

Implications of spin symmetry for XYZ states

Q. Wang^{1,2,*}, V. Baru^{3,4,5}, E. Epelbaum⁶, A. A. Filin⁶, C. Hanhart⁷,
A.V. Nefediev^{5,8}, J.L. Wytten⁷

¹*Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China,*

²*Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China,*

³*Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik and Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, Universität Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, Germany,*

⁴*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, 117218 Moscow, Russia*

⁵*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991, Leninskiy Prospekt 53, Moscow, Russia,*

⁶*Ruhr University Bochum, Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, Institute for Theoretical Physics II, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ,*

⁷*Institute for Advanced Simulation, Institut für Kernphysik and Jülich Center for Hadron Physics, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany,*

⁸*National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, 115409, Kashirskoe highway 31, Moscow, Russia*

*E-mail: qianwang@m.scnu.edu.cn,

Numerous exotic candidates containing a heavy quark and anti-quark (the so-called XYZ states) have been reported since the observation of the $X(3872)$ in 2003. For these systems a study of the implications of the heavy quark spin symmetry and its breaking is expected to provide useful guidance towards a better understanding of their nature. For instance, since the formation of the complete spin multiplets is described with the same parameter sets, in some cases the currently available experimental data on the XYZ states allows us to predict properties of spin partner states. To illustrate this point we extract the parameters of the two Z_b states by analyzing the most recent experimental data within an effective-field theory approach which treats both short-ranged contact interactions and the long-ranged one-pion/one-eta Goldstone boson exchanges (OPE/OEE) dynamically. The line shapes and pole positions of their spin partners are then predicted in a parameter-free way and await to be tested by future experimental data.

Keywords: Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry, hadronic molecules, Effective Field Theory

1. Introduction

Heavy-quark spin symmetry (HQSS) states that the strong interaction is invariant under the rotation of a spin of a heavy quark when the heavy quark mass goes to infinity. Corrections scale as Λ_{QCD}/M_Q with $\Lambda_{QCD} \sim 200$ MeV the intrinsic mass scale of QCD and M_Q the heavy quark mass. Two important consequences follow: 1) in the heavy quark limit the heavy quark spin and the total angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom are conserved separately by the strong interactions. 2) A given heavy quark spin state should have spin partners with the same light cloud, but different heavy quark spins. It can be shown that the violations of the spin symmetry predictions differ strongly depending on the assumed structure of the states¹. In this contribution, we will use the two Z_b states and their spin partners W_{bJ} ($J = 0, 1, 2$) as an example to illustrate how the program works within the hadronic molecular picture, where the leading order spin symmetry violation enters through $B - B^*$ mass difference. In particular, an effective field theory (EFT), which incorporates both short-range and long-range OPE interactions at leading order, is proposed for the scattering processes involving (B, B^*) and (\bar{B}, \bar{B}^*) doublets. The parameters are extracted from the data on the line shapes of the two Z_b states and used to predict the line shapes of their spin partners W_{bJ} as well as their pole positions.

2. Framework

As the bottom quark mass is much larger than the typical QCD scale, i.e. $M_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, the heavy quark symmetry should be accurate for bottomonium-like systems, such as the $Z_b(10610)$, $Z_b(10650)$ and their spin partners. Both the contact, OPE and OEE potentials between the (B, B^*) and (\bar{B}, \bar{B}^*) doublets were included within the EFT framework, as formulated in Refs.^{2,3}. In addition, the inelastic channels, i.e. the $(\Upsilon(nS)\pi, \eta_b(nS)\pi)$ and $(\chi_{bJ}(mP)\pi, h_b(mP)\pi)$ channels with $n = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ are also considered dynamically to satisfy the unitarity requirements. Inelastic contributions are taken into account via corrections to the potentials between the elastic channels, as the direct transitions between the inelastic channels can be neglected²⁻⁵. As the charge parities of the two Z_b and their spin partners are different, the negative C-parity states Z_b can be produced from the initial $\Upsilon(10860)$ through an emission of one pion $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi Z_b^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{(*)}$ while their positive C-parity partners, W_{bJ} , require an emission of the photon $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \gamma W_{bJ} \rightarrow \gamma B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{(*)}$.

With the production amplitudes obtained by solving the partial-wave-decomposed coupled channel Lippmann-Schwinger equation numerically^{2,3}, we obtained the invariant mass distributions for the Z_b s and their spin partners. By adjusting the unknown parameters to data in the Z_b channels and employing HQSS we predict the line shapes for the W_{bJ} 's.

3. Results and discussions

Table 1. The pole positions (on the sheet close to the physical one) and the residues in various S -wave $B^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ channels for Scheme III. The energy E_{pole} is given relative to the nearest open-bottom threshold quoted in the third column. Uncertainties correspond to a 1σ deviation in the parameters allowed by the fit to the data in the Z_b 's channels. The poles are calculated for the cutoff $\Lambda = 1$ GeV.

J^{PC}	State	Threshold	E_{pole} w.r.t. threshold [MeV]	Residue at E_{pole}
1^{+-}	Z_b	$B\bar{B}^*$	$(-2.3 \pm 0.5) - i(1.1 \pm 0.1)$	$(-1.2 \pm 0.2) + i(0.3 \pm 0.2)$
1^{+-}	Z'_b	$B^*\bar{B}^*$	$(1.8 \pm 2.0) - i(13.6 \pm 3.1)$	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) - i(0.6 \pm 0.3)$
0^{++}	W_{b0}	$B\bar{B}$	$(2.3 \pm 4.2) - i(16.0 \pm 2.6)$	$(1.7 \pm 0.6) - i(1.7 \pm 0.5)$
0^{++}	W'_{b0}	$B^*\bar{B}^*$	$(-1.3 \pm 0.4) - i(1.7 \pm 0.5)$	$(-0.9 \pm 0.3) - i(0.3 \pm 0.2)$
1^{++}	W_{b1}	$B\bar{B}^*$	$(10.2 \pm 2.5) - i(15.3 \pm 3.2)$	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) - i(0.4 \pm 0.2)$
2^{++}	W_{b2}	$B^*\bar{B}^*$	$(7.4 \pm 2.8) - i(9.9 \pm 2.2)$	$(0.7 \pm 0.1) - i(0.3 \pm 0.1)$

In what follows, we present three fitting schemes, namely **Scheme I**: purely S -wave momentum-independent contact interactions; **Scheme II**: full OPE potential as well as the $\mathcal{O}(Q^2)$ S -wave-to- D -wave counter term promoted to LO in addition to scheme I; **Scheme III**: the $\mathcal{O}(Q^2)$ S -wave-to- S -wave contact terms at NLO and the η -meson exchange potential on top of scheme II. The fit results of Scheme I agree with those of the parametrization proposed in Refs^{4,5}, which demonstrates that the two approaches are consistent with each other. As expected, the inclusion of the pion dynamics in Scheme II visibly improves the fit once the $\mathcal{O}(Q^2)$ S -wave-to- D -wave counter term is considered simultaneously, which is reflected in the reduction of $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$ from 1.29 to 0.95, see also Fig. 1. The fit shows that HQSS violating the contact interactions are not required by the data. The transition to Scheme III represents a rather perturbative effect which leads to some further (although small) reduction of $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$ to 0.83, however, the inclusion of the $\mathcal{O}(Q^2)$ S -wave-to- S -wave contact terms allows one to remove some higher-order regulator artefacts related with the iteration of the truncated




Fig. 1. The fitted line shapes in the 1^{+-} channel in the $h_b(1P)\pi$ and $h_b(2P)\pi$ channels for an illustration². The line shapes of schemes I, II and III are shown by the blue dashed, red thick solid and black solid curves, respectively. The vertical dashed lines indicate the positions of the $B\bar{B}^*$ and $B^*\bar{B}^*$ thresholds. The experimental data are from Refs.⁶

potential within the integral equations (see Ref.² for the extended discussion). Using Scheme III as our final result we predict the line shapes (Fig. 2) and the pole positions (Table 1) of the $W_{b,J}$ s in a parameter-free way. Our predictions for the line shapes are strongly asymmetric (either a bump just above threshold or a large sizable distortion at threshold) reflecting the assumed molecular nature of the states. Thus, an experimental conformation of those predictions would provide strong support for the hadronic molecule picture for both groups the W_b -states as well as the Z_b states.

4. Summary

A systematic EFT approach with respect to both chiral and heavy-quark spin symmetries is proposed for the two Z_b states. In our framework, both the short-range contact and the long-range OPE/OEE potentials are considered dynamically, as well as the inelastic channels. With the parameters extracted from the invariant mass distributions of the two Z_b states, the line shapes and pole positions of the spin partners $W_{b,J}$ s are predicted in a parameter-free way. They can be confronted with the results from future high-luminosity and high-statistic experiments, such as Belle-II, to gain insights into the nature of these exotic candidates.

5. Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the funds provided to the Sino-German Collaborative Research




Fig. 2. Predicted line shapes for the 0^{++} , 1^{++} and 2^{++} channels in the corresponding lowest elastic channels and the $\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi$ channels. Upper panel: the line shapes of the $W_{b0}^{(\prime)}$, W_{b1} and W_{b2} in the $B\bar{B}$, $B\bar{B}^*$ and $B^*\bar{B}^*$ channels, respectively. Lower panel: the line shapes of the spin partners W_{bJ} states in the $\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi$ channel. The red and black lines show the results for schemes II and III, respectively, and the vertical dashed lines indicate the position of the $B\bar{B}$, $B\bar{B}^*$ and $B^*\bar{B}^*$ thresholds.

Center “Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD” (NSFC Grant No. 11621131001 and DFG Grant No. TRR110). QW is also supported by the Thousand Talents Plan for Young Professionals and research startup funding at SCNU. Work of V.B. and A.N. was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 18-12-00226).

References

1. M. Cleven et al. *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 014005 (2015).
2. V. Baru, et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 094013 (2019).
3. Q. Wang, et. al., *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 074023 (2018).
4. F.-K. Guo, et. al., *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 074031 (2016)
5. C. Hanhart, et. al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 202001 (2015)
6. A. Bondar et al. [Belle Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 122001 (2012); A. Garmash et al. [Belle Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 212001 (2016)