either 1 or 3).

1.2. Outline of the article. In order to classify all automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 up to equivalence we study first degree 3 polynomials in $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ that define the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 in Section 2. The closure in \mathbb{P}^3 of such a hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^3 is singular, so the polynomial has the form $xp + q$ for some $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ up to an affine automorphism, see Corollary 2.1.2. These polynomials were studied by

Sathaye [Sat76] for fields with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ and by Russell [Rus76] for all fields and it turns out that all of them are variables of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$, i.e. there are polynomials $g, h \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ with $\mathbf{k}[xp + q, g, h] = \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$, see also Propositions 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and Corollary 2.2.4 for more detailed informations. We then give a description of all such hypersurfaces up to affine automorphisms (Proposition 2.3.5). As the polynomials of degree 3 of the form $xp + q$ correspond to cubic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 whose closures in \mathbb{P}^3 are singular at $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ (Lemma 2.1.1), it is also useful to classify them up to affine automorphisms that fix this point; this is done in Proposition 2.3.4, where a bigger list is given. Corollary 2.3.7 then corresponds to the case where we focus on a line at infinity instead of a point.

Then we investigate these hypersurfaces in families in Section 3. The best suited notion for us is the following: a morphism $f: \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is called an *affine linear system of affine spaces* if the preimage of each affine hyperplane of \mathbb{A}^n is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^{d-1} , see Definition 3.2.1. In case $d = 3$, we say that f is *in standard form* if $f = (xp_1 + q_1, \dots, xp_n + q_n)$ for some polynomials $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. An affine linear system of affine spaces $g: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree 3 is equivalent to one in standard form if and only if for general affine hyperplanes $H \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ the closures of $g^{-1}(H)$ in \mathbb{P}^3 have a common singularity, see Lemma 2.1.1. Two affine linear systems of affine spaces $f, g: \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ are called *equivalent* if there are $\alpha \in \text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and $\beta \in \text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ such that $f = \alpha \circ g \circ \beta$. The key point in the proof of Theorems 1 and 3 is to show that each affine linear system of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ of degree ≤ 3 is equivalent to one in standard form, see Proposition 3.6.1.

In Section 3.9, we give a description of all affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 which implies Theorem 1. In fact we show:

Theorem 3. *Every affine linear system of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 is equivalent to an element of the following eleven families.*

- *variables of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$:*
 - (1) $x + r_2(y, z) + r_3(y, z)$ where $r_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i ;
 - (2) $xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ where $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$ is homogeneous of degree 2;
 - (3) $xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$.
- *trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations:*
 - (4) $(x + p_2(y, z) + p_3(y, z), y + q_2z^2 + q_3z^3)$ where $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i and $q_2, q_3 \in \mathbf{k}$;
 - (5) $(yz + za_2(x, z) + x, y + a_2(x, z) + r_1z + r_2z^2 + r_3z^3)$ where $a_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2 and $r_i \in \mathbf{k}$;
 - (6) $(yz + za_2(x, z) + x, z)$ where $a_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2;
 - (7) $(xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z, y)$ where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$.
- *non-trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations:*
 - (8) $(x + z^2 + y^3, y + x^2)$ where $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$;
 - (9) $(x + z^2 + y^3, z + x^3)$ where $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$.
- *automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 :*
 - (10) $(x + p_2(y, z) + p_3(y, z), y + q_2z^2 + q_3z^3, z)$ where $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i and $q_2, q_3 \in \mathbf{k}$;
 - (11) $(yz + za_2(x, z) + x, y + a_2(x, z) + r_2z^2 + r_3z^3, z)$ where $a_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2 and $r_2, r_3 \in \mathbf{k}$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is given towards the end of Section 3.9. All the eleven cases in our list are in fact pairwise non-equivalent, see Proposition 3.9.4. For $n = 1$ and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, Ohta gave in [Oht99, Theorem 1] a list of all possibilities for affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of degree ≤ 3 , together with a description of the curve at infinity. This corresponds then to a refined list of the items (1)-(2)-(3) of Theorem 3. Note that the fact that each affine linear system $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 is equivalent to one of the items (1)-(2)-(3) is proven in Proposition 2.3.5 below, and is thus the very first part of our study. Moreover, Ohta gave in [Oht01, Theorem 2] and [Oht09, Theorem 2] lists of all possible affine linear systems $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ of affine spaces of degree 4 in case the closure of the corresponding hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 is normal. In particular, he proves that all of them are variables of \mathbb{A}^3 .

Let us give the connection of our results to the Jacobian conjecture. Recall that an endomorphism $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ has a constant non-zero Jacobian determinant $\det(\text{Jac}(f)) \in \mathbf{k}^*$ if and only if for all affine hyperplanes $H \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ the preimage $f^{-1}(H)$ is a smooth hypersurface of \mathbb{A}^n , see Lemma 3.2.4. Thus for all $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ we have the following implications

$$f \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n) \implies \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is an affine linear system} \\ \text{of affine spaces} \end{array} \implies \det(\text{Jac}(f)) \in \mathbf{k}^* .$$

For fields with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, the Jacobian conjecture asserts that the implications are equivalences. For $n = 3$, Vistoli proved the Jacobian conjecture in case $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ has degree 3, see [Vis99]. For fields with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 0$, the last implication is certainly not an equivalence, take e.g. $(x_1 + x_1^p, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$. However, Theorem 3 shows that in case $n = 3$ and $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ is of degree ≤ 3 , the first implication is an equivalence.

It is also worth to mention that in case $n = 2$, there are affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ of degree ≤ 3 that are not trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -fibrations (and thus they are even not locally trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations in the étale topology, see Proposition 3.4.3), contrary to the cases $n = 1$ and $n = 3$. In fact, an affine linear system of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -fibration if and only if it is equivalent to a linear system in standard form, see Corollary 3.9.2.

In the last Section, we then compute the dynamical degree of all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree ≤ 3 by using the technique introduced in [BvS19a] and we prove Theorem 2 at the end of this section.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Pierre-Marie Poloni for many fruitful discussions and the indication of the references [Oht99, Oht01, Oht09].

1.3. Conventions. All schemes, varieties, rational maps and morphisms between them are defined over \mathbf{k} . Points of varieties refer to closed points of the associated scheme. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of varieties, then the fibre over a point $y \in Y$ refers to the *schematic* fibre of f over y , i.e. $f^{-1}(y) = \text{Spec}(\kappa(y)) \times_Y X$ where $\text{Spec}(\kappa(y)) \rightarrow Y$ corresponds to the embedding of the point y in Y . More generally, the preimage of a closed subvariety Y' of Y corresponds to the *schematic* preimage of Y' under f , i.e. $f^{-1}(Y') = Y' \times_Y X$. If we speak of an n -dimensional scheme X , then we mean that every irreducible component of X has dimension n .

We denote for each $d \geq 0$ by $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$ the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . By convention, the zero polynomial will be assumed to be homogeneous of any degree $d \geq 0$ (even if it has degree $-\infty$).

2. HYPERSURFACES OF \mathbb{A}^3 THAT ARE ISOMORPHIC TO \mathbb{A}^2

2.1. Existence of singularities at infinity. In the sequel, we always see \mathbb{A}^3 as an open subvariety of \mathbb{P}^3 via the open embedding $\mathbb{A}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto [1 : x : y : z]$ and denote by $[w : x : y : z]$ the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^3 .

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $F \in \mathbf{k}[w, x, y, z]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d , let $f = F(1, x, y, z) \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ and let $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ be the corresponding hypersurface. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $f = xp + q$ for some polynomials $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$.
- (2) The closure \overline{X} in \mathbb{P}^3 has multiplicity $\geq d - 1$ at the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$.

Proof. We write $f = \sum_{i=0}^d x^{d-i} f_i(y, z)$ where $f_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is of degree $\leq i$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. For each i , we denote by $F_i \in \mathbf{k}[w, y, z]$ the homogeneous polynomial of degree i such that $F_i(1, y, z) = f_i$. This implies that $F = \sum_{i=0}^d x^{d-i} F_i$. Note that $\deg(F) = d$ and that \overline{X} is given by F in \mathbb{P}^3 . The multiplicity of \overline{X} , or equivalently of F , at the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ is the smallest integer $m \geq 0$ such that F_m is not zero. Hence, this multiplicity m satisfies $m \geq d - 1$ if and only if $F = xF_{d-1} + F_d$, which corresponds to ask that $f = xf_{d-1} + f_d$. \square

Corollary 2.1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \leq 3$ with $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$.*

- (1) *If $d = 3$, then the closure \overline{X} in \mathbb{P}^3 is singular.*
- (2) *Up to an affine coordinate change, X is given by $xp + q = 0$ for polynomials $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ with $\max(\deg(p) + 1, \deg(q)) = d$.*

Proof. (1): If \overline{X} is a smooth cubic hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^3 , then \overline{X} is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in 6 points and thus $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$. However, since $\overline{X} \setminus X$ has at most 3 irreducible components $\text{Pic}(X)$ is not trivial, so X cannot be isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 .

(2): There exists a point in $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ having multiplicity $\geq d - 1$: this is clear if $d \leq 2$ and follows from (1) if $d = 3$. Applying an affine automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 , we can assume that this point is $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$, and the result then follows from Lemma 2.1.1. \square

Remark 2.1.3. Corollary 2.1.2(1) is also true for $d \geq 4$: If \overline{X} is smooth, then it is a K3-surface in case $d = 4$ and of general type in case $d > 4$. In both situations \overline{X} is not rational.

Corollary 2.1.2(2) is false for $d \geq 4$: Consider the hypersurface X in \mathbb{A}^3 which is given by $f := z + (x + yz)^2 \cdot y^{d-4} = 0$. Note that X is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , since f is the first component of the composition $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ of the automorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^3 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \mathbb{A}^3 \\ (x, y, z) & \mapsto & (x + yz, y, z) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^3 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \mathbb{A}^3 \\ (x, y, z) & \mapsto & (x, y, z + x^2 y^{d-4}). \end{array}$$

Note that the closure \overline{X} in \mathbb{P}^3 is singular only along the lines $w = y = 0$ and $w = z = 0$ and that the multiplicity at each of these points is $\leq d - 2$. In particular, by Lemma 2.1.1 there is no affine coordinate change of \mathbb{A}^3 such that X is given by $xp + q = 0$ for $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$.

2.2. Hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree 1 in one variable. Motivated by Corollary 2.1.2, this section is devoted to the study of hypersurfaces $X \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ given by

$$xp(y, z) + q(y, z) = 0$$

for some polynomials $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ where $p \neq 0$. Note that the projection $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, z)$ restricts to a birational morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, which is an isomorphism on the open subsets where $p \neq 0$.

The following result generalises [BFH19, Theorem 1(2)], which is the case where the 1-dimensional schemes C, D are integral. We use for this the tools developed in [BFH19] (especially [BFH19, Proposition 3.16]). In the sequel we denote for any closed subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ the associated reduced scheme by Z_{red} .

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let C, D be two closed 1-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{A}^2 and let φ be an isomorphism of the complements*

$$\varphi: \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus D.$$

If C_{red} is a disjoint union of curves isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , then D_{red} is isomorphic to C_{red} . If moreover φ does not extend to an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 , then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and two polynomials $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ such that

$$\alpha(C) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y]/(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(D) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y]/(q)).$$

This implies that p and q have the same number of roots (counted without multiplicity) in \mathbf{k} .

Proof. If φ extends to an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 , the result holds. So we may assume that φ does not extend to an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 . We denote by C_1, \dots, C_r the irreducible components of C ; these are disjoint and isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 by assumption.

As usual, we view \mathbb{A}^2 as an open subset of \mathbb{P}^2 via the standard embedding $\mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, $(x, y) \mapsto [1 : x : y]$ and denote by $\hat{\varphi}: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the extension of φ via this embedding. As φ is an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus D$, the birational map $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\overline{C} \cup L_\infty) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\overline{D} \cup L_\infty),$$

where the closure is here taken in \mathbb{P}^2 and where $L_\infty = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}^2$ is the line at infinity.

Denoting by $J_{\hat{\varphi}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and $J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ the union of the closed curves of \mathbb{P}^2 contracted by $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}$, [BFH19, Proposition 2.3] implies that $J_{\hat{\varphi}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and $J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ have the same number of irreducible components and that $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus J_{\hat{\varphi}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}}$. This implies that $J_{\hat{\varphi}} \subseteq \overline{C} \cup L_\infty$ and $J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}} \subseteq \overline{D} \cup L_\infty$, and that $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to an isomorphism $(\overline{C} \cup L_\infty) \setminus J_{\hat{\varphi}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\overline{D} \cup L_\infty) \setminus J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}}$. In particular, the number of irreducible components of C and D (and of their closures) is the same, equal to $r \geq 1$.

We now prove that there exist $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ such that $\alpha(C_i)$ is the line $y = 0$ (as $C_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$, this is for instance always true if $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ by the Abyhankar-Moh-Suzuki theorem, but we will not use this result here, and do the general case where $\text{char}(\mathbf{k})$ is arbitrary). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have $C_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$, and [BFH19, Proposition 3.16] gives the existence of an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ that sends C_i onto the line $y = 0$ as soon as there is a birational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ that contracts \overline{C}_i (and maybe some other curves) onto a point. Hence, we only need to

consider the case where no one of the curves $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_r$ is contracted by $\hat{\varphi}$. This implies that $J_{\hat{\varphi}}$ is either empty or equal to L_∞ . Let

$$R = \begin{cases} J_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}} & \text{if } J_{\hat{\varphi}} = L_\infty \\ \hat{\varphi}_*(L_\infty) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

If $J_{\hat{\varphi}} = L_\infty$, then R is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 , since $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus R) = \mathbb{Z}/\deg(R)\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L_\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/\deg(L_\infty)\mathbb{Z}$. If $J_{\hat{\varphi}}$ is empty, then $\hat{\varphi}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 , so $R = \hat{\varphi}_*(L_\infty)$ is a line as well. In both cases, $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus R$. Note that $R \neq L_\infty$, as otherwise φ would extend to an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 . Hence, $\hat{\varphi}^*(L_\infty)$ is one of the curves \overline{C}_i . The extension of any automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 that is not affine gives a birational map $\psi: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ that contracts L_∞ onto a point, so $\psi \circ \hat{\varphi}$ is a birational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ that contracts \overline{C}_i onto a point. Using again the result cited before, this gives the existence of $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ such that $\alpha(C_i)$ is the line $y = 0$.

We may assume that $i = 1$. Applying the desired automorphism α , we may further assume that C_1 is given by $y = 0$. Since the other curves C_2, \dots, C_r are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 and disjoint from C_1 , there are $r - 1$ distinct $a_2, \dots, a_r \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that C_i is given by $y = a_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Indeed, the restriction of the morphism $(x, y) \rightarrow y$ gives an invertible function on $C_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$, which has to be constant. In particular, $C = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y]/(p))$ for a polynomial $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ with $p(\lambda) \neq 0$, let $E_\lambda \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ be the closed curve which is given by $y = \lambda$. Thus E_λ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 and disjoint from C_1, \dots, C_r . The image $F_\lambda = \varphi(E_\lambda)$ is then a closed curve in $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus D$ that is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . In particular, F_λ is closed in \mathbb{A}^2 and disjoint from D_1, \dots, D_r . Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ be fixed with $p(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. The composition of $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}$ with the birational map $(x, y) \mapsto (x \cdot (y - \lambda_0), y)$ gives a birational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ that contracts the closure of F_{λ_0} . Hence, using again [BFH19, Proposition 3.16], there exists $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ such that $\beta(F_{\lambda_0})$ is given by $y = 0$. After applying the automorphism β at the target, we may assume that F_{λ_0} is given by $y = 0$. As $(x, y) \rightarrow y$ is an invertible function on $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus F_{\lambda_0}$, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ with $p(\lambda) \neq 0$ the curve $F_\lambda \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$ is given by $y = \lambda'$ for some $\lambda' \in \mathbf{k}$. Hence, each of the curves D_i is disjoint from infinitely many lines of the form $y = \lambda'$, so there is $q \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ with $D = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y]/(q))$. \square

Proposition 2.2.1 allows us to provide information on the polynomial p of hypersurfaces given by $xp + q = 0$ in \mathbb{A}^3 . The following result is due to Russell [Rus76, Theorem 2.3]; for the sake of completeness we insert the short proof.

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ be such that*

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(xp + q))$$

is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 and such that $p \notin \mathbf{k}$. Then, the irreducible components of F_p are disjoint and isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , where

$$F_p = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(p)).$$

Moreover, there is an automorphism of $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ that sends p onto an element of $\mathbf{k}[y]$.

Proof. Note that the morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, z)$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$X \setminus X_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus F_p,$$

where

$$X_p = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(xp+q, p)) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(p, q)) = \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(p, q)).$$

Since X is by assumption irreducible, it follows that $xp + q$ is an irreducible polynomial and thus p, q have no non-trivial common factor in $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$. In particular, $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(p, q))_{\text{red}}$ consists of a finite number of points and the irreducible components of X_p are disjoint and isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . Proposition 2.2.1 implies that $(F_p)_{\text{red}}$ and $(X_p)_{\text{red}}$ are isomorphic. Since $p \notin \mathbf{k}$ we get $F_p \neq \emptyset$ and thus $X_p \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, each irreducible curve of X_p is contracted by φ onto a point, so φ is not an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 . Proposition 2.2.1 then gives an automorphism of $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ that sends p onto an element of $\mathbf{k}[y]$. \square

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let $p \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and consider the polynomial*

$$f = xp(y) + q(y, z) \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z].$$

Write $\tilde{p} = \prod_{i=1}^r (y - a_i)$ where $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbf{k}$ are the r distinct roots of p . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 ;
- (2) There exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z])$ such that $\varphi(x) = f$ and $\varphi(y) = y$;
- (3) There exist $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z], r_0, r_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ with $\deg(r_i) < r$ for $i = 0, 1$ such that $r_1(a_i) \neq 0$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and

$$q(y, z) = a\tilde{p} + zr_1 + r_0.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): This is done in [Rus76, Theorem 2.3], see also [Sat76] for the case $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): The automorphism φ corresponds to an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 that sends X onto $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(x)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): We consider the morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ given by $(x, y, z) \mapsto y$. Then, outside of $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$, π is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle. If X is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , then each fibre of π needs to be isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 (this follows for instance from [Gan11, Theorem 4.12]). We write $q(y, z) = \sum_{j=0}^d z^j (q_j \tilde{p} + r_j)$, with $q_j, r_j \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ and $\deg(r_j) < r = \deg(\tilde{p})$ for each j .

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the fibre of π over a_i is $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, z]/(q(a_i, z)))$, so $q(a_i, z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1 in z (as each fibre of π is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1). This implies that $r_j(a_i) = 0$ for each $j \geq 2$ and that $r_1(a_i) \neq 0$. As $\deg(r_j) < r$, we obtain that $r_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. This gives (3) with $a = \sum_{j=0}^d z^j q_j$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): As already mentioned, the morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle over $U = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. In particular, the generic fibre of π is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}(y)}^1$ and the fibres of π over points lying in U are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$. Using (3), we get that for each i , the fibre $\pi^{-1}(a_i) = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, z]/(zr_1(a_i) + r_0(a_i)))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$. Now, it follows from [BvS19b, Lemma 3.10] that $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ (the argument is essentially due to Asanuma [Asa87, Corollary 3.2]). \square

Corollary 2.2.4. *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial of degree ≤ 3 . Then f is a variable of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ if and only if $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$. In particular, if this holds, then $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f - \lambda)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$.*

Proof. If f is a variable of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$, then $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f - \lambda)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, and thus in particular for $\lambda = 0$. Conversely, we suppose that $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , and prove that f is a variable.

After an affine coordinate change we may assume $f = xp(y) + q(y, z)$ with $p \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \{0\}$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ (Proposition 2.3.5). If $p \in \mathbf{k}^*$, then f is a variable as $(f, y, z) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$. If $p \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}$, then Proposition 2.2.3(2) implies that f is a variable. \square

2.3. Hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 of small degree that are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 .

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[t]$ be two polynomials such that*

$$\mathbf{k}[t] = \mathbf{k}[p, q] \text{ and } \deg(p) < \deg(q).$$

Then, either $1 \in \{\deg(p), \deg(q)\}$ or $2 \leq \deg(p) \leq \deg(q) - 2$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\deg(p) \leq 0$, which is equivalent to $p \in \mathbf{k}$. We obtain $\mathbf{k}[t] = \mathbf{k}[q]$, which implies that $\deg(q) = 1$. Indeed, $\deg(q) \geq 1$ since $q \notin \mathbf{k}$ and $\deg(q) > 1$ is impossible, as the degree of any element of $\mathbf{k}[q]$ is a multiple of $\deg(q)$.

If $\deg(p) = 1$, the result holds, so we may assume that $\deg(p) \geq 2$. It remains to see that $\deg(p) < \deg(q) - 1$. We then consider the closed embedding $f: \mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ given by $t \mapsto (p(t), q(t))$, which extends to a morphism $\hat{f}: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $[t : u] \mapsto [u^d : P(t, u) : Q(t, u)]$, where $d = \deg(q)$ and where $P(t, u) = u^d \cdot p(\frac{t}{u})$, $Q(t, u) = u^d \cdot q(\frac{t}{u})$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree d . The image $\Gamma = \hat{f}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a closed curve of \mathbb{P}^2 that is rational and smooth outside of $[0 : 0 : 1] = \hat{f}([1 : 0])$. The degree of Γ is the intersection of Γ with a general line, which is then equal to $d = \deg(q) \geq 3$. The multiplicity m of Γ at the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$ satisfies then $m > 1$, as a smooth curve of degree $d \geq 3$ has genus $\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} \geq 1$. It remains to observe that $m = \deg(q) - \deg(p)$. This can be checked in coordinates, or simply seen geometrically: a general line of \mathbb{P}^2 passing through $[0 : 0 : 1]$ intersects the curve $\Gamma \setminus \{[0 : 0 : 1]\}$ in $\deg(q) - m$ points and these points correspond to the roots of $p - \lambda$ for some general λ . \square

Corollary 2.3.2. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y])$ be a closed curve isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , of degree ≤ 3 . Then, up to applying an element of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, the curve C is given by $x + p(y) = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 3 with no constant or linear term.*

Proof. Let $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[t]$ be such that $t \mapsto (p(t), q(t))$ is an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C$ defined over \mathbf{k} . The polynomials p, q satisfy then $\mathbf{k}[p, q] = \mathbf{k}[t]$. After applying an affine automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 , we may assume that $\deg(p) < \deg(q)$. By Lemma 2.3.1, we obtain $1 \in \{\deg(p), \deg(q)\}$.

We first assume that $\deg(q) = 1$, which implies that $\deg(p) < 1$, so $p \in \mathbf{k}$. After applying an affine automorphism of \mathbb{A}^2 , we get $p = 0$ and $q = t$, so the curve C is given by $x = 0$.

We then assume that $\deg(p) = 1$. After applying an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^1 , we may assume that $p = t$. Hence, C is given by $y - q(x) = 0$. After applying the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$, the equation is $x - q(y) = 0$. By using an automorphism of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ay + b, y)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, we may assume that q has no constant or linear term. \square

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial of the form*

$$f = xp(y, z) + q(y, z),$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ with $p \neq 0$ and $\deg(p) \leq 3$. If the surface $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , then after applying an affine automorphism on y and z , one of the following cases hold:

- (1) $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ has degree ≤ 3 ;
- (2) $p = y + r(z)$ for some $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree 2 or 3.

Proof. If $p \in \mathbf{k}$, then we are in case (1). We may thus assume that $p \notin \mathbf{k}$. By Proposition 2.2.2, the irreducible components of $F_p = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(p))$ are disjoint and isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 .

We use the embedding $\mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, $(y, z) \mapsto [1 : y : z]$ and denote by $L_\infty = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}^2$ the line at infinity.

If the irreducible components of F_p are lines, then they have to pass through the same point in L_∞ . After applying an affine automorphism, we may assume that the point is $[0 : 0 : 1]$, which implies that $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.

It remains to study the case where at least one irreducible component has degree ≥ 2 . This component corresponds to an irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ of degree $d \in \{2, 3\}$ whose closure \overline{C} in \mathbb{P}^2 is again an irreducible curve of degree d .

By Corollary 2.3.2, we may apply an affine automorphism and assume that C is the zero locus of $y + r(z)$ for some polynomial r of degree d . If F_p is equal to C , then $p = y + r(z)$ (up to some constant which can be removed by an affine automorphism). Otherwise, as F_p has degree ≤ 3 , we get that F_p is reduced, and it is the disjoint union of the degree 2 curve C with some line. But there is no such line in \mathbb{A}^2 : by Bézout's theorem, the closure of the line in \mathbb{P}^2 would be tangent to the conic \overline{C} at the point at infinity of \overline{C} , impossible as already L_∞ is tangent to \overline{C} at that point. \square

Proposition 2.3.4. *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial of degree ≤ 3 of the form*

$$f = xp(y, z) + q(y, z),$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. If the surface $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , then after applying an affine automorphism that fixes the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$, one of the following cases occur:

- (1) $f = y + s(z)$ for some polynomial $s \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree ≤ 3 ;
- (2) $f = x(y + z^2) + z$;
- (3) $f = x + r_2(y, z) + r_3(y, z)$ for some homogeneous $r_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree i ;
- (4) $f = xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ for a homogeneous polynomial $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 2;
- (5) $f = xy^2 + ys(z) + z$ for a polynomial $s \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree ≤ 2 ;
- (6) $f = xy(y + 1) + s(y)z + t(y)$ for some polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 1 with $s(0)s(-1) \neq 0$.

Proof. If $p = 0$, then $f = q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, so $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) = \mathbb{A}^1 \times \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(f))$, which implies that $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, z]/(f)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$. By Corollary 2.3.2, we may apply an affine automorphism on y and z in order to be in case (1). We may thus assume in the sequel that $p \neq 0$.

According to Lemma 2.3.3, we only need to consider the following two cases: either $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ or $p = y + r(z)$ for some $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree 2.

Suppose first that $p = y + r(z)$ for some $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree 2. By using the (non-affine) automorphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y - r(z), z)$ of \mathbb{A}^3 , we get

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(xy + q(y - r(z), z))).$$

Then, Proposition 2.2.3 shows that $q(y - r(z), z) = ay + \lambda z + \mu$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$. This gives

$$f = xp + q = (x + s)(y + r) + \lambda z + \mu,$$

where $s = a(y + r, z) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. As $\deg(r) = 2$, we obtain that $\deg(s) \leq 1$. Hence, after applying the affine automorphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x - s(y, z), y, z)$, we may assume that f is equal to $x(y + r(z)) + \lambda z + \mu$. Using the affine automorphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \lambda^{-1}(z - \mu))$, we obtain $x(y + r'(z)) + z$ for some $r' = \sum_{i=0}^2 \mu_i z^i \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree 2. After replacing y with $y - \mu_0 - \mu_1 z$ we get $x(y + \mu_2 z^2) + z$. We then apply $(x, y, z) \mapsto (\mu_2^{-1} x, \mu_2 y, z)$ in order to be in case (2).

It remains to consider the case where $p \in \mathbf{k}[y]$. We distinguish the different cases:

If $p \in \mathbf{k}^*$, we may assume that $p = 1$ and after applying $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x - q_0 - q_1(y, z), y, z)$ we are in case (3), where $q_0, q_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ are the constant and linear part of q , respectively.

If p has one single root, we may assume that $p = y^i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then, Proposition 2.2.3 shows that $q(y, z) = ay + \lambda z + \mu$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$. After applying the affine automorphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \lambda^{-1}(z - \mu))$ we may assume that $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$.

If $i = 1$, then $f = xy + yr(y, z) + z$ for some polynomial r of degree ≤ 2 . Let $r_1, r_0 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ be the homogeneous parts of degree 1 and degree 0 of r , respectively. We may apply the affine automorphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x - r_1(y, z) - r_0, y, z)$ and thus we may assume that r is homogeneous of degree 2. Hence, we are in case (4).

If $i = 2$, then $f = xy^2 + yr(y, z) + z$ for some polynomial r of degree ≤ 2 . Now, after applying a suitable affine automorphism of the form $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x - b(y, z), y, z)$ we may assume that $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and thus we are in case (5).

We then assume that p has two distinct roots. We may assume that $p = y(y+1)$. Proposition 2.2.3 shows that $q(y, z) = ay(y+1) + sz + t$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree ≤ 1 , and some $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 1 with $s(0) \neq 0$, $s(-1) \neq 0$. After applying $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x - a(y, z), y, z)$ we are in case (6). \square

Proposition 2.3.5 (Hypersurfaces isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 of degree ≤ 3). *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree ≤ 3 . If the surface $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , then there is $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$, such that one of the following cases occur:*

- A) $\alpha^*(f) = x + r_2(y, z) + r_3(y, z)$ for some homogeneous $r_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree i ;
- B) $\alpha^*(f) = xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ for a homogeneous $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree 2;
- C) $\alpha^*(f) = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$.

Moreover, if $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ is one of the polynomials from cases (3)-(6) of Proposition 2.3.4, then we may in addition assume that $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.1.2 we may assume that

$$f = xp + q$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ with $\deg(p) \leq 2$ and $\deg(q) \leq 3$. We go through the different cases of Proposition 2.3.4.

(1): We exchange x, y and get $f = x + s(z)$ and then we replace x with $x + a + bz$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$ in order to be in case A).

(2): We exchange x, y and get $f = y(x + z^2) + z = xy + yz^2 + z$ which is a subcase of B).

(3) and (4) directly give A) and B), except if we are in case (4) with $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$, in which case we exchange x, z in order to be in case A).

(5): We have $f = xy^2 + ys(z) + z$ for some polynomial s of degree ≤ 2 . We distinguish three cases:

If $\deg(s) \leq 0$, we have $s \in \mathbf{k}$. After the coordinate change $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, z - sy)$ and the exchange of x, z we are in case A).

If $\deg(s) = 1$, we have $f = xy^2 + y(az + b) + z$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $b \in \mathbf{k}$. We replace x, y, z with $a(az + b), (y - 1)/a, x$ and obtain $xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ where $r_2 = yz + uy + vz + w$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbf{k}$. After replacing x with $x - uy - vz - w$, we may assume that r_2 is homogeneous and still not in $\mathbf{k}[y]$; this gives B).

If $\deg(s) = 2$ we apply a homothety in x and y , and obtain C).

(6): We exchange x and z and get $f = xs(y) + y(y + 1)z + t(y)$ for some polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 1 with $s(0)s(-1) \neq 0$. If $s \in \mathbf{k}$, then $s \neq 0$ and after applying $(x, y, z) \mapsto (s^{-1}(x - t(y)), y, z)$ we are in case A). Otherwise, we replace $s(y)$ with y and get $xy + u(y)z + v(y)$ where $u, v \in \mathbf{k}[y]$, $\deg(u) = 2$, $\deg(v) \leq 1$ and $u(0) \neq 0$. Hence, we get $xy + ya(y, z) + \lambda z + \mu$ with $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$. After replacing $\lambda z + \mu$ with z we get $f = xy + yb(y, z) + z$ for some $b \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. When we write b as $b_0 + b_1 + b_2$, where each $b_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i , we may replace x with $x - b_0 - b_1$ and obtain B), except when $b_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$: then we exchange x and z in order to be in case A).

Moreover, in cases (3)-(6) we see that the constructed affine coordinate change maps $\mathbf{k}[y]$ onto itself. This shows the last statement. \square

In the next corollary, we list several properties of the closure in \mathbb{P}^3 of a hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^3 of degree 3 which is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 .

Corollary 2.3.6. *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial of degree 3 such that $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ and write $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ where $f_i \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i .*

- (1) *If f_3 defines a conic Γ and a tangent line L in \mathbb{P}^2 , then the singular locus of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ equals the point $(\Gamma \cap L)_{\text{red}}$. Is the next sentence needed? It seems only used in Lemma ??, which is maybe obsolete, so in this case I suggest to remove it. Moreover, if this point is $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$, then there exist $s, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 1 and 3, respectively, with $f = xs^2 + q$.*
- (2) *If f_3 defines one line (with multiplicity 3) in \mathbb{P}^2 , then f_2 is either zero or defines some lines in \mathbb{P}^2 and all the lines given by f_3 and f_2 have a point in \mathbb{P}^2 in common. Moreover, the singular locus of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is given by $w = f_2 = f_3 = 0$.*
- (3) *If f_3 neither defines a conic and a tangent line in \mathbb{P}^2 , nor one line in \mathbb{P}^2 , then f_3 defines several lines in \mathbb{P}^2 and all these lines pass through the same point $q \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Moreover, q lies in the singular locus of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.*

Proof. Applying an affine automorphism, we are in one of the three cases A)-B)-C) of Proposition 2.3.5. The affine automorphism induces an automorphisms of the plane at infinity and thus an isomorphism between the curve in \mathbb{P}^2 given by $f_3 = 0$ and respectively $r_3(y, z) = 0$, $yr_2(y, z) = 0$ and $y(xy + z^2) = 0$ where $r_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i for $i = 1, 2$. We thus obtain two cases for $f_3 = 0$, namely a conic and a tangent line (1), or a set of lines through the same point: (2)-(3). The distinction between (2) and (3) corresponds to ask whether the lines are all the same or not. We study the three cases separately.

(1): Here we are in Case C) of Proposition 2.3.5. There exist thus $\psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$ with $f = \psi^*(g)$ where $g = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$. Let $G \in \mathbf{k}[w, x, y, z]$

be the homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 such that $G(1, x, y, z) = g$. The gradient of G

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \right) \\ & = (y(az + 2bw) + 2zw, y^2, 2xy + z^2 + azw + bw^2, y(2z + aw) + w^2) \end{aligned}$$

is equal to zero if and only if $w = y = z = 0$ and thus $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ is the only singularity of the hypersurface $G = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^3 . Moreover, $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ is the intersection of the conic $w = xy + z^2 = 0$ and the tangent line $w = y = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^3 . This gives the first claim. If the singularity of \overline{X} is located at $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$, then ψ fixes $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ and thus ψ^* preserves $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$. This gives the second claim.

(2): Here we are in Case A) of Proposition 2.3.5. There exist thus $\psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and a homogeneous $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 2 such that $f = \psi^*(h)$ where $h = x + r_2(y, z) + y^3$. Let $\varphi \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbf{k})$ be the linear part of ψ . Then $f_3 = \varphi^*(y)^3$ and $f_2 = r_2(\varphi^*(y), \varphi^*(z)) + 3\delta\varphi^*(y)^2$ where $\psi^*(y) = \varphi^*(y) + \delta$. Thus $f_2, f_3 \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ for $s = \varphi^*(y)$, $t = \varphi^*(z)$ and the first claim follows. Let $H \in \mathbf{k}[w, x, y, z]$ such that $H(1, x, y, z) = h$. The gradient of H

$$\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \right) = \left(2xw + r_2(y, z), w^2, w \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial y}(y, z) + 3y^2, w \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial z}(y, z) \right)$$

is equal to zero if and only if

$$\begin{cases} w = y = r_2(y, z) = 0 & \text{if } \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 3 \\ w = r_2(y, z) = 0 & \text{if } \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3 \end{cases} .$$

Since the intersection of $H = 0$ with the plane $w = 0$ at infinity only consists of the line $w = y = 0$, the singular locus of $H = 0$ is equal to $w = y = r_2(y, z) = 0$ (where \mathbf{k} has any characteristic). Note that this singular locus is mapped via ψ^{-1} onto $w = s = r_2(s, t) + 3\delta s^2 = 0$ and thus the second claim follows.

(3): The first claim directly follows from Proposition 2.3.5 and we may assume (after an affine automorphism) that f is as in case A) or in case B). In both cases the common intersection point of the lines defined by f_3 is $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ which is a singularity of $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ by Lemma 2.1.1. \square

Corollary 2.3.7. *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree 3 such that the hypersurface $X = V_{\mathbb{A}^3}(f)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 and such that the closure of X in \mathbb{P}^3 contains the line $w = y = 0$. After applying an affine automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 that preserves the line $w = y = 0$, we obtain one of the following cases:*

- $f = x + r_2(y, z) + ys_2(y, z)$ for some homogeneous $r_2, s_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 2, with $s_2 \neq 0$;
- $f = xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ for a homogeneous $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree 2;
- $f = xz + yzr_1(y, z) + y + \delta z$ for some homogeneous $r_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \{0\}$ of degree 1 and $\delta \in \mathbf{k}$;
- $f = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$;

Proof. There exists an affine automorphism that sends f onto a $g \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ which is one of the polynomials from Proposition 2.3.5. We then look at the image ℓ of the line $w = y = 0$ in the plane at infinity $H_\infty = \{[w : x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid w = 0\}$ and apply an affine automorphism to send it back to $w = y = 0$.

In case A), $g = x + r_2(y, z) + r_3(y, z)$ for some homogeneous $r_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree i . As $\deg(g) = 3$, we get $r_3 \neq 0$, and the line ℓ is given by $p_1(y, z) = 0$ for

some homogeneous polynomial $p_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 1 that divides r_3 . We apply an element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ acting on y, z and obtain **a**).

In case **B**), $g = xy + yr_2(y, z) + z$ for a homogeneous polynomial $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree 2. The line ℓ is given by $p_1(y, z) = 0$ for some homogeneous polynomial $p_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 1 that divides $yr_2(y, z)$. If ℓ is the line $y = 0$ we get **b**). Otherwise, the line is $\alpha y + \beta z$ with $\beta \neq 0$ and $g = xy + y(\alpha y + \beta z)s_1(y, z) + z$ for some homogeneous degree 1 polynomial $s_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \{0\}$. We apply a linear coordinate change and send $\alpha y + \beta z$ and y respectively to y and z ; this sends z onto $\gamma y + \delta z$ with $\gamma \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\delta \in \mathbf{k}$, and sends g onto $xz + yzs'_1(y, z) + \gamma y + \delta z$ for some homogeneous degree 1 polynomial $s'_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \{0\}$. We replace y with $\gamma^{-1}y$ and get **c**).

In case **C**), $g = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b, \in \mathbf{k}$ and thus the line ℓ is $y = 0$. Hence we obtain **d**). \square

Corollary 2.3.8 (Hypersurfaces isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 of degree 2). *Let $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree 2 and assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 . Then, after applying an affine automorphism, one of the following cases occur:*

- (1) $f = x + y^2$;
- (2) $f = x + yz$.

Proof. Since f is of degree 2, it follows from Proposition 2.3.5 that f is equal to $x + r_2(y, z)$ for a non-zero homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 up to an affine automorphism. Depending whether $r_2(y, z) = 0$ has one or two zeros in \mathbb{P}^1 we are in case (1) and case (2), respectively. \square

3. FAMILIES OF CUBIC HYPERSURFACES OF \mathbb{A}^3 , ALL ISOMORPHIC TO \mathbb{A}^2

In this section, we study families of cubic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 that are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 . In order to do this we begin with linear systems on \mathbb{P}^2 .

3.1. Linear systems on \mathbb{P}^2 . To study families of hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 , it is natural to look at the behaviour at infinity. We will then need the following simple results:

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be two homogeneous polynomials of degree $d \geq 1$ without common factor. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The polynomial $\lambda f + \mu g$ is divisible by a linear factor, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{k}$.*
- (2) *The polynomial $\lambda f + g$ is divisible by a linear factor, for infinitely many $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$.*
- (3) *There are two linear polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$.*

Proof. Observe that the subset $R_d \subset \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_d$ of elements that are divisible by a linear factor is closed. Indeed, $\mathbb{P}(R_d)$ is the image of the morphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_{d-1})$, $(p, q) \mapsto pq$. Hence, the set

$$\{[\lambda : \mu] \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid \lambda f + \mu g \text{ is divisible by a linear factor}\}$$

is a closed subset of \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus it is infinite if and only if it is the whole \mathbb{P}^1 . This gives the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

Let us prove (3) \Rightarrow (1). As f and g have no common factor, s, t are linearly independent. We apply a linear coordinate change and may assume that $s = x$ and $t = y$. Now, it is enough to remark that every homogeneous polynomial of $\mathbf{k}[x, y]$ is a product of linear factors.

It remains to prove (1) \Rightarrow (3). We prove this by induction on $d = \deg(f) = \deg(g)$. The case where $d = 1$ holds by choosing $s = f$ and $t = g$. We consider the dominant rational map $\eta: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $[x : y : z] \mapsto [f(x, y, z) : g(x, y, z)]$. If $\mathbf{k}(\frac{f}{g})$ is separably closed in $\mathbf{k}(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z})$, then a general fibre of η is irreducible [FOV99, Theorem 3.3.17, page 105] (but not necessarily reduced). After replacing f, g with another basis of $\mathbf{k}f \oplus \mathbf{k}g$, we may thus assume that the zero locus of f and g are irreducible curves in \mathbb{P}^2 . The assumption (1) implies that two linear factors $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ exist such that $f = s^d$ and $g = t^d$. This gives (3). If $\mathbf{k}(\frac{f}{g})$ is not separably closed in $\mathbf{k}(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z})$, then there is a rational map $\frac{a}{b}$ (where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ are homogeneous of the same degree without common factor) such that $\mathbf{k}(\frac{f}{g}) \subsetneq \mathbf{k}(\frac{a}{b})$ is a proper algebraic field extension. Hence, we may decompose η as $\eta = \nu \circ \eta'$, where $\nu: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a finite morphism which is not an isomorphism and $\eta': \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is given by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [a(x, y, z) : b(x, y, z)]$. Note that $\deg(a) = \deg(b) < d$ since ν is not an isomorphism. As infinitely many fibres of η contain lines, the same holds for η' , so (2) holds for a and b . By induction, we find two homogeneous linear polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ such that $a, b \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ and hence $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ too. \square

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let $d \geq 2$ and let $V \subseteq \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_d$ be a vector subspace such that the gcd of all elements of V is 1, and such that each element of V is divisible by a linear factor. Then, one of the following holds:*

- (1) *There are two linear polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $V \subseteq \mathbf{k}[s, t]$.*
- (2) *The degree d is a power of $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 0$, and $V = \mathbf{k}x^d \oplus \mathbf{k}y^d \oplus \mathbf{k}z^d$.*

Proof. Since the gcd of all elements in V is 1, we get $\dim V \geq 2$. Suppose first that every element of V is a d -th power in $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$. Then up to a linear coordinate change we may assume that $x^d, y^d \in V$. Since $x^d - y^d$ is a d -th power and is divisible by $x - y$, we get $x^d - y^d = (x - y)^d$. As $d \geq 2$, this implies that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 0$ and that d is a power of p . We get (1) if V is generated by x^d and y^d and (2) otherwise.

Suppose now that some element $f \in V$ is not a d -th power. By Lemma 3.1.1, we may apply a linear coordinate change and may assume that $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$. For each element $g \in V$ that has no common factor with f , there exist two linear polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $f, g \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ by Lemma 3.1.1. As $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ is not a power of an element of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ and as $f \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ is homogeneous, there are linearly independent $p_1, q_1 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]_1$ such that f is divisible by the product $p_1 q_1$. Since $\mathbf{k}[s, t]$ is factorially closed in $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ and as $f \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$, we get $p_1, q_1 \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ and thus $x, y \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$, i.e. $\mathbf{k}[x, y] = \mathbf{k}[s, t]$. In particular, $g \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$. Since the set of elements $g \in V$ that have no common factor with f is Zariski open in V , this set spans V as a \mathbf{k} vector space and so $V \subset \mathbf{k}[x, y]$. \square

Lemma 3.1.3. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]_2$, such that $\mathbf{k}g_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}g_n = \mathbf{k}x^2 \oplus \mathbf{k}y^2$. If $s \geq 0$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]_s$ are such that $\sum_i \lambda_i g_i$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i h_i$ have a common non-zero linear factor for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$, then either $h_i = 0$ for all i or $s \geq 2$ and there exists $h \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]_{s-2} \setminus \{0\}$ with $h_i = hg_i$ for all i .*

Proof. Note that $n \geq 2$. After a linear coordinate change in x, y and after replacing h_1, \dots, h_n and g_1, \dots, g_n with certain linear combinations we may assume that $g_1 = x^2$ and $g_i = y^2$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n$. For each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $(\alpha x + \beta y)^2 = \alpha^2 g_1 + \beta^2 g_i$ and $\alpha^2 h_1 + \beta^2 h_i$ have a common non-zero linear factor, so $\alpha x + \beta y$ divides $\alpha^2 h_1 + \beta^2 h_i$, which means that $\alpha^2 h_1(\beta, \alpha) + \beta^2 h_i(\beta, \alpha) = 0$. As

this last equation is true for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $y^2 h_1 + x^2 h_i$ is zero. We get a polynomial \tilde{h}_i such that $h_1 = \tilde{h}_i x^2$ and $h_i = \tilde{h}_i y^2$. The equality $h_1 = \tilde{h}_i x^2$ yields that \tilde{h}_i is independent of i , so writing $h = h_i$ gives the result. \square

Lemma 3.1.4. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 0$ and denote by $\phi: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the Frobenius endomorphism.*

- (1) *For each $A \in \text{PGL}_3$, there exists $v \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $A\phi(v) = v$.*
- (2) *For each $B \in \text{PGL}_3$, there exists $v \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\phi(Bv) = v$.*

Proof. We will only prove (1), as (2) follows from it by choosing A to be the matrix obtained from B by taking the p -th power of each entry. We then have to show that

$$\Gamma = \{ A \in \text{PGL}_3 \mid A\phi(v) = v \text{ for some } v \in \mathbb{P}^2 \}$$

is equal to PGL_3 . We consider

$$M = \{ (A, v) \in \text{PGL}_3 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \mid A\phi(v) = v \}$$

and obtain $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$, where $\pi_1: M \rightarrow \text{PGL}_3$ is the first projection. Moreover, the second projection $\pi_2: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a locally trivial P -bundle, where P is the parabolic subgroup of PGL_3 that fixes $[0 : 0 : 1]$. Note that P is irreducible and $\dim P = 6$. In particular, M is irreducible of dimension 8, and thus $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ is also irreducible. As π_1 is proper, we get that Γ is closed in PGL_3 and thus we only have to show that $\dim \Gamma = 8$.

Assume firstly that a dense open subset $U \subseteq \Gamma$ exists, such that $\pi_2^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is bijective. As $\pi_2^{-1}(U)$ is open in M , it has dimension 8, so the same holds for U and then for Γ . We may then assume that a smooth point $A \in \Gamma$ exists such that $\pi_2^{-1}(A)$ contains two distinct elements $(A, v_1), (A, v_2)$, with $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2$.

For $i = 1, 2$, we write $W_i = \{ R \in \text{PGL}_3 \mid R\phi(v_i) = v_i \}$ and get $A \in W_i$. As $W_1 \cup W_2 \subseteq \Gamma$ and as A is a smooth point of Γ , it suffices to see that $\dim(T_A(W_1) + T_A(W_2)) = \dim T_A(\text{PGL}_3) = 8$, where $T_A(\cdot)$ denotes the tangent space at A . To do this, let us take an element $S \in \text{PGL}_3$ that sends v_1 and v_2 respectively onto $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and $p_2 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. The automorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{PGL}_3 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{PGL}_3 \\ R & \longmapsto & SRA^{-1}S^{-1} \end{array}$$

sends W_i onto $(\text{PGL}_3)_{p_i} = \{ R \in \text{PGL}_3 \mid Rp_i = p_i \}$ and sends A onto the identity $I \in \text{PGL}_3$. It then remains to check that $\dim(T_I((\text{PGL}_3)_{p_1}) + T_I((\text{PGL}_3)_{p_2})) = \dim T_A(\text{PGL}_3) = 8$. \square

3.2. Affine linear systems of affine spaces. It turns out that the following definition is very useful for us:

Definition 3.2.1. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. We say that a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^d & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_d) & \longmapsto & (f_1(x_1, \dots, x_d), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, x_d)) \end{array}$$

is an *affine linear system of affine spaces* if for each $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ and each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n \setminus \{0\}$ the polynomial $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_n f_n$ is not constant and the corresponding hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^d is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^{d-1} . This is equivalent to say that the preimage of every affine linear hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^n under the morphism $(f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^{d-1} .

We call two affine linear systems of affine spaces $(f_1, \dots, f_n), (g_1, \dots, g_n): \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ *equivalent* if there exist affine automorphisms $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^d)$, $\beta \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ such that

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \beta \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ \alpha.$$

If the preimage of every linear hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^n under the morphism $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^{d-1} , then we say that f is a *linear system of affine spaces*. Hence, every affine linear system of affine spaces is a linear system of affine spaces.

Remark 3.2.2. Every automorphism $f: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces and two automorphisms $f, g: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ are equivalent, if they are the same up to affine automorphisms at the source and target.

Next, we list some basic properties of affine linear systems of affine spaces.

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be polynomials and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ be the corresponding morphism $\mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$.*

- (1) *If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces and if $f_{i,1}$ denotes the homogeneous part of f_i of degree 1 for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $f_{1,1}, \dots, f_{n,1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{k} in $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_d]_1$. In particular, $n \leq d$.*
- (2) *Assume that f is an affine linear system of affine spaces. Then for all automorphisms $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^d)$ and all $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^n)$, the composition $\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi: \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces.*
- (3) *Assume that $\deg(f) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \deg(f_i) = 1$. Then f is an affine linear system of affine spaces if and only if $f: \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is surjective. In particular, if $d \geq n$, then up to equivalence there is exactly one affine linear system of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree 1.*
- (4) *If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ are of degree ≤ 3 , then $(f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ defines a linear system of affine spaces if and only if it defines an affine linear system of affine spaces.*
- (5) *Let $\pi: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^l$ be an affine linear surjective morphism. If f is an affine linear system of affine spaces, then the composition $\pi \circ f: \mathbb{A}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^l$ as well.*
- (6) *Let $\rho: \mathbb{A}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$ be an affine linear surjective morphism. If f is an affine linear system of affine spaces, then $f \circ \rho$ as well. If $d \leq 3$ and if $f \circ \rho$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces, then f as well.*
- (7) *Assume that $d = n$. If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces, then the determinant of the Jacobian of f lies in \mathbf{k}^* .*

Proof. (1): If there exists $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_{i,1} = 0$, we write $\lambda_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(0) \in \mathbf{k}$ and obtain that the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i - \lambda_0$ is either 0 or defines a singular hypersurface of \mathbb{A}^n . In both cases $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i - \lambda_0$ does not define an \mathbb{A}^{d-1} in \mathbb{A}^d .

(2): This follows directly from the definition.

(3): If f is surjective, then the statement is clear. If f is not surjective, then the image of f is contained in an affine linear hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^n and thus f is not an affine linear system of affine spaces.

(4): This follows from Corollary 2.2.4.

(5): This follows, since the preimage of an affine linear hypersurface under π is again an affine linear hypersurface.

(6): Let $H \subset \mathbb{A}^d$ be an affine linear hypersurface. Then the preimage $(f \circ \rho)^{-1}(H)$ is isomorphic to $f^{-1}(H) \times \mathbb{A}^{r-d}$. Hence, the first claim follows. On the other hand,

as $f^{-1}(H)$ has dimension $d - 1$ and since Zariski's Cancellation Problem has an affirmative answer for the affine line (see [AHE72, Corollary 2.8]) and the affine plane (see [Fuj79, MS80] and [Rus81, Theorem 4]), the second claim follows.

(7): This follows from Lemma 3.2.4 below. \square

The next Lemma is essentially due to Derksen, see [vdES97, Lemma 2.3]:

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$. Then the determinant of the Jacobian of f lies in \mathbf{k}^* if and only if the preimage of each affine linear hypersurface under f is a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^n .*

Proof. The determinant of the Jacobian of f does not lie in \mathbf{k}^* if and only if there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbf{k}$, not all equal to zero, and there is a point $a \in \mathbb{A}^n$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a) = 0 \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

However, this last condition is equivalent to the existence of some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ and some $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that either $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_n f_n$ is zero or defines a singular hypersurface in \mathbb{A}^n . \square

In the next Proposition, we study affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ of degree ≤ 3 up to affine automorphisms at the source and target.

Proposition 3.2.5. *Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ of degree ≤ 3 such that $f = (f_1, f_2): \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a linear system of affine spaces. Then, up to affine coordinate changes at the source and target, we get $f = (x + q(y), y)$ where $q \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3.2, we may assume after an affine coordinate change in (x, y) that $f_1 = x + q(y)$ for some $q \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 3 . Set $\psi = (x - q, y) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. The determinant of the Jacobian of $(x, f_2(x - q, y)) = f \circ \psi$ is a non-zero constant (due to Lemma 3.2.3(7)) and it is equal to the y -derivative of $f_2(x - q, y)$. Hence, $f_2(x - q, y) = ay + p(x)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $p \in \mathbf{k}[x]$, i.e. $f_2 = ay + p(x + q)$. After scaling f_2 we may assume $a = 1$. If $\deg(q) \leq 1$, then $\psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and since $f \circ \psi = (x, y + p(x))$, the result follows after conjugation with $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. If $\deg(q) \geq 2$, then $\deg(p) \leq 1$, since otherwise $\deg(f_2) = \deg(p) \deg(q) \geq 4$. Thus $\varphi = (x, y - p(x)) \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and since $\varphi \circ f = (x + q(y), y)$, the result holds. \square

3.3. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree 3 with a conic in the base locus. In this subsection we study linear systems $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree 3 such that the rational map $\mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ which extends f contains a conic in the base locus. In fact, this study will be important in order to prove that every automorphism of degree 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 can be brought into standard form (Proposition 3.6.1 below). As explained in the introduction, we say that an affine linear system of affine spaces $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is in *standard form* if $f = (xp_1 + q_1, \dots, xp_n + q_n)$ for some polynomials $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be polynomials and assume that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces of degree 3 such that there is a homogeneous irreducible polynomial of degree 2 that divides the homogeneous parts of degree 3 of f_1, \dots, f_n . Then f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form.*

Proof. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we write $f_i = \sum_{j=0}^3 f_{i,j}$ where $f_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_j$. Applying an automorphism of \mathbb{A}^n we may assume that $f_{i,3} \neq 0$ for each i . By assumption, there is an irreducible conic $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ that is contained in the zero locus of $f_{i,3}$, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, for each i , f_i defines an \mathbb{A}^2 inside \mathbb{A}^3 , so the polynomial $f_{i,3}$ defines in \mathbb{P}^2 the conic Γ and a tangent line to that conic in a point q_i and the closure in \mathbb{P}^2 of the hypersurface given by f_i is singular at q_i (see Corollary 2.3.6). If all the points q_1, \dots, q_n are the same, we can assume that these are $[1 : 0 : 0]$, and obtain the result by Lemma 2.1.1. We thus assume that two of the q_i 's are distinct and derive a contradiction. We may assume that $q_1 \neq q_2$ by applying a permutation of \mathbb{A}^n . Applying automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 , we may moreover assume that $f_1 = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$ (see Proposition 2.3.5). Hence, $q_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, Γ is the conic $xy + z^2 = 0$ and $q_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \{q_1\}$, so $q_2 = [-\xi^2 : 1 : \xi]$ for some $\xi \in \mathbf{k}$. Replacing f_2 with $f_2\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, we obtain

$$f_{1,3} = y(xy + z^2), \quad f_{2,3} = (x - \xi^2 y + 2\xi z)(xy + z^2).$$

For each $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f_2 + \mu^2 f_1$ defines a hypersurface $X_\mu \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ and its homogeneous part of degree 3 is $(x - \xi^2 y + \mu^2 y + 2\xi z)(xy + z^2)$. By Corollary 2.3.6(1), the line ℓ_μ given by $x - \xi^2 y + \mu^2 y + 2\xi z$ is tangent at Γ . Choosing $\mu = \xi$ when $\xi \neq 0$ and choosing $\mu = 1$ when $\xi = 0$ gives $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$. We may then replace f_2 with $f_2 + \xi^2 f_1$ and assume that $\xi = 0$. The point of tangency of Γ and ℓ_μ is then $p_\mu = [\mu^2 : 1 : \mu]$.

Suppose first that $f_{1,2} = f_{2,2} = 0$. We obtain

$$f_1 = y(xy + z^2) + by + z, \quad f_2 = x(xy + z^2) + \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma^2 z + \delta$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{k}$. The polynomial $f_2 + \gamma^2 f_1 = (x + \gamma^2 y)(xy + z^2) + \alpha x + (\beta + b\gamma^2)y + \delta$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 , so the same holds when we replace x and z with $x + \gamma^2 y$, $z + \gamma y$ respectively, hence for the polynomial $x(xy + z^2) + \alpha x + (\beta + (b + \alpha)\gamma^2)y + \delta$, impossible by Proposition 2.2.3 (applied to the polynomial obtained by exchanging x and y).

We now assume that $f_{1,2}$ and $f_{2,2}$ are not both zero. There is an affine automorphism of \mathbb{A}^3 that sends $f_2 + \mu^2 f_1$ onto $h = xy^2 + y(z^2 + cz + d) + z$ for some $c, d \in \mathbf{k}$ (Proposition 2.3.5). Thus, $f_2 + \mu^2 f_1$ is obtained by applying an element of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbf{k})$ to $h' = h(x + \varepsilon_1, y + \varepsilon_2, z + \varepsilon_3)$ for some $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \mathbf{k}$. As $h' = h'_0 + h'_1 + h'_2 + h'_3$ where $h'_i \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_i$ and $h'_3 = y(xy + z^2)$, $h'_2 = \varepsilon_1 y^2 + c y z + \varepsilon_2 z^2$ are both singular at $[1 : 0 : 0]$, the homogeneous part of degree 2 of $f_2 + \mu^2 f_1$ is singular at p_μ .

As $f_{1,2}$ and $f_{2,2}$ are not both zero and the set $\{p_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbf{k}\}$ is not contained in a line, there is no linear factor that divides both $f_{1,2}$ and $f_{2,2}$. However, as $f_{2,2} + \mu^2 f_{1,2}$ is divisible by a linear factor for each $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$, there exist $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $f_{1,2}, f_{2,2} \in \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ (Lemma 3.1.1). Remembering that $f_{1,2} = ayz$, we prove first that $a = 0$. Indeed, otherwise $\mathbf{k}[s, t] = \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $f_{2,2} + \mu^2 f_{1,2} \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is singular at p_μ so is a multiple of $(\mu y + z)^2 = \mu^2 y^2 + z^2$, impossible as it contains yz for infinitely many μ . Now that $a = 0$ is proven, the polynomial $f_{2,2} + \mu^2 f_{1,2} = f_{2,2}$ is singular at each point p_μ , so $f_{2,2} = 0$, in contradiction with the above assumption. \square

3.4. Affine linear systems in characteristic 2 and 3. Recall the following terminology. A morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration if each closed fiber is (schematically) isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 . We moreover say that the \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration f is locally trivial in the Zariski (respectively étale) topology if for each $x \in X$ there is an open neighbourhood $U \subset X$ of x (respectively a finite étale morphism $U \rightarrow U'$

onto an open neighbourhood U' of x in X) such that the fiber product $U \times_X Y \rightarrow U$ is isomorphic to $U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ over U . The \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration is then trivial if one can choose U to be X .

An \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle is then simply an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration that is locally trivial in the Zariski topology.

We now give two examples of linear systems of affine spaces of degree 3 that are not equivalent to linear systems in standard form.

Lemma 3.4.1. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and let*

$$f = x + z^2 + y^3 \quad \text{and} \quad g = y + x^2$$

Then, $\pi = (f, g): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces, which is not equivalent to an affine linear system in standard form. Moreover, π is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration that is not trivial.

Proof. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\lambda^2 f + g = \lambda^2 x + y + (x + \lambda z)^2 + \lambda^2 y^3$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , since the linear polynomials $\lambda^2 x + y$, $x + \lambda z$ and y are linearly independent in $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$. On the other hand, both f and g define an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 as well. This implies that $\pi = (f, g): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a linear system of affine spaces and thus an affine linear system of affine spaces by Lemma 3.2.3(4).

Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the closures of the hypersurfaces in \mathbb{A}^3 which are given by f and $f + g$, respectively. By Corollary 2.3.6(2) the singular locus of X is equal to $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ and the singular locus of Y is equal to $[0 : 1 : 0 : 1]$. In particular, X, Y have no common singularity and thus, π is not equivalent to an affine linear system in standard form by Lemma 2.1.1.

It remains to see that all closed fibres of π are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 but that π is not a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle. To simplify the situation, we apply some non-affine automorphisms at the source and the target. We first apply $(x, y + x^2, z)$ (at the source) to get $(x + z^2 + (y + x^2)^3, y)$. Applying $(x + y^3, y)$ at the target and $(x, y, z + x^3 + xy)$ at the source gives

$$\phi = (x + x^4 y + z^2, y): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2.$$

The fibre over a point (x_0, y_0) with $y_0 = 0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , via its projection onto z . The fibre over a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ with $y_0 \neq 0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , as one can apply $z \mapsto z + \sqrt{y_0} x^2$ to reduce to the previous case.

It remains to see that ϕ is not a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration. Assume the converse for contradiction. Hence, there exists $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ such that $\phi \circ \varphi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. In particular, $\varphi_2 = y$ and thus

$$(\varphi_3)^2 = x + \varphi_1^4 y + \varphi_1.$$

Since φ is an automorphism, $\deg(\varphi_1) = d \geq 1$, so $\deg(x + \varphi_1^4 y + \varphi_1) = 4d + 1$, which is odd, impossible as $\deg((\varphi_3)^2) = 2 \deg(\varphi_3)$ is even. \square

Lemma 3.4.2. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$ and let*

$$f = x + z^2 + y^3 \quad \text{and} \quad g = z + x^3$$

Then, $\pi = (f, g): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces, which is not equivalent to an affine linear system in standard form. Moreover, π is an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration that is not trivial.

Proof. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f + \lambda^3 g = \lambda^3 z + x + z^2 + (y + \lambda x)^3$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 : replacing y with $y - \lambda x$ and x with $x - \lambda^3 z$ gives $x + z^2 + y^3$. On the other hand, g also defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . This implies that $\pi = (f, g): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a linear system of affine spaces and thus an affine linear system of affine spaces by Lemma 3.2.3(4).

Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the closures of the hypersurfaces of \mathbb{A}^3 which are given by f and g , respectively. Then the singular locus of X is only the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ and the singular locus of Y is the line $w = x = 0$, by Corollary 2.3.6(2). Hence, (f, g) is not equivalent to an affine linear system in standard form (see Lemma 2.1.1).

It remains to see that all closed fibres of π are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 but that π is not a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration. To simplify the situation, we apply some non-affine automorphisms at the source and the target. We first apply $(x, y - x^2, z - x^3)$ (at the source) to get $(x + y^3 + z^2 + x^3 z, z)$, then apply $(x - y^2, y)$ at the target to obtain

$$\phi = (x + y^3 + x^3 z, z): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2.$$

By Proposition 2.2.3(3), the polynomial $y + z^3 + y^3 x$ does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , so the preimage of $x = 0$ by ϕ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 ; hence ϕ is not a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration. However, the fibre over a point (x_0, y_0) with $y_0 = 0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , via its projection onto y . The fibre over a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ with $y_0 \neq 0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , as one can apply $y \mapsto y - \sqrt[3]{y_0}x$ to reduce to the previous case. \square

The following strong result about \mathbb{A}^1 fibrations over \mathbb{A}^2 is classical and follows from the application of several powerful results. We remind the proof with the appropriate references for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 3.4.3. *A morphism $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is a locally trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration in the étale topology if and only if it is a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration.*

Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a locally trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle in the étale topology. Let p be a (not necessarily closed) point of \mathbb{A}^2 . By assumption, there is an étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ and a point $p' \in U$ that is mapped onto p . Let κ and κ' be the residue fields of p and p' , respectively. As $U \rightarrow X$ is étale, the induced field extension $\kappa' \supset \kappa$ is separable. Let R be the coordinate ring of the fiber over p of $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$. By assumption $R \otimes_{\kappa} \kappa'$ is a polynomial ring in one variable over κ' and thus the same holds for R over κ , see [Rus70, Lemma 1.1]. Hence, each (not necessarily closed) fiber of f is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 over its residue field. Therefore, f is a locally trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration in the Zariski topology [KM78, Theorem 1] and hence a trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration by [BCW77, (4.4) Theorem] and [Qui76, Sus76]. \square

Proposition 3.4.3 then implies that the linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ in Lemmata 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 are not locally trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations in the étale topology. We now prove that these two examples of linear systems are unique in some sense (see Lemma 3.4.5 and 3.4.6 below).

Lemma 3.4.4. *Let $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ be three linear polynomials such that ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 are linearly independent. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell_i)^i$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 if and only if ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 are linearly independent.*

Proof. If ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 are linearly independent, we may apply an element of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbf{k})$ and assume that $\ell_1 = x$, $\ell_2 = y$, $\ell_3 = z$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell_i)^i = x + y^2 + z^3$ defines

an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Otherwise, we may assume that $\ell_1 = ax + by$, $\ell_2 = x$, $\ell_3 = y$, so the hypersurface of \mathbb{A}^3 given by $\sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell_i)^i = 0$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ is the curve given by $ax + by + x^2 + y^3 = 0$. It remains to see that Γ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 (by the positive answer to Zariski's Cancellation Problem, see [AHE72, Corollary 2.8]). Indeed, the closure of Γ in \mathbb{P}^2 would otherwise be an irreducible curve singular at infinity, which is here not the case. \square

Lemma 3.4.5. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine linear system of affine spaces. Suppose that $f_i = \sum_{j=0}^3 f_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $f_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_j$ and that*

$$\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,3}, \dots, f_{n,3}) = \mathbf{k}y^3 \text{ and } \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,2}, \dots, f_{n,2}, y^2) = \mathbf{k}x^2 + \mathbf{k}y^2 + \mathbf{k}z^2.$$

Then, $n = 2$ and f is equivalent to the linear system $(x + z^2 + y^3, y + x^2)$ of Lemma 3.4.1.

Proof. As $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,2}, \dots, f_{n,2}, y^2) = \mathbf{k}x^2 + \mathbf{k}y^2 + \mathbf{k}z^2$, we have $n \geq 2$. Applying a linear automorphism of \mathbb{A}^n , we may assume that $f_{1,3} = y^3$ and that $f_{i,3} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. We may moreover assume that $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,2}, f_{2,2}, y^2) = \mathbf{k}x^2 + \mathbf{k}y^2 + \mathbf{k}z^2$ by possibly adding multiples of f_i , $i \geq 2$ to f_1 and then permuting the f_i , $i \geq 2$. Hence, $f_{1,2} = \ell_1^2 + \alpha y^2$ and $f_{2,2} = \ell_2^2 + \beta y^2$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]_1$ are linearly independent and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$. Applying a linear automorphism at the source that fixes y , we may reduce to the case where $f_{1,2} = z^2$ and $f_{2,2} = x^2$. We may moreover assume that $f_{i,0} = 0$ for each i , by applying a translation at the target.

We then choose $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $f_{1,1} = ax + bz \pmod{\mathbf{k}y}$ and $f_{2,1} = cx + dz \pmod{\mathbf{k}y}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial

$$f_1 + \lambda^2 f_2 = ((a + \lambda^2 c)x + (b + \lambda^2 d)z + \zeta y) + (z + \lambda x)^2 + y^3$$

defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 (where $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}$ depends on λ). This implies that $((a + \lambda^2 c)x + (b + \lambda^2 d)z + \zeta y)$, y and $z + \lambda x$ are linearly independent (Lemma 3.4.4), and thus that $(a + \lambda^2 c) + (b + \lambda^2 d)\lambda \neq 0$. As this is true for all λ , we obtain $a \neq 0$ and $b = c = d = 0$, so $f_1 = ax + \xi y + z^2 + y^3$ and $f_2 = \nu y + x^2$ for some $\xi, \nu \in \mathbf{k}$. As f_2 defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , we have $\nu \neq 0$. Applying $x \mapsto \sqrt{\nu}x$ at the source and replacing f_2 by $\nu^{-1}f_2$, we may assume that $\nu = 1$. We then replace f_1 with $f_1 + \xi f_2$ and z with $z + \sqrt{\xi}x$ to assume $\xi = 0$. This gives $(f_1, f_2) = (ax + z^2 + y^3, y + x^2)$. After replacing x, y, z with $\mu x, \mu^2 y, \mu^3 z$ at the source where $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ is chosen with $\mu^5 = a$ and after replacing f_1, f_2 with $f_1/\mu^6, f_2/\mu^2$, respectively, we may assume further that $a = 1$. This achieves the proof if $n = 2$.

It remains to see that $n \geq 3$ leads to a contradiction. We add a multiple of f_2 to f_3 and may assume that $f_{3,2}$ is equal to $\varepsilon^2 y^2 + \tau^2 z^2 = (\varepsilon y + \tau z)^2$ for some $\varepsilon, \tau \in \mathbf{k}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $\lambda^2 f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = (\lambda^2 x + y + f_{3,1}) + (x + \varepsilon y + (\lambda + \tau)z)^2 + \lambda^2 y^3$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Hence, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, the polynomials $\lambda^2 x + y + f_{3,1}$, $x + \varepsilon y + (\lambda + \tau)z$ and y are linearly independent (Lemma 3.4.4). Writing $f_{3,1} = \alpha x + \beta z + \gamma y$, with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomials

$$(\lambda^2 + \alpha)x + \beta z \text{ and } x + (\lambda + \tau)z$$

are linearly independent, so $0 \neq (\lambda^2 + \alpha)(\lambda + \tau) + \beta = \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 \tau + \lambda \alpha + (\alpha \tau + \beta)$, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Hence, $\alpha = \tau = \beta = 0$, which yields $f_3 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$. As f_3 defines an \mathbb{A}^2 , we obtain $f_3 = \gamma y$ with $\gamma \in \mathbf{k}^*$. But then $f_2 + \gamma^{-1}f_3 = x^2$ does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 , contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.4.6. *Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$, let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ of degree ≤ 3 such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces and that the linear span of the homogeneous parts of degree 3 of the f_1, \dots, f_n is a subspace of dimension ≥ 2 of $\mathbf{k}x^3 \oplus \mathbf{k}y^3 \oplus \mathbf{k}z^3$. Then either f is equivalent to a linear system in standard form or $n = 2$ and f is equivalent to the linear system $(x + z^2 + y^3, z + x^3)$ in Lemma 3.4.2.*

Proof. Let $f_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be the homogeneous part of degree j of f_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let us define $V_j = \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,j}, \dots, f_{n,j}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_j$ for each j . By assumption, $V_3 \subseteq \mathbf{k}x^3 \oplus \mathbf{k}y^3 \oplus \mathbf{k}z^3$, so $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,3}$ is a third power for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$. We may moreover assume that $V_0 = 0$ by applying a translation at the target.

It follows from Corollary 2.3.6(2) that for each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$ such that $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,3} \neq 0$ (which is true for a general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$), the polynomial $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,2}$ is either zero or defines a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 that is singular on a point of the triple line defined by $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,3}$.

Suppose first that $\text{gcd}(V_2) = 1$, and thus that $\dim V_2 \geq 2$. Lemma 3.1.2 gives two polynomials $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $V_2 \subseteq \mathbf{k}[s, t]$. Changing coordinates on \mathbb{A}^3 , we may assume that $s = y$ and $t = z$. For general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$ the polynomial $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,2}$ is only singular at the point $p = [1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ (as $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$), which is on the triple line defined by $\sum \lambda_i f_{i,3}$. This implies that $V_3 \subseteq \mathbf{k}y^3 \oplus \mathbf{k}z^3$, so f is a linear system in standard form.

We may now assume that a linear polynomial $h \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ divides each element of V_2 . Applying an element of GL_3 at the source, we may thus assume that $h = z$. If a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ is such that all elements of V_2 and V_3 vanish at p , we apply an element of GL_3 at the source to assume $p = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and obtain that f is in standard form. Hence, we may assume that the elements of V_3 do not share a common zero on the line $z = 0$.

We now prove that z^2 divides $f_{i,2}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We suppose the converse to derive a contradiction. Applying a general element of GL_n at the target, we obtain that $f_{1,2}$ is not a multiple of z^2 and that $f_{1,3}$ and $f_{2,3}$ do not share a common zero on the line $z = 0$. Choosing $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $f_{1,3} = \ell_1^3$ and $f_{2,3} = \ell_2^3$, the elements ℓ_1, ℓ_2, z are linearly independent. We may thus apply an element of GL_3 and assume that $f_{1,3} = x^3$ and $f_{2,3} = y^3$. We write $f_{1,2} = z(ax + by + cz)$, $f_{2,2} = zg$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}$ with a, b not both equal to zero and $g \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f_1 + \lambda^3 f_2$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 and as $f_{1,3} + \lambda^3 f_{2,3} = (x + \lambda y)^3$, the polynomial $f_{1,2} + \lambda^3 f_{2,2} = z(ax + by + cz + \lambda^3 g)$ is singular at a point p_λ of the line $x + \lambda y = 0$ (Corollary 2.3.6(2)). This yields $p_\lambda = [-\lambda : 1 : 0]$, and thus $-\lambda a + b + \lambda^3 g(-\lambda, 1, 0) = 0$. This being true for each λ , we get $a = b = 0$, giving the desired contradiction.

We now show that $\dim(V_3) = 2$. If $\dim(V_3) = 3$, we may assume $(f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}, f_{3,3}) = (x^3, y^3, z^3)$. By Lemma 3.1.4, there exists $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ such that $\sum \lambda_i^3 f_{i,1} = \varepsilon \ell_1$, where $\ell_1 = \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y + \lambda_3 z$. Hence, the polynomial $\sum \lambda_i^3 f_i$ is equal to $\varepsilon \ell_1 + \nu z^2 + (\ell_1)^3$ for some $\nu \in \mathbf{k}$ and does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 : it is reducible if $\nu = 0$ or if z and ℓ_1 are collinear, and otherwise does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 by Lemma 3.4.4.

Now that $\dim(V_3) = 2$ and that the elements of V_3 do not share a common zero point on $z = 0$, we may apply an element of GL_3 that fixes z to get $V_3 = \mathbf{k}x^3 + \mathbf{k}y^3$. Moreover, $V_2 = \mathbf{k}z^2$ (as otherwise $V_2 = \{0\}$ would give a linear system in standard form after exchanging x and z). We apply an element of GL_n at the target and

assume that $f_{1,2} = z^2$ and $f_{1,3} \neq 0$. We then add to f_2 a linear combination of the other f_i and assume that $f_{2,2} = 0$ and that $f_{2,3}$ is not a multiple of $f_{1,3}$. Applying again at the source an element of GL_3 that fixes z , we obtain $f_{1,3} = y^3$, $f_{2,3} = x^3$. We get $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$f_1 = (\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z) + z^2 + y^3, \quad f_2 = (\delta x + \varepsilon y + \zeta z) + x^3.$$

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f_1 + \lambda^3 f_3$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . This implies that $(\alpha + \lambda^3 \delta)x + (\beta + \lambda^3 \varepsilon)y + (\gamma + \lambda^3 \zeta)z$, z and $y + \lambda x$ are linearly independent (Lemma 3.4.4). Hence, $\lambda(\beta + \lambda^3 \varepsilon) - (\alpha + \lambda^3 \delta) \neq 0$. This being true for each λ , we obtain $\beta = \delta = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence $f_1 = \alpha x + \gamma z + z^2 + y^3$, $f_2 = \zeta z + x^3$, with $\alpha \zeta \neq 0$. Replacing f_1 with $f_1 - (\gamma/\zeta) \cdot f_2$ and replacing y with $y + \kappa x$ where $\kappa^3 = \gamma/\zeta$, we may assume that $\gamma = 0$. It remains then to choose $\xi \in \mathbf{k}^*$ with $\alpha^3 \zeta = \xi^{15}$, to replace x, y, z with $\xi^6/\alpha x, \xi^2 y, \xi^3 z$ at the source and f_1, f_2 with $f_1/\xi^6, f_2 \alpha^3/\xi^{18}$ at the target, to obtain

$$f_1 = x + z^2 + y^3, \quad f_2 = z + x^3.$$

Thus, f is the linear system of affine spaces in Lemma 3.4.2 if $n = 2$. It remains to see that $n \geq 3$ yields a contradiction. Adding to f_3 a linear combination of f_1, f_2 we obtain that $f_{3,3} = 0$. This gives $f_3 = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + \theta z^2$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \in \mathbf{k}$. Replacing f_3 by a multiple, we may assume that $\alpha \neq -1$ and $\theta \neq -1$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f_1 + \lambda^3 f_2 + f_3 = (1 + \alpha)x + \beta y + (\gamma + \lambda^3)z + (1 + \theta)z^2 + (y + \lambda x)^3$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , so $y + \lambda x, z, (1 + \alpha)x + \beta y + (\gamma + \lambda^3)z$ are linearly independent (Lemma 3.4.4). This implies that $\beta \lambda - (1 + \alpha) \neq 0$. As this is true for each λ , we get $\beta = 0$. But then the linear parts of f_1, f_2, f_3 are linearly dependent, contradicting Lemma 3.2.3(1). \square

3.5. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree 3 with a line in the base locus. In the following lemma we give necessary conditions for a polynomial of degree ≤ 3 such that it defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 and this hypersurface contains in its closure in \mathbb{P}^3 a specific line.

Lemma 3.5.1. *Let $F \in \mathbf{k}[w, x, y, z]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 such that $f = F(1, x, y, z)$ satisfies $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]/(f)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ and such that $F(0, x, 0, z) = 0$. Write F as*

$$F = wa_2(x, z) + yb_2(x, z) + w^2c_1(x, z) + wyd_1(x, z) + y^2e_1(x, z) + F_3(w, y)$$

where $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ are homogeneous of degree 2, $c_1, d_1, e_1 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ are homogeneous of degree 1 and $F_3 \in \mathbf{k}[w, y]$ is homogeneous of degree 3. Then:

- (1) The polynomial $b_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ is a square;
- (2) The polynomials $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ have a common linear factor;
- (3) If $b_2 = 0$, then $a_2, e_1 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ have a common linear factor;
- (4) If $b_2 = e_1 = 0$ and a_2 is a square, then the polynomials $a_2, d_1 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ have a common linear factor;
- (5) If $a_2 = b_2 = d_1 = e_1 = 0$ and $\deg(f) \geq 2$, then $c_1 \neq 0$.

Under the additional assumption that $\deg(f) = 3$, we have:

- (6) If $b_2 = e_1 = 0$, then the polynomial $a_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ is a square;
- (7) If $b_2 = e_1 = 0$ and $(a_2, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$, then $\gcd(a_2, c_1, d_1) = 1$;
- (8) If a_2 is not a square, then $b_2 \neq 0$ or $e_1 \neq 0$;

Proof. The fact that $F(0, x, 0, z) = 0$ implies that F can be written in the above form. Note that $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$, where $F_1 = wa_2(x, z) + yb_2(x, z)$, $F_2 = w^2c_1(x, z) + wyd_1(x, z) + y^2e_1(x, z)$ and F_3 are homogeneous in w, y of degree 1, 2 and 3, respectively. It remains to see that the above eight assertions hold.

First, we assume that w divides F . Then $\deg(f) < 3$ and $b_2 = e_1 = 0$, so (1), (2) and (3) hold. If in addition a_2 is a square and if a_2 and d_1 would have no common non-zero linear factor, then the homogeneous part of f of degree 2 would be $f_2 = a_2 + y(d_1 + \lambda y)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. As a_2 is a square, we may apply a linear coordinate change in x, z and assume that $a_2 = x^2$. We then write $d_1 = d_{1,0}x + d_{1,1}z$ with $d_{1,0}, d_{1,1} \in \mathbf{k}$, and obtain

$$f_2 = x^2 + d_{1,0}yx + y(\lambda y + d_{1,1}z).$$

Since $d_{1,1} \neq 0$, the polynomial $f_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ is irreducible (e.g. by the Eisenstein criterion) which contradicts Proposition 2.3.5 and therefore (4) holds. If $a_2 = d_1 = 0$ and $\deg(f) \geq 2$, then $c_1 \neq 0$, since otherwise $f \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ would not be irreducible. Hence, (5) holds.

We may now assume that w does not divide F , which implies that $\deg(f) = 3$.

We observe that the group of affine automorphisms $G \subset \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ which preserve the line $L = \{[w : x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid w = y = 0\}$ is generated by the following two subgroups:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \left\{ \varphi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3) \mid \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ G_2 &= \left\{ \psi_{\varepsilon, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_3} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3) \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{k}^*, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_3 \in \mathbf{k} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^3 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}} & \mathbb{P}^3 \\ [w : x : y : z] & \longmapsto & [w : \alpha x + \beta z : y : \gamma x + \delta z] \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^3 & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\varepsilon, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \xi_1, \xi_3}} & \mathbb{P}^3 \\ [w : x : y : z] & \longmapsto & [w : x + \xi_1 y + \tau_1 w : \varepsilon y + \tau_2 w : z + \xi_3 y + \tau_3 w]. \end{array}$$

Indeed, this follows from the facts that the action of G on L gives a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L) \simeq \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ that is surjective on G_1 , and that the kernel is generated by G_2 and the homotheties of G_1 . The fact that all assertions (1)-(8) hold is preserved under elements of G_1 and G_2 . We may thus assume that f is of the form given in Corollary 2.3.7 and we check that the assertions (1)-(8) are satisfied.

In case **a**), $(a_2, b_2, c_1, d_1, e_1) = (\lambda z^2, \mu z^2, x, \varepsilon z, \nu z)$ for some $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{k}$.

In case **b**), $(a_2, b_2, c_1, d_1, e_1) = (0, \mu z^2, z, x, \nu z)$ for some $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{k}$.

In case **c**), $f = xz + yz(\lambda y + \mu z) + y + \delta z$ where $\lambda, \mu, \delta \in \mathbf{k}$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$, so $(a_2, b_2, c_1, d_1, e_1) = (xz, \mu z^2, \delta z, 0, \lambda z)$.

In case **d**), $f = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, so $(a_2, b_2, c_1, d_1, e_1) = (0, z^2, z, az, x)$.

In each case, b_2 is a square, and there is a linear factor that divides a_2, b_2 and a linear factor that divides a_2, e_1 . Moreover, a_2 is not a square only in case **c**) and thus b_2 or e_1 is non-zero. This shows that (1), (2), (3) and (8) are satisfied. The equalities $a_2 = b_2 = d_1 = e_1 = 0$ are only possible in case **a**), where $c_1 = x \neq 0$, thus (5) is satisfied. The equalities $b_2 = e_1 = 0$ are only possible in the cases **a**)

and **b**); and then a_2, d_1 have a common non-zero linear factor, a_2 is a square, and if $(a_2, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$, then $\gcd(a_2, c_1, d_1) = 1$. Thus (4), (6) and (7) are satisfied. \square

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be polynomials and assume that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces of degree 3 such that y divides the homogeneous parts of degree 3 of f_1, \dots, f_n . Then, the following hold:*

(i) *Either f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form, or $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and f is equivalent to $(x + z^2 + y^3, y + x^2): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$.*

(ii) *Writing the homogeneous part of degree 3 of f_i as $y(\eta_i y^2 + y e_{i,1} + b_{i,2})$ where $\eta_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and $e_{i,1}, b_{i,2} \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ are homogeneous of degree 1 and 2, the polynomials $b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{n,2}$ are collinear.*

Proof. For each i we denote by $F_i \in \mathbf{k}[w, x, y, z]$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 such that $f_i = F_i(1, x, y, z)$ and write it as

$$w a_{i,2}(x, z) + y b_{i,2}(x, z) + w^2 c_{i,1}(x, z) + w y d_{i,1}(x, z) + y^2 e_{i,1}(x, z) + F_{i,3}(w, y)$$

where $a_{i,2}, b_{i,2} \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ are homogeneous of degree 2, $c_{i,1}, d_{i,1}, e_{i,1} \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ are homogeneous of degree 1, $F_{i,3} \in \mathbf{k}[w, y]$ is homogeneous of degree 3, and the following hold for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$ (see Lemma 3.5.1):

- (1) $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}(x, z)$ is a square;
- (2) $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}(x, z)$ and $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}(x, z)$ have a common non-zero linear factor;
- (3) If $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}(x, z) = 0$, then $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}(x, z)$ and $\sum \lambda_i e_{i,1}(x, z)$ have a common non-zero linear factor;
- (4) If $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}(x, z) = \sum \lambda_i e_{i,1}(x, z) = 0$ and $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}(x, z)$ is a square, then $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}(x, z), \sum \lambda_i d_{i,1}(x, z)$ have a common non-zero linear factor;

and if $\deg(\sum \lambda_i f_i) = 3$, then:

- (8) If $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}(x, z)$ is not a square, then $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}(x, z) \neq 0$ or $\sum \lambda_i e_{i,1}(x, z) \neq 0$.

We distinguish, whether all $b_{i,2}$ are collinear (case (A)) or not (case (B)). It turns out that in fact case (B) cannot occur, which proves (ii).

(A): Any two $b_{i,2}$ are collinear: After applying an element of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ on x, z , we may assume that z^2 divides all $b_{i,2}$ by assertion (1). If z divides each $a_{i,2}$, the point $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ will be singular for each F_i , so f is in standard form. We may thus assume that there is j such that z does not divide $a_{j,2}$. Assertion (2) then implies that $b_{i,2} = 0$ for each i .

If a linear factor divides all $a_{i,2}$, we apply an element of GL_2 on x, z and assume that z divides all $a_{i,2}$, giving again that f is in a standard form. We then assume that no linear factor divides all $a_{i,2}$. In particular, $\dim \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{n,2}) \geq 2$.

We assume that each $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}$ is a square, which implies that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{n,2}) = \mathbf{k}x^2 \oplus \mathbf{k}z^2$. By assertion (3), we can apply Lemma 3.1.3 in order to get $e_{i,1} = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by assertion (4) we can apply Lemma 3.1.3 once again and get $d_{i,1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, the result follows from Lemma 3.4.5.

We now assume that $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}$ is not a square for general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$. Assertion (8) implies that $\sum \lambda_i e_{i,1}$ is a non-zero linear polynomial for general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$, which then needs to divide $\sum \lambda_i a_{i,2}$ by Assertion (3). As no linear factor divides all $a_{i,2}$, we may apply a general element of GL_n at the target and may assume that $a_{1,2}$ and $a_{2,2}$ have no common factor, and the same holds for $e_{1,1}$ and $e_{2,1}$. We then apply GL_2 on x, z at the source to get $e_{1,1} = x$ and $e_{2,1} = z$.

We get $a_{1,2} = x(\alpha x + \beta z)$, $a_{2,2} = z(\gamma x + \delta z)$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{k}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $e_{1,1} + \lambda e_{2,1} = x + \lambda z$ divides $a_{1,2} + \lambda a_{2,2} = \alpha x^2 + (\beta + \lambda\gamma)xz + \delta\lambda z^2$, so replacing $x = \lambda$ and $z = -1$ gives $0 = \lambda^2(\alpha - \gamma) + (\delta - \beta)\lambda$. This being true for all λ , we obtain $\alpha = \gamma$ and $\beta = \delta$, contradicting the fact that $a_{1,2}$ and $a_{2,2}$ have no common factor.

(B): It remains to suppose that not all $b_{i,2}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are collinear and to derive a contradiction. Since by assertion (1) each $\sum \lambda_i b_{i,2}$ is a square, we get $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$. After applying a linear automorphism at the target, we may assume that $b_{1,2} = z^2$ and $b_{2,2} = x^2$. According to (2), we can apply Lemma 3.1.3 and get $a \in \mathbf{k}$ with $a_{1,2} = az^2$, $a_{2,2} = ax^2$. Replacing y with $y + a$ at the source, we may assume $a = 0$. This gives

$$f_1 = yz^2 + \alpha x + \beta z + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = yx^2 + \gamma x + \delta z + \nu$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \nu \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ (the first four of degree ≤ 2 and the last two of degree ≤ 3). For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $f_1 + \lambda^2 f_2 = y(z + \lambda x)^2 + (\alpha + \lambda^2\gamma)x + (\beta + \lambda^2\delta)z + \varepsilon + \lambda^2\nu$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Replacing z with $z + \lambda x$, the polynomial

$$R_\lambda = yz^2 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta + \lambda^2\gamma + \lambda^3\delta)x + (\beta + \lambda^2\delta)z + \varepsilon + \lambda^2\nu$$

defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Let us write $p_\lambda = \alpha + \lambda\beta + \lambda^2\gamma + \lambda^3\delta \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.

Let us write $\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i y^i$, $\beta = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i y^i$, $\gamma = \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i y^i$, $\delta = \sum_{i \geq 0} \delta_i y^i$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \in \mathbf{k}$ for each $i \geq 0$. If there is some $i \geq 0$ such that the coefficient of y^i of p_λ is zero for a general (or equivalently for all) $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, then $\alpha_i + \lambda\beta_i + \lambda^2\gamma_i + \lambda^3\delta = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, so $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \gamma_i = \delta_i = 0$.

Suppose first that $p_\lambda \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}$ for a general $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. In this case, we may apply Proposition 2.2.3: writing $R_\lambda = xp_\lambda(y) + q_\lambda(y, z)$ with $q_\lambda \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, the polynomial $q_\lambda(y_0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree 1 for each root $y_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ of p_λ . As the coefficient of z^2 in $q_\lambda(y, z)$ is y , we find that 0 is the only possible root of $p_\lambda(y)$, and in fact is a root for a general λ , as we assumed $p_\lambda \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}$. Applying the above argument with $i = 0$ implies that $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \gamma_0 = \delta_0 = 0$, but then, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ the polynomial $\beta + \lambda^2\delta$ is zero at $y = 0$, so $q_\lambda(0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is not of degree 1.

The last case is when $p_\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. This implies (again by the above argument) that $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \gamma_i = \delta_i = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$, so $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{k}$. We have $\delta \neq 0$, since otherwise $f_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ would define in $\mathbb{A}_{x,y}$ a curve with two points at infinity. There exists thus $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $p_\lambda = 0$, so R_λ does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 (it belongs to $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and the curve that it defines in $\mathbb{A}_{y,z}^2$ has two points at infinity). \square

3.6. Reduction to affine linear systems of affine spaces in standard form.

Proposition 3.6.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be polynomials of degree ≤ 3 such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces. Then either f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form, or f is equivalent to one of the following linear systems of affine spaces:*

- (1) $(x + z^2 + y^3, y + x^2): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ where $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$, or
- (2) $(x + z^2 + y^3, z + x^3): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ where $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$.

Remark 3.6.2. The families of linear systems of affine spaces in (1) and (2) from Proposition 3.6.1 are the linear systems of affine spaces from Lemmata 3.4.1 and 3.4.2. In particular, the linear systems of affine spaces in (1) and (2) are all non-equivalent to linear systems of affine spaces in standard form.

Proof of Proposition 3.6.1. If $n = 1$, the result follows from Corollary 2.1.2, so we will assume that $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.2.3(1), we get $n \leq 3$.

Let $d = \deg(f)$. Since the statement holds when $d = 1$, we assume $d \in \{2, 3\}$.

Let $f_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be the homogeneous part of degree j of f_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let us define $V_j = \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,j}, \dots, f_{n,j}) \subseteq \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_j$ for each $j \leq d$.

First, we consider the case $d = 2$. Due to Corollary 2.3.8, each element in V_2 is reducible and due to Lemma 3.1.2 one of the following cases occur:

- There exists $h \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ which divides each element of V_2 ;
- $V_2 \subset \mathbf{k}[s, t]$ for linearly independent $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$;
- $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and $V_2 = \mathbf{k}x^2 \oplus \mathbf{k}y^2 \oplus \mathbf{k}z^2$.

In the first case we may assume that $h = y$ and in the second case we may assume that $(s, t) = (y, z)$, so f is in standard form in both cases. If we are in the last case, then $n = 3$ and we may assume that $f_{1,2} = x^2$, $f_{2,2} = y^2$, $f_{3,2} = z^2$. Due to Lemma 3.1.4 there exists $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ such that $\sum \lambda_i^2 f_{i,1} = \varepsilon(\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y + \lambda_3 z)$ and hence we get a contradiction to the irreducibility of $\sum \lambda_i^2 f_i$.

It remains to do the case where $d = 3$. If a linear factor or an irreducible polynomial of degree 2 divides all elements of V_3 , the result follows respectively from Proposition 3.5.2 (after applying an element of GL_3 at the source) and Proposition 3.3.1. By Corollary 2.3.6, no element of V_3 is irreducible, so we may assume that $\text{gcd}(V_3) = 1$. In particular, $\dim V_3 \geq 2$.

If each element of V_3 is a third power, then $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$ and the result follows from Lemma 3.4.6. Thus we may assume that a general element in V_3 is not a third power. Now, Lemma 3.1.2 implies that there exist linearly independent $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]_1$ such that $V_3 \subset \mathbf{k}[s, t]$. We may assume that $(s, t) = (y, z)$. As a general element of V_3 is not a third power, then by Corollary 2.3.6(3) the closure of the cubic $\sum \lambda_i f_i = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^3 has a singularity at $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ for general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$ and thus f is in standard form. \square

Corollary 3.6.3. *Let $1 \leq n \leq 3$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ be polynomials of degree ≤ 3 such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -bundle. Then f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form.*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.6.1, since the linear systems of affine spaces from Lemma 3.4.1 and Lemma 3.4.2 are not trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundles. \square

3.7. Study of affine linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ in standard form. Towards the description of the automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 , we study in this subsection certain affine linear systems of affine spaces $(f_1, f_2): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ in standard form, i.e. such that $f_i = xp_i + q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, with $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$.

Lemma 3.7.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ such that $(xp_1 + q_1, xp_2 + q_2)$ is a linear system of affine spaces. Then, $\mathbf{k}[p_1, p_2] \neq \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, i.e. $(p_1, p_2): \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is not an automorphism.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{k}[p_1, p_2] = \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, then we apply a (possibly non-affine) automorphism of $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and may assume that $p_1 = y$, $p_2 = z$. We choose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \tau \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $q_1(y, z) = \alpha y + \beta z + \varepsilon \pmod{(y^2, yz, z^2)}$, $q_2(y, z) = \gamma y + \delta z + \tau \pmod{(y^2, yz, z^2)}$.

Proposition 2.2.3 implies that $q_1(0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $q_2(y, 0) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ have degree 1, so $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{k}^*$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial in $(xy + q_1) - \lambda(xz + q_2) = x(y - \lambda z) +$

$(q_1 - \lambda q_2) \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Replacing y with $y + \lambda z$, the polynomial

$$R_\lambda = xy + q_1(y + \lambda z, z) - \lambda q_2(y + \lambda z, z)$$

defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Proposition 2.2.3 implies that $R_\lambda(x, 0, z) = R_\lambda(0, 0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree 1, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. However,

$$R_\lambda(0, 0, z) = q_1(\lambda z, z) - \lambda q_2(\lambda z, z) = \alpha \lambda z + \beta z + \varepsilon - \lambda(\gamma \lambda z + \delta z + \tau) \pmod{z^2}$$

and as $\gamma \neq 0$, there is $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ such that the coefficient of z of $R_\lambda(0, 0, z)$ is zero, contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.7.2. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ and $q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and assume that $f = (f_1, f_2) = (xp_1 + q_1, xp_2 + q_2): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces. Then the following holds:*

- (1) *If p_1 and p_2 have a common root, then they are linearly dependent.*
- (2) *If $p_1 \notin \mathbf{k}$ and $p_2 = 0$, then $q_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ and $\deg(q_2) = 1$.*
- (3) *If $p_1 = y$ and $q_1 = ay + zr_1 + r_0$ for $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $r_1 \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $r_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ and if $p_2 = 1$, then $a - q_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.*
- (4) *If $p_1 = y^2$ and $q_1 = ys(z) + z$ for some $s \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $\deg(f) \leq 3$, then:*
 - (i) *If $p_2 = 1$, then $s \in \mathbf{k}$ and $q_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.*
 - (ii) *If $p_2 = y + 1$, then $s = -z + b$ and $q_2 = -z + r$ for some $b \in \mathbf{k}$ and $r \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ with $\deg(r) \leq 3$.*
- (5) *If $p_1 = y(y+1)$ and $q_1 = s(y)z + t(y)$ for $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 1 and $p_2 = 1$, then $s \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $q_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$.*

Proof. By assumption for each $(\lambda, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$, the equation

$$\lambda f_1 + \mu f_2 = x(\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2) + \lambda q_1 + \mu q_2 = 0$$

defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 . Hence, by Proposition 2.2.3, for each $y_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ the following holds:

- (*) if $\lambda p_1(y_0) + \mu p_2(y_0) = 0$ and $\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2 \neq 0$,
then the degree of $\lambda q_1(y_0, z) + \mu q_2(y_0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is 1.

We will use this fact constantly, when we consider the cases (1)-(5).

(1): After an affine coordinate change in y , we may assume that y divides p_1 and p_2 . By Proposition 2.2.3 it follows that $q_i(0, z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1 in z for $i = 1, 2$. Hence there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $q_1(0, z) - \mu q_2(0, z)$ is constant. This, together with (*), implies that $p_1 = \mu p_2$.

(2): Since $p_1 \notin \mathbf{k}$, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbf{k}$ with $p_1(\gamma) = 0$. After applying an affine coordinate change in y , we may assume that $\gamma = 0$. By (*), the degree of $q_1(0, z) + \mu q_2(0, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is 1 for each $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$, so $q_2(0, z) \in \mathbf{k}$. Hence, y divides $q_2 - q_2(0, 0)$ in $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$. Since $q_2 - q_2(0, 0) = 0$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , the polynomial $q_2 - q_2(0, 0)$ is irreducible and thus $q_2 = \alpha y + q_2(0, 0)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$.

(3): Choosing $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$, we get $\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2 = y - \eta$. Thus by (*), the degree of the polynomial

$$\eta a(\eta, z) + r_1 z + r_0 - \eta q_2(\eta, z) = r_1 z + r_0 + \eta(a(\eta, z) - q_2(\eta, z)) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$$

is 1 for each $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$. This implies that $a(\eta, z) - q_2(\eta, z) \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$.

(4)(i): Choosing $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -\eta^2)$, we get $\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2 = (y - \eta)(y + \eta)$. By (*) it follows that for all $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$ the degree of

$$\eta s(z) + z - \eta^2 q_2(\eta, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$$

is 1, i.e. $\eta s(z) + z - \eta^2 q_2(\eta, z) = \alpha z + \beta$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$. In order to use

$$(**) \quad z\mathbf{k}[z] \oplus \mathbf{k} \oplus \eta\mathbf{k}[z] \oplus \eta^2\mathbf{k}[\eta, z] = \mathbf{k}[\eta, z],$$

we write $\beta = \beta_0 + \eta\beta_1 + \eta^2\beta_2$ where $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbf{k}$, $\beta_2 \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$ and get

$$(z, 0, \eta s(z), -\eta^2 q_2(\eta, z)) = (\alpha z, \beta_0, \eta\beta_1, \eta^2\beta_2),$$

so $s = \beta_1 \in \mathbf{k}$ and $q_2(\eta, z) = -\beta_2 \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$.

(4)(ii): We now choose $(\lambda, \mu) = (1 + \eta, -\eta^2)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$ and obtain

$$\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2 = (1 + \eta)y^2 - \eta^2(y + 1) = (y - \eta)((1 + \eta)y + \eta).$$

Due to (*), for all $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$ the degree of the polynomial

$$(1 + \eta)(\eta s(z) + z) - \eta^2 q_2(\eta, z) = z + \eta(s(z) + z) + \eta^2(s(z) - q_2(\eta, z)) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$$

is 1. Writing this polynomial as above as $\alpha z + \beta_0 + \eta\beta_1 + \eta^2\beta_2$ with $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbf{k}$, $\beta_2 \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$, the decomposition (**) gives

$$(z, 0, \eta(s(z) + z), \eta^2(s(z) - q_2(\eta, z))) = (\alpha z, \beta_0, \eta\beta_1, \eta^2\beta_2),$$

so $s(z) + z = \beta_1 \in \mathbf{k}$ and $s(z) - q_2(\eta, z) = \beta_2 \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$. Choosing $b = \beta_1$ and $r \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ such that $\beta_2 = b - r(\eta)$, we obtain $s(z) = -z + b$ and $q_2(y, z) = s(z) - b + r(y) = -z + r(y)$. Since $\deg(q_2) \leq 3$ it follows that $\deg(r) \leq 3$.

(5): Let $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -\eta(\eta + 1))$. Then

$$\lambda p_1 + \mu p_2 = y(y + 1) - \eta(\eta + 1) = (y - \eta)(y + \eta + 1)$$

Due to (*), for all $\eta \in \mathbf{k}$, the degree of

$$s(\eta)z + t(\eta) - \eta(\eta + 1)q_2(\eta, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$$

is 1. This implies that the polynomial

$$h = s(\eta)z - \eta(\eta + 1)q_2(\eta, z) \in \mathbf{k}[\eta, z]$$

is of the form $\alpha z + \beta$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{k}[\eta]$.

When we write $q_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} q_{2,i}(y)z^i$ for $q_{2,i} \in \mathbf{k}[y]$, we obtain $q_{2,i} = 0$ for each $i \geq 2$ (as h has degree 1 in z) and $s(y) - y(y + 1)q_{2,1}(y) \in \mathbf{k}^*$. As $\deg(s) \leq 1$, this yields $q_{2,1} = 0$, and then $s(y) \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Moreover, $q_2 = q_{2,0}(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$. \square

Lemma 3.7.3. *Let $p, q \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ such that $\deg(p) \leq 1$ and $\deg(q) \leq 3$. Assume that $(x(y + z^2) + z, xp + q): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces. Then*

$$p \in \mathbf{k}, \quad q = a \cdot (y + z^2) + b \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad (p, a) \neq (0, 0).$$

Proof. Suppose first that $p \in \mathbf{k}$. When we write $r = q(y - z^2, z) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, we obtain $q = r(y + z^2, z)$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial

$$x(y + z^2) + z - \lambda(xp + q) = x(y + z^2 - \lambda p) + z - \lambda r(y + z^2, z)$$

defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , so the same holds for $xy + z - \lambda r(y + \lambda p, z)$. By Proposition 2.2.3, the polynomial $z - \lambda r(\lambda p, z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree 1 for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. This implies that the polynomial $r(\lambda p, z) \in \mathbf{k}[\lambda, z]$ lies in $\mathbf{k}[\lambda]$. As $p \in \mathbf{k}$, either $p \neq 0$ and $r(y, z) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ or $p = 0$ and $r(y, z) \in \mathbf{k} + y\mathbf{k}[y, z]$. The first case yields $q \in \mathbf{k}[y + z^2]$, so $q = a \cdot (y + z^2) + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, since $\deg q \leq 3$. In the second case, we write $b = q(0, 0)$ and obtain that $q - b$ is irreducible, as it defines the preimage of the hyperplane $y = b$. Hence, $r(y, z) - b \in y\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is irreducible, so equal to ay for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. As before we get $q = a \cdot (y + z^2) + b$. In both cases $(p, a) \neq (0, 0)$.

It remains to see that $p \notin \mathbf{k}$ is impossible. We write $p = ay + bz + c$ for some $(a, b) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and $c \in \mathbf{k}$. If $a = 0$, then $b \neq 0$ which yields $\mathbf{k}[y + z^2, p] = \mathbf{k}[y + z^2, z] = \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, impossible by Lemma 3.7.1. We may thus assume that $a = 1$. We write $q = r + z + \mu$, with $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ and $r \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ such that $r(0, 0) = 0$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial

$\lambda(x(y + z^2) + z) + (1 - \lambda)(xp + q - \mu) = x(y + \lambda z^2 + (1 - \lambda)(bz + c)) + z + (1 - \lambda)r$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , so the same holds for $xy + z + (1 - \lambda) \cdot r(y - \lambda z^2 + (\lambda - 1)(bz + c), z)$. We again apply Proposition 2.2.3, and find that $z + (1 - \lambda) \cdot r(-\lambda z^2 + (\lambda - 1)(bz + c), z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree 1 for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, so the polynomial

$$R = r(-\lambda z^2 + (\lambda - 1)(bz + c), z) \in \mathbf{k}[\lambda, z]$$

is an element of $\mathbf{k}[\lambda]$ (independent of z). If $r(y, z) \notin \mathbf{k}$, then $d := \deg_y(r) \geq 1$ and we may write $r = r_0(z) + r_1(z)y + \dots + r_d(z)y^d$ where $r_d \neq 0$. Thus we get

$$R = r(\lambda(bz + c - z^2) - (bz + c), z) = \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda^i q_i$$

where $q_0, \dots, q_d \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $q_d = (bz + c - z^2)^d r_d(z) \in \mathbf{k}[z] \setminus \mathbf{k}$. This contradicts $R \in \mathbf{k}[\lambda]$. Hence $r(y, z) \in \mathbf{k}$, so $r = r(0, 0) = 0$. This proves that $q = z + \mu$. But this is impossible, as the zero locus of the polynomial $x(y + z^2) + z - (xp + q - \mu) = x(z^2 - bz - c)$ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 (it is reducible). \square

3.8. Linear systems of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 in standard form. We start with a lemma, which lists the possibilities for the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_n in case of a linear system of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 in standard form where the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_n lie in $\mathbf{k}[y]$.

Lemma 3.8.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y]$, $q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) = (xp_1 + q_1, \dots, xp_n + q_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 . Let us assume that*

$$V := \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{1, y, y^2\}.$$

Then, up to affine coordinate changes in y at the source, one of the following cases holds:

case	n	V
(1)	2 or 3	$\mathbf{k}(y + 1) \oplus \mathbf{k}y^2$
(2)	2 or 3	$\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}y^2$
(3)	2 or 3	$\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}y(y + 1)$
(4)	2 or 3	$\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}y$
(5)	1, 2 or 3	\mathbf{k}
(6)	1 or 2	$\mathbf{k}p$ where $p \in \{0, y, y^2, y(y + 1)\}$

Proof. We first prove that $\mathbf{k}y \oplus \mathbf{k}y^2$ is not contained in V . Indeed, we could then assume that $p_1 = y$ and $p_2 = y^2$, but then (f_1, f_2) is not a linear system of affine spaces by Lemma 3.7.2(1). This proves in particular that $\dim V \leq 2$.

Suppose now that $\dim V \leq 1$. If $n \leq 2$, we are in case (5) or (6) up to an affine coordinate change in y . If $n = 3$ and $V = \mathbf{k}$, we obtain case (5). We then prove that $n = 3$ and $V \neq \mathbf{k}$ is impossible. Indeed, otherwise, there is $y_0 \in \mathbf{k}$ with $p_i(y_0) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and the Jacobian of f would be non-invertible in all points (x, y_0, z) , which contradicts Lemma 3.2.3(7).

We may now assume that $\dim V = 2$, so $n \in \{2, 3\}$. After a reordering of f_1, \dots, f_n , we get $V = \mathbf{k}p_1 \oplus \mathbf{k}p_2$. If $\deg(p_i) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ we are in case (4). After a possible exchange of f_1, f_2 we may assume that $\deg(p_2) = 2$. After adding a certain multiple of f_2 to f_1 we may assume that $\deg(p_1) \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\deg(p_1) = 0$, then after an affine coordinate change in y at the source, we are in case (2) or (3) depending on whether p_2 is a square or not. If $\deg(p_1) = 1$, then we may assume after an affine coordinate change in y at the source that $p_1 = y$ and $p_2 = a^2y^2 + by + c^2$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}$ with $ac \neq 0$ (indeed, 0 is not a common root of p_1, p_2 , as they are linearly independent, see Lemma 3.7.2(1)). After adding $-(2ac + b)f_1$ to f_2 we obtain $p_2 = (ay - c)^2$. Thus after the coordinate change $y \mapsto \frac{c}{a}(y + 1)$ we get $p_2 = c^2y^2$, $p_1 = \frac{c}{a}(y + 1)$ and thus we are in case (1). \square

Remark 3.8.2. If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, then in case (2) of Lemma 3.8.1, one gets $V = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}(y + \frac{1}{2})^2$. Thus after the coordinate change $y \mapsto y - \frac{1}{2}$ we are in case (3).

In the case of a linear system of affine spaces of degree 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 in standard form such that one component is of the form $x(y + z^2) + z$, the remaining components are almost determined, up to affine automorphisms at the target:

Lemma 3.8.3. *Let $n \in \{2, 3\}$ and let $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) = (x(y + z^2) + z, xp_2 + q_2, \dots, xp_n + q_n)$ is a linear system of affine spaces of degree 3. Then, up to an affine coordinate change at the target we have:*

- (1) $n = 2$ and $f = (x(y + z^2) + z, a(y + z^2) + bx)$ for $(a, b) \in \mathbf{k}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ or
- (2) $n = 3$ and $f = (x(y + z^2) + z, y + z^2, x)$.

Proof. For $i = 2, \dots, n$, let $p_{i,2}, q_{i,3} \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ be the homogeneous parts of degree 2 and 3 of p_i and q_i , respectively.

We now prove that $p_{i,2}$ is divisible by z^2 for each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. If $q_{i,3} = 0$, this follows from Proposition 3.5.2(ii), applied to the linear system $(f_1(y, x, z), f_i(y, x, z))$. Now, assume $q_{i,3} \neq 0$ and that $p_{i,2}$ is not a multiple of z^2 to derive a contradiction. Since for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ the polynomial $\lambda f_1 + f_i = x(\lambda(y + z^2) + p_i) + (\lambda z + q_i)$ defines an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 , we get that for general $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ the polynomial $\lambda(y + z^2) + p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ defines a disjoint union of curves in \mathbb{A}^2 which are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 (see Proposition 2.2.2). In particular, for general (and thus for all) $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomial $\lambda z^2 + p_{i,2}$ is a square. Since $p_{i,2}$ is not a multiple of z^2 we get that $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and for general $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the polynomials $\lambda z^2 + p_{i,2}$ and $q_{i,3}$ in $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ have no common non-zero linear factor (remember that $q_{i,3} \neq 0$). This implies that the homogeneous part of degree 3 of $\lambda f_1 + f_i$, which is equal to $x(\lambda z^2 + p_{i,2}) + q_{i,3}$, is irreducible for general $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ and thus $\lambda f_1 + f_i$ does not define an \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{A}^3 (see Proposition 2.3.5), contradiction.

For each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, we may now add multiples of f_1 to f_i and assume that $\deg(p_i) \leq 1$. Lemma 3.7.3 implies that $p_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and gives the existence of $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$f_i = xp_i + a_i(y + z^2) + b_i \quad \text{and} \quad (p_i, a_i) \neq (0, 0).$$

After applying a translation at the target, we may assume that $b_i = 0$. If $n = 2$, then we are in case (1). Hence, we assume $n = 3$. Since f_2 and f_3 are linearly independent, it follows that $p_2a_3 - p_3a_2 \neq 0$; thus after a linear coordinate change in y, z at the target, we may assume that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & p_2 \\ a_3 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.8.4. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $f_i = xp_i + q_i$ where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $\deg(p_i) \leq 2$, $\deg(q_i) \leq 3$. If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces, then one may apply affine automorphisms at the target and source and reduce to the case where $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ (and still have $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$).*

Proof. Assume first that $\deg(p_i) \leq 1$ for all i . Lemma 3.7.1 implies that no two of the linear parts of p_1, \dots, p_n are linearly independent, so we reduce to the case $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ for all i by applying an automorphism on y, z .

Applying a permutation at the target we may now assume that $\deg(p_1) = 2$.

If p_1 is irreducible, we apply an affine coordinate change at the source that fixes $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ and obtain one of the cases of Proposition 2.3.4 for f_1 . The action of this on p_1 corresponds to the action of an affine automorphism on y, z and thus does not change the fact that p_1 is irreducible; it thus gives Case (2) of Proposition 2.3.4, namely $f_1 = x(y + z^2) + z$. We apply Lemma 3.8.3 and obtain two possible cases. Exchanging x and y at the source gives the result.

We may now assume that for each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the polynomial $\lambda_1 p_1 + \dots + \lambda_n p_n$ is reducible if it has degree 2. Indeed, otherwise we reduce to the previous case by applying an affine automorphism at the target.

We may moreover assume that $\deg(p_i) = 2$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ by adding multiples of p_1 to the p_i for $i \geq 2$.

Let $p_{i,j} \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ be the homogeneous part of degree j of p_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. Let $V = \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(p_{1,2}, \dots, p_{n,2})$. Applying Proposition 2.3.4 to each linear combination $\sum \lambda_i f_i$, we see that each element of V is a square. If $\dim(V) = 1$, then applying a linear automorphism on y, z , we get $p_{i,2} \in \mathbf{k}y^2$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For each i , the polynomial $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is reducible, so $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ as desired.

It remains to see that $\dim(V) \geq 2$ leads to a contradiction. As every element of V is a square, we get $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ and $V = \mathbf{k}y^2 + \mathbf{k}z^2$. For each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n$, the polynomial $x \sum \lambda_i p_{i,2} + \sum \lambda_i q_{i,3}$ is reducible as it is the homogeneous part of degree 3 of $\sum \lambda_i f_i$ (Corollary 2.3.6), so $\sum \lambda_i p_{i,2}$ and $\sum \lambda_i q_{i,3}$ have a common linear factor. Hence, we may apply Lemma 3.1.3 to $p_{1,2}, \dots, p_{n,2}$ and $q_{1,3}, \dots, q_{n,3}$ and get $h \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]_1$ with $q_{i,3} = hp_{i,2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. After applying the linear automorphism $(x - h, y, z)$ at the source, we reduce to the case where $q_{i,3} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The vector space generated by the homogeneous parts of degree 3 of f_1, \dots, f_n is then equal to $\mathbf{k}xy^2 + \mathbf{k}xz^2$. This is impossible, as Proposition 3.5.2(ii) applied to $(f_1(y, x, z), \dots, f_n(y, x, z))$ shows. \square

3.9. The proof of Theorem 3. In this section, we give a description of all linear systems $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 up to composition of affine automorphisms at the source and target and prove in particular Theorem 3.

Proposition 3.9.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $f_i = xp_i + q_i$ where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $\deg(p_i) \leq 2$, $\deg(q_i) \leq 3$. If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces, then $n \leq 3$ and f is equivalent to $(g_1, \dots, g_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ with one of the following possibilities:*

- (i) $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (x + p(y, z), y + q(z), z)$ where $p \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $q \in \mathbf{k}[z]$;
- (ii) $(g_1, g_2, g_3) = (xy + ya(y, z) + z, x + a(y, z) + r(y), y)$ where $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $r \in \mathbf{k}[y]$;
- (iii) $(g_1, g_2) = (xy + ya(y, z) + z, y)$ where $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$;
- (iv) $(g_1, g_2) = (xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z, y)$ where $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.8.4, we may assume that $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ for all i .

We then apply Lemma 3.8.1, and may assume that $p_3 = 0$ if $n = 3$ and that (p_1, p_2) is in one of the following cases:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>(1) $(y^2, y + 1)$
 (2) $(y^2, 1)$
 (3) $(y(y + 1), 1)$</p> | <p>(4) $(y, 1)$
 (5) $(1, 0)$
 (6) $(p, 0)$ with $p \in \{0, y, y^2, y(y + 1)\}$ and $n = 2$</p> |
|---|---|

We now go through the different cases.

In Cases (1)-(4), if $n = 3$ then $f_3 = q_3$ is an element of $\mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree 1. This follows from Lemma 3.7.2(2) applied to (f_1, f_3) , as $p_3 = 0$ and $p_1 \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}$. One can then, if one needs, replace f_3 with $\alpha f_3 + \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{k}$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and obtain $f_3 = y$.

In Cases (1)-(2), $p_1 = y^2$. There is $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ that fixes $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ such that $\alpha^*(f_1)$ is one of the cases of Proposition 2.3.4. As $\alpha^*(y^2)$ is the coefficient of x in $\alpha^*(f_1)$ up to non-zero scalars, we obtain that $\alpha^*(f_1)$ is the polynomial of Case (5) in Proposition 2.3.4 and $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$, so we reduce to the case where $p_1 = y^2$ and $q_1 = ys(z) + z$ for some $s \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree ≤ 2 .

(1): Here $p_2 = y + 1$, so Lemma 3.7.2(4) (ii) shows that $s(z) = -z + \mu$ and $q_2 = -z + r(y)$ where $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$ and $r \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ has degree ≤ 3 . After performing $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, z + \mu)$ at the source and adding constants at the target we may assume $\mu = 0$. Hence,

$$(f_1, f_2) = (xy^2 - zy + z, x(y + 1) - z + r(y)).$$

We apply $(x, y, z) \mapsto (z, y + 1, -x)$ at the source and get

$$(f_1, f_2) = (xy + yz(y + 2) + z, x + z(y + 2) + r(y + 1)).$$

This gives case (ii) if $n = 2$. If $n = 3$, then f_3 is still an element of $\mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree 1 and we can then assume $f_3 = y$ to obtain Case (ii).

(2): Here $p_2 = 1$, so $f_2 = x + q_2(y, z)$ and if $n = 3$, then $f_3 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ is of degree 1, so we may assume $f_3 = y$. Lemma 3.7.2(4)(i) gives $q_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ and $s \in \mathbf{k}$, thus after a permutation of x, y, z at the source we are in case (i).

(3): Here $p_1 = y(y + 1)$, so $q_1 = a(y, z)y(y + 1) + s(y)z + t(y)$ for polynomials $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $s, t \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree ≤ 1 with $s(0)s(-1) \neq 0$ (Proposition 2.2.3). Replacing x with $x - a(y, z)$, we may assume that $a = 0$. Lemma 3.7.2(5) then implies that $s(y) \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $q_2(y, z) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$. Hence,

$$(f_1, f_2) = (xy(y + 1) + sz + t(y), x + q_2(y))$$

and if $n = 3$, we may assume $f_3 = y$. After a permutation of x, y, z at the source and a rescaling of f_1 , we are in case (i).

(4): Here $p_1 = y$, so $q_1 = \tilde{a}(y, z)y + \alpha z + \beta$ where $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{k}$ (Proposition 2.2.3). Replacing z with $\alpha^{-1}(z - \beta)$, we get $f_1 = xy + a(y, z)y + z$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. By Lemma 3.7.2(3) there is $r(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ with $f_2 = x + a(y, z) + r(y)$. Hence, we are in case (ii).

(5): If $n = 2$, then according to Lemma 2.3.2 we may apply an affine automorphism in (y, z) at the source in order to get $f_2 = q_2 = y + q(z)$ and thus we are in case (i). If $n = 3$, then $f = (x + q_1, q_2, q_3)$. Since $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (q_2(y, z), q_3(y, z))$ is an affine linear system of affine spaces, by Lemma 3.2.3(6) the same holds for $(q_2, q_3): \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$. By Proposition 3.2.5, we get up to affine automorphisms in y, z at the source and target that $(q_2, q_3) = (y + q(z), z)$ for some $q \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and thus we are again in case (i).

(6): Assume first that $p = 0$. Then by Proposition 3.2.5 we may assume that $f = (y + q(z), z)$ for some $q \in \mathbf{k}[z]$. After replacing y with x and z with y we are in case (i). In all other cases $p \in \mathbf{k}[y] \setminus \mathbf{k}$ and by Lemma 3.7.2(2) we get that f_2 is a polynomial of degree 1 in $\mathbf{k}[y]$. By Proposition 2.3.4 there is $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ that fixes $[0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ such that $\alpha^*(f_1)$ is one of the polynomials in the cases (1)-(6) of Proposition 2.3.4. Since up to scalars, $\alpha^*(p)$ is the factor of x in $\alpha^*(f_1)$ (when we consider it as a polynomial in x over $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$) and since $p \in \{y, y^2, y(y+1)\}$, it follows that $\alpha^*(f_1)$ belongs to one of the Cases (4)-(6) of Proposition 2.3.4 and $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$. In particular, $\alpha^*(f_2)$ is a polynomial of degree 1 in y . Proposition 2.3.5 then gives $\beta \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ such that $\beta^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ and such that $\beta^*(f_1)$ is one of the polynomials in cases A), B) or C) of Proposition 2.3.5. As $\beta^*(f_2)$ is again a polynomial of degree 1 in $\mathbf{k}[y]$, we may replace it with y and get cases (i), (iii) or (iv). \square

As an immediate consequence we get

Corollary 3.9.2. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ be a linear system of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 . Then f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form if and only if f is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} bundle. Moreover, the latter condition is satisfied if $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \notin \{2, 3\}$.*

Proof. If $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -bundle, then f is equivalent to a linear system of affine spaces in standard form by Corollary 3.6.3. Conversely, we assume that f is a linear system of affine spaces in standard form and prove that f is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -bundle. If $n = 1$, then f is a variable of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ (Corollary 2.2.4), so it defines a trivial \mathbb{A}^2 -bundle. If $n \geq 2$, we go through the four cases of Proposition 3.9.1. In case (i) and (ii), the morphism $(g_1, g_2, g_3): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ defines an automorphism and in case (iii) and (iv), Proposition 2.2.3(2) gives the existence of $g_3 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ such that $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$. The second claim follows from Proposition 3.6.1. \square

We now come to the proof of our description of linear systems of affine spaces $\mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of degree ≤ 3 :

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ such that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a linear system of affine spaces of degree ≤ 3 . If $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is not a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -bundle, then by Corollary 3.9.2 and Proposition 3.6.1, we are in cases (8) or (9). Thus we may assume that $f: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is a trivial \mathbb{A}^{3-n} -bundle. If $n = 1$, this means that $f = f_1$ is a variable, and the description of f follows from Proposition 2.3.5. We may then assume that $n \geq 2$, that f is in standard form (applying again Corollary 3.9.2) and then go through the different cases of Proposition 3.9.1:

(i): $(f_1, f_2) = (x + p(y, z), y + q(z))$ with $p \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $q \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, and $f_3 = z$ if $n = 3$. Since $\deg(f) \leq 3$, we may write $p = \sum_{i=0}^3 p_i(y, z)$ and $q(z) = \sum_{i=0}^3 q_i z^i$ where $p_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i and $q_i \in \mathbf{k}$. After applying a translation at the target we may assume that $p_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 0$. After composing f with the automorphism $(x - p_1(y - q_1 z, z), y - q_1 z, z)$ at the source we are either in case (4) or (10).

(ii) and (iii): There exist $a \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree ≤ 2 and $r \in \mathbf{k}[y]$ of degree ≤ 3 such that $g = (xy + ya(y, z) + z, x + a(y, z) + r(y), y)$ satisfies: f is either equal to g , or f is equal to $\pi \circ g$ where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ is one of the projections $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z)$ or $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y)$. Write $r(y) = r_0 + r_1 y + r_2 y^2 + r_3 y^3$ and $a = a_0 + a_1(y, z) + a_2(y, z)$

where $r_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and $a_i \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is homogeneous of degree i . After adding constants at the target, we may assume $r_0 = 0$. After applying $(x - a_0 - a_1(y, z), y, z)$ at the source, we may further assume that $a = a_2$ is homogeneous of degree 2. After applying the permutation of the coordinates $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, z, x)$ at the source, we have replaced g with $g = (yz + za_2(z, x) + x, y + a_2(z, x) + r_1z + r_2z^2 + r_3z^3, z)$.

If $a_2(z, x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $f_2 \neq z$, then after applying $(x, y - r_1z, z)$ at the source we are in case (4) or case (10). If $a_2(z, x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $f_2 = z$, then after exchanging y and z at the source we are again in case (4). Thus we may assume that $a_2(z, x) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$. If $n = 2$, then we are in case (5) or (6) and if $n = 3$, then we are in case (11) after applying $(x, y - r_1z, z)$ at the target.

(iv): This is case (7). \square

Next, we will show that the cases in Theorem 3 are all pairwise non-equivalent. For this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9.3. *For each $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$, homogeneous of degree 2, it is not possible to find $p \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ such that*

$$\alpha^*(xy + yr_2(y, z) + z) = \lambda x + p(y, z).$$

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that p, λ, α exist. We may assume that $\alpha \in \text{GL}_3$, as a translation sends $\lambda x + p(y, z)$ onto $\lambda x + \tilde{p}(y, z)$ for some $\tilde{p} \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. Hence, the homogeneous part of degree 2 of $\alpha^*(xy + yr_2(y, z) + z)$ is $\alpha^*(xy) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. This implies that $\alpha^*(x), \alpha^*(y)$ are linearly independent elements of $\mathbf{k}y + \mathbf{k}z$, as $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$ is factorially closed in $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$. Replacing α by its composition with an element of GL_2 acting on y, z (which simply replaces p with another polynomial in $\mathbf{k}[y, z]$), we may assume that $\alpha^*(x) = z$ and $\alpha^*(y) = y$. Hence, $\alpha^*(z) = ax + by + cz$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbf{k}$, $a \neq 0$. This gives

$$\lambda x + p(y, z) = \alpha^*(xy + yr_2(y, z) + z) = yz + yr_2(y, ax + by + cz) + ax + by + cz,$$

impossible as $r_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$ and $a \neq 0$, so the coefficient of x of the right hand side is not constant. \square

Proposition 3.9.4. *The eleven families in Theorem 3 define disjoint sets of equivalence classes of affine linear systems of affine spaces, i.e. if $(k), (l) \in \{(1), (2), \dots, (11)\}$, and $f, g: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ are equivalent affine linear systems of affine spaces as in family (k) and (l) of Theorem 3, respectively, then $(k) = (l)$.*

Proof. If f or g is a non-trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration, then both are. As $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ in (8) and $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 3$ in (9), we obtain $(k) = (l) = (8)$ or $k = l = (9)$. We may now assume that (k) and (l) are both contained in one of the sets $\{(1), (2), (3)\}$, $\{(4), (5), (6), (7)\}$ or $\{(9), (10), (11)\}$.

We write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$.

Assume that $f_1 = xy^2 + y(z^2 + az + b) + z$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$, i.e. $(k) \in \{(3), (7)\}$. Then for general $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, the homogeneous part of degree 3 of $\sum \lambda_i f_i$ does not factor into linear polynomials. This has to be the same for the homogeneous part of degree 3 of $\sum \lambda_i g_i$, so $(k) = (l) \in \{(3), (7)\}$ by inspecting the cases that are different from (3), (7). The same holds when $(l) \in \{(3), (7)\}$, so we may exclude these two cases.

Assume now that $f_1 = x + r_2(y, z) + r_3(y, z)$ for homogeneous polynomials $r_2, r_3 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ of degree 2 and 3, respectively, i.e. $(k) \in \{(1), (4), (10)\}$. For each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, the polynomial $\sum \lambda_i f_i$ is equal to $\lambda x + p(y, z)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$

and $p \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. Lemma 3.9.3 implies that g_1 is not equivalent to $xy + ya_2(y, z) + z$ for some $a_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y]$, homogeneous of degree 2, so $(l) \notin \{(2), (5), (6), (11)\}$. This yields $(k) = (l) \in \{(1), (4), (10)\}$. As before, we may now exclude the cases (1), (4) and (10).

It remains to see that $(k) = (5)$ and $(l) = (6)$ are not equivalent. We take homogeneous polynomials $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ of degree 2 and $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (f_1, f_2) = (yz + za_2(x, z) + x, y + a_2(x, z) + r_1z + r_2z^2 + r_3z^3) \\ g &= (g_1, g_2) = (yz + zb_2(x, z) + x, z). \end{aligned}$$

and prove that f, g are not equivalent. For $i = 1, 2$, denote by $f_{i,3}, g_{i,3} \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ the homogeneous part of degree 3 of f_i and g_i , respectively. If $r_3 \neq 0$, then $f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}$ are linearly independent as $a_2 \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$, but $g_{1,3}, g_{2,3}$ are not, so f and g are not equivalent. If $r_3 = 0$, as $a_2 \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$, we get that $\deg(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2) \in \{2, 3\}$ for each $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (0, 0)$. As $\deg(g_2) = 1$, f and g are not equivalent. \square

Corollary 3.9.5. *Every automorphism of degree ≤ 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 is tame.*

Proof. As for each $a \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$ and each $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ we have the decomposition

$$(x + yz + za(x, z), y + a(x, z) + r(z), z) = h_1 \circ \iota \circ h_2 \circ \iota$$

where $h_1 = (x + yz, y + r(z), z) \in \text{Triang}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $h_2 = (x + a(y, z), y, z) \in \text{Triang}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and $\iota = (y, x, z) \in \text{Aff}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^3)$, it follows from Theorem 3 that all automorphisms of degree ≤ 3 of \mathbb{A}^3 are tame. \square

4. DYNAMICAL DEGREES OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF \mathbb{A}^3 OF DEGREE AT MOST 3

As an application of our description of automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree ≤ 3 (see Theorem 3), we list in this section all possible dynamical degrees of these automorphisms. Recall that the dynamical degree satisfies $\lambda(f) \leq \deg(f)$ and that $\lambda(f) = \lambda(g)$ if f, g are conjugated automorphisms in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and more generally if f, g are only conjugated in the bigger group $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ of birational maps of \mathbb{A}^n .

4.1. Affine-triangular automorphisms. We say that an element $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is *affine-triangular* if $f = \alpha \circ \tau$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is an affine automorphism and $\tau \in \text{Triang}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is a triangular automorphism. Note that an element $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is equivalent to a triangular automorphism if and only if it is conjugate to an affine-triangular automorphism by an affine automorphism. The dynamical degrees of affine-triangular automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 can be computed, using a simple algorithm described in [BvS19a]. In particular, one has the following result.

Theorem 4.1.1. [BvS19a, Theorem 1] *For each field \mathbf{k} and each integer $d \geq 2$, the set of dynamical degrees of all affine-triangular automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree $\leq d$ is equal to*

$$\left\{ \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4bc}}{2} \mid (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3, a + b \leq d, c \leq d \right\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Moreover, for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4bc}}{2} \neq 0$, the dynamical degree of the automorphism

$$(z + x^a y^b, y + x^c, x)$$

is equal to λ .

Corollary 4.1.2. *For each $d \geq 1$ and each field k , let us denote by $\Lambda_{d,k} \subset \mathbb{R}$ the set of dynamical degrees of all automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_k^3 of degree d . We then have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{1,k} &= \{1\} \\ \Lambda_{2,k} &= \{1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, 2\} \\ \Lambda_{3,k} &\supseteq \{1, \sqrt{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{3}, 2, \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{3}, 3\}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ is conjugated in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^3)$ to an affine triangular automorphism of degree ≤ 3 (where \bar{k} is a fixed algebraic closure of k), then

$$\lambda(f) \in \{1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, \sqrt{3}, 2, (1 + \sqrt{13})/2, 1 + \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, (1 + \sqrt{17})/2, 1 + \sqrt{3}, 3\}.$$

Proof. Let us write

$$L_d = \left\{ \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4bc}}{2} \mid (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3, a + b \leq d, c \leq d \right\} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for each } d \geq 1.$$

This gives then

$$\begin{aligned}L_1 &= \{1\} \\ L_2 &= \{1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, 2\} \\ L_3 &= \{1, \sqrt{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{3}, 2, \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}, 1 + \sqrt{3}, 3\}.\end{aligned}$$

For each $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ holds: If $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ is conjugated in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^3)$ to an affine triangular automorphism of degree $\leq d$, then Theorem 4.1.1 implies that $\lambda(f) \in L_d$. In particular, $\Lambda_{1,k} \subseteq L_1$ and $\Lambda_{2,k} \subseteq L_2$, as every element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ of degree ≤ 2 is equivalent to a triangular automorphism and is thus conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^3)$ to an affine triangular automorphism (Theorem 3).

It remains to see that $L_d \subseteq \Lambda_{i,k}$ for $d = 1, 2, 3$, by giving explicit examples. For $d = 1$, we simply take the identity. For $d \in \{2, 3\}$, we use elements of the form

$$f_{a,b,c} = (z + x^a y^b, y + x^c, x) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$$

whose dynamical degrees are equal to $\lambda(f_{a,b,c}) = (a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4bc})/2$ when this number is not zero (Theorem 4.1.1).

For $d = 2$, we use $f_{1,0,2}$, $f_{0,1,2}$, $f_{1,1,1}$ and $f_{1,1,2}$, which all have degree 2 and dynamical degrees $1, \sqrt{2}, (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, 2$ respectively.

For $d = 3$, we first use $f_{1,0,3}$, $f_{0,1,3}$, $f_{2,0,3}$, $f_{1,1,3}$, $f_{2,1,1}$, $f_{0,2,3}$, $f_{1,2,2}$, $f_{2,1,2}$ and $f_{0,3,3}$ which all have degree 3 and dynamical degrees $1, \sqrt{3}, 2, (1 + \sqrt{13})/2, 1 + \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, (1 + \sqrt{17})/2, 1 + \sqrt{3}$ and 3 , respectively. In order to obtain the values $\sqrt{2}$ and $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, we conjugate $f_{0,1,2} = (z + y, y + x^2, x)$ and $f_{1,1,1} = (z + xy, y + x, x)$ by $(x, y + z^3, z)$ and $(x, y + z^2, z)$, respectively, to get two automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3 of degree 3 having dynamical degree equal to $\lambda(f_{0,1,2}) = \sqrt{2}$ and $\lambda(f_{1,1,1}) = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, respectively. \square

4.2. List of dynamical degrees of all automorphisms of degree 3. An automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is called *algebraically stable*, if $\deg(f^r) = \deg(f)^r$ for all $r > 0$. In this case, $\lambda(f) = \deg(f)$. Now, let $\iota: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the standard embedding, i.e. $\iota(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [1 : x_1 : \dots : x_n]$. Note that f is algebraically stable, if and only if the extension of f to a birational map $\bar{f}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ via ι satisfies the following: \bar{f}^r maps the hyperplane at infinity $H_\infty = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \iota(\mathbb{A}^n)$ not into the base locus of \bar{f} for each $r > 0$.

The computation of the dynamical degrees in Theorem 2 is heavily based on the results of [BvS19a]. Let us recall the notations and results that we need here.

Definition 4.2.1. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For a polynomial $p = \sum p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (where $p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbf{k}$) its μ -homogeneous part of degree r is the polynomial

$$\sum_{i_1 \mu_1 + \dots + i_n \mu_n = r} p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

For each $p \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus \{0\}$, we define $\deg_\mu(p)$ to be the maximum of the real numbers $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that the μ -homogeneous part of degree r of p is non-zero. We then set $\deg_\mu(0) = -\infty$.

Definition 4.2.2. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^n$. We define the μ -degree of f by

$$\deg_\mu(f) = \inf \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \deg_\mu(f_i) \leq \theta \mu_i \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}.$$

In particular, $\deg_\mu(f) = \infty$ if the above set is empty. If $\theta = \deg_\mu(f) < \infty$, then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $g_i \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the μ -homogeneous part of degree $\theta \mu_i$ of f_i . Then $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is called the μ -leading part of f .

Proposition 4.2.3. [BvS19a, Proposition A] *Let $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_{> 0})^n$ be such that $\theta = \deg_\mu(f) \in \mathbb{R}_{> 1}$. If the μ -leading part $g: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ of f satisfies $g^r \neq 0$ for each $r > 0$, then the dynamical degree $\lambda(f)$ is equal to θ .*

Proposition 4.2.4. *Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) = \alpha \circ g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$,*

$$g = (x + yz + za(x, z) + \xi z, y + a(x, z) + r(z), z),$$

$\xi \in \mathbf{k}$, $a(x, z) = a_0 x^2 + a_1 xz + a_2 z^2 + a_3 x + a_4 z \in \mathbf{k}[x, z]$, $a_0, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbf{k}$, $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ has degree ≤ 3 and $(a_0, a_1) \neq (0, 0)$.

If $\alpha^(z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, then $\lambda(f) = \deg_x(a) \in \{1, 2\}$. Otherwise, either f is algebraically stable (in which case $\lambda(f) = 3$) or f is conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ to an affine-triangular automorphism of degree ≤ 3 , or we can conjugate f by an affine automorphism and reduce to one of the following cases:*

- (1) $\deg(r) = 3$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and the coefficient of z^3 in f_3 is zero;
- (2) $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $\alpha^*(z) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$;
- (3) $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $a_2 = 0$;
- (4) $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$.

Moreover, the dynamical degree of any f in the above cases is given as follows:

$$(1) \lambda(f) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sqrt{2} & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0; \\ (1 + \sqrt{13})/2 & \text{if } a_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \lambda(f) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sqrt{3} & \text{if } a_0 \neq 0; \\ 1 + \sqrt{2} & \text{if } a_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

(3) *Writing the coefficient of z^2 in f_1 as ε , we obtain*

$$\lambda(f) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sqrt{3} & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon \neq 0; \\ (3 + \sqrt{5})/2 & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon = 0; \\ (1 + \sqrt{17})/2 & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon \neq 0; \\ 2 & \text{if } a_1 = 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(4) \lambda(f) = 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

Proof. (A) Suppose first that $\alpha^*(z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$. Since the dynamical degree of the automorphism $z \mapsto \alpha^*(z)$ of \mathbb{A}^1 is 1, by [BvS19a, Lemma 2.3.1] the dynamical degree of f is given by $\lambda(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \deg_{x,y}(f^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$. If $\deg_x(a) = 1$, then $\deg_{x,y}(f^r) = 1$ for each $r \geq 1$, so $\lambda(f) = 1$. We then suppose that $\deg_x(a) = 2$ and prove that $\lambda(f) = 2$. Choosing $\mu = (1, 1, 0)$, we find $\deg_{x,y}(p) = \deg_\mu(p)$ for each $p \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$. As $za(x, z)$ and $a(x, z)$ are \mathbf{k} -linearly independent, one finds $\deg_\mu(f_1) = \deg_\mu(f_2) = 2$ and $\deg_\mu(f_3) = 0$. Hence, $\deg_\mu(f) = 2$ and the μ -leading part of f is $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$, where $g_3 = f_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*z + \mathbf{k}$ and $g_1, g_2 \in (\mathbf{k}x^2z + \mathbf{k}x^2) \setminus \{0\}$. This implies by induction on r that no component of g^r is zero, for each $r \geq 1$, which implies that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \deg_\mu(f^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} = 2$ [BvS19a, Lemma 2.6.1(5)]. This gives $\lambda(f) = 2$.

We may thus assume that $\alpha^*(z) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$ in the sequel. We denote by $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ and $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\tau} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ the extensions of f, g and α, τ , via the standard embedding $\mathbb{A}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto [1 : x : y : z]$ and denote as usual by H_∞ the hyperplane $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \mathbb{A}^3$ given by $w = 0$ where w, x, y, z denote the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^3 . Denoting by $f_{i,j}$ the homogeneous part of f_i of degree j , the restriction of \bar{f} to H_∞ is given by $[0 : x : y : z] \mapsto [0 : f_{1,3}(x, y, z) : f_{2,3}(x, y, z) : f_{3,3}(x, y, z)]$.

(B) Suppose now that $\deg(r) = 3$. This implies that $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}, f_{3,3}) \subset \mathbf{k}[x, z]_3$ has dimension 2. Hence, the image by \bar{f} of H_∞ is a line $\ell \subset H_\infty$ (as $(a_0, a_1) \neq (0, 0)$) and the base-locus of f is the line $\ell_z \subset H_\infty$ given by $z = 0$. As $\bar{g}(H_\infty)$ is the line ℓ_z and as $\alpha^*(z) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$, the line $\ell = \bar{\alpha}(\ell_z) \subset H_\infty$ satisfies $\ell \neq \ell_z$. If \bar{f} restricts to a dominant rational map $\ell \dashrightarrow \ell$, then f is algebraically stable, and the same holds if $\bar{f}(\ell \setminus \ell_z)$ is a point of $\ell \setminus \ell_z$. We may thus assume that $\bar{f}(\ell \setminus \ell_z) = \ell \cap \ell_z \in H_\infty$. The fact that $\bar{f}(\ell \setminus \ell_z)$ and thus also $\bar{g}(\ell \setminus \ell_z)$ is a point implies that $\ell = \bar{\alpha}(\ell_z)$ passes through the point $[0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$ and thus ℓ is given by $x = \mu z$ for some $\mu \in \mathbf{k}$. We may conjugate f with $\kappa = (x - \mu z, y, z) \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ (this replaces α with $\kappa \circ \alpha$ and g with $g \circ \kappa^{-1}$ so does not change the form of g) and assume that $\mu = 0$.

Since $\bar{f}(\ell \setminus \ell_z) = \ell \cap \ell_z = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$, the coefficient of z^3 of f_3 (and of f_1) is equal to zero. As $\bar{\alpha}(\ell_z)$ is the line $x = 0$, we get $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$. We are thus in Case (1).

(C): We may now assume that $\deg(r) < 3$ (and still $\alpha^*(z) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$). We write

$$\alpha = (\alpha_{11}x + \alpha_{12}y + \alpha_{13}z + \beta_1, \alpha_{21}x + \alpha_{22}y + \alpha_{23}z + \beta_2, \alpha_{31}x + \alpha_{32}y + \alpha_{33}z + \beta_3)$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbf{k}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. As $\deg(r) < 3$ the vector space $\text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}(f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}, f_{3,3}) \subset \mathbf{k}[x, z]_3$ has dimension 1. The image of H_∞ by \bar{f} is the point $q = [0 : \alpha_{11} : \alpha_{21} : \alpha_{31}] \in H_\infty$ and the base-locus of f is the union of three lines (maybe with multiplicity). If q is not in the base-locus, then f is algebraic stable. We may thus assume that $f_{i,3}(q) = 0$ for each i . We distinguish the possible cases, depending on whether α_{11} and α_{31} are zero or not.

(C1): Assume first that $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{31} = 0$. As $\alpha^*(z) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$, we get $\alpha_{32} \neq 0$. Conjugating by $\kappa = (x - \alpha_{12}/\alpha_{32}z, y, z)$ (this replaces α with $\kappa \circ \alpha$ and g with $g \circ \kappa^{-1}$ so does not change the form of g), we may assume that $\alpha_{12} = 0$.

As $g = (x + yz + \xi z, y + r(z), z) \circ (x, y + a(x, z), z)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (h_1, h_2, h_3) = (x, y + a(x, z) + r(z), z) \circ f \circ (x, y - a(x, z) - r(z), z) \\ &= (x, y + a(x, z) + r(z), z) \circ \alpha \circ (x + (y - r(z))z + \xi z, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \alpha_{13}z + \beta_1 \\ h_3 &= \alpha_{32}y + \alpha_{33}z + \beta_3 \\ h_2 &= \alpha_{21}(x + (y - r(z))z + \xi z) + \alpha_{22}y + \alpha_{23}z + \beta_2 + a(h_1, h_3) + r(h_3) \end{aligned}$$

We see that h is affine-triangular of degree ≤ 3 and thus f is conjugate to an affine triangular automorphism of degree ≤ 3 .

(C2): Assume now that $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{31} = 0$. The equality $\alpha_{31} = 0$ corresponds to $\alpha^*(z) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. As $\alpha^*(z) \notin \mathbf{k}[z]$, we have $\alpha_{32} \neq 0$. Conjugating by $\kappa = (x, y - \alpha_{21}/\alpha_{11}x, z)$ we may assume that $\alpha_{21} = 0$ (as before, this replaces g with $g \circ \kappa^{-1}$ and thus does not change the form of g). We then conjugate by $(x, y - \alpha_{22}/\alpha_{32}z, z)$ and may assume that $\alpha_{22} = 0$, so $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$. We are thus in Case (2).

(C3): Assume now that $\alpha_{31} \neq 0$. Conjugating by $\kappa = (x - \alpha_{11}/\alpha_{31}z, y - \alpha_{21}/\alpha_{31}z, z)$, we may assume that $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{21} = 0$, so $\alpha^*(x), \alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $q = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$. As $f_{3,3}(q) = 0$ and as the coefficient of x in $\alpha^*(z)$ is non-zero, we get $a_2 = 0$. If $\alpha_{12} \neq 0$, we conjugate by $(x, y - \alpha_{22}/\alpha_{12}x, z)$ and may assume that $\alpha_{22} = 0$, so $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, giving Case (3). If $\alpha_{12} = 0$ and $a_1 \neq 0$, we get Case (4). We may thus assume that $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = 0$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. This gives $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $a(x, z) = a_0x^2 + a_3x + a_4z$, with $a_0 \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (h_1, h_2, h_3) = (x, y + a_3x + a_0x^2, z) \circ f \circ (x, y - a_3x - a_0x^2, z) \\ &= (x, y + a_3x + a_0x^2, z) \circ \alpha \circ (x + yz + a_4z^2 + \xi z, y + a_4z + r(z), z) \end{aligned}$$

is such that $h_1 \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $h_2 \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $h_3 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ are of degree ≤ 2 . Hence, f is conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ to an affine-triangular automorphism of degree ≤ 2 .

We now compute the dynamical degrees in the different cases.

(1): We have $\deg(r) = 3$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and the coefficient of z^3 in f_3 is zero. This gives $f_1 = f_{1,0} + f_{1,1} \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and implies that the coefficient of z^3 in f_2 is not zero. Let θ be in the open interval $(2, 3)$ and choose $\mu = (1, 3, \theta)$. The μ -degree of z^3 is bigger than any other monomial that occurs in f_1, f_2 or f_3 , as $\theta > 2$. We get $\deg_\mu(f_1) = \theta$, $\deg_\mu(f_2) = 3\theta$, with μ -leading parts equal to $\zeta_1 z$ and $\zeta_2 z^3$ for some $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbf{k}^*$, respectively. As the coefficient of z^3 in f_3 is zero, the monomial yz occurs in f_3 . Hence, the μ -leading part of f_3 belongs to $(\mathbf{k}yz + \mathbf{k}xz^2) \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, as $\deg_\mu(y) > \deg_\mu(z) > \deg_\mu(x)$, $\deg_\mu(yz) = 3 + \theta$ is the biggest μ -degree of the monomials of degree ≤ 2 appearing in f ; moreover $\deg_\mu(yz) > \deg_\mu(x^2z) = 2 + \theta$.

If $a_1 \neq 0$, the coefficient of xz^2 in f_3 is not zero, so $t \in \mathbf{k}xz^2$ (since $\theta > 2$). We choose $\theta = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 2\theta + 1$. Thus we obtain $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $g = (\zeta_1 z, \zeta_2 z^3, \zeta_3 xz^2)$, where $\zeta_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$.

If $a_1 = 0$, then $t \in \mathbf{k}yz$. We choose $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{13})/2$ and observe that $\theta^2 = \theta + 3$. Thus we obtain $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $g = (\zeta_1 z, \zeta_2 z^3, \zeta_3 yz)$, where $\zeta_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$.

As g is monomial, we have $g^r \neq 0$ for each $r \geq 1$, so $\lambda(f)$ is equal to θ in both cases (Proposition 4.2.3).

(2): We have $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ and $\alpha^*(z) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f_{1,0} + f_{1,1} + f_{1,2} + \zeta_1 z(a_0x^2 + a_1xz + a_2z^2), \\ f_2 &= f_{2,0} + \zeta_2 z, \\ f_3 &= f_{3,0} + f_{3,1} + \zeta_3(a_0x^2 + a_1xz) + \varepsilon_3 z^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\varepsilon_3 \in \mathbf{k}$.

If $a_0 \neq 0$, we choose $\theta = 1 + \sqrt{3}$, $\mu = (\theta + 1, 1, \theta)$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 2\theta + 2$. Then, $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $(\zeta_1 a_0 x^2 z, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_0 x^2)$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

If $a_0 = 0$, then $a_1 \neq 0$. We choose $\theta = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, $\mu = (\theta + 1, 1, \theta)$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 2\theta + 1$. Then, $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $(\zeta_1 a_1 x z^2, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_1 x z)$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

(3): We have $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$ and $a_2 = 0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f_{1,0} + f_{1,1} + \zeta_1(a_0 x^2 + a_1 x z) + \varepsilon_3 z^2, \\ f_2 &= f_{2,0} + \zeta_2 z, \\ f_3 &= f_{3,0} + f_{3,1} + f_{3,2} + \zeta_3 z(a_0 x^2 + a_1 x z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\varepsilon_3 \in \mathbf{k}$.

If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$, then we choose $\theta = 1 + \sqrt{3}$, $\mu = (2, 1, \theta)$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 2\theta + 2$. Then, $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $(\varepsilon_3 z^2, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_1 x z^2)$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 = 0$, then we choose $\theta = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$, $\mu = (1, \theta - 2, \theta - 1)$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 3\theta - 1$. Then $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $(\zeta_1 a_1 x z, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_1 x z^2)$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

If $a_1 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$, then $a_0 \neq 0$ and we choose $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{17})/2$, $\mu = (2, 1, \theta)$. Observe that $\theta^2 = \theta + 4$. Then $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $(\varepsilon_3 z^2, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_0 x z^2)$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

If $a_1 = \varepsilon_3 = 0$, then $a_0 \neq 0$ and we choose $\theta = 2$, $\mu = (1, 1, \theta)$. Then $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$, with μ -leading part $q = (\zeta_1 a_0 x^2 + \xi_1 z, \zeta_2 z, \zeta_3 a_0 x^2 z + \xi_3 z^2)$ for some $\xi_1, \xi_3 \in \mathbf{k}$. Let $\hat{q}: \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, $(x, z) \mapsto (\zeta_1 a_0 x^2 + \xi_1 z, \zeta_3 a_0 x^2 z + \xi_3 z^2)$ and observe that \hat{q} is dominant (as $\zeta_1 a_0$ and $\zeta_3 a_0$ are both non-zero). As $\pi \circ q = \hat{q} \circ \pi$ for $\pi: \mathbb{A}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z)$, it follows that $q^r \neq 0$ for each $r \geq 1$. This gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3.

(4): We have $\deg(r) \leq 2$, $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, $\alpha^*(y) \in \mathbf{k}[y, z]$, $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f_{1,0} + \zeta_1 z, \\ f_2 &= f_{2,0} + f_{2,1} + \zeta_2(a_0 x^2 + a_1 x z) + \varepsilon_2 z^2, \\ f_3 &= f_{3,0} + f_{3,1} + f_{3,2} + \zeta_3 z(a_0 x^2 + a_1 x z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{k}$. We choose $\theta = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, $\mu = (1, 2, 1 + \sqrt{2})$ and observe that $\theta^2 = 2\theta + 1$. Then $\deg_\mu(f) = \theta$ with μ -leading part $(\zeta_1 z, \varepsilon_2 z^2, \zeta_3 a_1 x z^2)$. As $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\zeta_1, \zeta_3 \neq 0$, this gives $\lambda(f) = \theta$ by Proposition 4.2.3. \square

Example 4.2.5. We illustrate the different cases (1)-(4) of Proposition 4.2.4, by giving a simple example in each possible case and we give examples for the two cases where $\alpha^*(z) = z$ and the case where f is algebraically stable. All of them are of the form $\alpha \circ g$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $g = (x + yz + za(x, z), y + a(x, z) + r(z), z)$, $a = a_0 x^2 + a_1 x z + a_2 z^2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2 and $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is

of degree ≤ 3 .

Case	a	r	$f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^3)$	$\lambda(f)$
	xz	0	$(x + yz + xz^2, y + xz, z)$	1
	x^2	0	$(x + yz + x^2z, y + x^2, z)$	2
	xz	z^3	$(x + yz + xz^2, z, y + xz + z^3)$	3
(1)	xz	z^3	$(z, y + xz + z^3, x + yz + xz^2)$	$1 + \sqrt{2}$
(1)	x^2	z^3	$(z, y + x^2 + z^3, x + yz + x^2z)$	$(1 + \sqrt{13})/2$
(2)	x^2	0	$(x + yz + zx^2, z, y + x^2)$	$1 + \sqrt{3}$
(2)	xz	0	$(x + yz + xz^2, z, y + xz)$	$1 + \sqrt{2}$
(3)	xz	z^2	$(y + xz + z^2, z, x + yz + xz^2)$	$1 + \sqrt{3}$
(3)	xz	0	$(y + xz, z, x + yz + xz^2)$	$(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$
(3)	x^2	z^2	$(y + x^2 + z^2, z, x + yz + x^2z)$	$(1 + \sqrt{17})/2$
(3)	x^2	0	$(y + x^2, z, x + yz + x^2z)$	2
(4)	xz	0	$(z, y + xz, x + yz + xz^2)$	$1 + \sqrt{2}$

Proof of Theorem 2. Corollary 4.1.2 gives the values of $\Lambda_{1,k}$ and $\Lambda_{2,k}$, proves that $\Lambda_{3,k}$ contains $L_3 = \{1, \sqrt{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{3}, 2, \frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{6}, \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{3}, 3\}$ and that for each $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ which is conjugated in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^3)$ to an affine triangular automorphism of degree ≤ 3 (where \bar{k} is a fixed algebraic closure of k), we have $\lambda(f) \in L_3$.

Moreover, the element $(y + xz, z, x + z(y + xz)) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ has dynamical degree $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$ (follows from Proposition 4.2.4 as it belongs to Case (3) with $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0$, see also Example 4.2.5).

It remains then to see that each element $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$ of degree 3 has a dynamical degree which is either equal to $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$ or belongs to L_3 . By Theorem 3, f is conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^3)$ either to an affine-triangular automorphism or to $f = \alpha \circ (yz + za(x, z) + x, y + a(x, z) + r(z), z)$ where $a \in \mathbf{k}[x, z] \setminus \mathbf{k}[z]$ is homogeneous of degree 2 and $r \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ is of degree ≤ 3 . In the first case, $\lambda(f) \in L_3$ by Corollary 4.1.2. In the second case, Proposition 4.2.4 shows that either $\lambda(f) = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$ or $\lambda(f) \in L_3$. This achieves the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [AHE72] Shreeram S. Abhyankar, William Heinzer, and Paul Eakin, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J. Algebra **23** (1972), 310–342. [3.2](#), [3.4](#)
- [Asa87] Teruo Asanuma, *Polynomial fibre rings of algebras over Noetherian rings*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 1, 101–127. [2.2](#)
- [BCW77] H. Bass, E. H. Connell, and D. L. Wright, *Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976/77), no. 3, 279–299. [3.4](#)
- [BFH19] Jérémie Blanc, Jean-Philippe Furter, and Mattias Hemmig, *Exceptional isomorphisms between complements of affine plane curves*, Duke Math. J. **168** (2019), no. 12, 2235–2297. [2.2](#), [2.2](#)
- [BvS19a] Jérémie Blanc and Immanuel van Santen, *Dynamical degree of affine-triangular automorphisms of the affine space*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01324>, 2019. [1.1](#), [1.1](#), [1.2](#), [4.1](#), [4.1.1](#), [4.2](#), [4.2.3](#), [4.2](#)
- [BvS19b] ———, *Embeddings of affine spaces into quadrics*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **371** (2019), no. 12, 8429–8465. [2.2](#)
- [FOV99] H. Flenner, L. O’Carroll, and W. Vogel, *Joins and intersections*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. [3.1](#)

- [FsW98] John Erik Fornæss and He Wu, *Classification of degree 2 polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^3* , Publ. Mat. **42** (1998), no. 1, 195–210. [1.1](#)
- [Fuj79] Takao Fujita, *On Zariski problem*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **55** (1979), no. 3, 106–110. [3.2](#)
- [Gan11] Richard Ganong, *The pencil of translates of a line in the plane*, Affine algebraic geometry, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 57–71. [2.2](#)
- [Jun42] Heinrich W. E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161–174. [1.1](#)
- [KM78] T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi, *On flat fibrations by the affine line*, Illinois J. Math. **22** (1978), no. 4, 662–671. [3.4](#)
- [Mae01] Kazutoshi Maegawa, *Classification of quadratic polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{C}^3 from a dynamical point of view*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 2, 935–951. [1.1](#)
- [MO91] Gary H. Meisters and Czesław Olech, *Strong nilpotence holds in dimensions up to five only*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **30** (1991), no. 4, 231–255. [1.1](#)
- [MS80] Masayoshi Miyanishi and Tohru Sugie, *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980), no. 1, 11–42. [3.2](#)
- [Oht99] Tomoaki Ohta, *The structure of algebraic embeddings of \mathbf{C}^2 into \mathbf{C}^3 (the cubic hypersurface case)*, Kyushu J. Math. **53** (1999), no. 1, 67–106. [1.2](#), [1.2](#)
- [Oht01] ———, *The structure of algebraic embeddings of \mathbf{C}^2 into \mathbf{C}^3 (the normal quartic hypersurface case. I)*, Osaka J. Math. **38** (2001), no. 3, 507–532. [1.2](#), [1.2](#)
- [Oht09] ———, *The structure of algebraic embeddings of \mathbf{C}^2 into \mathbf{C}^3 (the normal quartic hypersurface case. II)*, Osaka J. Math. **46** (2009), no. 2, 563–597. [1.2](#), [1.2](#)
- [Qui76] Daniel Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167–171. [3.4](#)
- [Rus70] Peter Russell, *Forms of the affine line and its additive group*, Pacific J. Math. **32** (1970), 527–539. [3.4](#)
- [Rus76] ———, *Simple birational extensions of two dimensional affine rational domains*, Compositio Math. **33** (1976), no. 2, 197–208. [1.2](#), [2.2](#), [2.2](#)
- [Rus81] ———, *On affine-ruled rational surfaces*, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), no. 3, 287–302. [3.2](#)
- [Rus88] Kamil Rusek, *Two dimensional jacobian conjecture*, pp. 77–98, Proceedings of the Third KIT Mathematics Workshop held in Taejŏn, Korea Institute of Technology, Mathematics Research Center, Taejŏn, 1988. [1.1](#)
- [Sat76] Avinash Sathaye, *On linear planes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 1–7. [1.2](#), [2.2](#)
- [SU04] Ivan P. Shestakov and Ualbai U. Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 1, 197–227. [1.1](#)
- [Sun14] Xiaosong Sun, *Classification of quadratic homogeneous automorphisms in dimension five*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), no. 7, 2821–2840. [1.1](#)
- [Sus76] A. A. Suslin, *Projective modules over polynomial rings are free*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **229** (1976), no. 5, 1063–1066. [3.4](#)
- [vdE00] Arno van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. [1.1](#)
- [vdES97] Arno van den Essen and Vladimir Shpilrain, *Some combinatorial questions about polynomial mappings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **119** (1997), no. 1, 47–52. [3.2](#)
- [vdK53] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (3) **1** (1953), 33–41. [1.1](#)
- [Vis99] Angelo Vistoli, *The Jacobian conjecture in dimension 3 and degree 3*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **142** (1999), no. 1, 79–89. [1.2](#)

JÉRÉMY BLANC, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, DEPARTEMENT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, SPIEGELGASSE 1, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: jeremy.blanc@unibas.ch

IMMANUEL VAN SANTEN, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, DEPARTEMENT MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, SPIEGELGASSE 1, CH-4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: immanuel.van.santen@math.ch