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#### Abstract

We prove that the isotropic Landau equation equipped with the Coulomb potential introduced by Krieger-Strain and Gualdani-Guillen can be identified with the gradient flow of the entropy in the probability space with respect to a Riemannian metric tensor with nonlocal mobility. We give characterizations of the corresponding geodesics equations and present a convergence rate result by estimating its Hessian operator.


## 1 Introduction

Since Otto's pioneering work on analyzing the porous medium equation [21], there has been a lot of work on exploring gradient flow structures of different partial differential equations in the space of probability measures. The gradient flow method has proven to be important for both analytical and numerical simulation purposes, for example [14, 2, 22, 5, 6, 20, just to name a few .

Although there has been a vast amount of literature on mathematical analysis of Boltzmann and Landau equations, the investigation of their gradient flow structures has only started quite recently: for example see [8, 1] for recent analysis results on the Boltzmann equation and [4] for a novel numerical method on approximating the homogeneous Landau equation. Very recently, Carrillo et al. [3] carry out in-depth gradient flow analysis of the homogeneous Landau equation and provide the theoretical basis of the $\epsilon$-approximated Landau equation that [4] aims to solve. As all these results are based on the dissipation of the entropy functional, i.e., H-theorems, those kinetic equations can be viewed as gradient flows of the entropy with respect to various specific geometries.

The reason of limited progress on the gradient flow approach of the Boltzmann-like kinetic equations is the following: unlike the classical $L^{2}$ Wasserstein distance and generalized Wasserstein distance regarding concave, nonlinear, and local mobilities (see for example [7, 19, 16, 18, 17, (9), the metrics associated with these Boltzmann-like kinetic equations involve nonlocal mobilities, which cause significant challenges when one tries to analyze related displacement convexity, functional inequalities, contractions and so on.

The goal of this short note is to identify the gradient flow structure of a modified version of classical Landau-Coulomb equations, the isotropic Landau equation, which has drawn interests within the kinetic community recently [15, 10, 11, 13, 12]. Because the isotropic Landau equation ignores the projection matrix, some of its properties are different from the original Landau equation. This also makes our note distinct from other papers on the gradient flow structure of the classical Landau equation. Given this gradient flow structure, we are able to characterize some basic geometric properties and calculate a time-dependent convergence rate for the entropy dissipation.
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### 1.1 The isotropic Landau equation

Let us first recall the homogeneous Landau equation with the Coulomb potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho=\nabla \cdot(A[\rho] \nabla \rho-\rho \nabla \mathcal{L} \rho), \quad \text { with } A[\rho]=\frac{1}{8 \pi|x|}\left(I_{d}-\frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^{2}}\right) * \rho . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified Landau equation, which shares structural similarities with Landau equation from plasma physics and was first considered by Krieger and Strain [15], has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho=\mathcal{L} \rho \Delta \rho+\alpha \rho^{2}, \quad \text { with } \mathcal{L} \rho=(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far its global-in-time well-posedness with radial monotonic positive initial data has been proven in 10 for $\alpha \in(0,74 / 75)$. When $\alpha=1$, the above can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho=\nabla \cdot(\mathcal{L} \rho \nabla \rho-\rho \nabla \mathcal{L} \rho) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the isotropic Landau equation since $A[\rho]$ is replaced by $\mathcal{L} \rho$ and has been studied by Gualdani and her collaborators in papers [11, 13, 12]. We recall that the inverse fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ is a Riesz potential of order $2 s$ and can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{-s} \rho(x, t):=c_{d, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\rho(y, t)}{|x-y|^{d-2 s}} d y, \quad t>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{d, s}=\frac{4^{s} \Gamma(d / 2+s)}{|\Gamma(-s)| \pi^{d / 2}}$. Here for the isotropic Landau equation (1.3), we only consider the case where $d=3$ and $s=1$, thus $c_{3,1}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}$.

### 1.2 Previous work on the isotropic Landau equation

The global-in-time existence of smooth solutions given radially symmetric and monotonically decreasing initial data that have finite mass, energy and entropy was shown in [11]. Later, the radial symmetry requirement was relaxed to even functions in [13]. Although the isotropic Landau equation (1.3) is structurally similar to the classical Landau-Coulomb equation, in analysis it is very different in the sense that its second moment increases in time as mentioned in [12, 13]. Because of that, many techniques in the classical Landau equation do not directly apply and the dissipation computation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}(\rho)=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{|x-y|}\left|\frac{\nabla \rho(x)}{\rho(x)}-\frac{\nabla \rho(y)}{\rho(y)}\right|^{2} d x d y \geq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not imply a Maxwellian equilibrium. In fact, the only steady solution for the isotropic Landau equation is the identically zero solution.

Let us summarize some conditional regularity results from [13, 12, which will be used to analyze a distance based on the nonlocal mobility to be introduced below. By assuming that the initial data $\rho_{0}$ is even, $\left|\mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right|<+\infty$ and $\left\|\rho_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}=1$ by normalization, the following time-dependent dissipation-Fisher information relation holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \mathcal{E}(\rho)}{d t}+\kappa(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|\nabla \sqrt{\rho(x, t)}|^{2}}{1+|x|} d x \leq 0, \quad t>0,  \tag{1.6}\\
& \quad \text { with } \kappa=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \frac{1}{E(t)^{1 / 2}+1} \text { and } E(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|x|^{2}}{2} \rho(x, t) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, the second moment of data is locally bounded in time

$$
E(t) \leq C_{p, \epsilon}\left(1+t^{2 p /(2 p-4+\epsilon)}\right), \quad t>0, \quad \frac{9}{5}<p<2, \quad 4-2 p<\epsilon<\frac{2}{5} .
$$

Integrating (1.6) in time gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^{2}}{1+|x|} d x d t \leq C_{T} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional regularity estimates in [13, 12] are built on assuming the following $\varepsilon$-Poincaré inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho \phi^{2} d x \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x+C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi^{2} d x, \quad \text { for } \phi \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above assumptions allows for a uniform bound in space and time for $(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(x, t)$ and we restate this result here.

Lemma 1.1 ([12), Theorem 2). Suppose $\rho$ is a solution to the isotropic Landau equation (1.3) with even non-negative initial data $\rho_{0}$, and (1.8) holds. For any $0<t<T$ and any $s_{1}>1, s_{2}>1 / 3$, and any ball $B_{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with arbitrary radius $R>0$, there exists constants $C_{1}\left(T, R, s_{1}\right), C_{2}\left(T, s_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(t, T ; B_{R}\right)} \leq C_{1}\left(T, R, s_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{t}+1\right)^{s_{1}}, \quad t \in(0, T),  \tag{1.9}\\
& \left\|(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(t, T ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C_{2}\left(T, s_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{t}+1\right)^{s_{2}}, \quad t \in(0, T) . \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.3 Main results

The first main result of this note is the following gradient flow characterization of the isotropic Landau equation.

Theorem 1.1. The isotropic Landau equation (1.3) can be viewed as the gradient flow for the Boltzmann Shannon entropy $\mathcal{E}(\rho)=\int \rho \log \rho d x$,

$$
\partial_{t} \rho=\nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x, y) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(y) d y\right) \quad \text { with } K(x, y)=\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho(x) \mathcal{L} \rho(x)-\frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|} .
$$

Based on this structure, we can define a distance function $W_{K}$ (2.8) in the Benamou-Brenier fashion, and have a lower bound with respect to the Wasserstein-1 distance (see Section 2.1). The corresponding geodesic equations can also be computed from the Hamiltonian (in Section 2.2).

In [3, 4], the gradient flow structure for the classical Landau equation can be written as

$$
\partial_{t} \rho=\nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho(x) \rho(y)|x-y|^{2+\gamma} \Pi[x-y](\nabla \log \rho(x)-\nabla \log \rho(y)) d y\right)
$$

with the projection matrix $\Pi[z]=I-\frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^{2}}$. It is easy to check that, when $\gamma=-d=-3$ and $\pi[z]$ is set to be 1 , the above two gradient flow structures are equivalent.

Let us denote $\rho_{t} \equiv \rho$ and $K_{\rho_{t}} \equiv K$ to emphasize the density path. The second main result of this note provides a time-dependent convergence rate result for the entropy functional by estimating its Hessian operator. The proof essentially follows the Bakry-Emery strategy and assumes that $\rho_{t}$ is of sufficient regularity throughout the computations, which has been proven true for radially symmetric solutions.

Theorem 1.2. Along the gradient flow (1.3), equipped with $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$, we can compute the Riemannian Hessian operator of the entropy as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right)= & -\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\left.\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}| | \nabla^{2} \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

With an additional assumption that if there exists $\gamma \in(0,1 / 7)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(2 \gamma \rho_{t}-\frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}^{2}}\right)\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)+3 \rho_{t}^{2}\right) d x \geq 0, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we then have the convergence rate for the entropy

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right) \leq-\alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x d t
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ is a constant depending on $\gamma$.
This result provides another view comparing to the dissipation-Fisher information relation (1.6). The detailed computations, which heavily use the geodesic equations, the relation $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$ along the gradient flow, and the Bochner's formula, will be given in Section 3. We would like to point out that it is possible to carry out similar computations for the original Landau equation. However, due to the existence of the projection matrix $\Pi[z]$ and the different steady solution, the computations can be significantly more complicated and the convergence result might also change.

Organization. The rest of the note is organized as follows. Section 2 details the gradient flow structure and Section 3 gives the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2 The gradient flow structure

Consider the density space (or sometimes called the statistical manifold)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{\text { non-negative functions } \rho \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho=1\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{M}=\left\{\text { functions } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sigma=0\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key object of this note is the nonlocal metric tensor defined as follows.
Definition 2.1. (Nonlocal metric tensor) Given $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$, for $\sigma_{1,2} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{M}$, the nonlocal metric tensor $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right):=\left\langle\sigma_{1},(-\mathcal{K})^{-1} \sigma_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{1},-\mathcal{K} \Phi_{2}\right\rangle, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K} u(x)=\nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x, y) \nabla u(y) d y\right) \text { with } K(x, y)=\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho(x) \mathcal{L} \rho(x)-\frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi_{i}$ is a weak solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}(x)=-\nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{i}(y) d y\right)=-\mathcal{K} \Phi_{i}(x), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the metric tensor $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ is well-defined, one needs to verify that it is bilinear, symmetric, and positive semi-definite. The first two conditions can be checked directly while the last condition requires that for any $u$ in some Banach space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y) u^{2}(x)}{|x-y|}-\frac{\rho(x) \rho(y) u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|} d x d y \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality holds by simply using the symmetry and Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y) u^{2}(x)}{|x-y|} d x d y & =\iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)\left(u^{2}(x) / 2+u^{2}(y) / 2\right)}{|x-y|} d x d y \\
& \geq \iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y) u(x) u(y)}{|x-y|} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

The following theorem states that the isotropic Landau equation is the gradient flow of the entropy with respect to the Riemannian structure introduced above.

Theorem 2.1. Given the Boltzmann Shannon entropy $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\rho)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho \log \rho d x, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the nonlocal metric tensor defined in (2.3), the gradient flow dynamics of (2.7) is exactly (1.3).

Proof. Note that

$$
g_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}, \sigma\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \sigma(x) d x
$$

By the definition (2.3),

$$
g_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}, \sigma\right)=\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}(x)(-\mathcal{K})^{-1} \sigma d x=\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}(x) \Phi(x) d x .
$$

Plugging in the equation (2.5) and using $\nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}=\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \sigma(x) d x & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho(x) \mathcal{L} \rho(x)-\frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}\right) \nabla \Phi(y) d y\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho(x) \mathcal{L} \rho(x)-\frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}\right) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) d x\right) \nabla \Phi(y) d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla \rho(y) \mathcal{L} \rho(y)-\rho(y) \mathcal{L} \nabla \rho(y)) \nabla \Phi(y) d y \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \cdot(\mathcal{L} \rho(x) \nabla \rho(x)-\rho(x) \nabla \mathcal{L} \rho(x)) \Phi(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}(x)=-\nabla \cdot(\mathcal{L} \rho(x) \nabla \rho(x)-\rho(x) \nabla \mathcal{L} \rho(x))
$$

Since $\partial_{t} \rho=-\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho}$, the Riemannian gradient flow in $\left(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ gives the isotropic Landau equation as desired.

Based on the above characterization, it is natural to define a Benamou-Brenier like formalism [2] of the distance similar to the classical Wasserstein distance, but instead with a nonlocal mobility defined in (2.4).

Definition 2.2. If the kernel $K(x, y)$ is well-defined, the distance function $W_{K}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ between two functions $\rho_{0}(x)=\rho(x, 0)$ and $\rho_{1}(x)=\rho(x, 1)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{K}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right):=\inf _{v, \rho}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v(x, t) K(x, y) v(y, t) d x d y d t\right)^{1 / 2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the infimum is taken over all smooth paths $\rho: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and vector field $v: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1] \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{T} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho(x, t)+\nabla \cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x, y) v(y, t) d y\right)=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Comparison to $L^{1}$-Wasserstein distance

Based on the conditional regularity results provided in [13, 12], we can obtain a lower bound for the distance introduced in (2.8) in terms of the $L^{1}$-Wasserstein distance. Let us first recall that the $L^{1}$-Wasserstein distance between $\rho_{0}, \rho_{1} \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right):=\inf _{\pi \in \Gamma\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)} \int|x-y| \pi(d x, d y), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)$ is the set of all couplings of $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{1}$.
Theorem 2.2. If $\rho_{0}$ is even, $\rho_{0} \log \rho_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and satisfies the $\varepsilon$-Poincaré inequality (1.8), then we have the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right) \leq C W_{K}\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded 1-Lipschitz function. Clearly $\varphi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Using the continuity equation (2.9) and integration by parts gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int \varphi \rho_{1} d x-\int \varphi \rho_{0} d x\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{1} \int \varphi \partial_{t} \rho d x d t\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \varphi(x) K(x, y) v(y, t) d x d y d t\right| \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \varphi(x) K(x, y) \nabla \varphi(y) d x d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} v(x, t) K(x, y) v(y, t) d x d y d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality uses Cauchy-Schwarz since (2.6) holds. Now as $\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{\infty}}=1$, using the uniform bound (1.10) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \varphi(x) K(x, y) \nabla \varphi(y) d x d y d t\right| & \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho(x, t)\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(x, t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(t, 1 ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} d x d t \\
& \leq 2 C(n) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{t}+1\right)^{s} d t \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over all bounded 1-Lipschitz functions $\varphi$ on the left hand side accompanied with Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality, and taking the infimum over $v, \rho$ on the right hand side, we then obtain the inequality (2.11).

### 2.2 The geodesic equations

Let us consider the geometric action functional in the density space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\rho_{t}, \partial_{t} \rho_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int \partial_{t} \rho_{t}\left(-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}}\right)^{-1} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x d t \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{t}=\rho(x, t)$ is the density path connecting $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{1}, \mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}}$ is the Onsager operator defined in (2.4) with its dependency on $\rho_{t}$ explicitly written.

Lemma 2.3. With the relation $\Phi_{t}=\left(-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}}\right)^{-1} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}$, the geodesic equations are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \rho_{t}+\mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}} \Phi_{t}=0  \tag{2.13}\\
\partial_{t} \Phi_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}+(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} \rho_{t}\right)\right)-\nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. The derivation follows directly from the Hamiltonian formulation, which by Legendre transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right)=\sup _{\Phi_{t} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})} \int \Phi_{t} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x-\mathcal{L}\left(\rho_{t}, \partial_{t} \rho_{t}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supremum is obtained when $\Phi_{t}=\left(-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}}\right)^{-1} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}$, and the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}\left(-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}}\right)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x=\frac{1}{2} \iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The co-geodesic flow satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho_{t}=\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right)}{\delta \Phi_{t}}, \quad \partial_{t} \Phi_{t}=-\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right)}{\delta \rho_{t}} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation of (2.13) can be easily obtained from the first relation in (2.16). To obtain the second equation of (2.13) from the second relation in (2.16) here, we write (2.15) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x)\left(\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}(x)-\frac{\rho_{t}(x) \rho_{t}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}\right) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}(x)\right|^{2} \rho_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}(x) d x-\frac{1}{2} \iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x) \frac{\rho_{t}(x) \rho_{t}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for any $v \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \rho_{t}} v d x=\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon} \mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{t}+\epsilon v, \Phi_{t}\right)\right|_{\epsilon=0} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}(x)\right|^{2}\left(v(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}(x)+\rho_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} v(x)\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x) \frac{\rho_{t}(x) v(y)+v(x) \rho_{t}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}+(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} \rho_{t}\right)\right) v d x-\int \nabla \Phi_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) v d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the second equation that we stated.

## 3 Estimate of the Hessian operator

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem [1.2. The Hessian operator of the entropy can be computed by taking the second time derivative of $\mathcal{E}$ along the geodesic equations as in Lemma 2.3, Let us use $\delta \mathcal{E}$ to denote $\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}$ for convenience. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right)=\left\langle\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho_{t}}, \Phi_{t}\right\rangle & =\int \delta \mathcal{E} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x \\
& =\iint \nabla \delta \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}(x)\right) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

The second variation of $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right)=\left\langle\left.\operatorname{Hess} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho_{t}} \Phi_{t}, \Phi_{t}\right\rangle= & \int \nabla \frac{d}{d t} \delta \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}(x)\right) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
& +\int \nabla \delta \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}(x)\right) \partial_{t} K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
& +\int \nabla \delta \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}(x)\right) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \partial_{t} \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
= & I+I I+I I I .
\end{aligned}
$$

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.2. The following rather long computations will involve the quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \rho_{t}= & -\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}-\rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)\right) \\
= & -\nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}-\rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}-\rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} \\
& +\nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)+\rho_{t}^{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The last term above uses the relation $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$ since we follow the Hessian operator along the gradient flow. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)=\rho_{t} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, unless we are to analyze some difficult terms, the notation $\Phi_{t}$ will be kept for the majority of the computation in order to explore the associated Hessian structure.

For the first term $I$, let us use (3.1) and study its quadratic expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \int \delta^{2} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}(x)\right)\left(\nabla \cdot\left(\int K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d y\right)\right)^{2} d x \\
= & \int \frac{1}{\rho_{t}(x)}\left(\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}(x) \nabla \Phi_{t}(x)-\rho_{t}(x)(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t}(x) \nabla \Phi_{t}(x)\right)\right)\right)^{2} d x \\
= & \int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \Phi_{t}\right)^{2} d x \\
& +\int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}\left|(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x-2 \int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& +2 \int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x+\int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second term $I I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I= & \int \frac{\nabla \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}}\left(\delta_{\{x=y\}} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}+\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}-\frac{\partial_{t} \rho_{t}(x) \rho_{t}(y)+\rho_{t}(x) \partial_{t} \rho_{t}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}\right) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y \\
= & \int \frac{\nabla \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -\int \frac{\nabla \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}} \partial_{t} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\partial_{t} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x:=I I_{1}+I I_{2}+I I_{3}+I I_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging in (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{1}= & -\int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x+\int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& -\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{3}= & \int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& +\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-\int \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{t}}\left|(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{4}= & -\int(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the third term, we use the geodesic equation in (2.3) and note that the (1.3) also can be written as $\partial_{t} \rho_{t}=(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}+\rho_{t}^{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I I= & \int \nabla \cdot\left(\int\left(\delta_{\{x=y\}} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}-\frac{\rho_{t}(x) \rho_{t}(y)}{4 \pi|x-y|}\right) \frac{\nabla \rho_{t}(y)}{\rho_{t}(y)} d y\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}+(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} \rho_{t}\right)\right)-\nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \int\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}+(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} \rho_{t}\right)\right)-\nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \Delta \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int(-\Delta)^{-1}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x:=I I I_{1}+I I I_{2}+I I I_{3}+I I I_{4}+I I I_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining $I, I I_{1}, I I_{3}$ and $I I_{4}$ results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
I+ & I I_{1}+I I_{3}+I I_{4} \\
= & 2 \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \Phi_{t}\right)^{2} d x+2 \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x \\
& +\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& +\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x+\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x:=I V .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now rearrange the first three lines in $I V$ in a nicer way by doing integration by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \nabla \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x= & -\int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x-\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \Phi_{t}\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left(\nabla \Phi_{t}, \nabla \Delta \Phi_{t}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and as a result

$$
\begin{aligned}
I V= & 2 \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left(\nabla \Phi_{t}, \nabla \Delta \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I I_{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \Delta\left(\nabla \Phi_{t}, \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \Delta\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Bochner's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(\nabla \Phi_{t}, \nabla \Phi_{t}\right)-\left(\nabla \Phi_{t}, \nabla \Delta \Phi_{t}\right)=\left\|\nabla^{2} \Phi_{t}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can combine the first term in $I I I_{1}$ and the first term in the second line in $I V$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I V+I I I_{1}= & 2 \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}| | \nabla^{2} \Phi_{t} \|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \Delta\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We continue to apply the integration by parts here. Note that the first term in the fourth line above can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x=\int \Delta \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& +\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-\int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

with the last term obtained by plugging in $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$. Furthermore, using this substitution can reformulate the second term in the third line of $I V+I I I_{1}$ as

$$
-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x=2 \int \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x-2 \int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x .
$$

Finally, we can rearrange the terms into the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
I V+I I I_{1}+I I I_{3}= & 2 \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& +\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}| | \nabla^{2} \Phi_{t} \|^{2} d x+2 \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+2 \int \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \Delta\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t} \nabla\left(\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x\right. \\
& +\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t} \Delta \Phi_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x:=V .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$, the first line in $V$ can be reduced to
$2 \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int \nabla \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x=\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x$.
Moreover, in the second line, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x & +2 \int \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x=2 \int \rho_{t} \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x=-2 \int \rho_{t} \mathcal{K}_{\rho_{t}} \Phi_{t} d x \\
& =-2 \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x:=V I
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
V I+I I I_{4}+I I I_{5}=-\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x .
$$

The third and fourth lines in $V$ can be organized as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \Delta\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{5}{4} \int \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2} \nabla\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{3}{4} \int \rho_{t} \Delta\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collect all the terms above, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
V+I I I_{4}+I I I_{5}= & -\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x+\left.\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}| | \nabla^{2} \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-2 \int\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

plus the remaining terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{2}+I I I_{2} & =\int(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\nabla \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) \partial_{t} \rho_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int(-\Delta)^{-1}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}\right)\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t} \Delta \rho_{t}+\rho_{t}^{2}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla\left(\rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}\right)(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

when using the integration by parts to move the Laplacian operator to other places. The second term above can be dealt with by viewing $\rho_{t}$ as $-\nabla \cdot(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}$ and an integration by parts gives

$$
-\int \rho_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla\left(\rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2}\right)(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t} d x=\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x
$$

Now we are ready to wrap up all terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I+I I+I I I= & -\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int \rho_{t}\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left\|\nabla^{2} \Phi_{t}\right\|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (1.11).
The term, $-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x$, can be rewritten using $\Phi_{t}=-\log \rho_{t}$ as

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}^{2}}\right)(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x .
$$

We further decompose $\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x$ into

$$
\int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x=\alpha \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+\beta \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x+\gamma \int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x
$$

with $2(\alpha+\beta)+\gamma=1$. Now reorganize terms, we obtain

$$
\beta \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_{t}^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x=\int \rho_{t}^{2}\left(\beta \rho_{t}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}^{2}}\right) d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma \int \rho_{t}^{2} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_{t} \nabla\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\left(2 \gamma \rho_{t}-\frac{\left|\nabla \rho_{t}\right|^{2}(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}^{2}}\right)(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $\gamma=\beta / 3 \in(0,1 / 7)$ for compatibility, then the positivity assumption (1.12), with ignorance of the Hessian term, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right) \geq \alpha \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.4) for $[t, \infty)$ results in

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right) \leq-\alpha \int_{t}^{\infty} \int \rho_{t}^{3} d x d t=-\alpha\left\|\rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{3}\left([t, \infty) ; L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)}^{3}
$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. This relation gives us another view of time-dependent entropy dissipation comparable to (1.6).

Remark 3.1. Recall the first variation of $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right)=\left\langle\left.\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}\right|_{\rho_{t}}, \Phi_{t}\right\rangle=-\iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y .
$$

Ideally, one hopes to obtain the following inequality with some $\kappa(t) \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right) \geq-\kappa(t) \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right),
$$

which can imply the convergence for the entropy with a rate depending on $\kappa(t)$. However, as one can see from the rearrangement of $I+I I+I I I$, we are not able to recover the full metric $\iint \nabla \Phi_{t}(x) K_{\rho_{t}}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_{t}(y) d x d y$, although the terms with $\int \rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(\rho_{t} \nabla \Phi_{t}\right) d x$ are part of it. That is why we take the assumption (1.12) instead.

Remark 3.2. Although the convergence rate estimate we provide here is a very crude bound, we can still observe the slowness of the entropy decay rate. From Theorem 2 in [12], we can see that $\rho_{t}$ decays asymptotically close to $1 / t^{s}, t \gg 1$ (see (1.9)). It implies that the entropy $\mathcal{E}\left(\rho_{t}\right)$ decreases at most polynomially fast when $t \gg 1$.
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