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#### Abstract

We show that there exist symplectic structures on a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ that do not admit a compatible Kähler structure. These symplectic structures were originally constructed by Tolman and they have a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-symmetry. Tolman's manifold was shown to be diffeomorphic to a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ by Goertsches, Konstantis, and Zoller. The proof of our result relies on Mori theory, and on classical facts about holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.
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## 1 Introduction

In (31] Tolman constructed a remarkable family of symplectic forms $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on a compact 6-manifold $M_{\mathcal{T}}$, that have a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action, and yet don't admit a $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant compatible Kähler metriq ${ }^{1}$. Recently, in 16,17 ] Goertsches, Konstantis, and Zoller proved that Tolman's manifold $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is diffeomorphic to the projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(E)$ of a complex rank two bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, and hence it admits some Kähler structure. The bundle $E$ has $c_{1}(E)=-1$ and $c_{2}(E)=-1$, and using such a description, one can restate Tolman's theorem in a more explicit way.

Theorem $1.1\left([31],[16 \mid)\right.$. Let $E$ be a complex rank two-bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$, and let let $p: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{2}$ be the projection. Denote by $x$ the generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then for a certain effective $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and for any positive $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$ there is a $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-equivariant symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ without a compatible $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant Kähler metric, and such that

$$
\left[\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right]=\lambda_{1} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)+\lambda_{2} p^{*}(x) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{R})
$$

Throughout this article we will denote $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)$ by $\xi$ and $p^{*}(x)$ by $\eta$. We recall that a symplectic form defines an almost complex structure up to homotopy on the manifold, and in Theorem 1.1 we have $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)=2 \xi+2 \eta$. Figure 1 shows how the form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ evaluates on the invariant spheres in $M_{\mathcal{T}}$.

To put Theorem 1.1 into context, let us recall a few results on Hamitonian torus actions, where the symplectic category coincides with the Kähler one. First, in [11] Delzant proved that any symplectic manifold $\left(M^{2 n}, \omega\right)$ with an effective Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{n}$-action admits a compatible $\mathbb{T}^{n}$-invariant Kähler structure. Next, a theorem of Karshon $\left[20\right.$ states that all 4 -dimensional Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-manifolds admit a compatible $S^{1}$-invariant Kähler form. Furthermore, Tolman [32] and McDuff [24] proved that any six-dimensional Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-manifold with $b_{2}=1$ admits a compatible $S^{1}$-invariant Kähler form. We note finally that in a recent series of papers [6-8] Cho proved that all compact monotone symplectic 6-manifolds with a semi-free Hamiltonian circle action admit a compatible invariant Kähler form.

In the view of $[11,20,24,32]$ in several respects Tolman's manifold is a minimal possible example of a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{k}$-manifold without a compatible $\mathbb{T}^{k}$-invariant

[^0]Kähler form. Note also, that building on the example of Tolman, Woodward constructed an example of a Hamiltonian, mulitiplicity free, non-Kähler action (33].

In the light of papers [16,31] it is natural to ask the following questions.
Question 1.2. Let $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ be Tolman's manifold with the symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$.
(a) Does $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ admit a Kähler form compatible with the symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ for some $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$ ?
(b) Is there a subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ for which Tolman's manifold admits an $S^{1}$ invariant Kähler form compatible with $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ for some $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$.

Our first main result gives a partial answer to Question 1.2 (a).
Theorem 1.3. For $\lambda_{2} \leq 2 \lambda_{1}$ the symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ doesn't admit any compatible Kähler metric.

We conjecture, however, that in cases $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}$ is small enough, the symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ does admit a compatible Kähler form ${ }^{2}$. Theorem 1.3 implies that the symplectic form constructed by Tolman in [31, Lemma 4.1] does not admit a compatible Kähler metric, since this symplectic form is $\omega_{1,2}$ in our notation.

Theorem 1.3 has an immediate corollary, that is new according to our knowledge.
Corollary 1.4. There exists a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action with isolated fixed points that does not admit a compatible Kähler metric.

We note that in Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 we do not assume that the compatible Kähler metric is preserved by any symmetry.

Coprime actions. To state our second main result, we introduce the following terminology. We say that an $S^{1}$-action on a symplectic manifold is coprime if at any fixed point $p$ the weights of the $S^{1}$-action at $p$ are pairwise coprime and no weight of the action is equal to either $-1,0,1$. According to this definition, a coprime action has isolated fixed points. It turns out, that for a subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ the action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is coprime if an only if it doesn't have weights $-1,0,1$ at any point. Furthermore, for a generic subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ the action is coprime. Hence, the following result gives an almost complete answer to Question 1.2 (b).

Theorem 1.5. Let $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ be Tolman's manifold, and let $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ be a subgroup whose action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is coprime. Then there is no $S^{1}$-invariant Kähler metric on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ that is compatible with $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$.

[^1]We believe that one can remove the condition on $S^{1}$-action to be coprime, and the exactly same statement holds for any subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$.

Let us now explain how Theorems $1.1,1.3$ and 1.5 are proven. As for Theorem 1.1. using the existence of symplectic forms $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ together with the Duistermaat-Heckman formula, one calculates the cubic intersection form on $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Using further localisation one calculates $p_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ and $w_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$. This permits one to apply a theorem of Jupp to get a diffeomorphism between $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ and the projectivization of a rank two bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Our proof is similar to [16], but the approach to calculating the invariants is different. As for the cohomology classes of the forms $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$, they are calculated by evaluating them at the collection of 9 spheres in $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ which are $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses Mori theory together with classical results on holomorphic rank two bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Before explaining it, we need to fix some terminology and state an additional result. We will say that two almost complex manifolds $(M, J)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right)$ are almost complex equivalent if there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that the almost complex structures $\varphi(J)$ and $J^{\prime}$ are homotopic on $M^{\prime}$. Let $J_{\mathcal{T}}$ be any almost complex structure on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ that tames $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$. Theorem 1.1 states among other things that Tolman's manifold $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, J_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is almost complex equivalent to the projectivisation of a complex rank two bundle $E$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$. The next theorem shows that there is control on Kähler metrics of such almost complex manifolds.

Theorem 1.6. Let $\left(M^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right)$ be a compact three-dimensional Kähler manifold that is almost complex equivalent to the projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(V)$ of a holomorphic rank two bundle $V$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(V)=-1$. Suppose $4 c_{2}(V)-c_{1}^{2}(V) \leq 27$. Then $\left(M^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right)$ is biholomorphic to the projecitivisation of a holomorphic bundle $V^{\prime}$ with $c\left(V^{\prime}\right)=c(V)$.

It is worth to note here that $c_{1}^{3}(\mathbb{P}(V))=2\left(27+c_{1}^{2}(V)-4 c_{2}(V)\right)$. In particular, the quantity $4 c_{2}(V)-c_{1}^{2}(V)$ doesn't change when we tensor $V$ by a line bundle. In particular, this result holds as well for all $V$ with $c_{1}(V)$ odd. This theorem has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.7. Any Kähler manifold ( $M^{\prime}, J^{\prime}$ ) almost complex equivalent to Tolman's manifold as an almost complex manifold is biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a holomorphic rank two bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$.

Thus, to prove Theorem 1.3 we only need to find an appropriate restriction on the Kähler cones of projectivisations of holomorphic bundles $E$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1$, $c_{2}(E)=-1$. This is done by exhibiting rational curves on which the form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ evaluates as $\lambda_{2}-2 \lambda_{1}$ which gives the inequality $\lambda_{2}<2 \lambda_{1}$.

As for Theorem 1.5, its proof is by contradiction in three steps. We assume first that $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega\right)$ admits a compatible Kähler metric $g$. Then by Corollary $1.7 M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a holomorphic rank two bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$
with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$. Next we show that in the case that $g$ is invariant under an $S^{1}$-action as in Theorem 1.5, there is a smooth $S^{1}$-invariant rational curve $S$ in $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ on which $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ evaluates negatively. This leads us to a contradiction.
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## 2 Preliminary results

In this section we build up some results about Tolman's manfiold. After setting notations in Section 2.1, we recall the construction of Tolman's manifold in Section 2.2. In Section 2.3 we specify the weights of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$, which permits one to calculate the Chern classes and Chern numbers of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. Further, in Section 2.4 we single out integer bases of $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Finally, in Section 2.5 we recall some basic facts on the topology of projective bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

### 2.1 Basic facts on Hamiltonian circle actions

Suppose that $\left(M^{2 n}, \omega\right)$ is a compact symplectic manifold. Recall that $(M, \omega)$ has a compatible almost complex structure and the space of such is contractible. Hence, the Chern classes of $T M$ are well defined, we refer to them simply as $c_{i}(M) \in$ $H^{2 i}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Now, we recall some definitions and results in the theory of Hamiltonian $S^{1}$ actions (the reader may consult [25, Section 5] for more background).

Definition 2.1. Suppose $(M, \omega)$ is a $2 n$-dimensional symplectic manifold with an effective Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action. Let $p$ be a fixed point with weights $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$. Then the index of $p$ is

$$
\operatorname{ind}(p)=2 \cdot \#\left\{w_{i}: w_{i}<0\right\} .
$$

The index is also equal to the index of $H$ as a Morse-Bott function on the critical submanifold containing $p$. The following definition is also standard.

Definition 2.2. Suppose $(M, \omega)$ has an effective Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action.

- Let $M^{S^{1}} \subset M$ denote the set of points fixed by $S^{1}$.
- For each integer $k \geq 2$ consider the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{k} \subset S^{1}$ generated by $e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{k}}$. Then define $M^{\mathbb{Z}_{k}}$ to be the set of points in $M$ whose stabiliser contains $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$.
- A connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_{k}}$ which is not contained in $M^{S^{1}}$ is called an isotropy submanifold. We say that this isotropy submanifold has weight $k$ the stabiliser of its generic point is $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$.
- A 2-dimensional isotropy submanifold is called an isotropy sphere.

Recall that $M^{\mathbb{Z}_{k}}$ and $M^{S^{1}}$ are possibly disconnected symplectic submanifolds invariant by the $S^{1}$-action [25, Lemma 5.53]. Note that the term isotropy sphere is justified: any isotropy submanifold inherits a non-trivial Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action from $M$, hence if 2-dimensional it is diffeomorphic to a 2 -sphere.

Lastly, we give a standard localisation result for reference.
Lemma 2.3. Let $M$ be a Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-manifold and $S \subset M$ be an invariant sphere, which is not point-wise fixed by $S^{1}$. Let $S_{\max }, S_{\min }$ be the fixed points on $S$ where the Hamiltonian attains its maximum and minimum respectively. Denote by $w_{i}$ the weights at $S_{\max }$ and by $w_{i}^{\prime}$ the weights at $S_{\min }$. Then

$$
\left\langle c_{1}(M), S\right\rangle=\frac{\sum w_{i}^{\prime}-\sum w_{i}}{w(S)}
$$

where $w(s)$ denotes the order of the stabiliser of a non-fixed point in $S$.
Proof. This follows from ABBV localisation, in particular see [23, Lemma 2.15].

### 2.2 Tolman's manifold

In this section we recall the construction of Tolman's manifold $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ and state some of its simple properties. The construction is given in [31, Lemma 4.1] where Tolman describes a symplectic 6 -manifold $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega\right)$ with a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action. We will state this result in a slightly more detailed form, in particular, we will describe a two-parameter family $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ of symplectic forms on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. The symplectic form $\omega$ described in [31, Lemma 4.1] is $\omega_{1,2}$ in our notation.

Theorem 2.4 (Tolman [31]). Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ satisfy $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Then there exists a 6 -dimensional symplectic manifold $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ with an effective, Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ action, with 6 isolated fixed points and 9 invariant symplectic spheres, such that the moment map $\phi: M_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ has the following properties.

1) The moment map sends $M_{\mathcal{T}}^{S^{1}}$ to points $(0,0),\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right),\left(2 \lambda_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{2}, 0\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$.
2) The collection of nine invariant spheres is sent by $\phi$ to the graph depicted on Figure 1.


Figure 1: The moment image of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ and the images of the nine invariant spheres
Remark 2.5. The moment map $\phi$ is normalised so that the image $\phi\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ lies in the positive quadrant $x, y \geq 0$, and the image of one fixed point is $(0,0)$. The moment image $\phi\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is the gray trapezoid depicted on Figure 1 .

The graph with 9 edges depicted on Figure 1 is sometimes called a GKM-graph. Each $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant sphere in $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is sent by $\phi$ to an edge of the graph. Each such sphere is a symplectic submanifold, and so has positive area, which is indicated next to the corresponding edge. In particular, inequality $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$ ensures that the sphere corresponding to the edge joining points $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ has positive area.

We also note that all edges of the graph have a rational slope in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and the slope doesn't change when $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ vary.

Tolman's construction. Let us briefly recall the construction of Tolman's manifold from [31, Section 4]. We follow the construction very closely, and the only difference is that we take care of the class of symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$. The form described in 31, Section 4] corresponds to choosing $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=2$.

Tolman starts with two symplectic manifolds $\hat{M}$ and $\tilde{M}$, where $\hat{M}$ is $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \times \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $\tilde{M}$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{C} P^{3} \times \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ that can be checked to be the projectivisation of the bundle $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ see [31, Section 2]. Both $\hat{M}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are toric, so $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ naturally acts on them.

The $\mathbb{T}^{3}$-invariant symplectic form on $\hat{M}$ is chosen so that the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-fibre has area $\lambda_{1}$ and a line in a $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$-fibre has area $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$. Such a symplectic form exists for any positive $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. Stated using the toric terminology, we take the toric 3 -fold, with moment map $\hat{\Phi}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, such that the moment polytope $\hat{\Phi}(\hat{M})$ has vertices $(0,0,0),\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0,0\right),\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0\right),\left(0,0, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)$.

For $\tilde{M}$ a $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-fibre has area $\lambda_{1}$ and a line in the projectivisation of the $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ -sub-bundle has area $2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$. Stated using toric terminology, we take the toric 3 -fold with moment map $\tilde{\Phi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, such that polytope $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{M})$ has vertices $(0,0,0),\left(2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0,0\right),\left(0,2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0\right),\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$. Such a $\mathbb{T}^{3}$-invariant form exists if and only if $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}>0$. Indeed the integral lengths of the top face edges are $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$ and the non-horizontal edges have integral length $\lambda_{1}$.


Figure 2: Tolman's sum construction
Next one chooses a pair of 2-dimensional subtori inside $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ with corresponding Hamiltonian functions $\tilde{\phi}=(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ and $\hat{\phi}=(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$. The choice of these subtori is given in 31, Examples 2.2, 2.3], we recall them here. The moment map $\hat{\phi}$ is defined by composing $\hat{\Phi}$ with the linear map $(x, y, z) \mapsto(x+z, y)$. The moment map $\tilde{\phi}$ is defined by composing $\tilde{\Phi}$ with the linear map $(x, y, z)_{\sim} \mapsto(x, y)$.

The images of the corresponding moment maps $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ are shown on the first two pictures of Figure 2, Both images are contained in the positive quadrant, and one of 6 fixed points is sent to $(0,0)$. The values of the moment map at all other fixed points are found from the Duistermaat-Heckman formula.

The main step of the construction ensures that two manifolds with boundary given by the inequalities $\tilde{y} \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{2}$ and $\hat{y} \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{2}$ can be glued together along their boundaries at the level $\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{2}$ to a symplectic manifold $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ satisfying properties of Theorem 2.4. These manifolds are the preimages of the shaded subdomains bounded by the dotted line. One reason for existence of such a gluing is that the symplectic reductions of $\tilde{M}$ and $\hat{M}$ at the level $\tilde{y}=\hat{y}=\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{2}$ by the corresponding $S^{1}$-actions are symplectomorphic. In fact, by a theorem of Karshon these 4-manifolds are $S^{1}$-equivariantly symplectomorphic with respect to the remaining $S^{1}$-actions corresponding to the Hamiltonians $\tilde{x}$ and $\hat{x}$. This finishes our recollection of Tolman's construction, for more details see [31, Lemma 4.1].

We finish this discussion with the following definition and remark.
Definition 2.6. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ satisfy $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Tolman's manifold ( $M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ ) is any symplectic 6 -manifold with an effective Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4.

We note that by [16, Corollary 3.2] any two symplectic manifolds satisfying Definition 2.6 are diffeomorphic, and moreover such a diffemorphism preserves the homotopy type of a compatible almost complex structure.

Remark 2.7. Tolman's construction shows that Tolman's manifold exists for any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ that satisfy $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. However, it is not immediately obvious that the resulting manifold is unique up to a $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-equivariant symplectomorphism. Yet, we believe that for each $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$ any two sypmlectic manifolds satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.6 are $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-equivariantly symplectomorphic. One could try to prove this claim using the fact that the Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action induced by the Hamiltonian $\phi^{*}(y)$ is semi-free. This permits one to apply the classification result of Gonzales [18] in the spirit of the work [6-8]. We believe that this should allow one to prove that any two such manifolds are at least equivarianlty $S^{1}$-symplectomorphic.

### 2.3 Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-actions on Tolman's manifold

Let $\phi: M_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the moment map of the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action. Then for any two comprime integers $a, b$ the pullback function $\phi^{*}(a x+b y)$ is the Hamiltonian of an $S^{1}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. The $S^{1}$-action is defined by the subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, with parametrization $t \mapsto t(a, b)$.

We may calculate the weights of the $S^{1}$-action by the following formula: if an oriented edge $E$ of the image of the moment map $\phi\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ (see Figure 1) is based at the image of a fixed point $p$, and with direction given by a primitive vector $V=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1$, then a weight at $p$ is given by the formula:

$$
\omega(V)=b x_{2}-a x_{1}
$$

The resulting weights of the $S^{1}$-action are given now in Figure 3 .


Figure 3: The weight of the $S^{1}$-action at each of fixed points
The following properties of Tolman's manifold also follow from the computation of the weights in Figure 3 .

Lemma 2.8. Let $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ be Tolman's manifold. Then the following holds.

1. The value of $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ on the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ are $(6,4,4,2,2,2,2,2,0)$ as described on Figure 4 (left).
2. $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ satisfies $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{3}=64$.
3. $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is Poincaré dual to the sum of the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres.


Figure 4: Left: The value of $c_{1}(M)$ on the invariant spheres. Right: The image of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres in Tolman's manifold.

Proof. 1) The value of $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ on each $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant sphere can be calculated, using Lemma 2.3, from the weights of $S^{1}$-action which are given in Figure 3 .
2) To find $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{3}$ one first chooses on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ an $S^{1}$-action with isolated fixed points, for example taking $a=2, b=1$ above, and calculates the weights at all fixed point by plugging $a=2, b=1$ into Figure 3. Then one applies the ABBV localisation formula [1,5], which is explicitly sated in [32, Remark 2.5]. Let us recall this formula. The Chern number $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{3}$ is equal to the sum of contributions $F(p)$ over the fixed set $M_{\mathcal{T}}^{S^{1}}$, where for a fixed point with weights $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$ we have

$$
F(p)=\frac{\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{3}}{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}}, \quad \int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}} c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{3}=\sum_{p \in M_{\mathcal{T}}^{S^{1}}} F(p)
$$

3) The Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ satisfies the GKM condition by 16 , Lemma 4.1]. By [14, Lemma 4.11] the collection of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres is a toric 1-skeleton for $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ (in the sense of [14, Definition 4.10]), for any sub $S^{1}$-action, given by a linear subgroup $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$. Hence by [14, Lemma 4.13] the sum of $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres is Poincaré dual to $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$.

### 2.4 Integer bases in $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$

The goal of this section is to prove Corollary 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, where we construct bases in $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We start with the following standard lemma whose proof we present for a lack of a reference.

Lemma 2.9. Let $M$ be a smooth compact $2 n$-dimensional manifold with a Morse function $f$ whose critical points have even indices. Suppose that for each critical point $x_{i}$ of index $2 m$ there is a $2 m$-dimensional compact oriented submanifold $N_{i} \subset$ $M$ containing $x_{i}$, such that $f$ restricted to $N$ has a unique maximum that is attained at $x_{i}$. Then the homology classes $\left[N_{i}\right]$ form an integral basis of $H_{2 m}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $M_{\leq t}$ the subset of $M$ consisting of points where $f \leq t$ and we denote by $M_{\geq t}$ the subset of points where $f \geq t$. Let us recall the following classical result of Morse theory.

Theorem 2.10. Let $M$ be a smooth compact manifold with a Morse function $f$ whose critical points have even indices.

1. For any $t$ and any odd integer $k, H_{k}\left(M_{\leq t}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=0=H_{k}\left(M_{\geq t}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
2. For any even $k, H_{k}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group of dimension equal to the number of index $k$ critical points of $f$.
Proof of Lemma 2.9. Let $b_{2 m}$ be the $2 m$-th Betti number of $M$. Thanks to Theorem 2.10 2), to show that the classes $\left[N_{i}\right]$ form an integer basis in $H_{2 m}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ it is enough to find $b_{2 m}$ elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{b_{2 m}}$ in $H_{2 n-2 m}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the $b_{2 m} \times b_{2 m}$ intersection matrix $a_{i j}=\left[N_{i}\right] . h_{j}$ has determinant $\pm 1$.

We will construct the elements $h_{j}$ as cycles in singular homology. Let's assume that critical points of index $2 m$ are enumerated as $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{b_{2 m}}$, so that $f\left(x_{i}\right) \leq f\left(x_{j}\right)$ for $i<j$.

Let us choose any Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ and let $U_{j}$ be the $2 n-2 m$ dimensional unstable manifold corresponding to $x_{j}$. We will define $h_{j}$ as the class of the following cycle. First choose $\Delta_{j}$, a $2 n-2 m$-simplex embedded in $U_{j}$ such that $x_{j}$ lies in the interior of $\Delta_{j}$. Then, by definition of $U_{j}$, for some $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\min _{\partial\left(\Delta_{j}\right)} f>f\left(x_{j}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

Set $c_{j}=f\left(x_{j}\right)+\varepsilon$ and note that $\partial \Delta_{j}$ belongs to $M_{\geq c_{j}}$. Since $H_{2 n-2 m-1}\left(M_{\geq c_{j}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$, there exists a $2 n-2 m$-chain $C_{j}$ contained in $M_{\geq c_{j}}$, such that $\partial C_{j}=\partial\left(\Delta_{j}\right)$. Finally, we set $h_{j}=\left[\Delta_{j}-C_{j}\right]$, by construction it is an element of $H_{2 n-2 m}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

To prove that $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right)= \pm 1$, we note that $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is an upper-triangular matrix with entries $\pm 1$ on the diagonal. Indeed, in case $i<j$ the cycles $N_{i}$ and $\Delta_{j}-C_{j}$ are disjoint. And in the case $i=j$ they intersect transversally in one point, namely $x_{i}$, because by definition $U_{i} \cap N_{i}=x_{i}$ and the intersection at $x_{i}$ is transversal.
Corollary 2.11. Let $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset M_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the two $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres depicted in Figure 4 (right). Then $\left\{\left[S_{1}\right],\left[S_{2}\right]\right\}$ is an integral basis of $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
Proof. ${ }^{3}$ Since for a generic $\mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ the corresponding Hamiltonian is a Morse function on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ with exactly two critical points of index 2 , we have by Theorem 2.10 2) that $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. So, according to Lemma 2.9 it is enough to find a Morse function $f$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ so that its restriction to both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ has a unique maximum attained at a critical point of $f$ of index 2 . On can check that such a function can be taken as $\phi^{*}(3 x+2 y)$, where $\phi$ is the moment map.

This corollary has the following application.

[^2]Lemma 2.12. There exist two cohomology classes $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\left[\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right]=\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}$. The classes $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ evaluate on invariant spheres as it is shown on Figure 5. Moreover $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ belong to $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and form its basis.


Figure 5: Left: $\eta^{\prime}$ on the invariant spheres. Right: $\xi^{\prime}$ on the invariant spheres.
Proof. The values of $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on nine $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres are given on Figure 1 , and one sees immediately that these values coincide with the values of the functional $\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}$. Since these nine spheres generate $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we see that $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are indeed well defined elements of $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.

By Corollary 2.11 , the classes $\left[S_{1}\right]$ and $\left[S_{2}\right]$ form a basis of $H_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. It follows from the definition of $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ that classes $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}$ form the basis in $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ dual to the basis $\left(\left[S_{1}\right],\left[S_{2}\right]\right)$. Hence $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ is a basis of $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ as well.

### 2.5 The topology of projective bundles

In this section we recall several results on the topology of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. First, we fix some notations.

We will denote by $x$ the positive generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. For any pair $k_{1}, k_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$ there is precisely one topological complex rank two bundle $V$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(V)=k_{1} x$ and $c_{2}(V)=k_{2} x^{2}$, see [26, Section 6.1].

Now, let $\mathbb{P}(V)$ be the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundle associated to $V$ and let $p: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ be the associated projection. We denote by $\xi$ the class $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)\right) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ and by $\eta$ the class $p^{*}(x) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ is the tautological bundle. The following lemma sums up topological properties of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, it is a rephrasing of [27, Proposition 15] in the special case when the base manifold is $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

Lemma 2.13. Suppose we have a rank 2 bundle $V$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, and consider the associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with projection $p: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Suppose that $c_{1}(V)=k_{1} x$ and $c_{2}(V)=k_{2} x^{2}$, then the following holds:

1. The integer cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\eta, \xi] /\left(\eta^{3}, \xi^{2}+k_{1} \eta \xi+k_{2} \eta^{2}\right)$.
2. We have the following equalities:

$$
c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V))=2 \xi+\left(3+k_{1}\right) \eta, \quad c_{1}^{3}(\mathbb{P}(V))=2\left(27+k_{1}^{2}-4 k_{2}\right) .
$$

3. $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ is integrally divisible by 2 if and only if $k_{1}$ is odd. Furthermore, let $J$ be any almost complex structure on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Then $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V), J)$ is divisible by 2 if and only if $k_{1}$ is odd.
4. Suppose $k_{1}=-1$. Then for any non-zero $y \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ one has $y^{2} \neq 0$. Moreover, if in addition $k_{2}>0$, then for any non-zero $y, z \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ one has $y \cdot z \neq 0$.
5. The cubic intersection form on $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathbb{Z})$ (i.e. the form $\left.F(\beta)=\int_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \beta^{3}\right)$ is the following:

$$
F(a \eta+b \xi)=b\left(3 a^{2}-3 k_{1} a b+\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}\right) b^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. 1) This statement follows from Leray-Hirsch Theorem, see the proof of 27, Proposition 15].
2) Both formulas follow from [27, Proposition 15]. The class $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ is calculated from the long exact sequence stated in the proof of [27, Proposition 15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \rightarrow \pi^{*} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{P}(V)) \rightarrow \pi^{*} T \mathbb{C} P^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $c_{1}^{3}(\mathbb{P}(V))$, one applies directly the expression of the cubic form $F_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$ on $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ from [27, Proposition 15] to get

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}^{3}(\mathbb{P}(V))=F_{\mathbb{P}(V)}\left(c_{1}(V)\right)=F_{\mathbb{P}(V)}\left(\left(3+k_{1}\right) \eta+2 \xi\right)= \\
2\left(3\left(3+k_{1}\right)^{2}-6 k_{1}\left(3+k_{1}\right)+4\left(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}\right)\right)=2\left(27+k_{1}^{2}-4 k_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

3) The first statement follows from the formula for $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V))$ from 2$)$.

To deduce the second statement from the first one we note that $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V), J)$ is divisible by 2 if and only if its reduction modulo 2 vanishes. At the same time, by [27, Proposition 8], we have that

$$
c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V), J) \quad \bmod 2=w_{2}(\mathbb{P}(V))=c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V)) \quad \bmod 2
$$

Finally, we have already seen that $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(V)) \bmod 2=0$ if and only if $k_{1}$ is odd.
4) We have $c_{2}(V)=k_{2} x^{2}$ with $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then to prove the first statement we note that the polynomial $\xi^{2}-\xi \eta+k_{2} \eta^{2}$ is not a full square for any $k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To prove the second statement we note that for $k_{2}>0$ the polynomial $\xi^{2}-\xi \eta+$ $k_{2} \eta^{2}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
5) This formula is given in [27, Proposition 15].

From now on, to ease notation, for a vector bundle $V$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ instead of writing $c_{1}(V)=k_{1} x$ we will write $c_{1}(V)=k_{1}$ and instead of writing $c_{2}(V)=k_{2} x^{2}$ we will write $c_{2}(V)=k_{2}$.

Here, we specialise the above lemma to a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ such that $c_{1}(E)=-1$ and $c_{2}(E)=-1$. In addition we calculate the Chern and Pontryagin classes and characterise the zero-set of the cubic form on $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 2.14. Let $E$ be a rank 2 bundle on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, with $c_{1}=-1$ and $c_{2}=-1$. Let $p: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ be the projection. Then the following holds:

1. The cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\eta, \xi] /\left(\eta^{3}, \xi^{2}-\eta \xi-\eta^{2}\right)$.
2. The cubic form of $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$ (i.e. the form $F(\beta)=\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}} \beta^{3}$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a \eta+b \xi)=b\left(3 a^{2}+3 a b+2 b^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))=2 \eta+2 \xi, c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E))=6 \xi^{2}-6 \eta^{2}$ and $\left.p_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))\right)=8 \eta^{2}$.
4. Suppose $y \in H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $y^{3}=0$, then $y=k \eta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
5. $c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cdot \eta=6, c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cdot \xi=6$.

Proof. 1) This follows by applying Lemma 2.13 1) to $E$.
2) This follows by applying Lemma 2.13 5) to $E$.
3) Applying the exact sequence (1) to $\mathbb{P}(E)$ we get

$$
c(T \mathbb{P}(E))=c\left(p^{*}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right) c\left(p^{*}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)\right)
$$

Note that $c\left(p^{*}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right)=1+3 \eta+3 \eta^{2}$. We recall the formula for the total Chern class of a tensor product: $c\left(p^{*}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)=1+c_{1}\left(p^{*}(E)\right)+2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)+$ $c_{2}\left(p^{*}(E)\right)+c_{1}\left(p^{*}(E)\right) c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)+c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)\right)^{2}$. This implies that:

$$
c\left(p^{*}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E}(1)\right)=1-\eta+2 \xi-\eta^{2}-\eta \xi+\xi^{2} .
$$

So, using the relations in the cohomology ring computed in 1), we obtain

$$
c(T \mathbb{P}(E))=1+(2 \xi+2 \eta)+\left(6 \xi^{2}-6 \eta^{2}\right)+6 \xi^{2} \eta
$$

Hence

$$
c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))=2 \eta+2 \xi, \quad c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E))=6 \xi^{2}-6 \eta^{2} .
$$

By [27, Proposition 8], the first Pontryagin class of an almost complex 6-manifold satisfies $p_{1}=c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}$, so using the above

$$
p_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))=c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))^{2}-2 c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E))=8 \eta^{2}
$$

4) To prove this, we use 2) and note that the equation $\left(3 a^{2}+3 a b+2 b^{2}\right)=0$ has no non-zero real solutions, which means that $F(a \eta+b \xi) \neq 0$ unless $b=0$.
5) This follows from 3) and 1 ), with a little computation.

## 3 Symplectic forms $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ and topology of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$

In this section we prove several results on the topology of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ needed for the proof of Theorem 1.3. First, in Section 3.1 we calculate the cubic intersection form on $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ together with the Chern classes of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. Using this result, in Section 3.2 one applies a theorem of Jupp, to prove that there is a diffeomorphism from $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ to $\mathbb{P}(E)$ that preserves the first Chern class.

### 3.1 Chern classes and the cubic intersection form of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$

Lemma 3.1. Let $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ be Tolman's manifold, and let $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ be the cohomology classes on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by the evaluation on the invariant spheres as in Lemma 2.12 and Figure 5. Then the following holds:

1. $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=2 \eta^{\prime}+2 \xi^{\prime}$.
2. $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \cdot \eta^{\prime}=c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}=6$.

Proof. 1) It is enough to check that classes $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ and $2 \eta^{\prime}+2 \xi^{\prime}$ have the same values on the basis $\left\{\left[S_{1}\right],\left[S_{2}\right]\right\}$. This follows from Lemma 2.8.
2) By Lemma 2.8.3), we have that $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is Poincaré dual to the sum of the invariant spheres. One may see from Figure 5 that summing the value of $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ over the invariant spheres yields 6 .

Next, we once again consider a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1$ and $c_{2}(E)=-1$. We let $p: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ be the projection. Then we recall from Corollary 2.14 a natural basis $\{\eta, \xi\}$ for $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, where $\eta=p^{*}(x)$ and $x$ is the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $\xi$ the first Chern class of the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ be the symplectic form constructed in Theorem 2.4, and $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be the integral basis constructed in Lemma 2.12. Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left[\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right]^{3}=\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}\right)^{3}=2 \lambda_{1}^{3}+3 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}+3 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.12, $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ form an integral basis of $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.12, there is a symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$, with cohomology class $\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}$. Our first task will be to compute the cubic intersection form on $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Namely, we will compute the integral $\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left[\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right]^{3}=\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ using the Duistermaat-Heckman formula [25, Theorem 5.55].

Let's take the Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ corresponding to the linear function $L(x, y)=2 x+y$, i.e. composing the moment map of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ with $L$. The weights for
this action are shown in Figure 3 with $a=2, b=1$. Hence, the fixed point data associated to this manifold is as follows:

| Point | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | $P_{5}$ | $P_{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co-ordinates | $(0,0)$ | $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ | $\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ | $\left(0, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)$ | $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)$ | $\left(2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, 0\right)$ |
| $H\left(p_{i}\right)$ | 0 | $3 \lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$ | $3 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}$ | $4 \lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}$ |
| $\prod\left(w_{j}\right)$ | 6 | -6 | 6 | -2 | 2 | -6 |

Here $\prod\left(w_{j}\right)$ denotes the product of the weights at the fixed point $P_{i}$, and $H$ is the Hamiltonian of the $S^{1}$-action defined above. By the Duistermaat-Heckman formula we have

$$
(-1)^{3} \int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left[\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right]^{3}=\frac{\left(3 \lambda_{1}\right)^{3}}{-6}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{6}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{-2}+\frac{\left(3 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{2}+\frac{\left(4 \lambda_{1}+2 \lambda_{2}\right)^{3}}{-6} .
$$

Simplifying yields the required formula.

### 3.2 The diffeomorphism type of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$

In this section we show that $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is diffeomorphic to a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ via a diffeomorphism preserving the almost complex structure, where $E$ is a rank 2 vector bundle of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$.

The following theorem was proven in [16]. Namely, it was proven in [16] that Tolman's manifold is diffeomorphic to Eschenburg's manifold. On the other hand, Eschenburg's manifold is diffeomorphic to the projectivisation of a rank 2 bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}\left[12\right.$, Theorem 2]. Furthermore the vector bundle can be taken with $c_{1}=$ $1, c_{2}=-1$ [13]. Note finally, that a projectivisation of a bundle with $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=-1$ is diffeomorphic to the projectivisation of a bundle with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$ since the latter is obtained from the former by tensoring with a line bundle. We reprove this result calculating the topological invariants in a different way, we believe our approach may find other applications.

Theorem 3.3. [16] Let $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ be Tolman's manifold. Then there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{T}}$. Furthermore $\Phi^{*}(\eta)=\eta^{\prime}, \Phi^{*}(\xi)=\xi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi$ respects the homotopy class of almost complex structure.

This theorem will be proven using a result of Jupp [27, Theorem 1.1], stated below for the partial case of smooth manifolds with vanishing $b_{3}$.

Theorem 3.4. [27, Theorem 1.1] Suppose that $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are oriented, 1-connected, closed and smooth 6-manifolds with torsion free homology and $b_{3}\left(M_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=$ 1,2 . Suppose there is a group isomorphism $Q: H^{2}\left(M_{2}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M_{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ preserving the following:

1. The cubic intersection form $F: H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \times H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \times H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
2. The second Steifel-Whitney class $w_{2}\left(M_{i}\right) \in H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right) / 2 H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
3. The first Pontryagin class $p_{1}\left(M_{i}\right) \in H^{2}\left(M_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$.

Then, there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$, such that $\Phi^{*}=Q$.
Proof. This result is proven [27, Theorem 1.1]. Indeed, since we only consider smooth manifolds, and our manifolds have a unique smooth structure (see [27, Theorem 1.1]), hence the homeomorphsim guaranteed by [27, Theorem 1.1] can be chosen to be a diffeomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By Lemma 2.12, $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ are a basis of $H^{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We define a homomorphism

$$
Q: H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})
$$

by letting $Q\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=\eta$ and $Q\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\xi$ and extending linearly. Note that $Q$ is a group isomorphism, indeed by Corollary $2.14,\{\eta, \xi\}$ is an integral basis of $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$. The main part of the proof will be to show that

$$
Q\left(c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)\right)=c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \quad \text { and } \quad Q^{*}\left(c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E))\right)=c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)
$$

where the second equation is interpreted in the dual space of $H^{2}$. Due to Theorem 3.4, to find the required diffeomorphism $\Phi$ it is sufficient to prove that the isomorphism $Q$ preserves the cubic intersection form, the second Steifel-Whitney class, and the first Pontryagin class. We will prove these in this order.

The invariance of the Pontryagin class will follow from the invariance of the Chern classes, using the equation $p_{1}=c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}$. Then, finally the fact that $Q\left(c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)\right)=$ $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ will ensure that the diffeomorphism preserves the homotopy classes of the almost complex structure by [27, Proposition 8].

1. Intersection trilinear form. Comparing Equation (3) from Proposition 3.2 with Equation (2) from Corollary 2.14 (2), we have that, for any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}\right)^{3}=\int_{\mathbb{P}(E)}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi+\lambda_{2} \eta\right)^{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the definition of $Q$, for any $\alpha \in H^{2}(M, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$
\int_{M_{\mathcal{T}}} \alpha^{3}=\int_{\mathbb{P}(E)} Q(\alpha)^{3}
$$

i.e. $Q$ preserves the cubic intersection form. Recall that a trilinear from $F$ can be recovered from the associated cubic form $S$, using the polarisation $6 F(x, y, z)=$ $S(x+y+z)-S(x+y)-S(x+z)-S(y+z)+S(x)+S(y)+S(z)$, so $Q$ preserves the intersection trilinear forms.
2. Second Steifel-Whitney class. Both $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathbb{P}(E)$ are almost complex manifolds with even $c_{1}$, by Corollary 2.14 and Lemma 3.1. Hence, both of them
have vanishing second Steifel-Whitney class [27, Proposition 8], so in particular $w_{2}$ is preserved by $Q$.
3. First Pontryagin Class. Using the relation $p_{1}=c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}$ for almost complex 6 -manifolds [27, Proposition 8], it is sufficient to show that $Q$ preserves $c_{1}^{2}$ and $c_{2}$ as dual elements of $H^{2}$. Given that $Q$ preserves the intersection trilinear forms, it is sufficient to show that the intersection of $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ with $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ is the same as the inersection of $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ with $\xi, \eta$ respectively. Then it follows that $Q$ preserves $c_{1}^{2}$ as a dual element since by Lemma $3.1\left\{\eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right\}$ is an integral basis of $H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and by Corollary $2.14\{\xi, \eta\}$ is an integral basis of $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$. These intersection are the same, due to the identities $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=2 \xi^{\prime}+2 \eta^{\prime}$ and $c_{1}(\mathbb{P}(E))=2 \xi+2 \eta$, proved in Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.14 respectively.

To see that $c_{2}$ is preserved, note that by Lemma 3.1 we have that $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \cdot \eta^{\prime}=6$, $c_{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}=6$, and by Corollary $2.14(5), c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cdot \eta=6, c_{2}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cdot \xi=6$ So we see that $c_{2}$ is preserved by $Q$ as a dual element. We have shown that $p_{1}$ is preserved by $Q$.

Hence, by Theorem 3.4 the homomorphism $Q: H^{2}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z})$ is induced by a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{T}}$. Furthermore, $Q\left(c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)\right)=$ $c_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}(E)\right.$ ), by the computations of $c_{1}$ in Corollary 2.14 and Lemma 3.1. Hence, by [27, Proposition 8], the diffeomorphism respects the homotopy type of almost complex structure.

### 3.2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. As was shown in Theorem 2.4, for each $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}>0$, there is a symplectic form $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ with cohomology class $\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}$, having a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action. In Theorem 3.3, it was shown that there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: M_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E)$, such that $\Phi^{*}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi+\lambda_{2} \eta\right)=\lambda_{1} \xi^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta^{\prime}$. Via this identification, we get the required symplectic forms on $\mathbb{P}(E)$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove the main result of the paper. This is done by proving first Theorem 1.6 in Section 4.1, and then by studying basic properties of holomorphic rank two bundles with $c_{1}=c_{2}=-1$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ in Section 4.2. An important idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.3 is that a Kähler three-fold with $c_{1}^{3}>0$ admits a Mori contraction, and luckily $c_{1}^{3}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=64>0$, as we saw in Lemma 2.8.

### 4.1 Kähler metrics on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6, which studies Kähler structures on manifolds almost complex equivalent to holomorphic $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$-bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. We start with the following standard statement.

Lemma 4.1. Every compact Kähler manifold $Y$ with $b_{2}(Y)=2$ is projective.
Proof. Since $Y$ Kähler, we have $b_{2}(Y)=2=2 h^{0,2}(Y)+h^{1,1}(Y)$, and $h^{1,1}(Y)>0$. Hence $H^{0,2}(Y)=0$, implying that $Y$ has an ample line bundle, and is projective.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By the assumptions of the theorem and the formula for $c_{1}^{3}$ given in Lemma 2.13 2), we have that $c_{1}^{3}\left(M^{\prime}\right)>0$. It follows that $K_{M^{\prime}}$ is not ne 4 . Recall now that by Lemma 2.133$), c_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is integrally divisible by 2 , and so we can apply [29, Theorem 10]. According to this theorem there can be the following four possibilities.

1) There exists a simple blow down $\varphi: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}$.
2) $M^{\prime}$ has a structure of an unramified conic bundle $\varphi: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}$ over a smooth complex surface $M^{\prime \prime}$.
3) $M^{\prime}$ has a structure of a quadric bundle $\varphi: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}$ over a smooth curve $M^{\prime \prime}$.
4) $M^{\prime}$ is a smooth Fano 3 -fold.

Let us consider separately these four cases.
Case 1. Let us show that in this case $M^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a simple blow up of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$. This will settle the theorem in this case, since a simple blow up of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ is the projectivisation of the bundle $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

By our assumption, $\varphi: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}$ is a simple blow down. Let $y \in H^{2}\left(M^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be the pullback of the generator of $H^{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and let $z$ be the class of the exceptional divisor of the blow down $\varphi$. Clearly, $y z=0 \in H^{4}\left(M^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Recall that $c_{1}(V)=-1$ and let $c_{2}=d$. Applying Lemma 2.134 ), we conclude that $d \leq 0$. By Lemma 2.13 2) we have $c_{1}^{3}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=56-8 d$, and so $c_{1}^{3}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)=64-8 d$.

Since by Lemma 4.1 $M^{\prime}$ is projective, $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a projective 3 -fold with $b_{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$ and $c_{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{3}>0$, so it is a Fano 3 -fold. All such manifolds apart from $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ have $c_{1}^{3}$ less than 64 , we conclude that $d=0$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$.

Case 2. Let us show that in this case $M^{\prime}$ is a projectivisation of a rank two vector bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. We know that $M^{\prime}$ is an unramified conic bundle over a smooth surface $M^{\prime \prime}$. Clearly $\pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$ and $b_{2}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$, so $M^{\prime \prime}$ has the same Betti numbers as $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Hence $M^{\prime \prime}$ is either $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ or a fake projective plane. The latter possibility is excluded since fake projective planes have infinite fundamental group.

It follows that $M^{\prime}$ is an unramified conic bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. But each such bundle is a projectivisation of a certain rank two bundle $V^{\prime}$ because the Brauer group of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is trivial, see [2, Proposition 4]. Note that $c_{1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ is odd, so we can tensor it with a line bundle $L$, so that $c_{1}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes L\right)=-1$. Then by Lemma 2.13 2), $c(V)=c\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.

Case 3. In this case, $M^{\prime}$ has the structure of a quadric bundle. A generic fibre $F$ of this bundle is a smooth quadric with $[F]^{2}=0 \in H^{4}\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. This contradicts Lemma 2.13 4).

[^3]Case 4. By Lemma 2.13, $M^{\prime}$ satisfies the following conditions: $c_{1}$ is even, $c_{1}^{3}$ is divisible by $8, b_{3}=0$ and $b_{2}=2$. Upon inspecting the list of Fano 3-folds [19], and noting that a three-fold blown up in a curve cannot have even $c_{1}$, one sees that there are 2 such examples. These are the projectivisation of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and the projectivisation of the bundle $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. In both cases there exists a rank 2-bundle $V^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, with $c_{1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ odd, such that $M^{\prime}$ is biholomophic to $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Finally, as in case 2 , we may normalise $V^{\prime}$ so that $c_{1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=-1$, and then Lemma 2.13 2) ensures that $c(V)=c\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.

### 4.2 Rank 2 vector bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$

In this section we prove two simple results on holomorphic bundles over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$. For a vector bundle $V$ on projective space we set $V(k)=$ $V \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $E$ be a holomorphic rank 2 bundle with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Then $E$ doesn't have line sub-bundles and $H^{0}(E(-1)) \neq 0$.

Proof. To see that $E$ doesn't have a line sub-bundle we note that the Chern polynomial $c(E)=1-x-x^{2}$ has no rational roots and so is not divisible by $1+n x$. On the other hand any rank two bundle $E$ with a line sub-bundle splits topologically and so has $c(E)=(1+n x)(1+m x)$.

Let's now prove that $H^{0}(E(-1))>0$. Recall that by a theorem of Schwarzenberger [26] [Lemma 1.2.7] a rank two bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is unstable if $c_{1}^{2}-4 c_{2}>0$. Since $c_{1}^{2}(E)-4 c_{2}(E)=5$, we see that $E$ is unstable. Hence by [26] [Lemma 1.2.5], $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}, E\right) \neq 0$. We let $k$ be the minimal integer such that $H^{0}(E(k)) \neq 0$. Then by [28, Section 2.2, Paragraph 2], $E(k)$ has a section vanishing in codimension 2. We saw that $k \leq 0$, and we claim that $k<0$. Suppose for a contradiction that $k=0$, then $E$ has a section $s$ vanishing in codimension 2 , but then the length of the scheme $\{s=0\}$ is $c_{2}(E)=-1$, which is absurd. So there exists $k<0$ with $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}, E(k)\right) \neq 0$, in particular $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}, E(-1)\right) \neq 0$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $E$ be a holomorphic rank 2 bundle with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=-1$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

1. There exists $n \geq 2$ and a line $L \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ such that the restriction of $E$ to $L$ splits as $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1-n)$.
2. Let $L$ be any line as in 1), let $p^{-1}(L)=D$ be the Hirzebruch surface projecting to $L$, and let $S \subset D$ be the unique smooth curve with negative self-intersection. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E)) \leq-2, \quad \int_{S} \eta=1, \quad \int_{S} \xi \leq-2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1) Using Lemma 4.2 we can find a non-zero section $s$ of $E(-1)$. Since $c_{2}(E(-1))=1$, the section $s$ has zeros, i.e. $\{s=0\}$ is a non-empty subscheme of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Let us take a line $L \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ that has a finite non-empty intersection with $\{s=0\}$. Then the section $s$ has a finite number of zeros on $L$, and so the restriction of $E(-1)$ to $L$ contains a sub-bundle $O(n-1)$ for $n \geq 2$. It follows that $\left.E\right|_{L}$ contains a line sub-bundle $O(n)$ for $n \geq 2$.
2) Let $L \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ be the line found in 1). Then the surface $p^{-1}(L)=D$ is isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{m}$, where $m \geq 5$.

Let $S$ be the section in $D$ with negative self intersection, then we have $S \cdot S=$ $-m \leq-5$. Let us now estimate the integral of $c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E))$ over $S$. Using the fact that the normal bundles of $D$ and $L$ satisfy the relation $N_{D} \cong p^{*} N_{L}$, we have that

$$
\int_{S} c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E))=3+S \cdot S \leq-2
$$

Next, since $p: S \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism, from the definition of $\eta$ we have $\int_{S} \eta=1$. Finally, since $c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E))=2 \eta+2 \xi$, we conclude $\int_{S} \xi \leq-2$.

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4

We are finally ready to prove the main result.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us assume that $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a Kähler metric $g$ compatible with $\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$. We will deduce that $\lambda_{2}>2 \lambda_{1}$.

Recall that by Theorem 3.3 there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{T}}$ that respects the homotopy type of the almost complex structure, where $V$ is a rank 2 holomorphic bundle with $c_{1}(V)=-1, c_{2}(V)=-1$. Since $4 c_{2}(V)-c_{1}(V)^{2}=-5<27$, we can apply Theorem 1.6 to the Kähler manifold $\left(\mathbb{P}(V), \Phi^{*}(g), \Phi^{*}\left(\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)\right)$. According to Theorem 1.6 this Kähler manifold is biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a holomorphic rank two bundle $E$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$.

By Corollary 4.3 (1), there is a line $L \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ over which $E$ splits as $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus$ $\mathcal{O}(-1-n)$, where $n \geq 2$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ be the complex curve given by Corollary 4.3 (2). Since $\Phi^{*}\left(\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ is Kähler, its integral over $S$ is positive, and using Equation (5) we get

$$
0<\int_{S} \Phi^{*}\left(\omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)=\int_{S}\left(\lambda_{1} \xi+\lambda_{2} \eta\right) \leq-2 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} .
$$

Hence, we have finally that $\lambda_{2}>2 \lambda_{1}$.
Remark 4.4. It is a curious coincidence that Theorem 1.3 implies that $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{1,2}\right)$ does not have any compatible Kähler metric. The symplectic form $\omega_{1,2}$ is the original symplectic form constructed by Tolman in [31, Lemma 4.1].

Now, we immediately get a proof of Corollary 1.4 .

Proof of Corollary 1.4. We note that the choice of subtorus $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}$ described in the proof of Lemma 2.82 ) gives a Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action with all of the fixed points being isolated on $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ for any $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. By Theorem 1.3 if $\lambda_{2} \leq 2 \lambda_{1}$, $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)$ does not have a compatible Kähler metric. Making any such choice, for example $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=2$, gives the required example.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, after proving some basic results on coprime $S^{1}$-actions on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ in Section 5.1, and recalling basic results on holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions in Section 5.2, we prove Theorem 1.5 .

### 5.1 Coprime circle actions on Tolman's manifold

Recall that a Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action on a symplectic manifold is called coprime is its weights at any fixed point are coprime and have modulus greater than 1. In this section we study coprime actions on Tolman's manifold $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. Let $\phi: M_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the moment map, then for any $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ the Hamiltonian $\phi^{*}(a x+b y)$ defines an $S^{1}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$. The weights of such an action are shown in Figure 3 .

It will be convenient for us to introduce some notations for the six fixed points of the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action. For this we choose $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=2$ and denote each fixed point as $x_{i j}$, where $(i, j) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the image of $x_{i j}$ with respect to the moment map $\phi$ corresponding to the symplectic form $\omega_{1,2}$. Thus the six points are denoted by $x_{00}, x_{40}, x_{11}, x_{21}, x_{03}, x_{13}$ as in Figure 6 .


Figure 6: Marking of the fixed points

Lemma 5.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be two non-zero integers. The corresponding $\mathbb{S}^{1}$-action on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is coprime if and only if $a$ and $b$ are coprime, $|a \pm b|>1$, and $|2 b-a|>1$.

Proof. The "only if" direction is clear, indeed since $a$ and $b$ are weights at one fixed point they have to be coprime. At the same time the numbers $a \pm b$ and $2 b-a$ are the weights of the action at some point and so they are different from $-1,0,1$.

Let us now prove the "if direction". From the weights of the $S^{1}$-action shown on Figure 3 it follows that no weight of the circle action is equal to $-1,0$, or 1 . Since $a$
and $b$ are coprime, $a$ and $b$ are each coprime to both $a+b$ and $a-b$, which implies the weights at $x_{00}, x_{11}, x_{03}, x_{13}$ are pairwise coprime. Note that $-2 a+b=-a+(b-a)$ is coprime with both $a$ and $b-a$, hence the weights at $x_{40}$ are pairwise coprime. Similarly, we may check that the weights at $x_{21}$ are pairwise coprime.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $a$ and $b$ are such that the corresponding $S^{1}$-action is coprime. Then the 9 isotropy spheres for the $S^{1}$-action are exactly the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres for Tolman's Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-action. In particular, $c_{1}\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \cdot S \geq 0$ for every isotropy sphere $S$.

Proof. Since the action is coprime, $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ doesn't have isotropy submanifolds of dimension 4. At the same time the restriction of the $S^{1}$-action to each of the $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-invariant spheres has weight greater than 1 , so these are the isotropy spheres for the action. The last statement now follows immediately from Lemma 2.81 .

### 5.2 Projective manifolds with a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions

Here state some known results about holomorphic group actions on projective manifolds. Recall that by Lemma 4.1 any Kähler metric on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is projective, so we will be able to apply these Lemmas to prove Theorem 1.5 .

The following standard lemma may be found in [3, Corollary 2.125].
Lemma 5.3. Let $X$ be a Kähler manifold with an isometric and Hamiltonian $S^{1}$ action. Then the $S^{1}$-action extends to a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action.

We will need the following result of Sommese 30.
Theorem 5.4. Suppose that $X$ is a complex projective manifold, with an isometric and Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action. Then the $S^{1}$-action is the restriction of an algebraic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $X$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3 the $S^{1}$-action extends to a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action. The two main results of [30 imply the action is algebraic. We give some details: Combining [30, Proposition 1] and [30, Proposition 2] shows that the holomorphic map $F$ : $X \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow X$ of the group action, extends to meromorphic map $\tilde{F}: X \times \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow X$. A meromorphic map between projective varieties is rational [9]. So in particular $F: X \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow X$ is a morphism, i.e. the action is algebraic.

### 5.3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. For this we need two more results. In complete analogy with coprime $S^{1}$-actions, we define coprime holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-actions as actions for which the weights at each fixed point are coprime.

Lemma 5.5. Let $X$ be a smooth complex projective variety with an algebraic coprime $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action. Suppose that $C \subset X$ is a smooth compact irreducible $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant curve. Then $C$ is an isotropy sphere in $X$ with respect to the corresponding $S^{1}$-action.

Proof. Note that since the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is algebraic, $C$ is a compactification of a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ orbit and it has two fixed points. Let $p$ be the fixed point such that the weight at $p$ of the restriction of the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is positive. Then there exists a small neighbourhood of $p$ where the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action can be linearised, and it has the form $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(t^{m_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, t^{m_{n}} z_{n}\right)$, where $m_{i}$ are pairwise coprime weights. So the curve $C$ has a local parametrization $\left(t^{m_{1}} c_{1}, \ldots, t^{m_{n}} c_{n}\right)$ for some collection of complex numbers $c_{i}$ ( $c_{i}$ has to be zero if $m_{i}<0$ ). It follows that the tangent space to $C$ at $(0, \ldots, 0)$ has dimension equal to the number of $c_{i}$ 's that are non-zero. In particular it is 1-dimensional if and only if exactly one of $c_{i}$ 's is non zero, in which case the curve $C$ is an isotropy sphere. This proves the lemma.

Proposition 5.6. Let $E$ be a holomorphic rank 2 bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1$, $c_{2}(E)=-1$. Then for any algebraic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ there is a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant smooth rational $S \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ such that $\int_{S} c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E)) \leq-2$.

Proof. Note that the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ sends -fibres to fibres, and so it induces a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ due to Blanchards Lemma [4]. Let $\mathbb{C} P^{2^{*}}$ be the space of projective lines in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2^{*}}$ be the sub-variety consisting of projective lines $L$ such that the restriction $\left.E\right|_{L}$ splits as $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1-n)$, where $n \geq 2$. We know by Corollary 4.3 (1) that $Y$ is non-empty. Moreover, $Y$ is a closed analytic subset of $\mathbb{C} P^{2^{*}}$ by 26, Lemma 3.2.2]. Since $Y$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action it follows that the action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $Y$ has a fixed point. We conclude that there is a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant line $L$ in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, such that $\left.E\right|_{L}$ is $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1-n)$ where $n \geq 2$.

Now, let $S \subset p^{-1}(L)=D$ be the unique smooth rational curve with negative self-intersection, as in Corollary 4.3 (2). Then by Corollary 4.3 (2) we have $\int_{S} c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E)) \leq-2$. At the same time, since $D$ is $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant, and $S$ has negative self-intersection in $D$ we see that $S$ is $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant as well.

Finally we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5 .
Proof of Theorem 1.5. We assume for a contradiction that $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ admits a compatible Kähler metric invariant under a coprime $S^{1}$-action. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we get that $M_{\mathcal{T}}$ is biholomorphic to the projectivisation of a holomorphic rank two bundle $E$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with $c_{1}(E)=-1, c_{2}(E)=-1$. By Proposition 5.4 the isometric $S^{1}$-action on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ extends to an algebraic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action. So, by Proposition 5.6 there is a smooth $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-invariant curve $S$ in $\mathbb{P}(E)$, such that $\int_{S} c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E)) \leq-2$. At the same time, since the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is coprime, we know by Lemma 5.5 that $S$ is an isotropy sphere. This contradicts Lemma 5.2, which states that $c_{1}(T \mathbb{P}(E))$ is non-negative on all isotropy spheres in $M_{\mathcal{T}}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We recall Tolman's construction in Section 2.2 , where we also give the definition of Tolman's manifold $M_{\mathcal{T}}$, see Definition 2.6 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It would be interesting to see whether the Kähler form discussed in Theorem 4.9 from the published version of [16] could give a positive solution to this conjecture.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We would like to thank Silvia Sabatini for sharing with us a result on equivariant chohomology that can be used to give an alternative proof of this corollary.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Otherwise $K_{M^{\prime}}^{3} \geq 0$, i.e., $-c_{1}^{3}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$, a contradiction.

