

FINITE TYPE POINTS ON SUBSETS OF  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

OZCAN YAZICI

ABSTRACT. In [4], D’Angelo introduced the notion of points of finite type for a real hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and showed that the set of points of finite type in  $M$  is open. Later, Lamel-Mir [8] considered a natural extension of D’Angelo’s definition for an arbitrary set  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Building on D’Angelo’s work, we prove the openness of the set of points of finite type for any subset  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a smooth real hypersurface of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $p \in M$  and  $r$  be a defining function for  $M$  such that  $dr(p)$  does not vanish. In his fundamental work [4], D’Angelo introduced the notion of type of  $M$  at  $p$  with respect to (possibly singular) holomorphic curves. More precisely, the type of  $M$  at  $p$  is defined by

$$\Delta(M, p) = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of non-constant holomorphic germs  $\gamma$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\nu(r \circ \gamma)$  denotes the order of vanishing of the function  $r \circ \gamma$  at 0. A point  $p$  is called finite type if  $\Delta(M, p) < \infty$ . In [4], D’Angelo proved the crucial property that the set of points of finite type forms an open subset of the hypersurface  $M$ . This condition of finite type has been central in Catlin’s work [3] on subelliptic estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem. See [6] for a more recent discussion of the relationship between finite type and subellipticity.

More recently, in their study of the  $C^\infty$  regularity problem for CR maps between smooth CR manifolds, Lamel and Mir [8] considered finite type points for arbitrary sets  $M$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Building on D’Angelo’s notion, they defined the type of an arbitrary set  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  as follows:

$$\Delta(M, p) = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}$$

where  $I_M(p)$  is the set of germs at  $p$  of real valued  $C^\infty$  functions defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  and vanishing on  $M$  near  $p$ . This definition of type coincides with the D’Angelo’s definition for hypersurfaces, since the ideal  $I_M(p)$  is generated by the defining function of  $M$  when  $M$  is a hypersurface.

As before, if  $p \in M$ , we say that  $p$  is a point of finite type if  $\Delta(M, p) < \infty$ . The goal of this note is to show that D’Angelo’s arguments can be used to establish the openness of the set of points of finite type for any set  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F18, 32T25, 32V35.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite type, Order of contact, Germs of holomorphic functions .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_p$  denote the ring of holomorphic germs at  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Following [4], for a proper ideal  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_p$ , we define

$$\tau(I) = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{\varphi \in I} \frac{\nu(\varphi \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} \text{ and } D(I) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_p/I)$$

If  $I$  contains  $q$  independent linear functions, then it follows from Theorem 2.7 in [4] that

$$\tau(I) \leq D(I) \leq (\tau(I))^{n-q}.$$

For any  $r \in I_M(p)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we denote by  $r_k$  the Taylor polynomial of  $r$  of order  $k$  at  $p$ . We define

$$\Delta(M_k, p) = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}.$$

Here  $\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)$  denotes the multiplicity of  $r_k \circ \gamma(t) - r_k(p)$  at 0. By Proposition 3.1 in [4], we can decompose the polynomial  $r_k$  as  $r_k = \operatorname{Re}(h^{r,k}) + ||f^{r,k}||^2 - ||g^{r,k}||^2$  where  $h^{r,k}$  is a holomorphic polynomial and  $f^{r,k} = (f_1^{r,k}, \dots, f_N^{r,k})$  and  $g^{r,k} = (g_1^{r,k}, \dots, g_N^{r,k})$  are holomorphic polynomial mappings. Here  $N = N_k$  is independent of the polynomial and only depends on the degree  $k$  and  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}(N_k)$  denote the group of unitary matrices on  $\mathbb{C}^{N_k}$ . For any  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$ , we denote by  $I(U, k, p)$ , the ideal generated by the set

$$\{h^{r,k}, \text{ components of } f^{r,k} - U g^{r,k} : r \in I_M(p)\}.$$

We should note that the decomposition of  $r_k$  is not unique and  $I(U, k, p)$  depends on the choice of decomposition. Here, we use the one in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [4].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a subset containing  $p$ . If  $\Delta(M_k, p) < k$  for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $\Delta(M, p) = \Delta(M_k, p)$ .*

*Proof.* For any curve  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $r \in I_M(p)$  we write

$$(1.1) \quad r \circ \gamma = r_k \circ \gamma + e_k \circ \gamma$$

$$(1.2) \quad \nu(e_k(\gamma)) \geq (k+1)\nu(\gamma)$$

where  $e_k = r - r_k$ . Since  $\Delta(M_k, p) < k$ , for all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $r^0 \in I_M(p)$  such that  $\frac{\nu(r_k^0 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < k$ . Then (1.1) and (1.2) imply that  $\frac{\nu(r^0 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < k$ . By taking infimum over  $r$  and supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  we obtain that  $\Delta(M, p) \leq k$ . For all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough, we can find  $r^1 \in I_M(p)$  such that

$$\frac{\nu(r^1 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} + \epsilon < k + 1.$$

It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\frac{\nu(r_k^1 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} = \frac{\nu(r^1 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} + \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} \leq \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}$ . By taking supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ , we get that  $\Delta(M_k, p) \leq \Delta(M, p)$ .

We will show the other side of inequality in a similar way. Since we assume that  $\Delta(M_k, p) < k$ , for all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $r^2 \in I_M(p)$  such that

$$\frac{\nu(r_k^2 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} + \epsilon < k.$$

It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\frac{\nu(r^2 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} = \frac{\nu(r_k^2 \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} < \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} + \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} \leq \inf_{r \in I_M(p)} \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}$ . By taking supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ , we get that  $\Delta(M, p) \leq \Delta(M_k, p)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a subset containing  $p$ . Then*

$$\sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p)) \leq \Delta(M_k, p) \leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p)).$$

*Proof.* For any  $r \in I_M(p)$ , we can write  $r_k = \text{Re}(h^{r,k}) + \|f^{r,k}\|^2 - \|g^{r,k}\|^2$  where  $h^{r,k}$  is a holomorphic polynomial function and  $f^{r,k}, g^{r,k}$  are holomorphic polynomial mappings. We denote by  $I(r, U, k, p)$  the ideal generated by  $h^{r,k}$  and the components of  $f^{r,k} - Ug^{r,k}$  where  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$ . Since the supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  in the definition of  $\tau(I(r, U, k, p))$  is attained for some  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $h^{r,k} \circ \gamma = 0$ , it is enough to work with such curves. (See the proof of Theorem 1 on page 127 in [5]). As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [6],

$$\nu((f^{r,k} - Ug^{r,k}) \circ \gamma) \leq \nu(r_k \circ \gamma)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $h^{r,k} \circ \gamma = 0$ . This implies that,

$$\inf_{\varphi \in I(r, U, k, p)} \nu(\varphi \circ \gamma) \leq \nu(r_k \circ \gamma).$$

Dividing by  $\nu(\gamma)$  and taking infimum over  $r \in I_M(p)$ , supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and over  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$ , we obtain that

$$\sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p)) \leq \Delta(M_k, p).$$

Let  $r \in I_M(p)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $2l + 1 \leq \nu(r_k \circ \gamma) \leq 2l + 2$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . By Theorem 3.5 [4], there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$  such that  $(h^{r,k} \circ \gamma)_{2l} = ((f^{r,k} - Ug^{r,k}) \circ \gamma)_l = 0$  where  $(h^{r,k} \circ \gamma)_{2l}$  and  $((f^{r,k} - Ug^{r,k}) \circ \gamma)_l$  are the Taylor polynomials of  $h^{r,k} \circ \gamma$  and the components of  $(f^{r,k} - Ug^{r,k}) \circ \gamma$  of degree  $2l$  and  $l$ , respectively. This implies that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\nu(r_k \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)} \leq 2 \inf_{\varphi \in I(r, U, k, p)} \frac{\nu(\varphi \circ \gamma)}{\nu(\gamma)}.$$

When  $\nu(r_k \circ \gamma) = \infty$ , by Theorem 3.5 in [4], there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$  such that  $\nu(\varphi \circ \gamma) = \infty$  for all  $\varphi \in I(r, U, k, p)$  and the inequality (1.3) still holds. By taking infimum over  $r \in I_M(p)$  and supremum over  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and over  $U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)$ , we obtain that  $\Delta(M_k, p) \leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $p_0$  be a point of finite type. Then there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $p_0$  such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta(M, p) \leq 2(\Delta(M, p_0))^n,$$

for all  $p \in V_0$ . In particular, the set of points of finite type is an open subset of  $M$ .

*Proof.* We note that the coefficients of the generators of  $I(U, k, p)$  depend smoothly on  $p$ . Then by Proposition 2.15 in [4],  $D(I(U, k, p))$  is an upper-semicontinuous function of  $p$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}(N_k)$  is compact, upper-semicontinuity of  $D$  implies that there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $p_0$  such that

$$\sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} D(I(U, k, p)) \leq \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} D(I(U, k, p_0))$$

for all  $p \in V_0$ . By Theorem 2.7 in [4],

$$(1.5) \quad \tau(I(U, k, p_0)) \leq D(I(U, k, p_0)) \leq (\tau(I(U, k, p_0)))^n.$$

By Lemma 1.2 we have  $\Delta(M_k, p) \leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p))$ . Now we have the following chain of inequalities. For all  $p \in V_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(M_k, p) &\leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p)) \leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} D(I(U, k, p)) \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} D(I(U, k, p_0)) \leq 2 \left( \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(N_k)} \tau(I(U, k, p_0)) \right)^n \\ &\leq 2(\Delta(M_k, p_0))^n = 2(\Delta(M, p_0))^n. \end{aligned}$$

The fifth inequality above follows from Lemma 1.2 and the last equality follows from Lemma 1.1 for  $k$  large enough. For any  $k > 2(\Delta(M, p_0))^n$ , by Lemma 1.1,

$$\Delta(M, p) = \Delta(M_k, p) \leq 2(\Delta(M, p_0))^n,$$

for all  $p \in V_0$ . □

*Remark 1.4.* If  $M$  is contained in a generic submanifold of real codimension  $d$ , then we have a better estimate than (1.4). In that case, there are  $d$  local defining functions which vanish on  $M$  near  $p_0$  and their complex differentials are linearly independent in a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $p_0$ . After a change of coordinates on  $V_1$ , we may assume that  $I(U, k, p_0)$  contains  $d$  independent linear functions. Thus by Theorem 2.7 in [4],

$$(1.6) \quad D(I(U, k, p_0)) \leq (\tau(I(U, k, p_0)))^{n-d}.$$

We should note that after local biholomorphic change of coordinates, although the ideal  $I(U, k, p_0)$  changes, the inequality (1.6) still holds. Indeed, Corollary 3 on page 65 in [5] implies that  $D(I)$  is invariant under a local biholomorphic change of coordinates. Also,  $\tau(I)$  is invariant under a local biholomorphic change of coordinates, (see the remarks after Definition 8 on page 72 in [5]). Then it follows from a similar chain of inequalities as above that  $\Delta(M, p) \leq 2(\Delta(M, p_0))^{n-d}$  for all  $p \in V := V_0 \cap V_1$ .

*Remark 1.5.* If a type property fails for hypersurfaces, it also fails in higher codimension. For example, in [5], D'Angelo gave an example of the hypersurface

$$M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : 2\operatorname{Re}(z_3) + |z_1^2 - z_2 z_3|^2 + |z_2|^4 = 0\}$$

to show that the type  $\Delta(M, p)$  is not an upper semicontinuous function of  $p$ . Here  $\Delta(M, 0) = 4$  and  $\Delta(M, p) = 8$  where  $p = (0, 0, ia)$ . Following this example, we consider the set

$$M' = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \operatorname{Re}(z_4) = 2\operatorname{Re}(z_3) + |z_1^2 - z_2 z_3|^2 + |z_2|^4 = 0\}$$

of real codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  which has the same types as  $M$ . Hence, upper semicontinuity of type fails in higher codimension as well.

## 2. POINTS OF FINITE Q-TYPE

In [4], D'Angelo defined the  $q$ -type of a hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , which possibly contains  $q - 1$  dimensional complex analytic varieties. In a natural way, we can define  $q$ -type of an arbitrary subset  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . The  $q$ -type of  $M$  at  $p \in M$  is defined by

$$\Delta^q(M, p) = \inf\{\Delta(M \cap P, p) : P \text{ is any } n - q + 1 \text{ dimensional complex affine subspace of } \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

More precisely,

$$\Delta^q(M, p) = \inf\{\Delta(\varphi^{-1}(M), \varphi^{-1}(p)) : \varphi : \mathbb{C}^{n-q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ is any linear imbedding}\}.$$

In [3], Catlin defined  $q$ -type,  $D_q(M, p)$ , of a hypersurface  $M$  at  $p$  by considering generic  $(n - q + 1)$ -dimensional complex affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  through  $p$ . When  $q = 1$ ,  $D_1(M, p) = \Delta^1(M, p) = \Delta(M, p)$ . For a long time, Catlin's  $q$ -type,  $D_q(M, p)$ , and D'Angelo's  $q$ -type,  $\Delta^q(M, p)$ , were believed to be equal. In [7], Fassina gave examples of ideals and hypersurfaces to show that these two types are different when  $q \geq 2$ . This result also points out some mistakes in [1], where the authors claimed that infimum in the definition of  $\Delta^q(M, p)$  is achieved by the generic value with respect to choices of  $(n - q + 1)$ -dimensional complex affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Later, in [2], the authors corrected their results in [1], by replacing  $\Delta^q(M, p)$  with another invariant  $\beta_q$  which is defined in terms of the generic value.

With the D'Angelo's definition of  $q$ -type, openness of the set of points of finite  $q$ -type easily follows from Theorem 1.3.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The set of points on  $M$  for which  $\Delta^q(M, p) < \infty$  is an open subset of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $q$ -type that

$$\{p \in M : \Delta^q(M, p) < \infty\} = \cup_{\varphi} A_{\varphi}$$

where the union is taken over any imbedding  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{n-q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  and

$$A_{\varphi} = \{z \in M : \Delta(\varphi^{-1}(M), \varphi^{-1}(p)) < \infty\}.$$

Let  $p_0 \in A_{\varphi}$ . By Theorem 1.3, there exists an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-q+1}$  around  $\varphi^{-1}(p_0)$  such that, for all  $w \in U \cap \varphi^{-1}(M)$ ,  $\Delta(\varphi^{-1}(M), w) < \infty$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an imbedding,  $\varphi(U) = V \cap \varphi(\mathbb{C}^{n-q+1})$  for

some open subset  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $p_0$ . This implies that  $M \cap V \subset A_\varphi$  and  $A_\varphi$  is open in  $M$ . Thus  $\{p \in M : \Delta^q(M, p) < \infty\}$  is an open set in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** I am grateful to Prof. Nordine Mir for his suggestion to work on this question and for useful discussions on this subject. I would like to thank Prof. J.P. D'Angelo for his remarks and suggestions which improved the exposition of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Brinzanescu, V., Nicoara, A., On the relationship between DAngelo q-type and Catlin q-type. J. Geom. Anal. 25(3), (2015) 1701-1719.
- [2] Brinzanescu, V., Nicoara, A.: Relating Catlin and DAngelo q-types, arXiv:1707.08294.
- [3] Catlin, D., Subelliptic estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains, Annals of Math., 126(1) (1987), 131-191.
- [4] D'Angelo, J.P., Real hypersurfaces, orders of contact, and applications, Annals of Math 115 (1982), 615-637.
- [5] D'Angelo, J.P., Several Complex Variables and the Geometry of Real Hypersurfaces, CRC Press, Inc (1993).
- [6] D'Angelo, J.P., Real and complex geometry meet the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Analytic and algebraic geometry, 77182, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2010).
- [7] Fassina, M., A Remark on Two Notions of Order of Contact. J. Geom. Anal. 29(1), 707-716 (2019).
- [8] Lamel, B., Mir, N., On the  $C^\infty$  regularity of CR mappings of positive codimension, Adv. Math., 335 (2018), 696-734.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 06800 ANKARA, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* oyazici@metu.edu.tr