

HARDY INEQUALITIES FOR INVERSE SQUARE POTENTIALS WITH COUNTABLE NUMBER OF SINGULARITIES

CRISTIAN CAZACU AND AURORA MARICA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove some Hardy inequalities in right cylinders of radius $R > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d with inverse square potentials having infinite number of singularities uniformly distributed on the axis of the cylinder. We prove that an upper bound for the Hardy constant is $(d-2)^2/4$, the classical Hardy constant in \mathbb{R}^d corresponding to one singular potential. We also prove lower bounds of the Hardy constant which allow to deduce that the asymptotic behavior as $R \rightarrow 0$ of the optimal Hardy constant coincides with $(d-2)^2/4$.

Keywords: Hardy inequality, multi-singular inverse square potentials, optimal constants.
MSC (2010): 46E35, 26D10, 35J75, 35B25.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known (see, e.g. [3, 6, 9, 10, 11]) that, for smooth functions $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a smooth domains containing the origin, the following integral Hardy inequality holds:

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq C_d \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx,$$

where the optimal constant is $C_d = (d-2)^2/4$. In the last decades, variety of extensions from Hardy inequalities with one singular potential to multipolar Hardy inequalities have emerged rapidly, motivated by physics, chemistry and cosmology, in which there are several bodies/particles, whose behaviour can be seen as singular poles interactig with the other poles in the space. In [2, 5], the authors deal with Hardy inequalities corresponding to potentials with n singular poles. The authors in [2] proved a Hardy-type inequality with two types of multipolar potentials, one in which the poles a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n interact and one which is a superposition of classical inverse square potentials in each pole, giving rise to an inequality of the form below for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|a_i - a_j|^2 u^2}{|x - a_i|^2 |x - a_j|^2} dx + \frac{(d-2)^2}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^2}{|x - a_i|^2} dx.$$

In [4], only the term giving interaction between poles has been maintained and the following Hardy inequality was proved:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq C_{d,n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{|a_i - a_j|^2 u^2}{|x - a_i|^2 |x - a_j|^2} dx,$$

where the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ contains all the poles a_i in the interior, in which case the optimal constant is $C_{d,n} = (d-2)^2/n^2$, which improves the constant $(d-2)^2/4n^2$ in [2]. When all singular poles are located on the boundary of Ω (ball or half space), the Hardy constant increases to $C_{d,n} = d^2/n^2$, independent of the distance between poles (cf. [5]). These results have been extended in [7] to the case of curved Riemannian manifolds, for which the Hardy potentials depend additionally on the curvature of the manifold.

To the best of our knowledge, the case of infinite number of singularities has been very less investigated in the literature. The only related result we have seen refers to the paper [8] in which the authors showed that for any $\lambda < (d-2)^2/4$ and $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^{-(d-2)} < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n+k} - a_n|^{-(d-2)} \text{ is bounded uniformly in } n,$$

$|a_n - a_m| \geq 1$ for all $n \neq m$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \int |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{\chi_{B_\delta(a_n)} u^2}{|x - a_n|^2} dx \geq 0.$$

Inequality (1.2) represents a localization result for a potential with countable number of singularities. However, we are interested to estimate globally the best Hardy constant. In addition, we will see later that in our case when $d = 3$, our sequence of singularities does not verify the above hypotheses on $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and therefore (1.2) does not apply.

Our article is divided as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the potential V with infinite number of inverse square poles, for which we compute explicitly the corresponding series by using complex analysis tools and then we construct a supersolution of the spectral problem $-\Delta \phi = \lambda V \phi$ in the cylinder under consideration. We also introduce some preliminary notations and prove some auxiliary results. In Section 3, we state the main results consisting mainly in Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and we present their proofs.

2. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

We are aimed to prove Hardy-type inequalities in a cylinder \mathcal{C}_R of radius $R > 0$, considering potentials with infinite number of singularities equally distributed on the line d parametrized by $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d$ which represents the axis of the cylinder. The cylinder will be described mathematically in a convenient way a bit later after introducing some useful notations.

For a given $h > 0$ we consider the singular points $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the cartesian coordinates $a_k = k\bar{h}$, where $\bar{h} = (h, \dots, h)$ is a particular vector with all components equal to h . This yields the inverse square potential

$$(2.1) \quad V(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|x - k\bar{h}|^2}$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a current point in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{A_k\}$.

Although the potential is given by a series, in fact it is computable explicitly. More precisely,

Proposition 2.1. *The potential V has the explicit form*

$$V(x) = \frac{\pi}{\rho} \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} - 2 \cos(2\pi a)} \frac{1}{dh^2},$$

where

$$\rho := \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^d (x_j - x_k)^2}}{dh\sqrt{2}}, \quad a := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j}{dh}.$$

Proof. Step 1: We show that

$$|x - k\bar{h}|^2 = dh^2((k - a)^2 + \rho^2), \text{ so that } V(x) = \frac{1}{dh^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(k - a)^2 + \rho^2}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - k\bar{h}|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j - kh|^2 = h^2 \sum_{j=1}^d \left(k^2 - 2k \frac{x_j}{h} + \frac{x_j^2}{h^2} \right) \\ &= dh^2 \left(k^2 - 2k \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j}{dh} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2}{dh^2} \right) \\ &= dh^2 \left((k - a)^2 + \frac{1}{d^2 h^2} \left(d \sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j \right)^2 \right) \right) \\ &= dh^2 \left((k - a)^2 + \frac{1}{2d^2 h^2} \sum_{k,j=1}^d (x_j - x_k)^2 \right) \\ &= dh^2((k - a)^2 + \rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: We now apply the following residual formula ([12], pp. 227, Proposition 7.25):

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Res}(\pi f(z) \cot(\pi z), z = z_n),$$

with z_n being the poles of f , to compute the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k)$ with $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-a)^2 + \rho^2}$. The poles of this complex function f are of order one and they are given by $z_{\pm} = a \pm i\rho$. Therefore, in view of (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(k - a)^2 + \rho^2} &= -\text{Res}(\pi f(z) \cot(\pi z), z = z_-) - \text{Res}(\pi f(z) \cot(\pi z), z = z_+) \\ &=: -T_- - T_+. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of clarity, let us completely compute the term T_- :

$$\begin{aligned} T_- &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_-} (z - z_-) \pi f(z) \cot(\pi z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_-} \frac{\pi}{z - z_+} \cot(\pi z) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{z_- - z_+} \cot(\pi z_-) = -\frac{1}{2i\rho} \cot(\pi(a - i\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$T_+ = \frac{1}{2i\rho} \cot(\pi(a + i\rho)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(k-a)^2 + \rho^2} &= \frac{1}{2i\rho} (\cot(\pi(a-i\rho)) - \cot(\pi(a+i\rho))) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2\rho} \left(\frac{e^{i\pi(a-i\rho)} + e^{-i\pi(a-i\rho)}}{e^{i\pi(a-i\rho)} - e^{-i\pi(a-i\rho)}} - \frac{e^{i\pi(a+i\rho)} + e^{-i\pi(a+i\rho)}}{e^{i\pi(a+i\rho)} - e^{-i\pi(a+i\rho)}} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2\rho} \left(\frac{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{2\pi ai} + e^{-2\pi ai} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}{|e^{i\pi(a-i\rho)} - e^{-i\pi(a-i\rho)}|^2} - \frac{e^{-2\pi\rho} - e^{2\pi ai} + e^{-2\pi ai} - e^{2\pi\rho}}{|e^{i\pi(a+i\rho)} - e^{-i\pi(a+i\rho)}|^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\rho} \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} - 2\cos(2\pi a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two steps the proof is completed. \square

Remark 2.1. Let us also observe that a , ρ and $|x|$ are related through the identity

$$(2.3) \quad |x|^2 = dh^2(\rho^2 + a^2),$$

since

$$2d^2h^2\rho^2 = \sum_{k,j=1}^d (x_j - x_k)^2 = 2d \sum_{k,j=1}^d x_j^2 - 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j \right)^2 = 2d|x|^2 - 2d^2h^2a^2.$$

To simplify the computations, we consider the particular case $dh = 1$. Then we obtain

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j; \quad \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^d (x_j - x_k)^2}; \quad |x|^2 = \frac{\rho^2 + a^2}{d}.$$

Proposition 2.2. The right circular cylinder \mathcal{C}_R of axis $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d$ and of radius R is characterised by $\rho \leq R\sqrt{d}$.

Proof. The points $P(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0)$ on the lateral surface of the cylinder are characterised by $\text{dist}(P, d) = R$, where d is the axis of the cylinder, $d : x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d = t$.

The plane π passing by P and perpendicular on d has the equation

$$\pi : x_1 - x_1^0 + x_2 - x_2^0 + \dots + x_d - x_d^0 = 0.$$

The projection of the point P on the axis d is a point P' obtained as intersection between the plane π and the line d , i.e. $P'(t, \dots, t)$, where $t = \frac{x_1^0 + x_2^0 + \dots + x_d^0}{d}$.

The distance between P and d is in fact the distance between P and P' , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
R = \text{dist}(P, P') &= \sqrt{\left(x_1^0 - \frac{x_1^0 + x_2^0 + \dots + x_d^0}{d}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(x_d^0 - \frac{x_1^0 + x_2^0 + \dots + x_d^0}{d}\right)^2} \\
&= \sqrt{|x^0|^2 - \frac{(a^0)^2}{d}} = \sqrt{\frac{(\rho^0)^2}{d}} = \frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The lateral surface of the cylinder is given by $\rho^0 = R\sqrt{d}$ and the conclusion of the proposition follows for the interior of the cylinder.

3. MAIN RESULTS

The following theorems consists in the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3.1. *It holds that*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi R\sqrt{d} \coth(\pi R\sqrt{d})} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\}).$$

Next theorem provides lower and upper bounds for the Hardy constant $\mu(\mathcal{C}_R)$ and implicitly the asymptotic behaviour of $\mu(\mathcal{C}_R)$ as R tends to zero:

Theorem 3.2. *We denote*

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}_R) := \inf_{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\}), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u^2 dx}.$$

It holds that

(i). *For any $R > 0$ we have that*

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi R\sqrt{d} \coth(\pi R\sqrt{d})} \leq \mu(\mathcal{C}_R) \leq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}.$$

(ii). *Consequently,*

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{R \searrow 0} \mu(\mathcal{C}_R) = \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}.$$

Proofs of the main results. Next we invoke a classical result from [1] which particularly says that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} u^2 \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla u - \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} u \right|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 |\nabla(u\phi^{-1})|^2 dx,$$

for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\})$ and any positive function with $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\})$, admitting possible singularities at the points A_i . The proof of (3.4) requires direct integrations by parts.

For some $\lambda > 0$ and the singular potential V in (2.1) we want to identify $\phi = \phi_\lambda$ in (3.4) such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda V(x) u^2 \right) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\Delta \phi_\lambda}{\phi_\lambda} u^2 \right) dx \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\}),$$

and then in view of (3.4) we get

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \lambda \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V(x) u^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R \setminus \cup_i \{A_i\}),$$

For that it suffices to find supersolutions $\phi > 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$ for the equation

$$(3.7) \quad -\Delta \phi = \lambda V \phi, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \{A_i\}$$

and maximize $\lambda > 0$ among the admissible pairs (ϕ, λ) . We are looking for ϕ of the following form

$$(3.8) \quad \phi(x) = (e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} - 2\cos(2\pi a))^\alpha := \theta(x)^\alpha,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ will be precised later in order to maximize λ .

Lemma 3.1. *We get the following expressions for ∇ , div and Δ applied to a and ρ :*

- (i). $\nabla a = (1, \dots, 1) := \bar{1}$; $\Delta a = 0$.
- (ii). $\nabla \rho = \frac{d}{\rho}x - \frac{a}{\rho}\bar{1}$; $|\nabla \rho|^2 = d$; $\Delta \rho = \frac{d^2}{\rho}$; $\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) = \frac{d^2 - 2d}{\rho}$;
- (iii). $\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla a = 0$;

In view of the basic computations in Lemma 3.1 we obtain the following more detailed computations.

Computation of $\Delta \phi$. We successively obtain

$$\Delta \phi = \alpha(\alpha - 1)\theta(x)^{\alpha-2}|\nabla \theta(x)|^2 + \alpha\theta(x)^{\alpha-1}\Delta \theta(x).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \theta(x)|^2 &= 4\pi^2 d(e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho})^2 + 16\pi^2 d \sin^2(2\pi a), \\ \Delta \theta(x) &= 4\pi^2 d(e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)) + 2\pi(e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho})\frac{d^2 - 2d}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \phi &= \alpha\phi^{\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha}} \left\{ (\alpha - 1) \left[4\pi^2 d\theta^2 + 16\pi^2 d\theta \cos(2\pi a) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \theta \left[2\pi(e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho})\frac{d^2 - 2d}{\rho} + 4\pi^2 d\rho\theta + 16\pi^2 d \cos(2\pi a) \right] \right\} \\ &= 2(d - 2)\alpha V\phi + 4\alpha^2 \pi V\phi \rho \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)}{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{-\Delta \phi}{V\phi} = -2\alpha(d - 2) - 4\alpha^2 \pi \rho \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)}{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a constant $C_1(R) > 0$ such that*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq \rho \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)}{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}} \leq C_1(R).$$

This constant is explicitly given by

$$C_1(R) = R\sqrt{d} \coth(\pi R\sqrt{d}).$$

Proof. Let us denote by

$$f(x) := \rho \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)}{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}}.$$

We get

$$f(x) \leq \rho \coth(\pi\rho) \leq R\sqrt{d} \coth(\pi R\sqrt{d}) = C_1(R).$$

The last inequality holds since $g(\rho) := \rho \coth(\pi\rho)$ is an increasing function. Indeed,

$$g'(\rho) = \coth(\pi\rho) - \frac{4\pi\rho}{(e^{\pi\rho} - e^{-\pi\rho})^2} = \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho} - 4\pi\rho}{(e^{\pi\rho} - e^{-\pi\rho})^2} \geq 0.$$

□

Using the explicit expression (3.9) of $\frac{-\Delta\phi}{V\phi}$ and the proposition above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\Delta\phi}{V\phi} &\geq -2\alpha(d-2) - 4\alpha^2\pi C_1(R) \\ &= -4\pi C_1(R) \left(\alpha^2 + \frac{d-2}{2\pi d C_1(R)} \alpha \right) \\ &= -4\pi C_1(R) \left(\alpha + \frac{d-2}{4\pi C_1(R)} \right)^2 + \frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi C_1(R)}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\alpha = -\frac{d-2}{4\pi C_1(R)}$, we obtain that

$$\frac{-\Delta\phi}{V\phi} \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi C_1(R)}.$$

In view of (3.7) and (3.6) we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. *The constant $C_1(R)$ tends to $1/\pi$ as $R \rightarrow 0$, so that the constant in the right hand side of the Hardy inequality (3.1) has the following limit as $R \rightarrow 0$:*

$$\frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi R\sqrt{d} \coth(\pi R\sqrt{d})} \rightarrow \frac{(d-2)^2}{4\pi \frac{1}{\pi}} = \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}.$$

Also, as $R \rightarrow 0$, the optimal choice of α becomes $\alpha = -(d-2)/4$.

This limiting process as $R \rightarrow 0$ in the integration set \mathcal{C}_R yields precisely the axis of the cylinder. Let see what happens with the function on the right hand side of identity (3.9) in the poles of the potential which are located on the axis $x_1 = \dots = x_d = t$ at $t = k/d$. On the axis, $\rho = 0$ and in the poles, $a = k$, so that as one approaches one pole, the right hand side of (3.9) tends to

$$-2\alpha(d^2 - 2d) - 4\alpha^2\pi d\rho \frac{e^{2\pi\rho} + e^{-2\pi\rho} + 2\cos(2\pi a)}{e^{2\pi\rho} - e^{-2\pi\rho}} \rightarrow -2\alpha(d^2 - 2d) - 4\alpha^2 d.$$

For $\alpha = -(d-2)/4$, this limits attains its maximum, which equals precisely to $(d-2)^2/4$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The first inequality in (3.2) is a consequence of Theorem 3.1, whereas the second one is a direct consequence of (3.12) in Lemma 3.2 below. The asymptotic formula (3.3) is a consequence of (3.2) and Remark 3.1.

□

Lemma 3.2 (local results). *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists U_ε a neighborhood of $\cup_{i=1}^\infty \{a_i\}$ in \mathcal{C}_R such that:*

(i). *For any $u \in C_0^\infty(U_\varepsilon)$ it follows that*

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx > \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} - \varepsilon \right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u^2 \, dx.$$

(ii). *There exists $u_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(U_\varepsilon)$ satisfying*

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dx < \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u_\varepsilon^2 \, dx.$$

Proof. The proof follows similar (but in a simplified form) as in [5, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 1.2] combining the local behavior of the potential V near each pole a_i and the classical Hardy inequality with an inverse square potential applied at each pole a_i . For the sake of clarity, let us give few details. First let us observe that for any fixed $k_0 \geq 1$ we have that

$$|x - a_k| \geq \frac{|k - k_0|h}{2}, \quad \forall x \in B_{\frac{|k-k_0|h}{2}}(a_{k_0}), \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Then for any $x \in B_{h/2}(a_{k_0})$ we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1, k \neq k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x - a_k|^2} \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq k_0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{|k - k_0|^2 h^2} = \frac{4}{h^2} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k'^2} = \frac{2\pi^2}{3h^2}.$$

Since

$$V(x)|x - a_{k_0}|^2 = 1 + |x - a_{k_0}|^2 \sum_{k=1, k \neq k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x - a_k|^2}$$

we get

$$(3.13) \quad 0 < V(x)|x - a_k|^2 - 1 \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3h^2}|x - a_k|^2, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad \forall x \in B_{h/2}(a_k).$$

In consequence,

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a_k} V(x)|x - a_k|^2 = 1, \quad k \geq 1.$$

For any $r > 0$ small enough ($r < \min\{h/2, R\}$), it follows

$$(3.15) \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_r(a_k) \subset \mathcal{C}_R \quad \text{and} \quad B_r(a_i) \cap B_r(a_j) = \emptyset, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

In view of the classical Hardy inequality, we get

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{B_r(a_k)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \int_{B_r(a_k)} \frac{u^2}{|x - a_k|^2} \, dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(B_r(a_k)), \quad \forall k.$$

Now, let be $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $\delta_\varepsilon := 4\varepsilon / ((d-2)^2 - 4\varepsilon)$ such that $\delta_\varepsilon \in (0, \pi^2/4)$ for ε small enough. Let us also set $r_\varepsilon := h\sqrt{\delta_\varepsilon}/\pi$. Then $r_\varepsilon < h/2$ and, in view of (3.13), it holds

$$(3.17) \quad 1 < V(x)|x - a_k|^2 < 1 + \delta_\varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k), \quad \forall k.$$

We may assume ε small enough such that r_ε satisfies (3.15) and consider then $U_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k)$.

Let be $u \in C_0^\infty(U_\varepsilon)$ and denote $u_k := u|_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k)}$. Since u is supported in disjoint balls that shrink around the singular poles, applying (3.16) and (3.17) for each u_k , we get

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{U_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k)} |\nabla u_k|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{1 + \delta_\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k)} V u_k^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \frac{1}{1 + \delta_\varepsilon} \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u^2 \, dx \\ &= \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} - \varepsilon \right) \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u^2 \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of (3.11) is finally obtained.

Proof of (3.12). Due to the optimality of $(d-2)^2/4$ in (3.16) for $k=1$ and taking r_ε instead of r , we get that there exists $u_{1,\varepsilon} \in C_0^\infty(B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_1))$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_1)} |\nabla u_{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_1)} \frac{u_{1,\varepsilon}^2}{|x-a_1|^2} dx.$$

Then we consider $u_\varepsilon := \bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon}$ where $\bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is the trivial extension of $u_{1,\varepsilon}$ to U_ε . It follows that u_ε belongs to $C_0^\infty(U_\varepsilon)$. Therefore, combining (3.19) and the left inequality in (3.17) we get

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx &= \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_1)} |\nabla u_{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_1)} V u_{1,\varepsilon}^2 dx \\ &= \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is finished. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $d \geq 3$. Then we successively obtain that*

(i). *There exists a finite constant $C = C(h, R) \in \mathbb{R}$ which depends on R and h , so that the inequality*

$$(3.21) \quad C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} V u^2 dx,$$

is verified for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R)$.

(ii). *For any $\mu > (d-2)^2/4$ and any constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $u_{\lambda,\mu} \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R)$ such that*

$$(3.22) \quad \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda,\mu}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\lambda,\mu}|^2 dx < \mu \int_{\Omega} V u_{\lambda,\mu}^2 dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We combine a cut-off argument by localizing the singularities, the standard Hardy inequality and Lemma 3.2.

Proof of item (i). Let $U := \cup_{k=1}^\infty B_{h/4}(a_k)$ be a neighborhood of $\cup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\}$ in \mathcal{C}_R constituted in a reunion of disjoint balls, i.e. $B_{h/4}(a_i) \cap B_{h/4}(a_j) = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R)$ and consider ξ be a $C^2(\mathcal{C}_R)$ cut-off function supported in U , so that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ and $\xi \equiv 1$ in $\cup_{k=1}^\infty B_{h/8}(a_k)$. Such a construction it is possible by taking for instance $\xi_k(x) := \xi_{|B_{h/4}(a_k)}(x) := g(|x - a_k|)$, where $g : [0, \infty)$ is a C^2 function such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$, $g \equiv 1$ on $[0, h/8]$ and $g \equiv 0$ on $[h/4, \infty)$. By integration by parts, we have

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla(\xi u)|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \xi^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} (\xi \Delta \xi) u^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} u^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending on g . It is worth mentioning here that $\Delta \xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{C}_R)$ in view of the definition of its radial profile shifted from a singular pole to the others.

Let $v_k := \xi u|_{B_{h/4}(a_k)}$ which belongs to $C_0^\infty(B_{h/4}(a_k))$.

Then, from the standard Hardy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\xi u)|^2 dx &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{h/4}(a_k)} |\nabla v_k|^2 \geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{h/4}(a_k)} \frac{v_k^2}{|x-a_k|^2} dx \\
&\geq \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{h/8}(a_k)} \frac{u^2}{|x-a_k|^2} dx \\
(3.24) \quad &= \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} V u^2 dx - \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|x-a_k| > \frac{h}{8}} \frac{u^2}{|x-a_k|^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term above we successively have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|x-a_k| > \frac{h}{8}} \frac{u^2}{|x-a_k|^2} dx &:= \sum_{k=1}^{2[R/h]} \dots + \sum_{k > 2[R/h]} \dots \\
&\quad \sum_{k=1}^{2[R/h]} \int_{|x-a_k| > \frac{h}{8}} \frac{64}{h^2} u^2 dx + \sum_{k > 2[R/h]} \int_{|x-a_k| > \frac{h}{8}} \frac{u^2}{k^2 h^2 - R^2} dx \\
&\leq \frac{128}{h^2} [R/h] \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} u^2 dx + \sum_{k > 2[R/h]} \frac{2}{k^2 h^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} u^2 dx \\
(3.25) \quad &\leq \left(\frac{128}{h^2} [R/h] + \frac{\pi^2}{3h^2} \right) \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} u^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.23)-(3.25) we finally conclude the proof of item (i).

Proof of item (ii). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu > (d-2)^2/4$ be fixed. Let us also consider μ' and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu > \mu' > (d-2)^2/4$ and $(d-2)^2/4 + \varepsilon < \mu'$.

In view of (3.12) in Lemma 3.2 there exists a neighborhood $U_\varepsilon := \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{r_\varepsilon}(a_k)$ of $\cup_{k=1}^n \{a_k\}$ in \mathcal{C}_R and there exists $u_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(U_\varepsilon)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx &< \left(\frac{(d-2)^2}{4} + \varepsilon \right) \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx \\
(3.26) \quad &< \mu' \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(\mu - \mu') \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx + \int_{U_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx < \mu \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx.$$

Finally, it is enough to consider r_ε small enough such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu - \mu'} \leq \inf_{U_\varepsilon} V,$$

to conclude with

$$\lambda \int_{U_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^2 dx + \int_{U_\varepsilon} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx < \mu \int_{U_\varepsilon} V u_\varepsilon^2 dx.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors were partially funded by a grant of Ministry of Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2016-2233, within PNCDI III.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Allegretto and Y. X. Huang, *A Picone's identity for the p -Laplacian and applications*. Nonlinear Anal. 32 (1998), no. 7, 819830.
- [2] R. Bosi, J. Dolbeault, and M. J. Esteban, *Estimates for the optimal constants in multipolar Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger and Dirac operators*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 7(3) (2008), 533–562.
- [3] H. Brezis and M. Marcus, *Hardys inequality revisited*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Pisa 25 (1997), 217–237.
- [4] C. Cazacu and E. Zuazua, *Improved multipolar Hardy inequalities*, Studies in phase space analysis with applications to PDEs, 3552, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 84, Birkhuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [5] C. Cazacu, *New estimates for the Hardy constants of multipolar Schrödinger operators*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 18 (2016), no. 5, 1550093, 28 pp.
- [6] E. B. Davies, *A review of Hardy inequalities*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 110 (1999), 55–67.
- [7] F. Faraci, C. Farkas, A. Kristaly, *Multipolar Hardy inequalities on Riemannian manifolds*, ESAIM: COCV, 24(2) (2018), 51–567.
- [8] V. Felli, E. Marchini and S. Terracini, *On Schrödinger operators with multipolar inverse-square potentials*. J. Funct. Anal. 250 (2007), no. 2, 265–316.
- [9] G. H. Hardy, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert*, Math. Z. 6 (1920), 314–317.
- [10] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [11] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, *The Hardy inequality. About its history and some related results*, Vydavatelsky Servis, Plzen, 2007.
- [12] S. Lipschutz, J. J. Schiller, D. Spellman, M. R. Spiegel, *Schaum's Outline of Complex Variables*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill Inc., 2009.

(C. Cazacu) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE & THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST (ICUB), UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, 14 ACADEMIEI STREET, 010014 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA,

E-mail address: cristian.cazacu@fmi.unibuc.ro

(A. Marica) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA OF BUCHAREST, 313 SPLAIUL INDEPENDENTEI, 060042 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA, & THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST (ICUB), UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, 90-92 SOS. PANDURI, 5TH DISTRICT, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA,

E-mail address: mauramihaela@yahoo.com