

A MULTIPLE GROUP RACK AND ORIENTED SPATIAL SURFACES

ATSUSHI ISHII, SHOSAKU MATSUZAKI, AND TOMO MURAO

ABSTRACT. A spatial surface is a compact surface embedded in the 3-sphere. In this paper, we provide several typical examples of spatial surfaces and construct a coloring invariant to distinguish them. The coloring is defined by using a multiple group rack, which is a rack version of a multiple conjugation quandle.

1. INTRODUCTION

A spatial surface is a compact surface embedded in the 3-sphere. If it has a boundary, the spatial surface is a Seifert surface of the link given by the boundary. Recently, the second author [9] established the Reidemeister moves for spatial surfaces with a boundary. This enables us to study Seifert surfaces through their combinatorial structures. On the other hand, a spatial surface without a boundary are closely related to handlebody-knots [3], which is a handlebody embedded in the 3-sphere. A connected spatial surface divides the 3-sphere into two 3-manifolds, if the surface has no boundary. When one of the 3-manifolds is homeomorphic to a handlebody, the spatial surface represents a handlebody-knot. In this sense, a spatial surface is a generalization of a handlebody-knot.

In this paper, we provide several typical examples of oriented spatial surfaces and construct a coloring invariant to distinguish them. A coloring invariant for knots is defined by using a quandle [8, 10], whose axioms are derived from the Reidemeister moves for knots. In a similar manner, a multiple conjugation quandle [4] is used to define a coloring invariant for handlebody-knots. In this paper, we introduce a multiple group rack to define a coloring invariant for oriented spatial surfaces. A multiple group rack is a rack version of a multiple conjugation quandle, that is, the axioms of a multiple group rack is obtained by removing an axiom from those of a multiple conjugation quandle.

In general, it is not easy to find a multiple group rack. In this paper, we introduce a G -family of racks and show that it induces a multiple group rack, where we remark that a G -family of racks can be obtained from a rack with its type. With the multiple group rack obtained from a G -family of racks, we can enhance the coloring invariant for oriented spatial surfaces. Calculation examples provided in this paper are given by using this enhanced coloring invariant. Some examples do not need coloring invariants to distinguish them. We demonstrate that the boundary and regular neighborhood work well for some spatial surfaces.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57K12, 57K10, 57K31.

Key words and phrases. Oriented spatial surface, Multiple group rack, Rack coloring, Seifert surface.

As mentioned above, a rack and its type play important roles to evaluate coloring invariants of oriented spatial surfaces. In this paper, we also provide a method to construct racks from a rack (and quandle). More precisely, for a rack X , we obtain a new rack X^n that is not a direct product of X 's. We give an example of spatial surfaces that can be distinguished by using R_3^3 , not R_3 , where R_3 is the dihedral quandle of order 3.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first half of Section 2, we recall spatial surfaces and the Reidemeister moves for them. In the second half of Section 2, we demonstrate that the boundary and regular neighborhood of a spatial surface can be used to distinguish some spatial surfaces. In Section 3, we recall the definition of a rack and introduce a multiple group rack. In Section 4, we define a coloring invariant with a multiple group rack. In Section 5, we distinguish some spatial surfaces by using the coloring invariants.

2. ORIENTED SPATIAL SURFACES AND THEIR REGULAR NEIGHBORHOODS AND BOUNDARIES

A *spatial surface* is a compact surface embedded in the 3-sphere $S^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$. Oriented spatial surfaces F and F' are *equivalent*, denoted by $F \cong F'$, if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that $h(F) = F'$, where we note that the orientation of $h(F)$ also coincides with that of F' . The oriented spatial surface obtained by reversing the orientation of an oriented spatial surface F is the *reverse* of F . An oriented spatial surface F is *reversible* if F and its reverse are equivalent. Unless otherwise noted, we suppose the following conditions: (i) each component of a spatial surface has non-empty boundary, and (ii) a spatial surface has no disk components. In figures of this paper, the front side and the back side of an oriented spatial surface are colored by light gray and dark gray, respectively (see Fig. 1).



FIGURE 1. The front side and the back side.

A *spatial trivalent graph* is a trivalent graph embedded in S^3 . Let D be a diagram of a spatial trivalent graph. We obtain a spatial surface F from D by taking a regular neighborhood of D in \mathbb{R}^2 and perturbing it around all crossings of D , according to its over/under information. Then we give F an orientation so that the front side of F faces into the positive direction of the z -axis of \mathbb{R}^3 (see Fig. 2). Then we say that D *represents* the oriented spatial surface F and call D a *diagram* of F . We remark that any oriented spatial surface is equivalent to an oriented spatial surface obtained by this process.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). *Two oriented spatial surfaces are equivalent if and only if their diagrams are related by the Reidemeister moves on S^2 , which are depicted in Fig. 3.*

Next, we introduce elementary methods to distinguish oriented spatial surfaces and show some examples.




FIGURE 2. The process for obtaining an oriented spatial surface.




FIGURE 3. The Reidemeister moves for oriented spatial surfaces.

A *handlebody-link* is the disjoint union of handlebodies embedded in S^3 . A *handlebody-knot* is a handlebody-link with one component. Two handlebody-links are *equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of S^3 sending one to the other. Let F be an oriented spatial surface. The regular neighborhood $N(F)$ of F in S^3 is a handlebody-link. We denote by ∂F the boundary of F , where we assume that ∂F is oriented so that the orientation is coherent with that of F .

Remark 2.2. If oriented spatial surfaces F_1 and F_2 are equivalent, then

- (1) ∂F_1 and ∂F_2 are equivalent as oriented links, and
- (2) $N(F_1)$ and $N(F_2)$ are equivalent as handlebody-links.

In Examples 2.3 and 2.4, we distinguish oriented spatial surfaces from this observation.

Example 2.3. Let $F(i, j, k)$ be an oriented spatial surface as illustrated in Fig. 4 ($i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$), where the integers i, j and k respectively indicate i, j and k full-twists. We note that a negative integer indicates the reverse twists. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the multiset $\{i, j, k\}$ coincides with the multiset $\{i', j', k'\}$,
- (2) $F(i, j, k)$ and $F(i', j', k')$ are equivalent, and
- (3) $\partial F(i, j, k)$ and $\partial F(i', j', k')$ are equivalent as oriented links.

If the condition (1) holds, we can check that $F(i, j, k)$ and $F(i', j', k')$ are equivalent by rotating the sphere and by turning the sphere to be upside down. From Remark 2.2 (1), the condition (2) implies (3).

For $\partial F = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$, the multiset

$$\text{lk}(\partial F) := \{\text{lk}(K_1, K_2), \text{lk}(K_2, K_3), \text{lk}(K_3, K_1)\}$$

of the linking numbers of $K_1 \cup K_2$, $K_2 \cup K_3$ and $K_3 \cup K_1$ is an invariant of ∂F . Since $\text{lk}(\partial F(i, j, k)) = \{i, j, k\}$, the condition (1) follows from (3).




FIGURE 4. An oriented spatial surface $F(i, j, k)$ whose boundary has three components.

Example 2.4. Let F_1 and F_2 be the spatial surfaces illustrated in Fig. 5. The oriented links ∂F_1 and ∂F_2 are equivalent: both of them are trivial knots. Then we consider their regular neighborhoods. It is easy to see that $N(F_1)$ is the genus 2 trivial handlebody-knot, where a trivial handlebody-knot is a handlebody standardly embedded in S^3 . On the other hand, $N(F_2)$ is not a trivial handlebody-knot [7]. Therefore F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent, see Remark 2.2 (2).




FIGURE 5. Inequivalent spatial surfaces.

Remark 2.5. Let \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 be oriented spatial closed surfaces illustrated in Fig. 6, from which F_1 and F_2 in Fig. 5 are obtained by removing a disk, respectively. Then \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 are not equivalent, since \widetilde{F}_1 splits S^3 into two solid tori, but \widetilde{F}_2 does not. This also implies that F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent by [9].

The spatial surfaces F_1 and F_2 depicted in Fig. 14 can not be distinguished by using the elementary methods introduced in Remarks 2.2 and 2.5. In Section 5, we show that they are not equivalent by using coloring invariants which we will introduce in Section 4.

3. A MULTIPLE GROUP RACK

In this section, we introduce a notion of multiple group racks and give examples of them.




FIGURE 6. Inequivalent spatial closed surfaces.

A *rack* [2] is a non-empty set X with a binary operation $*$: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the following axioms:

- For any $a \in X$, the map $S_a : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $S_a(x) = x * a$ is a bijection.
- For any $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$.

A rack X is called a *quandle* [8, 10] if it satisfies the following axiom:

- For any $x \in X$, $x * x = x$.

Let $X = (X, *)$ be a rack. We denote $S_a^k(x)$ by $x *^k a$ for any $a, x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we note that $S_a^0 = \text{id}_X$. For any $x, x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we often abbreviate parentheses and write $S_{x_n}^{k_n} \circ \dots \circ S_{x_2}^{k_2} \circ S_{x_1}^{k_1}(x)$ by $x *^{k_1} x_1 *^{k_2} x_2 \dots *^{k_n} x_n$.

We give some examples of racks and quandles. Let G be a group. We define a binary operation $*$: $G \times G \rightarrow G$ by $a * b := b^{-1}ab$ for any $a, b \in G$. Then $(G, *)$ is a quandle, called the *conjugation quandle* of G and denoted by $\text{Conj } G$. For a positive integer n , we denote by \mathbb{Z}_n the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of order n . We define a binary operation $*$: $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $a * b := 2b - a$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $(\mathbb{Z}_n, *)$ is a quandle, called the *dihedral quandle* of order n and denoted by R_n . We define a binary operation $*$: $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $a * b := a + 1$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $(\mathbb{Z}_n, *)$ is a rack, called the *cyclic rack* of order n and denoted by C_n . Let R be a ring and M a left $R[t^{\pm 1}, s]/(s(t + s - 1))$ -module. We define a binary operation $*$: $M \times M \rightarrow M$ by $x * y := tx + sy$ for any $x, y \in M$. Then $(M, *)$ is a rack, called the (t, s) -rack. When $s = 1 - t$, the (t, s) -rack is called the *Alexander quandle*.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a rack. Fix $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then X^n is a rack with the binary operation defined by*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) * (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 *^{e_1} y_{i_1} *^{e_2} \dots *^{e_m} y_{i_m}, \dots, x_n *^{e_1} y_{i_1} *^{e_2} \dots *^{e_m} y_{i_m}).$$

Proof. Since $S_a : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective, so is the map $S_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} : X^n \rightarrow X^n$ defined by $S_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) * (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_0 *^{s_1} x_1 *^{s_2} \dots *^{s_p} x_p) *^{t_0} (y_0 *^{t_1} y_1 *^{t_2} \dots *^{t_q} y_q) \\ &= x_0 *^{s_1} x_1 *^{s_2} \dots *^{s_p} x_p *^{-t_q} y_q *^{-t_{q-1}} y_{q-1} *^{-t_{q-2}} \dots *^{-t_1} y_1 *^{t_0} y_0 *^{t_1} \dots *^{t_q} y_q, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(x_0 *^{s_1} z_1 *^{s_2} \dots *^{s_p} z_p) *^{t_0} (y_0 *^{s_1} z_1 *^{s_2} \dots *^{s_p} z_p) = x_0 *^{t_0} y_0 *^{s_1} z_1 *^{s_2} \dots *^{s_p} z_p$$

for $x_0, \dots, x_p, y_0, \dots, y_q, z_1, \dots, z_p \in X$ and $s_1, \dots, s_p, t_0, \dots, t_q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This equation implies the second axiom of a rack. \square

We note that, in general, X^n in Proposition 3.1 are not quandles even if X is a quandle.

We define the *type* of a rack X , denoted by $\text{type } X$, by the minimal number of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying $a *^n b = a$ for any $a, b \in X$. We set $\text{type } X := \infty$ if we do not have such a positive integer n . Any finite rack is of finite type since the set $\{S_a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite for any $a \in X$ when X is finite. For example, we can easily check that $\text{type } C_n = n$ and $\text{type } R_n = 2$ for any positive integer n .

Proposition 3.2. *Let R_q be the dihedral quandle of order $q \geq 3$. We give R_q^n the binary operation defined by*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) * (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 * y_1 * \dots * y_n, \dots, x_n * y_1 * \dots * y_n),$$

which is a rack as we saw in Proposition 3.1. Then we have

$$\text{type } R_q^n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ q & \text{if } n \text{ is even, and } q \text{ is odd,} \\ q/2 & \text{if } n \text{ and } q \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n) * (b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ = (2(b_n - b_{n-1} + \dots + b_1) - a_1, \dots, 2(b_n - b_{n-1} + \dots + b_1) - a_n) \end{aligned}$$

for odd integer n , and

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n) *^k (b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ = (2k(b_n - b_{n-1} + \dots - b_1) + a_1, \dots, 2k(b_n - b_{n-1} + \dots - b_1) + a_n) \end{aligned}$$

for even integer n . □

Definition 3.3. A *multiple group rack* $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ is a disjoint union of groups G_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) with a binary operation $*$: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the following axioms:

- For any $x \in X$ and $a, b \in G_\lambda$,

$$x * (ab) = (x * a) * b \text{ and } x * e_\lambda = x,$$

where e_λ is the identity of G_λ .

- For any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z).$$

- For any $x \in X$ and $a, b \in G_\lambda$,

$$(ab) * x = (a * x)(b * x),$$

where $a * x, b * x \in G_\mu$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$.

We remark that a multiple group rack is a rack.

Remark 3.4. A multiple group rack $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ is called a *multiple conjugation quandle* if it satisfies the following axiom:

- For any $a, b \in G_\lambda$, $a * b = b^{-1}ab$.

A multiple conjugation quandle is an algebra introduced in [4] to define coloring invariants for handlebody-knots. A multiple conjugation quandle is a multiple group rack clearly.

Next, we define a G -family of racks. This is an algebra yielding a multiple group rack.

Definition 3.5. Let G be a group with identity element e . A G -family of racks X is a set with a family of binary operations $*^g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($g \in G$) satisfying the following axioms:

- For any $x, y \in X$ and $g, h \in G$,

$$x *^{gh} y = (x *^g y) *^h y \text{ and } x *^e y = x.$$

- For any $x, y, z \in X$ and $g, h \in G$,

$$(x *^g y) *^h z = (x *^h z) *^{h^{-1}gh} (y *^h z).$$

A G -family of racks X is called a G -family of quandles [6] if it satisfies the following axiom:

- For any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, $x *^g x = x$.

The following propositions state that a rack gives some G -families of racks, and a G -family of racks yields a multiple group rack. They can be verified by direct calculation (see [6] for more details).

Proposition 3.6. Let $(X, *)$ be a rack. Then $(X, \{*\^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -family of racks. Furthermore, if $\text{type } X$ is finite, $(X, \{*\^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{type } X}})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{type } X}$ -family of racks.

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a group and $(X, \{*\^g\}_{g \in G})$ a G -family of racks. Then $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} (\{x\} \times G)$ is a multiple group rack with

$$(x, g) * (y, h) = (x *^h y, h^{-1}gh), \quad (x, g)(x, h) = (x, gh)$$

for any $x, y \in X$ and $g, h \in G$.

The multiple group rack $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} (\{x\} \times G)$ in Proposition 3.7 is called the *associated multiple group rack* of a G -family of racks $(X, \{*\^g\}_{g \in G})$.

4. A COLORING INVARIANT FOR ORIENTED SPATIAL SURFACES

In this section, we introduce a coloring for an oriented spatial surface by a multiple group rack.

Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial surface. A Y -orientation of D is a collection of orientations of all edges of D without sources and sinks with respect to the orientation as shown in Fig. 7, where an edge of D is a piece of a curve each of whose endpoints is a vertex. In this paper, a circle component of D is also regarded as an edge of D . Every diagram has a Y -orientation. We note that a Y -orientation of D is irrelevant to the orientations of the spatial surface represented by D . Y -oriented Reidemeister moves are Reidemeister moves between two diagrams with Y -orientations which are identical except in the disk where the move is applied. All Y -oriented R6 moves are listed in Fig. 8. We have the following proposition immediately by [5].



FIGURE 7. A Y -orientation and a non- Y -orientation.




FIGURE 8. All Y-oriented R6 moves.

Proposition 4.1. *Any two Y-orientations of a diagram of an oriented spatial surface can be transformed into each other by using Y-orientated Reidemeister moves finitely and reversing the orientations of some circle components.*

Let $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ be a multiple group rack and let D be a Y-oriented diagram of an oriented spatial surface. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(D)$ the set of arcs of D , where an arc is a piece of a curve each of whose endpoints is an undercrossing or a vertex. In this paper, an orientation of an arc is also represented by the normal orientation, which is obtained by rotating the usual orientation counterclockwise by $\pi/2$ on the diagram. An X -coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{A}(D) \rightarrow X$ satisfying the conditions depicted in Fig. 9 at each crossing and vertex of D . We denote by $\text{Col}_X(D)$ the set of all X -colorings of D . Then we have the following propositions.




FIGURE 9. The coloring condition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ be a multiple group rack and let D be a Y-oriented diagram of an oriented spatial surface. Let D' be a diagram obtained by applying one of Y-oriented Reidemeister moves to D once. For any X -coloring C of D , there is a unique X -coloring C' of D' which coincides with C except in the disk where the move is applied.*

Proof. The assignment of elements of X to the arcs in the disk where the move is applied is uniquely determined by those of the other arcs. The well-definedness of the resulting X -coloring follows from

$$x * (ab) = (x * a) * b, \quad x * e_\lambda = x$$

for Y-oriented R2 moves, and

$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$$

for Y-oriented R3 moves, and

$$x * (ab) = (x * a) * b, \quad (ab) * x = (a * x)(b * x)$$

for Y-oriented R5 moves, and the associativity of multiplication for Y-oriented R6 moves. We illustrate a few cases of Y-oriented Reidemeister moves in Fig. 10. \square




FIGURE 10. X-colorings for Y-oriented Reidemeister moves.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ be a multiple group rack and let D be a Y-oriented diagram of an oriented spatial surface. Let D' be a diagram obtained by reversing the orientations of some circle components of D . For an X-coloring C of D , we define the map $C' : \mathcal{A}(D') \rightarrow X$ by*

$$C'(\alpha) = \begin{cases} C(\alpha) & \text{(the orientation of } \alpha \text{ in } D' \text{ coincides with that in } D), \\ C(\alpha)^{-1} & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(D') = \mathcal{A}(D)$. Then C' is an X-coloring of D' , and the map from $\text{Col}_X(D)$ to $\text{Col}_X(D')$ sending C into C' is a bijection.

Proof. The map C' is an X-coloring since

$$x *^{-1} y = x * y^{-1}, \quad x^{-1} * y = (x * y)^{-1}$$


for any $x, y \in X$ (see Fig. 11). It is easy to see that the map from $\text{Col}_X(D)$ to $\text{Col}_X(D')$ sending C into C' is a bijection. \square

Then we have the following theorem by Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.


Theorem 4.4. *Let $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ be a multiple group rack. Let D and D' be Y-oriented diagrams of an oriented spatial surface F . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Col}_X(D)$ and $\text{Col}_X(D')$. In particular, the cardinality $\#\text{Col}_X(D)$ is an invariant of F .*

In Theorem 4.4, if X is an associated multiple group rack of a G -family of racks, we can enhance the invariant by using group representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^3 - F)$ to G .

Let F be an oriented spatial surface and let G be a group. A G -flow of F is a group representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^3 - F)$ to G , which is a group homomorphism from $\pi_1(S^3 - F)$ to G . A G -flow of F can also be understood diagrammatically as follows, where we emphasize a G -flow of F itself does not


FIGURE 11. The X -colorings C and C' .

depend a diagram of F . Let D be a Y -oriented diagram of F . A G -flow of D is a map $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(D) \rightarrow G$ satisfying the conditions depicted in Fig. 12 at each crossing and vertex of D , where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(D)$ corresponds to the loop winding around α with the orientation following the right-hand rule. In this paper, to avoid confusion, we often represent elements of G with underlines. We call a pair (D, φ) of a Y -oriented diagram D and its G -flow φ a G -flowed diagram of F , and denote by $\text{Flow}(D; G)$ the set of all G -flows of D . Let D' be another Y -oriented diagram of F . Two G -flows $\varphi \in \text{Flow}(D; G)$ and $\varphi' \in \text{Flow}(D'; G)$ can be identified when they represent the same G -flow of F . In this sense, we can regard $\text{Flow}(D; G) = \text{Flow}(D'; G)$.

FIGURE 12. A G -flow.

Let X be a G -family of racks and let (D, φ) be a G -flowed diagram of an oriented spatial surface. An X -coloring of (D, φ) is a map $C : \mathcal{A}(D) \rightarrow X$ satisfying the conditions depicted in Fig. 13 at each crossing and vertex of D . We denote by $\text{Col}_X(D, \varphi)$ the set of all X -colorings of (D, φ) .

Let $X \times G$ be the associated multiple group rack of a G -family of racks X . Let pr_G and pr_X be the natural projections from $X \times G$ to G and from $X \times G$ to X , respectively. Let D be a Y -oriented diagram of an oriented spatial surface. For $\varphi \in \text{Flow}(D; G)$, we can identify an X -coloring of (D, φ) with an $(X \times G)$ -coloring C of D satisfying $\text{pr}_G \circ C = \varphi$, that is, for any $C \in \text{Col}_{X \times G}(D)$, the map $\text{pr}_G \circ C$

FIGURE 13. The coloring condition of a G -flowed diagram.

corresponds to the G -flow of D , and the map $\text{pr}_X \circ C$ corresponds to the X -coloring of $(D, \text{pr}_G \circ C)$. Under the identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_{X \times G}(D) &= \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \text{Flow}(D; G)} \{C \in \text{Col}_{X \times G}(D) \mid \text{pr}_G \circ C = \varphi\} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \text{Flow}(D; G)} \text{Col}_X(D, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Since a G -flow φ of F depends only on F , we have the following proposition by Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a G -family of racks. Let D and D' be Y -oriented diagrams of an oriented spatial surface F and let φ be a G -flow of F . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Col}_X(D, \varphi)$ and $\text{Col}_X(D', \varphi)$.*

By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, we have the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a G -family of racks and let $X \times G$ be the associated multiple group rack of X . Let D be a Y -oriented diagram of an oriented spatial surface F . Then the multiset $\{\#\text{Col}_X(D, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D; G)\}$ is an invariant of F .*

5. COLORING EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples of colorings for oriented spatial surfaces by using multiple group racks. In Example 5.1, we distinguish the two oriented spatial surfaces which we could not in Section 2. In Example 5.3, we distinguish two oriented Seifert surfaces of an oriented knot, which were distinguished in [1]. In Example 5.4, we distinguish two oriented spatial closed surfaces which do not bound handlebodies in S^3 . In this section, we represent the multiplicity of an element of a multiset by a subscript with an underline. For example, $\{a_{\underline{1}}, b_{\underline{2}}, c_{\underline{3}}\}$ represents the multiset $\{a, b, b, c, c, c\}$.

Example 5.1. Let F_1 and F_2 be the oriented spatial surfaces illustrated in Fig. 14, where F_2 is the reverse of F_1 . We remind that they can not be distinguished by using the methods introduced in Section 2. Let $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c))$ be their \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams illustrated in Fig. 15 for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, respectively. We note that $\text{Flow}(D_i; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{\varphi_i(a, b, c) \mid (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3\}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Let R_3^3 be the rack we investigated in Proposition 3.2. Then R_3^3 is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -family of racks by Proposition 3.6. Any R_3^3 -coloring of $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c))$ is given in the left of Fig. 15

with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x *^a x &= x, \\ x *^c (x *^a x) &= x *^a x, \\ (x *^a y) *^a x &= y, \\ y *^a (x *^a y) &= x \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in R_3^3$, which are required at the crossings c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3^3}(D_1, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{2}}, 9_{\underline{4}}, 27_{\underline{2}}\}.$$

On the other hand, any R_3^3 -coloring of $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c))$ is given in the right of Fig. 15 with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x *^c x &= x, \\ (x *^a y) *^a x &= y, \\ y *^a (x *^a y) &= (x *^c x) *^b (x *^c x) \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in R_3^3$, which are required at the crossings c_5, c_6 and c_7 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3^3}(D_2, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{3}}, 9_{\underline{3}}, 27_{\underline{1}}, 81_{\underline{1}}\}.$$

Consequently, F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent by Corollary 4.6, that is, they are not reversible. We remark that F_1 and F_2 can not be distinguished by using R_3 .





FIGURE 14. An oriented spatial surface F_1 and its reverse F_2 .

Remark 5.2. In Example 5.1, we can also distinguish F_1 and F_2 by using a (t, s) -rack. Put $s := 2t^2 + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[t^{\pm 1}]$, and let X be the (t, s) -rack $\mathbb{Z}_3[t^{\pm 1}]/(t^4 + 2t^3 + 2t + 2)$ with $x * y = tx + sy$ for any $x, y \in X$. Since $\text{type } X = 8$, then X is a \mathbb{Z}_8 -family of racks by Proposition 3.6. With the \mathbb{Z}_8 -family of racks, we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_X(D_1, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_1; \mathbb{Z}_8)\} = \{9_{\underline{432}}, 81_{\underline{64}}, 729_{\underline{16}}\}$$

FIGURE 15. \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c))$.

and

$$\{\# \text{Col}_X(D_2, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_2; \mathbb{Z}_8)\} = \{9_{\underline{480}}, 81_{\underline{32}}\}.$$

Example 5.3. Let F_1 and F_2 be the spatial surfaces illustrated in Fig. 16. We note that F_1 and F_2 are Seifert surfaces of the oriented knot K illustrated in the figure, which were distinguished in [1]. Let $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b))$ be their \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams illustrated in Fig. 17 for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, respectively. We note that $\text{Flow}(D_i; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{\varphi_i(a, b) \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. The dihedral quandle R_3 is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -family of quandles by Proposition 3.6. Any R_3 -coloring of $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b))$ is given in the left of Fig. 17 with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (w *^a B) *^a A &= x, \\ (z *^a B) *^a A &= y, \\ (A *^a B) *^a A &= A, \\ ((A *^{-a} (B *^b A)) *^a y) *^a x &= z, \\ ((B *^b A) *^a y) *^a x &= w \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z, w \in R_3$, where $A = (x *^a z) *^a w$ and $B = (y *^a z) *^a w$. These relations are required at the crossings c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and c_5 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3}(D_1, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{4}}\}.$$



On the other hand, any R_3 -coloring of $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b))$ is given in the right of Fig. 17 with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} y *^a (x *^a y) &= x, \\ (x *^a y) *^a x &= y \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in R_3$, which are required at the crossings c_6 and c_7 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3}(D_2, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{2}}, 9_{\underline{2}}\}.$$

Consequently, F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent by Corollary 4.6.

FIGURE 16. Two Seifert surfaces F_1 and F_2 of the oriented knot K .FIGURE 17. \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b))$.

Example 5.4. Let \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 be the oriented spatial closed surfaces illustrated in Fig. 18, where we note that they do not bound handlebodies in S^3 . Let F_1 and F_2 be the oriented spatial surfaces obtained by removing a disk from \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 respectively as shown in the figure. By [9], \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 are equivalent if and only if F_1 and F_2 are equivalent. Let $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c, d))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c, d))$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams of F_1 and F_2 illustrated in Fig. 19 for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, respectively. We note that $\text{Flow}(D_i; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{\varphi_i(a, b, c, d) \mid (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4\}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. The dihedral quandle R_3 is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -family of quandles by Proposition 3.6. Any R_3 -coloring

of $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c, d))$ is given in the left of Fig. 19 with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} w *^a (y *^a w) &= y, \\ z *^a (y *^a w) &= (y *^d x) *^{-b} y, \\ (y *^a w) *^a y &= w, \\ (x *^a w) *^a y &= z \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z, w \in R_3$, which are required at the crossings c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3}(D_1, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{6}}, 9_{\underline{8}}, 27_{\underline{2}}\}.$$

On the other hand, any R_3 -coloring of $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c, d))$ is given in the right of Fig. 19 with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} w *^{-a} (w *^{-a} (y *^a w)) &= y, \\ z *^{-a} (w *^{-a} (y *^a w)) &= (y *^d x) *^{-b} y, \\ (z *^{-a} (y *^a w)) *^a y &= x *^a w, \\ (w *^{-a} (y *^a w)) *^a y &= y *^a w \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z, w \in R_3$, which are required at the crossings c_5, c_6, c_7 and c_8 , respectively. Then we obtain that

$$\{\# \text{Col}_{R_3}(D_2, \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Flow}(D_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)\} = \{3_{\underline{12}}, 9_{\underline{4}}\}.$$

Consequently, F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent by Corollary 4.6, that is, $\widetilde{F_1}$ and $\widetilde{F_2}$ are not equivalent.


Remark 5.5. Example 5.1 gives a counter example for the converse of Remark 2.2 (1) and (2). In Examples 5.3 and 5.4, we showed that the oriented spatial surfaces F_1 and F_2 are not equivalent by using multiple conjugation quandle colorings. This means that the regular neighborhoods $N(F_1)$ and $N(F_2)$ are not equivalent as handlebody-knots [4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03292. The third author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18J10105.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. R. Alford, *Complements of minimal spanning surfaces of knots are not unique*, Ann. of Math. (2) **91** (1970) 419–424.
- [2] R. Fenn and C. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension two*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **1** (1992), 343–406.
- [3] A. Ishii, *Moves and invariants for knotted handlebodies*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), 1403–1418.
- [4] A. Ishii, *A multiple conjugation quandle and handlebody-knots*, Topology Appl. **196** (2015), 492–500.
- [5] A. Ishii, *The Markov theorem for spatial graphs and handlebody-knots with Y-orientations*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), 1550116, 23pp.
- [6] A. Ishii and M. Iwakiri, Y. Jang and K. Oshiro, *A G-family of quandles and handlebody-knots*, Illinois J. Math. **57** (2013), 817–838.
- [7] A. Ishii, K. Kishimoto, H. Moriuchi and M. Suzuki, *A table of genus two handlebody-knots up to six crossings*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012), 1250035, 9pp.

FIGURE 18. Two oriented spatial closed surfaces \widetilde{F}_1 and \widetilde{F}_2 .

- [8] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **23** (1982), 37–65.
- [9] S. Matsuzaki, *A diagrammatic presentation and its characterization of non-split compact surfaces in the 3-sphere*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **30** (2021), 2150071, 32pp.
- [10] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119(161)** (1982), 78–88.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, 1-1-1 TENNODAI, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN


Email address: aishii@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

(S. Matsuzaki) LIBERAL ARTS EDUCATION CENTER, ASHIKAGA UNIVERSITY, 268-1 OHMAE-CHO, ASHIKAGA-SHI, TOCHIGI 326-8558, JAPAN

Email address: matsuzaki.shosaku@g.ashikaga.ac.jp

(T. Murao) SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIT, NATURAL SCIENCES CLUSTER, RESEARCH AND EDUCATION FACULTY, KOCHI UNIVERSITY, 2-5-1 AKEBONO-CHO, KOCHI-SHI, KOCHI 780-8072, JAPAN

Email address: tmurao@kochi-u.ac.jp

FIGURE 19. \mathbb{Z}_2 -flowed diagrams $(D_1, \varphi_1(a, b, c, d))$ and $(D_2, \varphi_2(a, b, c, d))$.