

THE BLOW UP OF SOLUTIONS TO SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS ON ASYMPTOTICALLY EUCLIDEAN MANIFOLDS

MENGYUN LIU AND CHENGBO WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the problem of blow up and sharp upper bound estimates of the lifespan for the solutions to the semilinear wave equations, posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. Here the metric is assumed to be exponential perturbation of the spherical symmetric, long range asymptotically Euclidean metric. One of the main ingredients in our proof is the construction of (unbounded) positive entire solutions for $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}\phi_\lambda = \lambda^2\phi_\lambda$, with certain estimates which are uniform for small parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. In addition, our argument works equally well for semilinear damped wave equations, when the coefficient of the dissipation term is integrable (without sign condition) and space-independent.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Local well posedness	6
3. Positive entire solutions to the equation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}\phi = \lambda^2\phi$	7
3.1. Asymptotic behavior for certain ordinary differential equation	8
3.2. Radial entire solutions to the elliptic equation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1}\phi = \lambda^2\phi$	9
3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.1	12
4. Blow up in subcritical case	13
4.1. Change of variable	13
4.2. Proof of the subcritical blow up	14
4.3. Proof of (4.6)-(4.7)	14
5. The Blow up of semilinear wave equations in critical case	17
5.1. Preparation	17
5.2. Test functions and proof of blow up	18
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate the problem of blow up and sharp upper bound estimates of the lifespan for the solutions to the semilinear wave equations, posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. In addition, our argument works equally well for semilinear damped wave equations, when the coefficient of the dissipation term is integrable (without sign condition) and space-independent.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L05, 35L71, 35B44, 35B33, 35B40, 35B30.

Key words and phrases. blow up, Strauss exponent, lifespan, asymptotically Euclidean manifolds, positive entire solutions .

The authors were supported in part by NSFC 11971428.

Let $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{g})$ be a asymptotically Euclidean (Riemannian) manifold, with $n \geq 2$. By asymptotically Euclidean, we mean that $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{g})$ is certain perturbation of the Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{g}_0)$. More precisely, we assume \mathbf{g} can be decomposed as

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_1 + \mathbf{g}_2 ,$$

where \mathbf{g}_1 is a spherical symmetric, long range perturbation of \mathbf{g}_0 , and \mathbf{g}_2 is an exponential (short range) perturbation. By definition, there exists polar coordinates (r, ω) for $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{g}_1)$, in which we can write

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{g}_1 = K^2(r)dr^2 + r^2d\omega^2 ,$$

where $d\omega^2$ is the standard metric on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and

$$(1.3) \quad |\partial_r^m(K-1)| \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-m-\rho}, m = 0, 1, 2.$$

for some given constant $\rho > 0$ ¹. Here and in what follows, $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, and we use $A \lesssim B$ ($A \gtrsim B$) to stand for $A \leq CB$ ($A \geq CB$) where the constant C may change from line to line. Equipped with the coordinates $x = r\omega$, we have

$$\mathbf{g} = g_{jk}(x)dx^j dx^k \equiv \sum_{j,k=1}^n g_{jk}(x)dx^j dx^k , \quad \mathbf{g}_2 = g_{2,jk}(x)dx^j dx^k ,$$

where we have used the convention that Latin indices j, k range from 1 to n and the Einstein summation convention for repeated upper and lower indices. Concerning \mathbf{g}_2 , we assume it is an exponential (short range) perturbation of \mathbf{g}_1 , that is, there exists $\alpha > 0$ so that

$$(1.4) \quad |\nabla g_{2,jk}| + |g_{2,jk}| \lesssim e^{-\alpha \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau}, \quad |\nabla^2 g_{2,jk}| \lesssim 1 .$$

By asymptotically Euclidean and Riemannian assumption, it is clear that there exists a constant $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \delta_0 |\xi|^2 \leq g^{jk} \xi_j \xi_k \leq \delta_0^{-1} |\xi|^2, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad K \in (\delta_0, 1/\delta_0) .$$

In this paper, we are interested in the blow up of solutions for the following semi-linear wave equations with small data, posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.5),

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} u = |u|^p \\ u(0, x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), u_t(0, x) = \varepsilon u_1(x) \end{cases}$$

Here, $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} = g^{-1/2} \partial_j g^{1/2} \mathbf{g}^{jk} \partial_k$ is the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator, with $g = \det(\mathbf{g}_{jk})$ and (\mathbf{g}^{ij}) being the inverse of (\mathbf{g}_{ij}) . Concerning the initial data, we assume (u_0, u_1) are nontrivial with

$$(1.7) \quad (u_0, u_1) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad u_0, u_1 \geq 0, \quad \text{supp}(u_0, u_1) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r \leq R_0\} ,$$

for some $R_0 > 0$.

When $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_0$, the problem was initiated by the work of John [11] for $n = 3$ where the critical power, for the problem to admit global solutions for any small

¹It turns out that, for the purpose of blow up results, we only need the following conditions for K , viewed as C^2 function of $r \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} K(r) = 1, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} rK'/K < n-1, \quad K' \in L^1 \cap L^2, \quad K'' \in L^1, \quad rK' \in L^\infty, \quad r^2K'' \in L^\infty .$$

data, was determined to be $p_c(3) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. In general, it is known as the Strauss conjecture [26], that the critical exponent $p_c(n)$ is the positive root of equation:

$$(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2 = 0 (\Leftrightarrow (n-1)p(p-1) = 2(p+1)) .$$

This conjecture has been essentially proved, see Georgiev-Lindblad-Sogge [6], Sideris [22] and references therein. The critical case is also known to blow up in general, see Schaeffer [21] ($n = 2, 3$), Yordanov-Zhang [36] and Zhou [39] ($n \geq 4$). In addition, when there is no global solution, it is also important to obtain the estimates of life span for the solutions, in terms of ε . When $\max(1, 2/(n-1)) < p < p_c(n)$, $n \geq 2$, it have been proved that

$$(1.8) \quad c\varepsilon^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2}} \leq T_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2}},$$

for nontrivial data satisfying (1.7), where T_ε denotes the lifespan and ε is the size of the initial data, c, C are some positive constants, see Lai-Zhou [13], Takamura [27] and references therein for history. In addition, when $u_1 \neq 0$, $n = 2$ and $1 < p \leq 2$, we have another estimate:

$$T_\varepsilon \sim \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}, & 1 < p < 2, \\ \varepsilon^{-1}(\ln(\varepsilon^{-1}))^{-1/2}, & p = 2, \end{cases}$$

see Lindblad [16] for $p = 2$ and Takamura [27], Imai-Kato-Takamura-Wakasa [10] for $1 < p < 2$. In the critical case, $p = p_c(n)$, it was conjectured that the lifespan is

$$(1.9) \quad \exp(c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \leq T_\varepsilon \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) .$$

The lower dimensional cases $n = 2, 3$ were proved by Zhou [37, 38]. Takamura-Wakasa [28] obtained the upper bound when $n \geq 4$, see also Zhou-Han [41], while the lower bound was obtained by Lindblad-Sogge [18] when $n \leq 8$ or $n \geq 2$ for spherically symmetric initial data. See, e.g., Wang [34] for a complete history.

In the past 10 years or so, there have been many works concerning the analogs of the problem for general manifolds, including non-trapping asymptotically Euclidean manifolds, black hole space-time and exterior domain. The problem on exterior domain has been relatively well-understood, where existence with $p > p_c(n)$ for spatial dimension up to four and blow up with $p \leq p_c(n)$ have been obtained, see Smith-Sogge-Wang [23], Lai-Zhou [14], Sobajima-Wakasa [24], and references therein.

The existence theory for asymptotically flat space-times has been well-developed for spatial dimension three and four, see Sogge-Wang [25], Wang-Yu [35], Lindblad-Metcalf-Sogge-Tohaneanu-Wang [17], Metcalfe-Wang [20] and Wang [33], with help of the local energy estimates and weighted Strichartz estimates. Notice here that, comparing (1.4), the assumption on \mathfrak{g}_2 , for non-trapping asymptotically Euclidean manifolds, is that

$$(1.10) \quad \nabla^\beta g_{2,jk} = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-\rho-1-|\beta|}), |\beta| \leq 3 .$$

In contrast, much less is known for the blow up theory. Comparing with the existence theory, it is very natural to expect blow up phenomena for $p \leq p_c(n)$, for asymptotically Euclidean manifolds $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ with \mathfrak{g}_1 satisfying (1.2)-(1.3) and \mathfrak{g}_2 satisfying (1.10), as well as the Schwarzschild/Kerr black hole spacetimes. For asymptotically Euclidean manifolds with $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, and \mathfrak{g}_2 satisfying the stronger exponential assumption (1.4), Wakasa-Yordanov [31] proved blow up results and obtained the expected sharp upper bound of the lifespan (1.9) in the critical case

$p = p_c(n)$, which agrees with the lower bound for $n = 4$ obtained by the second author [33]. For the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime, Lin-Lai-Ming [15] obtained blow up result for $1 < p \leq 2$, while Catania-Georgiev [2] obtained a weaker blow up result for $1 < p < p_c(3)$.

Our first main theorem addresses the blow up problem for asymptotically Euclidean manifolds $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ with \mathfrak{g}_1 satisfying (1.2)-(1.3) and \mathfrak{g}_2 satisfying the stronger (1.4).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p \leq p_c(n)$. Consider (1.6) posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.4). Assuming that the data are nontrivial satisfying (1.7), then there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exist $T \geq 1$ and a unique weak solution $u \in C\dot{H}_{\text{comp}}^{1/2} \cap L_{t,x}^{\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, let T_ε be the lifespan of the local solution, i.e., $T_\varepsilon := \sup T$, then we have $T_\varepsilon < \infty$ and more precisely, there exists a positive constant C_0 depending only on n, p, R_0, u_0, u_1 , such that*

$$(1.11) \quad T_\varepsilon \leq \begin{cases} C_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2}} & 1 < p < p_c(n); \\ \exp(C_0 \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) & p = p_c(n). \end{cases}$$

In addition, when $n = 2$, $1 < p < 2$ and u_1 does not vanish identically, the upper bound of the lifespan can be improved to

$$(1.12) \quad T_\varepsilon \leq C_0 \varepsilon^{-\frac{p-1}{3-p}}.$$

Here \dot{H}^s with $|s| < n/2$ denotes the standard homogeneous Sobolev space, while \dot{H}_{comp}^s denotes \dot{H}^s with compact support.

Remark 1.1. The upper bound is sharp in general. Actually, in the case of non-trapping asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.5), it is known from the second author [33] that we have

$$(1.13) \quad T_\varepsilon \geq \begin{cases} c_0 \varepsilon^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2}} & 2 \leq p < p_c(n), n = 3, \\ \exp(c_0 \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) & p = p_c(n), n = 4, \end{cases}$$

for some $c_0 > 0$.

Remark 1.2. As we have said, we expect similar results hold for asymptotically Euclidean manifolds with (1.10) instead of (1.4). It will be interesting to investigate the blow up theory, as well as the high dimensional existence theory, in this setting.

For the strategy of proof, we basically follow the test function method of Yordanov-Zhang [36], Zhou [39] and Wakasa-Yordanov [31]. The main innovation in our proof of Theorem 1.1 is the existence of a class of generalized ‘‘eigenfunctions’’ for the Laplace-Beltrami operator, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \phi_\lambda$, with small parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and desired (uniform) asymptotical behavior, see Lemma 3.1.

In recent years, the closely related semilinear damped wave equations

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} u + b(t)u_t = |u|^p \\ u(0, x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), u_t(0, x) = \varepsilon u_1(x) \end{cases}$$

have also received much attention. In particular, the problem with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and typical damping term $b(t) = \mu(1+t)^{-\beta}$, $0 < \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ has been extensively investigated. In general, the behavior of solutions of (1.14) depends on μ and β . For the case $\beta < 1$, the damping term is strong enough to make the problem

behaves totally different from the wave equations, and the problem has been well-understood. For the scale-invariant case $\beta = 1$, it appears that the critical power is $p_c(n + \mu)$ for relatively small $\mu > 0$, see, e.g., Ikeda-Sobajima [8], Tu-Lin [30]. See [12] for more discussion on the history.

For the remaining case, $\beta > 1$ (which is also referred as the scattering case), where the damping term is integrable, it is natural to expect that the problem behaves like the nonlinear wave equations without damping term, regardless of the sign of $b(t)$. For the blow up part, Lai-Takamura [12] proved blow up results with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$, $0 \leq b(t) \in L^1$ for $1 < p < p_c(n)$, together with upper bound of the lifespan

$$(1.15) \quad T_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{2p(p-1)}{(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2}}, n \geq 2,$$

under the assumption that

$$(1.16) \quad \text{supp } u \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T_\varepsilon] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

for some $R > 0$. Here, the sign assumption on b is removed in a recent work of Ikeda-Tu-Wakasa [9]. For the critical case, $p = p_c(n)$, with $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $0 \leq b(t) \in L^1$, under the assumption that (1.16), Wakasa-Yordanov [32] obtained the expected exponential upper bound of the lifespan

$$(1.17) \quad T_\varepsilon \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}).$$

On the other hand, the existence theory depends on the spatial dimension and the high dimensional results remain open. The global existence for $p > p_c(n)$ and general lower order terms has been verified by the authors [19] for two dimensional Euclidean space, and nontrapping asymptotically Euclidean manifolds $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ with $n = 3, 4$, \mathfrak{g}_1 satisfying (1.2)-(1.3) and \mathfrak{g}_2 satisfying (1.10).

Now, we are ready to present our second main theorem, for semilinear damped wave equations (1.14) on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.5), where we merely assume $b(t) \in L^1$ and there are no any sign condition even for the critical case.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $b(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $1 < p \leq p_c(n)$. Consider (1.14) posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.4). Assuming that the data are nontrivial satisfying (1.7), then we have the same conclusion as in Theorem 1.1.*

For the strategy of proof of Theorem 1.2, we perform a change of variable to transfer the problem (1.14) to the equivalent problem

$$(1.18) \quad m^2(t)\partial_t^2 u - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} u = |u|^p,$$

where $m \simeq 1$, as long as $b(t)$ is integrable. This is one of the main innovations in our proof of Theorem 1.2, which completely avoids the sign condition on $b(t)$.

Remark 1.3. The proof of local existence of (1.6) and (1.14) ensures the obtained solution satisfies finite speed of propagation. Actually, for linear wave equation $\partial_t^2 u - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} u + b(t)u_t = 0$ with the initial data (1.7), the support of solution u satisfies

$$(1.19) \quad \text{supp } u \subset \{(t, x); \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau)d\tau \leq t + R_1\},$$

where $R_1 = \int_0^{R_0} K(\tau)d\tau$. As \mathfrak{g}_2 is short-range perturbation, which does not affect the speed of propagation too much, we still have (1.19), with possibly bigger R_1 ,

for solutions to linear wave equations $\partial_t^2 u - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} u + b(t)u_t = 0$, and so is the support of weak solutions to (1.6) and (1.14). This helps removing the finite speed of propagation assumption (1.16) in previous works. See Section 2 for the proof of local existence and uniqueness of weak solutions.

Outline. Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the proof of local existence and uniqueness of weak solutions. As corollary, we derive the finite speed of propagation, (1.19), as the property of the solutions instead of the assumption. In §3, we study the existence of certain generalized “eigenfunction” for elliptic equation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \phi = \lambda^2 \phi$, with $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ for sufficiently small $\lambda_0 > 0$, which plays a key role in the construction of the test function. In §4, we present a unified proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2, in the subcritical case, by introducing a change of variable. The last §5 is devoted to the proof of the critical case, where the whole class of eigenfunctions with parameter $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ are exploited.

2. LOCAL WELL POSEDNESS

In this section, we prove local existence and uniqueness of weak solutions.

Our proof will rely on the local Strichartz estimates for the wave operator $\partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} + b(t)\partial_t$. The local Strichartz estimates for the wave equations with variable coefficients have been extensively studied, see Tataru [29] and references therein. In the setting of asymptotically Euclidean manifolds, the following local Strichartz estimates has been obtain in Sogge-Wang [25, Proposition 5.4], based on Tataru [29].

Proposition 2.1 (Local homogeneous Strichartz estimates). *Let $n \geq 2$, $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ be asymptotically Euclidean manifold with*

$$\nabla^\beta (g_{jk} - \delta_{jk}) = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-\rho-|\beta|}), |\beta| \leq 2,$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Then for any $s \in [0, 1]$, and (s, q, r) admissible with $r < \infty$, that is

$$\frac{1}{q} \leq \min \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right), s = n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{q},$$

we have the local homogeneous Strichartz estimates

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t \in [0,1]}^q L_x^r \cap L_{t \in [0,1]}^\infty \dot{H}^s} + \|\partial u\|_{L_{t \in [0,1]}^\infty \dot{H}^{s-1}} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|u_t(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}},$$

for solutions to $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} + b(t)\partial_t)u = 0$.

Notice that the result was originally proved for $b(t) = 0$. The result when $b(t) \in L^1$ follows directly if we combine it with Duhamel’s principle and the Gronwall’s inequality. Moreover, by Duhamel’s principle and Christ-Kiselev lemma [3], we immediately get the following:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $s \in [0, 1]$, $r, \tilde{r} < \infty$ and $(s, q, r), (1-s, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$ be admissible. The solution of equation $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} + b(t)\partial_t)u = F$ satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t \in [0,1]}^\infty \dot{H}^s} + \|u\|_{L_{t \in [0,1]}^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|u_t(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{r}'}}.$$

In particular, when $q = r = \tilde{q} = \tilde{r} = 2\frac{n+1}{n-1}$ and $s = 1/2$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^{1/2} \cap L^{2\frac{n+1}{n-1}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} + \|u_t(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1/2}} + \|F\|_{L^{2\frac{n+1}{n+3}}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus we can apply (2.3) to (1.14) to get the local well posedness.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p \leq \frac{n+3}{n-1}$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.14) with initial data (1.7) is locally well posed in $\dot{H}_{\text{comp}}^{1/2} \times \dot{H}_{\text{comp}}^{-1/2}$ for sufficiently small ε .*

Proof. With help of the Strichartz estimates (2.3), it is easy to prove local well posedness for compactly supported small data, by standard contraction mapping principle. We only give the sketch of the proof of local existence in the time interval $[0, 1]$. Let $q = 2\frac{n+1}{n-1}$ and

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{L_{t \in [0,1]}^\infty \dot{H}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{L^q([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We set $u^{(0)} = 0$ and recursively define $u^{(k+1)}$ to be the solution to the linear equation

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u^{(k+1)} - \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} u^{(k+1)} + b(t) \partial_t u^{(k+1)} = |u^{(k)}|^p, \\ u^{(k+1)}(0, x) = \varepsilon u_0, \partial_t u^{(k+1)}(0, x) = \varepsilon u_1. \end{cases}$$

Assuming, by induction, for some $k \geq 0$, $u^{(k)}$ is well-defined in X with $\|u^{(k)}\|_X \lesssim \varepsilon$ and finite speed of propagation

$$(2.5) \quad \text{supp } u^{(k)} \subset \{(t, x); t \in [0, 1], \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq t + R_1\} := A.$$

Then we are reduced to prove the boundedness of $u^{(k+1)}$, as well as convergence, in X for small enough ε . We only show the boundedness since the convergence follows the same way.

As $q = 2\frac{n+1}{n-1}$, we observe that $q/q' = (n+3)/(n-1)$. Since $1 < p \leq (n+3)/(n-1)$, we have $pq' \leq q$. Applying (2.3) to (2.4), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \|u^{(k+1)}\|_X \lesssim \varepsilon + \| |u^{(k)}|^p \|_{L_{t,x}^{q'}} \lesssim \varepsilon + \|u^{(k)}\|_{L_{t,x}^{pq'}}^p \lesssim \varepsilon + \|u^{(k)}\|_{L_{t,x}^q}^p,$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality in the last inequality and the fact that we have $(t, x) \in A$ which is compact. Thus the (uniform) boundedness could be easily obtained by continuity and induction from (2.6), when ε is smaller than some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. \square

3. POSITIVE ENTIRE SOLUTIONS TO THE EQUATION $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \phi = \lambda^2 \phi$

In this section, for asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.5), we prove the existence of certain generalized ‘‘eigenfunction’’, denoted by $\phi_\lambda(x)$, for elliptic equation

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \phi_\lambda,$$

with desired (uniform) asymptotic behavior for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ with sufficiently small $\lambda_0 > 0$. Such solutions are also known as positive entire solutions in literature. These solutions will play a key role in the construction of the test functions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{g})$ be asymptotically Euclidean manifold with (1.1)-(1.4). Then there exist $\lambda_0, c_1 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$, there is a solution of (3.1) satisfying*

$$(3.2) \quad c_1 < \phi_\lambda(x) < c_1^{-1} \langle \lambda r \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau}.$$

Remark 3.1. We could also prove the exponential lower bound,

$$(3.3) \quad \phi_\lambda(x) \gtrsim \langle r\lambda \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau} .$$

However, as it turns out that it is not necessary for the proof of the main theorems, we choose to put it in the remark to emphasize this fact.

To give the proof of Lemma 3.1, we will first present a proof for the case $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_1$, where we could exploit the spherical symmetric property to work in the corresponding ordinary differential equation, near spatial infinity. Then due to the exponential feature of the short range perturbation \mathbf{g}_2 , a perturbation argument completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.1. Asymptotic behavior for certain ordinary differential equation. As preparation, we present a key lemma which gives us the asymptotic behavior for solutions to certain ordinary differential equation, depending on parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $y_0 > 0$, $K \in (\delta_0, \delta_0^{-1})$,*

$$(3.4) \quad \|K'\|_{L^1([\varepsilon\lambda_0^{-1}, \infty))} \leq \delta_0^{-1}, \|G\|_{L^1([\varepsilon\lambda^{-1}, \infty))} \leq \delta_0^{-1}\lambda, \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0] .$$

Considering

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} y'' - \lambda^2 K^2(r)y + G(r)y = 0, r > \varepsilon\lambda^{-1} \\ y(\varepsilon\lambda^{-1}) = y_0, y'(\varepsilon\lambda^{-1}) = y_1 \in (0, \delta_0^{-1}\lambda y_0), \end{cases}$$

Then for any solution y with $y, y' > 0$, we have the following uniform estimates, independent of $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$,

$$(3.6) \quad y \simeq y_0 e^{\lambda \int_{\varepsilon/\lambda}^r K(\tau) d\tau}, \quad r \geq \varepsilon\lambda^{-1} .$$

Assume in addition $1 - \lambda^{-2}K^{-2}G \in (\delta_0, \delta_0^{-1})$, then the solution y to (3.5) satisfies $y, y' > 0$ and we have

$$(3.7) \quad y' \simeq y_1 + y_0 \lambda (e^{\lambda \int_{\varepsilon/\lambda}^r K(\tau) d\tau} - 1) .$$

Proof. By simple change of variable and linearity, we need only to prove for the case $\varepsilon = y_0 = 1$. The proof of (3.7) follows directly from (3.6), the equation $y'' \sim \lambda^2 y$, and Newton-Leibniz formula. In the following, we prove (3.6) for the case $\varepsilon = y_0 = 1$.

Let $\mu(t)$ be such that

$$(3.8) \quad y = e^{\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau) d\tau + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu(\tau) d\tau} .$$

Then by (3.5), we have μ satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad \mu' + 2\lambda K\mu + \mu^2 = -G(r) - \lambda K' ,$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \mu(r) = \frac{y'}{y} - \lambda K(r) > -\lambda K(r) > -\delta_0^{-1}\lambda .$$

By the assumptions on y_0, y_1 and $K > 0$, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \mu(1/\lambda) = \frac{y_1}{y_0} - \lambda K(1/\lambda) < \delta_0^{-1}\lambda .$$

Moreover, by (3.9), we have

$$\mu' + 2\lambda K\mu \leq -G(r) - \lambda K' ,$$

and thus, in view of $K > 0$, (3.4) and (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \mu(r) \leq \mu(1/\lambda)e^{-2\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau)d\tau} + \int_{1/\lambda}^r (|G| + \lambda|K'|)d\tau < 3\delta_0^{-1}\lambda.$$

and so $|\mu| < 3\delta_0^{-1}\lambda$.

On the one hand, if we divide (3.9) by K and integrate from $1/\lambda$ to r , by (3.4), (3.10)-(3.12) and recall that $K \in (\delta_0, 1/\delta_0)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu d\tau &\leq - \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{G(\tau)}{K} d\tau - \lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{K'}{K} d\tau - \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\mu'}{K} d\tau \\ &\leq 2\delta_0^{-2}\lambda - \frac{\mu(r)}{K(r)} + \frac{\mu(1/\lambda)}{K(1/\lambda)} - \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu \frac{K'}{K^2} d\tau \\ &\leq (8\delta_0^{-2} + 3\delta_0^{-4})\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.9)-(3.10), we have $2\lambda K + \mu \geq \lambda K > \delta_0\lambda$, and

$$\mu = \frac{-G(r) - \lambda K' - \mu'}{2\lambda K + \mu},$$

then we have

$$- \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu(\tau)d\tau = \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{G(\tau)}{2\lambda K + \mu} d\tau + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\lambda K'}{2\lambda K + \mu} d\tau + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\mu'}{2\lambda K + \mu} d\tau.$$

Similar arguments yield

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu(\tau)d\tau &\leq 2\delta_0^{-2} + \frac{\mu}{2\lambda K + \mu} \Big|_{\tau=1/\lambda}^r + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\mu(2\lambda K' + \mu')}{(2\lambda K + \mu)^2} d\tau \\ &\leq 8\delta_0^{-2} + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\mu(\lambda K' + \mu' + G)}{(2\lambda K + \mu)^2} d\tau + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \frac{\mu(\lambda K' - G)}{(2\lambda K + \mu)^2} d\tau \\ &\leq 8\delta_0^{-2} + 6\delta_0^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mu(\lambda K' + \mu' + G) \leq 0$ due to (3.9).

In conclusion, we have proved that $|\int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu(\tau)d\tau| \leq 8\delta_0^{-2} + 6\delta_0^{-4}$. Thus, we see that

$$(3.13) \quad y = e^{\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau)d\tau + \int_{1/\lambda}^r \mu(\tau)d\tau} \simeq e^{\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau)d\tau}, \quad r \geq \lambda^{-1},$$

which proves (3.6) for the case $\varepsilon = y_0 = 1$ and completes the proof. \square

3.2. Radial entire solutions to the elliptic equation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1}\phi = \lambda^2\phi$. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1$ which is spherical symmetric, we will use the radial solutions as candidate.

In this case, we could determine the asymptotic behavior of radial entire solutions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1$, and $R_2 \geq 1$ such that $n - 1 - rK'/K \geq 0$ for any $r \geq R_2$ (which is ensured by (1.3) and (1.5)). Then there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \lambda \leq 1/R_2$, there is a radial solution of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1}\Phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^2\Phi_\lambda(x)$, such that*

$$(3.14) \quad c_2\langle \lambda r \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau)d\tau} < \Phi_\lambda(x) < c_2^{-1}\langle \lambda r \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau)d\tau}.$$

Actually, the solution will be constructed such that $\Phi_\lambda(\lambda^{-1}\omega) = 1$, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. To prove the estimates of Φ_λ , we divide the proof into two parts, inside the ball $B_{\lambda^{-1}}$ and exterior to the ball.

3.2.1. *Inside the ball $B_{\lambda^{-1}}$.* We first consider the Dirichlet problem within $B_{1/\lambda}$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda, x \in B_{1/\lambda} \\ \Phi_\lambda|_{\partial B_{1/\lambda}} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then by standard existence theorem of elliptic equation (see, e.g., Evans [5]), there is a unique (hence radial) solution $\Phi_\lambda \in H^1(\bar{B}_{1/\lambda}) \cap C^\infty(\bar{B}_{1/\lambda})$. In addition, we have $0 < \Phi_\lambda \leq 1$ in $B_{1/\lambda}$. In fact, if there exists $x_0 \in B_{1/\lambda}$ such that $\Phi_\lambda(x_0) \leq 0$, then by strong maximum principle (see, e.g., Evans [5], page 350, Theorem 4), we get $\Phi_\lambda \equiv 1$ within $B_{1/\lambda}$, which is a contradiction. By Hopf's lemma ([5], page 347), we have $\partial_r \Phi_\lambda > 0$ for all $r > 0$.

For future reference, we need to obtain more information concerning the behavior of Φ_λ . At first, we claim that we have the following derivative estimates

$$(3.16) \quad \partial_r \Phi_\lambda(r) \leq D_0 \lambda^2 r \Phi_\lambda(r), |\partial_r^2 \Phi_\lambda(r)| \leq D_0 \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda(r), \forall r \geq 0$$

for some constant D_0 independent of $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. In addition, we claim that there exists a uniform lower bound of Φ_λ for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$:

$$(3.17) \quad \Phi_\lambda(x) \geq \Phi_\lambda(0) \geq C > 0, \forall \lambda \in (0, 1].$$

3.2.2. *Derivative estimates (3.16).* As Φ_λ is radial, by (1.2) we have

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Phi_\lambda = K^{-1} r^{1-n} \partial_r (K^{-1} r^{n-1} \partial_r \Phi_\lambda),$$

and so

$$(3.18) \quad \partial_r (K^{-1} r^{n-1} \partial_r \Phi_\lambda) = \lambda^2 K r^{n-1} \Phi_\lambda.$$

As Φ_λ is increasing, we get

$$K^{-1} r^{n-1} \partial_r \Phi_\lambda \leq \int_0^r \lambda^2 \tau^{n-1} K \Phi_\lambda d\tau \leq \lambda^2 r^n \|K\|_{L^\infty} \Phi_\lambda(r),$$

that is, $\partial_r \Phi_\lambda \leq \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lambda^2 r \Phi_\lambda(r)$.

For the second order derivative of Φ_λ , by (3.18), we have

$$|\partial_r^2 \Phi_\lambda| = |\lambda^2 K^2 \Phi_\lambda - (\frac{n-1}{r} - \frac{K'}{K}) \partial_r \Phi_\lambda| \leq \lambda^2 K^2 \Phi_\lambda + \frac{C}{r} \partial_r \Phi_\lambda \lesssim \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda$$

for some $C > 0$ due to (1.3).

3.2.3. *Uniform lower bound: (3.17).* To prove the uniform lower bound (3.17), we use scaling argument so that we could compare all these solutions in the same domain.

Let $f_\lambda(x) = \Phi_\lambda(x/\lambda)$, then $f_\lambda \in (0, 1]$ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\lambda} f_\lambda = f_\lambda, x \in B_1 \\ f_\lambda|_{\partial B_1} = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\lambda(x) = \mathfrak{g}_1(x/\lambda)$. Since f_λ is radial increasing, we know that

$$\liminf_{0 < \lambda \leq 1} \inf_{x \in B_1} f_\lambda = \liminf_{0 < \lambda \leq 1} f_\lambda(0) := C \geq 0.$$

To complete the proof, we need only to prove $C > 0$.

By definition, there exists a sequence $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $f_{\lambda_j}(0) \rightarrow C$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Recalling (3.16), we know that

$$(3.19) \quad 0 < f_\lambda(r) \leq 1, \partial_r f_\lambda(r) \leq D_0 r f_\lambda(r), |\partial_r^2 f_\lambda(r)| \leq D_0 f_\lambda(r).$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we see that there exists a subsequence of λ_j (for simplicity we still denote the subsequence as λ_j) such that f_{λ_j} converges uniformly to f in $C^1(\bar{B}_1)$ as j goes to infinity.

In view of the equations satisfied by f_{λ_j} , we see that, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_1)$, we have

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{B_1} \left(\sum_{k,l} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda_j}^{kl} \partial_k f_{\lambda_j} \partial_l \phi + f_{\lambda_j} \phi \right) dV_{\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_j}} = 0 .$$

By (1.2)-(1.3), we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda_j}^{kl}(x) = \mathfrak{g}_1^{kl}(x/\lambda_j) \rightarrow \delta^{kl}$ as $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ when $x \neq 0$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda_j}^{kl} \in L^\infty$ uniformly. Then, we could take limit in (3.20) to obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{B_1} (\nabla f \cdot \nabla \phi + f \phi) dx = 0 ,$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_1)$, which tells us that $f \in C^1$ is a weak solution to the Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta f = f, x \in B_1 , \\ f|_{\partial B_1} = 1, f(0) = C . \end{cases}$$

By regularity and strong maximum principle, we know that $f \in C^\infty(B_1)$ and $f(0) = C > 0$, which completes the proof.

3.2.4. *The solution outside the ball $B_{1/\lambda}$.* When $r \geq 1/\lambda$, by (3.18), the equation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda$ is reduced to a second order ordinary differential equation

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_r^2 \Phi_\lambda + \left(\frac{n-1}{r} - \frac{K'}{K} \right) \partial_r \Phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 K^2 \Phi_\lambda, \\ \Phi_\lambda(1/\lambda) = 1, \Phi_\lambda'(1/\lambda) \in (0, D_0 \lambda]. \end{cases}$$

Let $y = r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_\lambda$, then, if $\lambda \in (0, 1/R_2)$, we have $y > 0$ and

$$y' = \frac{1}{2} r^{\frac{n-3}{2}} K^{-1/2} \left(n-1 - r \frac{K'}{K} \right) \Phi_\lambda + r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} K^{-1/2} \Phi_\lambda' .$$

Recalling that we have (3.16) and the fact that $n-1-rK'/K \geq 0$ for $r \geq 1/\lambda \geq R_2$, due to the assumption (1.3) and (1.5), we see that

$$(3.23) \quad 0 < y' \lesssim (1/r + \lambda^2 r) y, \forall r \geq \lambda^{-1} .$$

Moreover, it turns out that y satisfies

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} y'' - \lambda^2 K^2 y + G(r) y = 0, \\ y(1/\lambda) = y_0, y'(1/\lambda) = y_1, \end{cases}$$

where $y_0 = \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} K^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda) \simeq \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $0 < y_1 \lesssim \lambda y_0$, and

$$G(r) = -\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4r^2} + \frac{(n-1)K'}{2rK} + \frac{K''}{2K} - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{K'}{K} \right)^2 .$$

By the assumption (1.3), we see that $r^2 G(r)$ is uniformly bounded and so

$$(3.25) \quad \|K'\|_{L^1([1/\lambda, \infty))} \lesssim 1, \|G(r)\|_{L^1([1/\lambda, \infty))} \leq \lambda \|r^2 G(r)\|_{L^\infty([1/\lambda, \infty))} \lesssim \lambda .$$

For (3.24), we could apply Lemma 3.2 with $\varepsilon = 1$ to conclude

$$y(r) \simeq y_0 e^{\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau) d\tau} \simeq \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_{1/\lambda}^r K(\tau) d\tau} \simeq \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau}, r \geq 1/\lambda .$$

Hence for any $\lambda \in (0, R_2^{-1})$, we obtain

$$\Phi_\lambda = r^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} K^{1/2} y \simeq (r\lambda)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall r \geq \lambda^{-1}.$$

Recalling (3.17), we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(r) \simeq 1, \quad \forall r \leq \lambda^{-1}, \lambda \in (0, R_2^{-1}).$$

Combining this two estimates, we get

$$(3.26) \quad \Phi_\lambda \simeq \langle r\lambda \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^\lambda \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, R_2^{-1}),$$

which completes the proof of (3.14).

3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.1. With help of Lemma 3.3, due to the exponential feature of the short range perturbation \mathfrak{g}_2 , a perturbation argument will give the proof of Lemma 3.1. Here, we basically follow the similar proof as in Wakasa-Yordanov [31, Lemma 2.2].

Let $\psi = \phi_\lambda - \Phi_\lambda$ where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda$. Then with Lemma 3.3 in hand, we are reduced to prove existence of ψ and show smallness of $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}$. In fact, ψ satisfies

$$(3.27) \quad \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \psi = \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_\lambda - \Phi_\lambda) = \lambda^2 \phi_\lambda - \lambda^2 \Phi_\lambda + (\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} - \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}) \Phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \psi + W \Phi_\lambda.$$

We claim that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \min(\alpha/2, 1/R_2))$,

$$(3.28) \quad \|W \Phi_\lambda\|_{L^q} \lesssim \lambda^2, \quad \forall q \geq 1.$$

Actually, we have

$$W \Phi_\lambda = (\mathfrak{g}_1^{jk} - \mathfrak{g}^{jk}) \partial_j \partial_k \Phi_\lambda + [g_1^{-1/2} \partial_j (g_1^{1/2} \mathfrak{g}_1^{jk}) - g^{-1/2} \partial_j (g^{1/2} \mathfrak{g}^{jk})] \partial_k \Phi_\lambda.$$

By (3.14), (3.16) and (1.4) with $\lambda < \min(\alpha/2, 1/R_2)$, it is easy to see that

$$|W \Phi_\lambda| \lesssim \sum_{j,k} \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq 2} |\nabla^{\leq 1} g_2^{jk}| |\nabla^\beta \Phi_\lambda| \lesssim \lambda^2 \langle r \rangle e^{(\lambda-\alpha) \int_0^r K(\tau) d\tau} \lesssim \lambda^2 \langle r \rangle e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \delta_0 r},$$

which gives us (3.28).

Standard elliptic theory ensures that there exists a unique weak H^1 solution ψ to (3.27). To show the smallness of $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}$, we first take the (natural) inner product of (3.27) with ψ to get

$$-\langle \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \psi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2 \langle \psi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = -\langle W \Phi_\lambda, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Thus by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and uniform elliptic condition (1.5) we have

$$\delta_0 \|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{\mathfrak{g}}^2}^2 + \lambda^2 \|\psi\|_{L_{\mathfrak{g}}^2}^2 \lesssim \lambda^2 \|\psi\|_{L_{\mathfrak{g}}^2},$$

which yields

$$\|\psi\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\nabla \psi\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda.$$

By applying Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we get

$$\|\psi\|_{L_x^{q_0}} \leq C \lambda^\theta,$$

for some fixed $q_0 > 2$ with $\theta = n(1/2 - 1/q_0) \in (0, 1)$. Thus by local properties of weak solutions for uniformly elliptic equations, see Gilbarg-Trudinger [7, Theorem 8.17], we know that there exist a uniform constant $C > 0$ (depending only on \mathfrak{g} , q_0 , n), such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} = \|\psi\|_{L_x^\infty(L^\infty(B_1(x)))} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L_x^\infty(L^{q_0}(B_2(x)))} + \|W \Phi_\lambda\|_{L^n}) \lesssim \lambda^\theta,$$

which completes the proof.

4. BLOW UP IN SUBCRITICAL CASE

In the subcritical case, $p < p_c(n)$, as has been classical in this field, we could use the test function method to give a proof of Theorem 1.1, as soon as we obtained the solution of (3.1) with the desired property (3.2), for some fixed $\lambda > 0$.

In the presence of damping lower order term, with $b(t) \in L^1$, we propose the approach of change of variable for Theorem 1.2, which essentially reduced the problem to the standard problem. This simplifies the corresponding proof in Lai-Takamura[12] for the subcritical case with $b \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

As is standard, when we employ the test function method, we typically need the Kato type lemma to conclude nonexistence of global solutions as well as the upper bound of the lifespan, for which proof, we refer Sideris [22] for the blow up result and Zhou-Han [40] for the upper bound.

Lemma 4.1 (Kato type lemma). *Let $\beta > 1$, $a \geq 1$ and $(\beta - 1)a > \alpha - 2$. If $F \in C^2([0, T])$ satisfies*

$$F(t) \geq \delta(t+1)^a, \quad F''(t) \geq k(t+1)^{-\alpha} F^\beta,$$

for some positive constants δ, k . Then $F(t)$ will blow up at finite time, that is, $T < \infty$. Moreover, we have the following upper bound of T ,

$$T \leq c\delta^{-\frac{\beta-1}{(\beta-1)a-\alpha+2}},$$

for some constant c which is independent of δ .

In the following, we give a unified proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2, in the subcritical case.

4.1. Change of variable. Considering (1.14) with $b(t) \in L^1$, we introduce the new variable

$$(4.1) \quad m(t) = e^{\int_0^t b(\tau) d\tau}, \quad s = \int_0^t \frac{1}{m(\tau)} d\tau = h(t).$$

Then it is easy to see $\partial_s = m(t)\partial_t$ and

$$(\partial_t^2 + b(t)\partial_t)u = m^{-1}\partial_t(m\partial_t u) = m^{-2}m\partial_t(m\partial_t) = m^{-2}(m\partial_t)^2 u = m^{-2}(t)\partial_s^2 u.$$

Let $t = \eta(s)$ denote the inverse function of h . Thus (1.14) becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \partial_s^2 u - \tilde{m}^2(s)\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} u = \tilde{m}^2(s)|u|^p, \quad \tilde{m}(s) = m(\eta(s)).$$

Traditionally, we still use t to denote time, thus we are reduced to consider the following equation

$$(4.3) \quad \partial_t^2 u - \tilde{m}^2(t)\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} u = \tilde{m}^2(t)|u|^p, \quad \tilde{m}(t) = m(\eta(t)),$$

with initial data

$$u(0, x) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = \varepsilon u_1(x).$$

Thus by (1.19), the support of solution u of (4.3) satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad \text{supp } u \subset \{(t, x); \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \eta(t) + R_1\}.$$

Furthermore, there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\tilde{m}(t) \in [\delta_1, 1/\delta_1]$ since $b(t) \in L^1$.

For future reference, we record that $\eta'(t) = 1/h'(\eta(t)) = m(\eta(t)) \in [\delta_1, 1/\delta_1]$, $\eta(0) = 0$, $m' = bm$, $m(0) = 1$, $\tilde{m}'(t) = m'(\eta(t))\eta'(t) = b(\eta(t))m^2(\eta(t)) = b(\eta(t))\tilde{m}^2(t)$, that is

$$(4.5) \quad \eta'(t) = m(\eta(t)) = \tilde{m}(t), \quad \tilde{m}'(t) = b(\eta(t))\tilde{m}^2(t), \quad \eta(0) = 0, m(0) = \tilde{m}(0) = 1 .$$

4.2. Proof of the subcritical blow up. Recall that for the standard wave operator $\partial_t^2 - \Delta_g$, the test function is typically chosen to be $\psi(t, x) = e^{-\lambda t}\phi_\lambda(x)$, which solves linear homogeneous wave equation. In the presence of \tilde{m} , we try choosing similar form of test function, which may not be the exact solution to the linear homogeneous wave equation.

Let $\psi(t, x) = e^{-\lambda_1 \eta(t)}\phi(x)$ be the test function, where $\phi = \phi_{\lambda_1}$ is the solution of (3.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1 \in (0, \min(1, \lambda_0))$.

For given nontrivial data (u_0, u_1) with (1.7) and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we know from Lemma 2.3 that there is a local (weak) solution u satisfying (4.4) for (4.3). By continuity, there exists a maximal time of existence $[0, T_\varepsilon)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $T_\varepsilon > 2$.

Let $F(t) = \int u(t, x)dv_g$, $H(t) = \int u\psi dv_g$. Then we claim that

$$(4.6) \quad F'' \gtrsim |F|^p(1+t)^{-n(p-1)}, F'' \gtrsim |H|^p(1+t)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}, \forall t \in [0, T_\varepsilon) ,$$

$$(4.7) \quad H \gtrsim \varepsilon, \forall t \in [1, T_\varepsilon) .$$

We postpone the proof to the end of this section.

Since $F(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 dv_g \geq 0$, $F'(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1 dv_g \geq 0$, then by (4.6), we see that $F''(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, thus $F'(t) \geq 0$ and $F(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \geq 0$. Then, with help of (4.7), as $(n-1)(1-p/2) > -1$, we could integrate the second inequality in (4.6) twice to get

$$(4.8) \quad F \gtrsim \varepsilon^p(1+t)^{2+(n-1)(1-p/2)}, \quad \forall t \in [2, T_\varepsilon) .$$

Heuristically, the lower bound (4.8) could be improved with help of the first inequality in (4.6), if

$$(2 + (n-1)(1-p/2))p - n(p-1) + 2 > (2 + (n-1)(1-p/2)) ,$$

that is $p < p_c(n)$, which suggests blow up results. Actually, for $1 < p < p_c(n)$, we could apply Lemma 4.1 with (4.6) and (4.8) for $t \geq 2$, to obtain the blow up results and the upper bound of lifespan T_ε as in (1.11).

Finally, we give the proof of (1.12), under the additional assumption that u_1 does not vanish identically. Actually, by the assumption on the data, we know that $F(0) = \varepsilon \int u_0 dv_g \geq 0$, $F'(0) = \varepsilon \int u_1 dv_g \geq c\varepsilon$ for some $c > 0$. Recall that the first inequality in (4.6) tells us that $F'' \geq 0$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad F(t) \geq c\varepsilon t, \quad \forall t \geq 0 ,$$

which improves the lower bound of F in size, but not on rate, than that in (4.8). Applying Lemma 4.1 with (4.6) and (4.9) for $t \geq 1$, when $p \in (1, 1 + 2/(n-1))$, we obtain

$$T_\varepsilon \leq C_0 \varepsilon^{-\frac{(p-1)}{2-(n-1)(p-1)}} ,$$

which is better than that in (1.11), exactly when $n = 2$ and $1 < p < 2$. This completes the proof of (1.12).

4.3. Proof of (4.6)-(4.7).

4.3.1. *First inequality of (4.6).* Let u be solution to (4.3) satisfying (4.4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t^2 u \, dv_{\mathfrak{g}} - \tilde{m}^2(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{|\mathfrak{g}|}^{-1} \partial_i (g^{ij} \sqrt{|\mathfrak{g}|} \partial_j u) dv_{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{m}^2(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} .$$

As $F(t) = \int u(t, x) dv_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and u vanish for large x , the second term vanishes and so

$$(4.10) \quad F'' = \tilde{m}^2(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} .$$

Recall that $\eta'(t) = 1/h'(\eta(t)) = m(\eta(t)) \in [\delta_1, 1/\delta_1]$ and $\eta(0) = 0$, we have

$$\eta(t) = \int_0^t \eta'(s) ds \leq t/\delta_1 .$$

Then by (4.4) and $K \in [\delta_0, 1/\delta_0]$, we see that

$$\text{supp } u \subset \{(t, x); \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \eta(t) + R_1\} \subset \{(t, x); |x| \leq t/(\delta_0 \delta_1) + R_1/\delta_0\} .$$

By Hölder's inequality we get

$$|F| \leq \int_{|x| \leq \frac{t}{\delta_0 \delta_1} + \frac{R_1}{\delta_0}} |u| dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \lesssim \left(\int |u|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{t}{\delta_0 \delta_1} + \frac{R_1}{\delta_0} \right)^{n/p'} .$$

Recalling (4.10), we have

$$F'' = \tilde{m}^2(t) \int |u|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \gtrsim |F|^p \langle t \rangle^{-n(p-1)} ,$$

which gives us the first inequality in (4.6).

4.3.2. *Second inequality of (4.6).* To relate H with F'' , we use (4.4) and Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$|H(t)| = \left| \int u(t) \psi(t) dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \right| \lesssim \left(\int |u(t)|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{A_1} \psi(t, x)^{p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} ,$$

where $A_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \eta(t) + R_1\}$. To control the last term, we use (3.2) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and change of variable to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} \psi(t, x)^{p'} dx &\lesssim \int_{A_1} \langle \lambda_1 x \rangle^{-\frac{(n-1)p'}{2}} e^{p' \lambda_1 (\int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau - \eta(t))} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda_1 (\eta(t) + R_1)} e^{p'(r - \lambda_1 \eta(t))} (1+r)^{n-1 - \frac{(n-1)p'}{2}} dr \\ &\lesssim (1 + \lambda_1 \eta(t))^{n-1 - (n-1)p'/2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{n-1 - (n-1)p'/2} . \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.10), we get

$$F'' \gtrsim \int |u|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \gtrsim |H|^p \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{p}{p'}(n-1 - (n-1)p'/2)} \gtrsim |H|^p (1+t)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} ,$$

which is the second inequality in (4.6).

4.3.3. *Proof of (4.7).* Let $G(t) = \int u(t)\phi(x)dv_{\mathfrak{g}} = e^{\lambda_1\eta(t)}H$. With $\phi(x) = \phi_{\lambda_1}(x)$ as the test function, we obtain by integration that

$$G'' - \tilde{m}^2(t)\lambda_1^2 G = \int \tilde{m}^2(t)|u|^p\phi dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \geq 0 .$$

Recall that $\int_0^t \tilde{m}d\tau = \eta(t)$,

$$G(0) = \varepsilon \int u_0\phi dv_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad G'(0) = \varepsilon \int u_1\phi dv_{\mathfrak{g}} .$$

We claim that

$$(4.11) \quad G(t) \geq C'\varepsilon e^{\lambda_1\eta(t)}, t \geq 1 ,$$

for some $C' > 0$, which gives us the desired lower bound of $H(t)$ when $t \geq 1$, and so is (4.6).

It remains to prove (4.11). By the condition (1.7) on the data, we have

$$G(0) = C_0\varepsilon, \quad G'(0) = C_1\varepsilon,$$

for some $C_0, C_1 \geq 0$ with $C_0 + C_1 > 0$. It is clear that $G(t) \geq C_0\varepsilon$, $G'' \geq \delta_1^2\lambda_1^2 C_0\varepsilon$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $G' \geq (C_1 + t\delta_1^2\lambda_1^2 C_0)\varepsilon > 0$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover, it is bounded from below by εy , which is solution to

$$(4.12) \quad y'' - \tilde{m}^2(t)\lambda_1^2 y = 0, y(0) = G(0)/\varepsilon = C_0, y'(0) = G'(0)/\varepsilon = C_1 .$$

For (4.12), we could apply Lemma 3.2 together with elementary ODE to give the proof. Here, as it has a fixed parameter λ_1 , we present another proof by applying the classical Levinson theorem (see, e.g., [4, Chapter 3, Theorem 8.1]). As is clear, for the proof of subcritical results, we could avoid Lemma 3.2, but relying on the Levinson theorem.

For y , it is clear from continuity that we have $y, y' > 0$ for all $t > 0$. More precisely, we have $y(t) \geq C_0$, $y'' \geq \delta_1^2\lambda_1^2 C_0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and so

$$(4.13) \quad y' \geq C_1 + t\delta_1^2\lambda_1^2 C_0 > 0, \quad y \geq C_0 + C_1 t > 0, \quad \forall t > 0 .$$

Let $Y(t) = (Y_1(t), Y_2(t))^T$ with $Y_1 = y$, $Y_2 = y'$, then we have

$$Y' = (A + V(t))Y, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda_1^2 k^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_1^2(\tilde{m}^2(t) - k^2) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k = \tilde{m}(\infty) = \exp(\int_0^\infty b(t)dt)$. Noticing that $V' \in L^1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(t) = 0$, we could apply the Levinson theorem to the system. Then there exists $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ so that we have two independent solutions, which have the asymptotic form as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$Y_{\pm}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + o(1) \\ \pm\lambda_1 k + o(1) \end{pmatrix} e^{\pm\lambda_1 \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{m}(\tau)d\tau}$$

Thus, we have, for some c_1, c_2 ,

$$(4.14) \quad y = c_1(1 + o(1))e^{\lambda_1 \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{m}(\tau)d\tau} + c_2(1 + o(1))e^{-\lambda_1 \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{m}(\tau)d\tau}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.13), we know that $y \geq C_0 + C_1$ for all $t \geq 1$, it is clear that $c_1 > 0$. Then there exists some $T \geq 1$ such that

$$(4.15) \quad y \simeq c_1 e^{\lambda_1 \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{m}(\tau)d\tau} \simeq c_1 e^{\lambda_1 \eta(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq T .$$

Combining it with (4.13), we see that there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$y(t) \geq C' e^{\lambda_1 \eta(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 1 .$$

In conclusion, we obtain

$$G \geq \varepsilon y \geq C' \varepsilon e^{\lambda_1 \eta(t)}, \forall t \geq 1 .$$

which completes the proof of (4.11).

5. THE BLOW UP OF SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS IN CRITICAL CASE

In this section, we give the proof of the critical case for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

With help of the solutions of (3.1) with the desired property (3.2), for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the critical case could be given by following the similar proof as that in [31], for the case $b = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_2$.

Similar to Section 4, we will use the approach of change of variable, as presented in subsection 4.1, for Theorem 1.2, when there is a lower order term $b(t) \in L^1$.

5.1. Preparation. To conduct the test function method, when $b \neq 0$, we need to solve and determine asymptotic behavior for certain second order differential equation with parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$,

$$(5.1) \quad y'' - \lambda^2 \tilde{m}^2(t)y = 0, y(0) = 0, y'(0) = 1 .$$

The following lemma gives us the desired result.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a uniform constant $c_3 > 0$, which is independent of $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, such that the solution to the ordinary differential equation (5.1), with parameter $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad y \geq c_3 \frac{\sinh \lambda \eta(t)}{\lambda}, \quad y' \geq c_3 \cosh \lambda \eta(t) .$$

Proof. We may want to apply the Levinson theorem to give the proof, similar to that for (4.11). However, such a proof may not be sufficient to ensure the uniform constant. Instead, we give a direct proof.

At first, it is clear that $y, y'' > 0$ for all $t > 0$, $y' > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and so all of these functions are increasing. Since $\tilde{m} \in [\delta_1, 1/\delta_1]$, by (5.1), we have

$$\lambda^2 \delta_1^2 y \leq y'' \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta_1^2} y ,$$

which gives us

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda \delta_1} \sinh \lambda \delta_1 t \leq y \leq \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda} \sinh \frac{\lambda}{\delta_1} t, \quad \cosh \lambda \delta_1 t \leq y' \leq \cosh \frac{\lambda}{\delta_1} t .$$

We claim that there exists a $\theta > 0$ such that when $\lambda t < \theta$, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\sinh \lambda \delta_1 t}{\delta_1 \sinh \lambda \eta(t)} \geq \delta_1^2 .$$

Actually, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$t \leq \sinh t \leq \delta_1^{-1} t, \quad 1 \leq \cosh t \leq \delta_1^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon] .$$

Let $\theta = \delta_1 \varepsilon$, as $\eta(t) \leq \delta_1^{-1} t$, we have $\lambda \eta(t) \leq \delta_1^{-1} t \lambda \leq \delta_1^{-1} \theta = \varepsilon$ for $\lambda t < \theta$, and so

$$\frac{\sinh \lambda \delta_1 t}{\delta_1 \sinh \lambda \eta(t)} \geq \frac{\lambda \delta_1 t}{\lambda \eta(t)} \geq \delta_1^2 ,$$

which verifies the claim (5.4). Thus we get

$$y \geq \frac{1}{\lambda \delta_1} \sinh \lambda \delta_1 t \geq \delta_1^2 \frac{\sinh \lambda \eta(t)}{\lambda}, \quad \forall \lambda t \leq \theta .$$

Also we have

$$y' \geq 1 \geq \delta_1 \cosh \lambda \eta(t), \forall \lambda t \leq \theta ,$$

which gives us (5.2) with $c_3 \in (0, \delta_1^2]$ for $\lambda t \leq \theta$.

When $\lambda t \geq \theta$, consider the following equation

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} y'' - \lambda^2 \tilde{m}^2(t)y = 0, \\ y(\theta/\lambda) = y_0, y'(\theta/\lambda) = y_1 , \end{cases}$$

where $y_0 \sim \lambda^{-1}$, and $y_1 \sim 1$. For (5.5), we could apply Lemma 3.2 with $G = 0$ to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\simeq y_0 e^{\lambda \int_{\theta/\lambda}^t \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau} \simeq \frac{e^{\lambda \int_{\theta/\lambda}^t \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau}}{\lambda}, \quad t \geq \theta/\lambda, \\ y'(t) &\simeq y_1 + y_0 \lambda (e^{\lambda \int_{\theta/\lambda}^t \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau} - 1) \simeq e^{\lambda \int_{\theta/\lambda}^t \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau}, \quad t \geq \theta/\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from (4.5), we have $\int_0^t \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau = \eta(t)$, $\lambda \int_0^{\theta/\lambda} \tilde{m}(\tau) d\tau \in (\theta \delta_0, \theta \delta_0^{-1})$, and thus

$$(5.6) \quad y \simeq \lambda^{-1} e^{\lambda \eta(t)}, \quad y' \simeq e^{\lambda \eta(t)}, \quad t \geq \theta/\lambda ,$$

which gives us (5.2) for $\lambda t \geq \theta$ and completes the proof. \square

5.2. Test functions and proof of blow up. With help of subsection 4.1, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.1, we could follow the similar proof as in [31] to give the proof of the critical case for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

At first, we observe that to prove the $T_\varepsilon \leq \exp(C_0 \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)})$ for the original equation (1.14), we need only to prove that the lifespan for (4.2), denoted by S_ε , satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad S_\varepsilon \leq \exp(C \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)})$$

for some other constant $C > 0$. Actually, by (5.7), we know that

$$T_\varepsilon \leq \eta(S_\varepsilon) \leq \eta(\exp(C \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)})) \leq \delta_1^{-1} \exp(C \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \leq \exp(2C \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)})$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

In the following, we want to show blow up and (5.7) for (4.3).

As in [31], the proof combines several classical ideas in order to generalize and simplify the methods of Zhou [39] and Zhou-Han [41]. The test function with certain behavior at future timelike infinity is constructed based on the exponential ‘‘eigenfunctions’’ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator for asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. To improve the lower bound of certain auxiliary function, the method of iteration (slicing method) in Agemi-Kurokawa-Takamura [1] is used.

5.2.1. Basic ingredients of test functions. Based on Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.1, we are ready to construct basic ingredients of test functions.

By Lemma 3.1, for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, there exists ϕ_λ solving the elliptic eigenvalue problem posed on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds (1.1)-(1.5)

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \phi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \phi_\lambda,$$

satisfying (3.2), that is,

$$(5.8) \quad c_1 < \phi_\lambda < c_1^{-1} \langle \lambda x \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\lambda \int_0^{|\lambda x|} K(\tau) d\tau} .$$

Fix $T > 0$, and consider $t \in [0, T]$. Let $y_{T,\lambda}(t)$ be the solution to

$$(5.9) \quad \partial_t^2 y - \lambda^2 \tilde{m}^2(t)y = 0, \quad y(T) = 0, \quad y'(T) = -1 .$$

With $s = T - t$, $z_T(s) = y_{T,\lambda}(T - s)$, we see that

$$(5.10) \quad \partial_s^2 z - \lambda^2 \tilde{m}^2(T - s)y = 0, z(0) = 0, z'(0) = 1 .$$

Then by Lemma 5.1 and (4.5) we have $\int_0^s \tilde{m}(T - \tau)d\tau = \eta(T) - \eta(T - s)$, and

$$y_{T,\lambda}(t) \gtrsim \frac{\sinh \lambda(\eta(T) - \eta(t))}{\lambda}, \quad -y'_{T,\lambda}(t) \gtrsim \cosh \lambda(\eta(T) - \eta(t)) .$$

Let $\psi_{T,\lambda}(t, x) = y_{T,\lambda}(t)\phi_\lambda(x)$, then it is a solution of the linear homogeneous wave equations for $t \in [0, T]$

$$(5.11) \quad (\partial_t^2 - \tilde{m}^2(t)\Delta_{\mathbf{g}})\psi_{T,\lambda}(t, x) = 0, \quad \psi_{T,\lambda}(T, x) = 0, \quad \partial_t \psi_{T,\lambda}(t, x)(T) = -\phi_\lambda .$$

In addition, $\psi_{T,\lambda}(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_{T,\lambda}(0, x) = \phi_\lambda(x)y_{T,\lambda}(0) \gtrsim \phi_\lambda(x) \frac{\sinh \lambda\eta(T)}{\lambda} \geq 0, \\ -\partial_t \psi_{T,\lambda}(0, x) = -\phi_\lambda(x)y'_{T,\lambda}(0) \gtrsim \phi_\lambda(x) \cosh \lambda\eta(T) \geq 0 . \end{cases}$$

5.2.2. *Integral inequality.* Let u be the solution to (4.3) in $[0, T]$ and $L = \partial_t^2 - \tilde{m}^2(t)\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ be the corresponding wave operator, we use Green's identity and (5.11) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{m}^2(t)\psi_{T,\lambda}|u|^p dv_{\mathbf{g}} dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\psi_{T,\lambda}Lu - uL\psi_{T,\lambda})dv_{\mathbf{g}} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\psi_{T,\lambda}\partial_t u - u\partial_t \psi_{T,\lambda})dv_{\mathbf{g}} \Big|_{t=0}^T \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(T)\phi_\lambda dv_{\mathbf{g}} + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_0\partial_t \psi_{T,\lambda}(0) - u_1\psi_{T,\lambda}(0))dv_{\mathbf{g}} . \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the data are nontrivial satisfying (1.7), by applying (5.12) with the fact $\tilde{m} \geq \delta_1 > 0$, we have

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(T)\phi_\lambda dv_{\mathbf{g}} \gtrsim \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p \phi_\lambda(x) \frac{\sinh \lambda(\eta(T) - \eta(t))}{\lambda} dv_{\mathbf{g}} dt .$$

Notice that the left side is basically $G(T)$ in Section 4, with small parameter λ .

5.2.3. *Test functions.* Observing the exponential increasing feature ($e^{\lambda\eta(T)}$) on the right hand side of (5.13), we multiply $e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)}\lambda^q$, with $q > -1$, to (5.13) and integrating from 0 to λ_0 to get

$$\begin{aligned} F(T) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(T) \int_0^{\lambda_0} e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)} \phi_\lambda \lambda^q d\lambda dv_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &\gtrsim \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p \int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\sinh \lambda(\eta(T) - \eta(t))}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)} \phi_\lambda \lambda^q d\lambda dv_{\mathbf{g}} dt . \end{aligned}$$

Observing that, due to the support property (4.4) and (5.8), $u(T) \in \dot{H}_{comp}^{1/2} \subset L^1$. $\phi_\lambda e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\lambda^q \in L^1_{loc}$, $F(T)$ is well-defined in $T \in [0, S_\varepsilon]$.

To simplify the exposition, we introduce

$$(5.14) \quad \xi_q(x, T, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{\sinh \lambda(\eta(T) - \eta(t))}{\lambda(T-t)} e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)} \phi_\lambda \lambda^q d\lambda & t < T, \\ \int_0^{\lambda_0} e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1)} \phi_\lambda \lambda^q d\lambda & t = T, \end{cases}$$

and so $F(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, T) \xi_q(x, T, T) dv_{\mathbf{g}}$,

$$(5.15) \quad F(T) \gtrsim \int_0^T (T-t) \int |u(x, t)|^p \xi_q(x, T, t) dv_{\mathbf{g}} dt .$$

5.2.4. Estimates of ξ_q .

Lemma 5.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exist positive constants A_j , $j = 1, 2$, which are independent of λ , so that we have the following estimates:*

(1) *if $q > 0$, $0 \leq t < T$, then*

$$(5.16) \quad \xi_q(x, T, t) \geq A_1 \langle T \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-q} ,$$

(2) *if $q > (n-3)/2$, $\int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \eta(T) + R_1$, then*

$$(5.17) \quad \xi_q(x, T, T) \leq A_2 \langle T \rangle^{-(n-1)/2} \left\langle \eta(T) - \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle^{(n-3)/2-q} .$$

Proof. To show (5.16), we have

$$e^{-\lambda(\eta(t)+R_1)} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \geq c > 0, \forall \lambda \in \left[\frac{\lambda_0}{2\langle t \rangle}, \frac{\lambda_0}{\langle t \rangle} \right] ,$$

by the lower bound of (3.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_q(x, T, t) &= \int_0^{\lambda_0} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda(\eta(T)-\eta(t))}}{2(T-t)} e^{-\lambda(\eta(t)+R_1)} \phi_{\lambda} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \\ &\geq c \int_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2\langle t \rangle}}^{\frac{\lambda_0}{\langle t \rangle}} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda(\eta(T)-\eta(t))}}{2(T-t)} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \\ &\geq c \int_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2\langle t \rangle}}^{\frac{\lambda_0}{\langle t \rangle}} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_0(\eta(T)-\eta(t))/\langle t \rangle}}{2(T-t)} \lambda^{q-1} d\lambda \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_0 \delta_1 (T-t)/\langle t \rangle}}{2(T-t)} \langle t \rangle^{-q} \gtrsim \langle T \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-q} , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the elementary inequality $1 - e^{-\lambda_0 \delta_1 (T-t)/\langle t \rangle} \gtrsim (T-t)/\langle T \rangle$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ and the fact that $\eta(T) - \eta(t) \geq \delta_1 (T-t)$.

For (5.17), we divide the region $\int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \eta(T) + R_1$ into two parts.

Case A: $\int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{\eta(T)+R_1}{2}$, then $\langle \eta(T) - \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \rangle \simeq \langle T \rangle$. By the upper bound of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\xi_q(x, T, T) \lesssim \int_0^{\lambda_0} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\eta(T)+R_1)} \lambda^q d\lambda \lesssim \int_0^{\lambda_0} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\delta_1 T+R_1)} \lambda^q d\lambda \lesssim \langle T \rangle^{-q-1} .$$

Case B: $\int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \geq \frac{\eta(T)+R_1}{2}$, then $|x| \sim \langle T \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_q(x, T, T) &\lesssim \int_0^{\lambda_0} e^{-\lambda(\eta(T)+R_1 - \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau)} (\lambda|x|)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda^q d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \left\langle \eta(T) - \int_0^{|x|} K(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle^{-q + \frac{n-3}{2}} . \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we get the desired upper bound (5.17) of $\xi_q(x, T, T)$. \square

5.2.5. *Proof of (5.7).* Let $p = p_c(n) > 1 + \frac{2}{n-1}$ and $q = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} > \max(0, \frac{n-3}{2})$, so that

$$(n-1)\left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) - q = \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{1}{p} - p\frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-1}{2}(p-1) = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{p+1}{p} = -1.$$

Recall from (4.6), (4.7) and (4.10), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t)|^p dv_{\mathfrak{g}} \gtrsim \varepsilon^p \langle t \rangle^{(n-1)(1-\frac{p}{2})}, \quad \forall t \in [1, S_\varepsilon].$$

By Lemma 5.2 1) and (5.15), we get

$$F(T) \gtrsim \int_1^T (T-t) \varepsilon^p \langle T \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{(n-1)(1-\frac{p}{2})-q} dt = \int_1^T (T-t) \varepsilon^p \langle T \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-1} dt,$$

for $T \geq 1$. Then, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_1^T (T-t) \langle t \rangle^{-1} dt \gtrsim \int_1^T \ln t dt \geq \int_{\theta T}^T \ln t dt \geq (1-\theta)T \ln(\theta T),$$

and $F(T) \gtrsim_{\theta} \varepsilon^p \ln(\theta T)$. In particular, when $T \geq 1 + 1/2 = 3/2$, we have

$$(5.18) \quad F(T) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p \ln(2T/3).$$

To connect the right of (5.15) with F , we use Hölder's inequality and Lemma 5.2 1), 2) to obtain

$$(5.19) \quad F(T) \gtrsim \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle} \int_0^T \frac{T-t}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{F(t)^p}{(\log \langle t \rangle)^{p-1}} dt, \quad T \in (0, S_\varepsilon).$$

Based on (5.18) and (5.19), we could run the ‘‘slicing method’’ of iteration in Agemi-Kurokawa-Takamura [1] (see [31, Proposition 5.3]) to improve the lower bounds of F , which ultimately proves that, for some $B > 0$,

$$(5.20) \quad F(T) \geq B \frac{\ln(3+T)}{[\ln(T/2)]^{1/(p-1)}} \exp \left[\frac{p^j}{p-1} \ln(B\varepsilon^{p(p-1)} \ln T) \right],$$

for any $j \geq 1$ and $T \geq 4$.

Based on the above inequality (5.20), it is easy to prove the desired upper bound of lifespan (5.7) with $C \geq 2B^{-1}$. Assume by contradiction that

$$S_\varepsilon > \exp(2B^{-1}\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}),$$

then we could choose $T = \exp(2B^{-1}\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \geq 4$ for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 \ll 1$. By (5.20), for this choice of T , we have

$$(5.21) \quad F(T) \geq B \frac{\ln(3+T)}{[\ln(T/2)]^{1/(p-1)}} \exp \left[\frac{p^j}{p-1} \ln 2 \right], \quad \forall j \geq 1,$$

and so, by letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, $F(T) = \infty$. This gives the desired contradiction, which completes the proof of (5.7).

REFERENCES

- [1] Rentaro Agemi, Yuki Kurokawa, and Hiroyuki Takamura. Critical curve for p - q systems of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions. *J. Differential Equations*, 167(1):87–133, 2000.
- [2] Davide Catania and Vladimir Georgiev. Blow-up for the semilinear wave equation in the Schwarzschild metric. *Differential Integral Equations*, 19(7):799–830, 2006.
- [3] Michael Christ and Alexander Kiselev. Maximal functions associated to filtrations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 179(2):409–425, 2001.

- [4] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [5] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010.
- [6] Vladimir Georgiev, Hans Lindblad, and Christopher D. Sogge. Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 119(6):1291–1319, 1997.
- [7] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [8] Masahiro Ikeda and Motohiro Sobajima. Life-span of solutions to semilinear wave equation with time-dependent critical damping for specially localized initial data. *Math. Ann.*, 372(3-4):1017–1040, 2018.
- [9] Masahiro Ikeda, Ziheng Tu, and Kyouhei Wakasa. Small data blow-up of semilinear wave equation with scattering dissipation and time-dependent mass. Preprint. ArXiv 1904.09574, 2019.
- [10] Takuto Imai, Masakazu Kato, Hiroyuki Takamura and Kyouhei Wakasa. The sharp lower bound of the lifespan of solutions to semilinear wave equations with low powers in two space dimensions. *Asymptotic Analysis for Nonlinear Dispersive and Wave Equations*, 31–53, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, Japan, 2019.
- [11] Fritz John. Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions. *Manuscripta Math.*, 28(1-3):235–268, 1979.
- [12] Ning-An Lai and Hiroyuki Takamura. Blow-up for semilinear damped wave equations with subcritical exponent in the scattering case. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 168:222–237, 2018.
- [13] Ning-An Lai and Yi Zhou. An elementary proof of Strauss conjecture. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(5):1364–1381, 2014.
- [14] Ning-An Lai and Yi Zhou. Blow up for initial boundary value problem of critical semilinear wave equation in two space dimensions. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 17(4):1499–1510, 2018.
- [15] Y. Lin, Ning-An Lai, and S. Ming. Lifespan estimate for semilinear wave equation in schwarzschild spacetime. Applied Mathematics Letters, to appear.
- [16] Hans Lindblad. Blow-up for solutions of $\square u = |u|^p$ with small initial data. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 15(6):757–821, 1990.
- [17] Hans Lindblad, Jason Metcalfe, Christopher D. Sogge, Mihai Tohaneanu, and Chengbo Wang. The Strauss conjecture on Kerr black hole backgrounds. *Math. Ann.*, 359(3-4):637–661, 2014.
- [18] Hans Lindblad and Christopher D. Sogge. Long-time existence for small amplitude semilinear wave equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118(5):1047–1135, 1996.
- [19] Mengyun Liu and Chengbo Wang. Global existence for semilinear damped wave equations in relation with the strauss conjecture. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 40 (2020), no. 2, 709–724.
- [20] Jason Metcalfe and Chengbo Wang. The Strauss conjecture on asymptotically flat spacetimes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49(6):4579–4594, 2017.
- [21] Jack Schaeffer. The equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p$ for the critical value of p . *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 101(1-2):31–44, 1985.
- [22] Thomas C. Sideris. Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions. *J. Differential Equations*, 52(3):378–406, 1984.
- [23] Hart F. Smith, Christopher D. Sogge, and Chengbo Wang. Strichartz estimates for Dirichlet-wave equations in two dimensions with applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(6):3329–3347, 2012.
- [24] Motohiro Sobajima and Kyouhei Wakasa. Finite time blowup of solutions to semilinear wave equation in an exterior domain. Preprint. ArXiv 1812.09182, 2018.
- [25] Christopher D. Sogge and Chengbo Wang. Concerning the wave equation on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. *J. Anal. Math.*, 112:1–32, 2010.
- [26] Walter A. Strauss. Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 41(1):110–133, 1981.
- [27] Hiroyuki Takamura. Improved Kato’s lemma on ordinary differential inequality and its application to semilinear wave equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 125:227–240, 2015.
- [28] Hiroyuki Takamura and Kyouhei Wakasa. The sharp upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations in high dimensions. *J. Differential Equations*, 251(4-5):1157–1171, 2011.
- [29] Daniel Tataru. Strichartz estimates for second order hyperbolic operators with nonsmooth coefficients. III. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):419–442, 2002.

- [30] Ziheng Tu and Jiayun Lin. A note on the blowup of scale invariant damping wave equation with sub-strauss exponent. Preprint ArXiv:1709.00866, 2017.
- [31] Kyouhei Wakasa and Borislav Yordanov. Blow-up of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations with variable coefficients. *J. Differential Equations*, 266(9):5360–5376, 2019.
- [32] Kyouhei Wakasa and Borislav Yordanov. On the nonexistence of global solutions for critical semilinear wave equations with damping in the scattering case. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 180:67–74, 2019.
- [33] Chengbo Wang. Long-time existence for semilinear wave equations on asymptotically flat space-times. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 42(7):1150–1174, 2017.
- [34] Chengbo Wang. Recent progress on the Strauss conjecture and related problems. *SCIENTIA SINICA Mathematica*, 48(1):111–130, 2018.
- [35] Chengbo Wang and Xin Yu. Concerning the Strauss conjecture on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 379(2):549–566, 2011.
- [36] Borislav T. Yordanov and Qi S. Zhang. Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 231(2):361–374, 2006.
- [37] Yi Zhou. Blow up of classical solutions to $\square u = |u|^{1+\alpha}$ in three space dimensions. *J. Partial Differential Equations*, 5(3):21–32, 1992.
- [38] Yi Zhou. Life span of classical solutions to $\square u = |u|^p$ in two space dimensions. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 14(2):225–236, 1993. A Chinese summary appears in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 14 (1993), no. 3, 391–392.
- [39] Yi Zhou. Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 28(2):205–212, 2007.
- [40] Yi Zhou and Wei Han. Blow-up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with variable coefficients and boundary. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 374(2):585–601, 2011.
- [41] Yi Zhou and Wei Han. Life-span of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(3):439–451, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG SCI-TECH UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310018, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: mengyunliu@zstu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: wangcbo@zju.edu.cn

URL: <http://www.math.zju.edu.cn/wang>