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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce and study uniform bases for the ideal arrangements. In particular, explicit uniform bases are presented on each Lie type. Combining the explicit uniform bases with the work of Abe-Horiguchi-Masuda-Murai-Sato, we also obtain explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study bases of the logarithmic derivation modules of the ideal arrangements. Ideal arrangements are subarrangements of the Weyl arrangement which are free arrangements from the work of Abe, Barakat, Cuntz, Hoge, and Terao ([3]). Here, a (central) hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is free if its logarithmic derivation module $D(\mathcal{A})$ (geometrically a polynomial vector fields tangent to $\mathcal{A}$ ) is a free module. To prove freeness for the ideal arrangements, they first provided the multiple addition theorem (MAT) and applied MAT to the ideal arrangements. In the proof of MAT they gave a method to construct a basis of the logarithmic derivation module $D(\mathcal{A})$ from a basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ for suitable arrangements $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

For a construction of explicit bases of the logarithmic derivation modules of the ideal arrangements for each Lie type, Barakat, Cuntz, and Hoge provided ones for types $E$ and $F$ by computer when the work of [3 was in progress. Also, Terao and Abe worked for types $A$ and $B$, respectively. In [4] explicit and uniform bases were constructed for types $A, B, C, G$. Motivated by this, we introduce the notion of uniform bases for the ideal arrangements. We then prove the existence of uniform bases by using the method to construct bases in MAT of [3].

[^0]We now describe our uniform bases for the ideal arrangements. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a real euclidean space and $\Phi$ an irreducible root system of rank $n$ on $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$, the dual space of $\mathfrak{t}$. The set of positive roots is denoted by $\Phi^{+}$. To $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$we assign the hyperplane $H_{\alpha}:=\operatorname{ker} \alpha$ in $\mathfrak{t}$. The set of hyperplanes $H_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in \Phi^{+}\right)$is called the Weyl arrangement. Let $I \subset \Phi^{+}$be a lower ideal and the set of hyperplanes $H_{\alpha}(\alpha \in I)$ is called the ideal arrangement, denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{I}$. Let $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)$ be the symmetric algebra of the dual space $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ and we consider the set of $\mathbb{R}$-derivations of $\mathcal{R}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$. The logarithmic derivation module of an ideal arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ is defined as

$$
D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)=\left\{\theta \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid \theta(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha\left({ }^{\forall} \alpha \in I\right)\right\} .
$$

By the work of [3] the logarithmic derivation module $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ is a free $\mathcal{R}$-module, namely $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ is a free arrangement. To explain our uniform bases for the ideal arrangement, we need a "good" decomposition of positive roots $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$(see Section 3 for the details). We fix such a decomposition and define the Hessenberg function $h_{I}:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ associated with a lower ideal $I$. Note that the Hessenberg function $h_{I}$ suitably converts a lower ideal $I$ into numerical values. A set of derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.n, i \leq j \leq i+\left|\Phi_{i}^{+}\right|\right\}$forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements if derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I$. Our main theorem states that there exist uniform bases for the ideal arrangements (Theorem 4.1). Furthermore, our uniform bases are inductively constructed by invertible matrices $P_{m}$ for $0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$. More precisely, the initial data $\psi_{1,1}, \ldots, \psi_{n, n}$ are the dual basis of the simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ up to a non-zero scalar multiplication. (Note that $P_{0}$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the non-zero scalars.) For the next step $\psi_{1,2}, \ldots, \psi_{n, n+1}$, each $\psi_{i, i+1}$ is defined by a linear combination of $\alpha_{1} \psi_{1,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \psi_{n, n}$ as follows:

$$
\left[\psi_{i, i+1}\right]_{1 \leq i \leq n}=P_{1}\left[\alpha_{j} \psi_{j, j}\right]_{1 \leq j \leq n}
$$

for some invertible matrix $P_{1}$. Proceeding inductively, $\psi_{i, i+m}$ is defined by a linear combination of the products $\alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}$ where $P_{m}$ denotes the array of the coefficients in the linear combinations. Here, the derivations $\psi_{j, j+m-1}$ are defined in the previous step, and the notation $\alpha_{j, j+m}$ means a positive root in $\Phi_{j}^{+}$with height $m$. Hence, our uniform bases are determined by the invertible matrices $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\mathrm{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)}$in order. We also prove that when we determined $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m-1}$, the invertible matrix $P_{m}$ is uniquely determined up to an equivalence defined by the special elementary row operations (Theorem 4.2). Moreover, we give explicitly the invertible matrices $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\mathrm{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)}$on each Lie type (Section 5). In particular, for type $D$ an explicit formula for uniform bases is described. (For types $A, B, C, G$ an explicit formula for uniform bases were given in [4].)

Surprisingly, the logarithmic derivation module of an ideal arrangement determines the cohomology ring of the regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety from the work of 4]. Hessenberg varieties are subvarieties of the full flag variety which was introduced by De Mari, Procesi, and Shayman around 1990 ([7, [6]). This subject is relatively new, and it has been found that geometry, combinatorics, and representation theory interact nicely on Hessenberg varieties (cf. [2]). As one of the interactions, the cohomology ring of a regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety can be described in terms of the logarithmic derivation module of the ideal arrangement ([4). Originally, explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in type $A$ are given by [1] from the point of view of the localization technique. Motivated by this work, in [4] explicit
presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties for types $A, B, C, G$ are given from the perspective of surprising connection with the logarithmic derivation modules of the ideal arrangements. From our explicit uniform bases for the ideal arrangements, we obtain explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types (Corollary (7.2) which generalize the result of [8].

The paper is organized as follows. After briefly reviewing some background and terminology on ideal arrangements in Section 2, we introduce the notion of uniform bases and state a key proposition for uniform bases in Section 3. The proofs of the main theorems (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2) for the existence and "uniqueness" of uniform bases are achieved in Section 4. We construct explicit uniform bases on each Lie type in Section 5 In Section 6, we discuss uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in a root subsystem. In particular, uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of types $E_{6}, E_{7}$ can be obtained from that of type $E_{8}$. In Section [7, we explain the connection between ideal arrangements and Hessenberg varieties given in 4 and give explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types (Corollary 7.2).
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## 2. Ideal arrangements

In this section we first refer some terminologies of hyperplane arrangements (for a general reference, see [10]). Then we explain ideal-free theorem proved by [3]. More specifically, we explain the method to construct bases of the logarithmic derivation modules of the ideal arrangements.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional real vector space. A hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $V$ is a finite set of linear hyperplanes in $V$. Let $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(V^{*}\right)$ be the symmetric algebra of $V^{*}$, where $V^{*}$ is the dual space of $V$. A map $\theta: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-derivation if it satisfies
(1) $\theta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear,
(2) $\theta(f \cdot g)=\theta(f) \cdot g+f \cdot \theta(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$.

We denote the set of $\mathbb{R}$-derivations by $\operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$. Note that $\operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-module. We can naturally regard an element of $V$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-derivation, so we have the following identification:

$$
\text { Der } \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes V \text {. }
$$

If we take a basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $V^{*}$, then the $\mathcal{R}$-module $\operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$ can be expressed as $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to $x_{i}$.

A non-zero element $\theta \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$ is homogeneous of (polynomial) degree $d$ if $\theta=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} f_{k} \otimes v_{k}\left(f_{k} \in \mathcal{R}, v_{k} \in V\right)$ and all non-zero $f_{k}$ 's are of degree $d$. In this case, we denote $\operatorname{deg}(\theta)=d$ as usual.

For each hyperplane $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote the defining linear form of $H$ by $\alpha_{H} \in V^{*}$. The logarithmic derivation module $D(\mathcal{A})$ of a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as

$$
D(\mathcal{A}):=\left\{\theta \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid \theta\left(\alpha_{H}\right) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha_{H}\left({ }^{\forall} H \in \mathcal{A}\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that $D(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded $\mathcal{R}$-submodule, but not a free $\mathcal{R}$-module in general. We say that a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is free with the $\operatorname{exponents} \exp (\mathcal{A})=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ if $D(\mathcal{A})$ is a free $\mathcal{R}$-module with homogeneous basis $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ of degree $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be a basis of $V^{*}$. Given derivations $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$, we define a matrix $\mathrm{M}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\mathrm{M}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)=\left(\theta_{i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
$$

It is convenient to write $f \doteq g$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ if $f=c g$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. The following criterion for bases of the logarithmic derivation modules is known.

Theorem 2.1 (Saito's criterion, [11, see also [10]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hyperplane arrangement in an $n$-dimensional real vector space $V$. Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \in D(\mathcal{A})$ be homogeneous derivations. Then the following arguments are equivalent:
(1) $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis for $D(\mathcal{A})$;
(2) $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg} \theta_{i}=|\mathcal{A}|$;
(3) $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{M}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \doteq\left(\prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_{H}\right)$.

We now explain ideal arrangements which are the main objects of the paper. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a (real) euclidean space. Let $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ be an irreducible root system of rank $n$. We denote the set of positive roots by $\Phi^{+}$. We fix simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and define a partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi^{+} ; \alpha \preceq \beta$ if and only if $\beta-\alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_{i}$. A lower ideal $I \subset \Phi^{+}$is a collection of positive roots such that if $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$and $\beta \in I$ with $\alpha \preceq \beta$, then $\alpha \in I$. The ideal arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ associated with a lower ideal $I$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I}:=\{\operatorname{ker} \alpha \mid \alpha \in I\} .
$$

If we take $I=\Phi^{+}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi+}$ is called the Weyl arrangement. Recall that $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(t^{*}\right)$ and the logarithmic derivation module of the ideal arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ is

$$
D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)=\left\{\theta \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t} \mid \theta(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha\left({ }^{\forall} \alpha \in I\right)\right\} .
$$

The height of a root $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \alpha_{i}$ is defined by $\operatorname{ht}(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}$. The height distribution in $I$ is a sequence $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$, where $i_{j}$ is the number of positive roots of height $j$ in $I$, and $m$ is the maximum of the height of positive roots in $I$. Also, the dual partition of the height distribution $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)$ in $I$ is the sequence of $n$ elements given by $\left((0)^{i_{0}-i_{1}},(1)^{i_{1}-i_{2}}, \ldots,(m-1)^{i_{m-1}-i_{m}},(m)^{i_{m}}\right)$, where $i_{0}=n$ and $(i)^{j}$ denotes the $j$-copies of $i$. We denote the dual partition of the height distribution in $I$ by $\mathcal{D P}(I)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Ideal-free theorem, [3, Theorem 1.1]). Any ideal arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ is free with the exponents $\mathcal{D P}(I)$.

To prove Theorem [2.2, Abe, Barakat, Cuntz, Hoge, and Terao provided the multiple addition theorem (MAT) ([3, Theorem 3.1]). In the proof of MAT they gave a method to construct an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D(\mathcal{A})$ from that of $D\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ for suitable arrangements $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. For
the rest of this section, we briefly explain the method to construct an $\mathcal{R}$-basis under the circumstances of ideal arrangements.

For a lower ideal $I$ we define the height of $I$ by $\operatorname{ht}(I)=\max \{\operatorname{ht}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{ht}(I)=m+1$ with $m \geq 0$. Let $I^{\prime}$ be a lower ideal defined by

$$
I^{\prime}:=\{\alpha \in I \mid \operatorname{ht}(\alpha) \leq m\}
$$

and we set $I \backslash I^{\prime}=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{q}\right\}$. For each $j=1, \ldots, q$, we define a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ in $H_{j}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{H \cap H_{j} \mid H \in \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right\}$ where $H_{j}$ is a hyperplane defined by the linear function $\beta_{j}$. For each $j=1, \ldots, q$, we fix a map

$$
\nu_{j}: \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}
$$

such that $\nu_{j}(X) \cap H_{j}=X$, and define a homogeneous polynomial

$$
b_{\nu_{j}}:=\frac{\prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}} \alpha_{H}}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}}=\frac{\prod_{\alpha \in I^{\prime}} \alpha}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}} .
$$

Proposition 2.3 ([12], see also [10, p.114, Proposition 4.41]). For any $\theta \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$,

$$
\theta\left(\beta_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\beta_{j}, b_{\nu_{j}}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.4 ([3, Proposition 4.2]). One has $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{\nu_{j}}\right)=m$.
Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$ with $d_{i}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ such that $d_{1} \leq \ldots \leq d_{n-p}<$ $d_{n-p+1}=\cdots=d_{n}=m$ for some $p$. Then, the degree of $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-p}$ is strictly less than $m$, so we have $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-p} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ from Propositions 2.3 and [2.4. We put $\varphi_{i}:=\theta_{n-i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. By Proposition [2.3, for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$ we can write

$$
\varphi_{i}\left(\beta_{j}\right) \equiv c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)} b_{\nu_{j}} \quad \bmod \beta_{j}
$$

for some rational number $c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}(1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q)$. The following is a key of the proof of MAT ([3, Theorem 3.1]).

Proposition 2.5 (3). The $(p \times q)$-matrix $C:=\left(c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}}$ has rank $q$.
Hence by Proposition 2.5, there exists $P=\left(p_{i k}\right)_{1 \leq i, k \leq p} \in \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$
P C=\left[\begin{array}{c}
E_{q} \\
O
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We put

$$
\psi_{i}:=\sum_{k=1}^{p} p_{i k} \varphi_{k}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$. One can see that $\beta_{1} \psi_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{q} \psi_{q}, \psi_{q+1}, \ldots, \psi_{p} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$. It is clear that $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-p}, \beta_{1} \psi_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{q} \psi_{q}, \psi_{q+1}, \ldots, \psi_{p}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ and the sum of their degree is equal to $\left|\mathcal{A}_{I}\right|$, so they form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.6 ([3]). The derivations $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-p}, \beta_{1} \psi_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{q} \psi_{q}, \psi_{q+1}, \ldots, \psi_{p}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$.

Hence by Theorem 2.6 we can construct an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I$ from that of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$ for the smaller lower ideal $I^{\prime} \subset I$. Our motivation is to construct these bases uniformly. In next section we introduce the notion of uniform bases. Then, we construct uniform bases inductively by using the method of Abe, Barakat, Cuntz, Hoge, and Terao explained above.

## 3. Uniform bases

In this section we first introduce the notion of Hessenberg functions $h_{I}$ associated with lower ideals $I$ for all Lie types. Hessenberg functions convert the lower ideals into numerical values. Then we define uniform bases and state a key proposition for uniform bases. In what follows, we frequently use the symbol

$$
[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be the exponents of the Weyl group $W$. (For the list of exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, see for example [9, p. 59 Table 1 and p. 81 Theorem 3.19].) We define a decomposition of all positive roots $\Phi^{+}$as follows. Let $\Phi_{i}^{+}$be a set of positive roots $\alpha_{i, i+1}, \alpha_{i, i+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i, i+e_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i, i+1}=\alpha_{i} \text { the simple root }  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha_{i, j} \lessdot \alpha_{i, j+1} \text { for any } j \text { with } i<j<i+e_{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we denote the covering relation by the symbol $\lessdot$, namely, $\alpha_{i, j} \prec \alpha_{i, j+1}$ and there is no element $\beta \in \Phi^{+}$such that $\alpha_{i, j} \prec \beta \prec \alpha_{i, j+1}$. The sets $\Phi_{i}^{+}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ give disjoint $n$ maximal chains. In particular, we have a decomposition of the positive roots $\Phi^{+}=$ $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$. Note that such a decomposition is not unique. We fix such a decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$and define the Hessenberg function $h_{I}:[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ associated with a lower ideal $I$ by the following formula:

$$
h_{I}(i):= \begin{cases}\max \left\{j \mid \alpha_{i, j} \in I \cap \Phi_{i}^{+}\right\} & \text {if } I \cap \Phi_{i}^{+} \neq \emptyset,  \tag{3.3}\\ i & \text { if } I \cap \Phi_{i}^{+}=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Example 3.1. In type $A_{n-1}$ we set the exponents $e_{i}=n-i$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and positive roots $\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}=\left\{x_{i}-x_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. Let $\alpha_{i, j}=x_{i}-x_{j}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Then, $\Phi_{i}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i, i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i, n}\right\}$ satisfies the conditions (3.1) and (3.2). In this setting, for example, the Hessenberg function ${ }^{11} h_{I}:[n-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ associated with the lower ideal $I=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\}$ is given by $h_{I}(i)=i+1$ for $i \in[n-1]$.
Definition 3.2. We fix a decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). A set of derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, i \leq j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements (or simply uniform bases) if derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I$.

[^1]Noting that ht $\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)=j-i$, a set $\left\{h_{I}(i)-i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is the dual partition of the height distribution in $I$. From this together with Theorem 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)=\left\{h_{I}(i)-i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{ht}(I)=\max \left\{h_{I}(i)-i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} .
$$

For each lower ideal $I$ we define a subset $\Lambda_{I}$ of $[n]$ as follows:

$$
\Lambda_{I}:=\left\{i \in[n] \mid h_{I}(i)-i=\operatorname{ht}(I)\right\} .
$$

Let us denote the cardinality of $\Lambda_{I}$ by $\lambda_{I}=\left|\Lambda_{I}\right|$.
Example 3.3. We consider the setting of Example 3.1. Let $n=5$ and we take

$$
I=\left\{x_{1}-x_{2}, x_{1}-x_{3}, x_{2}-x_{3}, x_{3}-x_{4}, x_{3}-x_{5}, x_{4}-x_{5}\right\} .
$$

Then, the associated Hessenberg function $h_{I}$ is given by $h_{I}(1)=3, h_{I}(2)=3, h_{I}(3)=$ $5, h_{I}(4)=5$ and we have $\Lambda_{I}=\{1,3\}$.

For each integer $m$ with $0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$, we define the lower ideal $I_{m}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}:=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi^{+} \mid \operatorname{ht}(\alpha) \leq m\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write the Hessenberg function associated with the lower ideal $I_{m}$ by $h_{m}$. For simplicity, we denote $\Lambda_{I_{m}}$ and $\lambda_{I_{m}}$ by $\Lambda_{m}$ and $\lambda_{m}$, respectively. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{m}=\left\{i \in[n] \mid h_{m}(i)-i=m\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that

$$
\left\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text { and } i \leq j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}=\left\{(i, i+m) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\} .
$$

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. For two subsets $S, T \subset[n]$ we denote by $\mathrm{M}(S, T ; R)$ the set of matrices $\left[a_{s, t}\right]_{\substack{ \\t \in T}}$ with entries $a_{s, t} \in R$. We also denote by $\operatorname{GL}(S ; R)$ the set of invertible matrices $\left[a_{s, t}\right]_{s, t \in S}$ with entries $a_{s, t} \in R$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}(S, T ; R) & =\left\{\left(a_{s, t}\right)_{\substack{s \in S \\
t \in T}} \text { matrices } \mid a_{s, t} \in R\right\} \\
\mathrm{GL}(S ; R) & =\left\{\left(a_{s, t}\right)_{s, t \in S} \text { invertible matrices } \mid a_{s, t} \in R\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following is a key proposition.
Proposition 3.4. Assume that derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $i \in$ $\left.\Lambda_{m}\right\}$ satisfy the following four conditions:
(1) For any $i \in[n], \psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}$ for some non-zero rational number $p_{i}$ where $\alpha_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{*}$ is the dual basis of the simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$;
(2) For any $m \geq 1$ there exists $P_{m} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ such that

$$
\left[\psi_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}=P_{m}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} ;
$$

(3) For any $m \geq 0,\left\{\psi_{i, h_{m}(i)} \mid i \in[n]\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right)$;
(4) For any $m \geq 0$ and any $(i, j) \in \Lambda_{m} \times \Lambda_{m+1}$ with $i \neq j$,

$$
\psi_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha_{j, j+m+1}
$$

Then, derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $\left.i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases.

Proof. One can see that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i, j}\right)=j-i$ from the conditions (1) and (2). By (3.4) we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right)=\left|\mathcal{A}_{I}\right|$. From this together with Theorem [2.1] it is enough to prove the following two claims:
Claim 1 For any lower ideal $I, \psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for each $i \in[n]$.
Claim 2 For any lower ideal $I$, the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \in[n]\right\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$.
Proof of Claim 1. We prove this by induction on $h t(I)$. The base case $\operatorname{ht}(I)=0$ is clear since $I=\emptyset$ and $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)=\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R})$. Now we assume that $m>0$ and Claim 1 holds for any lower ideal $I^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{ht}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=m-1$. For a lower ideal $I$ with $\mathrm{ht}(I)=m$, we define a lower ideal $I^{\prime}$ by $I^{\prime}:=I \cap I_{m-1}$. Namely, $I^{\prime}=\{\alpha \in I \mid \operatorname{ht}(\alpha) \leq m-1\}$ by the definition (3.5). One can see that

$$
h_{I^{\prime}}(i)= \begin{cases}h_{I}(i)-1 & \text { if } i \in \Lambda_{I}, \\ h_{I}(i) & \text { if } i \notin \Lambda_{I}\end{cases}
$$

Case 1 Suppose that $i \notin \Lambda_{I}$. In this case we have $h_{I}(i)=h_{I^{\prime}}(i)$, so we prove that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{ht}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=m-1$, we have $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$ by inductive assumption. Noting that $I=I^{\prime} \cup\left\{\alpha_{j, j+m} \mid j \in \Lambda_{I}\right\}$, it is enough to prove that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha_{j, j+m}$ for any $j \in \Lambda_{I}$. Let $H_{i, j}$ be the hyperplane defined by a positive root $\alpha_{i, j}$. For each $j \in \Lambda_{I}$ we define a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ in $H_{j, j+m}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{H \cap H_{j, j+m} \mid H \in \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right\}$ and we take a map

$$
\nu_{j}: \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}
$$

such that $\nu_{j}(X) \cap H_{j, j+m}=X$. The homogeneous polynomial

$$
b_{\nu_{j}}:=\frac{\prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}} \alpha_{H}}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}}=\frac{\prod_{\alpha \in I^{\prime}} \alpha}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}}
$$

has degree $m-1$ by Proposition 2.4, and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}, b_{\nu_{j}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Proposition 2.3. If $i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}$, then we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\right)=h_{I^{\prime}}(i)-i<\operatorname{ht}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=m-1=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{\nu_{j}}\right)$. Hence by (3.7) we obtain $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right)$ for $i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}$. If $i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}$, then we have $h_{I^{\prime}}(i)=i+m-1$. Note that $i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}} \subset \Lambda_{m-1}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{I} \subset \Lambda_{m}$. We also have $i \neq j$ because $i \notin \Lambda_{I}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{I}$. Thus, it follows from the condition (4) that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right)=\psi_{i, i+m-1}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m}\right)$.
Case 2 Suppose that $i \in \Lambda_{I}$. Then, we have $h_{I}(i)=i+m=h_{m}(i)$. From the condition (3) and $\mathcal{A}_{I} \subset \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}$, we obtain $\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}=\psi_{i, h_{m}(i)} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right) \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$.

Case 1 and Case 2 show Claim 1.
Proof of Claim 2. In order to prove Claim 2, we prove Claim 2' as follows:
Claim $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ For any lower ideal $I$ with $\operatorname{ht}(I)=m$ and any matrix $F=\left[f_{i j}\right]_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{I} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m}}} \in$ $\mathrm{M}\left(\Lambda_{I}, \Lambda_{m} ; \mathcal{R}\right)$ such that row vectors $\mathbf{f}_{i}=\left[f_{i j}\right]_{j \in \Lambda_{m}}\left(i \in \Lambda_{I}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$, we put $\left[\xi_{i}^{F}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{I}}:=F\left[\psi_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}$. Then, the derivations $\left\{\xi_{i}^{F} \mid i \in \Lambda_{I}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \notin \Lambda_{I}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$.

We prove Claim 2' by induction on $\operatorname{ht}(I)$. The base case $\mathrm{ht}(I)=0$ is clear since $I=\emptyset$ and the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \mid i \in[n]\right\}$ are $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)=\operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R})$.
Now we assume that $m>0$ and Claim 2' holds for any lower ideal $I^{\prime}$ with ht $\left(I^{\prime}\right)=m-1$ and any matrix $F^{\prime} \in \mathrm{M}\left(\Lambda_{I^{\prime}}, \Lambda_{m-1} ; \mathcal{R}\right)$ such that row vectors in $F^{\prime}$ are linearly independent
over $\mathcal{R}$. For a lower ideal $I$ with $h t(I)=m$ and any matrix $F \in \mathrm{M}\left(\Lambda_{I}, \Lambda_{m} ; \mathcal{R}\right)$ such that row vectors in $F$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$, we define the lower ideal $I^{\prime}:=I \cap I_{m-1}$. From the condition (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\xi_{i}^{F}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{I}}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}}(i)\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I}}}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
F\left[\psi_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I}}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
F P_{m}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I}}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
F P_{m} \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i, i+m}\right)\left[\psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I}}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
F P_{m} \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i, i+m}\right)\left[\psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}} \backslash \Lambda_{I}}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
F^{\prime}\left[\psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m-1}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\xi_{i}^{\prime}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\right]_{i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[\xi_{i}^{F^{\prime}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}:=F^{\prime}\left[\psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m-1}}$ and $F^{\prime}=\left[f_{i j}^{\prime}\right]_{j \in \Lambda_{m-1}}^{\substack{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}}}$ is the matrix given by

$$
F^{\prime}=[\underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{F P_{m} \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i, i+m}\right)}}_{\Lambda_{m}} O}_{\Lambda_{m-1}} \left\lvert\, \frac{O}{O} \delta_{i j}\right.]^{\Lambda_{l}}\}_{\Lambda_{I^{\prime}}}
$$

More precisely,

$$
f_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}(i, j) \text {-entry of }\left[F P_{m} \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i, i+m}\right)\right] & \text { if }(i, j) \in \Lambda_{I} \times \Lambda_{m} \\ \delta_{i j} & \text { if }(i, j) \in\left(\Lambda_{I^{\prime}} \backslash \Lambda_{I}\right) \times\left(\Lambda_{m-1} \backslash \Lambda_{m}\right), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that row vectors in $F^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$. Hence by the inductive assumption, $\left\{\xi_{i}^{F^{\prime}} \mid i \in \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \notin \Lambda_{I^{\prime}}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$, so is $\left\{\xi_{i}^{F} \mid i \in \Lambda_{I}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \notin \Lambda_{I}\right\}$. Applying Claim 2' to $F=\left[\delta_{i j}\right]_{\substack{c \in \Lambda_{I} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m}}}$, we obtain Claim 2.
Therefore, we proved Claim 1 and Claim 2. This completes the proof.
Remark 3.5. Assume that the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) For any $i \in[n]$ we can write $\psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}$ for some non-zero rational number $p_{i}$;
(2) For any $m \geq 1$ we can write

$$
\left[\psi_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}=P_{m}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}
$$

for some invertible matrix $P_{m} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$;
(3) For any lower ideal $I \subset \Phi^{+},\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \in[n]\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$.

Then the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$ form uniform bases because the conditions (3) and (4) in Proposition 3.4 mean that $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)} \mid i \in[n]\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for the special lower ideal $I=I_{m}, I_{m} \cup\left\{\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right\}_{\substack{j \in \Lambda_{m+1} \\ j \neq i}}$.

## 4. Main theorem

In this section we prove the existence of uniform bases which are inductively constructed by using invertible matrices (Theorem 4.1). Moreover, we prove that the invertible matrices associated with our uniform bases are unique in some sense (Theorem 4.2).
Theorem 4.1. For arbitrary decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), there exist uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $\left.i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ of the following form. The initial data are of the form

$$
\psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \quad(i \in[n])
$$

where $p_{i}$ is arbitrary non-zero rational number and $\alpha_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{*}$ is the dual basis of the simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$. For any $m$ with $1 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$

$$
\psi_{i, i+m}=\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j}^{(m)} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1} \quad\left(i \in \Lambda_{m}\right)
$$

for some rational numbers $p_{i j}^{(m)}$.
Proof. It suffices to construct derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $i \in$ $\left.\Lambda_{m}\right\}$ satisfying the four conditions in Proposition 3.4. For the construction, we apply the method of Abe, Barakat, Cuntz, Hoge, and Terao explained in Section 2 to the sequence of the lower ideals in (3.5):

$$
I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \cdots \subset I_{\mathrm{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)} .
$$

For $m$ with $0 \leq m<\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$, we construct inductively derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}$ and $\left\{\theta_{i, i+m+1}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda_{m+1}}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i, j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{i, j}\right)=j-i$ so that the derivations $\left\{\theta_{i, i+m+1} \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\Lambda_{m+1}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \mid i \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{\psi_{i, i+1} \mid i \in \Lambda_{1} \backslash \Lambda_{2}\right\}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis for $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m+1}}\right)$ as follows. As the base case, when $m=0$, for any non-zero rational number $p_{i}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i \in \Lambda_{0}=[n] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{i, i+1}=\alpha_{i, i+1} \psi_{i, i} \quad \text { for } i \in \Lambda_{1}=[n] .
$$

For general $m$ with $0<m<\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$we proceed inductively as follows. Let $H_{i, j}$ be the hyperplane defined by a positive root $\alpha_{i, j}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{I_{m+1}}=\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}} \cup\left\{H_{j, j+m+1} \mid j \in \Lambda_{m+1}\right\} .
$$

For each $j \in \Lambda_{m+1}$ we define a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ in $H_{j, j+m+1}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\{H \cap$ $\left.H_{j, j+m+1} \mid H \in \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right\}$ and we take a map

$$
\nu_{j}: \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}
$$

such that $\nu_{j}(X) \cap H_{j, j+m+1}=X$. The homogeneous polynomial

$$
b_{\nu_{j}}=\frac{\prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}} \alpha_{H}}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}}=\frac{\prod_{\alpha \in I_{m}} \alpha}{\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}}
$$

has degree $m$ by Proposition [2.4, and we obtain

$$
\theta_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}, b_{\nu_{j}}\right)
$$

for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{m+1}$ from Proposition 2.3. Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \equiv c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)} b_{\nu_{j}} \quad \bmod \alpha_{j, j+m+1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some rational numbers $c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}\left(i \in \Lambda_{m}, j \in \Lambda_{m+1}\right)$. By Proposition 2.5, the matrix $C_{m}:=\left(c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}}$ has full rank $\lambda_{m+1}$. Thus, there exists $P_{m}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m} C_{m}=\left(\delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i+m}:=\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j}^{(m)} \theta_{j, j+m} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i, i+m+1}:=\alpha_{i, i+m+1} \psi_{i, i+m} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in \Lambda_{m+1}$. From the inductive assumption together with (4.4) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, h_{m}(i)} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right) \quad \text { for } i \in[n] . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the inductive argument, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that the derivations $\left\{\theta_{i, i+m+1} \mid\right.$ $\left.i \in \Lambda_{m+1}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \mid i \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{\psi_{i, i+1} \mid i \in \Lambda_{1} \backslash \Lambda_{2}\right\}$ form an $\mathcal{R}$-basis for $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m+1}}\right)$.
Finally, when $m=\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$, we define

$$
\psi_{i, i+m}=\theta_{i, i+m}
$$

for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$. Note that $\left|\Lambda_{m}\right|=1$ whenever $m=\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$because the root with the highest height is uniquely determined. Hence, if $m=h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$, then we have $\left[\psi_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}=$ $P_{m}\left[\theta_{i, i+m}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}$ where $P_{m}=(1) \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

Now we check that the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $\left.i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ satisfy the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) in Proposition 3.4. The condition (1) is exactly the definition in (4.1). The condition (2) follows from (4.4) and (4.5). The condition (3) is nothing but (4.6). We check the condition (4). If $m=0$, then $\psi_{i, i}\left(\alpha_{j, j+1}\right)=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \in \Lambda_{0}=[n]$ and $j \in \Lambda_{1}=[n]$ with $i \neq j$. If $m \geq 1$, then we have for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{m+1}$ with $i \neq j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) & =\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} \theta_{k, k+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \quad(\text { from (4.4) }) \\
& \left.\equiv \sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} c_{k j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)} b_{\nu_{j}} \quad \bmod \alpha_{j, j+m+1} \quad \text { (by (4.2) }\right) \\
& =\delta_{i j} b_{\nu_{j}} \quad(\text { from }(4.3)) \\
& =0 \quad(\text { because } i \neq j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $i \in$ $\left.\Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases from Proposition 3.4.

We obtain from Theorem4.1 uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $i \in$ $\left.\Lambda_{m}\right\}$ by the recursive description. For any non-zero rational numbers $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$, we fix the initial data

$$
\psi_{i, i}=p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{*} \quad(i \in[n])
$$

Proceeding inductively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i+m}=\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j}^{(m)} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1} \quad\left(1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some rational numbers $p_{i j}^{(m)}$. Then we define matrices $P_{m}\left(0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right)$by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{0}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \\
& P_{m}:=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \text { for } m>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

As seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1, $P_{m}$ is invertible for all $m$. We call the matrices $\left\{P_{m} \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right\}$the invertible matrices associated with uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right.$and $\left.i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$. These invertible matrices are not unique. In fact, we can multiply $\psi_{i, i+m}$ by a non-zero scalar. Also, $\psi_{j, j+m}$ is an element of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi^{+}}\right)$for any $j \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}$, so we can replace $\psi_{i, i+m}$ with the $\psi_{i, i+m}$ plus a constant times $\psi_{j, j+m}$ for arbitrary $j \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}$ with $j \neq i$. These correspond to the following two types of elementary row operations for matrices in $\mathrm{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ :
(1) multiply one row of the matrix by a non-zero scalar constant;
(2) replace an $i$-th row with the $i$-th row plus a constant times $j$-th row for $j \in$ $\Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}$ with $j \neq i$.
For $P_{m}, P_{m}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right), P_{m}$ is equivalent to $P_{m}^{\prime}$ if $P_{m}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $P_{m}$ by finitely many elementary row operations (1) and (2) above. The following theorem states that $P_{m}$ is uniquely determined up to the equivalence when we determined the invertible matrices $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{m-1}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$be a decomposition satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Let $\left\{P_{m} \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right\}$and $\left\{P_{m}^{\prime} \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right\}$be two sets of the invertible matrices associated with uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ respectively. Assume that $P_{r}=P_{r}^{\prime}$ for any $r=0,1 \ldots, m-1$. Then $P_{m}$ is equivalent to $P_{m}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{P_{m} \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right\}$be the invertible matrices associated with uniform bases $\Psi:=\left\{\psi_{i, i+m} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$. Then, we can write from (4.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i+m}=\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} \alpha_{k, k+m} \psi_{k, k+m-1}=\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} \theta_{k, k+m} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{m}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}$ and $\theta_{k, k+m}:=\alpha_{k, k+m} \psi_{k, k+m-1}$ for $k \in \Lambda_{m}$. For $k \in \Lambda_{m}$, we define a lower ideal $I_{m}^{(k)}$ by $I_{m}^{(k)}=I_{m} \backslash\left\{\alpha_{k, k+m}\right\}$. Since the set of derivations $\Psi$ forms uniform bases, we have $\psi_{k, k+m-1} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}^{(k)}}\right)$ which implies that $\theta_{k, k+m}=\alpha_{k, k+m} \psi_{k, k+m-1} \in$ $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right)$.

We write $\mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{H \cap H_{j, j+m+1} \mid H \in \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}\right\}$ where $H_{j, j+m+1}$ is the hyperplane defined by the positive root $\alpha_{j, j+m+1}$. We fix a map $\nu_{j}: \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}$ such that $\nu_{j}(X) \cap H_{j, j+m+1}=X$, and define the homogeneous polynomial $b_{\nu_{j}}=\left(\prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}_{I_{m}}} \alpha_{H}\right) /\left(\prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{\nu_{j}(X)}\right)$ which has degree $m$ from Proposition [2.4. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that for $k \in \Lambda_{m}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{m+1}$ we can write

$$
\theta_{k, k+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \equiv c_{k j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)} b_{\nu_{j}} \quad \bmod \alpha_{j, j+m+1}
$$

for some rational numbers $c_{k j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}$. From this together with (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \equiv b_{\nu_{j}}\left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} c_{k j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}\right) \quad \bmod \alpha_{j, j+m+1} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider a lower ideal $I:=I_{m} \cup\left\{\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right\}$, and one see that $\psi_{i, i+m} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ and $j \in \Lambda_{m+1}$ with $i \neq j$ since $\Psi$ form uniform bases. In particular, $\psi_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{j, j+m+1}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{R} \alpha_{j, j+m+1}$. From this together with (4.10), we obtain

$$
\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i k}^{(m)} c_{k j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}=0 \quad \text { for } i \in \Lambda_{m}, j \in \Lambda_{m+1} \text { with } i \neq j .
$$

Let $\left.C_{m}:=\left(c_{i j}^{\left(\nu_{j}\right)}\right)\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}}$. The matrix $C_{m}$ has full rank $\lambda_{m+1}$ by Proposition 2.5. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m} C_{m}=\left(q_{j} \delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some non-zero rational numbers $q_{j}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m+1}\right)$.
We take another invertible matrices $\left\{P_{m}^{\prime} \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)\right\}$associated with uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathcal{R}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$. From the assumption $P_{r}=P_{r}^{\prime}$ for $r=0, \ldots, m-1$, we have $\psi_{s, s+r}=\psi_{s, s+r}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ and $s \in \Lambda_{r}$. Noting that $\theta_{k, k+m}^{\prime}:=\alpha_{k, k+m} \psi_{k, k+m-1}^{\prime}=\alpha_{k, k+m} \psi_{k, k+m-1}=\theta_{k, k+m}$ for $k \in \Lambda_{m}$, by similar argument we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}^{\prime} C_{m}=\left(q_{j}^{\prime} \delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some non-zero rational numbers $q_{j}^{\prime}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m+1}\right)$. From (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m} C_{m}=\left(\delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}}=A_{m}^{\prime} C_{m} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{m}=\left(q_{j}^{-1} \delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}} \cdot P_{m}$ and $A_{m}^{\prime}=\left(q_{j}^{\prime-1} \delta_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in \Lambda_{m} \\ j \in \Lambda_{m+1}}} \cdot P_{m}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime}$ be $i$-th row vectors of $A_{m}$ and $A_{m}^{\prime}$ respectively for $i \in \Lambda_{m}$. Then, the difference $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{a}_{i}$ belongs to the kernel of $C_{m}$ by (4.13). For any $j \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}$ the $j$-th row vector $\mathbf{p}_{j}$ of $P_{m}$ is an element of $\operatorname{ker} C_{m}$ by (4.11), and these row vectors $\mathbf{p}_{j}$ are linearly independent because $P_{m}$ is invertible. Since the matrix $C_{m}$ has rank $\lambda_{m+1}$, the row vectors $\mathbf{p}_{j}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}\right)$ form a basis of $\operatorname{ker} C_{m}$. Hence, the difference $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{a}_{i}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\mathbf{p}_{j}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash \Lambda_{m+1}\right)$, which means that $P_{m}$ is equivalent to $P_{m}^{\prime}$, as desired.

If we want to find uniform bases, then it is enough to determine the invertible matrices $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\mathrm{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right)}$in order. In next section, giving a decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), we will describe explicitly uniform bases and the associated invertible matrices $P_{m}$ on each Lie type.

## 5. The invertible matrices associated with uniform bases

In this section we fix a decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), and describe explicitly uniform bases and the associated invertible matrices $P_{m}$ in (4.8) on each Lie type. For a construction of an explicit $\mathcal{R}$-basis of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for each Lie type, Barakat, Cuntz, and Hoge provided ones for types $E$ and $F$ by computer when the work of [3] was in progress. Also, Terao and Abe worked for the special lower ideal $I_{m}$ in (3.5) of types $A$ and $B$, respectively. Uniform bases were constructed for types $A, B, C, G$ in
[4. Proposition 10.3, Theorem 10.9, Theorem 10.14, and Theorem 10.17]. For the other types, we construct explicit uniform bases. We also describe the associated invertible matrices $P_{m}$ on each Lie type.

Throughout this section, $V$ is an $n$-dimensional real euclidean space, and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V^{*}$. We denote the partial derivatives by $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_{i}}}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. The euclidean space $\mathfrak{t}$ in which the ideal arrangements are realized will be defined to be a certain subspace of $V$ or $V$ itself.
5.1. Type $A_{n-1}(n \geq 2)$. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the hyperplane in $V$ defined by the linear function $x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right) .
$$

We take the set of positive roots of type $A_{n-1}$ as

$$
\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}=\left\{x_{i}-x_{j} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}
$$

and set the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ as

$$
e_{i}=n-i \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 .
$$

We arrange all positive roots in a strict upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix shown in Figure $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure 1. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $A_{n-1}$.
In Figure 1 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$;
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$. We denote positive roots in $\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i, j}=x_{i}-x_{j} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq i<j \leq n . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i, j} \preceq \alpha_{k, \ell}$ iff $i \geq k$ and $j \leq \ell$. Recall that $\Phi_{i}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i, j} \mid i<j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$, namely it is the set of all positive roots in the $i$-th row in Figure 1 A Hessenberg function for type $A_{n-1}$ is defined to be a function $h:[n-1] \rightarrow[n-1]$ satisfying the following two conditions
(1) $h(1) \leq h(2) \leq \cdots \leq h(n-1)$,
(2) $h(i) \geq i \quad$ for $i \in[n-1]$.

Under the decomposition $\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi_{i}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset$ $\Phi^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $A_{n-1}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

Now we explain the uniform bases given by [4]. Let $\bar{\partial}:=\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{n}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $i \leq j \leq n$, we define

$$
\psi_{i, j}^{A_{n-1}}:=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{j}\left(x_{k}-x_{\ell}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{k}-\frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}\right) \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}
$$

with the convention $\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{j}\left(x_{k}-x_{\ell}\right)=1$ whenever $j=i$. Note that $\partial_{k}$ is not an element of Der $\mathcal{R}$ but $\partial_{k}-\frac{1}{n} \bar{\partial}$ is, because $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)$.
Theorem 5.1. ([4, Proposition 10.3]) The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{A_{n-1}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \leq j \leq n\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $A_{n-1}$.

As explained in [4], the derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{A_{n-1}}$ satisfy the following recursive formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{i, i}^{A_{n-1}}=\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{i}-\frac{i}{n} \bar{\partial} \quad \text { for } i \in[n-1] \\
& \psi_{i, j}^{A_{n-1}}=\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{A_{n-1}}+\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) \psi_{i, j-1}^{A_{n-1}} \quad \text { for }(i, j) \text { with } i<j \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

where we take the convention $\psi_{0, *}^{A_{n-1}}=0$ for any $*$. Note that $h t\left(\Phi_{A_{n-1}}^{+}\right)=n-1$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}{[n-1]} & \text { if } m=0 \\ {[n-m]} & \text { if } 1 \leq m \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

One can see from the recursive formula above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{i, i}^{A_{n-1}}=\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i \in[n-1] \\
& \psi_{i, i+m}^{A_{n-1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{A_{n-1}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1 \text { and } 1 \leq i \leq n-m
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the invertible matrices $P_{m}^{A_{n-1}}(0 \leq m \leq n-1)$ associated with the uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{A_{n-1}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq n-1, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ are given by

$$
P_{0}^{A_{n-1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{m}^{A_{n-1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \\
1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(1 \leq m \leq n-1) .
$$

5.2. Type $B_{n}(n \geq 2)$. Let $\mathfrak{t}=V$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

We take the set of positive roots of type $B_{n}$ as

$$
\Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}=\left\{x_{i} \pm x_{j} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

and set the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ as

$$
e_{i}=2(n-i)+1 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

We arrange all positive roots of $B_{n}$ as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $B_{n}$.

In Figure 2 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$;
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, we denote positive roots in $\Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}$ by

$$
\alpha_{i, j}= \begin{cases}x_{i}-x_{j} & \text { if } i+1 \leq j \leq n, \\ x_{i} & \text { if } j=n+1, \\ x_{i}+x_{2 n+2-j} & \text { if } n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+1-i .\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i, j} \preceq \alpha_{k, \ell}$ iff $i \geq k$ and $j \leq \ell$. Similarly to type $A, \Phi_{i}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i, j} \mid i<j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$ is the set of all positive roots in the $i$-th row in Figure 2, A Hessenberg function for type $B_{n}$ is defined to be a function $h:[n] \rightarrow[2 n]$ satisfying the following three conditions
(1) $i \leq h(i) \leq 2 n+1-i$ for $i \in[n]$,
(2) if $h(i) \neq 2 n+1-i$, then $h(i) \leq h(i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$,
(3) if $h(i)=2 n+1-i$, then $h(i+1)=2 n+1-(i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Under the decomposition $\Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}$ and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $B_{n}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).
In [4], the derivation $\psi_{i, j}^{B_{n}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \leq j \leq 2 n+1-i$ is defined as

$$
\psi_{i, j}^{B_{n}}:=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{j} \alpha_{k, \ell}\right) \partial_{k} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t},
$$

where we take the convention $\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{j} \alpha_{k, \ell}=1$ whenever $j=i$.
Theorem 5.2. ([4, Theorem 10.9]) The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{B_{n}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, i \leq j \leq 2 n+1-i\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $B_{n}$.

The derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{B_{n}}$ satisfy the following recursive formula ([4])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{i, i}^{B_{n}}=\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{i} \quad \text { for } i \in[n], \\
& \psi_{i, j}^{B_{n}}=\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{B_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \psi_{i, j-1}^{B_{n}} \quad \text { for }(i, j) \text { with } i<j \leq 2 n+1-i,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we take the convention $\psi_{0, *}^{B_{n}}=0$ for any $*$. Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{B_{n}}^{+}\right)=2 n-1$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}=[n-k] \quad \text { if } m=2 k, 2 k+1
$$

From the recursive formula above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{B_{n}} & =\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{B_{n}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{B_{n}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 2 n-1 \text { and } i \in \Lambda_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the invertible matrices $P_{m}^{B_{n}}(0 \leq m \leq 2 n-1)$ associated with the uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{B_{n}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 2 n-1, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ are given by

$$
P_{0}^{B_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{m}^{B_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \\
1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(1 \leq m \leq 2 n-1) .
$$

5.3. Type $C_{n}(n \geq 2)$. Let $\mathfrak{t}=V$ and we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] .
$$

We take the set of positive roots of type $C_{n}$ as

$$
\Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}=\left\{x_{i} \pm x_{j} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{2 x_{i} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

and set the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ as

$$
e_{i}=2(n-i)+1 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text {. }
$$

We arrange all positive roots of $C_{n}$ as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $C_{n}$.
In Figure 3 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$;
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, we denote positive roots ${ }^{2}$ in $\Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}$

[^2]by
\[

\alpha_{i, j}= $$
\begin{cases}x_{i}-x_{j} & \text { if } i+1 \leq j \leq n \\ x_{i}+x_{2 n+1-j} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-i \\ 2 x_{i} & \text { if } j=2 n+1-i\end{cases}
$$
\]

Note that $\alpha_{i, j} \preceq \alpha_{k, \ell}$ iff $i \geq k$ and $j \leq \ell$. Similarly to type $A, \Phi_{i}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i, j} \mid i<j \leq i+e_{i}\right\}$ is the set of all positive roots in the $i$-th row in Figure 3. A Hessenberg function for type $C_{n}$ is defined to be the same one as type $B_{n}$. Under the decomposition $\Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $C_{n}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

Like the derivation defined in [4], we define the derivation $\psi_{i, j}^{C_{n}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \leq j \leq 2 n+1-i$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, j}^{C_{n}}:=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{j} \alpha_{k, \ell}\right) \partial_{k} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take the convention $\prod_{\ell=i+1}^{i} \alpha_{k, \ell}=1$.
Theorem 5.3. ([4, Theorem 10.14]) The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{C_{n}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, i \leq j \leq 2 n+1-i\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $C_{n}$.

Using the recursive formula in [4], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{C_{n}} & =\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{i} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n \\
\psi_{i, j}^{C_{n}} & =\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{C_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \psi_{i, j-1}^{C_{n}} \quad \text { for }(i, j) \text { with } i<j<2 n+1-i, \\
\psi_{i, 2 n+1-i}^{C_{n}} & =2 \psi_{i-1,2 n-i}^{C_{n}}+\alpha_{i, 2 n+1-i} \psi_{i, 2 n-i}^{C_{n}} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we take the convention $\psi_{0, *}^{C_{n}}=0$ for any $*$. Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{C_{n}}^{+}\right)=2 n-1$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}=[n-k] \quad \text { if } m=2 k, 2 k+1
$$

From the recursive formula above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{C_{n}} & =\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
\psi_{n, n}^{C_{n}} & =2 \alpha_{n}^{*}, \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{C_{n}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{C_{n}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 2 n-1 \text { and } i \in \Lambda_{m} \backslash\left\{\max _{\ell \in \Lambda_{m}} \ell\right\} . \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{C_{n}} & =\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2 \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{C_{n}}+\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{C_{n}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 2 n-1 \text { and } i=\max _{\ell \in \Lambda_{m}} \ell .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]Thus, the invertible matrices $P_{m}^{C_{n}}(0 \leq m \leq 2 n-1)$ associated with the uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{C_{n}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 2 n-1, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ are given by

$$
P_{0}^{C_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & 1 & \\
& & & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{m}^{C_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & & \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & \\
2 & \cdots & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(1 \leq m \leq 2 n-1)
$$

### 5.4. Type $D_{n}(n \geq 4)$. 4

Let $\mathfrak{t}=V$ and we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] .
$$

We take the set of positive roots of type $D_{n}$ as

$$
\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}=\left\{x_{i} \pm x_{j} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}
$$

and set the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i} & =2(n-i)-1 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
e_{n} & =n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We arrange all positive roots of $D_{n}$ as shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $D_{n}$.
In Figure 4 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$, except for $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(x_{i}-x_{n}, x_{i}+\right.$ $\left.x_{n}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ which are pictorially divided by a dotted line;
(2) $x_{i}-x_{n-1} \lessdot x_{i}+x_{n}$ and $x_{i}-x_{n} \lessdot x_{i}+x_{n+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
(3) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$. We denote positive roots in $\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}$by

$$
\alpha_{i, j}= \begin{cases}x_{i}-x_{j} & \text { if } i+1 \leq j \leq n, \\ x_{i}+x_{2 n-j} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-i-1\end{cases}
$$

for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and

$$
\alpha_{n, j}=x_{2 n-j}+x_{n} \quad \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1 .
$$

[^4]Note that $\Phi_{i}^{+}$is the set of all positive roots in the $i$-th row except for the root $x_{i}+x_{n}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\Phi_{n}^{+}$is the set of all positive roots in the $(n+1)$-th column in Figure 4. Motivated by this, we define the coordinate in type $D_{n}$ as shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5. The coordinate in type $D_{n}$.
We define a Hessenberg function for type $D_{n}$ as a function $h:[n] \rightarrow[2 n-1]$ satisfying the following conditions
(1) $i \leq h(i) \leq 2 n-1-i$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$,
(2) $n \leq h(n) \leq 2 n-1$,
(3) if $h(i) \neq 2 n-1-i$, then $h(i) \leq h(i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-2$,
(4) if $h(i)=2 n-1-i$, then $h(i+1)=2 n-1-(i+1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-2$,
(5) if $h(i) \geq n+1$, then $h(n) \geq 2 n-i$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-2$.5
(6) if $h(n) \geq 2 n-i$, then $h(i) \geq n-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-2$.

Under the decomposition $\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}$ and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $D_{n}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3). We write a Hessenberg function by listing its values in sequence, namely, $h=(h(1), h(2), \ldots, h(n))$.

It is useful to express a Hessenberg function $h$ pictorially by drawing a configuration of boxes on a square grid with the coordinate in Figure 5 whose shaded boxes correspond to the roots of the lower ideal $I$ associated with $h$ and $(i, i)$-boxes (see for example [1] for type $A$ ). For example the picture of the Hessenberg function $h=(3,5,4,7)$ is shown in Figure 6

| $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,3)$ | $(1,4)$ | $(4,7)$ | $(1,5)$ | $(1,6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(2,2)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(2,4)$ | $(4,6)$ | $(2,5)$ |  |
|  |  | $(3,3)$ | $(3,4)$ | $(4,5)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $(4,4)$ |  |  |

Figure 6. The picture for the Hessenberg function $h=(3,5,4,7)$.
Now we define the derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \leq j \leq 2 n-i-1\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{n, j}^{D_{n}} \mid n \leq\right.$ $j \leq 2 n-1\}$ by a recursive formula which is a different flavor than that of types $A, B, C$.

[^5]We begin with the case when $j=i$. In this case we make the following definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{D_{n}} & =\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{i}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
\psi_{n-1, n-1}^{D_{n}} & =\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{n-1}-\partial_{n} \\
\psi_{n, n}^{D_{n}} & =\partial_{1}+\cdots+\partial_{n-1}+\partial_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we proceed inductively for the rest of the $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \psi_{i, j-1}^{D_{n}}+\delta_{j, n-1} \xi_{i}^{D_{n}} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1-i,  \tag{5.3}\\
& \text { (5.4) } \psi_{n, j}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{n, j} \psi_{n, j-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{j-n} \psi_{2 n-j, n}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { for } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1 \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{0, j}^{D_{n}}$ is defined to be the following

$$
\psi_{0, j}^{D_{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq n-2,  \tag{5.5}\\
(-1)^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{1} \cdots \widehat{x}_{k} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k}\right) \quad \text { for } j=n-1, \\
-x_{2 n-j} x_{2 n-j+1} \cdots x_{n} \psi_{0, n-1}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { for } n \leq j \leq 2 n-3,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right) x_{n}+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k}  \tag{5.6}\\
& +(-1)^{n-i} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k},
\end{align*}
$$

where the caret sign ${ }^{\wedge}$ over $x_{j}$ means that the entry $x_{j}$ is to be omitted. Note that $\left(\left(x_{j}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{j}-x_{n-1}\right) x_{n}+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1} x_{n}\right)$ is divisible by $x_{j}$. Thus, $\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}$ is an element of $\operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}$, so is $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$. Also, we define $\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}$ for $i=0$ as

$$
\xi_{0}^{D_{n}}:=\psi_{0, n-1}^{D_{n}} .
$$

Note that we need the derivations $\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}$ only for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$. In fact, if we take $i=n-1$ in (5.3), then one can see that $j=n$ and $\xi_{n-1}^{D_{n}}$ does not appear in the right hand side of (5.3).

Example 5.4. In type $D_{4}$, the positive roots $\alpha_{i, j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1,2}=x_{1}-x_{2}, \alpha_{1,3}=x_{1}-x_{3}, \alpha_{1,4}=x_{1}-x_{4}, \alpha_{1,5}=x_{1}+x_{3}, \alpha_{1,6}=x_{1}+x_{2}, \\
& \alpha_{2,3}=x_{2}-x_{3}, \alpha_{2,4}=x_{2}-x_{4}, \alpha_{2,5}=x_{2}+x_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{3,4}=x_{3}-x_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{4,5}=x_{3}+x_{4}, \alpha_{4,6}=x_{2}+x_{4}, \quad \alpha_{4,7}=x_{1}+x_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we arrange the derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{4}}$ in the coordinate shown in Figure 5 as follows:

| $\psi_{1,1}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{1,2}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{1,3}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{1,4}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{4,7}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{1,5}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{1,6}^{D_{4}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\psi_{2,2}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{2,3}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{2,4}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{4,6}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{2,5}^{D_{4}}$ |  |
|  |  | $\psi_{3,3}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{3,4}^{D_{4}}$ | $\psi_{4,5}^{D_{4}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\psi_{4,4}^{D_{4}}$ |  |  |

Then the derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{4}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ are computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{D_{4}}= \partial_{1}, \\
& \psi_{1,2}^{D_{4}}=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \partial_{1}, \\
& \psi_{1,3}^{D_{4}}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)-x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1}-x_{3} x_{4} \partial_{2}-x_{2} x_{4} \partial_{3}-x_{2} x_{3} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{1,4}^{D_{4}}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1}+x_{3} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{2}+x_{2} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{3}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{1,5}^{D_{4}}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+x_{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1} \\
&+x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{2}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{1,6}^{D_{4}=}=\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& x_{1} \partial_{1} \\
&+x_{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{2}+x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{3}+x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{2,2}^{D_{4}=}= \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}, \\
& \psi_{2,3}^{D_{4}=}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)+x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1}+\frac{\left(\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)+x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{2}} \partial_{2}+x_{4} \partial_{3}+x_{3} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{2,4}^{D_{4}=}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)-x_{3} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1}+\frac{\left(\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)-x_{3} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{2}} \partial_{2} \\
&-x_{4}^{2} \partial_{3}-x_{3} x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{2,5}^{D_{4}=}= \frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)-x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1} \\
&+\frac{\left(\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)-x_{3}^{2} x_{4}^{2}\right)}{x_{2}} \partial_{2} \\
&-x_{3} x_{4}^{2} \partial_{3}-x_{3}^{3} x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{3,3}^{D_{4}=}= \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}-\partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{3,4}^{D_{4}=}= x_{1} \partial_{1}+x_{2} \partial_{2}+x_{3} \partial_{3}+x_{4} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{4,4}^{D_{4}=}=\partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{4,5}^{D_{4}=}=-\frac{\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)-x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}} \partial_{1}-\frac{\left(\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)-x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{2}} \partial_{2}+x_{4} \partial_{3}+x_{3} \partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{4,6}^{D_{4}=}=\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right) \\
& \psi_{4,7}^{D_{4}}=x_{3} x_{4} \partial_{3}+x_{2} x_{4} \partial_{3}+\partial_{1}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{3} \partial_{4} \partial_{2}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} \partial_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \partial_{4} . \\
& x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward from the definition of $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ to see the following explicit formula for $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{j}\right) \partial_{k} \quad \text { for } i \leq j \leq n-2(i \neq n-1), \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k}  \tag{5.8}\\
& +(-1)^{n-i} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, n+j}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-j}\right)\right. \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-j} x_{n-j}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k}
$$

$$
+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{n-j} \cdots x_{n} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1-i
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n, 2 n-1-r}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{r}\left((-1)^{n-r+1}\left(x_{k}-x_{r+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)+x_{r+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k}  \tag{5.10}\\
& +\sum_{k=r+1}^{n} x_{r+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq n-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.5. Let $I$ be a lower ideal in $\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}$and $h_{I}$ the associated Hessenberg function in (3.3). Then, $\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{D_{n}}$ is an element of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. We fix $i$ and put $j=h_{I}(i)$. We consider a Hessenberg function $h$ such that $h(i)=j$, and choose the maximal Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ from such Hessenberg functions. The lower ideal associated with $h^{(i, j)}$ is denoted by $I^{(i, j)}$. Note that the lower ideal $I^{(i, j)}$ is the maximal lower ideal among lower ideals $I$ such that $\alpha_{i, j+1} \notin I$ with respect to inclusion. It is enough to prove that $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ belong to $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{(i, j)}}\right)$ because $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{(i, j)}}\right) \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$.
Case 1 Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $i \leq j \leq n-2$. Then the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is given by

$$
h^{(i, j)}(t)= \begin{cases}j & \text { if } 1 \leq t \leq i \\ 2 n-1-t & \text { if } i+1 \leq t \leq n-1, \\ 2 n-1-i & \text { if } t=n\end{cases}
$$

The picture of the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is shown in Figure 7 Here, $d_{t}=t+e_{t}=$ $2 n-1-t$ for $1 \leq t \leq n-1$.

Let $\alpha \in I^{(i, j)}$. Since all shaded boxes except for the boxes $(r, r)$ with $1 \leq r \leq n$ in Figure 7 correspond to coordinates of all positive roots in $I^{(i, j)}, \alpha$ is one of the following forms

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k<\ell \leq i) \\
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k \leq i<\ell \leq j) \\
x_{k} \pm x_{\ell} & (i<k<\ell \leq n) \tag{5.13}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 7. The Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $i \leq j \leq n-2$.
From the formula (5.7), we have
$\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{j}\right)-\left(x_{\ell}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{\ell}-x_{j}\right) & \text { if } \alpha \text { is of the form (5.11) }, \\ \left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{j}\right) & \text { if } \alpha \text { is of the form (5.12) }, \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha \text { is of the form (5.13). }\end{cases}$
One can see that $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \bmod \alpha$ in both of cases.
Case 2 Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $j=n-1$. In this case, the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is defined by

$$
h^{(i, j)}(t)= \begin{cases}n-1 & \text { if } 1 \leq t \leq i \\ 2 n-1-t & \text { if } i+1 \leq t \leq n-1, \\ 2 n-1 & \text { if } t=n\end{cases}
$$

The picture of the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is shown in Figure 8 ,


Figure 8. The Hessenberg function $h^{(i, n-1)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
In this case, $\alpha \in I^{(i, j)}$ is one of the following forms

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k<\ell \leq i), \\
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k \leq i<\ell \leq n-1), \\
x_{k} \pm x_{\ell} & (i<k<\ell \leq n), \\
x_{k}+x_{n} & (1 \leq k \leq i) . \tag{5.17}
\end{array}
$$

Using the formula (5.8), we show that $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \bmod \alpha$. If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.14), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& -\left(\left(x_{\ell}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{\ell}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{\ell}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{\ell}^{-1} \\
\equiv & 0 \quad \bmod x_{k}-x_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.15), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& -(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{\ell}_{\ell} \cdots x_{n} \\
\equiv & (-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n} x_{\ell}^{-1}-(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{\ell}} \cdots x_{n} \quad \bmod x_{k}-x_{\ell} \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.16), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) & =(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \pm(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{\ell}} \cdots x_{n} \\
& \equiv 0 \quad \bmod x_{k} \pm x_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.17), then

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\psi_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& +(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1} \\
\equiv & (-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n}\left(-x_{n}^{-1}\right)+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1} & \bmod x_{k}+x_{n} \\
= & 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Case 3 Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $n \leq j \leq 2 n-1-i$. Then the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is given by

$$
h^{(i, j)}(t)= \begin{cases}j & \text { if } 1 \leq t \leq i, \\ 2 n-1-t & \text { if } i+1 \leq t \leq n-1, \\ 2 n-1 & \text { if } t=n,\end{cases}
$$

and the picture of the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is shown in Figure 9 ,


Figure 9. The Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1, n \leq j \leq 2 n-1-i$.

Then, $\alpha \in I^{(i, j)}$ is one of the following forms

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k<\ell \leq i), \\
x_{k}-x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k \leq i<\ell \leq n), \\
x_{k}+x_{\ell} & (1 \leq k \leq i, 2 n-j \leq \ell \leq n), \\
x_{k} \pm x_{\ell} & (i<k<\ell \leq n) . \tag{5.21}
\end{array}
$$

Using the formula (5.9), we show that $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \bmod \alpha$. We put $s=j-n$. Then $0 \leq s \leq n-1-i$ and $j=n+s$. If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.18), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n+s}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& -\left(\left(x_{\ell}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{\ell}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{\ell}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{\ell}+x_{n-s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\right) x_{\ell}^{-1} \\
\equiv 0 & \quad \bmod x_{k}-x_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.19), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n+s}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& -(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{n-s} \cdots x_{n}\left(x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x}_{\ell} \cdots x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-s}\right)\right. \\
& +(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2} \\
& \left.-(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k} x_{\ell}^{-1}\right)\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
\equiv & \bmod x_{k}-x_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.20), then $i<2 n-j \leq \ell$ because of the condition for Case 3. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n+s}^{D_{n}}(\alpha)= & \left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-s} x_{n-s}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \\
& +(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{n-s} \cdots x_{n}\left(x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x}_{\ell} \cdots x_{n}\right) \\
\equiv & \quad \bmod x_{k}+x_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is of the form (5.21), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, n+s}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) & =(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{n-s} \cdots x_{n}\left(x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n}\right) \pm(-1)^{n-i+1} x_{n-s} \cdots x_{n}\left(x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{\ell}} \cdots x_{n}\right) \\
& \equiv 0 \quad \bmod x_{k} \pm x_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4 Suppose that $i=n$ and $n \leq j \leq 2 n-1$. We put $r=2 n-1-j$. Then $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ and the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is given as follows

$$
h^{(i, j)}(t)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } 1 \leq t \leq r, \\ 2 n-1-t & \text { if } r+1 \leq t \leq n-1, \\ 2 n-1-r & \text { if } t=n .\end{cases}
$$

The picture of the Hessenberg function $h^{(i, j)}$ is shown in Figure 10 .


Figure 10. The Hessenberg function $h^{(n, 2 n-1-r)}$ with $0 \leq r \leq n-1$.
Similarly to Case 2 , one can see that $\psi_{n, 2 n-1-r}^{D_{n}}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \bmod \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in I^{(i, j)}$.
Therefore, it follows from Cases $1,2,3,4$ that $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ is an element of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{(i, j)}}\right)$, and this completes the proof.

Theorem 5.6. The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \leq j \leq 2 n-i-1\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{n, j}^{D_{n}} \mid n \leq\right.$ $j \leq 2 n-1\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $D_{n}$.
Proof. From Proposition 5.5 we have $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{D_{n}} \mid i \in[n]\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal I. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}\right)=j-i$, it is enough to prove that $\psi_{1, h(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ for any Hessenberg function $h$ for type $D_{n}$ by Theorem 2.1. We prove this by splitting into two cases. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{h}^{D_{n}}:=\min \{i \in[n-1] \mid h(i) \geq n-1\} . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1 Suppose that $h(n) \geq 2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1$. We prove the linear independence of $\psi_{1, h(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ by induction on $n$. As the base case $n=4$, the claim is straightforward. Now we assume that $n>4$ and the claim holds for $n-1$. We first note that a Hessenberg function $h$ for type $D_{n}$ induces the Hessenberg function $h^{\prime}$ for type $D_{n-1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(i-1) & =h(i)-1 \quad \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
h^{\prime}(n-1) & =\min \{h(n)-1,2 n-3\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The picture of $h^{\prime}$ is obtained from that of $h$ by removing the 1 -st row. One see that $i_{h^{\prime}}^{D_{n-1}} \geq i_{h}^{D_{n}}$, so we have $h^{\prime}(n-1) \geq 2(n-1)-i_{h^{\prime}}^{D_{n-1}}-1$. That is, $h^{\prime}$ is a Hessenberg function for type $D_{n-1}$ satisfying the condition for Case 1.

We consider the following surjection

$$
\begin{align*}
\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \partial_{k} & \longrightarrow \quad \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} \mathbb{R}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \partial_{k}  \tag{5.23}\\
\Psi & \uplus \\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \partial_{k} & \longmapsto \sum_{k=2}^{n} f_{k}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \partial_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

and the image of $\psi$ under the map is denoted by $\bar{\psi}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}}=\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)  \tag{5.24}\\
& \overline{\psi_{n, j}^{D_{n}}}= \begin{cases}\psi_{n-1, j-1}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \text { for } i \in[n-1], i \leq j \leq 2 n-1-i, \\
0 & \text { if } j=2 n-1], i \leq j \leq 2 n-1-i,\end{cases} \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \psi_{k, h(k)}^{D_{n}}=0 \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that all $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)(1 \leq k \leq n)$ have no common divisor.
Case 1-1 Assume that $h(1)<n-1$. Then we have $\psi_{0, h(1)-1}^{D_{n-1}}=0$ and $h(n)<2 n-1$ by the definition of the Hessenberg function. Applying the map in (5.23) to both sides of (5.26), we obtain from (5.24) and (5.25)

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{n} f_{k}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \psi_{k-1, h^{\prime}(k-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

By the inductive assumption, we obtain $f_{k}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=2, \ldots, n$. Hence, we can write $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} f_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for some $f_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Comparing the coefficient of $\partial_{1}$ in (5.26), we have

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{h(1)}\right)+x_{1}\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\cdots\right)=0
$$

Substituting $x_{1}=0$ into the equation above, we obtain

$$
f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(-x_{h(1)}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence, we have $f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ which implies $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is divisible by $x_{1}$. However, all $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ have no common divisor, so $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$.
Case 1-2 Assume that $h(1)=n-1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0, h(1)-1}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=2}^{n} x_{2} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k}=(-1)^{n-1} \psi_{n-1,2 n-3}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h(1)=n-1$, we have $i_{h}^{D_{n}}=1$. Thus, $h(n) \geq 2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1=2 n-2$, i.e. $h(n)=2 n-1$ or $h(n)=2 n-2$.

If $h(n)=2 n-1$, then $\overline{\psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}}=0$ from (5.25) and $h^{\prime}(n-1)=2 n-3$. Applying the map in (5.23) to both sides of (5.26), we obtain from (5.27) and (5.24)

$$
(-1)^{n-1} f_{1}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \psi_{n-1, h^{\prime}(n-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{k}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \psi_{k-1, h^{\prime}(k-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

By the inductive assumption, we obtain $f_{k}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence, we can write $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} f_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for some $f_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Comparing the coefficient of $\partial_{1}$ in (5.26), we have

$$
x_{1}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\cdots\right)+f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{2} \cdots x_{n}=0 .
$$

Substituting $x_{1}=0$ into the equation above, we obtain $f_{n}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ which implies $f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is divisible by $x_{1}$. Therefore, $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$ since all $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ have no common divisor.

If $h(n)=2 n-2$, then $h^{\prime}(n-1)=2 n-3$. Hence by (5.25), $\overline{\psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}}=\psi_{n-1, h^{\prime}(n-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Applying the map in (5.23) to both sides of (5.26), we obtain from (5.27) and (5.24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((-1)^{n-1} f_{1}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right)+f_{n}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \psi_{n-1, h^{\prime}(n-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{k}\left(0, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}\right) \psi_{k-1, h^{\prime}(k-1)}^{D_{n-1}}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the inductive assumption, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n} f_{n}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)  \tag{5.28}\\
& f_{k}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } k=2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we can write $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} f_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $k=2, \ldots, n-1$. Comparing the coefficient of $\partial_{1}$ in (5.26),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{-1} \\
& +x_{1}\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\cdots\right)+f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left((-1)^{n}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)+x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{-1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $x_{1}=0$ into the equation above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left(-x_{2}\right) \cdots \widehat{\left(-x_{k}\right)} \cdots\left(-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(-x_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& +(-1)^{n} f_{n}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(-x_{2}\right) \cdots \widehat{\left(-x_{k}\right)} \cdots\left(-x_{n}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (5.28), we have $2 f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(-x_{n-1}\right)=0$ which implies that $f_{1}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{n}\left(0, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$. From this together with (5.29), we conclude $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$ because all $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ have no common divisor. Case 1-3 Assume that $h(1) \geq n$. It follows from similar discussion on Case 1-2 that $f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$.
Case 2 Suppose that $h(n) \leq 2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1$. We prove the linear independence of $\psi_{1, h(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ by induction on $m_{h}:=2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1-h(n)$. As the base case $m_{h}=0$, namely $h(n)=2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1$, the claim follows from Case 1 . Now we assume that $m_{h}>0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(n)<2 n-i_{h}^{D_{n}}-1 \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the claim holds for any Hessenberg function $\tilde{h}$ for type $D_{n}$ with $m_{\tilde{h}}=m_{h}-1$.
For the given Hessenberg function $h$ with (5.30), we can define a Hessenberg function $\tilde{h}$ by

$$
\tilde{h}(i)= \begin{cases}h(i) & \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1, \\ h(n)+1 & \text { for } i=n\end{cases}
$$

By the definition we have $i_{h}^{D_{n}}=i_{\tilde{h}}^{D_{n}}$, so $m_{\tilde{h}}=m_{h}-1$. Hence, $\psi_{1, \tilde{h}(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n, \tilde{h}(n)}^{D_{n}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ by the inductive assumption. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k} \psi_{k, h(k)}^{D_{n}}=0 \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Since $\psi_{n, \tilde{h}(n)}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{n, \tilde{h}(n)} \psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{\tilde{h}(n)-n} \psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}}$ by (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{k} \psi_{k, \tilde{h}(k)}^{D_{n}}+f_{n} \psi_{n, \tilde{h}(n)}^{D_{n}}=(-1)^{\tilde{h}(n)-n} f_{n} \psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}}, \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{k}=\alpha_{n, \tilde{h}(n)} f_{k}$ for $k \in[n-1]$. We show that $\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\psi_{1, \tilde{h}(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n-1, \tilde{h}(n-1)}^{D_{n}}$ over $\mathcal{R}$. We first note that $1 \leq 2 n-\tilde{h}(n) \leq n$ because $n \leq \tilde{h}(n) \leq 2 n-1$ by the definition (2) of a Hessenberg function. If $2 n-\tilde{h}(n)=n$, then $n \leq h(n)=\tilde{h}(n)-1=n-1$, yielding a contradiction. If $2 n-\tilde{h}(n)=1$, then $h(n)=\tilde{h}(n)-1=2 n-2$. The assumption (5.30) now implies $i_{h}^{D_{n}}<1$, a contradiction. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \leq 2 n-\tilde{h}(n) \leq n-1  \tag{5.33}\\
& 1 \leq 2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1 \leq n-2 \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition (5.22) and assumption (5.30), we have $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)) \geq h\left(i_{h}^{D_{n}}\right) \geq n-1$ and $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1) \geq h\left(i_{h}^{D_{n}}\right) \geq n-1$. Also, by the definition (5) of a Hessenberg function we have $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)) \leq n$ and $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1) \leq n$. Thus, both of the values $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))$ and $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1)$ are equal to $n-1$ or $n$.

If $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))=n$, then it follows from (5.33) and the definition of $\tilde{h}$ that

$$
\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), \tilde{h}(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))}^{D_{n}} .
$$

If $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))=n-1$, then we have $h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1)=n-1$ by the definition (3) of a Hessenberg function. From (5.33), (5.34), and the definition of $\tilde{h}$ together with (5.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}} & =\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, n} \psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n-1}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1, h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1)}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, n} \psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), h(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1, \tilde{h}(2 n-\tilde{h}(n)-1)}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, n} \psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), \tilde{h}(2 n-\tilde{h}(n))}^{D_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\psi_{2 n-\tilde{h}(n), n}^{D_{n}}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\psi_{1, \tilde{h}(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n-1, \tilde{h}(n-1)}^{D_{n}}$ over $\mathcal{R}$. This together with (5.32) implies $f_{n}=0$ because $\psi_{1, h}^{D_{n}}(1), \ldots, \psi_{n, h}^{D_{n}}(n)$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ by the inductive assumption. Hence by (5.31), we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_{k} \psi_{k, h(k)}^{D_{n}}=$ 0 . However, since $\psi_{k, h(k)}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{k, h}^{D_{n}}$. for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, from the $\mathcal{R}$-linearly independence of $\psi_{1, \tilde{h}(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n-1, \tilde{h}(n-1)}^{D_{n}}$ we obtain $f_{k}=0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Therefore, we proved that $\psi_{1, h(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, \psi_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}$ for any Hessenberg function $h$ for type $D_{n}$ by Cases 1 and 2, and this completes the proof.

The derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ are uniform bases and have an explicit formula. Unfortunately, they are not of the form (4.7) because of the form of the derivations $\psi_{0, j}$ for $n \leq j \leq 2 n-3$. In order to give uniform bases $\tilde{\psi}_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ of the form (4.7), we need to modify $\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}$ a little bit. As the base case, when $j=i$, we define

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i}^{D_{n}}=\psi_{i, i}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { for } i \in[n] .
$$

Proceeding inductively, we define for $i=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{1, j}^{D_{n}} & =\alpha_{1, j} \tilde{\psi}_{1, j-1}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { if } 1<j \leq 2 n-2 \text { with } j \neq n-1, n, \\
\tilde{\psi}_{1, n-1}^{D_{n}} & =\alpha_{1, n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{1}^{D_{n}}, \\
\tilde{\psi}_{1, n}^{D_{n}} & =\alpha_{1, n} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{0}^{D_{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $1<i \leq n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, j}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, j-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \tilde{\psi}_{i, j-1}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { if } i<j \text { with } j \neq n-1, \\
\tilde{\psi}_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{i, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{i}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { if } i<n-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $i=n$

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{n, j}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{n, j} \tilde{\psi}_{n, j-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{j-n} \tilde{\psi}_{2 n-j, n}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1 .
$$

Lemma 5.7. For all $(i, j)$, we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{i, j}^{D_{n}} \equiv \psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}} \quad \bmod D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}}\right)
$$

In particular, $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{D_{n}} \mid i \in[n]\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I \subset \Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}$.
Proof. Since $\psi_{0, n-1}$ is an element of $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}}\right)$, so is $\psi_{0, j}$ for $n \leq j \leq 2 n-3$. From this together with the recursive formulas for $\left\{\psi_{i, j}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_{i, j}\right\}$, we obtain that $\tilde{\psi}_{i, j} \equiv \psi_{i, j}$ $\bmod D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}}\right)$for all $(i, j)$. For the rest, it follows from Proposition 5.5 and $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}}\right) \subset$ $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$.
Lemma 5.8. The derivation $\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}$ in (5.6) holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{i}^{D_{n}} & =-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i+1, n} \psi_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{n-i} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, 2 n-1-i} \psi_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i+1, n} \tilde{\psi}_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{n-i} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, 2 n-1-i} \tilde{\psi}_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$.
Proof. For the second equality, it follows from the recursive formulas for $\left\{\psi_{i, j}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_{i, j}\right\}$ that $\psi_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}=\tilde{\psi}_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}$ and $\psi_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}}=\tilde{\psi}_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}}$.

We show the first equality. From the formulas (5.8) and (5.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i+1}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)+(-1)^{n-i-1} x_{i+2} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k} \\
& +(-1)^{n-i-1} \sum_{k=i+2}^{n} x_{i+2} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k}, \\
\psi_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i+1}\left((-1)^{n-i}\left(x_{k}-x_{i+2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)+x_{i+2} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k} \\
& +\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} x_{i+2} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\alpha_{i+1, n}=x_{i+1}-x_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n, 2 n-1-i}=x_{i+1}+x_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\alpha_{i+1, n} \psi_{i+1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{n-i} \alpha_{n, 2 n-1-i} \psi_{n, 2 n-2-i}^{D_{n}} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right) x_{k} \cdot 2 x_{n}-\left(x_{k}-x_{i+2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right) x_{n} \cdot 2 x_{i+1}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n-i} x_{i+2} \cdots x_{n} \cdot 2 x_{i+1}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k}+\left((-1)^{n-i} x_{i+2} \cdots x_{n} \cdot 2 x_{i+1}\right) x_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{i+1} \\
& +(-1)^{n-i} \sum_{k=i+2}^{n} x_{i+2} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \cdot 2 x_{i+1} \partial_{k} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(2\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{i+2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right) x_{n}+(-1)^{n-i} 2 x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \cdots x_{n}\right) x_{k}^{-1} \partial_{k} \\
& +(-1)^{n-i} 2\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{x_{k}} \cdots x_{n} \partial_{k}\right) \\
& =2 \xi_{i}^{D_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
For type $D_{n}$ we have $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{D_{n}}^{+}\right)=2 n-3$. Also, the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) for $m=2 k, 2 k+1$ is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}{[n-k-1] \cup\{n\}} & \text { if } 1 \leq m \leq n-1,  \tag{5.35}\\ {[n-k-1]} & \text { if } n \leq m \leq 2 n-3\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 5.9. The derivations $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 2 n-3, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ have the following expressions. As the base case $m=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-2, \\
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i}^{D_{n}}=2 \alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i=n-1, n .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $m$ with $1 \leq m \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i<n-m-1, \\
& \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& \quad \text { for } i=n-m-1, \\
& \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq n-m}}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& \quad \text { for } n-m \leq i \leq n-1, \\
& \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m}^{D_{n}}=(-1)^{m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $m$ with $n \leq m \leq 2 n-3$, we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
$$

Proof. When $m=0$, it is clear that $\tilde{\psi}_{i, i}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{i}^{*}$ for $i \in[n-2]$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{i, i}^{D_{n}}=2 \alpha_{i}^{*}$ for $i=n-1, n$. We prove the claim for $m>0$ by induction on $i$. As the base case $i=1$, if $1<j \leq 2 n-2$ with $j \neq n-1, n$, then

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{1, j}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{1, j} \tilde{\psi}_{1, j-1}^{D_{n}} .
$$

If $j=n-1$, then we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{1, n-1}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{1, n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{1}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{1, n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-2}^{D_{n}}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2, n} \tilde{\psi}_{2, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, 2 n-2} \tilde{\psi}_{n, 2 n-3}^{D_{n}}
$$

by Lemma 5.8. Similarly, if $j=n$, then

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{1, n}^{D_{n}}=\alpha_{1, n} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{0}^{D_{n}}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1, n} \tilde{\psi}_{1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{n} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, 2 n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{n, 2 n-2}^{D_{n}} .
$$

Now we assume that $i>1$ and the claim holds for $i-1$. We first consider the case $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. If $1 \leq i<n-m-1$, then by the inductive assumption we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
$$

If $i=n-m-1$, then from Lemma 5.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, i+m} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{i}^{D_{n}}=\tilde{\psi}_{n-m-2, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{n-m-1, n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m-1, n-2}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{n-m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\xi_{n-m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i=n-m$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, i+m} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}=\tilde{\psi}_{n-m-1, n-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n-m<i \leq n-1$, then by the inductive assumption

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq n-m}}^{i-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, j} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq n-m}}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i=n$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m}^{D_{n}}= & \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n}^{D_{n}} \\
= & \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}}+(-1)^{m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}}\right) \\
= & (-1)^{m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-1} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n-m, n} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m, n-1}^{D_{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n, n+m} \tilde{\psi}_{n, n+m-1}^{D_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider the case $n \leq m \leq 2 n-3$. Then, by the inductive assumption we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} & =\tilde{\psi}_{i-1, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}+\alpha_{i, i+m} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j, j+m} \tilde{\psi}_{j, j+m-1}^{D_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we proved the desired equalities.

Motivated by Proposition 5.9, we define a matrix $P_{m}^{D_{n}}$ of size $\left|\Lambda_{m}\right|=\lambda_{m}$ as follows. As the base case, when $m=0$,

$$
P_{0}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& & & 2 & \\
& & & & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $m$ with $1 \leq m<n-1$, when $m=2 k+1$ odd, we define

$$
P_{2 k+1}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & & & & & & & \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\underbrace{-1}_{n-2 k-3} \cdots \cdots & -1 & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \underbrace{0}_{k} \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and when $m=2 k+2$ even, we define

$$
P_{2 k+2}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & & & & & & & \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\underbrace{1}_{n-2 k-4} \cdots \cdots & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \underbrace{0}_{k} \cdots \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $m=n-1$, when $m=2 k+1$ odd, we define

$$
P_{n-1}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \underbrace{0}_{k} \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

and when $m=2 k+2$ even, we define

$$
P_{n-1}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \underbrace{0}_{k} & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $m$ with $n \leq m \leq 2 n-3$, we define

$$
P_{m}^{D_{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \\
1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

From Proposition 5.9 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}=P_{m}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m-1}^{D_{n}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $m$ with $1 \leq m \leq 2 n-3$ where we think of indices for rows and columns of the matrix $P_{m}$ as the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (5.35), and we arrange them as in increasing order. One can see that $\operatorname{det} P_{m}=1$ if $m \neq n-1$ and $\operatorname{det} P_{n-1}=\frac{1}{2}$, so we obtain $P_{m} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for all $1 \leq m \leq 2 n-3$. From this together with Lemma 5.7, we obtain the following theorem by Proposition 3.4 (see also Remark (3.5).

Theorem 5.10. The derivations $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 2 n-3, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the lower ideals of type $D_{n}$. Furthermore, $P_{m}^{D_{n}}(0 \leq m \leq 2 n-3)$ are the invertible matrices associated with the uniform bases $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 2 n-1, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$.
5.5. Type $G_{2}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the hyperplane in $V=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined by the linear function $x_{1}+$ $x_{2}+x_{3}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] /\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right) .
$$

We set the exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}$ as

$$
e_{1}=5, e_{2}=1
$$

We arrange all positive roots of $G_{2}$ as shown in Figure 11 .


Figure 11. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $G_{2}$.
In Figure 11 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{G_{2}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$,
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$. We denote positive roots by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1,2}=x_{1}-x_{2}, \quad \alpha_{1,3}=-x_{1}+x_{3}, \quad \alpha_{1,4}=-x_{2}+x_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{1,5}=x_{1}-2 x_{2}+x_{3}, \quad \alpha_{1,6}=-x_{1}-x_{2}+2 x_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{2,3}=-2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i, j} \preceq \alpha_{k, \ell}$ iff $i \geq k$ and $j \leq \ell$. The decomposition $\Phi_{G_{2}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \amalg \Phi_{2}^{+}$shows that all positive roots are decomposed by positive roots of each rows in Figure 11, A Hessenberg function for type $G_{2}$ is defined to be a function $h:\{1,2\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, 6\}$ satisfying the following conditions
(1) $1 \leq h(1) \leq 6$ and $2 \leq h(2) \leq 3$,
(2) if $h(1) \geq 3$, then $h(2)=3$.

Under the decomposition $\Phi_{G_{2}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{2}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{G_{2}}^{+}$ and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $G_{2}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

The uniform bases $\psi_{i, j}^{G_{2}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}$ given in [4] are defined as follows. Let $\bar{\partial}:=\partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}$. Then, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{G_{2}}=-\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}, \\
& \psi_{2,2}^{G_{2}}=\partial_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \bar{\partial} \\
& \psi_{1, j}^{G_{2}}=\left(\prod_{\ell=2}^{j} \alpha_{1, \ell}\right)\left(-\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}\right) \quad \text { for } 1<j \leq 6, \\
& \psi_{2,3}^{G_{2}}=x_{1}\left(\partial_{1}-\frac{1}{3} \bar{\partial}\right)+x_{2}\left(\partial_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \bar{\partial}\right)+x_{3}\left(\partial_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \bar{\partial}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.11. ([4, Theorem 10.17]) The derivations $\left\{\psi_{1,1}^{G_{2}}, \ldots, \psi_{1,6}^{G_{2}}, \psi_{2,2}^{G_{2}}, \psi_{2,3}^{G_{2}}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $G_{2}$.

For type $G_{2}$ the derivations $\psi_{i, j}^{G_{2}}$ satisfy the following recursive formula ([4])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{G_{2}}=-\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}, \\
& \psi_{2,2}^{G_{2}}=\partial_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \bar{\partial} \\
& \psi_{i, j}^{G_{2}}=\psi_{i-1, j-1}^{G_{2}}+\alpha_{i, j} \psi_{i, j-1}^{G_{2}} \quad \text { for }(i, j) \text { with } i<j \leq i+e_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we take the convention $\psi_{0, *}^{G_{2}}=0$ for any $*$. Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{G_{2}}^{+}\right)=5$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}\{1,2\} & \text { for } m=0,1 \\ \{1\} & \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq 5\end{cases}
$$

From the recursive formula above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{i, i}^{G_{2}}=\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \\
& \psi_{1, j}^{G_{2}}=\alpha_{1, j} \psi_{1, j-1}^{G_{2}} \quad \text { for } 1<j \leq 6, \\
& \psi_{2,3}^{G_{2}}=\alpha_{1,2} \psi_{1,1}^{G_{2}}+\alpha_{2,3} \psi_{2,2}^{G_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the invertible matrices $P_{m}^{G_{2}}$ associated with the uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{G_{2}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq\right.$ $\left.5, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ are given by

$$
P_{0}^{G_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{1}^{G_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{m}^{G_{2}}=(1) \quad(2 \leq m \leq 5) .
$$

5.6. Type $F_{4}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the euclidean space $V=\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and we have

$$
\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right] .
$$

We set the exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ as

$$
e_{1}=1, e_{2}=11, e_{3}=7, e_{4}=5
$$

We take the simple roots $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}\right), \alpha_{2}=x_{2}-x_{3}, \alpha_{3}=x_{3}-x_{4}, \alpha_{4}=x_{4}$ so that a labeling of the Dynkin diagram is as follows:



Figure 12. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $F_{4}$.
We arrange all positive roots of $F_{4}$ as shown in Figure 12 ,
In Figure 12 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}$is defined as follows:
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$, except that $\alpha, \beta$ are divided by a dotted line;
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$;
(3) if two roots $\alpha, \beta$ are divided by a dotted line, and a root $\gamma$ is immediately to the northwest of $\alpha$ and a root $\delta$ is immediately to the southeast of $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \delta$ and $\gamma \lessdot \beta$.


For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$.

Now we fix a decomposition $\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \amalg \Phi_{2}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{3}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{4}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). The positive roots $\alpha_{i, j}$ are defined as

| positive roots $\backslash j$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{2, j}$ |  | $x_{2}-x_{3}$ | $x_{2}-x_{4}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{2}+x_{4}$ |  |
| $\alpha_{3, j}$ |  |  | $x_{3}-x_{4}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{3}+x_{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)$ |
| $\alpha_{4, j}$ |  |  |  | $x_{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}\right)$ |


| positive roots $\backslash j$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1, j}$ |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| $\alpha_{2, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{1}+x_{4}$ | $x_{1}+x_{3}$ |
| $\alpha_{3, j}$ | $x_{1}-x_{2}$ | $x_{1}-x_{3}$ | $x_{1}-x_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\alpha_{4, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}\right)$ |  |  |  |

Motivated by this, we define the coordinate in type $F_{4}$ as shown in Figure 13.

| $(2,2)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(2,4)$ | $(2,5)$ | $(4,8)$ | $(2,7)$ | $(2,8)$ | $(3,10)$ | $(2,10)$ | $(2,11)$ | $(2,12)$ | $(2,13)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(3,3)$ | $(3,4)$ | $(3,5)$ | $(4,7)$ | $(2,6)$ | $(4,9)$ | $(3,9)$ | $(2,9)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(4,4)$ | $(4,5)$ | $(4,6)$ | $(3,6)$ | $(3,7)$ | $(3,8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 13. The coordinate in type $F_{4}$.

Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}\right)=11$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}\{1,2,3,4\} & \text { for } m=0,1  \tag{5.37}\\ \{2,3,4\} & \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq 5 \\ \{2,3\} & \text { for } m=6,7 \\ \{2\} & \text { for } 8 \leq m \leq 11\end{cases}
$$

For the decomposition $\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{2}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{3}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{4}^{+}$above, a Hessenberg function for type $F_{4}$ is defined to be a function $h:\{1, \ldots, 4\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, 13\}$ satisfying the following conditions
(1) $i \leq h(i) \leq i+e_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$,
(2) if $h(2) \geq k$, then $h(3) \geq k$ for $k=4,5,6,10$,
(3) if $h(3) \geq k$, then $h(4) \geq k$ for $k=5,7,9$,
(4) if $h(4) \geq k$, then $h(1)=2$ for $k=6$,
(5) if $h(4) \geq k$, then $h(3) \geq k-2$ for $k=7,9$,
(6) if $h(4) \geq k$, then $h(2) \geq k-3$ for $k=8,9$,
(7) if $h(2) \geq 8$, then $h(4)=9$,
(8) if $h(3) \geq 10$, then $h(2) \geq 8$.

Under this decomposition $\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{2}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{3}^{+} \coprod \Phi_{4}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $F_{4}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

Remark 5.12. A Hessenberg function $h$ such that $h(1)=1, h(2) \leq 7, h(3) \leq 6, h(4) \leq 5$ for type $F_{4}$ is exactly the Hessenberg function $h$ such that $h(1)=1$ for type $B_{4}$ which is naturally identified with that of type $B_{3}$.

We find uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{F_{4}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 11, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ inductively. As the base case, when $m=0$, we define

$$
\psi_{i, i}^{F_{4}}=\alpha_{i}^{*} \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3,4
$$

Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{F_{4}}=2 \partial_{1} \\
& \psi_{2,2}^{F_{4}}=\partial_{1}+\partial_{2} \\
& \psi_{3,3}^{F_{4}}=2 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3} \\
& \psi_{4,4}^{F_{4}}=3 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding inductively, for $m>0$ and $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, i+m}^{F_{4}}=\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j}^{(m)} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{F_{4}} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some rational numbers $p_{i j}^{(m)}$. We determine the rational numbers $p_{i j}^{(m)}$ such that all of the derivations $\psi_{i, i+m}$ form uniform bases. As the proof of Proposition 55.5, we consider the maximal lower ideal $I^{(i, i+m)}$ containing the root $\alpha_{i, i+m}$ with respect to the inclusion. Since $\psi_{i, i+m}^{F_{4}}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in I^{(i, i+m)}$, by (5.38) the following has to hold

$$
\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j}^{(m)} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{F_{4}}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \alpha
$$

Now we know an explicit formula for $\psi_{j, j+m-1}^{F_{4}}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m}\right)$ by inductive step, so we obtain a linear equation in $p_{i j}^{(m)}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m}\right)$ for each $\alpha \in I^{(i, i+m)}$. We computed a solution of the system of the linear equations in $p_{i j}^{(m)}\left(j \in \Lambda_{m}\right)$, and the result is given as follows. (We also checked the solution by using Maple ${ }^{6}$.) For each $m$ with $0 \leq m \leq 11=\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{F_{4}}^{+}\right)$, the matrix $P_{m}^{F_{4}}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}$ is given by
$P_{0}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{1}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{3}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1\end{array}\right)$,
$P_{4}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{5}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{6}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad P_{7}^{F_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$,
$P_{m}^{F_{4}}=(1) \quad(8 \leq m \leq 11)$.
Here, we arrange indices for rows and columns of the matrix $P_{m}^{F_{4}}$ as in increasing order. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\psi_{i, i}^{F_{4}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}} } & =P_{0}^{F_{4}}\left[\alpha_{i}^{*}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}} & \\
{\left[\psi_{i, i+m}^{F_{4}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} } & =P_{m}^{F_{4}}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{F_{4}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} & \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 11 .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that $\operatorname{det} P_{m}^{F_{4}} \neq 0$, so we obtain the following theorem by Proposition 3.4 (see also Remark 3.5).

Theorem 5.13. The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{F_{4}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 11, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $F_{4}$.
5.7. Type $E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$. We consider types $E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$ by case-by-case to compute the invertible matrices.
5.7.1. Type $E_{8}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{E_{8}}$ be the euclidean space $V=\mathbb{R}^{8}$ and we have

$$
\mathcal{R}_{E_{8}}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{E_{8}}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right] .
$$

We set the exponents $e_{1}^{E_{8}}, \ldots, e_{8}^{E_{8}}$ as

$$
e_{1}^{E_{8}}=19, e_{2}^{E_{8}}=29, e_{3}^{E_{8}}=23, e_{4}^{E_{8}}=13, e_{5}^{E_{8}}=11, e_{6}^{E_{8}}=7, e_{7}^{E_{8}}=1, e_{8}^{E_{8}}=17
$$

We take the simple roots $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}-x_{6}-x_{7}-x_{8}\right), \alpha_{2}=x_{2}-x_{3}, \alpha_{3}=$ $x_{3}-x_{4}, \alpha_{4}=x_{4}-x_{5}, \alpha_{5}=x_{5}-x_{6}, \alpha_{6}=x_{6}-x_{7}, \alpha_{7}=x_{7}-x_{8}, \alpha_{8}=x_{7}+x_{8}$ so that a labeling of the Dynkin diagram is as follows:


[^6]Then, the positive roots are the following forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i} \pm x_{j} \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq 8)  \tag{5.39}\\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1} \pm x_{2} \pm x_{3} \pm x_{4} \pm x_{5} \pm x_{6} \pm x_{7} \pm x_{8}\right) \tag{5.40}
\end{align*}
$$

We arrange all positive roots of $E_{8}$ as shown in Figure 14. For simplicity, we denote the positive root $x_{i} \pm x_{j}$ of the form (5.39) by $i \pm j$. Also, we denote by $\frac{1}{2}\left(i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}\right)$ the positive root of the form (5.40) such that coefficients of $x_{i}$ are positive for $i=i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$. For example, the notation $\frac{1}{2}(12478)$ means the positive root $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5}-\right.$ $x_{6}+x_{7}+x_{8}$ ). Using the simple notations above, we arrange all positive roots of $E_{8}$ as shown in Figure 14.


Figure 14. The arrangement of all positive roots for type $E_{8}$.
In Figure 14 the partial order $\preceq$ on $\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}$is defined as follows. In each area separated by dotted lines we have the following relation
(1) if a root $\alpha$ is left-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$,
(2) if a root $\alpha$ is lower-adjacent to a root $\beta$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.

For the blocks $(\bullet)$ and $(\bullet)$ with same shape where the symbol $\bullet$ means $a, b, \ldots, k$, we have the following relation
(3) if a root $\alpha$ is in the block $(\bullet)$ and $\beta$ is in the same place as $\alpha$ in the block $(\bullet)$, then $\alpha \lessdot \beta$.
For two positive roots $\alpha, \beta$, we define $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if there exist positive roots $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}$ such that $\alpha=\gamma_{0} \lessdot \gamma_{1} \lessdot \cdots \lessdot \gamma_{N}=\beta$.

Now we fix a decomposition $\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{8} \Phi_{i}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Using the simple notations above again, we define the positive roots $\alpha_{i, j}$ as follows:

| positive roots $\backslash j$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(178)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(168)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(158)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(148)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(138)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(137)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(136)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(135)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(125)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(124)$ |
| $\alpha_{2, j}$ |  | $2-3$ | $2-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-6$ | $2-7$ | $2-8$ | $2+7$ | $2+6$ | $2+5$ | $2+4$ |
| $\alpha_{3, j}$ |  |  | $3-4$ | $3-5$ | $3-6$ | $3-7$ | $3-8$ | $3+7$ | $3+6$ | $3+5$ | $3+4$ |
| $\alpha_{4, j}$ |  |  |  | $4-5$ | $4-6$ | $4-7$ | $4-8$ | $4+7$ | $4+6$ | $4+5$ | $\frac{1}{2}(145)$ |
| $\alpha_{5, j}$ |  |  |  |  | $5-6$ | $5-7$ | $5-8$ | $5+7$ | $5+6$ | $\frac{1}{2}(156)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(15678)$ |
| $\alpha_{6, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $6-7$ | $6-8$ | $6+7$ | $\frac{1}{2}(167)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(157)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(147)$ |
| $\alpha_{7, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{8, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7+8$ | $6+8$ | $5+8$ | $4+8$ |


|  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12478)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12468)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12458)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12457)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12456)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1245678)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1235678)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1234678)$ |  |
| $\alpha_{2, j}$ | $2+3$ | $\frac{1}{2}(123)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12378)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12368)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12358)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12348)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12347)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12346)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12345)$ |
| $\alpha_{3, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(134)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13478)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13468)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13458)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13457)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13456)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1345678)$ | $1-2$ | $1-3$ |
| $\alpha_{4, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(14578)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(14568)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(14567)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13567)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13467)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{5, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(14678)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13678)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13578)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(13568)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{6, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(146)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{7, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{8, j}$ | $3+8$ | $2+8$ | $\frac{1}{2}(128)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(127)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(126)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12678)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12578)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12568)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12567)$ |


|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{2, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1234578)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1234568)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1234567)$ | $1-8$ | $1+7$ | $1+6$ | $1+5$ | $1+4$ | $1+3$ | $1+2$ |
| $\alpha_{3, j}$ | $1-4$ | $1-5$ | $1-6$ | $1-7$ | $1+8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{4, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{5, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{6, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{7, j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha_{8, j}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12467)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12367)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12357)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(12356)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}\right)=29$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\} & \text { for } m=0,1,  \tag{5.41}\\ \{1,2,3,4,5,6,8\} & \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq 7, \\ \{1,2,3,4,5,8\} & \text { for } 8 \leq m \leq 11, \\ \{1,2,3,4,8\} & \text { for } 12 \leq m \leq 13, \\ \{1,2,3,8\} & \text { for } 14 \leq m \leq 17, \\ \{1,2,3\} & \text { for } 18 \leq m \leq 19, \\ \{2,3\} & \text { for } 20 \leq m \leq 23, \\ \{2\} & \text { for } 24 \leq m \leq 29 .\end{cases}
$$

For the decomposition $\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{8} \Phi_{i}^{+}$above, a Hessenberg function for type $E_{8}$ is defined to be a function $h^{E_{8}}:\{1, \ldots, 8\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, 31\}$ satisfying the following conditions
(1) $i \leq h^{E_{8}}(i) \leq i+e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 8$,
(2) if $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k$ for $k=11,12,20$,
(3) if $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k+1$ for $k=8,9,10,12, \ldots, 18$,
(4) if $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k+2$ for $k=10$,
(5) if $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k+4$ for $k=8,9$,
(6) if $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+6$ for $k=3, \ldots, 7,11,13,14,16,19$,
(7) if $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k-2$ for $k=14, \ldots, 17,22$,
(8) if $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$ for $k=4, \ldots, 12,25,26$,
(9) if $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+5$ for $k=9,19,20$,
(10) if $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k-3$ for $k=13,21,22,23$,
(11) if $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k-2$ for $k=24,25$,
(12) if $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k$ for $k=5, \ldots, 11,17$,
(13) if $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k+1$ for $k=14,15$,
(14) if $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+4$ for $k=9$,
(15) if $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k-2$ for $k=17$,
(16) if $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k$ for $k=6, \ldots, 10,13,16$,
(17) if $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k+1$ for $k=12$,
(18) if $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+3$ for $k=9$,
(19) if $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k-5$ for $k=14,15$,
(20) if $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k-2$ for $k=15,16$,
(21) if $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$ for $k=7,8,9,11,13$,
(22) if $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+2$ for $k=9$,
(23) if $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k-6$ for $k=10,11,12$,
(24) if $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k-3$ for $k=12,13$,
(25) if $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k-2$ for $k=11,13$,
(26) if $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(7) \geq k$ for $k=8$,
(27) if $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k+1$ for $k=9$,
(28) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(1) \geq k-8$ for $k=15,16,17,19,22,24,25$,
(29) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(2) \geq k-7$ for $k=14,16,17,23,24$,
(30) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(3) \geq k-6$ for $k=13$,
(31) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(4) \geq k-5$ for $k=12,21,22$,
(32) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(5) \geq k-4$ for $k=11,18,19,20$,
(33) if $h^{E_{8}}(8) \geq k$, then $h^{E_{8}}(6) \geq k-3$ for $k=10$.

Under this decomposition $\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{8} \Phi_{i}^{+}$, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $E_{8}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

By similar discussion on the case of type $F_{4}$, we obtain uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{8}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid\right.$ $\left.0 \leq m \leq 29, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ as follows. For each $m$ with $0 \leq m \leq 29=\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}\right)$, we define the $\operatorname{matrix} P_{m}^{E_{8}}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}$ by

$$
P_{0}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{1}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{3}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
2 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -2 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{4}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{2}{3} & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{6} \\
-2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{5}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{3}{16} & -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{32} \\
\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{4}{3} & 2 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\
2 & 3 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -3 & \frac{1}{4} \\
2 & 3 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & 1 & -3 & \frac{1}{4} \\
1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{8} \\
8 & -18 & -9 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{6}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{16} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{8}{3} & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 6 \\
16 & 18 & 3 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\
-8 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-4 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{7}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{16} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{8}{3} & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\
0 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-8 & -3 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{3}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{8}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{16} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{4}{3} & 2 & 1 & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{4} \\
-16 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\
-8 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{9}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} \\
-\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & -\frac{1}{24} & \frac{1}{24} \\
-\frac{2}{3} & 2 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\
-\frac{16}{3} & -8 & -4 & 1 & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} \\
-4 & -6 & -3 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
4 & 6 & 3 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{10}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\
-\frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \\
-\frac{16}{3} & 0 & -8 & 1 & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{8}{3} \\
-4 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{11}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-8 & 24 & -6 & \frac{3}{4} & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -6 & \frac{3}{4} & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{12}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{8}{3} & -8 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{6} \\
\frac{16}{3} & -16 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{3} \\
8 & -24 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{13}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -3 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{3}{16} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{4}{3} & -4 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\
\frac{16}{3} & -16 & 4 & 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{14}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -6 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \\
\frac{1}{6} & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{24} \\
\frac{2}{3} & -4 & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\
4 & -24 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{15}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{24} \\
\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{6} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{16}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 6 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2}{3} & 4 & 1 & \frac{1}{6} \\
0 & 24 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{17}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & 24 & 6 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{18}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{6} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{19}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -6 & \frac{3}{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{20}^{E_{8}}=P_{21}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{22}^{E_{8}}=P_{23}^{E_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{m}^{E_{8}}=(1)(24 \leq m \leq 29) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we think of indexes for rows and columns of the matrix $P_{m}^{E_{8}}$ as $\Lambda_{m}$ given in (5.41), and we arrange them as in increasing order. We set

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
{\left[\psi_{i, i}^{E_{8}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}}} & =P_{0}^{E_{8}}\left[\alpha_{i}^{*}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}} \\
{\left[\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{8}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}} & =P_{m}^{E_{8}}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{E_{8}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} & \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 29 .
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\psi_{i, i}^{E_{8}}=\alpha_{i}^{*}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{E_{8}}=2 \partial_{1} \\
& \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\partial_{1}+\partial_{2} \\
& \psi_{3,3}^{E_{8}}=2 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3} \\
& \psi_{4,4}^{E_{8}}=3 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4} \\
& \psi_{5,5}^{E_{8}}=4 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5} \\
& \psi_{6,6}^{E_{8}}=5 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6} \\
& \psi_{7,7}^{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}-\partial_{8}\right) \\
& \psi_{8,8}^{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(7 \partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}+\partial_{8}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check by using Maple that $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{E_{8}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 8\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I \subset \Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}$. From this together with $\operatorname{det} P_{m}^{E_{8}} \neq 0(1 \leq m \leq 29)$, we obtain the following theorem by Proposition 3.4 (see also Remark 3.5).

Theorem 5.14. The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{8}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 29, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $E_{8}$.
5.7.2. Type $E_{7}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{E_{7}}$ be the hyperplane in $\mathfrak{t}_{E_{8}}=\mathbb{R}^{8}$ defined by the linear function $\alpha_{2}^{*}=x_{1}+x_{2}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{t}_{E_{7}}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{8} \mid x_{1}+x_{2}=0\right\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathcal{R}_{E_{7}}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{E_{7}}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right] /\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) .
$$

Taking the exponents $e_{1}^{E_{7}}, e_{3}^{E_{7}}, \ldots, e_{8}^{E_{7}}$ as

$$
e_{1}^{E_{7}}=9, e_{3}^{E_{7}}=17, e_{4}^{E_{7}}=13, e_{5}^{E_{7}}=11, e_{6}^{E_{7}}=7, e_{7}^{E_{7}}=1, e_{8}^{E_{7}}=5,
$$

we define the positive root $\alpha_{i, j}\left(i=1,3, \ldots, 8, i<j \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{7}}\right)$ for $E_{7}$ by the $\alpha_{i, j}$ for $E_{8}$. The poset structure of the positive roots for type $E_{7}$ can be obtained from Figure 14 by removing the first row in Figure 14.

Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{E_{7}}^{+}\right)=17$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}\{1,3,4,5,6,7,8\} & \text { for } m=0,1  \tag{5.42}\\ \{1,3,4,5,6,8\} & \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq 5 \\ \{1,3,4,5,8\} & \text { for } m=6,7 \\ \{1,3,4,8\} & \text { for } m=8,9 \\ \{1,3,8\} & \text { for } m=10,11 \\ \{1,3\} & \text { for } m=12,13 \\ \{3\} & \text { for } 14 \leq m \leq 17\end{cases}
$$

A Hessenberg function $h^{E_{8}}$ for type $E_{8}$ such that $h^{E_{8}}(2)=2$ and $h^{E_{8}}(i) \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{7}}$ for $i=1,3, \ldots, 8$ is called a Hessenberg function for type $E_{7}$, denoted by $h^{E_{7}}$. We may omit the 2 nd value $h^{E_{7}}(2)$, namely $h^{E_{7}}$ is a function on $\{1,3, \ldots, 8\}$ given by $h^{E_{7}}(i)=$ $h^{E_{8}}(i)$ for some $h^{E_{8}}$ with $h^{E_{8}}(i) \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{7}}$ for $i=1,3, \ldots, 8$. Under the decomposition $\Phi_{E_{7}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \amalg\left(\coprod_{i=3}^{8} \Phi_{i}^{+}\right)$above, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{E_{7}}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $E_{7}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

To explain uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{7}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 17, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$, we first define the $\operatorname{matrix} P_{m}^{E_{7}}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}\left(0 \leq m \leq 17=h t\left(\Phi_{E_{7}}^{+}\right)\right)$by

$$
P_{0}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{1}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{3}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
2 & -4 & -4 & -2 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{4}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{2}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{6} \\
-2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
4 & 6 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{5}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\
8 & -9 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{6}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
16 & 6 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\
-8 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
-4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{7}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{4}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-8 & -3 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{8}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{8} \\
\frac{2}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\
-8 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
-8 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{9}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{8} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-8 & -12 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{10}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-12 & 1 & 1 \\
-12 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{11}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-12 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{12}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{1}{12} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{13}^{E_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
12 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{m}^{E_{7}}=(1) \quad(14 \leq m \leq 17) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we think of indices for rows and columns of the matrix $P_{m}^{E_{7}}$ as $\Lambda_{m}$ given in (5.42), and we arrange them as in increasing order. We set

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
{\left[\psi_{i, i}^{E_{7}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}}} & =P_{0}^{E_{7}}\left[\alpha_{i}^{*}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}}, & \\
{\left[\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{7}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}}} & =P_{m}^{E_{7}}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{E_{7}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} & \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 17 .
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\psi_{i, i}^{E_{7}}=\alpha_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,3, \ldots, 8$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{1,1}^{E_{8}}-\psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}, \\
& \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{3,3}^{E_{8}}-\frac{3}{2} \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{2}+\partial_{3}, \\
& \psi_{4,4}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{4,4}^{E_{8}}-2 \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{5,5}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{5,5}^{E_{8}}-\frac{5}{2} \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\frac{3}{2} \partial_{1}-\frac{3}{2} \partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{6,6}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{6,6}^{E_{8}}-3 \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=2 \partial_{1}-2 \partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}, \\
& \psi_{7,7}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{7,7}^{E_{8}}-\frac{3}{2} \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 \partial_{1}-2 \partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}-\partial_{8}\right), \\
& \psi_{8,8}^{E_{7}}=\psi_{8,8}^{E_{8}}-2 \psi_{2,2}^{E_{8}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \partial_{1}-3 \partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}+\partial_{8}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check by using Maple that $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{E_{7}} \mid i=1,3, \ldots, 8\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I \subset \Phi_{E_{7}}^{+}$. From this together with $\operatorname{det} P_{m}^{E_{7}} \neq 0(1 \leq m \leq 17)$, we obtain the following theorem by Proposition 3.4 (see also Remark 3.5).

Theorem 5.15. The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{7}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 17, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $E_{7}$.
5.7.3. Type $E_{6}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{E_{6}}$ be the hyperplane in $\mathfrak{t}_{E_{7}}$ defined by the linear function $\alpha_{3}^{*}=$ $\frac{1}{2} x_{1}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}+x_{3}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{t}_{E_{6}}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right) \in \mathfrak{t}_{E_{7}} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} x_{1}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}+x_{3}=0\right.\right\} .
$$

Here, we remark that $\alpha_{1}^{*}, \alpha_{3}^{*}, \ldots, \alpha_{8}^{*}$ is the dual basis of the simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3} \ldots, \alpha_{8}$ for type $E_{7}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{R}_{E_{6}}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{E_{6}}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right] /\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, \frac{1}{2} x_{1}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}+x_{3}\right) .
$$

Taking the exponents $e_{1}^{E_{6}}, e_{4}^{E_{6}}, \ldots, e_{8}^{E_{6}}$ as

$$
e_{1}^{E_{6}}=5, e_{4}^{E_{6}}=11, e_{5}^{E_{6}}=8, e_{6}^{E_{6}}=7, e_{7}^{E_{6}}=1, e_{8}^{E_{6}}=4,
$$

we define the positive root $\alpha_{i, j}\left(i=1,4, \ldots, 8, i<j \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{6}}\right)$ for $E_{6}$ by the $\alpha_{i, j}$ for $E_{7}$. The poset structure of the positive roots for type $E_{7}$ can be obtained from Figure 14 by removing the first row and the second row in Figure 14 ,

Note that $\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{E_{6}}^{+}\right)=11$ and the set $\Lambda_{m}$ in (3.6) is given by

$$
\Lambda_{m}= \begin{cases}\{1,4,5,6,7,8\} & \text { for } m=0,1  \tag{5.43}\\ \{1,4,5,6,8\} & \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq 4 \\ \{1,4,5,8\} & \text { for } m=5 \\ \{1,4,8\} & \text { for } m=6,7 \\ \{1,8\} & \text { for } m=8 \\ \{1\} & \text { for } 9 \leq m \leq 11\end{cases}
$$

A Hessenberg function $h^{E_{7}}$ for type $E_{7}$ such that $h^{E_{7}}(3)=3$ and $h^{E_{7}}(i) \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{6}}$ for $i=1,4, \ldots, 8$ is called a Hessenberg function for type $E_{6}$, denoted by $h^{E_{6}}$. We may omit the 3rd value $h^{E_{6}}(3)$, namely $h^{E_{6}}$ is a function on $\{1,4, \ldots, 8\}$ given by $h^{E_{6}}(i)=$ $h^{E_{7}}(i)$ for some $h^{E_{7}}$ with $h^{E_{7}}(i) \leq i+e_{i}^{E_{6}}$ for $i=1,4, \ldots, 8$. Under the decomposition $\Phi_{E_{6}}^{+}=\Phi_{1}^{+} \coprod\left(\coprod_{i=4}^{8} \Phi_{i}^{+}\right)$above, one can see that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{E_{6}}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $E_{6}$ are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3).

As well as type $E_{7}$, to give uniform bases $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{6}} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 11, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$, we first define the matrix $P_{m}^{E_{6}}=\left(p_{i j}^{(m)}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}\left(0 \leq m \leq 11=h t\left(\Phi_{E_{6}}^{+}\right)\right)$by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}^{E_{6}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), P_{1}^{E_{6}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{2}^{E_{6}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& 0
\end{aligned} 1
$$

Here, we think of indices for rows and columns of the matrix $P_{m}^{E_{6}}$ as $\Lambda_{m}$ given in (5.43), and we arrange them as in increasing order. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\psi_{i, i}^{E_{6}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}} } & =P_{0}^{E_{6}}\left[\alpha_{i}^{*}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}}, & \\
{\left[\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{6}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} } & =P_{m}^{E_{6}}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{E_{6}}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} & \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq 11 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\psi_{i, i}^{E_{6}}=\alpha_{i}^{*}$ for $i=1,4, \ldots, 8$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1,1}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{1,1}^{E_{7}}-\frac{2}{3} \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\frac{2}{3} \partial_{1}-\frac{2}{3} \partial_{2}-\frac{2}{3} \partial_{3}, \\
& \psi_{4,4}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{4,4}^{E_{7}}-\frac{4}{3} \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\frac{1}{3} \partial_{1}-\frac{1}{3} \partial_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \partial_{3}+\partial_{4}, \\
& \psi_{5,5}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{5,5}^{E_{7}}-\frac{5}{3} \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\frac{2}{3} \partial_{1}-\frac{2}{3} \partial_{2}-\frac{2}{3} \partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}, \\
& \psi_{6,6}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{6,6}^{E_{7}}-2 \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}-\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}, \\
& \psi_{7,7}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{7,7}^{E_{7}}-\psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}-\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}-\partial_{8}\right), \\
& \psi_{8,8}^{E_{6}}=\psi_{8,8}^{E_{7}}-\frac{4}{3} \psi_{3,3}^{E_{7}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{3} \partial_{1}-\frac{5}{3} \partial_{2}-\frac{5}{3} \partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{5}+\partial_{6}+\partial_{7}+\partial_{8}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check by using Maple that $\left\{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}^{E_{6}} \mid i=1,4, \ldots, 8\right\} \subset D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for any lower ideal $I \subset \Phi_{E_{6}}^{+}$. From this together with $\operatorname{det} P_{m}^{E_{6}} \neq 0(1 \leq m \leq 11)$, we obtain the following theorem by Proposition 3.4 (see also Remark 3.5).

Theorem 5.16. The derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{E_{6}} \mid 0 \leq m \leq 11, i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ form uniform bases for the ideal arrangements of type $E_{6}$.

## 6. Uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in a root subsystem

In this section we prove that uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in a root subsystem of given a root system can be obtained from that of the given root system. From this point of view, one can see that uniform bases of types $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ can be obtained from that of type $E_{8}$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{t}$ is a real euclidean space of dimension $n$ and $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ an irreducible root system. Under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ induced from the inner product (, ) on $\mathfrak{t}$, the image of a root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is denoted by $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}$. We also denote $\check{\beta} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ by the image of $\beta \in \mathfrak{t}$, that is,

$$
\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{t}^{*} ; \hat{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \alpha, \beta \mapsto \check{\beta} .
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be the simple roots and its dual basis is denoted by $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ in this section. Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $[n]$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$ a subspace of $\mathfrak{t}$ spanned by $\hat{\alpha}_{i}$ for $i \in S$. Note that $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$ is orthogonal to $\beta_{i}$ for $i \notin S$ :

$$
\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{t} \mid\left(\beta_{i}, x\right)=0 \text { for all } i \notin S\right\} .
$$

The inner product on $\mathfrak{t}$ naturally induces that on $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$. Then, the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime} \cong\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ via the inner products on $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$ respectively make the following commutative diagram:


Note that $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is isomorphic to the quotient space $\mathfrak{t}^{*} / \operatorname{span}\left\{\check{\beta}_{i} \mid i \notin S\right\}$. We denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the image of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ under the surjective map $\mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. We define $\Phi^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ as the image of a set $\left\{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha\left(\beta_{i}\right)=0\right.$ for $\left.i \notin S\right\}$ under the surjection $\mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. Then, $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a root system on $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ and we can take $\left\{\overline{\alpha_{i}} \mid i \in S\right\}$ as the simple roots of $\Phi^{\prime}$.

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ (resp. $e_{i}^{\prime}(i \in S)$ ) be the exponents of the Weyl group $W$ (resp. $W^{\prime}$ ) where $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are the Weyl groups associated with $(\mathfrak{t}, \Phi)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)$ respectively. A decomposition $\Phi^{+}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}^{+}$satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) induces the decomposition $\Phi^{\prime+}=$ $\coprod_{i \in S} \Phi_{i}^{\prime+}$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2)) where $\Phi_{i}^{\prime+}$ is defined as $\Phi_{i}^{\prime+}=\left\{\overline{\alpha_{i, i+1}}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_{i, i+e_{i}^{\prime}}}\right\}$ for $i \in S$. Let $\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym} \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \cong \mathcal{R} /\left(\breve{\beta}_{i} \mid i \notin S\right)$. Let $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid i \in\right.$ $[n]$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}\right\}$ be uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in $(\mathfrak{t}, \Phi)$ of the form in Theorem 4.1. We denote the invertible matrices associated with the uniform bases by $P_{m}$ for $0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$. Namely, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i} & =p_{i} \beta_{i} \quad \text { for } i \in[n], \\
\psi_{i, i+m} & =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \alpha_{j, j+m} \psi_{j, j+m-1} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ and $P_{m}=\left(p_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\Lambda_{m} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Then, we define $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{\prime} \in\right.$ Der $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}^{\prime} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{\prime} \mid i \in[n]$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{\prime} & =p_{i} \gamma_{i} \quad \text { for } i \in[n], \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime} & =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \overline{\alpha_{j, j+m}} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\gamma_{i} \in \mathfrak{t}^{\prime} \mid i \in S\right\}$ is the dual basis of the simple roots $\left\{\overline{\alpha_{i}} \in\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \mid i \in S\right\}$ for $\Phi^{\prime}$ with the convention $\gamma_{j}=0$ whenever $j \notin S$.
Lemma 6.1. Let $i$ and $j$ be positive integers with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \leq j \leq e_{i}$. Then,

$$
\psi_{i, j}^{\prime}(\bar{\alpha})=\overline{\psi_{i, j}(\alpha)}
$$

for any $\bar{\alpha} \in \Phi^{\prime}$.
Proof. Since we can take $\left\{\overline{\alpha_{k}} \mid k \in S\right\}$ as the simple roots of $\Phi^{\prime}$, it is enough to check that $\psi_{i, j}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{k}}\right)=\overline{\psi_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}$ for any $k \in S$. We prove this by induction on $m:=j-i$. As the base case, when $m=0$, we have

$$
\psi_{i, i}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{k}}\right)=p_{i} \gamma_{i}\left(\overline{\alpha_{k}}\right)=p_{i} \delta_{i k}=\overline{\psi_{i, i}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}
$$

Now we assume that $m>0$ and the claim holds for $m-1$. Then, for any $i \in[n]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{k}}\right) & =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \overline{\alpha_{j, j+m}} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \overline{\alpha_{j, j+m}} \overline{\psi_{j, j+m-1}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \quad \text { (by the inductive assumption) } \\
& =\overline{\psi_{i, i+m}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proposition 6.2. A set of derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}^{\prime} \mid i \in S\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $I^{\prime}$ be a lower ideal in $\Phi^{\prime+}$ and $h_{I^{\prime}}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the associated Hessenberg function. We first show that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$ for any $i \in S$. Namely, we prove that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime}(\bar{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime} \bar{\alpha}$ for any $\bar{\alpha} \in I^{\prime}$. Since we have $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime}(\bar{\alpha})=\overline{\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}(\alpha)}$ by Lemma 6.1, it is enough to prove that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha$. Let $I$ be a lower ideal in $\Phi^{+}$defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left\{\alpha_{i, j} \mid i \in S \text { and } \overline{\alpha_{i, j}} \in I^{\prime}\right\} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Hessenberg function $h_{I}$ associated with $I$ is given by

$$
h_{I}(i)= \begin{cases}h_{I^{\prime}}(i) & \text { if } i \in S, \\ i & \text { if } i \notin S\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid i \in[n]\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in $(\mathfrak{t}, \Phi)$, we have $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}(\alpha)=\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R} \alpha$. Hence, we obtain that $\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I^{\prime}}\right)$ for any $i \in S$.

From Theorem 2.1 it suffices to show that $\operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{j}}\right)\right)_{i, j \in S}$ is equal to $\prod_{\bar{\alpha} \in I^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}$ up to a non-zero scalar multiplication. Considering the lower ideal $I$ in (6.1), from Theorem [2.1] we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in[n]} \doteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} \alpha
$$

because $\left\{\psi_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R} \mid i \in[n]\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in $(\mathfrak{t}, \Phi)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\overline{\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)_{i, j \in[n]} \doteq\left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} \bar{\alpha}\right)=\left(\prod_{\bar{\alpha} \in I^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{R}^{\prime} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second equality follows from the definition (6.1). Noting that $\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=$ $\psi_{i, i}\left(\alpha_{j}\right) \doteq \delta_{i j}$ for $i \notin S$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in[n]} \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in S} \doteq \operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in S}
$$

From this together with (6.2) we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\overline{\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)_{i, j \in S} \doteq\left(\prod_{\bar{\alpha} \in I^{\prime}} \bar{\alpha}\right) .
$$

But the left hand side coincides with $\operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{i, h_{I^{\prime}}(i)}^{\prime}\left(\overline{\alpha_{j}}\right)\right)_{i, j \in S}$ by Lemma6.1, so this completes the proof.

We can apply Proposition 6.2 to type $E$. Let $\psi_{i, j}^{E}=\psi_{i, j}^{E_{8}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$ and $i \leq j \leq e_{i}^{E_{8}}$. For each $m$ with $0 \leq m \leq 29=\operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi_{E_{8}}^{+}\right)$, we denote by $P_{m}^{E}=\left(p_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Lambda_{m}}$ the matrix $P_{m}^{E_{8}}$ in Section 5.7 .1 for simplicity. We define $\psi_{i, j}^{\prime E}$ and $\psi_{i, j}^{\prime \prime E}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 8$ and $i \leq j \leq e_{i}^{E_{8}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, i}^{\prime E} & = \begin{cases}\psi_{i, i}^{E_{7}} & \text { if } i=1,3,4,5,6,7,8, \\
0 & \text { if } i=2,\end{cases} \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime E} & =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \overline{\alpha_{j, j+m}} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m}, \\
\psi_{i, i}^{\prime \prime E} & = \begin{cases}\psi_{i, i}^{E_{6}} & \text { if } i=1,4,5,6,7,8, \\
0 & \text { if } i=2,3,\end{cases} \\
\psi_{i, i+m}^{\prime \prime E} & =\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{m}} p_{i j} \overline{\alpha_{j, j+m}} \psi_{j, j+m-1}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6.3. A set of derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{\prime E} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}_{E_{7}} \mid i=1,3,4,5,6,7,8\right.$ and $i \leq j \leq$ $\left.e_{i}^{E_{7}}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in type $E_{7}$. A set of derivations $\left\{\psi_{i, j}^{\prime \prime E} \in \operatorname{Der} \mathcal{R}_{E_{6}} \mid i=1,4,5,6,7,8\right.$ and $\left.i \leq j \leq e_{i}^{E_{6}}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the ideal arrangements in type $E_{6}$.

## 7. The cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties

The logarithmic derivation modules $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ for the lower ideals $I$ have a surprising connection with the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties by the work of [4]. Originally, explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in type $A_{n-1}$ is given by [1] using the localization technique. Motivated by this work, uniform bases for the lower ideals of types $A_{n-1}, B_{n}, C_{n}, G_{2}$ are constructed in [4]. In this section we first introduce the Hessenberg varieties. We then explain the work of [4] and an explicit presentation of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types. Throughout this paper, all cohomology rings will be taken with real coefficients.
Let $G$ be a semisimple linear algebraic group of rank $n$. We fix a Borel subgroup $B$ of $G$ and a maximal torus $T$ included in $B$. The Lie algebras of $G$ and $B$ are denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$, respectively. A Hessenberg space $H$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-submodule of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$. One can see that there is one-to-one correspondence between the set of lower ideals and the set of Hessenberg spaces which sends $I \subset \Phi^{+}$by

$$
H(I):=\mathfrak{b} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ is the root space for a root $\alpha$. The Hessenberg variety $\operatorname{Hess}(X, I)$ associated with an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and a lower ideal $I \subset \Phi^{+}$is defined as the following subvariety of the flag variety $G / B$ :

$$
\operatorname{Hess}(X, I):=\left\{g B \in G / B \mid \operatorname{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right)(X) \in H(I)\right\} .
$$

In what follows, we concentrate on Hessenberg varieties $\operatorname{Hess}(N, I)$ for a regular nilpotent element $N$ and we call them regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties. Here, we recall that an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent if $\operatorname{ad}(X)$ is nilpotent, i.e., $\operatorname{ad}(X)^{k}=0$ for some $k>0$. An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is regular if its $G$-orbit of the adjoint action has the largest possible dimension.

Let $\hat{T}$ be the character group of $T$. Since any $\alpha \in \hat{T}$ extends to a character of $B, \alpha$ defines a complex line bundle $L_{\alpha}:=G \times_{B} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ where $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ is the one-dimensional $B$-module via $\alpha$. We may regard $\hat{T}$ as an additive group so that $\hat{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is identified with the dual space $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ of the Lie algebra of the maximal compact torus. To each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ we can assign the Euler class $e\left(L_{-\alpha}\right) \in H^{2}(G / B)$. This assignment induces a ring homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(G / B) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which doubles the grading on $\mathcal{R}$. According to Borel's theorem [5], the map $\varphi$ is surjective and its kernel is the ideal generated by $W$-invariants in $\mathcal{R}$ with zero constant term. Here, $W$ is the Weyl group. Composing $\varphi$ with the restriction map $H^{*}(G / B) \rightarrow H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, I))$, we have a ring homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{I}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, I)) . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $\varphi_{I}$ is surjective from the result of [4] (Theorem 7.1] below). Moreover, its kernel can be described in terms of the logarithmic derivation module $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$. We can identify $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ via the Killing form which implies the isomorphism $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t} \cong \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*}$. Composing the isomorphism with the multiplication map $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, we obtain an $\mathcal{R}$-module map

$$
q: \text { Der } \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t} \cong \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}
$$

We define an ideal $\mathfrak{a}(I)$ as the image of the logarithmic derivation module $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)$ under the map $q$ :

$$
\mathfrak{a}(I):=q\left(D\left(\mathcal{A}_{I}\right)\right) .
$$

Theorem 7.1 ([4]). The map $\varphi_{I}$ in (7.2) is surjective and its kernel coincides with the ideal $\mathfrak{a}(I)$. In particular, $\varphi_{I}$ induces the ring isomorphism

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, I)) \cong \mathcal{R} / \mathfrak{a}(I)
$$

From Theorem 7.1 together with explicit uniform bases for the lower ideals, we obtain an explicit presentation of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types. In fact, motivated by the explicit presentation given in [1, Theorem A] for type $A_{n-1}$, in [4] the explicit presentation for types $A_{n-1}, B_{n}, C_{n}, G_{2}$ are obtained from this perspective ([4, Corollary 10.4, Corollary 10.10, Corollary 10.15, Corollary 10.18]). We now state that an explicit presentation of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types which includes that of [1, 4] for types $A_{n-1}, B_{n}, C_{n}, G_{2}$.

Let $\varpi_{1}, \ldots, \varpi_{n}$ be the fundamental weights. In what follows, $L$ means either of Lie types $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n} . E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, F_{4}, G_{2}$. Let $\alpha_{i, j}^{L}$ be the positive root for type $L$ defined in Section 55 Using the invertible matrices $P_{m}^{L}$ for type $L$ defined in Section [5, we define inductively polynomials $f_{i, i+m}^{L} \in \mathcal{R}$ for $0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right)$and $i \in \Lambda_{m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[f_{i, i}^{L}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}} } & =\tilde{P}_{0}^{L}\left[\varpi_{i}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{0}}, \\
{\left[f_{i, i+m}^{L}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} } & =P_{m}^{L}\left[\alpha_{i, i+m}^{L} \psi_{i, i+m-1}^{L}\right]_{i \in \Lambda_{m}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{P_{0}^{L}}$ is the diagonal matrix with entries $\frac{2 p_{1}}{\left\|\alpha_{1}\right\|^{2}}, \ldots, \frac{2 p_{n}}{\left\|\alpha_{n}\right\|^{2}}$ and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are the entries of the diagonal matrix $P_{0}^{L}$. Noting that $q\left(\alpha_{i}^{*}\right)=\frac{2}{\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|^{2}} \varpi_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i, i+m}^{L}=q\left(\psi_{i, i+m}^{L}\right) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq m \leq \operatorname{ht}\left(\Phi^{+}\right) \text {and } i \in \Lambda_{m} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for only type $L=D_{n}$ we think of the equality (7.3) as $f_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}=q\left(\tilde{\psi}_{i, i+m}^{D_{n}}\right)$.
Recall that the set of lower ideals $I \subset \Phi_{L}^{+}$and the set of Hessenberg functions $h$ for type $L$ defined in Section 5 are in one-to-one correspondence which sends $I$ to $h_{I}$ in (3.3). We denote a regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety $\operatorname{Hess}(N, I)$ by $\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)$ where $h$ is corresponding to the lower ideal $I$, i.e. $h=h_{I}$. Since $\left\{\psi_{i, i+m}^{L} \mid 0 \leq m \leq h t\left(\Phi^{+}\right), i \in \Lambda_{m}\right\}$ forms uniform bases for the lower ideals of type $L$, we obtain the explicit presentation of the cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in all Lie types from Theorem 7.1 and (7.3).

Corollary 7.2. Let $h$ be a Hessenberg function for type $L$ and $\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)$ the associated regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety for type L. Then, the following ring isomorphism holds

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)) \cong \mathcal{R} /\left(f_{1, h(1)}^{L}, \ldots, f_{n, h(n)}^{L}\right)
$$

Remark 7.3. The regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety $\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)$ for the special case when $h(i)=i+1$ for $i \in[n]$ is called the Peterson variety, denoted by Pet. An explicit presentation of $H^{*}(P e t)$ is given by [8] as follows:

$$
H^{*}(P e t) \cong \mathcal{R} /\left(\alpha_{i} \varpi_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right)
$$

One can see that Corollary 7.2 generalizes the explicit presentation above.

The polynomials $f_{i, j}^{L}$ have the explicit formula for types $L=A_{n-1}, B_{n}, C_{n}, G_{2}$ by [1, 4]. For type $D_{n}$ we also have an explicit formula for $g_{i, j}^{D_{n}}:=q\left(\psi_{i, j}^{D_{n}}\right)$ because $q$ sends $\partial_{i}$ to $x_{i}$. That is, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i, j}^{D_{n}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{j}\right) x_{k} \quad \text { for } i \leq j \leq n-2(i \neq n-1), \\
g_{i, n-1}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right)\right)+(-1)^{n-i} n x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n} \\
g_{i, n+j}^{D_{n}}= & \sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\left(x_{k}-x_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{k}+x_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}+x_{n-j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{n-i+1} n x_{i+1} \cdots x_{n-1-j} x_{n-j}^{2} \cdots x_{n}^{2} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1-i .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
g_{n, 2 n-1-r}^{D_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left((-1)^{n-r+1}\left(x_{k}-x_{r+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)\right)+n x_{r+1} \cdots x_{n}
$$

for $0 \leq r \leq n-1$.
From Theorems 5.6 and 7.1 we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 7.4. Let $h$ be a Hessenberg function for type $D_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)$ the associated regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety for type $D_{n}$. Then, the following ring isomorphism holds

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)) \cong \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(g_{1, h(1)}^{D_{n}}, \ldots, g_{n, h(n)}^{D_{n}}\right)
$$

Also, we can describe systematically explicit presentations of the cohomology rings of the regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties for type $E$. Using notations in Section 6, we define $g_{i, j}^{E}=q\left(\psi_{i, j}^{E}\right), g_{i, j}^{\prime E}=q\left(\psi_{i, j}^{\prime E}\right), g_{i, j}^{\prime \prime E}=q\left(\psi_{i, j}^{\prime E}\right)$. Note that $g_{i, j}^{E}=f_{i, j}^{E_{8}}$. One can see inductively that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i, j}^{\prime E} \equiv g_{i, j}^{E} & \bmod g_{2,2}^{E}, \\
g_{i, j}^{\prime \prime E} \equiv g_{i, j}^{E} & \bmod \left(g_{2,2}^{E}, g_{3,3}^{E}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with Corollary 6.3 implies the following corollary. Recall that Hessenberg functions $h^{E_{7}}$ and $h^{E_{6}}$ for types $E_{7}$ and $E_{6}$ respectively satisfy $h^{E_{7}}(2)=2$ and $h^{E_{6}}(2)=$ $2, h^{E_{6}}(3)=3$.

Corollary 7.5. Let $h$ be a Hessenberg function for type $E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$ and $\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)$ the associated regular nilpotent Hessenberg variety for type E. Then, the following ring isomorphism holds

$$
H^{*}(\operatorname{Hess}(N, h)) \cong \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right] /\left(g_{1, h(1)}^{E}, \ldots, g_{8, h(8)}^{E}\right)
$$
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[^0]:    Key words and phrases. ideal arrangements, logarithmic derivation modules, Hessenberg varieties.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A Hessenberg function $h$ for type $A_{n-1}$ is usually defined on the set [ $n$ ]. More specifically, $h:[n] \rightarrow[n]$ is a Hessenberg function for type $A_{n-1}$ if $h$ is weakly increasing and $h(i) \geq i$ for $i \in[n]$. We have $h(n)=n$ by the definition, so we may omit the $n$-th value of $h$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ We remark that the notation $\alpha_{i, 2 n+1-i}$ is used for $x_{i}$ in [4.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The derivation $\psi_{i, 2 n+1-i}^{C_{n}}$ in (5.2) is $\psi_{i, 2 n+1-i}^{C}$ defined in [4] up to scalar multiplication.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that statements in this subsection valid for $n \geq 2$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ The condition (5) is true for $i=n-1$ because $h(n-1)=n-1$ or $h(n-1)=n$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ The program is available at https://researchmap.jp/ehrhart/Database/.

