

On Davie's uniqueness for some degenerate SDEs

Enrico Priola

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pavia

Via Adolfo Ferrata 5

27100 Pavia enrico.priola@unipv.it

Abstract

We consider singular SDEs like

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + AX_tdt + \sigma(t)dL_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1)$$

where A is a real $n \times n$ matrix, i.e., $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, b is bounded and Hölder continuous, $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is a locally bounded function and $L = (L_t)$ is an \mathbb{R}^d -valued Lévy process, $1 \leq d \leq n$. We show that strong existence and uniqueness together with L^p -Lipschitz dependence on the initial condition x imply Davie's uniqueness or path by path uniqueness. This extends a result of [E. Priola, AIHP, 2018] proved for (1) when $n = d$, $A = 0$ and $\sigma(t) \equiv I$. We apply the result to some singular degenerate SDEs associated to the kinetic transport operator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_v f + v \cdot \partial_x f + F(x, v) \cdot \partial_v f$ when $n = 2d$ and L is an \mathbb{R}^d -valued Wiener process. For such equations strong existence and uniqueness are known under Hölder type conditions on b . We show that in addition also Davie's uniqueness holds.

Keywords: degenerate stochastic differential equations - path-by-path uniqueness - Hölder continuous drift.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60H10, 60J75, 34F05.

1 Introduction

Davie's type uniqueness or path-by path uniqueness for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) has recently received a lot of attention (cf. [6], [8], [2], [17], [4], [13], [22], [3] and see the references therein).

This type of uniqueness has been introduced in [6] where A.M. Davie considered a SDE like $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dW_t$, $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, driven by an \mathbb{R}^n -valued Wiener process W and having a bounded and measurable drift coefficient b . For such equations pathwise (or strong) uniqueness in the sense of K. Itô had already been established by A.J. Veretennikov in [18] even with a multiplicative noise. The paper [6] improves [18] by showing that the previous equation has a unique solution for almost all choices of the driving Brownian path. In other words, adding a single Brownian path regularizes a singular ODE (cf. [8] and [4]).

Here we study Davie's type uniqueness for singular SDEs like

$$dZ_t = b(t, Z_t)dt + AZ_tdt + \sigma(t)dL_t, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad Z_s = x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2)$$

$T > 0$, $s \in [0, T)$. Here $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Borel and locally bounded function, $1 \leq d \leq n$, and $L = (L_t)$ is a d -dimensional Lévy process

defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$; $\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ indicates the space of all $n \times d$ real matrices.

The drift coefficients $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is Borel measurable, bounded and β -Hölder continuous in the x -variable, uniformly in t , i.e., $b \in L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0, \beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$. We concentrate on the singular case $\beta \in (0, 1)$ but the results can be extended to the Lipschitz case $\beta = 1$.

We generalize a theorem proved in [13] for equations (2) when $n = d$, $A = 0$ and $\sigma(t) \equiv I$. In [13] it is shown in particular that if strong existence and uniqueness hold for the SDE and further there is Lipschitz dependence in L^p -norm on the initial condition x (cf. Hypothesis 1 below) then we have Davie's uniqueness for the SDE (cf. Theorem 1.1).

Setting $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma(s) dL_s$, equation (2) can be written as

$$Z_t(\omega) = x + \int_s^t [b(v, Z_v(\omega)) + AZ_v(\omega)] dv + M_t(\omega) - M_s(\omega), \quad (3)$$

$\omega \in \Omega$ (we are considering the stochastic integral M_t as in Section 4.3 of [1]). Note that $M = (M_t)$ is an example of additive process with values in \mathbb{R}^n (see, for instance, Chapter 2 in [14]). Additive processes generalize Lévy processes by relaxing the stationarity condition on the increments (cf. [15], [10], [16] and the references therein). Note that in [13] one considers $M = L$.

Since in general M does not have stationary increments, in order to prove the uniqueness result we have to show that the proofs in [13] can be carried out without using the stationarity of increments of the driving process. On the other hand, (3) is not covered by [13] even if $\sigma(t)$ is a constant matrix. Indeed the coefficient Ax is not bounded and in general one cannot truncate such term and localize as in the end of Section 5 of [13]. Truncating $x \mapsto Ax$, when $d < n$, can make difficult to obtain strong uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence on x (cf. [5], [19], [9] and see Remark 5.3).

Before stating our theorem on Davie's uniqueness we make assumptions on the terms appearing in (2): $b(t, x)$, A , $\sigma(t)$ and the d -dimensional Lévy process L defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Recall that the law of L is characterized by the Lévy-Khintchine formula (8).

Hypothesis 1. (i) For any $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ there exists a strong solution $(Z_t^{s, x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ to (3).

(ii) Let us fix $s \in [0, T]$. Given any two strong solutions $(Z_t^{s, x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(Z_t^{s, y})_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ which both solve (3) with respect to A , $\sigma(t)$, L and b (starting at x and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, at time s) we have, for any $p \geq 2$,

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |Z_t^{s, x} - Z_t^{s, y}|^p \right] \leq C_T |x - y|^p, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4)$$

with $C_T = C(\text{Law}(L), A, \sigma, b, \beta, n, p, T) > 0$ independent of s, x, y . ■

Theorem 1.1. *Let us consider (3) with $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0, \beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ locally bounded. Assume Hypothesis 1 and suppose $\mathbb{E}[|L_1|^\theta] < \infty$, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$.*

Setting $\tilde{b}(t, x) = b(t, x) + Ax$, there exists an event $\Omega' \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega') = 1$ such that for any $\omega \in \Omega'$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the integral equation

$$g(t) = x + \int_0^t \tilde{b}(v, g(v) + M_v(\omega)) dv, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (5)$$

has exactly one solution g in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The previous result will be deduced from Theorem 3.1 which extends Theorem 5.1 in [13]. We remark that in Corollary 3.2 we will show Davie's uniqueness for SDE (3) when b is locally Hölder continuous by a standard localization procedure.

A special case of (3) is the following SDE

$$dZ_t = AZ_t dt + b(t, Z_t)dt + CdL_t, \quad Z_s = x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6)$$

Here $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ are given matrices. When $L = W$ is a d -dimensional Wiener process, $d < n$, pathwise uniqueness, flow and differentiability properties of the solutions to (6) have been recently investigated also under Hölder type conditions on b (see, for instance, [5], [19], [7], [9], [20] which consider more general degenerate SDEs as well).

As an example of degenerate SDE of the form (6) we consider

$$\begin{cases} dX_t &= V_t dt, \quad dV_t = F(X_t) dt + dW_t \\ X_0 &= x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad V_0 = v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

(see Section 5 for the case in which F possibly depends also on V_t ; see also Remark 5.3 for more general SDEs). Equation (7) involves the velocity-position of a particle that moves according to the Newton second law in a force-field F and under the action of noise (see [21] and the references therein). It is associated to the well-studied kinetic transport operator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_v f + v \cdot \partial_x f + F(x) \cdot \partial_v f$. An application of (7) to the study of singular kinetic transport SPDEs is given in [7].

In this case $n = 2d$, $d \geq 1$, and W is a d -dimensional Wiener process. Moreover $b(z) = b(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. When $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ has at most a linear growth and it is locally β -Hölder continuous with $\beta \in (2/3, 1)$ it is known that there exists a unique strong solution (the value $2/3$ is the critical Hölder index for strong uniqueness, cf. [5], [19] and [9]). Under these assumptions applying Corollary 3.2 we can show that also Davie's type uniqueness holds for (7).

We mention [4] where in particular path-by-path uniqueness for SDEs with additive fractional Brownian noise is investigated. Finally, remark that path-by-path uniqueness has been also studied in infinite dimensions for some SPDEs. We refer to [22] and [3].

2 Notation and preliminary results

The Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^k , $k \geq 1$, and the inner product are indicated by $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}(A)$ indicates the Borel σ -algebra of a Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$.

We denote by $C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the space of all β -Hölder continuous functions f , i.e., f verifies

$$[f]_{C_b^{0,\beta}} = [f]_\beta := \sup_{x \neq x' \in \mathbb{R}^n} (|f(x) - f(x')| |x - x'|^{-\beta}) < \infty$$

Note that $C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^k)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta = \|\cdot\|_0 + [\cdot]_\beta$.

To study (2) we require that b belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$. Hence $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is Borel and bounded, $b(t, \cdot) \in C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $[b]_{\beta, T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [b(t, \cdot)]_{C_b^{0,\beta}} < \infty$. We also set $\|b\|_{\beta, T} = [b]_{\beta, T} + \|b\|_{0, T}$; $\|b\|_{0, T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Finally, a function $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if g belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and has compact support.

Let $L = (L_t)$ be a Lévy process with values in \mathbb{R}^d defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (see [15], [11] and [1]). Thus L has independent and stationary increments, càdlàg trajectories and $L_0 = 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.. We will denote by $L_{s-}(\omega)$ the left-limit in $s > 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

For $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^L$ the completion of the σ -algebra generated by $L_r - L_s$, $s \leq r \leq t$. We also define $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}^L = \mathcal{F}_t^L$. Since L has independent increments we have that $L_q - L_p$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_p^L when $0 \leq p < q$.

We say that $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ is an almost sure event if $\tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$. As in [13] we write $\tilde{\Omega}_\mu$ to stress that $\tilde{\Omega}$ possibly depends also on the parameter μ ($\tilde{\Omega}_\mu$ may change from one proposition to another). For instance, we write $\tilde{\Omega}_{s,x}$ or $\Omega'_{s,x}$.

Recall the exponent ϕ of L . This is a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle L_t, k \rangle}] = e^{-t\phi(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$. The Lévy-Khintchine formula says that

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Qk, k \rangle - i \langle a, k \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle k, y \rangle} - 1 - i \langle k, y \rangle 1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}(y)) \nu(dy), \quad (8)$$

$k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where Q is a symmetric non-negative definite $d \times d$ -matrix, $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and ν is a σ -finite (Borel) measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |v|^2) \nu(dv) < \infty$, $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$; ν is the Lévy measure (or intensity measure) of L ; (Q, a, ν) is called the generating triplet (or characteristics) of L ; it uniquely identifies the law of L .

To study (2) we may assume that $a = 0$ because eventually we can replace the drift $b(t, x)$ with $b(t, x) + \int_0^t \sigma(s) ds$.

The Poisson random measure N associated to L is defined by $N((0, t] \times A) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} 1_A(\Delta L_s)$, for any Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $\Delta L_s = L_s - L_{s-}$.

According to (8) with $a = 0$ we have the following Lévy-Itô path decomposition:

There exists a Q -Wiener process $B = (B_t)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ independent of N with $d \times d$ covariance matrix Q such that on some almost sure event Ω' we have

$$L_t = A_t + B_t + C_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{with components} \quad (9)$$

$$A_t^j = \int_0^t \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x_j \tilde{N}(dr, dx), \quad C_t^j = \int_0^t \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} x_j N(dr, dx), \quad j = 1, \dots, d;$$

here \tilde{N} is the compensated Poisson measure (i.e., $\tilde{N}(dt, dx) = N(dt, dx) - dt\nu(dx)$).

Let us fix a deterministic Borel and locally bounded function $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$. The stochastic integral process $\tilde{M} = (\tilde{M}_t)$,

$$\tilde{M}_t = \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}(s) dL_s, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

is well defined; \tilde{M}_t is a limit in probability of suitable Riemann-Stieltjes sums, see for instance Chapter 2 in [14] (we are considering the càdlàg version of such stochastic integral). Equivalently, one can define

$$\int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}(s) dL_s = I_t + J_t + K_t, \quad (11)$$

$$I_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(s) dA_s^j, \quad J_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(s) dB_s^j, \quad K_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(s) dC_s^j,$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$. The components of I and J are L^2 -martingales and K_t is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral defined pathwise (recall that (C_t^j) is a compound Poisson process).

The following result will be useful.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{E}|L_1|^\theta < \infty$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Let $T > 0$. Then we have (cf. (11))*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|I_t - I_s|^2] + \mathbb{E}[|J_t - J_s|^2] &\leq C_T |t - s|, \quad t, s \in [0, T], \\ \mathbb{E}[|K_t - K_s|^\theta] &\leq C_T |t - s|, \quad s, t \in [0, T], \\ \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |\tilde{M}_t|^\theta] &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Proof. The first estimate $\mathbb{E}[|I_t - I_s|^2] \leq C_T |t - s|$ is clear by the Itô isometry and the fact that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is bounded on $[0, T]$. Similarly we have, using also Corollary 2.10 in [12], for $0 \leq s < t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|J_t - J_s|^2] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k=1}^d \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(r) x_j \tilde{\sigma}_{ik}(r) x_k d\nu(dx)\right] \\ &= \int_s^t \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} |\tilde{\sigma}(r)x|^2 d\nu(dx) \leq C_T (t - s) \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} |x|^2 \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, applying the Doob theorem we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |I_t|^2] < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |J_t|^2] < \infty.$$

It remains to consider (K_t) . We find (see also pag. 231 in [1])

$$\begin{aligned} |K_t - K_s|^\theta &= \left| \int_s^t \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} \tilde{\sigma}(r)x N(dr, dx) \right|^\theta = \left| \sum_{s < u \leq t} \tilde{\sigma}(u) \Delta L_u 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}} \right|^\theta \\ &\leq \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\tilde{\sigma}(u) \Delta L_u|^\theta 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}} \leq \|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{0,T}^\theta \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\Delta L_u|^\theta 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}} \end{aligned}$$

since the random sum is finite for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\theta \leq 1$; $\|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{0,T} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\tilde{\sigma}(t)\|$. On the other hand (cf. Section 2.3.2 in [1]) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s < u \leq t} |\Delta L_u|^\theta 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} |x|^\theta N(dr, dx)\right] \\ &= (t - s) \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} |x|^\theta \nu(dx) = C_\theta (t - s). \end{aligned}$$

In the last passage we have used Theorem 25.3 in [15]: $\mathbb{E}[|L_1|^\theta] < \infty$ is equivalent to $\int_{\{|x| > 1\}} |x|^\theta \nu(dx) < \infty$. Thus we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}[|K_t - K_s|^\theta] \leq C_T |t - s|.$$

Finally, arguing as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |K_t|^\theta] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \sum_{s < u \leq t} |\tilde{\sigma}(u) \Delta L_u|^\theta 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}}\right] \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_T \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{0 < u \leq T} |\Delta L_u|^\theta 1_{\{|\Delta L_u| > 1\}}\right] \\ &= \tilde{C}_T \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} |x|^\theta N(ds, dx)\right] = \tilde{C}_T T \int_{\{|x| > 1\}} |x|^\theta \nu(dx) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

Let us fix a metric space (Λ, d) . Given two stochastic processes $U = (U_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $V = (V_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and with values in (Λ, d) , we say that U is a modification or version of V if $U_t = V_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for any $t \in [0, T]$.

As before $L = (L_t)$ is a d -dimensional Lévy process defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ be Borel and locally bounded functions and $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the SDE (3).

We say that an \mathbb{R}^n -valued stochastic process $V^{s,x} = (V_t^{s,x})_{t \in [s, T]}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a strong solution starting from x at time s (cf. [12] and [1]) if, for any $t \in [s, T]$, $V_t^{s,x} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^L$ -measurable; further one requires that there exists $\Omega_{s,x}$ (an almost sure event, possibly depending also on s and x but independent of t) such that the next conditions hold for any $\omega \in \Omega_{s,x}$:

- (i) the map: $t \mapsto V_t^{s,x}(\omega)$ is càdlàg on $[s, T]$;
- (ii) we have, for $t \in [s, T]$, with $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma(r) dL_r$, and $\tilde{b}(t, x) = b(t, x) + Ax$,

$$V_t^{s,x}(\omega) = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, V_r^{s,x}(\omega)) dr + M_t(\omega) - M_s(\omega), \quad (13)$$

- (iii) the path $t \mapsto L_t(\omega)$ is càdlàg and $L_0(\omega) = 0$.

Given a strong solution $V^{s,x}$ we set for any $0 \leq t \leq s$, $V_t^{s,x} = x$ on Ω .

We finish the section with a simple lemma about the possibly degenerate SDE (cf. (6))

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + b(X_t) dt + C dL_t, \quad X_s = x. \quad (14)$$

with $b : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ locally bounded, $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq d \leq n$. This result can be useful to check the validity of Hypothesis 1 for SDEs like (14) (we will use this lemma in Section 5). It says that from existence of strong solutions and corresponding L^p -estimates when $s = 0$ one can deduce existence and L^p -estimates when $s \in (0, T)$. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 6.6 in [13].

Lemma 2.2. *Let us consider SDE (14) and fix $T > 0$. Suppose that for a given Levy process L with generating triplet $(Q, 0, \nu)$ (cf. (8)) defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, for given b and A and C , for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a unique strong solution $(X_t^x) = (X_t^{0,x})$ to (14) on $[0, T]$ when $s = 0$.*

Suppose that given two strong solutions $(X_t^x)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(X_t^y)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of (14) defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, starting at x and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively, we have, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^x - X_t^y|^p] \leq C_T |x - y|^p, \quad (15)$$

with $C_T = C((Q, 0, \nu), A, C, b, n, p, T) > 0$.

Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in [0, T]$, there exists a unique strong solution $\hat{X}^{s,x} = (\hat{X}_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ to (14) on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (recall that $\hat{X}_t^{s,x} = x$ for $t \leq s$). Moreover, if $V^{s,x}$ and $V^{s,y}$ are two strong solutions defined $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ one has:

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |V_t^{s,x} - V_t^{s,y}|^p] \leq C_T |x - y|^p, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, p \geq 2. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Define $\tilde{b}(x) = b(x) + Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Existence. Let us fix $s \in [0, T]$ and consider the process $L^{(s)} = (L_t^{(s)})$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, with $L_t^{(s)} = L_{s+t} - L_s$, $t \geq 0$. This is a Lévy process with the same generating triplet of L and it is independent of \mathcal{F}_s^L (cf. Proposition 10.7 in [15]). We know that there exists a unique strong solution on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \tilde{b}(X_l) dl + CL_t^{(s)}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (17)$$

which we indicate by $(X_{t,L(s)}^x)$ to remark its dependence on $L^{(s)}$. For any $t \in [0, T]$, $X_{t,L(s)}^x$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^{L^{(s)}} = \mathcal{F}_{s,t+s}^L$. Introduce a new process with càdlàg trajectories $(\hat{X}_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$,

$$\hat{X}_t^{s,x} = X_{t-s,L(s)}^x, \text{ for } s \leq t \leq T; \quad \hat{X}_t^{s,x} = x, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s. \quad (18)$$

Setting $V_t = \hat{X}_t^{s,x}$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have that V_t is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^L$ -measurable, $t \geq s$. Further it solves SDE (14); indeed, for $t \in [s, T]$,

$$V_t = X_{t-s,L(s)}^x = x + \int_0^{t-s} \tilde{b}(X_{r,L(s)}^x) dr + C[L_t - L_s] = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(V_r) dr + C[L_t - L_s].$$

Uniqueness. Let $(V_t^{s,x})$ be another strong solution. We have, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t-s+s}^{s,x} &= x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(V_p^{s,x}) dp + C[L_t - L_s] \\ &= x + \int_0^{t-s} \tilde{b}(V_{p+s}^{s,x}) dp + C[L_t - L_s] = x + \int_0^{t-s} \tilde{b}(V_{p+s}^{s,x}) dp + CL_{t-s}^{(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(V_{r+s}^{s,x})_{r \in [0, T-s]}$ solves (17) on $[0, T-s]$. By (15) we get

$$\mathbb{P}(V_{r+s}^{s,x} = X_{r,L(s)}^x, r \in [0, T-s]) = \mathbb{P}(V_{r+s}^{s,x} = \tilde{X}_{r+s}^{s,x}, r \in [0, T-s]) = 1.$$

This gives the assertion.

L^p -estimates. We have for any fixed $s \in [0, T]$, $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |V_t^{s,x} - V_t^{s,y}|^p] = \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_{t-s,L(s)}^x - X_{t-s,L(s)}^y|^p]$, $p \geq 2$, by uniqueness. Using (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |V_r^{s,x} - V_r^{s,y}|^p] &= \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |X_{r-s,L(s)}^x - X_{r-s,L(s)}^y|^p] \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^x - X_r^y|^p] \leq C_T |x - y|^p. \end{aligned}$$

■

3 The main results

We prove an extension of Theorem 5.1 in [13] which allows to treat SDEs of the form (3). This result implies Theorem 1.1. Recall that in [13] we have considered (3) only when $n = d$, $A = 0$ and $\sigma = I$.

We point out that the next statements (i)-(v) hold when ω belongs to Ω' (an almost sure event) which is independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, s , s_0 , and $t \in [0, T]$.

Theorem 3.1. *We consider SDE (3). Suppose that $b \in L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel and locally bounded, $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and L with generating triplet $(Q, 0, \nu)$ (cf. (8)) verify Hypothesis 1. Let L be defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|L_1|^\theta] < \infty$, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$.*

Then there exists a mapping $\psi(s, t, x, \omega)$,

$$\psi : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (19)$$

which is $\mathcal{B}([0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable and such that $(\psi(s, t, x, \cdot))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a strong solution of (3) starting from x at time s . Moreover, there exists Ω' (almost sure event) such that the following statements are satisfied for any $\omega \in \Omega'$.

(i) For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the mapping: $s \mapsto \psi(s, t, x, \omega)$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$ (uniformly in t and x), i.e., let $s \in (0, T)$ and take sequences (s_j) and (r_m) with $s_j \rightarrow s^-$ and $r_m \rightarrow s^+$; we have, for any $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq R} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\psi(r_m, t, x, \omega) - \psi(s, t, x, \omega)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq R} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\psi(s_j, t, x, \omega) - \psi(s-, t, x, \omega)| &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

(similar assertions hold also for $s = 0$ and $s = T$).

(ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in [0, T]$, $\psi(s, t, x, \omega) = x$ if $0 \leq t \leq s$, and if $t \in [s, T]$,

$$\psi(s, t, x, \omega) = x + \int_s^t [b(r, \psi(s, r, x, \omega)) + A\psi(s, r, x, \omega)] dr + M_t(\omega) - M_s(\omega). \quad (21)$$

(iii) For any $s \in [0, T]$, the function $x \mapsto \psi(s, t, x, \omega)$ is continuous in x (uniformly in t). Moreover, for any integer $m > 2n$, there exists a $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable function $V_m : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\int_0^T V_m(s, \omega) ds < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\psi(s, t, x, \omega) - \psi(s, t, y, \omega)| \\ &\leq V_m(s, \omega) |x - y|^{\frac{m-2n}{m}} [(|x| \vee |y|)^{\frac{2n+1}{m}} \vee 1], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, m > 2n, s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

(iv) For any $0 \leq s < r \leq t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it holds:

$$\psi(s, t, x, \omega) = \psi(r, t, \psi(s, r, x, \omega), \omega). \quad (23)$$

(v) Let $s_0 \in [0, T]$, $\tau = \tau(\omega) \in (s_0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If a càdlàg function $g : [s_0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution to the following integral equation

$$g(t) = x + \int_{s_0}^t [b(r, g(r)) + Ag(r)] dr + M_t(\omega) - M_{s_0}(\omega), \quad t \in [s_0, \tau], \quad (24)$$

then $g(r) = \psi(s_0, r, x, \omega)$, for $r \in [s_0, \tau]$.

Once we have proved Theorem 3.1, one can easily obtain an analogous of Corollaries 5.4 and 5.5 in [13] for equation (3) when b is possibly unbounded. The proofs remain the same and are based on a standard localization procedure. Let us first state a result analogous to Corollary 5.4.

Corollary 3.2. (i) Let us consider (3) with a measurable mapping $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, for any function $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has

$$b \cdot \rho \in L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0, \beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

(ii) Let L be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued Lévy process such that $\mathbb{E}|L_1|^\theta < \infty$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

(iii) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ be locally bounded. Suppose that, for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the SDE

$$dZ_t = (\eta \cdot b)(t, Z_t) dt + AZ_t dt + \sigma(t) dL_t, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad Z_s = x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

satisfies Hypothesis 1.

Then there exists $\tilde{\Omega}$ (almost sure event) such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega'' \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $s_0 \in [0, T]$ and $\tau = \tau(\omega'') \in (s_0, T]$, if $f_1, f_2 : [s_0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are càdlàg solutions of (24) when $\omega = \omega''$, then $f_1(r) = f_2(r)$, $r \in [s_0, \tau]$.

One can also construct “path by path” strong solutions to (3) even when b is possibly unbounded. To simplify we only consider $s = 0$. The next result is the analogous of Corollary 5.5 in [13] (it can be proved with the same proof of [13]).

Corollary 3.3. *Let us consider (3). Suppose that assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 3.2 hold. Moreover assume that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$|b(t, x)| \leq C_0(1 + |x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, s = 0$. Then there exists a (unique) strong solution to (3) starting at x . This strong solution can be constructed in a deterministic way, arguing for each $\omega \in \Omega$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we start with a lemma which gives an integration-by-parts formula. We use $e^{tA} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k A^k}{k!}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\sigma(t)$, A and L as in Theorem 3.1. Recall that $M_t = \int_0^t \sigma(u) dL_u$. We have, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} A M_r dr &= - \int_s^t \frac{d}{dr} [e^{(t-r)A}] M_r dr \\ &= \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} \sigma(r) dL_r + e^{[t-s]A} M_s - M_t, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Proof. We use a stochastic Fubini theorem (see Theorem 4.7 in [16] or Proposition 2.7 in [14]). We find, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s e^{(t-r)A} A \left(\int_0^r \sigma(u) dL_u \right) dr &= \int_0^s \left(\int_u^s e^{(t-r)A} A dr \right) \sigma(u) dL_u \\ &= - \int_0^s \left(\int_u^s \frac{d}{dr} [e^{(t-r)A}] dr \right) \sigma(u) dL_u \\ &= \int_0^s [e^{(t-u)A} - e^{(t-s)A}] \sigma(u) dL_u, \quad t > 0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \end{aligned}$$

and so $\int_s^t e^{(t-r)A} A \left(\int_0^r \sigma(u) dL_u \right) dr = \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} \sigma(u) dL_u + e^{(t-s)A} M_s - M_t$. \blacksquare

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 with the approach of [13] it is useful to pass from SDE (3) to the following modified SDE with bounded coefficients in which A is not present

$$U_t(\omega) = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(v, U_v(\omega)) dv + \tilde{M}_t(\omega) - \tilde{M}_s(\omega), \quad (26)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}(r, x) &= e^{-rA} b(r, e^{rA} x), \quad r \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \\ \tilde{M}_t &= \int_0^t e^{-rA} \sigma(r) dL_r, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let us fix $s \in [0, T)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $Z(t) = Z_t^{s, e^{sA} x}$ is a strong solution to (3) then*

$$U(t) = e^{-tA} Z(t) \quad (28)$$

is a strong solution to (26) starting from x at time s . Viceversa, If $U(t) = U_t^{s, e^{-sA}x}$ is a strong solution to (26) then $Z(t) = e^{tA}U(t)$ is a strong solution to (3) starting from x at time s .

In particular SDE (3) verifies Hypothesis 1 if and only if SDE (26) (with \tilde{b} , $A = 0$, $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = e^{-uA}\sigma(u)$) verifies Hypothesis 1.

Proof. Assume that $Z(t) = Z_t^{s,x}$ is a strong solution to (3). Hence, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$Z(t) = e^{sA}x + \int_s^t b(r, Z(r))dr + \int_s^t AZ(r)dr + M_t - M_s, \quad t \geq s. \quad (29)$$

Now define $H(t) = Z(t) - M_t$. We find

$$H(t) = e^{sA}x + \int_s^t b(r, H(r) + M_r)dr + \int_s^t AH(r)dr + \int_s^t AM_rdr - M_s.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}H(t) = b(t, H(t) + M_t) + AH(t) + AM_t, \quad t \in]s, T], \\ H(s) &= e^{sA}x - M_s. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= e^{[t-s]A}e^{sA}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}b(r, H(r) + M_r)dr + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}AM_rdr \\ &\quad - e^{[t-s]A}M_s. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}AM_rdr = \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}\sigma(r)dL_r + e^{[t-s]A}M_s - M_t;$$

we obtain

$$Z(t) = e^{tA}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}b(r, Z(r))dr + \int_s^t e^{(t-r)A}\sigma(r)dL_r,$$

and so

$$e^{-tA}Z(t) = x + \int_s^t e^{-rA}b(r, e^{rA}[e^{-rA}Z(r)])dr + \int_s^t e^{-rA}\sigma(r)dL_r.$$

Hence

$$U(t) = x + \int_s^t e^{-rA}b(r, e^{rA}U(r))dr + \tilde{M}_t - \tilde{M}_s.$$

Viceversa, if we start with a strong solution $U(t) = U_t^{s, e^{-sA}x}$ then

$$U(t) = e^{-sA}x + \int_s^t e^{-rA}b(r, e^{rA}U(r))dr + \int_s^t e^{-rA}\sigma(r)dL_r, \quad t \geq s.$$

Applying e^{tA} to both sides we get that $Z(t) = e^{tA}U(t)$ is a strong solution to

$$dZ_t = b(t, Z_t)dt + AZ_tdt + \sigma(t)dL_t, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad Z_s = x.$$

It is not difficult to check the second assertion about Hypothesis 1. We only note that when Hypothesis 1 holds for (3) then concerning equation (26) we have, for $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |U_t^{s,x} - U_t^{s,y}|^p\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |e^{-tA}[Z_t^{s,e^{sA}x} - Z_t^{s,e^{sA}y}]|^p\right] \\ &\leq C_T |e^{sA}x - e^{sA}y|^p \leq \tilde{C}_T |x - y|^p,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{C}_T is independent of s, x and y . Thus one can easily prove that Hypothesis 1 holds for equation (26) as well. \blacksquare

According to the previous lemma in order to prove Theorem 3.1 it is enough to establish the next result.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume $b \in L^\infty(0, T; C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$, $\sigma(t)$, A , L and θ as in Theorem 3.1 (hence Hypothesis 1 concerning equation (3) is verified). Consider the modified SDE (26) with corresponding coefficients \tilde{b} and $\tilde{\sigma}$ given in (27) and with the same Lévy process L .*

Then all the assertions (i)-(v) listed in Theorem 3.1 hold for equation (26). For instance, there exists an almost sure event Ω' such that for $\omega \in \Omega'$ we have the following property: let $s_0 \in [0, T)$, $\tau = \tau(\omega) \in (s_0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; there exists a unique càdlàg function $g : [s_0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which solves the integral equation

$$g(t) = x + \int_{s_0}^t \tilde{b}(r, g(r)) dr + \tilde{M}_t(\omega) - \tilde{M}_{s_0}(\omega), \quad t \in [s_0, \tau),$$

We stress that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 we know that Hypothesis 1 holds for the modified equation (26) with coefficients \tilde{b} and $\tilde{\sigma}$ (see Lemma 3.5).

In Section 4 we concentrate on the proof of Theorem 3.6. The main problem with respect to [13] is that \tilde{M} ,

$$\tilde{M}_t = \int_0^t e^{-rA} \sigma(r) dL_r, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{has not stationary increments in general} \quad (30)$$

(in Theorem 5.1 of [13] a SDE like (26) is considered assuming that \tilde{M} is a Lévy process).

4 The proof of Theorem 3.6

We will consider the steps of the proof of the corresponding Theorem 5.1 in [13] (see in particular Sections 3 and 4 in [13]) showing that they still work without the stationarity of increments of the driving process. To this purpose we have to modify the proofs of Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 in [13] using Proposition 2.1.

We start with a strong solution $(V_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ to (26) defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and introduce the n -dimensional process $\bar{Y}^{s,x} = (\bar{Y}_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$,

$$\bar{Y}_t^{s,x} = V_t^{x,s} - (\tilde{M}_t - \tilde{M}_s). \quad (31)$$

Note that on $\Omega_{s,x}$ (an almost sure event independent of t) we have (cf. (27))

$$\bar{Y}_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, \bar{Y}_r^{s,x} + (\tilde{M}_r - \tilde{M}_s)) dr, \quad t \geq s, \quad (32)$$

and $\bar{Y}_t^{s,x} = x$ on Ω if $t \leq s$. It follows that $(\bar{Y}_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0,T]}$ have continuous trajectories.

Let us fix $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Setting $\bar{Y}_t^{s,x}(\omega) = 0$, for $t \in [0, T]$, if $\omega \notin \Omega_{s,x}$, we find that $\bar{Y}^{s,x}(\omega) \in G_0 = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover

$$\bar{Y}^{s,x} = \bar{Y}^{s,x} \text{ is a random variable with values in } G_0 = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n); \quad (33)$$

G_0 is the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ into \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{G_0}$. Now, for each fixed $s \in [0, T]$, we obtain a suitable version of the random field $(\bar{Y}^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ which takes values in G_0 .

The next result can be proved as Lemma 3.2 in [13] (in the corresponding proof in [13] the stationarity of increments of the driving Lévy process was not used).

Lemma 4.1. *Consider (26). Let us fix $s \in [0, T]$ and consider $\bar{Y}^s = (\bar{Y}^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ which takes values in G_0 (cf. (33)). We have:*

(i) *There exists a continuous G_0 -valued modification $Y^s = (Y^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ (i.e., for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{Y}^{s,x} = Y^{s,x}$ in G_0 on some almost sure event).*

(ii) *For any $p > 2n$ there exists a r.v. $U_{s,p}$ with values in $[0, \infty]$ such that, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\|Y^{s,x}(\omega) - Y^{s,y}(\omega)\|_{G_0} \leq U_{s,p}(\omega) [(|x| \vee |y|)^{\frac{2n+1}{p}} \vee 1] |x - y|^{1-2n/p}. \quad (34)$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[U_{s,p}^p] < \infty. \quad (35)$$

(iii) *On some almost sure event Ω'_s (which is independent of t and x) one has:*

$$Y_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, Y_r^{s,x} + (\tilde{M}_r - \tilde{M}_s)) dr, \quad t \geq s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (36)$$

(where $Y_t^{s,x}(\omega) = (Y^{s,x}(\omega))(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$); this implies that, for any $\omega \in \Omega'_s$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the map: $t \mapsto Y_t^{s,x}(\omega)$ is continuous on $[0, T]$.

Let $s \in [0, T]$. According to the previous result starting from $Y^s = (Y^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ we can define random variables $X_t^{s,x} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows: $X_t^{s,x} = x$ if $t \leq s$ and

$$X_t^{s,x} = Y_t^{s,x} + (\tilde{M}_t - \tilde{M}_s), \quad s, t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad s \leq t. \quad (37)$$

By the properties of $Y^{s,x}$ we get $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{X}_t^{s,x} = X_t^{s,x}, t \in [0, T]) = 1$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, using also (36), we find that for some almost sure event Ω'_s (independent of x and t) the map: $t \mapsto X_t^{s,x}(\omega)$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$, for any $\omega \in \Omega'_s$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and on Ω'_s we have

$$X_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, X_r^{s,x}) dr + \tilde{M}_t - \tilde{M}_s, \quad s \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (38)$$

Hence $(X_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a particular strong solution to (26). By Lemma 4.1 we also have, for any $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{s,x}(\omega) - X_t^{s,y}(\omega)| = 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

The following flow property can be proved as Lemma 3.3 in [13] (indeed the corresponding proof in [13] does not use the stationarity of increments of the driving Lévy process).

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the strong solution $(X_t^{s,x})_{t \in [0, T]}$ of (26) defined in (37). Let $0 \leq s < u \leq T$. There exists $\Omega_{s,u}$ (an almost sure event independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, T]$) such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_{s,u}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$X_t^{s,x}(\omega) = X_t^{u, X_u^{s,x}(\omega)}(\omega), \quad t \in [u, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (39)$$

Now as in [13] we introduce $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ the space of all functions from \mathbb{R}^n into $G_0 = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ endowed with the compact-open topology. This is a complete separable metric space endowed with the metric

$$d_0(f, g) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\sup_{|y| \leq k} \|f(y) - g(y)\|_{G_0}}{1 + \sup_{|y| \leq k} \|f(y) - g(y)\|_{G_0}}, \quad f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0). \quad (40)$$

We will also use the continuous projections:

$$\pi_x : C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0) \rightarrow G_0, \quad \pi_x(l) = l(x) \in G_0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad l \in C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0). \quad (41)$$

By Lemma 4.1 for any $s \in [0, T]$ the random field $(Y^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ has continuous trajectories. It is straightforward to prove that, for any $s \in [0, T]$, the mapping:

$$\omega \mapsto Y^s(\omega) = Y^{s, \cdot}(\omega) \quad (42)$$

is measurable from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with values in $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ (cf. page 702 in [13]).

We will set $Y = (Y^s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ to denote the previous stochastic process with values in $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ and defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

The next two results correspond to Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 in [13] respectively. In their proofs the stationarity of increments of the driving Lévy process has been used. To overcome this difficulty we will use Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 4.3. *The process $Y = (Y^s)$ with values in $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ (see (42)) is continuous in probability.*

Proof. To perform the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [13] replacing the Lévy process L of [13] with the additive process \tilde{M} (and, moreover, b with \tilde{b} and the dimension d with n), we start to choose β small enough such that

$$\beta(2n+1) < 2n\theta \quad (43)$$

(cf. (4.5) in [13] and recall that $C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_b^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < \gamma \leq \beta \leq 1$).

Then we replace the estimate after (4.12) in [13] as follows (cf. (30) and see Proposition 2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{M}_{s_n} - \tilde{M}_s|^{\frac{\beta(2n+1)}{2n}}] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_s^{s_n} e^{-rA} \sigma(r) dL_r\right|^{\frac{\beta(2n+1)}{2n}}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\tilde{M}_s|^{\frac{\beta(2n+1)}{2n}}\right] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [13] can be easily adapted to the present setting and we obtain the assertion. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.4. *Consider the process $Y = (Y^s)$ with values in $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ (see (42)). There exists a modification $Z = (Z^s)$ of Y with càdlàg paths.*

Proof. We follow the proof of the corresponding Theorem 4.4 in [13] replacing L in [13] with \tilde{M} , the dimension d with n and b with \tilde{b} . In the sequel we only indicate some changes.

The main changes are in Step IV of the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [13]. We fix $p \geq 32n$ (i.e., $1 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq 15/16$) such that $\frac{8(2n+1)}{p} < \frac{\theta}{4}$ and consider the r.v.

$$Z = 1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |\tilde{M}_r|^{\frac{8(2n+1)}{p}}.$$

One has $|\tilde{M}_{s'} - \tilde{M}_s|^{\frac{s(2n+1)}{p}} \leq 2Z$, for $0 \leq s < s' \leq T$. By Proposition 2.1 we know that $\mathbb{E}[Z^4] < \infty$.

Following the proof in Step IV, replacing L with \tilde{M} we arrive at the problem of estimating Γ_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

The term Γ_4 can be treated as in [13]. Let us deal with the other terms; setting $\rho = s_3 - s_1$ (recall that $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < s_3 \leq T$) and using the r.v. $U_{s,p}$ of Lemma 4.1, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &= \mathbb{E}[1_{\{|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8}\}} \cdot 1_{\{|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8}\}}], \\ \Gamma_2 &= \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} [\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8}) + \mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8})], \\ \Gamma_3 &= \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8}\}} Z U_{s_2,p}^8 + 1_{\{|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8}\}} Z U_{s_3,p}^8].\end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate, for $r \leq s$, $r, s \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_s - \tilde{M}_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}). \quad (44)$$

We use Proposition 2.1 with its notation. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_s - \tilde{M}_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}) &\leq \mathbb{P}(|I_s - I_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}/3) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(|J_s - J_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}/3) + \mathbb{P}(|K_s - K_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}/3).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Chebychev inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_s - \tilde{M}_r| > |r - s|^{1/8}) &\leq \frac{9}{|r - s|^{1/4}} \mathbb{E}[|I_s - I_r|^2 + |J_s - J_r|^2] \\ &+ \frac{3^\theta}{|r - s|^{\theta/8}} \mathbb{E}[|K_s - K_r|^\theta] \leq c_3(|r - s|^{3/4} + |r - s|^{1 - \frac{\theta}{8}}).\end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

By (45) we estimate Γ_2 and Γ_3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2 &\leq \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} [\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8}) + \mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8})] \\ &\leq 2c_3 \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} (\rho^{3/4} + \rho^{1 - \frac{\theta}{8}}). \\ \Gamma_3 &\leq \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} (\mathbb{E}[Z^4])^{1/4} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[U_{s,p}^{32}] \right)^{1/4} \left[(\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8})^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8}))^{1/2} \right] \leq C_8 \rho^{1 - \frac{2n}{p}} (\rho^{3/8} + \rho^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{\theta}{8}}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(1 - \frac{2n}{p}) + 3/8 > 1$ and $(1 - \frac{2n}{p}) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{\theta}{8}) > 1$, we arrive at

$$\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 \leq C_9 \rho^{\frac{5}{4}} = C_9 |s_3 - s_1|^{5/4}.$$

Finally, by the independence of increments and using (45), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &\leq (\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_3} - \tilde{M}_{s_2}| > |s_3 - s_2|^{1/8}) \cdot (\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{M}_{s_2} - \tilde{M}_{s_1}| > |s_2 - s_1|^{1/8})) \\ &\leq 2c_3^2 (\rho^{3/2} + \rho^{2(1 - \frac{\theta}{8})}) \leq c_4 |s_3 - s_1|^{3/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the previous estimates we finish the proof as in [13] obtaining

$$\mathbb{E}[(d_0(Y^{s_1}, Y^{s_2}) \cdot d_0(Y^{s_2}, Y^{s_3}))^{8/\beta}] \leq C_0 |s_3 - s_1|^{5/4}.$$

■

By Theorem 4.4 and using the projections π_x (cf. (41)), we write, for $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Z^s = (Z^{s,x})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}, \quad \text{with } \pi_x(Z^s) = Z^{s,x} \in G_0.$$

Recall that on some Ω_s (almost sure event) $Y^{s,x} = Z^{s,x}$, $s \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (cf. (42)). The next result can be proved in the same way as Lemma 4.5 in [13] replacing b, d and L with \tilde{b}, n and \tilde{M} respectively.

Lemma 4.5. *Consider the càdlàg process Z which takes values in $C(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0)$ (see Theorem 4.4). The following assertions hold:*

(i) *There exists Ω_1 (an almost sure event independent of s, t and x) such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_1$, we have that $t \mapsto \tilde{M}_t(\omega)$ is càdlàg, $\tilde{M}_0(\omega) = 0$ and $s \mapsto Z^s(\omega)$ is càdlàg; further, for any $\omega \in \Omega_1$,*

$$Z_t^{s,x}(\omega) = x + \int_s^t \tilde{b}(r, Z_r^{s,x}(\omega) + \tilde{M}_r(\omega) - \tilde{M}_s(\omega)) dr, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, for $s \leq t$, the r.v. $Z_t^{s,x}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^L$ -measurable (if $t \leq s$, $Z_t^{s,x} = x$).

(ii) *There exists an almost sure event Ω_2 and a $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable function $V_m : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, with $\int_0^T V_m(s, \omega) ds < \infty$, for any integer $m > 2n$, $\omega \in \Omega_2$, and, further, the following inequality holds on Ω_2*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t^{s,x} - Z_t^{s,y}| \leq |x - y|^{\frac{m-2n}{m}} [(|x| \vee |y|)^{\frac{2n+1}{m}} \vee 1] V_m(s, \cdot), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

(iii) *There exists an almost sure event Ω_3 such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_3$ we have*

$$Z_t^{s,x}(\omega) + L_u(\omega) - L_s(\omega) = Z_t^{u, Z_u^{s,x}(\omega) + L_u(\omega) - L_s(\omega)}(\omega), \quad (46)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s, u, t \in [0, T]$, with $0 \leq s < u \leq T$.

Proof of Theorem 3.6 . The proof follows the same lines of the one of Theorem 5.1 in [13], using the previous lemmas, replacing b, d and L with \tilde{b}, n and \tilde{M} respectively.

5 An example of degenerate SDE

Let us consider

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt, & X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ dV_t = F(X_t, V_t) dt + dW_t, & V_0 = v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

where $W = (W_t)$ is a standard Wiener process with values in \mathbb{R}^d defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. One can write equation (47) in the form (6) with $n = 2d$ and $L = W$ by defining $C \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and the drift $b : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ as follows

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(x, v) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}; \quad (48)$$

here I denotes the $d \times d$ identity matrix. First we assume:

(H) $F : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded function and there exist $\beta' \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma \in (2/3, 1)$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|F(x, v) - F(x', v')| \leq C(|x - x'|^\gamma + |v - v'|^{\beta'}), \quad (x, v), (x', v') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \quad (49)$$

Note that **(H)** implies assumption (H1) of [5] for equation (47) (see also [19] for more general assumptions on (47)). Thus under **(H)** strong existence and uniqueness hold on each $[0, T]$ by Theorem 1.1 in [5].

Adapting the argument of Section 1.6 in [5] from the case $p = 2$ to the case $p > 2$ (or applying formula (1.19) of Theorem 1.7 of [19]) we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let us assume **(H)**. Let $(Z_t^{(x,v)})$ be the unique strong solution to (47) starting from $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ at time $s = 0$. Then, for any $T > 0$, $p \geq 2$, there exists $C_{T,p} > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t^{(x,v)} - Z_t^{(x',v')}|^p \right] \leq C_{T,p} (|x - x'|^p + |v - v'|^p), \quad (x, v), (x', v') \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

We can prove Davie's uniqueness for (47) applying Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3.

Theorem 5.2. *Let us consider SDE (47) where $W = (W_t)$ is a standard \mathbb{R}^d -valued Wiener process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.*

(i) *Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous and has at most a linear growth (i.e., there exists $c > 0$ such that $|F(x, v)| \leq c(1 + |x| + |v|)$, $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$).*

(ii) *Assume that there exist $\beta' \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (2/3, 1)$ such that for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ the function $\eta \cdot F : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ verifies **(H)** when F is replaced by $\eta \cdot F$.*

Then, there exists an almost sure event $\Omega' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for $\omega \in \Omega'$, $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, the following integral equation in the unknown function $z(t) = (x(t), v(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = x + tv + \int_0^t (t-s)F(x(s), v(s)) ds + \int_0^t W_s(\omega) ds \\ v(t) = v + \int_0^t F(x(s), v(s)) ds + W_t(\omega), \end{cases}$$

has exactly one solution $z(t)$ in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. With the notations in (48) one can check all the assumptions of Corollary 3.2 about SDE (47). Indeed hypothesis (i) of Corollary 3.3 holds with $\beta = \beta' \wedge \gamma$. The integrability condition (ii) is clearly satisfied by the Wiener process W . Let us check condition (iii).

For any $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we know that $F \cdot \rho$ verifies **(H)**. By Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 2.2 we find that the SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt, & X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ dV_t = (F \cdot \rho)(X_t, V_t) dt + dW_t, & V_0 = v \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

verifies Hypothesis 1. This shows that condition (iii) holds. By Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 we obtain the assertion. \blacksquare

Remark 5.3. One could write (47) as $dZ_t = \begin{pmatrix} V_t \\ F(Z_t) \end{pmatrix} dt + dL_t$ with $Z_t = \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ V_t \end{pmatrix}$ and $L_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ W_t \end{pmatrix}$ in order to try to apply directly the results in [13] to get Davie's uniqueness. However, a difficulty appears. Assume that F verifies **(H)**. Since the drift $b(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ F(x, v) \end{pmatrix}$ is not bounded one should truncate such drift and localize according to Corollary 5.4 in [13]. A possible strategy would be to look for approximating bounded drifts like $b_n(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_n(v) \\ F(x, v) \end{pmatrix}$, $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$,

$n \geq 1$. However since η_n is bounded it cannot satisfy assumption (H3-b) in [5]; this hypothesis is needed to prove strong uniqueness for the approximating SDE $dZ_t^n = b_n(Z_t^n)dt + dL_t$.

Remark 5.4. One can obtain Davie's type uniqueness results for degenerate SDEs more general than (47), starting from known pathwise uniqueness results available in the literature (cf. [5], [19], [9] and see the references therein). For instance, one could consider SDEs in \mathbb{R}^{3d} like

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = F(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + dW_t, \\ dY_t = X_tdt + G(Y_t, Z_t)dt, \\ dZ_t = Y_tdt + H(Z_t)dt. \end{cases} \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad Y_0 = y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad Z_0 = z \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (50)$$

Such equations are a special case of singular degenerate SDEs considered in [9]. In [9] there are conditions on F, G and H such that strong uniqueness holds for (50).

References

- [1] D. Applebaum. Lévy processes and stochastic calculus, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 93, Cambridge University Press, II edition, 2009.
- [2] L. Beck, F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli, M. Maurelli, Stochastic ODEs and stochastic linear PDEs with critical drift: regularity, duality and uniqueness, preprint arXiv:1401.1530, to appear in Electron. J. Probab.
- [3] O. Butkovsky, L. Mytnik, Regularization by noise and flows of solutions for a stochastic heat equation, Ann. Probab. 47 (2019) 165-212.
- [4] R. Catellier, M. Gubinelli, Averaging along irregular curves and regularisation of ODEs, Stochastic Process. Appl. 126 (2016) 2323-2366.
- [5] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, Strong existence and uniqueness for stochastic differential equation with Hölder drift and degenerate noise, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 53 (2017), 259-286.
- [6] A. M. Davie, Uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, Int. Math. Res. Notices, no. 24 Art. ID rnm124, 26 pp. (2007).
- [7] E. Fedrizzi, F. Flandoli, E. Priola and J. Vovelle, Regularity of Stochastic Kinetic Equations, Electron. J. Probab. 22 (2017) 1-42.
- [8] F. Flandoli, Random Perturbation of PDEs and Fluid Dynamic Models: Ecole D'été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XL-2010. Springer.
- [9] I. Honore, S. Menozzi, P.E. Chaudru de Raynal, Strong regularization by Brownian noise propagating through a weak Hörmander structure, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12225.
- [10] K. Itô, Additive Processes (Processes with Independent Increments). In: Barndorff-Nielsen O.E., Sato K. (eds) Stochastic Processes. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 39-92, 2004.
- [11] N. V. Krylov, Introduction to the theory of random processes. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 43, AMS, Providence, 2002.
- [12] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, Real and stochastic analysis, Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, MA (2004) 305-373.

- [13] E. Priola, Davie's type uniqueness for a class of SDEs with jumps, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* 54 (2018) 694-725.
- [14] A. Rocha-Arteaga, K. Sato, *Topics in Infinitely Divisible Distributions and Lévy Processes*, Comunicaciones del CIMAT, Guanajuato, 2001.
- [15] K. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [16] K. I. Sato, Stochastic integrals in additive processes and application to semi-Lévy processes. *Osaka J. Math.* 41 (2004) 211-236.
- [17] A. V. Shaposhnikov, Some remarks on Davie's uniqueness theorem, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* 59 (2016) 1019-1035.
- [18] A. J. Veretennikov. Strong solutions and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations, *Mat. Sb., (N.S.)* 111 (153) (1980) 434-452.
- [19] F. Y. Wang, X. Zhang, Degenerate SDE with Holder-Dini drift and non-Lipschitz noise coefficient, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48(2016) 2189-2226.
- [20] X. Zhang, Stochastic Hamiltonian flows with singular coefficients. *Sci. China Math.* 61 (2018) 1353-1384.
- [21] G. A. Pavliotis: *Stochastic processes and applications: diffusion processes, the Fokker-Planck and Langevin equations*. Springer, New York, 2014.
- [22] L. Wresch, L., Path-by-path uniqueness of infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.07720*.