

A Polynomially Irreducible Functional Basis of Elasticity Tensors

Zhenyu Ming* Yannan Chen† Liqun Qi‡ Liping Zhang§

Abstract

Tensor function representation theory is an essential topic in both theoretical and applied mechanics. For the elasticity tensor, Olive, Kolev and Auffray (2017) proposed a minimal integrity basis of 297 isotropic invariants, which is also a functional basis. Inspired by Smith's and Zheng's works, we use a novel method in this article to seek a functional basis of the elasticity tensor, that contains less number of isotropic invariants. We achieve this goal by constructing 22 intermediate tensors consisting of 11 second order symmetrical tensors and 11 scalars via the irreducible decomposition of the elasticity tensor. Based on such intermediate tensors, we further generate 429 isotropic invariants which form a functional basis of the elasticity tensor. After eliminating all the invariants that are zeros or polynomials in the others, we finally obtain a functional basis of 251 isotropic invariants for the elasticity tensor.

Key words. functional basis, isotropic invariant, elasticity tensor.

1 Introduction

In solid mechanics, the material will deform when it is imposed by external stress. In each material point, the deformation relates to two second order symmetric tensors $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and the local Cauchy stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The linear relationship between $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ can be modelled as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{E} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}.$$

Here, \mathbf{E} is a fourth order elasticity tensor within 21 independent components, satisfying the index form

$$E_{ijkl} = E_{jikl} = E_{ijlk} = E_{klij}.$$

The theory of elasticity is an important branch of solid mechanics. It is also the basis of material mechanics, structural mechanics, plastic mechanics and some interdisciplinary subjects. Hence

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (mingz17@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn).

†School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China (ynchen@scnu.edu.cn). This author's work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11571178, 11771405).

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong (maqilq@polyu.edu.hk). This author's work was partially supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 15302114, 15300715 and 15301716).

§Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (lipingzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn). This author's work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771244).

this theory has been widely used in construction, machinery, chemical engineering, aerospace and other engineering fields. In addition, elastic anisotropy is a very common property of materials and homogeneous materials usually vary with material directions [5]. Therefore, it is important to construct a set of finite polynomial isotropic invariants of the elasticity tensors under coordinate transformations. In invariant theory, a finite set of polynomial isotropic invariants which can separates polynomial orbits for a certain type of tensors \mathbf{T} under orthogonal transformations calls an integrity basis of \mathbf{T} . Furthermore if a finite set of isotropic invariants separates the general functional orbits, we call such a set a functional basis. Both integrity basis and functional basis are very significant in describing the physical behavior of anisotropic materials. A well known conclusion in invariant theory claims that for any finite-dimensional representation V , there exist finite invariants separating the orbits of three-dimensional orthogonal group O_3 [4]. For this reason, a natural question is “How to obtain as less isotropic invariants as possible?”. To give an answer, two definitions about irreducible representations should be introduced first: an integrity basis (resp. a functional basis) is called minimal (resp. irreducible) if no proper subset of it is an integrity basis (resp. a functional basis). In recent years, a number of fruitful works about this topic have been extensively developed [14, 1, 10, 9, 2, 3, 8, 6]. Particularly, as for elasticity tensors, Vianello [15] once proposed an integrity basis with 5 isotropic invariants for plane elasticity tensors. While in three-dimensional case, the size of invariants is quite larger than the plane one’s. It needs 297 isotropic invariants to form a minimal integrity basis, which were presented by Olive et al. [11] in 2017.

From the definitions we know that an irreducible functional basis can always be extended to a minimal integrity basis. Thus, the size of the former’s is not greater than the latter’s. For this reason, if we pay attention to finding a functional basis instead of integrity basis for elasticity tensors, we might obtain fewer invariants (less than 297). To that aim, we apply a constructive method based on Smith’s and Zheng’s works [13, 16]. For a group of vectors (first order tensors) and second order symmetric tensors, Smith proposed a set of isotropic invariants to form a functional basis for these tensors. The principle is that, each tensor can be determined by these isotropic invariants, if we regard two tensors which lie in the same O_3 -orbit are equivalent ones. In other words, a functional basis or an integrity basis provides a explicit classification of all the O_3 -orbits for a group of tensors. Zheng thereafter refined Smith’s results and checked the completeness of these representations by developing a new method [16]. From then on, representations theorems for tensors with orders one and two have been well established. For third or higher order tensors, Olive, Kolev and Auffray [11] made one step further and provided an integrity basis for isotropic polynomial functions, by exploiting the link between the O_3 -action on irreducible tensor (i.e. symmetric and traceless tensor) and the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action on the space of binary forms, based on group representation viewpoint. However, to our best knowledge, for third or higher order tensors, there is no effective way to seek an irreducible functional basis. Fortunately, from a different perspective, it is feasible to construct several intermediate tensors with orders one and two to separate the O_3 -orbits of the original high order tensors. Then we are able to obtain a set of functional basis of the intermediate tensors according to Zheng’s results. Absolutely, this basis is also a functional basis for the original tensors. This is the motivation of

our paper.

Our work starts from the harmonic decomposition of an elasticity tensor \mathbf{E} , resulting in the splitting of \mathbf{E} into five parts: two scalars λ and μ , two second order irreducible tensors $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$, and one fourth order irreducible tensor \mathbf{A} . Then we construct 22 intermediate tensors generated by λ , μ , $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ and \mathbf{A} , including 11 second order symmetrical tensors and 11 scalars, to recover \mathbf{E} . Following Zheng's results [16], we directly obtain a set of functional basis with 429 isotropic invariants for \mathbf{E} . After eliminating all the invariants that are zeros or polynomials in the others, the number of invariants reduces to 251 eventually.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we first review some basic definitions in both group theory and tensor function representation theory. Then we present an irreducible functional basis of second order symmetric tensors with existing results in Section 2.2. In Section 3, we construct 11 second order symmetric tensors associated with \mathbf{E} , and prove in detail that, together with the 11 scalars introduced in [3, 14], these 22 intermediate tensors can determine the O_3 -orbit of \mathbf{E} . Moreover, based on the intermediate tensors, a set of 429 isotropic invariants are gained to form a functional basis of \mathbf{E} . In Section 4, we further find out and verify all the polynomial relations among these 429 invariants and eliminate those redundant ones (details are in the supporting material). As a result, there remains 251 invariants in total and they form a polynomially irreducible functional basis of \mathbf{E} . Then we list them in Table 1 and compare the size in each degree with the results of Olive, Kolev and Auffray [11]. In the last section, we draw some concluding remarks.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic definitions

First, we recall some classical terminologies in both group theory and tensor function representation theory. Denote \mathbf{T} as an m -th order tensor represented by $T_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ under some orthogonal coordinate, and O_3 as three-dimensional orthogonal group. $\mathbf{Q} \in O_3$ is an orthogonal matrix. Then an orthogonal transformation of \mathbf{T} can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{Q} * \mathbf{T} := (Q_{i_1 j_1} \dots Q_{i_m j_m} T_{j_1 \dots j_m})_{i_1 \dots i_m}.$$

The set

$$\{\mathbf{Q} * \mathbf{T} : \mathbf{Q} \in O_3\}$$

is called the O_3 -orbit of \mathbf{T} . Moreover, the definitions of integrity basis and functional basis are given as below.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a finite set of polynomial isotropic invariants of \mathbf{T} . If any polynomial isotropic invariant of \mathbf{T} is polynomial in f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n , we call the set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ a set of integrity basis of \mathbf{T} . In addition, an integrity basis is minimal if no proper subset of it is an integrity basis.*

If we relax invariants from polynomials to scalar-valued functions, we get the definitions of functional basis. On the other hand, to reveal the insight of functional basis that it determine the O_3 -orbit of tensor, an equivalent definition of functional basis is given [10].

Definition 2.2. Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a finite set of polynomial isotropic invariants of \mathbf{T} . If

$$f_i(\mathbf{T}_1) = f_i(\mathbf{T}_2), \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

imply $\mathbf{T}_1 = g * \mathbf{T}_2$ for some $g \in O_3$, we call $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ a set of functional basis of \mathbf{T} . In addition, a functional basis is minimal (or irreducible) if no proper subset of it is a functional basis.

It should be noted that, in this article, we restrict the form of a functional base to polynomial, or that they can be approximated with sufficient accuracy by tensor polynomials of arbitrarily high degree [16]. Therefore, when necessary, we should call it a polynomial functional base. Moreover, the size of minimal integrity basis has been proved to be a fixed number. Nevertheless there is no literature confirming this property could be extended to irreducible functional basis yet.

2.2 Functional basis of second order symmetric tensors

Smith [13] proposed a constructive approach for determining a functional basis of second order symmetric tensors $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_N$, second order skew-symmetric tensors $\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_M$ and vectors $\mathbf{V}_1, \dots, \mathbf{V}_P$. These functional bases were further proved to be functionally irreducible by Pennisi and Trovato [12], and refined by Zheng [16]. In this paper, we only concentrate on second order symmetric tensors $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_N$, because each intermediate tensor we propose is second order symmetrical except the scalar ones. From Zheng's result, there are 8 different types of isotropic invariants:

$$\text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i^3, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i\mathbf{T}_j, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i^2\mathbf{T}_j, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i\mathbf{T}_j^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i^2\mathbf{T}_j^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{T}_i\mathbf{T}_j\mathbf{T}_k, \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq N. \quad (1)$$

3 Recovery of an elasticity tensor

Due to the conclusion that a finite-dimensional linear space could be decomposed into a direct sum of some irreducible subspaces [7], an elasticity tensor \mathbf{E} is split into five parts [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ijkl} = & \{\lambda\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\} + \{\mu(\delta_{ik}\delta_{lj} + \delta_{il}\delta_{kj})\} \\ & + \{\delta_{ij}D_{kl}^{(1)} + \delta_{kl}D_{ij}^{(1)}\} + \left\{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ki}D_{jl}^{(2)} + \delta_{kj}D_{il}^{(2)} + \delta_{li}D_{jk}^{(2)} + \delta_{lj}D_{ik}^{(2)})\right\} + \{A_{ijkl}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As previously mentioned, we are able to recover multiple second order symmetric tensors by (1). Hence, the key point of recovering an elasticity tensor is the determination of the fourth order irreducible tensor \mathbf{A} . A valuable thought in Smith's method is the composition of rotations or reflections such that multiple tensors have better structure. For instance, any second order symmetric tensor is diagonalizable under some orthogonal transformation, we could thus set a proper coordinate to make that tensor diagonal for the simplicity of the discussion in some cases.

In the following part, we mimic the proof in [13] for the recovery of elasticity tensor. The work starts from the decomposition form of \mathbf{E} . According to the decomposition (2), we construct 11 second order symmetric tensors as:

$$\begin{cases} D_{ij}^{(1)}, & D_{ij}^{(2)}, & B_{ij} := A_{ik\ell m}A_{jk\ell m}, & C_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}B_{k\ell}, & D_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}B_{km}B_{\ell m}, \\ F_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}D_{k\ell}^{(1)}, & H_{ij} := A_{ipq\ell}A_{jpqm}D_{\ell m}^{(1)}, & M_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}D_{km}^{(1)}D_{\ell m}^{(1)}, \\ G_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}D_{k\ell}^{(2)}, & K_{ij} := A_{ipq\ell}A_{jpqm}D_{\ell m}^{(2)}, & N_{ij} := A_{ijk\ell}D_{km}^{(2)}D_{\ell m}^{(2)}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Particularly, it is easy to see that \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{N} are further irreducible from the definitions.

First, if $\mathbf{D}^{(1)} = \mathbf{D}^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}$, we denote $B_{ij}^2 = B_{ik}B_{jk}$ and $P_{ijkl} = A_{ijmn}A_{klmn}$. As a result of [3, 14], \mathbf{A} could be determined by its functional basis $\{J_2, \dots, J_{10}\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} J_2 := A_{ijkl}A_{ijkl}, & J_3 := P_{ijkl}A_{ijkl}, & J_4 := B_{ij}B_{ij}, \\ J_5 := B_{ij}A_{ijkl}B_{kl}, & J_6 := B_{ij}P_{ijkl}B_{kl}, & J_7 := B_{ij}^2A_{ijkl}B_{kl}, \\ J_8 := B_{ij}^2P_{ijkl}B_{kl}, & J_9 := B_{ij}^2A_{ijkl}B_{kl}^2, & J_{10} := B_{ij}^2P_{ijkl}B_{kl}^2. \end{cases}$$

Second, we consider the case that $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ are not all zero tensors and they are in direct proportion. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathbf{D}^{(2)} = \rho\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ for a constant ρ . Then, we consider seven tensors defined in (3): $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{M} , and prove that the O_3 -orbit of \mathbf{A} could be determined by these seven tensors.

To make the proof more clearly, we should first give two propositions for the special cases we are to process in the following discussion.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathbf{A} be a fourth order irreducible tensor, α , β and γ be three real numbers, satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1112} &= A_{1123} = A_{1222} = A_{1223} = A_{2223} = 0, \\ A_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad A_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad A_{1111} = \beta, \quad A_{1113} = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, let $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ be two diagonal matrixes, ζ and ρ be two real numbers, satisfying $\mathbf{D}^{(2)} = \rho\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $D_{11}^{(1)} = D_{33}^{(1)} = \zeta$ and $D_{22}^{(1)} = -2\zeta$. Suppose that \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{N} are defined as (3). Moreover, we define two fourth order irreducible tensors $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{1112} &= \hat{A}_{1123} = \hat{A}_{1222} = \hat{A}_{1223} = \hat{A}_{2223} = 0, \\ \hat{A}_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad \hat{A}_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad \hat{A}_{1111} = \beta, \quad \hat{A}_{1113} = -\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{1112} &= \tilde{A}_{1123} = \tilde{A}_{1222} = \tilde{A}_{1223} = \tilde{A}_{2223} = 0, \\ \tilde{A}_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{1113} = \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta := \sqrt{\left(\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha\right)^2 + \gamma^2}.$$

Then, $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ and $(\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ (or $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}), \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ are in the same O_3 -orbit.

Proof. With some calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{ij} &= \text{diag}(2(3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2), 10\alpha^2, 2(3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2)), \\
C_{ij} &= \text{diag}(2\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2), -4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2), \\
&\quad 2\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2)), \\
D_{ij} &= \text{diag}(4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2)(8\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2), \\
&\quad -8\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2)(8\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2), \\
&\quad 4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha\beta - 2\beta^2 - 2\gamma^2)(8\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2)), \\
F_{ij} &= \text{diag}(-3\alpha\zeta, 6\alpha\zeta, -3\alpha\zeta), \\
G_{ij} &= \text{diag}(-3\alpha\rho\zeta, 6\alpha\rho\zeta, -3\alpha\rho\zeta), \\
H_{ij} &= \text{diag}(2\zeta(3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2), -8\alpha^2\zeta, 2\zeta(3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2)), \\
K_{ij} &= \text{diag}(2\rho\zeta(3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2), -8\alpha^2\rho\zeta, 2\rho\zeta(3\alpha\beta + 2\beta^2 + 2\gamma^2)), \\
M_{ij} &= \text{diag}(3\alpha\zeta^2, -6\alpha\zeta^2, 3\alpha\zeta^2), \\
N_{ij} &= \text{diag}(3\alpha\rho^2\zeta^2, -6\alpha\rho^2\zeta^2, 3\alpha\rho^2\zeta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By the special structural of $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} , we further find that these second order tensors are invariant under orthogonal transformations in 1-3 plane, and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is the outcome of the reflection transformation of \mathbf{A} in 1-3 plane, where the corresponding reflection matrix is

$$\bar{\mathbf{Q}} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if we rotate \mathbf{A} in 1-3 plane of angle θ , anticlockwise, the rotation could be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1112} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{1123} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{1222} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{1223} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{2223} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, \\
A_{1122} : \alpha &\rightarrow \alpha, & A_{2222} : -2\alpha &\rightarrow -2\alpha, & A_{1111} : \beta &\rightarrow \tilde{\beta} := (\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha) \cos(4\theta) - \gamma \sin(4\theta) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha, \\
A_{1113} : \gamma &\rightarrow \tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \cos(4\theta) + (\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha) \sin(4\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote an angle ϕ , satisfying

$$\cos(\phi) := \frac{\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha}{\sqrt{(\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha)^2 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\phi) := \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(\beta + \frac{3}{4}\alpha)^2 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{\gamma}{\eta}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \eta \cos(\phi + 4\theta) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \eta \sin(\phi + 4\theta).$$

Hence, there exist an angle θ ($\theta = \frac{\pi - 2\phi}{8}$), permitting

$$(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (-\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \eta).$$

We finish the proof. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathbf{A} be a fourth order irreducible tensor, α, β, γ be three real numbers, satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1113} &= A_{1222} = A_{1223} = A_{2223} = 0, \\ A_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad A_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad A_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \quad A_{1112} = \beta, \quad A_{1123} = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, suppose $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ are two diagonal matrixes, ζ and ρ are two real numbers, satisfying $\mathbf{D}^{(2)} = \rho\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $D_{11}^{(1)} = D_{33}^{(1)} = \zeta$ and $D_{22}^{(1)} = -2\zeta$. Moreover, $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}$ are defined as (3). Moreover, we define two fourth order irreducible tensors $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{1113} &= \hat{A}_{1222} = \hat{A}_{1223} = \hat{A}_{2223} = 0, \\ \hat{A}_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad \hat{A}_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad \hat{A}_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \quad \hat{A}_{1112} = -\beta, \quad \hat{A}_{1123} = \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{1113} &= \tilde{A}_{1222} = \tilde{A}_{1223} = \tilde{A}_{2223} = 0, \\ \tilde{A}_{1122} &= \alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{2222} = -2\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_{1112} = 0, \quad \tilde{A}_{1123} = \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta := \sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}.$$

Then, $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ and $(\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ (or $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}), \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N})$ are in the same O_3 -orbit.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 3.1. With some calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ij} &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{3}{4}(8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 5\alpha^2), 2(2(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 5\alpha^2), \frac{3}{4}(8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 5\alpha^2), \right. \\ C_{ij} &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2), -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{4}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2) \right), \\ D_{ij} &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{5}{16}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2)(8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 11\alpha^2), \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{5}{8}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2)(8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 11\alpha^2), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{5}{16}\alpha(-8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 25\alpha^2)(8(\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 11\alpha^2) \right), \\ F_{ij} &= \text{diag}(-3\alpha\zeta, 6\alpha\zeta, -3\alpha\zeta), \\ G_{ij} &= \text{diag}(-3\alpha\rho\zeta, 6\alpha\rho\zeta, -3\alpha\rho\zeta), \\ H_{ij} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{9}{4}\alpha^2\zeta, 4(\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 2\alpha^2)\zeta, -\frac{9}{4}\alpha^2\zeta \right), \\ K_{ij} &= \text{diag} \left(-\frac{9}{4}\alpha^2\rho\zeta, 4(\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 2\alpha^2)\rho\zeta, -\frac{9}{4}\alpha^2\rho\zeta \right), \\ M_{ij} &= \text{diag}(3\alpha\zeta^2, -6\alpha\zeta, 3\alpha\zeta^2), \\ N_{ij} &= \text{diag}(3\alpha\rho^2\zeta^2, -6\alpha\rho^2\zeta, 3\alpha\rho^2\zeta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the results in Proposition 3.1, $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} are invariant under orthogonal transformation in 1-3 plane, and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is the outcome of the reflection transformations of \mathbf{A} in 1-3 plane, here reflection matrix is the same as (4). Moreover, if we rotate \mathbf{A} in 1-3 plane of angle θ , anticlockwise, the rotation could be presented by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1113} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{1222} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{1223} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, & A_{2223} : 0 &\rightarrow 0, \\ A_{1122} : \alpha &\rightarrow \alpha, & A_{2222} : -2\alpha &\rightarrow -2\alpha, & A_{1111} : -\frac{3}{4}\alpha &\rightarrow -\frac{3}{4}\alpha, \\ A_{1112} : \beta &\rightarrow \tilde{\beta} := \beta \cos(3\theta) - \gamma \sin(3\theta), & A_{1123} : \gamma &\rightarrow \tilde{\gamma} := \beta \sin(3\theta) + \gamma \cos(3\theta). \end{aligned}$$

We denote an angle ϕ , satisfying

$$\cos(\phi) := \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\phi) := \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{\gamma}{\eta}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \eta \cos(\phi + 3\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \eta \sin(\phi + 3\theta).$$

Hence, there exist an angle θ ($\theta = \frac{\pi - 2\phi}{6}$), permitting

$$(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (0, \eta).$$

We also finish the proof. □

Remark 3.3. *We can learn from the above two propositions that under the conditions of Proposition 3.1 (resp. Proposition 3.2), the O_3 -orbit of \mathbf{A} can be completely determined by the value of $(A_{1111} + \frac{3}{4}A_{1122})^2 + A_{1113}^2$ (resp. $A_{1112}^2 + A_{1123}^2$).*

Now we focus on the main part of the proof. Note that \mathbf{B} is a second order symmetric tensor, by choosing a proper coordinate system, we could make \mathbf{B} a diagonal tensor, i.e.,

$$B_{ij} = \text{diag}(B_{11}, B_{22}, B_{33}).$$

Furthermore equations $B_{ij} = A_{iklm}A_{jklm}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and hereinafter) could be rewritten as

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} 2A_{1111}^2 + 3A_{1122}A_{1111} + 3A_{1112}^2 + 2A_{1113}^2 + 3A_{1122}^2 + 3A_{1123}^2 + 2A_{1222}^2 + 2A_{1223}^2 + 3A_{1112}A_{1222} + A_{1113}A_{1223} &= \frac{1}{2}B_{11}, \\ 2A_{1112}^2 + 3A_{1222}A_{1112} + 3A_{1122}^2 + 2A_{1123}^2 + 3A_{1222}^2 + 3A_{1223}^2 + 2A_{2222}^2 + 2A_{2223}^2 + 3A_{1122}A_{2222} + A_{1123}A_{2223} &= \frac{1}{2}B_{22}, \\ 2A_{1111}^2 + (5A_{1122} + A_{2222})A_{1111} + 3A_{1112}^2 + 2A_{1113}^2 + 5A_{1122}^2 + 3A_{1123}^2 + 3A_{1222}^2 + 3A_{1223}^2 + 2A_{2222}^2 + 2A_{2223}^2 &+ 6A_{1112}A_{1222} + 3A_{1113}A_{1223} + 5A_{1122}A_{2222} + 3A_{1123}A_{2223} = \frac{1}{2}B_{33}, \\ A_{1122}A_{1123} + 2A_{2222}A_{1123} + 3A_{1113}A_{1222} + A_{1112}(4A_{1113} - 3A_{1223}) - 2A_{1122}A_{2223} - A_{1111}(4A_{1123} + A_{2223}) &= 0, \\ -3A_{1123}A_{1222} + 4A_{2223}A_{1222} + 2A_{1111}A_{1223} + A_{1122}A_{1223} - 4A_{1223}A_{2222} - A_{1113}(2A_{1122} + A_{2222}) &+ 3A_{1112}A_{2223} = 0, \\ 4A_{1113}A_{1123} + 7A_{1223}A_{1123} + 9A_{1122}A_{1222} + A_{1111}(4A_{1112} + 3A_{1222}) + 4A_{1222}A_{2222} + 3A_{1112}(3A_{1122} + A_{2222}) &+ A_{1113}A_{2223} + 4A_{1223}A_{2223} = 0. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Equations $C_{ij} = A_{ijkl}B_{kl}$ are represented as

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(B_{11} - B_{33}) + A_{1122}(B_{22} - B_{33}) = C_{11}, \\ A_{1112}(B_{11} - B_{33}) + A_{1222}(B_{22} - B_{33}) = C_{12}, \\ A_{1113}(B_{11} - B_{33}) + A_{1223}(B_{22} - B_{33}) = C_{13}, \\ A_{1122}(B_{11} - B_{33}) + A_{2222}(B_{22} - B_{33}) = C_{22}, \\ A_{1123}(B_{11} - B_{33}) + A_{2223}(B_{22} - B_{33}) = C_{23}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Case (I). Any two of B_{11} , B_{22} and B_{33} are not equal to each other, i.e., $B_{11} \neq B_{22}$, $B_{22} \neq B_{33}$ and $B_{33} \neq B_{11}$. The symmetric and traceless tensor $D_{ij} = A_{ijkl}B_{km}B_{lm}$ could be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2) + A_{1122}(B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2) = D_{11}, \\ A_{1112}(B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2) + A_{1222}(B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2) = D_{12}, \\ A_{1113}(B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2) + A_{1223}(B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2) = D_{13}, \\ A_{1122}(B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2) + A_{2222}(B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2) = D_{22}, \\ A_{1123}(B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2) + A_{2223}(B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2) = D_{23}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Combining the first equations of both (5) and (6), we get a linear system about A_{1111} and A_{1122} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{11} - B_{33} & B_{22} - B_{33} \\ B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2 & B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{1111} \\ A_{1122} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} \\ D_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the determinant of its coefficient matrix is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} - B_{33} & B_{22} - B_{33} \\ B_{11}^2 - B_{33}^2 & B_{22}^2 - B_{33}^2 \end{pmatrix} = -(B_{11} - B_{22})(B_{11} - B_{33})(B_{22} - B_{33}) \neq 0,$$

the linear system owns a unique solution

$$A_{1111} = \frac{-C_{11}(B_{22} + B_{33}) + D_{11}}{(B_{11} - B_{22})(B_{11} - B_{33})} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{1122} = \frac{C_{11}(B_{11} + B_{33}) - D_{11}}{(B_{11} - B_{22})(B_{22} - B_{33})}.$$

Using a similar approach, we obtain values of

$$A_{1112}, \quad A_{1222}, \quad A_{1113}, \quad A_{1223}, \quad A_{2222}, \quad A_{1123} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{2223}$$

respectively.

Case (II). All of B_{11} , B_{22} , B_{33} are equal, i.e., $B_{11} = B_{22} = B_{33}$. With simple computations, we find that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{0}$. Moreover, since \mathbf{B} is invariant under any three-dimensional orthogonal transformation, we could further choose a proper coordinate system to make $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ a diagonal tensor and suppose

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)} := \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, -d_1 - d_2).$$

By identities $F_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{k\ell}^{(1)}$ and $M_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{km}^{(1)}D_{\ell m}^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (2d_1 + d_2)A_{1111} + (d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1122} = F_{11}, \\ (2d_1 + d_2)A_{1112} + (d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1222} = F_{12}, \\ (2d_1 + d_2)A_{1113} + (d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1223} = F_{13}, \\ (2d_1 + d_2)A_{1122} + (d_1 + 2d_2)A_{2222} = F_{22}, \\ (2d_1 + d_2)A_{1123} + (d_1 + 2d_2)A_{2223} = F_{23}, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d_2(2d_1 + d_2)A_{1111} + d_1(d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1122} = -M_{11}, \\ d_2(2d_1 + d_2)A_{1112} + d_1(d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1222} = -M_{12}, \\ d_2(2d_1 + d_2)A_{1113} + d_1(d_1 + 2d_2)A_{1223} = -M_{13}, \\ d_2(2d_1 + d_2)A_{1122} + d_1(d_1 + 2d_2)A_{2222} = -M_{22}, \\ d_2(2d_1 + d_2)A_{1123} + d_1(d_1 + 2d_2)A_{2223} = -M_{23}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Similarly, we first consider the determinant of A_{1111} and A_{1122} in the above two linear systems. We find that if

$$(d_1 - d_2)(2d_1 + d_2)(d_1 + 2d_2) \neq 0,$$

then the determinant is also nonzero. Hence we could determine A_{1111} and A_{1122} , and further the rest elements of \mathbf{A} step by step. Otherwise, if

$$(d_1 - d_2)(2d_1 + d_2)(d_1 + 2d_2) = 0,$$

one of the following three equations should be satisfied:

$$d_1 = d_2, \quad 2d_1 + d_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_1 + 2d_2 = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we only need to consider the circumstance of $2d_1 + d_2 = 0$. Since $\mathbf{D}^{(1)} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have that $d_1 + 2d_2 \neq 0$ and $d_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, equations (7) and (8) can be simplified as:

$$\begin{cases} A_{1122} = -\frac{F_{11}}{3d_1} = \frac{M_{11}}{3d_1^2}, \\ A_{1222} = -\frac{F_{12}}{3d_1} = \frac{M_{12}}{3d_1^2}, \\ A_{1223} = -\frac{F_{13}}{3d_1} = \frac{M_{13}}{3d_1^2}, \\ A_{2222} = -\frac{F_{22}}{3d_1} = \frac{M_{22}}{3d_1^2}, \\ A_{2223} = -\frac{F_{23}}{3d_1} = \frac{M_{23}}{3d_1^2}. \end{cases}$$

Based on the special form, $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ is also invariant under orthogonal transformation in 1-3 plane. Therefore, we could further choose a proper coordinate system to make $F_{13} = 0$. This setting also leads that $A_{1223} = 0$ and $M_{13} = 0$. Note that the remainder undetermined components of \mathbf{A}

are A_{1111} , A_{1112} , A_{1113} and A_{1123} . Next, we will take up discussion in more details.

(II.1) If $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} \neq 0$, from the identity of H_{13} , i.e., $H_{13} := A_{1pql}A_{3pqm}D_{\ell m}^{(1)}$, we have that

$$A_{1113} = -\frac{H_{13} + 2A_{1222}A_{2223}}{2d_1(2A_{1122} + A_{2222})}.$$

Moreover, from identities of H_{11} and H_{33} , we get equations

$$\begin{cases} 4A_{1111}^2d_1 + 6A_{1122}A_{1111}d_1 + 4A_{1113}^2d_1 - 2A_{1222}^2d_1 = H_{11}, \\ 4A_{1111}^2d_1 + (10A_{1122} + 2A_{2222})A_{1111}d_1 + 4A_{1113}^2d_1 + 4A_{1122}^2d_1 - 2A_{2222}^2d_1 - 2A_{2223}^2d_1 \\ - 2A_{1122}A_{2222}d_1 = H_{33}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Considering the coefficients of A_{1111}^2 and A_{1111} , we find that

$$(4d_1)(10A_{1122} + 2A_{2222})d_1 - (6A_{1122}d_1)(4d_1) = 8d_1^2(2A_{1122} + A_{2222}) \neq 0$$

is always valid. Thus, we could determine A_{1111} from (9). Furthermore, from $B_{13} = 0$ and $B_{11} - B_{33} = 0$, we know that

$$\begin{cases} 3A_{2223}A_{1112} - 3A_{1222}A_{1123} = (2A_{1122} + A_{2222})A_{1113} - 4A_{1222}A_{2223}, \\ -6A_{1222}A_{1112} - 6A_{2223}A_{1123} = 2(2A_{1122} + A_{2222})A_{1111} + 4A_{1122}^2 + 2A_{1222}^2 + 10A_{1122}A_{2222} \\ + 4A_{2222}^2 + 4A_{2223}^2. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We regard (10) as a linear system of A_{1112} and A_{1123} . The determinant is $-18(A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2)$.

(II.1.1) If $A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2 \neq 0$, we could determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} from (10).

(II.1.2) If $A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2 = 0$, we have $A_{2223} = A_{1222} = 0$. Then $B_{13} = -A_{1113}(2A_{1122} + A_{2222}) = 0$.

Since $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} \neq 0$, we have $A_{1113} = 0$. With these results, we can simplify the second equation of (10) as

$$(2A_{1122} + A_{2222})(A_{1111} + A_{1122} + 2A_{2222}) = 0.$$

Since $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} \neq 0$, it implies that

$$A_{1111} = -A_{1122} - 2A_{2222}.$$

Now the remainder undetermined components of \mathbf{A} are A_{1112} and A_{1123} . Next, from $B_{12} = B_{23} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112}(4A_{1111} + 9A_{1122} + 3A_{2222}) = 0, \\ -A_{1123}(4A_{1111} - A_{1122} - 2A_{2222}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(II.1.2.1) If $A_{1112} = 0$ and $A_{1123} = 0$, then \mathbf{A} has been determined.

(II.1.2.2) If $A_{1112} = 0$ and $A_{1123} \neq 0$, we have $A_{1122} = -4A_{1111} + 2A_{2222}$. Then, from $B_{23} = 0$, we know

$$-4A_{1123}(2A_{1111} - A_{2222}) = 0.$$

Hence, $A_{2222} = 2A_{1111}$. In addition, from $B_{22} - B_{33} = 0$, we have that

$$-8A_{1111}^2 - 2A_{1123}^2 = 0,$$

which yields $A_{1123} = 0$.

(II.1.2.3) If $A_{1112} \neq 0$ and $A_{1123} = 0$, we have

$$A_{1122} = -\frac{4}{9}A_{1111} - \frac{1}{3}A_{2222}. \quad (11)$$

From the identity of H_{12} , we have

$$\left(\frac{8}{3}A_{1111} - A_{2222}\right)d_{11}A_{1112} = H_{12}.$$

Therefore, if $A_{1111} \neq \frac{3}{8}A_{2222}$, we could determine A_{1112} . Otherwise, we have

$$A_{1111} = \frac{3}{8}A_{2222}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (11) and (12) together, we find that $A_{1122} = -\frac{1}{2}A_{2222}$. It draws a contradiction to $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} \neq 0$.

(II.1.2.4) If $A_{1112} \neq 0$ and $A_{1123} \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} 4A_{1111} + 9A_{1122} + 3A_{2222} = 0, \\ 4A_{1111} - A_{1122} - 2A_{2222} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The equations set also implies $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} = 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

(II.2) If $2A_{1122} + A_{2222} = 0$, we consider A_{1112} and A_{1123} first. From $B_{13} = 0$ and $B_{11} - B_{33} = 0$, we obtain a linear system of A_{1112} and A_{1123} :

$$\begin{cases} 3A_{2223}A_{1112} - 3A_{1222}A_{1123} = -4A_{1222}A_{2223}, \\ -6A_{1222}A_{1112} - 6A_{2223}A_{1123} = 2A_{1222}^2 + 4A_{2223}^2. \end{cases}$$

(II.2.1) If the determinant of this linear system $A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2 \neq 0$, we could immediately solve this linear system and determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} by A_{1222} and A_{2223} . The expressions are

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112} = -\frac{A_{1222}(A_{1222}^2 + 6A_{2223}^2)}{3(A_{1222}^2 + A_{2223}^2)}, \\ A_{1123} = \frac{A_{2223}(3A_{1222}^2 - 2A_{2223}^2)}{3(A_{1222}^2 + A_{2223}^2)}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Next, we focus on A_{1111} and A_{1113} . Combining (13) and $B_{12} = B_{23} = 0$, a linear system of A_{1111} and A_{1113} is obtained by

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(4A_{1112} + 3A_{1222}) + A_{1113}(4A_{1123} + A_{2223}) + 3A_{1122}A_{1112} + A_{1122}A_{1222} = 0, \\ -A_{1111}(4A_{1123} + A_{2223}) + A_{1113}(4A_{1112} + 3A_{1222}) - 3A_{1122}A_{1123} - 2A_{1122}A_{2223} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also consider the determinant of the above linear system. If $(4A_{1112} + 3A_{1222})^2 + (4A_{1123} + A_{2223})^2 \neq 0$, we could immediate determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} . Otherwise, we have the relations

$$A_{1222} = -\frac{4}{3}A_{1112} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{2223} = -4A_{1123}. \quad (14)$$

From $B_{12} = B_{13} = B_{23} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112}A_{1122} = 0, \\ A_{1112}A_{1123} = 0, \\ A_{1122}A_{1123} = 0. \end{cases}$$

(II.2.1.1) If $A_{1112} = 0$, then $B_{11} - B_{33} = -40A_{1123}^2 = 0$, which further yields $A_{1123} = 0$. Then from (14), we have $A_{1222} = A_{2223} = 0$. This leads to a contradiction to $A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2 \neq 0$.

(II.2.1.2) If $A_{1112} \neq 0$, then $A_{1122} = A_{1123} = 0$. In this case, we have $B_{11} - B_{33} = \frac{40}{9}A_{1112}^2 = 0$, which yields $A_{1112} = 0$. This draws a contradiction to $A_{1112} \neq 0$.

(II.2.2) If $A_{2223}^2 + A_{1222}^2 = 0$, we have that $A_{2223} = A_{1222} = 0$. From $B_{12} = B_{23} = 0$, a linear system of A_{1111} and A_{1113} are obtained by

$$\begin{cases} 4A_{1111}A_{1112} + 4A_{1113}A_{1123} + 3A_{1122}A_{1112} = 0, \\ -4A_{1111}A_{1123} + 4A_{1113}A_{1112} - 3A_{1122}A_{1123} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

The determinant of this linear system is $A_{1112}^2 + A_{1123}^2$.

(II.2.2.1) If $A_{1112}^2 + A_{1123}^2 = 0$, then we have $A_{1112} = A_{1123} = 0$. Therefore, \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.1. In addition, from identity of B_{11} , we have

$$(A_{1111} + \frac{3}{4}A_{1122})^2 + A_{1113}^2 = \frac{1}{4}B_{11} - \frac{15}{16}A_{1122}^2.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.1, we could choose

$$A_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}A_{1122}, \quad \text{and} \quad A_{1113} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}B_{11} - \frac{15}{16}A_{1122}^2}.$$

(II.2.2.2) If $A_{1112}^2 + A_{1123}^2 \neq 0$, from equations (15), we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}A_{1122}, \\ A_{1113} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.2. Whereafter, from $B_{11} - B_{22} = 0$, we know

$$A_{1112}^2 + A_{1123}^2 = \frac{25}{8}A_{1122}^2.$$

According to Proposition 3.2, we could choose

$$A_{1112} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad A_{1123} = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{4}|A_{1122}|.$$

In conclusion, we could always determine either each element of \mathbf{A} , or the O_3 -orbit of \mathbf{A} by \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{M} in this subcase.

Case (III). Two of B_{11} , B_{22} , B_{33} are equal, but they are not equal to the third one.

Without loss of generality, we assume $B_{11} = B_{33} \neq B_{22}$. In this case, we denote

$$\mathbf{D}^{(1)} := (d_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (5), we immediately have

$$\begin{cases} A_{1122} = \frac{C_{11}}{B_{22} - B_{33}}, \\ A_{1222} = \frac{C_{12}}{B_{22} - B_{33}}, \\ A_{1223} = \frac{C_{13}}{B_{22} - B_{33}}, \\ A_{2222} = \frac{C_{22}}{B_{22} - B_{33}}, \\ A_{2223} = \frac{C_{23}}{B_{22} - B_{33}}. \end{cases}$$

The remainder undetermined components of \mathbf{A} are A_{1111} , A_{1112} , A_{1113} and A_{1123} . Since \mathbf{B} is still invariant under orthogonal transformation in 1-3 plane, we could further choose a proper coordinate system to make $d_{13} = 0$. From $F_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{kl}^{(1)}$, we know that

$$\begin{cases} (2d_{11} + d_{22})A_{1111} + 2d_{12}A_{1112} + 2d_{23}A_{1123} = F_{11} - A_{1122}(d_{11} + 2d_{22}), \\ (2d_{11} + d_{22})A_{1112} = F_{12} - A_{1222}(d_{11} + 2d_{22}) - 2A_{1122}d_{12} - 2A_{1223}d_{23}, \\ -2d_{23}A_{1112} + (2d_{11} + d_{22})A_{1113} + 2d_{12}A_{1123} = F_{13} - A_{1223}(d_{11} + 2d_{22}) + 2A_{1222}d_{23}, \\ (2d_{11} + d_{22})A_{1123} = F_{23} - A_{2223}(d_{11} + 2d_{22}) - A_{1223}d_{12} + 2A_{1122}d_{23} + 2A_{2222}d_{23}. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

(III.1) If $d_{22} \neq -2d_{11}$, then, from the second and the fourth equations of (16), we could determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} . Furthermore, from the first and the third equations of (16), we could determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} . Thus each element of \mathbf{A} is known.

(III.2) If $d_{22} = -2d_{11}$, then $d_{11} \neq 0$. Also from (16), we have

$$\begin{cases} 2d_{12}A_{1112} + 2d_{23}A_{1123} = F_{11} + 3d_{11}A_{1122}, \\ -2d_{23}A_{1112} + 2d_{12}A_{1123} = F_{13} + 3d_{11}A_{1223} + 2A_{1222}d_{23}. \end{cases}$$

(III.2.1) If $d_{12}^2 + d_{23}^2 \neq 0$, we could immediately determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} , since A_{1122} and A_{1222} are known. Then, from $M_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{km}^{(1)}D_{lm}^{(1)}$, we obtain two linear equations of A_{1111} and A_{1113} as below.

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(d_{12}^2 - d_{23}^2) + 2A_{1113}d_{12}d_{23} + 3A_{1122}d_{11}^2 - 2A_{1112}d_{12}d_{11} - 2A_{1123}d_{23}d_{11} + A_{1122}d_{12}^2 = M_{11}, \\ -2A_{1111}d_{12}d_{23} + A_{1113}(d_{12}^2 - d_{23}^2) + 3A_{1223}d_{11}^2 + 2A_{1112}d_{23}d_{11} + 2A_{1222}d_{23}d_{11} + A_{1223}d_{12}^2 \\ - 2A_{1123}d_{12}d_{11} - 2A_{1122}d_{12}d_{23} = M_{13}. \end{cases}$$

The determinant of this linear system is $(d_{12}^2 - d_{23}^2)^2 + 4d_{12}^2d_{23}^2$.

(III.2.1.1) If $(d_{12}^2 - d_{23}^2)^2 + 4d_{12}^2d_{23}^2 \neq 0$, we could immediate determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} , hence each element of \mathbf{A} is obtained.

(III.2.1.2) If $(d_{12}^2 - d_{23}^2)^2 + 4d_{12}^2d_{23}^2 = 0$. Then we have $d_{12} = d_{23} = 0$, which leads to a contradiction to $d_{12}^2 + d_{23}^2 \neq 0$.

(III.2.2) If $d_{12}^2 + d_{23}^2 = 0$, then $d_{12} = d_{23} = 0$. Thus, both \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{D} are invariant under orthogonal transformations in 1-3 plane. For this reason, we can choose a proper coordinate system to make $C_{13} = 0$, and therefore, $A_{1223} = 0$. Next, from equations $H_{ij} = A_{ipql}A_{jqpm}D_{lm}^{(1)}$, we obtain a

quadratic equation set of A_{1111} and A_{1113} .

$$\begin{cases} A_{1113}(-2A_{1122} - A_{2222})d_{11} - 2A_{1222}A_{2223}d_{11} = H_{13}, \\ 4A_{1111}^2d_{11} + 6A_{1122}A_{1111}d_{11} + 4A_{1113}^2d_{11} - 2A_{1222}^2d_{11} = H_{11}, \\ 4A_{1111}^2d_{11} + (10A_{1122} + 2A_{2222})A_{1111}d_{11} + 4A_{1113}^2d_{11} + 4A_{1122}^2d_{11} - 2A_{2222}^2d_{11} - 2A_{2223}^2d_{11} \\ - 2A_{1122}A_{2222}d_{11} = H_{33}. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

(III.2.2.1) If $A_{2222} \neq -2A_{1122}$, from the first equation of (17), we could directly determine A_{1113} . Then, we consider the element A_{1111} . From the second and the third equations of (17), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_{11}(2A_{1122} + A_{2222})A_{1111} \\ = H_{33} - H_{11} + 2d_{11}(-2A_{1122}^2 + A_{1122}A_{2222} - A_{1222}^2 + A_{2222}^2 + A_{2223}^2 + A_{2223}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Together with $d_{11} \neq 0$, we could obtain A_{1111} . Next, $B_{11} - B_{33} = B_{13} = 0$ leads to a linear system of A_{1112} and A_{1123} as:

$$\begin{cases} 3A_{1112}A_{1222} + 3A_{1123}A_{2223} + 2A_{1122}^2 + 2A_{1111}A_{1122} + 5A_{2222}A_{1122} + A_{1222}^2 + 2A_{2222}^2 \\ + 2A_{2223}^2 + A_{1111}A_{2222} = 0, \\ 3A_{1112}A_{2223} - 3A_{1123}A_{1222} - 2A_{1113}A_{1122} - A_{1113}A_{2222} + 4A_{1222}A_{2223} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We only need to consider the case that the determinant of this linear system is zero, which equals to $A_{1222}^2 + A_{2223}^2 = 0$. Then, $A_{1222} = A_{2223} = 0$. From $B_{11} - B_{33} = B_{13} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (2A_{1122} + A_{2222})(A_{1111} + A_{1122} + 2A_{2222}) = 0, \\ A_{1113}(2A_{1122} + A_{2222}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $A_{2222} + 2A_{1122} \neq 0$, we have $A_{1113} = 0$ and $A_{1111} = -A_{1122} - 2A_{2222}$. From $B_{12} = B_{23} = 0$, we know that

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112}(A_{1122} - A_{2222}) = 0, \\ A_{1123}(A_{1122} + 2A_{2222}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(III.2.2.1.1) If $A_{1112} = A_{1123} = 0$, \mathbf{A} has been determined.

(III.2.2.1.2) If $A_{1112} = 0$ and $A_{1123} \neq 0$, we have $A_{2222} = -\frac{1}{2}A_{1122}$. Then, from identity of H_{13} , we know that

$$H_{13} = -\frac{9}{2}A_{1122}A_{1123}.$$

Since $A_{2222} + 2A_{1122} \neq 0$ and $A_{2222} = -\frac{1}{2}A_{1122}$, we find that $A_{1122} \neq 0$, hence A_{1123} could be determined.

(III.2.2.1.3) If $A_{1112} \neq 0$ and $A_{1123} = 0$, we have $A_{2222} = A_{1122}$. Then, from identity of H_{12} , we get

$$H_{12} = -9A_{1112}A_{1122}d_{11}.$$

For the same reason, $A_{1122} \neq 0$. so we could determine A_{1112} .

(III.2.2.1.4) If $A_{1112} \neq 0$ and $A_{1123} \neq 0$, we have $A_{1122} - A_{2222} = 0$ and $A_{1122} + 2A_{2222} = 0$, then,

$$A_{1122} = A_{2222} = 0,$$

which draws a contradiction to $A_{2222} + 2A_{1122} \neq 0$.

(III.2.2.2) If $A_{2222} = -2A_{1122}$. The rest of the proof in this subcase is analogous to that of (II.2), hence, for simplicity, we omit the discussion here.

Third, if both $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ are not zero-tensors, and they are not in direct proportion either. It should be noted that, for a subcase in this part, if we finally determine all the elements (instead of the O_3 -orbit) of \mathbf{A} , the proof will be quite similar to those in the **Second** part, due to technically minor changes. For this reason, we only need to start from the subcases (II.2.2.1), (II.2.2.2) and (III.2.2.2) in the **Second** part. To avoid confusion, we denote them as (II*.2.2.1), (II*.2.2.2) and (III*.2.2.2) here respectively. Moreover, we suppose that

$$\mathbf{D}^{(2)} := (\hat{d}_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

(II*.2.2.1) Now we have $A_{1223} = A_{2223} = A_{1222} = A_{1112} = A_{1123} = 0$ and $A_{2222} = -2A_{1122}$. Our goal is to determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} . From $G_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{kl}^{(2)}$, we obtain a linear system of A_{1111} and A_{1113} :

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22}) + 2A_{1113}\hat{d}_{13} + A_{1122}\hat{d}_{11} + 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{22} = G_{11}, \\ A_{1113}(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22}) - 2A_{1111}\hat{d}_{13} - 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{13} = G_{13}. \end{cases}$$

The determinant is $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2$.

(II*.2.2.1.1) If $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2 \neq 0$, we could determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} .

(II*.2.2.1.2) If $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2 = 0$, we have $\hat{d}_{22} = -2\hat{d}_{11}$ and $\hat{d}_{13} = 0$. From $N_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{km}^{(2)}D_{\ell m}^{(2)}$, we further have that

$$\begin{cases} A_{1111}(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2) + 2A_{1113}\hat{d}_{12}\hat{d}_{23} + 3A_{1122}\hat{d}_{11}^2 + A_{1122}\hat{d}_{12}^2 = N_{11}, \\ -2A_{1111}\hat{d}_{23}\hat{d}_{12} + A_{1113}(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2) - 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{23}\hat{d}_{12} = N_{13}. \end{cases}$$

If $(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2) + \hat{d}_{12}^2\hat{d}_{23}^2 \neq 0$, we could determine A_{1111} and A_{1113} . If not, we have $\hat{d}_{12} = \hat{d}_{23} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ are in direct proportion, which leads to a contradiction to the precondition.

(II*.2.2.2) Now we have $A_{1223} = A_{2223} = A_{1222} = A_{1113} = 0$, $A_{2222} = -2A_{1122}$ and $A_{1111} = -\frac{3}{4}A_{1122}$ and we need to determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} . From $G_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{kl}^{(2)}$, we have a linear system of A_{1112} and A_{1123} :

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112}(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22}) + 2A_{1123}\hat{d}_{13} + 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{12} = G_{12}, \\ -2A_{1112}\hat{d}_{13} + A_{1123}(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22}) + 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{23} = G_{23}. \end{cases}$$

The determinant is $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2$.

(II*.2.2.2.1) If $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2 \neq 0$, we could determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} .

(II*.2.2.2.2) If $(2\hat{d}_{11} + \hat{d}_{22})^2 + \hat{d}_{13}^2 = 0$, we have $\hat{d}_{13} = 0$ and $\hat{d}_{22} = -2\hat{d}_{11}$. Furthermore, from $N_{ij} = A_{ijkl}D_{km}^{(2)}D_{\ell m}^{(2)}$, we know that

$$\begin{cases} A_{1112}(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2) + 2A_{1123}\hat{d}_{23}\hat{d}_{12} - 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{11}\hat{d}_{12} = N_{12}, \\ -2A_{1112}\hat{d}_{23}\hat{d}_{12} + A_{1123}(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2) - 2A_{1122}\hat{d}_{11}\hat{d}_{23} = N_{23}. \end{cases}$$

This is a linear system of A_{1112} and A_{1123} and we also consider its determinant. If $(\hat{d}_{12}^2 - \hat{d}_{23}^2)^2 + \hat{d}_{12}^2 \hat{d}_{23}^2 \neq 0$, we could determine A_{1112} and A_{1123} . If not, we have $\hat{d}_{12} = \hat{d}_{23} = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$ are in direct proportion, which also leads to a contradiction to the precondition.

(III*.2.2.2) As what we have mentioned in (III.2.2.2), the proof of this subcase can be mimicked by the previous parts, therefore we omit the discussion here.

In sum, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *The O_3 -orbit of the elasticity tensor \mathbf{E} can be determined by 11 second order symmetrical tensors $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$, \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{N} and 11 scalars λ , μ , J_2, \dots, J_{10} .*

4 A Polynomially irreducible functional basis of elasticity tensors

Based on Theorem 3.4 and (1), we totally obtain 429 isotropic invariants. Notice that the elements of intermediate tensors $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{D}^{(2)}$, \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{N} are related, there should be many polynomial relations among the invariants and their products. We utilize *LinearSolve* function in Mathematica to seek all the polynomial relations and eliminate those invariants which are zeros or polynomials in others (refer to the supporting material for details). Finally there remains 251 isotropic invariants and they form a polynomially irreducible functional basis of \mathbf{E} . However, it can be quite tough finding the hidden functional relations. At least, we can draw a conclusion as following.

Theorem 4.1. *A set of 251 isotropic invariants forms a polynomially irreducible functional basis for elasticity tensor \mathbf{E} . These invariants are presented in Table 1.*

Table 1: A polynomially irreducible functional basis of piezoelectric tensors.

Degree	Invariants	Number
1	$\lambda, \mu,$	2
2	$J_2 := A_{ijkl}A_{ijkl}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)},$	4
3	$J_3 := P_{ijkl}A_{ijkl}, \text{tr}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^3, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^3, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{F},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{G}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{G}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{D}^{(2)}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2,$	10
4	$J_4 := B_{ij}B_{ij}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{M},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{C}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{G},$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{F}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{G},$	16
5	$J_5 := B_{ij}A_{ijkl}B_{kl}, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{H},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{C}, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{N},$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{N},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{G}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{G}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{C},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{N},$	29
6	$J_6 := B_{ij}P_{ijkl}B_{kl}, \text{tr}\mathbf{H}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{K}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{M}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{N}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}^3, \text{tr}\mathbf{G}^3,$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{D}, \text{tr}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}, \text{tr}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{K},$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{F}^2\mathbf{G}, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}^2,$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{G}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{G}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{F}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{G}^2,$ $\text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{M}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{N}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}, \text{tr}\mathbf{D}^{(1)}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{N},$	46

	$\text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GC}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GH}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GK}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GM}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GN},$ $\text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{BH}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{BM}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{BN}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{FH}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{FK},$ $\text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{FM}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{FN}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{GH}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{GM},$	
7	$J_7 := B_{ij}^2 A_{ijkl} B_{kl}, \text{trFD}, \text{trGD}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{D}, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{D},$ $\text{trF}^2\mathbf{C}, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{H}, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{K}, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{M}, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{N}, \text{trG}^2\mathbf{C}, \text{trG}^2\mathbf{H},$ $\text{trG}^2\mathbf{K}, \text{trG}^2\mathbf{M}, \text{trG}^2\mathbf{N}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{H}^2, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{K}^2, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{M}^2,$ $\text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{N}^2, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{H}^2, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{K}^2, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{M}^2, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{N}^2, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^{(2)}\mathbf{D},$ $\text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{CH}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{CK}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{CM}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{CN}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{HK},$ $\text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{HM}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{HN}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{KM}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{KN}, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{MN},$ $\text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{CH}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{CK}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{CM}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{CN}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{HK},$ $\text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{HM}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{HN}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{KM}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{KN}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{MN},$ $\text{trBFC}, \text{trBFK}, \text{trBFN}, \text{trBGC}, \text{trBGH}, \text{trBGM},$ $\text{trFGH}, \text{trFGK}, \text{trFGM}, \text{trFGN},$	54
8	$J_8 := B_{ij}^2 P_{ijkl} B_{kl}, \text{trHD}, \text{trKD}, \text{trMD}, \text{trND}, \text{trBH}^2, \text{trBK}^2,$ $\text{trBM}^2, \text{trBN}^2, \text{trFC}^2, \text{trFH}^2, \text{trFK}^2, \text{trFM}^2, \text{trFN}^2, \text{trGC}^2,$ $\text{trGH}^2, \text{trGK}^2, \text{trGM}^2, \text{trGN}^2, \text{trB}^2\mathbf{F}^2, \text{trB}^2\mathbf{G}^2, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{G}^2,$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{H}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{K}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(1)})^2\mathbf{N}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{H}^2, \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{K}^2,$ $\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}^{(2)})^2\mathbf{M}^2, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{GD}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{FD}, \text{trBHK},$ $\text{trBHM}, \text{trBHN}, \text{trBKM}, \text{trBKN}, \text{trBMN}, \text{trFCK}, \text{trFCN},$ $\text{trFHK}, \text{trFHN}, \text{trFKM}, \text{trFKN}, \text{trFMN}, \text{trGCH}, \text{trGCM},$ $\text{trGHK}, \text{trGHM}, \text{trGHN}, \text{trGMN},$	49
9	$J_9 := B_{ij}^2 A_{ijkl} B_{kl}^2, \text{trH}^3, \text{trK}^3, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{D}, \text{trG}^2\mathbf{D}, \text{trC}^2\mathbf{H}, \text{trC}^2\mathbf{K},$ $\text{trC}^2\mathbf{M}, \text{trC}^2\mathbf{N}, \text{trH}^2\mathbf{K}, \text{trH}^2\mathbf{N}, \text{trK}^2\mathbf{M}, \text{trM}^2\mathbf{N}, \text{trHK}^2,$ $\text{trHN}^2, \text{trKM}^2, \text{trMN}^2, \text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{ND}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{CD}, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{HD},$ $\text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{MD}, \text{trFGD}, \text{trCMN}, \text{trHKM}, \text{trHKN}, \text{trHMN},$ $\text{trKMN},$	29
10	$J_{10} := B_{ij}^2 P_{ijkl} B_{kl}^2, \text{trB}^2\mathbf{H}^2, \text{trB}^2\mathbf{K}^2, \text{trF}^2\mathbf{K}^2,$ $\text{trBHK}, \text{trBKD}, \text{trFKD}, \text{trFND}, \text{trGHD}, \text{trGMD},$	10
11	$\text{trD}^{(1)}\mathbf{D}^2, \text{trD}^{(2)}\mathbf{D}^2.$	2
Total		251

Due to the essential difference between our method and that of Olive, Kolev and Auffray (in algebraic viewpoint), our functional basis is not a proper subset of the Olive-Kolve-Auffray minimal integrity basis even if we need less isotropic invariants in total. For instance, in degrees 9 and 10, we have 29 and 13 isotropic invariants while the results of Olive, Kolev and Auffray are 21 and 7 respectively. In particular, to make an explicit comparison, we list the numbers of isotropic invariants in each degree of our polynomially irreducible functional basis (PIFB) and Olive-Kolev-Auffray minimal integrity basis (MIB) in Table 2.

5 Conclusions

We extend Smith's approach and provides a possible methodology for constructing functional basis of high order tensor by designing a series of intermediate second order symmetrical tensors. The remainder work has no substantive difficulty but it needs great amount of effort to derive the final result. In sum, we obtain a functional basis consisting of 251 isotropic invariants for elasticity tensors. Moreover, we should note that our functional basis is not necessary a minimal functional basis, but it provides a smaller upper bound for this problem.

Table 2: Numbers of isotropic invariants in different degrees.

Degree	PIFB	MIB	Degree	PIFB	MIB
1	2	2	7	54	76
2	4	4	8	49	66
3	10	10	9	29	21
4	16	16	10	13	7
5	29	33	11	2	5
6	46	57	Total	251	297

References

- [1] Y. Chen, S. Hu, L. Qi and W. Zou, “Irreducible Function Bases of Isotropic Invariants of A Third Order Three-Dimensional Symmetric and Traceless Tensor”, *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, **14**(1), 1–6 (2019)
- [2] Z. Chen, J. Liu, L. Qi, Q. Zheng and W. Zou, “An irreducible function basis of isotropic invariants of a third order three-dimensional symmetric tensor”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **59**(8), 081703 (2018)
- [3] Z. Chen, Y. Chen, L. Qi and W. Zou, “Two Irreducible Functional Bases of Isotropic Invariants of A Fourth Order Three-Dimensional Symmetric and Traceless Tensor”, *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, **24**(1), 3092–3102 (2019)
- [4] D. Hilbert, *Theory of Algebraic Invariants*, Cambridge University Press, New York (1993)
- [5] S. Lekhnitskii, *Theory of Elasticity of Anisotropic Body*, Mir Publishers, Moscow (1981)
- [6] J. Liu, W. Ding, L. Qi and W. Zou, “Isotropic polynomial invariants of the Hall tensor”, *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, **39**(12), 1845–1856 (2018)
- [7] W. Miller, *Symmetry groups and their applications*, Academic Press, New York-London (1972)
- [8] Z. Ming, L. Zhang and Y. Chen, “An irreducible polynomial functional basis of two-dimensional Eshelby tensors”, *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, **40**(8), 1169–1180 (2019)
- [9] M. Olive, “About Gordan’s algorithm for binary forms”, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, **17**(6), 1407–1466 (2017)
- [10] M. Olive, and N. Auffray, “Isotropic invariants of completely symmetric third-order tensor”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **55**(9), 092901 (2014)
- [11] M. Olive, B. Kolev and N. Auffray, “A minimal integrity basis for the elasticity tensor”, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **226**(1), 1–31 (2017)
- [12] S. Pennisi, and M. Trovato, “On the irreducibility of Professor G.F. Smiths representations for isotropic function”, *International Journal of Engineering Science*, **25**(8), 1059C-1065 (1987)
- [13] G. Smith, “On isotropic functions of symmetric tensors, skew-symmetric tensors and vectors”, *International Journal of Engineering Science*, **9**(10), 899–916 (1971)
- [14] G. Smith, and G. Bao, “Isotropic invariants of traceless symmetric tensors of orders three and four”, *International Journal of Engineering Science* **35**(15), 1457–1462 (1997)

- [15] M. Vianello, “An integrity basis for plane elasticity tensors”. *Archives of Mechanics*, **49**(1), 197–208 (1997)
- [16] Q. Zheng, “On the representations for isotropic vector-valued, symmetric tensor-valued and skew-symmetric tensor-valued functions”, *International Journal of Engineering Science*, **31**(7), 1013–1024 (1993)
- [17] W. Zou, Q. Zheng, D. Du and J. Rychlewski, “Orthogonal Irreducible Decompositions of Tensors of High Orders”, *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, **6**(3), 249–267 (2001)