

THE GROWTH RATE OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

GUOYI XU

ABSTRACT. We study the growth rate of harmonic functions in two aspects: gradient estimate and frequency. We obtain the sharp gradient estimate of positive harmonic function in geodesic ball of complete surface with non-negative curvature. On complete Riemannian manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and maximal volume growth, further assume the dimension of the manifold is not less than three, we prove that quantitative strong unique continuation yields the existence of non-constant polynomial growth harmonic functions. Also the uniform bound of frequency for linear growth harmonic functions on such manifolds is obtained, and this confirms a special case of Colding-Minicozzi's conjecture on frequency.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A01, 58J05

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Part I. Positive harmonic functions in a geodesic ball	4
2. Positive harmonic function and Poisson kernel	4
3. Gradient estimate in geodesic balls	6
Part II. Polynomial growth harmonic functions on manifolds	9
4. Frequency and existence of harmonic function	9
5. The frequency of linear growth harmonic functions	16
6. Polynomial growth harmonic functions on Perelman's manifold	21
Acknowledgments	23
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies the harmonic function's growth rate on manifolds and its related application. Unless otherwise mentioned, all manifolds in this paper have non-negative Ricci curvature. By Yau's Liouville theorem, any positive harmonic functions on complete manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature is constant

Date: October 24, 2021.

The author was partially supported by NSFC-11771230, Beijing Natural Science Foundation Z190003.

function. We firstly study the positive harmonic functions defined on a unit geodesic ball. Note if we do scaling on manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature, the unit geodesic ball case can be extended to general geodesic ball case. We get the following results characterizing the ‘largest’ positive harmonic functions in the geodesic ball. Define \mathcal{H}^+ as the set of positive harmonic functions u defined on $B_1(p)$ with $u(p) = 1$, where $B_1(p) \subseteq M^n$ is the geodesic ball centered at p with radius = 1.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $s \in [0, 1)$, there exists $u_s \in \mathcal{H}^+$ such that*

$$\sup_{y \in \partial B_s(p)} u_s(y) = \max_{u \in \mathcal{H}^+} \sup_{y \in \partial B_s(p)} u(y).$$

Furthermore there exists $x_s \in \partial B_1(p)$ (possibly not unique) such that $u_s(y) = \frac{P(x_s, y)}{P(x_s, p)}$.

Motivated by the gradient estimate of Cheng and Yau [CY75], we study the largest growth rate of positive harmonic functions in a unit geodesic ball. So far we can only prove the sharp gradient estimate on surfaces.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $u : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a harmonic function, where M^2 is a Riemannian manifold with $Rc \geq 0$ and $B_1(p) \subseteq M^2$ is a geodesic ball centered at p with radius = 1, then $\sup_{x \in B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for any $s \in [0, 1)$.*

The model of the above theorem is the sharp gradient estimate obtained on \mathbb{R}^n , which is exactly the gradient estimate of the corresponding Poisson kernel on the unit ball. The sharp gradient estimate we are looking for, is one step to reveal the largest growth rate of positive harmonic function on the geodesic balls of complete manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$, which is motivated by the study of polynomial growth harmonic function’s frequency. For higher dimensional manifolds (the dimension is greater than 2), this type sharp gradient estimate is unknown so far.

It is well known that on any complete noncompact manifold, there always exist nonconstant harmonic functions (see [GW75]). The proof of such existence result is based on the classical unique continuation for harmonic functions. The frequency for harmonic function was firstly introduced by Almgren [Alm79]. Later Garofalo and Lin [GL86] used the bound on frequency to prove the unique continuation, which partly revealed the deep connection between the existence problem and the bound on frequency.

The polynomial growth harmonic functions are important harmonic functions. Yau [Yau87] conjectured: on a complete manifold with $Rc \geq 0$, the space of harmonic functions with polynomial growth is finite dimensional. In 1997, this conjecture was completely proved by Colding and Minicozzi [CM97b] (also see [Li97], [CM98a], [CM98b] for further developments).

Sormani [Sor00] proved that the existence of any nonconstant harmonic functions with polynomial growth on linear volume growth manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$ leads to the splitting of the manifolds. This can be used to construct the manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$, which does not admit any nonconstant harmonic functions with polynomial growth.

For a complete Riemannian manifold M^n with $Rc \geq 0$, define $V_M = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r(p))}{\omega_n r^n}$; if $V_M > 0$, we say that M^n has **maximal volume growth**. Although Yau's conjecture was confirmed, we do not know whether there always exists a non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function on M^n with maximal volume growth. In the rest of the introduction, we assume M^n has maximal volume growth and $n \geq 3$.

Colding and Minicozzi [CM97a] introduced a slightly different frequency function $\mathcal{F}_u(r)$ for harmonic function u on M^n , and obtained some local estimates of $\mathcal{F}_u(r)$. Furthermore, they [CM97c] made the following conjectures about frequency of harmonic functions.

Conjecture 1.3. (a) *If u is a non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function on M^n , then $\mathcal{F}_u(r)$ is uniformly bounded.*
 (b) *(Quantitative strong unique continuation). Suppose $B_{2r}(p) \subseteq M^n$ for some $p \in M^n$, and u is a harmonic function on $B_{2r}(p)$ with $\sup_{s \in [r, 2r]} \mathcal{F}_u(s) \leq d$, where $d > 0$ is some constant; then there exists $C = C(n, d, V_M)$ such that $\sup_{s \in [0, r]} \mathcal{F}_u(s) \leq C$.*

In this paper, we prove the following theorem revealing the relation between the existence of polynomial growth harmonic function and quantitative strong unique continuation conjecture.

Theorem 1.4. *If the quantitative unique continuation holds on M^n , then there exists a non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function.*

And we also confirm part (a) of Conjecture 1.3 for linear growth harmonic function in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *If u is a linear growth harmonic function on M^n , then $\sup_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_u(r) \leq C(M^n, p)$.*

The organization of this paper is as the following. In part I, we study the harmonic functions defined in a geodesic ball with non-negative Ricci curvature. Especially, we give the sharp gradient estimate of positive harmonic functions, by detailed study of Poisson kernel in the geodesic ball.

In part II, we study the sufficient condition guaranteeing the existence of polynomial growth harmonic functions on the whole complete Riemannian manifold in section 4. Then we prove any linear growth harmonic functions has bounded frequency in section 5. The key of the proof is the asymptotic mean value equality proved by Li [Li86], which provides us the uniform control of u near the infinity of the manifolds. Finally, we show the existence of non-constant polynomial growth harmonic functions on Perelman's example manifolds, which has maximal volume growth and non-unique tangent cones at infinity.

PART I. POSITIVE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN A GEODESIC BALL

In this part, let $G(x, y)$ be the positive Dirichlet Green's function of $B_1(p)$ and \vec{n}_x is the inward unit normal vector of $\partial B_1(p)$ at x . Then for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$P(x, y) = \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial \vec{n}_x}, \quad \forall x \in \partial B_1(p), y \in B_1(p),$$

where $P(x, y)$ is the Poisson kernel of $B_1(p)$.

2. POSITIVE HARMONIC FUNCTION AND POISSON KERNEL

Let $\alpha = n(n-2)\omega_n$, where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball $B_1(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof: [of Theorem 1.1] From Cheng-Yau's gradient estimate, we know that

$$\sup_{B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{C(n)}{1-s}, \quad s \in [0, 1).$$

Hence there exists $h(s) := \sup_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{H}^+ \\ x \in B_s(p)}} u(x) < \infty$. From the compactness theorem of bounded harmonic functions, there exists $u_s \in \mathcal{H}^+$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in B_s(p)} u_s(x) = h(s), \quad s \in [0, 1).$$

Similar to [ABR01, Corollary 6.15], there exists a measure $d\mu_s$ on $\partial B_1(p)$ such that $u_s(y) = \int_{\partial B_1(p)} P(x, y) d\mu_s(x)$, where $P(x, y)$ is the Poisson kernel of $B_1(p)$.

From $u_s(p) = 1$, we obtain $\int_{\partial B_1(p)} P(x, p) d\mu_s(x) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_s(y) &= \int_{\partial B_1(p)} P(x, y) d\mu_s(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x, p)} \cdot \int_{\partial B_1(p)} P(x, p) d\mu_s(x) \\ &= \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $d\mu_s(x) = \frac{1}{P(x, p)} \mathcal{P}_{E(y)}(x)$, where $\mathcal{P}_{E(y)}(x)$ is a probability measure on $E(y) = \{\tilde{x} \in \partial B_1(p) : \frac{P(\tilde{x}, y)}{P(\tilde{x}, p)} = \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x, p)}\}$.

And we define $\psi(y) \in \partial B_1(p)$ such that $\frac{P(\psi(y), y)}{P(\psi(y), p)} = \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x, p)}$. Note that $\psi(y)$ possibly is not unique.

There exists $y_s \in \partial B_s(p)$ such that $u_s(y_s) = \sup_{y \in B_s(p)} u_s(y)$. Also there exists $x_s \in \partial B_1(p)$ such that $\frac{P(x_s, y_s)}{P(x_s, p)} = \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y_s)}{P(x, p)}$. Define $v_s(y) = \frac{P(x_s, y)}{P(x_s, p)} : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, then $v_s \in \mathcal{H}^+$ and

$$h(s) \geq \sup_{y \in B_s(p)} v_s(y) \geq \sup_{y \in B_s(p)} u_s(y) = h(s).$$

Especially, one gets $\sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \frac{P(x, y_s)}{P(x, p)} = u_s(y_s) = h(s)$.

From the above, we get $d\mu_s(x) = \frac{1}{P(x, p)} \mathcal{P}_{E(y_s)}(x)$, which implies

$$u_s(y) = \int_{\partial B_1(p)} P(x, y) d\mu_s(x) = \frac{P(\psi(y_s), y)}{P(\psi(y_s), p)}.$$

□

Lemma 2.1. *On M^n with $n \geq 2$, assume u is a positive harmonic function on $B_1(p) \subseteq M^n$, then for any $s \in [0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{y \in B_s(p)} |\nabla \ln u|(y) \leq \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(p)} \sup_{y \in B_s(p)} |\nabla_y \ln P(x, y)|.$$

Proof: For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $G^\epsilon(x, y)$ be the Dirichlet Green's function of $B_{1-\epsilon}(p)$, then for any $y \in \partial B_s$, we have $u(y) = \int_{\partial B_{1-\epsilon}} u(x) P^\epsilon(x, y) dx$, where $P^\epsilon(x, y) = \frac{\partial G^\epsilon(x, y)}{\partial \vec{n}_x}$. We get

$$\frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{u(y)} = \frac{\left| \int_{\partial B_{1-\epsilon}} u(x) \nabla_y P^\epsilon(x, y) dx \right|}{\int_{\partial B_{1-\epsilon}} u(x) P^\epsilon(x, y) dx} \leq \sup_{x \in \partial B_{1-\epsilon}} \frac{|\nabla_y P^\epsilon(x, y)|}{P^\epsilon(x, y)}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{u(y)} \leq \sup_{x \in \partial B_1} \frac{|\nabla_y P(x, y)|}{P(x, y)}.$$

The conclusion follows from the above. □

Now, we have the sharp gradient estimate on \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 2.2. *On \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$, assume u is a positive harmonic function on B_1 , then $\sup_{y \in B_s} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u}(y) \leq \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for any $0 \leq s < 1$. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $u(y) = \frac{1-|y|^2}{n\omega_n|x_0-y|^n}$ for some $x_0 \in \partial B_1(0)$.*

Proof: Step (1). Recall we have the Poisson kernel $P(x, y)$ of $B_1(0)$ has the following expression:

$$P(x, y) = \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial \vec{n}_x} = \frac{1 - |y|^2}{n\omega_n|x - y|^n}, \quad \forall x \in \partial B_1(0), y \in B_1(0)$$

It is straightforward to get

$$|\nabla_y \ln P(x, y)|^2 = \frac{2n - 2(n-2)s^2}{(1-s^2)^2} + \frac{n(n-2)}{1+s^2-2xy}, \quad \forall x \in \partial B_1, y \in \partial B_s$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{x \in \partial B_1 \\ y \in \partial B_s}} \frac{|\nabla_y P(x, y)|}{P(x, y)} &= \sup_{\substack{x \in \partial B_1 \\ y \in \partial B_s}} \sqrt{\frac{2n - 2(n-2)s^2}{(1-s^2)^2} + \frac{n(n-2)}{1+s^2-2xy}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2n - 2(n-2)s^2}{(1-s^2)^2} + \frac{n(n-2)}{1+s^2-2s}} = \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude $\sup_{\substack{x \in \partial B_1 \\ y \in \partial B_s}} \frac{|\nabla_y P(x, y)|}{P(x, y)} = \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ by the fact that $\frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ is increasing in s .

Combining the above with Lemma 2.1, the first conclusion follows.

Step (2). If the equality holds for some u , then we can assume that $|\nabla \ln u(y_0)| = \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for some $y_0 \in \partial B_s$. From (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s} = |\nabla \ln u(y_0)| \leq \sup_{x \in \partial B_1} |\nabla_y \ln P(x, y_0)| = \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$$

Assume $|\nabla_y \ln P(x_0, y_0)| = \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for some $x_0 \in \partial B_1$, then it is easy to see that $|\nabla_y \ln P(x_1, y_0)| < |\nabla_y \ln P(x_0, y_0)|$ for any $x_1 \neq x_0$. Combining this inequality with (2.2), we get $u|_{\partial B_1}(y) = \delta_{x_0}(y)$. From the Poisson formula, we have $u(y) = P(x_0, y)$. \square

3. GRADIENT ESTIMATE IN GEODESIC BALLS

The following lemma is well-known. We include its proof here for completeness.

Lemma 3.1. Assume $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a harmonic function, where $\Omega \subset M^n$ with $Rc \geq 0$, then for $Q = |\nabla \ln u|^2$, we have

$$\Delta Q \geq \frac{2}{n-1} Q^2 + \frac{4-2n}{n-1} \langle \nabla Q, \nabla v \rangle + \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla Q|^2 \cdot Q^{-1}.$$

Proof: One can set $v = \ln u$, $Q = |\nabla v|^2$. Using the Bochner formula, we compute

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta Q = 2v_{ij}^2 + 2Rc \langle \nabla v, \nabla v \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla v, \nabla \Delta v \rangle \geq 2v_{ij}^2 - 2 \langle \nabla v, \nabla Q \rangle$$

where we use the fact $\Delta v = \nabla(\frac{\nabla u}{u}) = -|\nabla v|^2 = -Q$.

Firstly observed by Yau [Yau75], there is the following estimate for $\sum_{ij} v_{ij}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ij}^2 &\geq v_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{1\alpha}^2 + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \geq v_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{1\alpha}^2 + \frac{(\sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{\alpha\alpha})^2}{n-1} \\ &= v_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{1\alpha}^2 + \frac{(\Delta v - v_{11})^2}{n-1} = v_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha=2}^n v_{1\alpha}^2 + \frac{(Q + v_{11})^2}{n-1} \\ (3.2) \quad &\geq \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n v_{1j}^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} Q^2 + \frac{2}{n-1} Q \cdot v_{11} \end{aligned}$$

Choosing an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ at a point such that $e_1 = \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|}$,

$$|\nabla Q|^2 = |\nabla |\nabla v|^2|^2 = 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i v_{ij} \right)^2 = 4v_1^2 \sum_{j=1}^n v_{1j}^2 = 4|\nabla v|^2 \sum_{j=1}^n v_{1j}^2 = 4Q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n v_{1j}^2$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n v_{1j}^2 = \frac{|\nabla Q|^2}{4Q}$$

Combining the identity $\langle \nabla |\nabla v|^2, \frac{\nabla v}{|\nabla v|} \rangle = \nabla_{e_1} |\nabla v|^2 = 2v_1 v_{11}$ with $v_1 = |\nabla v|$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad v_{11} = \frac{1}{2v_1 |\nabla v|} \langle \nabla |\nabla v|^2, \nabla v \rangle = \frac{1}{2Q} \langle \nabla Q, \nabla v \rangle$$

From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4),

$$v_{ij}^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} |\nabla Q|^2 \cdot Q^{-1} + \frac{1}{n-1} Q^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \langle \nabla Q, \nabla v \rangle$$

combining the above estimate with (3.1), we obtain

$$\Delta Q \geq \frac{2}{n-1} Q^2 + \frac{4-2n}{n-1} \langle \nabla Q, \nabla v \rangle + \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla Q|^2 \cdot Q^{-1}$$

□

From the above lemma and the maximum principle, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For a positive harmonic function u on $B_1(p)$ with $Rc \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{B_t(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} = \sup_{\partial B_t(0)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1)$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *For $B_1(p) \subseteq M^n$ with $Rc \geq 0$, assume $u : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive harmonic function, then for any positive function $h \in C^\infty(B_{1-\epsilon}(p))$ satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-\epsilon} \inf_{x \in \partial B_r(p)} h(x) = \infty$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a constant, we have*

$$\sup_{B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \sup_{\partial B_s(p)} \sqrt{h} \cdot \sup_{y \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} T(h)(y), \quad \forall s < 1 - \epsilon$$

$$\text{where } T(h) = \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left\{ (n^2 - 5n + 4) \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^3} + 2(n-1) \frac{\Delta h}{h^2} \right\}^+}.$$

Proof: Let $\phi = \frac{1}{h}$ on $B_{1-\epsilon}(p)$ and $\phi = 0$ on $M^n - B_{1-\epsilon}(p)$, then ϕ is a positive cut-off function on M^n . Define $P = \phi Q = \phi |\nabla \ln u|^2$, from Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P &= Q \Delta \phi + 2 \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla Q \rangle + \phi \Delta Q \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} P + 2 \phi^{-1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla P \rangle - 2 \phi^{-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \cdot P + \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla P|^2 \cdot P^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi^{-2} \cdot P - \frac{n}{n-1} \phi^{-1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla P \rangle - \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \langle \nabla v, \nabla P \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle Q + \frac{2}{n-1} \phi^{-1} P^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $|\langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle| \leq |\nabla \phi| \cdot |\nabla v| = |\nabla \phi| \cdot \phi^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P &\geq \frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} P + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \phi^{-1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla P \rangle + \frac{4-3n}{2(n-1)} \phi^{-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \cdot P + \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla P|^2 \cdot P^{-1} \\ (3.5) \quad &- \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \langle \nabla v, \nabla P \rangle - \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} |\nabla \phi| \phi^{-\frac{3}{2}} P^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{2}{n-1} \phi^{-1} P^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_1(P) := \Delta P + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \langle \nabla v, \nabla P \rangle - \frac{n-2}{n-1} \phi^{-1} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla P \rangle - \frac{n}{2(n-1)} |\nabla P|^2 \cdot P^{-1}$, then (3.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1(P) &\geq \left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} + \frac{4-3n}{2(n-1)} \phi^{-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) \cdot P - \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} |\nabla \phi| \phi^{-\frac{3}{2}} P^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{2}{n-1} \phi^{-1} P^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(n-1)\phi} \left\{ (n-1) P \Delta \phi + \frac{4-3n}{2} \phi^{-1} |\nabla \phi|^2 \cdot P - 2(n-2) |\nabla \phi| \phi^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2P^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Assume $P(x_0) = \max_{B_1(p)} P(x)$, then $x_0 \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1(P)(x_0) \leq 0$, we get

$$0 \geq 2P(x_0) - 2(n-2) \frac{|\nabla \phi|}{\sqrt{\phi}} P^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0) + \left((n-1) \Delta \phi + \frac{4-3n}{2} \phi^{-1} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) (x_0)$$

which implies

$$P(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|}{\sqrt{\phi}}(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(n^2-n) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} - 2(n-1) \Delta \phi(x_0)}$$

Putting $\phi = h^{-1}$ into the above, we have

$$P(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{|\nabla h|}{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(n^2-5n+4) \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^3} + 2(n-1) \frac{\Delta h}{h^2}}(x_0) = T(h)(x_0)$$

Then we get

$$\sup_{B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} h(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u}(x) = P(x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T(h)(x_0) \leq \sup_{x \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} T(h)(x)$$

which implies $\frac{|\nabla u|}{u}(x) \leq \sqrt{h(x)} \sup_{y \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} T(h)(y)$ for any $x \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)$. Taking the supremum of x on $\partial B_s(p)$, we get

$$\sup_{\partial B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u}(x) \leq \sup_{\partial B_s(p)} \sqrt{h(x)} \cdot \sup_{y \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} T(h)(y), \quad \forall s < 1 - \epsilon$$

The conclusion follows from the above and Corollary 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.4. Assume $u : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a harmonic function, where M^2 is a Riemannian manifold with $Rc \geq 0$, then $\sup_{x \in B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for any $s \in [0, 1)$.

Proof: When $n = 2$, choosing $h(x) = \frac{4}{(1-\epsilon-\rho(x)^2)^2}$ in Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\sup_{B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\partial B_s(p)} \sqrt{h} \cdot \sup_{y \in B_{1-\epsilon}(p)} \sqrt{2 \frac{\Delta h}{h^2} - 2 \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^3}}, \quad \forall s < 1 - \epsilon$$

By the Laplace comparison theorem, we have $\Delta \rho \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$, which yields $\sqrt{2 \frac{\Delta h}{h^2} - 2 \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{h^3}} \leq 2$. Hence we get

$$\sup_{B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \sup_{\partial B_s(p)} \sqrt{h} = \frac{2}{(1-\epsilon-s^2)}, \quad \forall s < 1 - \epsilon$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above, the conclusion follows. \square

The following corollary follows from the above theorem directly.

Corollary 3.5. Assume $u : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a harmonic function, where M^2 is a Riemannian manifold with $Rc \geq 0$, then $u(x) \leq \frac{1+d(p,x)}{1-d(p,x)} u(p)$.

\square

Remark 3.6. We do not know the rigidity part of the above Theorem. In other words, if $\sup_{x \in B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} = \frac{1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}$ for some $s \in [0, 1)$, is $B_1(p)$ isometric to $B_1(0)$?

Question 3.7. Assume $u : B_1(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive harmonic function, where $B_1(p) \subseteq M^n$ with $Rc \geq 0$ and $n \geq 3$, for any $s \in [0, 1)$, do we have

$$\sup_{B_s(p)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{n-1}{1-s} + \frac{1}{1+s}?$$

PART II. POLYNOMIAL GROWTH HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON MANIFOLDS

In part II of this paper, we always assume that M^n is a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold with $Rc \geq 0$ and maximal volume growth, where $n \geq 3$, unless otherwise mentioned.

4. FREQUENCY AND EXISTENCE OF HARMONIC FUNCTION

From [Var81], there exists a unique, minimal, positive Green function on M^n , denoted as $G(p, x)$ where p is a fixed point on manifold. We define $b(x) = [n(n-2)\omega_n \cdot G(p, x)]^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$ and use $\rho(x) = d(p, x)$ unless otherwise mentioned. From the behavior of Green function $G(p, x)$ near singular point p , we get

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{\rho(x)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\rho(x) \rightarrow 0} |\nabla b| = 1.$$

The following definition of frequency function was firstly introduced in [CM97a]. Assume $u(x)$ is a harmonic function defined on $\{b(x) \leq r\}$, define:

$$(4.2) \quad I_u(r) = r^{1-n} \int_{b(x)=r} u^2 |\nabla b| dx \quad \text{and} \quad D_u(r) = r^{2-n} \int_{b(x) \leq r} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

The frequency function of harmonic function u is defined by $\mathcal{F}_u(r) = \frac{D_u(r)}{I_u(r)}$.

In this paper, $I(r)$, $D(r)$ and $\mathcal{F}(r)$ are defined as in above with respect to harmonic function u (which may be defined locally on suitable regions of M^n). From the definition of $\mathcal{F}_u(r)$, we can get that $\mathcal{F}_u(0) = 0$ if $u(p) \neq 0$.

The following lemma is a generalized version of the Rellich-Necas identity, which was originally discovered by Payne and Weinberger [PW58] (also see [CM97a]).

Lemma 4.1. *For harmonic function u on $B = \{x : b(x) \leq r\} \subseteq M^n$, we have*

$$r \int_{b=r} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot |\nabla b| = 2r \int_{b=r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 \cdot |\nabla b| + \int_{b \leq r} \frac{1}{2} \Delta(b^2) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 - \nabla^2(b^2)(\nabla u, \nabla u),$$

where \vec{n} is the unit normal of ∂B pointing inward of B .

□

Lemma 4.2. *When $I(r) \neq 0$, we have*

$$(\ln \mathcal{F}(r))' \geq - \frac{\int_{b \leq r} \{n(1 - |\nabla b|^2) + |2g - \nabla^2(b^2)|\} \cdot |\nabla u|^2}{r \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} - 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2}.$$

Proof: From the definition of \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\mathcal{F}'(r) = \frac{D'(r)}{I(r)} - \frac{D(r)}{I^2(r)} I'(r) = \mathcal{F}(r) \left\{ \frac{D'(r)}{D(r)} - \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} \right\}.$$

From Lemma 5.1 and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, noting that $\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{b=r} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D'(r)}{D(r)} - \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} &= \frac{2-n}{r} + \frac{\int_{b=r} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} - 2 \frac{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{b=r} u^2 |\nabla b|} \\ &\geq \frac{2-n}{r} + \frac{\int_{b=r} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} - 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} \\ &= \frac{2-n}{r} + \frac{\int_{b=r} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla b|^{-1} - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 |\nabla b|}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} + 2 \left\{ \frac{\int_{b=r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 |\nabla b|}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} - \frac{\int_{b=r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|^2 |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note $|\nabla b| \leq 1$ and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{D'(r)}{D(r)} - \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} &\geq \frac{2-n}{r} + \frac{\int_{b=r} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla b| - 2|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}|^2 |\nabla b|}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} + 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}|^2 (|\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1})}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} \\
&= \frac{2-n}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\int_{b \leq r} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Delta(b^2) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 - \nabla^2(b^2)(\nabla u, \nabla u) \right]}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} + 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}|^2 (|\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1})}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} \\
&= \frac{\int_{b \leq r} n[|\nabla b|^2 - 1] |\nabla u|^2 + \left[(2g - \nabla^2(b^2))(\nabla u, \nabla u) \right]}{r \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} + 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}|^2 (|\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1})}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} \\
&\geq - \frac{\int_{b \leq r} \{n(1 - |\nabla b|^2) + |2g - \nabla^2(b^2)|\} \cdot |\nabla u|^2}{r \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2} - 2 \frac{\int_{b=r} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{n}}|^2 |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1}}{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2},
\end{aligned}$$

in the last equation above we use $\Delta(b^2) = 2n|\nabla b|^2$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, there is $r_0 > 0$ such that if $r \geq V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r_0$, then*

$$\{x : \rho(x) \leq V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r\} \subseteq \{x : b(x) \leq r\} \subseteq \{x : \rho(x) \leq V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r\}.$$

Proof: From the proof of [LTW97, Theorem 1.1] (also see [CM97c]), for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$(V_M)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 + \tau(n, \delta))^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x) \leq b(x) \leq (V_M)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 - \tau(n, \delta))^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x),$$

where $\tau(n, \delta)(x) = C(n)[\delta + (\theta_p(\delta \rho(x)) - \theta)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}]$, and

$$\theta_p(r) = \frac{\text{Vol}(\partial B_r(p))}{r^{n-1}}, \quad \theta = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \theta_p(r).$$

For any $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, we can firstly find $\delta = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2C(n)}$, then

$$\tau(n, \delta) = C(n)\delta + C(n)(\theta_p(\delta \rho(x)) - \theta)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} + C(n)\left\{\theta_p\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{2C(n)}\rho(x)\right) - \theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Now choose suitable $r_0 > 0$, then it yields $\tau(n, \delta)(x) \leq \epsilon_0$. If $\rho(x) \geq r_0$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad (V_M)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x) \leq b(x) \leq (V_M)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x).$$

From [Col12], we know that $|\nabla b| \leq 1$. If $\rho(x) \leq r_0$, we get $b(x) \leq \rho(x) \leq r_0 \leq r$. If $\rho(x) \in [r_0, V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r]$, then from (4.3),

$$b(x) \leq V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x) \leq r,$$

the first inclusion is proved.

If $b(x) \leq r$, and $\rho(x) > (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r$, then $\rho(x) > r_0$. From (4.3),

$$b(x) \geq V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} \rho(x) > r.$$

This is the contradiction, and the second inclusion follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *There is $R = R(M^n, n) > 0$, such that for any $\tau > 1, r \geq R$, we have*

$$\sup_{b(x) \leq r} |\nabla u|^2(x) \leq \frac{C(n, \tau)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} r^{-2} D(\tau r) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{b \leq r} |u|^2 \leq \frac{C(n, \tau)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(\tau r),$$

where u is harmonic with $u(p) = 0$.

Proof: From Lemma 4.3, choose $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, there is $r_0 > 0$ such that if $r \geq V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r_0$, then

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \rho \leq V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r \right\} \subseteq \{b \leq r\} \subseteq \left\{ \rho \leq V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r \right\}.$$

From [LS84, Theorem 1.2] and (4.4), let $\tau_1 = 2^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \tau > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{b(x) \leq r} |\nabla u|^2(x) &\leq \sup_{B(V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r)} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C(n, \tau_1)}{\text{Vol}(B(\tau_1 V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r))} \int_{B(\tau_1 V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r)} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C(n, \tau)}{V_M \cdot (\tau_1 V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r)^n} \int_{b \leq \tau_1 (1 - \epsilon_0^2)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \\ (4.5) \quad &\leq \frac{C(n, \tau)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} r^{-2} D(\tau r). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (4.5) along geodesics starting at p and using $u(p) = 0$, we obtain

$$\sup_{b(x) \leq r} |u|^2(x) \leq \frac{C(n, \tau)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(\tau r). \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.5. *If $u(x)$ is harmonic on $B_{2r}(p) \subset M^n$ with $\max_{s \leq 2r} \mathcal{F}_u(s) \leq d$ and $u(p) = 0$, where $r \geq \max\{1, R(M^n, p, n)\}$, then $\sup_{b \leq r} |u| \leq C(n, V_M, d) r^d I_u(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof: From Lemma 4.4, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{b \leq r} |u|^2 \leq \frac{C(n)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(2r), \quad \forall r \geq R(M^n, p, n).$$

By Lemma 5.1, for any $s \in [1, 2r]$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad I(s) = \exp\left(2 \int_1^s \frac{\mathcal{F}(t)}{t} dt\right) I(1) \leq I(1) s^{2d}.$$

From (4.7), we have

$$(4.8) \quad D(s) = I(s) \mathcal{F}(s) \leq I(1) d \cdot s^{2d}, \quad \forall s \in [1, 2r].$$

By (4.8) and (4.6), we obtain

$$\sup_{b \leq r} |u|^2 \leq \frac{C(n)D(2r)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} \leq C(n, V_M)I(1)dr^{2d} = C(n, V_M, d)r^{2d}I(1).$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *If there exists a sequence of non-zero harmonic functions $u_i(x)$ defined on $B_{r_i}(p) \subseteq M^n$, where $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i = \infty$, satisfying $u_i(p) = 0$ and $\max_{s \leq r_i} \mathcal{F}_{u_i}(s) \leq d$ for some $d > 0$, then there exists a non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function u with degree $\leq d$.*

Proof: Consider $I_{u_i}(1)$, if $I_{u_i}(1) = 0$, from the maximum principle, we know that $u_i|_{B_{r_i}(p)} = 0$. Applying unique continuation theorem on u_i , we get $u_i|_{B_{r_i}(p)} = 0$, which is the contradiction. Hence $I_{u_i}(1) \neq 0$, we can define $\tilde{u}_i(x) = \frac{u_i(x)}{I_{u_i}(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

From Lemma 5.1, we have $n\omega_n u_i(p)^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} I_{u_i}(r) \leq I_{u_i}(1)$, which implies

$$(4.9) \quad |u_i(p)| \leq \left(\frac{I_{u_i}(1)}{n\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (4.9), we have

$$(4.10) \quad |\tilde{u}_i(p)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad I_{\tilde{u}_i}(1) = 1.$$

From Lemma 4.5 and the assumption on u_i , using (4.9), we know that

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{r_i}{2}}(p)} |u_i| \leq |u_i(p)| + C(n, d)r_i^d I_{u_i}(1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(n, d)r_i^d I_{u_i}(1)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which implies $\sup_{B_{\frac{r_i}{2}}(p)} |\tilde{u}_i| \leq C(n, d)r_i^d$. From compactness theorem of harmonic functions and the above growth estimate for u_i , after taking suitable subsequence, \tilde{u}_i converges to a polynomial growth harmonic function $u(x)$ with degree $\leq d$ on M^n .

From (4.10), we know that u satisfies $I_u(1) = 1$, hence $u(x)$ is not constant by $u_i(p) = 0$. The conclusion is proved. □

Proposition 4.7. *For $\gamma \geq 1$, there is $R_2 = R_2(M^n, p, \delta, \gamma)$, such that for $R \geq R_2$ and harmonic function u on $B_R \subseteq M^n$, if $I_u(r) \leq \gamma \cdot I_u(\frac{r}{2})$ for any $r \in [2^{-1}R, 2R]$, then*

$$\sup_{s \in [\frac{1}{2}R, R]} \mathcal{F}(s) \leq 14 \ln \gamma.$$

Proof: Step (1). From Lemma 4.4, we obtain $\sup_{b(x) \leq \frac{r}{2}} |u|^2(x) \leq \frac{C(n)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(\frac{5}{8}r)$. Hence

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\frac{r}{2}) &= \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{1-n} \int_{b=\frac{r}{2}} u^2 |\nabla b| \leq \frac{C(n)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(\frac{5}{8}r) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{1-n} \int_{b=\frac{r}{2}} |\nabla b| \\ &= \frac{C(n)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} D(\frac{5}{8}r) I_1(\frac{r}{2}) = C(n) V_M^{\frac{2}{n-2}} D(\frac{5}{8}r), \end{aligned}$$

in the last equation we use Lemma 5.1. Also from Lemma 5.1,

$$\int_{\frac{14}{15}r}^r \frac{2D(s)}{s} ds = I(r) - I\left(\frac{14}{15}r\right) \leq I(r),$$

which implies $2 \int_{\frac{14}{15}r}^r s^{n-2} D(s) ds \leq r^{n-1} I(r)$. Note $s^{n-2} D(s)$ is nondecreasing in s from the definition of $D(r)$, we get $\frac{1}{7} \left(\frac{14}{15}r\right)^{n-1} D\left(\frac{14}{15}r\right) \leq r^{n-1} I(r)$. Combining (4.11), simplifying the above inequality yields

$$(4.12) \quad D\left(\frac{14}{15}r\right) \leq C(n)I(r) \leq C(n)\gamma I\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \leq C(n)V_M^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \gamma D\left(\frac{5}{8}r\right).$$

From Lemma 4.4, let $\tau = \left(\frac{16}{15}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{b(x) \leq \frac{7}{8}r} |\nabla u|^2(x) &\leq C(n)V_M^{\frac{2}{n-2}} r^{-2} D\left(\frac{14}{15}r\right) \leq C(n, V_M) r^{-2} \gamma D\left(\frac{5}{8}r\right) \\ &= C(n, V_M) \gamma r^{-n} \int_{b \leq \frac{5}{8}r} |\nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence we get

$$\sup_{b(x) \leq s} |\nabla u|^2(x) \leq C(n, V_M) \gamma s^{-n} \int_{b \leq s} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \forall s \in \left[\frac{5}{8}r, \frac{7}{8}r\right].$$

Step (2). From [CC96], given any $\delta > 0$, there exists $R_1 = R_1(M^n, p, \delta) > 0$ such that for $r \geq R_1$, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \oint_{b(y) \leq r} \left| |\nabla b|^2 - 1 \right| dy \leq \delta, \quad \oint_{b(y) \leq r} \left| \nabla^2(b^2) - 2g \right| dy \leq \delta.$$

In the rest of the proof, we assume that $R \geq 16R_1(M^n, p, \delta)$, where δ is to be determined later. Using (4.13), Lemma 4.2 and Step (1), for $s \in \left[\frac{5}{8}r, \frac{7}{8}r\right]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\ln \mathcal{F}(s) \right)' &\geq - \frac{\sup_{b \leq s} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{b \leq s} |\nabla u|^2} \left\{ \frac{n}{s} \int_{b \leq s} \left| |\nabla b|^2 - 1 \right| + \left| 2g - \nabla^2(b^2) \right| + 2 \int_{b=s} \left| |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1} \right| \right\} \\ &\geq -C(n, V_M) \gamma \cdot \left\{ \frac{\int_{b \leq s} \left| |\nabla b|^2 - 1 \right| + \left| 2g - \nabla^2(b^2) \right|}{s^{n+1}} + \frac{\int_{b=s} \left| |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1} \right|}{s^n} \right\} \\ &\geq -C(n, V_M) \gamma \cdot \left\{ \frac{\oint_{b \leq s} \left| |\nabla b|^2 - 1 \right| + \left| 2g - \nabla^2(b^2) \right|}{s} + \frac{\int_{b=s} \left| |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1} \right|}{r^n} \right\} \\ &\geq -C(n, V_M) \gamma \cdot \left\{ \frac{\delta}{s} + \frac{\int_{b=s} \left| |\nabla b| - |\nabla b|^{-1} \right|}{r^n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence take the integral of the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{5}{8}r}^{\frac{7}{8}r} (\ln \mathcal{F}(s))' ds &\geq -C(n, V_M)\gamma\delta - C(n, V_M)\gamma r^{-n} \int_{\frac{5}{8}r \leq b \leq \frac{7}{8}r} ||\nabla b|^2 - 1| \\ &\geq -C(n, V_M)\gamma\delta - C(n, V_M)\gamma \int_{b \leq \frac{7}{8}r} ||\nabla b|^2 - 1| \\ &\geq -C(n, V_M)\gamma\delta, \end{aligned}$$

in the first inequality above we use the Co-area formula. Hence there exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n, V_M, \gamma)$, such that if $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$\int_{\frac{5}{8}r}^{\frac{7}{8}r} (\ln \mathcal{F})'(s) ds \geq -1.$$

From Step (1) and Lemma 5.1,

$$\int_{\frac{5}{8}r}^{\frac{7}{8}r} \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds = \left(\ln I(s) \right) \Big|_{\frac{5}{8}r}^{\frac{7}{8}r} \leq \ln \frac{I(r)}{I(\frac{r}{2})} \leq \ln \gamma.$$

Hence there exists $s_i \in [\frac{3}{4}r, \frac{7}{8}r]$ such that $\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leq \frac{7}{2} \ln \gamma$. For this s_i , similar to the above argument, in fact we have $\int_s^{s_i} (\ln \mathcal{F})'(t) dt \geq -1$ for any $s \in [\frac{5}{8}r, \frac{11}{16}r]$, which implies

$$\sup_{s \in [\frac{5}{8}r, \frac{11}{16}r]} \mathcal{F}(s) \leq e\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leq 14 \ln \gamma, \quad \forall r \in [2^{-1}R, 2R]$$

then we get that $\sup_{s \in [\frac{1}{2}R, R]} \mathcal{F}(s) \leq e\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leq 14 \ln \gamma$. \square

Now we conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof: [of Theorem 1.4] **Step (1).** From [CC96], we know any tangent cone at infinity of M^n is a metric cone, choose one denoted as $C(X)$. Consider $\varphi_1(x)$ is the eigenfunction on X with respect to eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + n - 2)$, $\int_X |\varphi_1|^2 = 1$. Let $u_\infty = r^{\alpha_1} \varphi_1(x)$, then u_∞ is harmonic on $C(X)$ and $u_\infty(p_\infty) = 0$.

From [Xu16, Lemma 4.1], there exist $R_i \rightarrow \infty$, $B(R_i) \subset M^n$, such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_{GH}(B_i(1), B_\infty(1)) = 0,$$

where $B_i(1) \subset (M^n, R_i^{-2}g)$, $B_\infty(1) \subset C(X)$. And u_i is harmonic on $B_p(R_i) = B_i(1)$ satisfying the following property:

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |u_i \circ \Psi_{\infty, i} - u_\infty|_{L^\infty(B_\infty(1))} = 0, \quad u_i(p) = 0,$$

where $\Psi_{\infty, i} : B_\infty(1) \rightarrow B_i(1)$ is an ϵ_i -Gromov-Hausdorff approximation, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0$. From Proposition 3.4 in [Hon15], we have

$$(4.15) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} I_{u_i}(t) = I_{u_\infty}(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

Step (2). Let $d = \alpha_1 + 1$, we will prove that there exists $i_0 = i_0 > 0$ such that if $i \geq i_0$, then

$$(4.16) \quad I_{u_i}(r) \leq 2^{2d} \cdot I_{u_i}(\frac{r}{2}), \quad \forall r \in [4^{-1}R_i, R_i].$$

By contradiction. If the above statement does not hold, we can assume that there exists a subsequence $\{r_i\}$ with $r_i \in [4^{-1}R_i, R_i]$, such that

$$(4.17) \quad I_{u_i}(r_i) > 2^{2d} \cdot I_{u_i}\left(\frac{r_i}{2}\right).$$

Note $R_i^{-1}r_i \in [4^{-1}, 1]$, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a subsequence of $\{i\}$, for simplicity also denoted as $\{i\}$ such that

$$(4.18) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i^{-1}r_i = c_0 \in [4^{-1}, 1],$$

where c_0 is some constant. Taking the limit in (4.17), from (4.18) and (4.15), note $b_\infty = \rho_\infty$ on $C(X)$, we have

$$(4.19) \quad c_0^{1-n} \int_{\rho_\infty=c_0} |u_\infty|^2 d\nu_\infty \geq 2^{2d} \left(\frac{c_0}{2}\right)^{1-n} \int_{\rho_\infty=\frac{c_0}{2}} |u_\infty|^2 d\nu_\infty.$$

From $u_\infty = r^{\alpha_1} \varphi_1(x)$ and $d > \alpha_1$, (4.19) implies $\int_X |\varphi_1(x)|^2 dx = 0$, which is contradiction.

From (4.16) and Proposition 4.7, we get that $\sup_{s \in [4^{-1}R_i, 2^{-1}R_i]} \mathcal{F}_{u_i}(s) \leq 28d \ln 2$.

From quantitative strong unique continuation, we have $\sup_{s \in [0, 2^{-1}R_i]} \mathcal{F}_{u_i}(s) \leq C(n, d, V_M)$.

Now applying Lemma ??, we obtain the existence of non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function on M^n . \square

5. THE FREQUENCY OF LINEAR GROWTH HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

Lemma 5.1. *If u is a harmonic function, we have $I'_u(r) = 2 \frac{D_u(r)}{r}$, and*

$$u(p) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n r^{n-1}} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b|, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Remark 5.2. *The formula $I'_u(r) = 2 \frac{D_u(r)}{r}$ firstly appeared in [CM97a], for reader's convenience we include its proof here.*

Proof: Firstly, we note $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{\nabla b}{|\nabla b|^2}$, assume the volume element of $b^{-1}(r)$ is $J(b^{-1}(r))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(r) &= (1-n)r^{-n} \int_{b=r} u^2 |\nabla b| + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} 2u \langle \nabla u, \frac{\nabla b}{|\nabla b|} \rangle \\ &\quad + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} u^2 \left(\frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} |\nabla b|}{|\nabla b|^2} + \frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} J(b^{-1}(r))}{|\nabla b| J(b^{-1}(r))} \right) dx \\ &= 2 \frac{D_u(r)}{r} + (1-n)r^{-n} \int_{b=r} u^2 |\nabla b| + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} \frac{u^2}{|\nabla b|} \Delta b \end{aligned}$$

where we use the Divergence Theorem, u is harmonic and the fact $\left(\frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} |\nabla b|}{|\nabla b|^2} + \frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} J(b^{-1}(r))}{|\nabla b| J(b^{-1}(r))} \right) = \Delta b$. From $\Delta(b^{2-n}) = 0$, we have $\Delta b = \frac{n-1}{b} |\nabla b|^2$, plug into the above equation, we get $I'_u(r) = 2 \frac{D_u(r)}{r}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| \right) \\
&= (1-n)r^{-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} \left\langle \nabla u, \frac{\nabla b}{|\nabla b|} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} u \left(\frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} |\nabla b|}{|\nabla b|^2} + \frac{\nabla_{\nabla b} J(b^{-1}(r))}{|\nabla b| J(b^{-1}(r))} \right) dx \\
&= r^{1-n} \int_{b \leq r} \Delta u + (1-n)r^{-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} \frac{u}{|\nabla b|} \Delta b \\
&= (1-n)r^{-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| + r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} \frac{u}{|\nabla b|} \Delta b = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} u |\nabla b| = u(p) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b| = u(p) n \omega_n.$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *If $u(p) = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_u(0) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{m \geq 0 : \frac{d^m}{dr^m} I_u(r)|_{r=0} \neq 0\} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof: From Lemma 5.1 and by induction, it is straightforward to get

$$\frac{d^m}{dr^m} I(r) = r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial r^m} (u^2), \quad \forall m \geq 0.$$

Let $u \equiv 1$ in Lemma 5.1, we get $r^{1-n} \int_{b=r} |\nabla b| = n \omega_n$. Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^m}{dr^m} I(r) = n \omega_n \cdot \frac{\partial^m}{\partial r^m} (u^2)|_{r=0}, \quad \forall m \geq 0.$$

Let $j = \max\{s : \frac{\partial^t}{\partial r^t} u|_{r=0} = 0, 0 \leq t \leq s\}$, then $\frac{\partial^t}{\partial r^t} (u^2)|_{r=0} = 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq (2j+1)$, and $\frac{\partial^{2j+2}}{\partial r^{2j+2}} (u^2)|_{r=0} \neq 0$. From Lemma 5.1, we know that $D(r) = \frac{1}{2} r \cdot I'(r)$, hence $D^{(2j+2)}(r)|_{r=0} = \frac{2j+2}{2} I^{(2j+2)}(r)|_{r=0}$. By the L'Hospital rule, we have $\mathcal{F}(0) = \frac{D^{(2j+2)}(0)}{I^{(2j+2)}(0)} = j+1$. □

Corollary 5.4. *For any non-constant harmonic function u defined on $B_r(p) \subseteq M^n$, there exists $C(p, r, M^n, u) < \infty$ such that $\sup_{s \in [0, r]} \mathcal{F}_u(s) \leq C(p, r, M^n, u)$.*

Proof: Note if $\mathcal{F}_u(s) = \infty$ for any $s > 0$, then $u|_{b(x) \leq s} = 0$. From the unique continuation property of harmonic functions, we know that $u \equiv 0$, it is the contradiction. Now the conclusion follows from Lemma 5.3 and the continuity of $\mathcal{F}_u(s)$ with respect to s . □

The following lemma was proved in [Li86].

Lemma 5.5. *Let M^n be a complete manifold with $Rc \geq 0$. Suppose f is a bounded subharmonic function defined on M^n , then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(p)} f = \sup_{M^n} f$.*

□

Lemma 5.6. *For any $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $r \geq (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r_0$, and harmonic function u on M^n ,*

$$(1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{n}{2-n}} \int_{\rho \leq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{D(r)}{\omega_n V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} r^2} \leq (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} \int_{\rho \leq (1+\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Proof: From Lemma 4.3, for $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there is $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $r \geq (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(r) &= r^{2-n} \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2 \geq r^{2-n} \int_{\rho \leq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\text{Vol}(\rho \leq (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r)}{r^{n-2}} \int_{\rho \leq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{n}{2-n}} \omega_n V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} r^2 \int_{\rho \leq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(r) &= r^{2-n} \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2 \leq r^{2-n} \int_{\rho \leq (1+\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\rho \leq (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r)}{r^{n-2}} \int_{\rho \leq (1+\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon_0)^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} \omega_n V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} r^2 \int_{\rho \leq (1+\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} V_M^{\frac{1}{n-2}} r} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.7. *For linear growth harmonic function u on M^n , $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_u(s) = 1$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume $\sup_{M^n} |\nabla u| = 1$. By Bochner formula and u is harmonic, one gets that $|\nabla u|^2$ is a bounded subharmonic function. From Lemma 5.6, for any $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that if $r \geq r_0$, we have

$$\psi_1(\epsilon_0) \varphi(\psi_2(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r) \leq \frac{D(r)}{V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} r^2} \leq \psi_3(\epsilon_0) \varphi(\psi_4(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r),$$

where $\varphi(s) = \int_{\rho \leq s} |\nabla u|^2$ and $\psi_i(\cdot)$ are functions satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_i(t) = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$.

The above inequality implies that for any $s \in [r_0, r]$,

$$\psi_5(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(\psi_2(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} s)}{\varphi(\psi_4(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r)} \leq \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} \leq \psi_6(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(\psi_4(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} s)}{\varphi(\psi_2(\epsilon_0) V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r)}.$$

Combining Lemma 5.5, if r_0 is big enough, we have

$$\psi_5(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2} (1 - \epsilon_0) \leq \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} \leq \psi_6(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2} \cdot (1 + \epsilon_0),$$

which implies $\psi_7(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2} \leq \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} \leq \psi_8(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2}$. From Lemma 5.1,

$$\mathcal{F}(r) = \frac{D(r)}{I(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r I'(s) ds} = \frac{D(r)}{I(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{2D(s)}{s} ds} = \frac{1}{\frac{I(r_0)}{D(r)} + 2 \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{s} \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} ds}.$$

Note $I(r_0) \geq 0$, if we assume $r \geq 2r_0$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(r) \leq \frac{1}{2 \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{s} \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} ds} \leq \frac{1}{2\psi_7(\epsilon_0) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{s}{r^2} ds} = \frac{\psi_9(\epsilon_0)}{1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}},$$

which implies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(r) \leq \psi_9(\epsilon_0)$. Letting $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$, we get $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(r) \leq 1$.

On the other hand, similar to the above, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(r) \geq \frac{1}{\frac{I(r_0)}{D(r)} + 2\psi_8(\epsilon_0) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{s}{r^2} ds} \geq \frac{1}{\frac{I(r_0)}{\psi_1(\epsilon_0)\varphi\left(\psi_2(\epsilon_0)V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}}r\right)V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}}r^2} + \psi_8(\epsilon_0)\left[1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}\right]},$$

which implies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(r) \geq 1$. The conclusion follows. \square

Recall S.-Y. Cheng [Che80] proved that any non-constant polynomial growth harmonic function is at least linear growth. From [CCM95, Theorem 1], we know that on M^n with $Rc \geq 0$, the existence of non-constant linear growth harmonic function implies, that any tangent cone at infinity of M^n , M_∞ , will split isometrically as $\mathbb{R} \times N$. The following rigidity result links the geometric structure of M^n with the frequency upper bound of global harmonic functions.

Corollary 5.8. *For harmonic function u with $u(p) = 0$, if $\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_u(s) \leq 1$, then M^n is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof: From Lemma 4.5 and $\mathcal{F}(s) \leq 1$, we know that u is linear growth. Hence we can assume that $\sup_{M^n} |\nabla u| = 1$ by rescaling. From Lemma 5.1, we have $\frac{I'}{I}(r) = \frac{2}{r} \mathcal{F}(r)$, which implies $I(r) = I(1)e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}$ and

$$D(r) = I(r)\mathcal{F}(r) = I(1)\mathcal{F}(r)e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 5.7, for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$ the followings hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(1) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D(r)}{\mathcal{F}(r) e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{2-n} \int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2}{\mathcal{F}(r) e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} \\
&\geq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^2}{\mathcal{F}(r) e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} \cdot \frac{\int_{\rho \leq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}} r V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}}} |\nabla u|^2}{r^n} \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^2}{\mathcal{F}(r) e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(\rho \leq r V_M^{\frac{1}{2-n}} (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2-n}})}{r^n} \sup_{M^n} |\nabla u|^2 \\
&= \omega_n V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{n}{2-n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $u(p) = 0$ and Lemma 5.3, we know $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}(r) \geq 1$, hence $\mathcal{F}(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I(1) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(r)}{\mathcal{F}(r) e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(r)}{r^2} \cdot \frac{r^2}{e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} \\
&\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{b \leq r} |\nabla u|^2}{r^n} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^2}{e^{\int_1^r \frac{2\mathcal{F}(s)}{s} ds}} = \omega_n |\nabla u|^2(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we get

$$|\nabla u|^2(p) \geq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{n}{2-n}} V_M^{\frac{2}{2-n}} \geq (1-\epsilon_0)^{\frac{n}{2-n}}.$$

Letting $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ in the above, we have $|\nabla u|^2(p) \geq 1$. From the strong maximum principle and $\Delta |\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$, we get $|\nabla u|^2 \equiv 1$. This implies $V_M = 1$, hence M^n is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof: [of Theorem 1.5] From [LT89], $\dim \mathcal{H}_1(M^n) < \infty$, where $\mathcal{H}_1(M^n)$ is the space of linear growth harmonic functions on M^n . We can assume $\mathcal{H}'(M) = \{f : f(p) = 0, f \in \mathcal{H}_1(M)\}$, and define the inner product as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{B_r(p)} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g,$$

which is well-defined by Lemma 5.5.

Assume $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}'(M)$, to prove the conclusion, we only need to show that $\mathcal{F}_u(r) \leq C(M^n)$, where $u = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^2 = 1$.

For any $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there is $R_0 > 0$ such that if $t \geq R_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_B \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j - \delta_{ij} \right| &\leq \epsilon_0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq 4, \\ \left| \int_B |\nabla u|^2 - 1 \right| &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m |a_i a_j| \cdot \left| \int_B \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j - \delta_{ij} \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \right)^2 \leq m \epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 5.6, for $r \geq s \geq R_0$, we get

$$\frac{D_u(s)}{D_u(r)} \geq \frac{\psi_1(\epsilon_0) s^2 \int_B \nabla u|^2}{\psi_3(\epsilon_0) r^2 \int_B \nabla u|^2} \geq \frac{1 - m \epsilon_0}{1 + m \epsilon_0} \psi(\epsilon_0) \frac{s^2}{r^2}.$$

And if $r \geq 2R_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(r) &= \frac{1}{\frac{l(R_0)}{D(r)} + 2 \int_{R_0}^r \frac{1}{s} \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} ds} \leq \frac{1}{2 \int_{R_0}^r \frac{1}{s} \frac{D(s)}{D(r)} ds} \leq \frac{1}{2 \psi(\epsilon_0) \int_{R_0}^r \frac{s}{r^2} ds} = \frac{\psi(\epsilon_0)}{1 - \frac{(R_0)^2}{r^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \psi(\epsilon_0). \end{aligned}$$

For $r \leq 2R_0$, we claim that there is some $C > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_u(r) \leq C$ for any $u \in \mathcal{H}'(M^n)$. By contradiction, if there is $v_i \in \mathcal{H}'(M^n)$ and $s_i \leq 2R_0$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{v_i}(s_i) = \infty$. Without loss of generality, we can rescale v_i such that $\max_{x \in B_{2R_0}(p)} |\nabla v_i(x)| = 1$. From the compactness theorem for harmonic functions, we get $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = s_\infty \leq 2R_0$ and $v_i \rightarrow v_\infty \in \mathcal{H}_1(M^n)$, also

$$\mathcal{F}_{v_\infty}(s_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{v_i}(s_i) = \infty, \quad v_\infty(p) = 0, \quad \max_{x \in B_{2R_0}(p)} |\nabla v_\infty(x)| = 1,$$

which is the contradiction to Corollary 5.4. The conclusion follows from the above argument. \square

6. POLYNOMIAL GROWTH HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON PERELMAN'S MANIFOLD

From [Din04] (also see [Xu16]), we know the existence of non-constant PGHF on maximal volume growth manifolds with unique tangent cone at infinity. In this section, applying the results of [Xu16], we show the existence of non-constant PGHF on some maximal volume growth manifolds with different tangent cones at infinity, which were constructed firstly by Perelman [Per97b].

A metric space $(M_\infty, p_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ is a **tangent cone at infinity** of M^n if it is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of rescaled manifolds $(M^n, p, r_j^{-2}g)$, where $r_j \rightarrow \infty$. By Gromov's compactness theorem, [Gro99], any sequence $r_j \rightarrow \infty$, has a subsequence, also denoted as $r_j \rightarrow \infty$, such that the rescaled manifolds

$(M^n, p, r_j^{-2}g)$ converge to some tangent cone at infinity M_∞ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. From Cheeger-Colding's theory of Ricci limit spaces, there exists a self-adjoint Laplace operator $\Delta_{(C(X), \nu)}$ on $(C(X), \nu) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$, where ν is a measure. And ν induces a natural measure ν_{-1} on X , which yields the existence of a self-adjoint positive Laplace operator $\Delta_{(X, \nu_{-1})}$ on (X, ν_{-1}) .

On a metric cone $(C(X), dr^2 + r^2 dX)$, the measure ν is called **conic measure of power** κ , and κ is a positive constant denoted as $p(\nu)$, if for any $\Omega \subset\subset C(X)$,

$$\nu(\Omega) = \int_0^\infty r^{\kappa-1} dr \int_X \chi(\Omega_r) d\nu_{-1}$$

where $\Omega_r = \{z | z \in \Omega, r(z) = r\}$, $\chi(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function on $C(X)$.

And we also define $\mathcal{S}(M)$ the spectrum at infinity of (M^n, g) and $\mathcal{D}(M)$ the degree spectrum at infinity of (M^n, g) :

$$\mathcal{S}(M) := \{\lambda | \lambda = \lambda_j(X, \nu_{-1}) \text{ for some positive interger } j \text{ and } (C(X), \nu) \in \mathcal{M}(M)\}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(M) := \{\alpha \geq 0 | \alpha(\kappa + \alpha - 2) = \lambda \text{ for some } \lambda = \lambda_j(X, \nu_{-1}) \in \mathcal{S}(M) \text{ and } \kappa = p(\nu)\}$$

Recall the following theorem [Xu16, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a complete manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature, assume that every tangent cone at infinity of M^n with renormalized limit measure is a metric cone $C(X)$ with conic measure of power $\kappa \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{H}^1(X) > 0$. If there exists $d \notin \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $d > \inf\{\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{D}(M), \alpha \neq 0\}$, then $\dim(\mathcal{H}_d(M)) \geq 2$.*

□

The following lemma was implied by Perelman's work [Per97a] (also see [AMW16]).

Lemma 6.2. *For any Riemannian manifold (M^4, g) with $Rc(g) > 0$, and assume the boundary ∂M^4 is isometric to round sphere \mathbb{S}^3 , whose sectional curvature is equal to $r_1^2 > 0$, furthermore its principal curvatures $\lambda(\partial M^4)$ satisfy $\sup [\lambda(\partial M^4)]^2 \leq c_1^2 r_1^2$, where $c_1 \in (0, 1)$ is some constant. Then the differential manifold $M^4 \cup_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\mathbb{S}^4 - \mathcal{B}^4)$ carries a Riemannian metric \hat{g} with $Rc(\hat{g}) > 0$, where \mathcal{B}^4 is diffeomorphic to a 4-dim Euclidean ball.*

□

To show the existence of non-constant polynomial growth harmonic functions on Perelman's example manifolds, we recall the construction of Perelman's example [Per97b] for completeness. For these manifolds with $Rc \geq 0$ has maximal volume growth, the tangent cones at infinity are not unique.

Consider the metric $ds^2 = dt^2 + \hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ defined on \mathbb{R}^4 , where $t \geq 0$, $\hat{g}_{\mathbb{S}^3} = A^2(t)dX^2 + B^2(t)dY^2 + C^2(t)dZ^2$, X, Y, Z are vector fields on \mathbb{S}^3 , $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and X, Y, Z, T satisfy

$$[X, T] = [Y, T] = [Z, T] = 0, \quad [X, Y] = 2Z, \quad [Y, Z] = 2X, \quad [Z, X] = 2Y$$

Now we take

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \frac{t}{10} [1 + \phi(t) \sin(\ln \ln t)], & B(t) &= \frac{t}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \phi(t) \sin(\ln \ln t)}, \\ C(t) &= \frac{t}{10} [1 - \gamma(t)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(t)$ is a smooth function such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t) &= 0, & \forall t \in [0, t_0], \\ \phi(t) &> 0, & \forall t > t_0, \\ 0 \leq \phi'(t) &\leq \frac{1}{t^2} & \text{ and } |\phi''(t)| \leq \frac{1}{t^3},\end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma(t)$ is a smooth function defined on $[\frac{t_0}{2}, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\frac{t_0}{2}) &= 0, \gamma'(t) > 0, \gamma''(t) > 0, & \forall t \in (\frac{t_0}{2}, t_0), \\ \gamma'(t) &= \frac{1}{t \ln^{\frac{3}{2}} t}, & \forall t > t_0,\end{aligned}$$

We consider the manifold $(N^4, ds^2) = (\mathbb{R}^4 - B_{\frac{t_0}{2}}(0), ds^2)$, where $B_{\frac{t_0}{2}}(0)$ is the metric ball with respect to the metric ds^2 . One can check that $Rc(N^4, ds^2) > 0$ from the above properties of g .

Note near ∂N^4 , $A = B = C = \frac{t}{10}$, the principal curvature $\lambda(\partial N^4)$ is $-\frac{1}{t}$. Because ∂N^4 is round sphere, the intrinsic sectional curvatures $\widetilde{Rm}(\partial N^4)$ of ∂N^4 are $\frac{10^2}{t^2}$.

Hence $[\lambda(\partial N^4)]^2 = \frac{1}{100} \widetilde{Rm}(\partial N^4)$. Using Lemma 6.2, one gets the differential manifold $M^4 = N^4 \cup_{\mathbb{S}^3} (\mathbb{S}^4 - \mathcal{B}^4)$, which admits a Riemannian metric g with $Rc(g) > 0$, and $g = ds^2$ outside of a compact subset of M^4 .

We can define $\phi_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) > 0$ and $\gamma_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) > 0$. Then \mathcal{M}_∞ is a family of $(C(X), dt^2 + t^2 dX)$, where $X = (\mathbb{S}^3, g_X)$ with

$$g_X = \left(\frac{1 + \phi_\infty \delta}{10}\right)^2 dX^2 + \left(\frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \phi_\infty \delta}\right)^2 dY^2 + \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_\infty}{10}\right)^2 dZ^2, \quad \forall \delta \in [-1, 1].$$

And the conic measure is of power 4 for each δ .

If we choose $\phi_\infty, \gamma_\infty$ small enough, then $\mathcal{S}(M^4)$ is a set close to the spectrum of \mathbb{S}^3 , which implies the existence of $d \notin \mathcal{D}(M^4)$ satisfying $d > \inf \mathcal{D}(M^4)$. From [Xu16, Theorem 4.3], one gets the existence of polynomial growth harmonic functions on Perelman's example manifolds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thank the anonymous referee for detailed suggestion on the former version of the paper, which improves the the presentation of the paper. We thank Christina Sormani for her interest and comments.

REFERENCES

- [AMW16] Antonio Aché, Davi Maximo, and Haotian Wu, *Metrics with nonnegative Ricci curvature on convex three-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 5, 2905–2922, DOI 10.2140/gt.2016.20.2905.
- [Alm79] Jr. Almgren Frederick J., *Dirichlet's problem for multiple valued functions and the regularity of mass minimizing integral currents*, Minimal submanifolds and geodesics (Proc. Japan-United States Sem., Tokyo, 1977), 1979, pp. 1–6.

- [ABR01] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Wade Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, Second, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 137, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [CC96] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, 189–237.
- [CCM95] J. Cheeger, T. H. Colding, and William P. Minicozzi II, *Linear growth harmonic functions on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), no. 6, 948–954, DOI 10.1007/BF01902216.
- [Che80] Shiu Yuen Cheng, *Liouville theorem for harmonic maps*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc. **XXXVI** (1980), no. 3, 147–151.
- [CY75] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing-Tung Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), no. 3, 333–354.
- [Col12] Tobias H. Colding, *New monotonicity formulas for Ricci curvature and applications; I*, Acta Math. **209** (2012), no. 2, 229–263.
- [CM97a] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, *Harmonic functions with polynomial growth*, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), no. 1, 1–77.
- [CM97b] ———, *Harmonic functions on manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 725–747.
- [CM97c] ———, *Large scale behavior of kernels of Schrödinger operators*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 6, 1355–1398.
- [CM98a] ———, *Liouville theorems for harmonic sections and applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (1998), no. 2, 113–138.
- [CM98b] ———, *Weyl type bounds for harmonic functions*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 257–298.
- [Din04] Yu Ding, *An existence theorem of harmonic functions with polynomial growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 2, 543–551.
- [GL86] Nicola Garofalo and Fang-Hua Lin, *Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A_p weights and unique continuation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), no. 2, 245–268, DOI 10.1512/iumj.1986.35.35015.
- [GW75] R. E. Greene and H. Wu, *Embedding of open Riemannian manifolds by harmonic functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **25** (1975), no. 1, vii, 215–235.
- [Gro99] Misha Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 152, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999. Based on the 1981 French original [MR0682063 (85e:53051)], With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.
- [Hon15] Shouhei Honda, *Harmonic functions on asymptotic cones with Euclidean volume growth*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **67** (2015), no. 1, 69–126, DOI 10.2969/jmsj/06710069.
- [Li86] Peter Li, *Large time behavior of the heat equation on complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **124** (1986), no. 1, 1–21.
- [Li97] ———, *Harmonic sections of polynomial growth*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 1, 35–44.
- [LS84] Peter Li and Richard Schoen, *L^p and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math **153** (1984), no. 3–4, 279–301.
- [LT89] Peter Li and Luen-Fai Tam, *Linear growth harmonic functions on a complete manifold*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 2, 421–425.
- [LTW97] Peter Li, Luen-Fai Tam, and Jiaping Wang, *Sharp bounds for the Green’s function and the heat kernel*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 4, 589–602, DOI 10.4310/MRL.1997.v4.n4.a13.
- [PW58] L. E. Payne and H. F. Weinberger, *New bounds for solutions of second order elliptic partial differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 551–573.
- [Per97a] G. Perelman, *Construction of manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with big volume and large Betti numbers*, Comparison geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1993–94), 1997, pp. 157–163.

- [Per97b] ———, *A complete Riemannian manifold of positive Ricci curvature with Euclidean volume growth and nonunique asymptotic cone*, Comparison geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1993–94), 1997, pp. 165–166.
- [SY10] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 432 pp, 2010.
- [Sor00] Christina Sormani, *Harmonic functions on manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and linear volume growth*, Pacific J. Math. **192** (2000), no. 1, 183–189.
- [Var81] Nicholas Th. Varopoulos, *The Poisson kernel on positively curved manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **44** (1981), no. 3, 359–380.
- [Xu16] Guoyi Xu, *Three circles theorems for harmonic functions*, Math. Ann. **366** (2016), no. 3–4, 1281–1317, DOI 10.1007/s00208-016-1366-5.
- [Yau75] Shing Tung Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 201–228, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160280203.
- [Yau87] Shing-Tung Yau, *Nonlinear analysis in geometry*, Enseign. Math. (2) **33** (1987), no. 1–2, 109–158.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA, 100084
E-mail address: guoyixu@tsinghua.edu.cn