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#### Abstract

The pedal of a curve in the Euclidean plane is a classical subject which has a singular point at the inflection point of the original curve or the pedal point. The primitive of a curve is a curve given by the inverse construction for making the pedal. In this paper we consider the pedal of a quadratic curve. On of the main results gives a characterization of such curves, which is one of the generalizations of limaçons of Pascal.


## II

## 1 Introduction

For a regular curve in the Euclidean plane, the pedal of the curve with respect to the origin (simply, we call the pedal) is the locus of the points on the tangent line of the curve, which are given by the projection image of the origin (the pedal point) along the normal direction. It might be said the point on the pedal determines the tangent line of the original curve at the corresponding point. Therefore, the passage to the pedal is sometimes called the formation of a "derivative". The inverse operation is called the formation of a"primitive". The primitive of a curve in the plane is the envelope of the normal lines to its position vectors at their ends (cf. [1, pp. 91]). It is known that the singularities of the pedal correspond to the inflection points of the original curve or the origin. In [7] we introduced the notion of the anti-pedal of a curve which is the inversion image of the pedal of the curve, so that singularities of the anti-pedal also correspond to the inflection points of the original curve. Moreover, we have shown that the primitive is equal to the anti-pedal of the inversion image of the original curve.

On the other hand, a limaçon (Étienne Pascal, 1630) is known to be the pedal of a circle. In this paper we consider a slightly generalized notion of limaçons which are the pedals of quadratic curves. We can show that the pedal and the inversion image of an irreducible quadratic curve are cubic or quartic curves. Since an irreducible quadratic curve is non-singular, the pedal is always well-defined. However, cubic or quartic curves usually have singularities, so that we need to extend the definition of pedals and primitives to a class of curves with singularities. The notion of frontals is one of the candidates of a class of singular curves in the Euclidean plane such that we can develop the differential geometry [4, 5]. In [8] we adopted the notion of frontals for defining the pedals, the primitives and the anti-pedals of singular curves. It is known that plane algebraic curves are frontal (cf. §3), so that the pedals and the inversion

[^0]images of irreducible quadratic curves are frontals. Moreover, we show that the anti-pedal of an irreducible quadratic curve is an irreducible quadratic curve (cf. Proposition 3.4). Main results in this paper are Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, which give a characterization of pedals of irreducible quadratic curves. As a consequence, the pedals of irreducible quadratic curves are characterized by the classification of the anti-pedal as irreducible quadratic curves. If we start a circle, then we have the limaçon. Therefore, we call the pedal of an irreducible quadratic curve a limaçonoid. It has taken around 400 years since limaçons were systematically investigated by Étienne Pascal. We can not find such a kind of generalizations so far as the authors know.

We assume that all parametrized curves are class $C^{\infty}$ unless otherwise stated.

## 2 Pedals, primitives and inversions

In this section we briefly review the properties of pedals and primitives. Let $\gamma: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a unit speed plane curve, where $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the Euclidean plane with the canonical scaler product $\langle\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\rangle=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}$ for $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then we have the Frenet formulae:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{t}^{\prime}(s)=\kappa(s) \boldsymbol{n}(s), \\
\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}(s)=-\kappa(s) \boldsymbol{t}(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{t}(s)=\gamma^{\prime}(s)$ is the unit tangent vector, $\boldsymbol{n}(s)=J \boldsymbol{t}(s)$ is the unit normal vector and $\kappa(s)=$ $x_{1}^{\prime}(s) x_{2}^{\prime \prime}(s)-x_{1}^{\prime \prime}(s) x_{2}^{\prime}(s)$ is the curvature of $\gamma(s)$, where $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\gamma(s)=\left(x_{1}(s), x_{2}(s)\right)$. The pedal curve of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is defined to be $\operatorname{Pe}_{\gamma}(s)=\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle \boldsymbol{n}(s)$ (cf. [3, Page 36]). Since

$$
\operatorname{Pe}_{\gamma}^{\prime}(s)=-\kappa(s)(\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{t}(s)\rangle \boldsymbol{n}(s)+\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle \boldsymbol{t}(s)),
$$

the singular points of the pedal of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is the point $s_{0}$ where $\gamma\left(s_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ or $\kappa\left(s_{0}\right)=0$ (i.e. the inflection point). Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. If $\gamma$ does not have inflection point, the singular points of the pedal $\mathrm{Pe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ are the points of $\gamma$ passing through the origin.

By definition, $\mathrm{Pe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(s)$ is the point on the tangent line through $\gamma(s)$, which is given by the projection image of $\gamma(s)$ of the normal direction. Thus, $\mathrm{Pe}_{\gamma}(s)-\gamma(s)$ generates the tangent line at $\gamma(s)$. The primitive of a curve $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ in the plane is the envelope of the normal lines to its position vectors $\gamma(s)$ at their ends (cf. [1, p. 91]). It is known that the primitive $\operatorname{Pr}_{\gamma}: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ of $\gamma$ with $\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle \neq 0$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(s)=2 \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)\|^{2}}{\langle\boldsymbol{n}(s), \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)\rangle} \boldsymbol{n}(s) .
$$

We define the inversion $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ at the origin with respect to the unit circle by $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}}$. For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we define

$$
\mathscr{I}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\Psi(A \backslash\{(0,0)\}) \cup\{(0,0)\} & \text { if }\{(0,0)\} \in A, \\
\Psi(A) & \text { if }\{(0,0)\} \notin A .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{I}(A))=A$. We call $\mathscr{I}(A)$ the inversion of $A$. For a curve $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ with $\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle \neq$ 0 , we define a mapping $\mathrm{APe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ by

$$
\operatorname{APe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(s)=\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle} \boldsymbol{n}(s),
$$

which is called an anti-pedal curve of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. By a straightforward calculation, we have $\Psi \circ \mathrm{APe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=$ $\mathrm{Pe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ and $\Psi \circ \mathrm{Pe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=\mathrm{APe}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$. In [8 we have shown the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. For any unit speed plane curve $\gamma: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\langle\gamma(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)\rangle \neq 0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{\gamma}(s)=\operatorname{APe}_{\Psi \circ \gamma}(s) \text { and } \operatorname{Pr}_{\Psi \circ \gamma}(s)=\operatorname{APe}_{\gamma}(s) .
$$

Although the pedal of a regular curve generally has singularities, there is a tangent line at any point, so that the primitive of the pedal is well defined. We can also define the pedal of the primitive of a regular curve. By definition, we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{\operatorname{Pe}_{\gamma}}(s)=\operatorname{Pe}_{\operatorname{Pr}_{\gamma}}(s)=\gamma(s)$. These arguments can be naturally interpreted by using the notion of frontals which are natural singular curves in the Euclidean plane such that we can develop the differential geometry [4, 5]. We say that $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu}): I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is a Legendrian curve if $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu})^{*} \theta=0$, where $\theta$ is the canonical contact 1-form on the unit tangent bundle $T_{1} \mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ (cf. [2]). The last condition is equivalent to $\langle\dot{\gamma}(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle=0$ for any $t \in I$. We say that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a frontal parametrized curve (briefly, a frontal) if there exists $\boldsymbol{\nu}: I \longrightarrow S^{1}$ such that $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ is a Legendrian curve. If $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ is an immersion (i.e. a regular Legendrian curve), $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is said to be a front. A differential geometry on frontals was constructed in [5]. For a Legendrian curve $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu}): I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$, we define a unit vector field $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)=J(\boldsymbol{\nu}(t))$ along $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Then we have the following Frenet type formulae [5]:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(t) & =\ell(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t), \\
\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) & =-\ell(t) \boldsymbol{\nu}(t),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\ell(t)=\langle\dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(t), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\rangle$. Moreover, there exists $\beta(t)$ such that $\dot{\gamma}(t)=\beta(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$ for any $t \in I$. The pair $(\ell, \beta)$ is called a curvature of the Legendrian curve $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$. By definition, $t_{0} \in I$ is a singular point of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ if and only if $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Moreover, for a regular curve $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)=\boldsymbol{t}(t)$ and $\ell(t)=\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| \kappa(t)$. The Legendrian curve $(\gamma, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ is immersive (i.e. $\gamma$ is a front) if and only if $(\ell(t), \beta(t)) \neq(0,0)$ for any $t \in I$. This also means that $\dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$ or $|\boldsymbol{\mu}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t)| \neq 0$, where $|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}|=x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}$ for $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right), \boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. So the inflection point $t_{0} \in I$ of the frontal $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is a point $\ell\left(t_{0}\right)=0\left(\right.$ i.e. $\left|\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{0}\right), \dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=0$,). We say that a singular point $t=t_{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is an ordinary cusp if the image $\gamma(I)$ around $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)$ is locally diffeomorphic to $\left\{\left(t^{2}, t^{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ around the origin. It is known that $t=t_{0}$ is the ordinary cusp if and only if $\dot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \ddot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|\ddot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right), \dddot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \neq 0$ (cf. [6, p. 101]). Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is a front if and only if $\gamma$ has only ordinary cusps as singular points. For more detailed properties of Legendrian curves, see [4, 5. We say that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a frontal set (respectively, a front set) if for any $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in C$, there exist an open neighborhood $U$ of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \varepsilon_{i}>0$ and a frontals (respectively, a fronts) $\gamma_{i}:\left(t_{i}-\varepsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $(i=1, \ldots, r)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and

$$
\cup_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_{i}\left(t_{i}-\varepsilon_{i}, t_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}\right)=U \cap C .
$$

In [7, 10] the pedal of a frontal $\gamma$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{P} e_{\gamma}(t)=\langle\gamma(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle \boldsymbol{\nu}(t) .
$$

We have shown that if there exist $\delta(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}: I \longrightarrow S^{1}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)=\delta(t) \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ for any $t \in I$, the pedal $\mathcal{P} e_{\gamma}(t)$ of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is a frontal. Moreover, the anti-pedal of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is defined to be

$$
\mathcal{A P} e_{\gamma}(t)=\frac{1}{\langle\gamma(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle} \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)
$$

under the condition that $\langle\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle \neq 0$. It is easy to show that $\Psi \circ \mathcal{P} e_{\gamma}=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P} e_{\gamma}$ and $\Psi \circ \mathcal{A P} e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=\mathcal{P} e_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$. On the other hand, for a frontal $\gamma$ with $\langle\gamma(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle \neq 0$, we define the primitive of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ by

$$
\mathcal{P} r_{\gamma}(t)=2 \boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)\|^{2}}{\langle\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle} \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)
$$

We say that the frontal $\gamma$ satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ if $\langle\gamma(t), \boldsymbol{\nu}(t)\rangle \neq 0$. We remark that the condition $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is called a no-silhouette condition in [9]. Then we also have shown the following proposition in [8, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2].

Proposition 2.3. Let $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\nu}): I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ be a Legendrian curve with the condition (*). Then we have

$$
\mathcal{P} r_{\gamma}(t)=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P} e_{\Psi \circ \gamma}(t)=\Psi \circ \mathcal{P} e_{\Psi \circ \gamma}(t)\left(\text { i.e. } \mathcal{P} r_{\Psi \circ \gamma}(t)=\mathcal{A P} e_{\gamma}(t)=\Psi \circ \mathcal{P} e_{\gamma}(t)\right) .
$$

Moreover, the primitive $\mathcal{P} r_{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ is a frontal.

## 3 Quadratic curves

In this section we consider a general quadratic curve defined by

$$
C: g(x, y)=a_{11} x^{2}+a_{22} y^{2}+2 a_{12} x y+2 a_{1} x+2 a_{2} y+c=0 .
$$

Associated with the above quadratic curve, we have two basic invariants:

$$
\Delta_{0}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right|, \Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{1} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{2} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & c
\end{array}\right|
$$

We say that $C$ is reducible if there exist lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ (possibly, $\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}$ ) such that $C=\ell_{1} \cup \ell_{2}$. Then $C$ is said to be irreducible if it is not reducible. It is known that $C$ is irreducible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$. We suppose that $C$ is irreducible. By the classification theorem on quadratic curves, we have the following well-known theorem (cf. [12, p.316]).

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be an irreducible quadratic curve defined by $g(x, y)=0$. Then
(1) $C$ is an ellipse if $\Delta_{0}>0$ and $a_{11} \Delta<0$,
(2) $C$ is a hyperbola if $\Delta_{0}<0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$,
(3) $C$ is a parabola if $\Delta_{0}=0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$.

For any $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in C$, the tangent line of $C$ at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is

$$
\ell:\left(a_{1}+a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}\right) x+\left(a_{2}+a_{12} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}\right) y+c+a_{1} x_{0}+a_{2} y_{0}=0 .
$$

The line through the origin which is orthogonal to $\ell$ is parametrized by

$$
L:\binom{x}{y}=\binom{t\left(a_{1}+a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}\right)}{t\left(a_{2}+a_{12} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}\right)} .
$$

Then the intersection $\ell \cap L$ is

$$
\binom{x}{y}=\binom{\left(a_{1}+a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}\right) \frac{-c-a_{1} x_{0}-a_{2} y_{0}}{\left(a_{1}+a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}+a_{12} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}\right)^{2}}}{\left(a_{2}+a_{12} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}\right) \frac{-c-a_{1} x_{0}-a_{2} y_{0}}{\left(a_{1}+a_{11} x_{0}+a_{12} y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}+a_{12} x_{0}+a_{22} y_{0}\right)^{2}}}
$$

and $a_{11} x_{0}^{2}+a_{22} y_{0}^{2}+2 a_{12} x_{0} y_{0}+2 a_{1} x_{0}+2 a_{2} y_{0}+c=0$. By definition, the locus of the above points $(x, y)$ is the pedal of $C$. We now define a polynomial $G_{1}[C](x, y)$ of degree 4 by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}[C](x, y)=\left(a_{12}^{2}-a_{11} a_{22}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(a_{12} a_{2}-a_{1} a_{22}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) x \\
& \quad+2\left(a_{1} a_{12}-a_{2} a_{11}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) y+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{22} c\right) x^{2}+2\left(a_{12} c-a_{1} a_{2}\right) x y+\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{11} c\right) y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.2. With the same notations as above,

$$
\mathrm{Pe}_{C}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid G_{1}[C](x, y)=0\right\} .
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$ is a cubic or quartic curve through the origin including the case when the origin is the isolated points on $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$.

Proof. We substitute $x_{0}=X, y_{0}=Y$ in the above relations. Then, by a straight forward calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{-a_{2}^{2} X+a_{22} X\left(c+a_{1} X\right)+a_{1} a_{2} Y-a_{12} c Y+a_{1} a_{22} Y^{2}-a_{11} a_{2}\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)}{-a_{1} a_{22} X+a_{12}\left(a_{2} X+a_{1} Y\right)+a_{12}^{2}\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)-a_{11}\left(a_{2} Y+a_{22}\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)\right)}, \\
& y=\frac{-a_{1} a_{2} X+a_{12} c X+a_{1} a_{12} X^{2}-a_{11} a_{2} X^{2}+a_{1}^{2} Y-a_{11} c Y+a_{1} a_{12} Y^{2}-a_{11} a_{2} Y^{2}}{-a_{12} a_{2} X+a_{1} a_{22} X-a_{12}^{2} X^{2}+a_{11} a_{22} X^{2}-a_{1} a_{12} Y+a_{11} a_{2} Y-a_{12}^{2} Y^{2}+a_{11} a_{22} Y^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also substitute the above equalities to $g(x, y)=0$. Then we obtain $G_{1}[C](X, Y)=0$. By the definition of $G_{1}[C](x, y)$, we have $G_{1}[C](0,0)=0$. If $\Delta_{0}=-\left(a_{12}^{2}-a_{11} a_{22}\right)=0$, then the degree of $G_{1}[C]$ is three. Here, the $(i, j)$ cofactor of $\Delta$ is denoted by $D_{i j}$. Then we have

$$
\Delta=a_{1} D_{31}+a_{2} D_{32}+c D_{33} \text { and } \Delta_{0}=D_{33} .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
G_{1}[C](x, y)=-D_{33}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+2 D_{31}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) x+2 D_{32}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) y-D_{11} x^{2}-2 D_{12} x y-D_{22} y^{2} .
$$

If the degree of $G_{1}[C]$ is two, then we have $D_{31}=D_{32}=D_{33}=0$, so that $\Delta=0$. This contradicts to the assumption that $C$ is irreducible.

We now consider the anti-pedal $\mathrm{APe}_{C}$ of C (i.e, the inversion image of $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$ ). By definition $\Psi(x, y)=\left(x /\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), y /\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right)=(X, Y)$, so that we have $(x, y)=\left(X /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right), X /\left(X^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.Y^{2}\right)$ for $(x, y) \neq(0,0),(X, Y) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}[C] \circ \Psi^{-1}(X, Y)=\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{22} c\right) X^{2}+\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{11} c\right) Y^{2}+2\left(a_{12} c-a_{1} a_{2}\right) X Y \\
& \quad+2\left(a_{12} a_{2}-a_{1} a_{22}\right) X+2\left(a_{1} a_{12}-a_{11} a_{2}\right) Y+a_{12}^{2}-a_{11} a_{22} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. With the same notations as above, we have

$$
\Psi\left(\mathrm{Pe}_{C} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \mid G_{2}[C](x, y)=0\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2}[C](x, y) & =\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{22} c\right) x^{2}+\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{11} c\right) y^{2}+2\left(a_{12} c-a_{1} a_{2}\right) x y \\
& +2\left(a_{12} a_{2}-a_{1} a_{22}\right) x+2\left(a_{1} a_{12}-a_{11} a_{2}\right) y+a_{12}^{2}-a_{11} a_{22} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the inversion $\Psi$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, the anti-pedal of $C$ is defined by $\Psi\left(\mathrm{Pe}_{C} \backslash\right.$ $\{(0,0)\})$. However, we can generalize the definition of the anti-pedal of the quadratic curve $C$ on the hole plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We define $\mathrm{APe}_{C}=\mathscr{I}\left(\mathrm{Pe}_{C}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid G_{2}[C](x, y)=0\right\}$, which is called the anti-pedal of the quadratic curve $C$. The basic invariants of the quadratic curve $G_{2}[C](x, y)=0$ is denoted by $\widehat{\Delta}_{0}, \widehat{\Delta}$. By straight forward calculations, we have

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{0}=c \Delta, \widehat{\Delta}=-\Delta^{2} .
$$

Thus we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.4. If $C$ is an irreducible quadratic curve, then the anti-pedal $\mathrm{Ape}_{C}$ of $C$ is an irreducible quadratic curve. Moreover, we have the following assertions:
(1) If the origin is an isolated point of $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$, then $\mathrm{Ape}_{C}$ is an ellipse.
(2) The anti-pedal $\mathrm{Ape}_{C}$ is a parabola if and only if $\{(0,0)\} \in C$.

Proof. By the assumption that $C$ is irreducible, $\Delta \neq 0$, so that $\widehat{\Delta}=-\Delta^{2} \neq 0$. This means that $\mathrm{Ape}_{C}$ is irreducible. If the origin is an isolated point of the pedal $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$, the other irreducible components do not pass through the origin. Therefore, the inversion image $\mathrm{Ape}_{C}=\Psi\left(\mathrm{Pe}_{C}\right)$ is a compact irreducible quadratic curve. This means that it is an ellipse. Moreover, $\{(0,0)\} \in C$ if and only if $c=0$, which means that $\widehat{\Delta}_{0}=0$. The last condition is equivalent to that Ape $_{C}$ is a parabola. This completes the proof.

On the other hand, we consider the singularities of the pedal of a quadratic curve. Since an irreducible quadratic curve has no inflection points, a singularity of the pedal $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$ exists if and only if the curve $C$ passes through the origin (cf. Proposition 2.1). By Proposition 3.4, $\{(0,0)\} \in C$ if and only if the anti-pedal $\mathrm{APe}_{C}$ is a parabola. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Let $C$ be an irreducible quadratic curve. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) the quadratic curve $C$ passes through the origin,
(2) the pedal $\mathrm{Pe}_{C}$ of $C$ has a singular point,
(3) the anti-pedal $\mathrm{APe}_{C}$ is a parabola.

Moreover, if we substitute the relation $(x, y)=\left(X /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right), Y /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)\right.$ for $(X, Y) \neq$ $0,(x, y) \neq 0$ to $g(x, y)=0$, then we have

$$
c\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)^{2}+2 a_{1} X\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)+2 a_{2} Y\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)+a_{11} X^{2}+2 a_{12} X Y+a_{22} Y^{2}=0
$$

We consider a polynomial of degree four defined by

$$
G_{3}[C](x, y)=c\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+2 a_{1} x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+2 a_{2} y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+a_{11} x^{2}+2 a_{12} x y+a_{22} y^{2} .
$$

Then we have a curve defined by $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)=\left\{(x, y) \mid G_{3}[C](x, y)=0\right\}$. If $c=0$, then $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is a cubic curve. The condition $c=0$ means that $C$ passes through the origin. If $c=a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, then $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is a quadratic curve. However, $c=a_{1}=a_{2}=0$ implies $\Delta=0$, this means that $C$ is not irreducible. Therefore, $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is a cubic or quartic curve. By the above arguments, $\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\}) \subset V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$. Since $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is a cubic or quartic curve, it has singularities generally. Since $C$ is an irreducible quadratic curve, there are no singular points on $C$. Moreover, $\Psi$ is a diffeomorphism, so that $\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\})$ is non-singular in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. Therefore, the singular point of $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is the origin. Since $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\})}=\mathrm{APe}_{C \backslash\{(0,0)\}}$, $\operatorname{Pr}_{\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\})} \subset V\left(G_{2}[C]\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid G_{2}[C](x, y)=0\right\}$.

On the other hand, we need some general properties of algebraic curves. We consider algebraic curves whose primitives are irreducible quadratic curves. Let

$$
F(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

be a real polynomial of degree $n$. We substitute $x=X /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right), y=Y /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)$, so that

$$
F\left(\frac{X}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}, \frac{Y}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{i j}\left(\frac{X}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}\right)^{i}\left(\frac{Y}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}\right)^{j}
$$

Therefore $F\left(\frac{X}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}, \frac{Y}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}\right)=0$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{i j} X^{i} Y^{j}\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)^{n-(i+j)}=0
$$

We set

$$
\widetilde{F}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n-(i+j)} .
$$

For an algebraic curve $\mathscr{C}=\{(x, y) \mid F(x, y)=0\}$, the inversion of $\mathscr{C}$ is $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})=\{(x, y) \mid \widetilde{F}(x, y)=$ $0\}$. By the converse construction, we have $\widetilde{\widetilde{F}}(x, y)=F(x, y)$, so that $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C}))=\mathscr{C}$. With this notation, we have $\mathscr{I}(C)=V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ for an irreducible quadratic curve $C$. For any nonisolated point $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ of the irreducible component of $\mathscr{C}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and an analytic mapping $\gamma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\gamma(0)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ (cf. [11, Lemma 3.3]). It follows that if an irreducible component of an algebraic curve is non-isolated, then it is a frontal set. Moreover, an isolated point is a front set by definition. Therefore, any algebraic curve is a frontal set. On the other hand, for an algebraic curve $\mathscr{C}$, we define $\mathscr{C}^{*}$ by

$$
\mathscr{C}^{*}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathscr{C} \mid(x, y) \text { satisfies the condition }\left(^{*}\right)\right\} .
$$

We remark that $(0,0) \notin \mathscr{C}^{*}$. Then $\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)$ is well-defined. Since $\Psi$ is a conformal diffeomorphism, $\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)$ is a frontal and satisfy the condition (*). Therefore, $\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{C}^{*}}, \mathcal{A P} e_{\mathscr{C}^{*}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P} e_{\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)}$ are welldefined. However, $\mathscr{I}(C)$ has singularities generally, so that we have to extend the above notions for singular curves. In $\S 2$, we considered the class of frontals in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and generalized all the above notions for frontals. Here, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let $C$ be an irreducible quadratic curve. Then $\mathscr{I}(C)$ is a cubic or quartic curve and each irreducible component is a front set. Moreover, we have the following assertions:
(1) If $C$ is an ellipse, the origin is an isolated point of the inversion $\mathscr{I}(C)$ of $C$ such that $\mathscr{I}(C) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is regular as a parametrized curve.
(2) If $C$ is a hyperbola, the inversion $\mathscr{I}(C)$ of $C$ is regular as a parametrized curve and it has a node at the origin as a quartic curve. The tangent directions of the curve at the node are equal to the asymptotic directions of the hyperbola $C$.
(3) If $C$ is a parabola, the inversion $\mathscr{I}(C)$ of $C$ has the ordinary cusp at the origin such that $\mathscr{I}(C) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is regular as a parametrized curve. The tangent direction of the curve at the cusp is equal to the direction of the axis of the parabola $C$.

Proof. By definition $\mathscr{I}(C)$ is a cubic or quartic curve.
Since the notion of frontals is defined for parametrized curve, we consider a parametrization of $C$. Suppose that $C$ is a parabola. Then any parabola can be parametrized by

$$
{ }^{t} \boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{t}{a t^{2}}+\binom{b_{1}}{b_{2}}
$$

for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi), a \neq 0, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(\cos \theta t-a \sin \theta t^{2}+b_{1}, \sin \theta t+a \cos \theta t^{2}+b_{2}\right)
$$

Since we need information on points at infinity, we extend the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to the projective plane $P \mathbb{R}^{2}$. For the homogeneous coordinates $[X: Y: Z] \in P \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we identify the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to the affine plane defined by $Z \neq 0$ (i.e. $\mathbb{R}^{2}=\{[X: Y: Z] \mid Z \neq 0\}$ ) Then we have $(x, y)=\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right)$. We consider the inversion $\Psi(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)$. Since $\Psi\left(\frac{X}{Z}, \frac{Y}{Z}\right)=\left(\frac{Z X}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}, \frac{Z Y}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}\right)$, we define $\widetilde{\Psi}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow P \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\widetilde{\Psi}([X: Y: Z])=\left[Z X: Z Y: X^{2}+Y^{2}\right]
$$

which is a projective version of the inversion. We substitute $t=\frac{X}{Z}$ in the parabola $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\cos \theta \frac{X}{Z}-a \sin \theta \frac{X^{2}}{Z^{2}}+b_{1}: \sin \theta \frac{X}{Z}+a \cos \theta \frac{X^{2}}{Z^{2}}+b_{2}: 1\right]} \\
& =\left[\cos \theta Z X-a \sin \theta X^{2}+b_{1} Z^{2}: \sin \theta Z X+a \cos \theta X^{2}+b_{2} Z^{2}: Z^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $\Gamma: P \mathbb{R}^{1} \longrightarrow P \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\Gamma([X: Z])=\left[\cos \theta Z X-a \sin \theta X^{2}+b_{1} Z^{2}: \sin \theta Z X+a \cos \theta X^{2}+b_{2} Z^{2}: Z^{2}\right]
$$

which gives a parametrization of a parabola in the projective plane $P \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Therefore, we have $\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([X: Z])=\left[\cos \theta Z^{3} X-a \sin \theta Z^{2} X^{2}+b_{1} Z^{4}: \sin \theta Z^{3} X+a \cos \theta Z^{2} X^{2}+b_{2} Z^{4}: F(X, Z)\right]$, where

$$
F(X, Z)=\left(\cos \theta Z X-a \sin \theta X^{2}+b_{1} Z^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sin \theta Z X+a \cos \theta X^{2}+b_{2} Z^{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, if $X \neq 0$, then for $[X: Z]=[1: Z / X]$, we have
$\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma\left(\left[1: \frac{Z}{X}\right]\right)=\left[\cos \theta \frac{Z^{3}}{X^{3}}-a \sin \theta \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}+b_{1} \frac{Z^{4}}{X^{4}}: \sin \theta \frac{Z^{3}}{X^{3}}+a \cos \theta \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}+b_{2} \frac{Z^{4}}{X^{4}}: F\left(1, \frac{Z}{X}\right)\right]$.

We substitute $\tau=Z / X$, so that

$$
\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([1: \tau])=\left[\cos \theta \tau^{3}-a \sin \theta \tau^{2}+b_{1} \tau^{4}: \sin \theta \tau^{3}+a \cos \theta \tau^{2}+b_{2} \tau^{4}: f(\tau)\right]
$$

where $f(\tau)=F(1, \tau)$. Since

$$
f(\tau)=\left(\cos \theta \tau-a \sin \theta+b_{1} \tau^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sin \theta \tau+a \cos \theta+b_{2} \tau^{2}\right)^{2},
$$

we have $f(0)=a^{2} \neq 0$ and $\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([1: 0])=\left[0: 0: a^{2}\right]=[0: 0: 1]$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, we have $f(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\tau \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, the inversion of the parabola $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \subset P \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau)=\left(\frac{\cos \theta \tau^{3}-a \sin \theta \tau^{2}+b_{1} \tau^{4}}{f(\tau)}, \frac{\sin \theta \tau^{3}+a \cos \theta \tau^{2}+b_{2} \tau^{4}}{f(\tau)}\right)
$$

If we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(\tau)=\left(3 \cos \theta \tau-2 a \sin \theta+4 b_{1} \tau^{2}\right) f(\tau)-\left(\cos \theta \tau^{2}-a \sin \theta \tau+b_{1} \tau^{3}\right) \frac{d f}{d \tau}(\tau), \\
& g_{2}(\tau)=\left(3 \sin \theta \tau+2 a \cos \theta+4 b_{2} \tau^{2}\right) f(\tau)-\left(\sin \theta \tau^{2}+a \cos \theta \tau+b_{2} \tau^{3}\right) \frac{d f}{d \tau}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

then $d \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{d \tau}(\tau)=\tau \boldsymbol{\mu}(\tau)$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\tau)=\frac{1}{f(\tau)^{2}}\left(g_{1}(\tau), g_{2}(\tau)\right)$. Since

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}(0)=\left(\frac{-2 a \sin \theta}{f(0)}, \frac{2 a \cos \theta}{f(0)}\right)=\frac{2}{a}(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) \neq(0,0)
$$

$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\tau)$ gives a tangent direction of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau)$ around $\tau=0$. This means that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau)$ is a frontal. Since $\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\tau)=\tau \boldsymbol{\mu}(\tau)$, we have $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\tau)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(\tau)+\tau \dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\tau)$. Therefore, $\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(0)=\mathbf{0}$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(0)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(0) \neq \mathbf{0}$. By straight forward calculations, we have

$$
\dot{g}_{1}(0)=3 \cos \theta f(0)=3 a^{2} \cos \theta \dot{g}_{2}(0)=3 \sin \theta f(0)=3 a^{2} \sin \theta
$$

and

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(0)=\frac{1}{f(0)^{2}}\left(\dot{g}_{1}(0), \dot{g}_{2}(0)\right)=\frac{3}{a^{2}}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) .
$$

Since $\dddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\tau)=2 \dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\tau)+\tau \ddot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\tau)$, we have

$$
|\ddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(0), \dddot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(0)|=|\boldsymbol{\mu}(0), \dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(0)|=2\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{2}{a} \sin \theta & \frac{2}{a} \cos \theta \\
\frac{3}{a^{2}} \cos \theta & \frac{3}{a^{2}} \sin \theta
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{12}{a^{3}} \neq 0 .
$$

This means that $\sigma$ is an ordinary cusp at $\tau=0$ such that the tangent direction of the cusp is the direction of axis of the parabola. Since $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is a diffeomorphism and $C$ is a regular curve, all points of $\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\}) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ are non-singular. Moreover, we cannot define $\Psi$ at the origin. This means that $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ has only the ordinary cusp at the origin as the singularities, so that $\Psi(C \backslash\{(0,0)\})$ is a front.

On the other hand, suppose that $C$ is a hyperbola. Then we have the normal form of a hyperbola:

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}-\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}=-1,(a, b>0)
$$

then $y= \pm \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{x^{2}+a^{2}}$. Thus any hyperbola can be parametrized by

$$
{ }^{t} \boldsymbol{\gamma}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{t}{ \pm \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{t^{2}+a^{2}}}+\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}
$$

for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi), a, b>0, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have

$$
\gamma(t)=\left(\cos \theta t \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{t^{2}+a^{2}}+c_{1}, \sin \theta t \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{t^{2}+a^{2}}+c_{2}\right) .
$$

We also consider in the projective plane $P \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then we substitute $t=\frac{X}{Z}$ to the hyperbola $\gamma$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\cos \theta \frac{X}{Z} \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\frac{X^{2}}{Z^{2}}+a^{2}}+c_{1}: \sin \theta \frac{X}{Z} \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\frac{X^{2}}{Z^{2}}+a^{2}}+c_{2}: 1\right]} \\
& =\left[\cos \theta X \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{1} Z: \sin \theta X \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{2} Z: Z\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $\Gamma: P \mathbb{R}^{1} \longrightarrow P \mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\Gamma([X: Z])=\left[\cos \theta X \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{1} Z: \sin \theta X \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{2} Z: Z\right]
$$

which gives a parametrization of a hyperbola in the projective plane $P \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus, $\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([X: Z])$ is equal to

$$
\left[\cos \theta Z X \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} Z \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{1} Z^{2}: \sin \theta Z X \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} Z \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{2} Z^{2}: F(X, Z)\right]
$$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(X, Z)= & \left(\cos \theta X \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{1} Z\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\sin \theta X \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{X^{2}+a^{2} Z^{2}}+c_{2} Z\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $X \neq 0$, then for $[X: Z]=[1: Z / X]$, then $\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma\left(\left[1: \frac{Z}{X}\right]\right)$ is

$$
\left[\cos \theta \frac{Z}{X} \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \frac{Z}{X} \sqrt{1+a^{2} \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}}+c_{1} \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}: \sin \theta \frac{Z}{X} \pm \frac{b}{a} \frac{Z}{X} \sqrt{1+a^{2} \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}}+c_{2} \frac{Z^{2}}{X^{2}}: F\left(1, \frac{Z}{X}\right)\right] .
$$

We substitute $\tau=Z / X$, so that
$\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([1: \tau])=\left[\cos \theta \tau \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \tau \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{1} \tau^{2}: \sin \theta \tau \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \tau \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{2} \tau^{2}: f(\tau)\right]$, where $f(\tau)=F(1, \tau)$. Since

$$
f(\tau)=\left(\cos \theta \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{1} \tau\right)^{2}+\left(\sin \theta \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{2} \tau\right)^{2}
$$

we can show that $f(0) \neq 0$ and $\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma([1: 0])=[0: 0: f(0)]=[0: 0: 1]$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, we have $f(\tau) \neq 0$ for $\tau \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, the inversion of the hyperbola $\sigma=\widetilde{\Psi} \circ \Gamma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \subset P \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau)=\left(\frac{\cos \theta \tau \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a} \tau \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{1} \tau^{2}}{f(\tau)}, \frac{\sin \theta \tau \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a} \tau \sqrt{1+a^{2} \tau^{2}}+c_{2} \tau^{2}}{f(\tau)}\right)
$$

We can show that

$$
d \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{d \tau}(\tau)=\frac{1}{f(0)^{2}}\left(\cos \theta \mp \sin \theta \frac{b}{a}, \sin \theta \pm \cos \theta \frac{b}{a}\right) \neq(0,0)
$$

Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau)$ is regular at $\tau=0$. Since $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is non-singular at $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ is a front. Moreover, $(a \cos \theta \mp \sin \theta b, a \sin \theta \pm \cos \theta b)$ is the asymptotic direction of the hyperbola $\gamma(t)$. This means that the origin is a node of $V\left(G_{3}[C]\right)$ such that the tangent directions are equal to the asymptotic directions of the hyperbola $C$.

We now apply the above theorem.
Theorem 3.7. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $C=\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{C}^{*}}$ is an irreducible quadratic curve. Then we have the following assertions:
(1) The algebraic curve $\mathscr{C}$ is a quartic curve or a cubic curve and a front such that $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is an irreducible quadratic curve. Moreover, if the equation of $C$ is

$$
a_{11} x^{2}+a_{22} y^{2}+2 a_{12} x y+2 a_{1} x+2 a_{2} y+c=0
$$

then the equation of $\mathscr{C}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{12}^{2}-a_{11} a_{22}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+2\left(a_{12} a_{2}-a_{1} a_{22}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) x \\
& \quad+2\left(a_{1} a_{12}-a_{2} a_{11}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) y+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{22} c\right) x^{2}+2\left(a_{12} c-a_{1} a_{2}\right) x y+\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{11} c\right) y^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $C$ is a parabola, then $\mathscr{C}$ is a cubic curve.
(2) If $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is an ellipse, the origin is an isolated point of $\mathscr{C}$. Moreover, $\mathscr{C} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is a regular curve.
(3) If $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is a hyperbola, $\mathscr{C}$ is regular as a parametrized curve and it has only one node at the origin as a quartic curve. Moreover, the tangent directions of the curve at the node are equal to the asymptotic directions of the hyperbola $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$.
(4) If $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is a parabola, $\mathscr{C}$ has the ordinary cusp at the origin and other part are non-singular. Moreover, the tangent direction of the curve at the cusp is equal to the direction of the axis of the parabola $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$.

Proof. Since $C=\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{C}^{*}}=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{P} e_{\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)}=\Psi\left(\mathcal{P} e_{\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A P} e_{C}=\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{I}(C)}=\mathcal{P} r_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{C}^{*}}\right)}=\mathcal{P} r_{\mathcal{P} e_{\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)}}=\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right) \subset \mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C}),
$$

Since $C$ is an irreducible quadratic curve, Proposition 3.4 asserts that $\overline{\Psi\left(\mathscr{C}^{*}\right)}=\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is an irreducible quadratic curve. By Theorem 3.6, $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C}))$ is a quartic curve and a front. This completes assertion (1). The other assertions also follow from the fact $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C}))$ and Theorem 3.6. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.8. In the above theorem, the converse assertion also holds. For an irreducible quadratic curve $C$, the pedal $\mathscr{C}=\mathcal{P} e_{C}$ is the cubic or quartic curve given in the above theorem.

## 4 Examples

In this section we give some examples of quartic curves and cubic curves whose primitives are quadratic curves.

Example 4.1. We consider an ellipse $C_{1}$ (Fig. 1) defined by $4 x^{2}+3 y^{2}-2 x y-6 x-4 y-6=0$. In this case the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ (Fig. 2) is defined by

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-2 x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 x^{2}-3 y^{2}=0 .
$$

Then the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{1}\right)$ (Fig.3) of $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ is an ellipse defined by $2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+2 x+2 y-1=0$. By Theorem 3.7, the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ contains the origin as an isolated point. Another component is a regular curve. The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 4.


Fig. 1: An ellipse $C_{1}$ Fig. 2: The quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{1}$


Fig. 3: The ellipse $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{1}\right) \quad$ Fig. 4 : Altogether

Example 4.2. We consider a parabola $C_{2}$ (Fig. 5) defined by $x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y+4 x+6 y+14=0$. In this case the cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ (Fig. 6) is defined by

$$
2 x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+2 y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+x^{2}+8 x y+2 y^{2}=0
$$

Then the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{2}\right)$ (Fig. 7) of $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ is a hyperbola defined by $x^{2}+2 y^{2}+8 x y+2 x+2 y=0$. By Theorem 3.7, the cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ has only one node at the origin. The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 8.


Fig. 5 : A parabola $C_{2}$ Fig. 6 : The cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_{2}$


Fig. 7: The hyperbola $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{2}\right)$ Fig. 8: Altogether
Example 4.3. We consider a hyperbola $C_{3}$ (Fig. 9) defined by $3 x^{2}-2 x y+2 x-2 y=0$. In this case the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{3}$ (Fig. 10) is defined by

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}+2 x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+4 y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+x^{2}+2 x y+y^{2}=0 .
$$

Then the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{3}\right)$ (Fig. 11) of $\mathscr{C}_{3}$ is a parabola defined by $x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x y+2 x+4 y+1=0$. By Theorem 3.7, the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{3}$ has only one singular point (i.e. the ordinary cusp) at the origin. The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 12.


Fig. 9: A hyperbola $C_{3}$ Fig. 10: The quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{3}$


Fig. 11: The parabola $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{3}\right)$


Fig. 12 : Altogether

## 5 Limaçons

In this section we consider limaçons. In the classical contexts, a limaçon is a plane curve parametrized by

$$
x=(r+a \cos \theta) \cos \theta, y=(r+a \cos \theta) \sin \theta,
$$

which is usually called a limaçon of Pascal. We now consider a circle defined by $(x-a)^{2}+$ $(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}$, whose parameterization is $\gamma(\theta)=(r \cos \theta+a, r \sin \theta+b)$. Then we have $\boldsymbol{t}(\theta)=$ $(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}(\theta)=(-\cos \theta,-\sin \theta)$. Therefore, $\langle\gamma(\theta), \boldsymbol{n}(\theta)\rangle=-(r+a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta)$. Thus the pedal of the circle is

$$
\mathrm{Pe}_{\gamma}(\theta)=((r+a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta) \cos \theta,(r+a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta) \sin \theta) .
$$

If $(a, b)=(a, 0)$, then $\operatorname{Pe}_{\gamma}(\theta)$ is the limaçon of Pascal. On the other hand, there exists $\phi \in[0,2 \pi)$ such that $\cos \phi=a / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}, \sin \phi=b / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$. Then we consider the rotation defined by

$$
R(\phi)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\
\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
R(\phi)\binom{r \cos \theta+a}{r \sin \theta+b}=\binom{r \cos (\theta+\phi)+\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}}{r \sin (\theta+\phi)} .
$$

If we set $\Theta=\theta+\phi, A=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$, then $\gamma_{\phi}(\Theta)=(r \cos \Theta+A, r \sin \Theta)$ is a circle with the center $(A, 0)$. Therefore, the pedal of $\gamma_{\phi}(\Theta)$ is the limaçon of Pascal:

$$
x=(r+A \cos \Theta) \cos \Theta, y=(r+A \cos \Theta) \sin \Theta .
$$

By definition, we have $R(\phi) \mathrm{Pe}_{\gamma}=\mathrm{Pe}_{\gamma_{\phi}}$. This means that the pedal of $\gamma$ is given by the rotation of the limaçon of Pascal. Therefore, a (generalized) limaçon is defined to be

$$
((r+a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta) \cos \theta,(r+a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta) \sin \theta)
$$

which is the pedal of a circle. By the arguments in $\S 3$, the implicit equation of the limaçon has the following form:

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-2(a x+b y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(r^{2}-b^{2}\right) y^{2}-2 a b x y+2(a x+b y)-1=0
$$

as the pedal of the circle $(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}$. We remark that limaçons are always quartic curves and fronts. We have the following theorem as a corollary of Theorems 3.1 and 3.7.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $C=\mathcal{P} r_{\mathscr{C} *}$ is a circle. Then we have the following assertions:
(1) The algebraic curve $\mathscr{C}$ is a limaçon such that $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is an irreducible quadratic curve. Moreover, if the equation of $C$ is $(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}$, then the equation of $\mathscr{C}$ is

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-2(a x+b y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(b^{2}-r^{2}\right) y^{2}+2 a b x y=0
$$

and the equation of the inversion $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is

$$
\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(r^{2}-b^{2}\right) y^{2}-2 a b x y+2(a x+b y)-1=0 .
$$

(2) If $a^{2}+b^{2}-r^{2}=0$, then $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is a parabola and the limaçon $\mathscr{C}$ has an ordinary cusp at the origin.
(3) If $a^{2}+b^{2}-r^{2}<0$, then $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is an ellipse and the limaçon $\mathscr{C}$ has an isolated point at the origin and other points are non-singular.
(4) If $a^{2}+b^{2}-r^{2}>0$, then $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$ is a hyperbola and the limaçon $\mathscr{C}$ has a node at the origin and any point is non-singular as a front.

Proof. By assertion (1) of Theorem 3.7, assertion (1) holds. By the equation of $\mathscr{I}(\mathscr{C})$, we have $\Delta_{0}=r^{2}\left(r^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta=-r^{4}$. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.7, the other assertions hold. This completes the proof.

Example 5.2. We give some examples of limaçons.
(1) We consider a circle $C_{4}$ (Fig. 13) defined by $(x-3)^{2}+y^{2}=9$. In this case the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{4}$ (i.e. the limaçon; Fig. 14) is defined by

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-6 x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-9 y^{2}=0 .
$$

Then the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{4}\right)$ (Fig. 15) of $\mathscr{C}_{4}$ is a a parabola defined by $9 y^{2}+6 x-1=0$. The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 16.


Fig. 13 : The circle $C_{4}$ Fig. 14 : The limaçon $\mathscr{C}_{4}$


Fig. 15 : The parabola $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{4}\right)$ Fig. 16 : Altogether
(2) We consider a circle $C_{5}$ (Fig. 17) defined by $(x-1)^{2}+(y-2)^{2}=7$. In this case the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{5}$ (i.e. the limaçon; Fig. 18)) is defined by

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-(2 x+4 y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-6 x^{2}-3 y^{2}+4 x y=0 .
$$

Since $a^{2}+b^{2}-r^{2}=-2<0$, the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{5}\right)$ (Fig. 19) of $\mathscr{C}_{5}$ is a an ellipse defined by

$$
6 x^{2}+3 y^{2}-4 x y+2 x+4 y-1=0
$$

The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 20.


Fig. 17: The circle $C_{5}$ Fig. 18: The limaçon $\mathscr{C}_{5}$


Fig. 19 : The parabola $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{5}\right)$ Fig. 20 : Altogether
(3) We consider a circle $C_{6}$ (Fig. 21) defined by $(x-1)^{2}+(y-2)^{2}=1$. In this case the quartic curve $\mathscr{C}_{6}$ (i.e. the limaçon; Fig. 22) is defined by

$$
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}-(2 x+4 y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+3 y^{2}+4 x y=0
$$

Since $a^{2}+b^{2}-r^{2}=4>0$, the inversion $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{6}\right)$ (Fig. 23) of $\mathscr{C}_{6}$ is a a hyperbola defined by

$$
3 y^{2}+4 x y-2 x-4 y+1=0
$$

The relation of these curves is depicted in Fig. 24.


Fig. 21: The circle $C_{6}$ Fig. 22 : The limaçon $\mathscr{C}_{6}$


Fig. 23 : The parabola $\mathscr{I}\left(\mathscr{C}_{6}\right)$ Fig. 24 : Altogether
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