arXiv:1912.02299v1 [nlin.SI] 4 Dec 2019

On the relation between the dual AKP equation and an equation by King and Schief, and its N-soliton solution

Peter H. van der Kamp[1], Da-jun Zhang[2], G.R.W. Quispel[1]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia
[2]Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

Email: P.vanderKamp@LaTrobe.edu.au

February 20, 2022

Abstract

The dual of the lattice AKP equation [P.H. van der Kamp et al., J. Phys. A 51, 365202 (2018)] is equivalent to a 14-point equation related to the lattice BKP equation, found by King and Schief. If one of the parameters vanishes, it is equivalent to a 12-point equation related to the lattice AKP equation. This establishes the integrability of the dual AKP equation. Using the equivalence we prove the existence of the conjectured N-soliton solution of the dual AKP equation, for all values of the parameters.

Each of the three continuous 3D Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations, called AKP, BKP and CKP, has a discrete counterpart. The lattice AKP equation was first derived by Hirota [1], and is also called the Hirota-Miwa equation. Miwa [5] also found the more general lattice BKP equation (a.k.a. the Miwa equation). The lattice CKP equation was derived by Kashaev [2] as a 3D lattice model associated with the local Yang-Baxter relation, and was independently found by Schief [7] as the superposition principle for the continuous CKP equation.

Using conservation laws for the lattice AKP equation [4], the equation[1]

$$a_1\left(\frac{\hat{\tilde{\tau}}}{\hat{\tilde{\tau}}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}-\frac{\tilde{\dot{\tau}}}{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\dot{\tau}}\dot{\tau}}\right)+a_2\left(\frac{\tilde{\hat{\tau}}}{\tilde{\hat{\tau}}\tilde{\tau}\dot{\tau}}-\frac{\hat{\dot{\tau}}}{\hat{\tau}\hat{\dot{\tau}}\tilde{\dot{\tau}}}\right)+a_3\left(\frac{\tilde{\hat{\dot{\tau}}}}{\tilde{\hat{\tau}}\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}}-\frac{\dot{\tau}}{\dot{\tau}\tilde{\dot{\tau}}\hat{\dot{\tau}}}\right)+a_4\left(\frac{\tau}{\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}-\frac{\tilde{\hat{\dot{\tau}}}}{\tilde{\hat{\dot{\tau}}}\hat{\dot{\tau}}\tilde{\dot{\tau}}}\right)=0 \tag{1}$$

was derived as a dual to the lattice AKP equation (DAKP) [9]. Conjecture 1 in [9] claims that the DAKP equation (1) admits an N-soliton solution of the form

$$\tau_{k,l,m}=\sum_{w\in P(N)}\Big(\prod_{v\in P_2(w)}R_v\Big)c_w x_w^k y_w^l z_w^m, \tag{2}$$

in which $P(N)$ denotes the power set of the string $12\dots N$, $P_2(S)$ is the subset of the power set of a string S containing all 2-letter substrings, and $x_{ij}=x_ix_j$, $c_{ij}=c_ic_j$. The parameters x_i, y_i, z_i should satisfy the dispersion relations

$$\begin{aligned}Q(x_i,y_i,z_i):=&y_iz_i(x_i-1)(x_i-y_i)(x_i-z_i)a_1+x_iz_i(y_i-1)(y_i-x_i)(y_i-z_i)a_2\\&+x_iy_i(z_i-1)(z_i-x_i)(z_i-y_i)a_3+x_iy_iz_i(x_i-1)(y_i-1)(z_i-1)a_4=0,\end{aligned}$$

the c_i are constants and the interaction term is given by

$$R_{ij}:=\frac{a_1S_1^{ij}+a_2S_2^{ij}+a_3S_3^{ij}+a_4S_4^{ij}}{Q(x_{ij},y_{ij},z_{ij})}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_1^{ij}:=&\big((x_i-x_j)(x_jy_i-y_jx_i)(x_{ij}-z_{ij})+(x_i-x_j)(x_jz_i-z_jx_i)(x_{ij}-y_{ij})\\&+(x_jz_i-z_jx_i)(x_jy_i-y_jx_i)(1-x_{ij})\big)y_{ij}z_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

[1]For functions $\tau(k,l,m)$, which depend on three independent discrete variables, we denote shifts in k using tildes, shifts in l by hats, and shifts in m by dots, e.g. $\hat{\tilde{\dot{\tau}}}=\tau(k+1,l+1,m-1)$.

the S_k^{ij} for $k=2$ (respectively 3) are obtained from S_1^{ij} by interchanging the symbols x,y (respectively x,z), and

$$\begin{aligned} S_4^{ij} :=&\big((1-x_{ij})(y_i-y_j)(z_i-z_j)+(x_i-x_j)(1-y_{ij})(z_i-z_j)\\ &+(x_i-x_j)(y_i-y_j)(1-z_{ij})\big)x_{ij}y_{ij}z_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Schief [8] pointed out that (1), with $a_1=a_2=a_3=-a_4=1$, is equivalent to equation (24) in [3], which in turn is a consequence of the lattice BKP equation, with coefficients ±1. In this short Letter, we provide the equivalence relation for general a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4, see Theorem 3 below. The relation to the BKP equation (with general coefficients, so including the AKP equation) is given in Theorem 1. We remark that the 14-point equation (24) in [3] and the dual AKP equation have been derived in entirely different settings, and their equivalence is quite remarkable.

Furthermore, due to this equivalence we are able to prove Conjecture 1 in [9]. The proof is not entirely straightforward; the fact that the coefficients a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4 are general means in particular that they may vanish. However, in the Miwa-parametrisation of the soliton solution for the BKP equation one can not set a single coefficient to zero. If one of the coefficients vanishes, the DAKP soliton solution actually relates to an AKP soliton solution, which is a solution of a related 12-point equation, see Theorem 4.

It is well known that both the lattice AKP equation [1]

$$A\tilde{\tau}\hat{\hat{\tau}}+B\hat{\tau}\tilde{\hat{\tau}}+C\dot{\tau}\dot{\hat{\tau}}=0, \tag{4}$$

with $A+B+C=0$, and the lattice BKP equation [5]

$$A\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}+B\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau}+C\dot{\tau}\hat{\dot{\tau}}+D\tau\dot{\tilde{\tau}}=0, \tag{5}$$

with $A+B+C+D=0$, have an N-soliton solution of the form (2). These N-soliton solutions can be parametrised as follows, in so called Miwa coordinates [5]:

- For the AKP equation one sets

$$A=a\,(b-c)\,,\ B=b\,(c-a)\,,\ C=c\,(a-b) \tag{6}$$

and

$$x_i=\frac{1-aq_i}{1-ap_i},\ y_i=\frac{1-bq_i}{1-bp_i},\ z_i=\frac{1-cq_i}{1-cp_i}.$$

The AKP interaction term is given in terms of the p_i,q_i by

$$R_{ij}=-\frac{(q_i-q_j)\,(p_i-p_j)}{(p_j-q_i)\,(p_i-q_j)}. \tag{7}$$

- For the BKP equation the parameters are

$$\begin{aligned} &A=(b+a)\,(c+a)\,(b-c)\,,\ B=(c+b)\,(b+a)\,(c-a)\,,\\ &C=(c+a)\,(c+b)\,(a-b)\,,\ D=(a-b)\,(b-c)\,(c-a)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$x_i=\frac{(1-ap_i)\,(1-aq_i)}{(ap_i+1)\,(aq_i+1)},\ y_i=\frac{(1-bp_i)\,(1-bq_i)}{(bp_i+1)\,(bq_i+1)},\ z_i=\frac{(1-cp_i)\,(1-cq_i)}{(cp_i+1)\,(cq_i+1)}. \tag{9}$$

The BKP interaction term is given in terms of the p_i,q_i by

$$R_{ij}=\frac{(q_i-q_j)\,(q_i-p_j)\,(p_i-q_j)\,(p_i-p_j)}{(q_i+q_j)\,(q_i+p_j)\,(p_i+q_j)\,(p_i+p_j)}. \tag{10}$$

To establish a connection between the N-soliton solution for DAKP and the above N-soliton solutions, we use the following version of Theorem 3.2 from [3] which includes the parameters.

Theorem 1. *For all A,B,C,D, if τ satisfies the BKP equation, then τ satisfies the 14-point equation*

$$A^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\hat{\dot{\tau}}\underline{\tau}\underline{\dot{\tau}}}-\frac{\hat{\dot{\tau}}}{\underline{\tau}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\right)+B^2\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{\underline{\dot{\tau}}\hat{\tau}\underline{\tau}}-\frac{\tilde{\hat{\tau}}}{\tilde{\tau}\underline{\tau}\hat{\dot{\tau}}}\right)+C^2\left(\frac{\dot{\tau}}{\underline{\tilde{\tau}}\underline{\tau}\hat{\tau}}-\frac{\tilde{\dot{\tau}}}{\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}\underline{\tau}}\right)+D^2\left(\frac{\hat{\tilde{\tau}}}{\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}-\frac{\tau}{\underline{\dot{\tau}}\underline{\tau}\underline{\tau}}\right)=0. \tag{11}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [3], shifting the BKP equation backwards in all directions, dividing by τ and the taus containing one shift, and taking a linear combination, this time allowing the coefficients to be $\pm A, \pm B, \pm C$, and $\pm D$, yields equation (11), i.e. defining E to be the left hand side of (5), we have that

$$\frac{A}{\tilde{\tau}\underset{\sim}{\tau}\underset{\wedge}{\tau}}\underset{\cdot}{E}+\frac{B}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\hat{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}\underset{\tilde{\cdot}}{E}+\frac{C}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\underset{\wedge}{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\underset{\tilde{\wedge}}{E}+\frac{D}{\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}E-\frac{A}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\hat{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\underset{\sim}{E}-\frac{B}{\tilde{\tau}\underset{\wedge}{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\underset{\wedge}{E}-\frac{C}{\tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}\underset{\cdot}{E}-\frac{D}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\underset{\wedge}{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}\underset{\tilde{\cdot}}{E}$$

equals the left hand side of (11) multiplied by τ. □

Note that the parameters are not essential, with $D \neq 0$ one can absorb D^2 and then perform the transformation, cf. equation (2.5) in [6],

$$\tau(k,l,m) \to \left(\frac{BC}{A}\right)^{lm}\left(\frac{AC}{B}\right)^{km}\left(\frac{AB}{C}\right)^{kl}\tau(k,l,m), \tag{12}$$

that is, if the parameters A, B, C, D are not zero they can be set to 1, or any other nonzero value. Taking $D = 0$ yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *For all A, B, C, if τ satisfies the AKP equation, then τ satisfies the 12-point equation*

$$A^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\underset{\wedge}{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}-\frac{\hat{\tau}}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\right)+B^2\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}-\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\underset{\wedge}{\tau}\dot{\tau}}\right)+C^2\left(\frac{\dot{\tau}}{\underset{\sim}{\tau}\underset{\wedge}{\tau}}-\frac{\hat{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}\underset{\cdot}{\tau}}\right)=0. \tag{13}$$

Both equations (11) and (13) can also be derived from a two-component generalisation of the related scalar equation, BKP (5) respectively AKP (4), cf. equation (30) in [3] and section 3.2 in [10]. By a general result [10], such two-component generalisations are multi-dimensionally consistent, and moreover they provide Bäcklund transformations for their reductions, equations (11) or (13).

The relation between the DAKP equation (1) and the King-Schief 14-point equation (11) (upshifted in each direction) is given as follows [8].

Theorem 3. *For all A, B, C, D, if $\tau = \tau(k,l,m)$ is a solution of equation (11) then $\tau(l+m, k+m, k+l)$ is a solution of equation (1) with $a_1 = A^2$, $a_2 = B^2$, $a_3 = C^2$, and $a_4 = -D^2$.*

Proof. By changing independent variables, the (stencils of the) equations are transformed into each other, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: On the left the 14-point stencil of equation (1), where $\tau = 1, \tilde{\tau} = 2, \hat{\tau} = 4, \dot{\tau} = 6$, and on the right the 14-point stencil of equation (11), where $\tau = 1, \tilde{\tilde{\tau}} = 12, \hat{\hat{\tau}} = 10, \dot{\dot{\tau}} = 14$. On the left $2 = \tilde{\tau}$ is a single shift, whereas at on the right the point $2 = \hat{\tau}$ appears in the center of the face $1 - 10 - 3 - 14$.

□

The same transformation of the independent variables provides the relation between the DAKP equation (1) with $a_4 = 0$ and the 12-point equation (13), where $A^2 = a_1$, $B^2 = a_2$, $C^2 = a_3$. We will now show how the N-soliton solution of the DAKP equation relates to the N-soliton solutions of the AKP and BKP equations.

Theorem 4. *The N-soliton solution of equation (1) can be written in Miwa coordinates.*

Proof

- For nonzero a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 one can apply a transformation similar to (12), which induces a transformation on the parameters $a_i \to a_i'$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $a_4 \to a_4'$ can be scaled independently, such that after the transformation we can parametrise

$$a_1' = \big((b+a)(c+a)(b-c) \big)^2, \quad a_3' = \big((c+a)(c+b)(a-b) \big)^2,$$
$$a_2' = \big((c+b)(b+a)(c-a) \big)^2, \quad a_4' = -\big((a-b)(b-c)(c-a) \big)^2,$$

  and

$$x_i = \frac{(1-bp_i)(1-bq_i)(1-cp_i)(1-cq_i)}{(bp_i+1)(bq_i+1)(cp_i+1)(cq_i+1)}, y_i = \frac{(1-ap_i)(1-aq_i)(1-cp_i)(1-cq_i)}{(ap_i+1)(aq_i+1)(cp_i+1)(cq_i+1)},$$
$$z_i = \frac{(1-ap_i)(1-aq_i)(1-bp_i)(1-bq_i)}{(ap_i+1)(aq_i+1)(bp_i+1)(bq_i+1)}.$$

  The DAKP dispersion relations $Q(x_i, y_i, z_i) = 0$ (with $a_i \to a_i'$) are then satisfied. Furthermore, the DAKP interaction term R_{ij} (3), in terms of the p_i, q_i, is precisely (10).

- Suppose that $a_4 = 0$. After a transformation (if necessary), parametrising $a_1' = a^2(b-c)^2$, $a_2' = b^2(c-a)^2$, $a_3' = c^2(a-b)^2$, and

$$x_i = \frac{1-bq_i}{1-bp_i}\frac{1-cq_i}{1-cp_i}, \quad y_i = \frac{1-aq_i}{1-ap_i}\frac{1-cq_i}{1-cp_i}, \quad z_i = \frac{1-aq_i}{1-ap_i}\frac{1-bq_i}{1-bp_i},$$

  the DAKP dispersion relations $Q(x_i, y_i, z_i) = 0$ are satisfied. Moreover, the DAKP interaction term R_{ij} (3) in terms of the p_i, q_i is precisely (7).

- Suppose that $a_1 = 0$. Changing the direction of the tilde-shift, i.e. $\tilde{\tau} \to \underline{\tau}$, the BKP equation with $A = 0$ becomes an AKP equation with $A \to D$, $B \to C$, and $C \to B$. Then applying a transformation similar to (12) one can parametrise $a_2' = c^2(a-b)^2$, $a_3' = b^2(c-a)^2$, $a_4' = -a^2(b-c)^2$, and with

$$x_i = \frac{1-bp_i}{1-bq_i}\frac{1-cq_i}{1-cp_i}, \quad y_i = \frac{1-aq_i}{1-ap_i}\frac{1-cq_i}{1-cp_i}, \quad z_i = \frac{1-aq_i}{1-ap_i}\frac{1-bq_i}{1-bp_i},$$

  the DAKP dispersion relations $Q(x_i, y_i, z_i) = 0$ are then satisfied. Moreover, the DAKP interaction term R_{ij} (3) in terms of the p_i, q_i is precisely (7).

- Similar parametrisations can be given when $a_2 = 0$, or $a_3 = 0$. Changing the direction of the hat-shift transforms BKP with $B = 0$ into AKP with $A \to D$, $B \to C$ and $C \to B$. Changing the direction of the dot-shift transforms BKP with $C = 0$ into AKP with $A \to B$, $B \to A$ and $C \to D$.

□

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Wolfgang Schief for providing the relation between dual AKP and the 14-point King-Schief equation. Financial support was provided by a La Trobe University China studies seed-funding research grant, by the department of Mathematics and Statistics of La Trobe University, and by the NSF of China [Grants 11875040, 11631007]. GRWQ's work is partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation.

References

[1] R. Hirota, Discrete analogue of a generalized Toda equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50 (1981) 3785–3791.

[2] R.M. Kashaev, On discrete three-dimensional equations associated with the local Yang-Baxter relation, Lett. Math. Phys. 38 (1996) 389–397.

[3] A.D. King, W.K. Schief, Bianchi hypercubes and a geometric unification of the Hirota and Miwa equations, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2015 (2015) 6842–6878.

[4] K. Maruno, G.R.W. Quispel, Construction of integrals of higher-order mappings, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75 (2006) 123001 (5pp).

[5] T. Miwa, On Hirota's difference equations, Proc. Japan Acad. 58A (1982) 9–12.

[6] J.J.C. Nimmo, Darboux transformations and the discrete KP equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) 8693–8704.

[7] W.K. Schief, Lattice geometry of the discrete Darboux, KP, BKP and CKP equations. Menelaus' and Carnot's theorems, J. Nonl. Math. Phys. 10 (supp 2) (2003) 194–208.

[8] W.K. Schief, private communication.

[9] P.H. van der Kamp, G.R.W. Quispel, D.J. Zhang, Duality for discrete integrable systems II, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 51 (2018) 365202 (13pp).

[10] D.D. Zhang, P.H. van der Kamp, D.J. Zhang, Multi-component generalisation of CAC systems, arXiv:1912.00713 [nlin.SI].