

GENERALIZED FRAME OPERATOR, LOWER SEMI-FRAMES AND SEQUENCES OF TRANSLATES

ROSARIO CORSO

ABSTRACT. Given an arbitrary sequence of elements $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, the operator T_ξ is defined as the operator associated to the sesquilinear form $\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle$, for $f, g \in \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle h, \xi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty\}$. This operator is in general different from the classical frame operator but possesses some remarkable properties. For instance, T_ξ is always self-adjoint in regards to a particular space, unconditionally defined and, when ξ is a lower semi-frame, T_ξ gives a simple expression of a dual of ξ . The operator T_ξ and lower semi-frames are studied in the context of sequences of integer translates of a function of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, an explicit expression of T_ξ is given in this context and a characterization of sequences of integer translates which are lower semi-frames is proved.

KEYWORDS: lower semi-frames, sesquilinear forms, associated operators, duality, sequences of translates

MSC (2010): 42C15, 47A07, 42C40, 46E30.

1. INTRODUCTION

A frame of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a sequence of elements $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, \xi_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1.1)$$

for some $A, B > 0$ (called *frame bounds*). If $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a frame, then the operator $S_\xi f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n$ is well-defined for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, bounded, bijective and it is called the *frame operator* to $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. In addition, also $\{S_\xi^{-1} \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a frame (called the *canonical dual frame* of \mathcal{H}) and the reconstruction formulas

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle S_\xi^{-1} \xi_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, S_\xi^{-1} \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1.2)$$

hold. Because of the formulas (1.2) frames are generalizations of orthonormal bases and they find many applications, for instance, in signal processing [9, 35], time-frequency analysis [20], wavelets [17], acoustics [6], quantum physics [18].

For some sequences $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ only one inequality in (1.1) is satisfied, but one still has reconstruction formula involving $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We say that $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *lower semi-frame* if the first inequality holds; conversely, we say that $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *Bessel sequence* if the second inequality holds. In the latter case, one can define again S_ξ on the whole space and, with an abuse of terminology, S_ξ is called again the frame operator of $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Two other standard (bounded) operators associated to a Bessel sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are

the *analysis operator* $C_\xi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ defined as $C_\xi f = \{\langle f, \xi_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the *synthesis operator* $D_\xi : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by $D_\xi \{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \xi_n$, where $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is the classical Hilbert space of square summable complex sequences indexed by \mathbb{N} . One has $C_\xi = D_\xi^*$ (then also $D_\xi = C_\xi^*$) and $S_\xi = D_\xi C_\xi$.

The analysis, synthesis and frame operators can be defined for a generic sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the same actions but with appropriate domains [3]. More precisely, $C_\xi : \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is defined on $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, \xi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty\}$, $D_\xi : \mathcal{D}(D_\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined on $\mathcal{D}(D_\xi) = \{\{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \xi_n \text{ is convergent in } \mathcal{H}\}$ and $S_\xi : \mathcal{D}(S_\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined on $\mathcal{D}(S_\xi) = \{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n \text{ is convergent in } \mathcal{H}\}$. Moreover, ξ is a Bessel sequence if and only if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(D_\xi) = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(S_\xi) = \mathcal{H}$.

Recently, in [16] a new operator T_ξ associated to a sequence ξ with $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ dense have been introduced and studied. For many aspects, that we are going to discuss, it should be considered as the ‘correct’ frame operator in the unbounded context (i.e. when ξ is not Bessel). The operator T_ξ is defined with the following argument. Assume that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense. Then the non-negative sesquilinear form

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi),$$

is closed and densely defined [16]. By Kato’s second representation theorem for sesquilinear forms [27, Ch. VI, Th. 2.23] there exists a positive self-adjoint operator T_ξ such that $\mathcal{D}(T_\xi^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ (then $\mathcal{D}(T_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$) and

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \langle T_\xi f, g \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi), g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ is the subspace of all $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ such that the linear functional

$$g \rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \tag{1.3}$$

is bounded (with respect to the norm of \mathcal{H}). Actually, $T_\xi = C_\xi^* C_\xi$. We say that T_ξ is the *generalized frame operator* of ξ . This terminology is motivated by the fact that $T_\xi = S_\xi$ for Bessel sequences ξ . In general, if ξ is a sequence such that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, then $S_\xi \subseteq T_\xi$ and the inclusion may be strict even for lower semi-frames ([16, Examples 1, 2]).

In [16, Remark 1] a reconstruction formula for a lower semi-frame ξ with $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ dense was found inverting T_ξ as follows

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle T_\xi^{-1} \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi). \tag{1.4}$$

and $\{T_\xi^{-1} \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Bessel sequence of \mathcal{H} (as remarked in [16], under the conditions above the range of T_ξ is \mathcal{H} , so $T_\xi^{-1} \xi_n$ is well-defined for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Note the similarity between (1.4) and the first formula in (1.2). This is one of the reasons for which it is convenient to consider T_ξ rather than S_ξ . Other motivations are that T_ξ is self-adjoint, whereas S_ξ could even be not closed (Example 6.11 below). Finally, T_ξ is unconditionally defined, i.e. it does not change if we consider a different ordering of the sequence, while S_ξ may be conditionally convergent (Example 6.12).

This paper solves some questions that arose after [16]. We present them below.

Question 1. *Kato's representation theorem requires that the sesquilinear form is densely defined. How can we then extend the considerations above for a sequence ξ such that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is not dense?*

The question will be treated in Section 4. The idea is simply to restrict the problem to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}$ of $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ and apply then Kato's theorem to obtain an operator $T_\xi : \mathcal{D}(T_\xi) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}$. Formula (1.4) becomes

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi). \quad (1.5)$$

where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}$. Again $\{T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Bessel sequence of \mathcal{H} .

Question 2. *A reconstruction formula for lower semi-frames ξ was already established by Casazza and al. in [12] even if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is not dense. In particular, Proposition 3.4 of [12] states that given a lower semi-frame ξ of \mathcal{H} there exists a Bessel sequence η of \mathcal{H} such that*

$$f = \sum_{n \in I} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \eta_n, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi). \quad (1.6)$$

This formula resembles very much (1.5), so we ask: is there any connection between (1.5) and (1.6)?

We will prove in Section 4 that $\{T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the Bessel sequence η constructed in [12] are in fact equal.

Question 3. *From (1.3) we may ask if, when $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ the linear functional $g \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle$ is defined and bounded in \mathcal{H} , not only in $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$. In other words, if we define the operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(W_\xi) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n \text{ is weakly convergent in } \mathcal{H} \right\} \\ W_\xi f &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n \text{ in weak sense for } f \in \mathcal{D}(W_\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

is it true that $T_\xi = W_\xi$?

The answer is negative and it will be proven with a counterexample in Section 5. In conclusion, the operator T_ξ may neither coincide with S_ξ nor with W_ξ .

The rest of the paper concerns applications to the concrete sequences of integer translates $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a) := \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of a function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\varphi_n(x) := \varphi(x - na)$ for some $a > 0$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Sequences of translates are the elementary blocks of more complex sequences like Gabor systems [14, 20] and wavelets [17], and they are related also to the sampling theory [23, 35].

First of all, we determine the generalized frame operator T_φ of $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ in Theorem 6.2. The expression of T_φ is known when $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence and it is called *Walnut representation* (see for instance [14, Theorem 10.2.1] and [25]). If $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is not a Bessel sequence, then we can again give a Walnut representation of T_φ , but of course its domain is a proper subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In the literature many descriptions of sequences $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ have been given in terms of the Gramian function $p_\varphi(\gamma) := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\frac{\gamma+n}{a})|^2$ for $\gamma \in [0, 1]$. We cite some examples from [14, 21, 22, 29]: $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence if and only if p_φ is bounded a.e. in $[0, 1]$; $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a frame for its closed span if and only if p_φ is bounded above and away from zero a.e. in the set $Z_\varphi := \{\gamma \in [0, 1] : p_\varphi(\gamma) \neq 0\}$, i.e. there exist $A, B > 0$ such that $A \leq p_\varphi(\gamma) \leq B$ for all $\gamma \in Z_\varphi$. Following this line, we prove in Theorem 6.3 that $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a lower semi-frame for its closed span if and only if p_φ is bounded away from zero a.e. in Z_φ and we find explicitly the dual in (1.4) which is a sequence of translates, too.

Some statements and examples involve *weighted exponentials sequences* $\mathcal{E}(g, b) := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $g \in L^2(0, 1)$ and $b > 0$, defined by $g_n(x) = g(x)e^{2\pi i n b x}$. By using the Fourier transform, $\mathcal{E}(g, b)$ can be actually considered as sequences of integer translates.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given an operator $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ between two Hilbert spaces we indicate by $N(T)$, $\mathcal{D}(T)$, $R(T)$, $\rho(T)$ and $\sigma(T)$ the kernel, the domain, the range, the resolvent set and the spectrum of T , respectively. When T is densely defined (i.e., $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is dense) we denote by T^* its adjoint. We write $T_1 \subseteq T_2$ for the operator extension.

The symbols $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ stand for the usual Hilbert spaces of complex sequences $\{c_n\}$ satisfying $\sum_n |c_n|^2 < \infty$. We will work also with the classical spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(0, 1)$. Throughout the paper \mathcal{H} indicates a separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The closure and the orthogonal complement of a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ are denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and \mathcal{M}^\perp , respectively.

We will need the following notions besides those of frames. For a sequence $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we write $\text{span}(\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ and $\overline{\text{span}}(\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ for its linear span and closed linear span, respectively. A sequence ξ is *complete* if its $\text{span}(\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , or equivalently, if the only $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle f, \xi_n \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is $f = 0$. Note that a (lower semi-) frame is complete.

We call ξ *minimal* if $\xi_k \notin \overline{\text{span}}(\{\xi_n\}_{n \neq k})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ or, equivalently, it admits a biorthogonal sequence in the sense of the next definition. Two sequences $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are said to be *biorthogonal* if $\langle \xi_n, \eta_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$, where $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

A sequence ξ is said to be a *Schauder basis* of \mathcal{H} if for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a unique complex sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \xi_n. \quad (2.1)$$

In addition, we say that ξ is an *unconditional* Schauder basis if the series (2.1) converges unconditionally (i.e. with respect to any ordering of elements) for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$. A Schauder basis is complete and minimal. Moreover, the coefficients $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (2.1) are given by $\{\langle f, \eta_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the (unique) sequence biorthogonal to ξ , that is also a Schauder basis of \mathcal{H} .

A *Riesz basis* ξ is a complete sequence satisfying for some $A, B > 0$

$$A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |c_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \xi_n \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |c_n|^2, \quad \forall \{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

A Riesz basis is in particular a Schauder basis and a frame.

The analysis, synthesis and frame operators C_ξ , D_ξ and S_ξ for a generic sequence have been introduced above. We write here their main properties.

Proposition 2.1 ([3, Proposition 3.3]). *Let ξ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} . The following statements hold.*

- (i) $C_\xi = D_\xi^*$ and C_ξ is closed.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, then $D_\xi \subseteq C_\xi^*$.
- (iii) D_ξ is closable if and only if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense.
- (iv) D_ξ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and $D_\xi = C_\xi^*$.
- (v) $S_\xi = D_\xi C_\xi$.

We will make use of the *pseudo-inverse* T^\dagger . Here $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ is a closed and densely defined operator between two Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ with $R(T)$ closed. We recall ([8, Lemma 1.1, Corollary 1.2]) that $T^\dagger : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ is the unique bounded operator such that

$$N(T^\dagger) = R(T)^\perp, \quad \overline{R(T^\dagger)} = N(T)^\perp, \quad TT^\dagger f = f, \quad \forall f \in R(T), \quad T^\dagger Tg = g, \quad \forall g \in N(T)^\perp.$$

Finally, we recall a definition related to sesquilinear form. Given a dense subspace $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a sesquilinear form $\Omega : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (i.e. a map which is linear in the first component and anti-linear in the second one), the operator T with

$$\mathcal{D}(T) = \{f \in \mathcal{D} : \exists h \in \mathcal{H}, \Omega(f, g) = \langle h, g \rangle \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{D}\} \quad (2.2)$$

and $Tf = h$, where h is as in (2.2), is called the *operator associated* to Ω . The density of \mathcal{D} ensures that T is well-defined and it is the greatest operator satisfying the representation

$$\Omega(f, g) = \langle Tf, g \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(T), g \in \mathcal{D}.$$

3. THE GENERALIZED FRAME OPERATOR

Definition 3.1. *Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} . The sesquilinear form associated to ξ is*

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi).$$

Clearly, Ω_ξ is nonnegative and it is defined on the largest possible domain of the type $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Moreover

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \langle C_\xi f, C_\xi g \rangle_2, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi). \quad (3.1)$$

It follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that Ω_ξ is unconditionally convergent, i.e. does not depend neither on the ordering of the sequence, nor the particular choice of the

index set \mathbb{N} (in other words, Ω_ξ does not change if a different index set is used for the elements of the sequence).

Since C_ξ is a closed operator, Ω_ξ is also a closed nonnegative form. We can think to Ω_ξ as a form in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\xi := \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}[|| \cdot ||]$ supported in $\overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}$ with the topology induced by \mathcal{H} . Under this assumption, Ω_ξ is densely defined and then we can talk about the operator T_ξ associated to Ω_ξ . In particular, $T_\xi : \mathcal{D}(T_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is an operator on \mathcal{H}_ξ defined as follows: $\mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ is the subspace consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ such that the linear functional

$$g \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle, \quad g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$$

is bounded (with respect to the norm of \mathcal{H}) and for $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ one has $T_\xi f = h$ where h is the unique element of \mathcal{H}_ξ satisfying $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle$ for all $g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$. Since Ω_ξ is unconditionally convergent, the operator T_ξ neither depends on the particular ordering of the sequence ξ nor the choice of the index set \mathbb{N} . We say then that T_ξ is *unconditionally defined*.

By Kato's second representation theorem [27, Ch. VI, Th. 2.23], T_ξ is positive and self-adjoint in the space \mathcal{H}_ξ , we have also that $\mathcal{D}(T_\xi^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ and

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \langle T_\xi^{1/2} f, T_\xi^{1/2} g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi),$$

where $T_\xi^{1/2} : \mathcal{D}(T_\xi^{1/2}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is the positive square-root of T_ξ . If we think C_ξ as operator $C_\xi : \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, then we can consider its adjoint, denoted by C_ξ^\times , $C_\xi^\times : \mathcal{D}(C_\xi^\times) \subseteq \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$, which is densely defined since C_ξ is closed¹. From (3.1) one can easily see that

$$T_\xi = C_\xi^\times C_\xi = |C_\xi|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

the square of the modulus of C_ξ .

If ξ is a Bessel sequence, then $T_\xi = S_\xi$, of course. If $R(S_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi$ (this is certainly true if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense), then $S_\xi \subseteq T_\xi$. Indeed for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(S_\xi)$ we have that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle = \langle S_\xi f, g \rangle$ is bounded for $g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$. However, in [16, Example 1] it was proved that S_ξ may be strictly smaller than T_ξ . For this reason we give the following definition:

Definition 3.2. *Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} . The operator T_ξ constructed as above is called the generalized frame operator of ξ .*

Throughout the rest of the paper we will give a special attention to lower semi-frames, starting with the following characterization.

Proposition 3.3 ([16, Proposition 3]). *Let ξ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} and $A > 0$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) ξ is a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} with lower bound A ;

¹We use the symbol C_ξ^\times to denote the adjoint of C_ξ as operator $C_\xi : \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$; if C_ξ is densely defined, then $\mathcal{H}_\xi = \mathcal{H}$ and we prefer to write C_ξ^* instead of C_ξ^\times .

(ii) T_ξ is bounded from below by A , i.e.,

$$\|T_\xi f\| \geq A\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi);$$

(iii) T_ξ is invertible with range \mathcal{H}_ξ , $T_\xi^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ is bounded with respect to the norm of \mathcal{H}_ξ and $\|T_\xi^{-1}\| \leq A$.

4. LOWER SEMI-FRAMES AND RECONSTRUCTION FORMULAS

In this section we follow the idea in [16, Remark 1] to obtain the reconstruction formula (1.4) starting from a lower semi-frame. However, our aim is to extend (1.4) to the generic case, i.e. not assuming the density of $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$, giving the answer to Question 1.

Let ξ be a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} . Thus $T_\xi : \mathcal{D}(T_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is a bijection and $T_\xi^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is bounded (also its positive square root $T_\xi^{-1/2} : \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is bounded). In what follows we will need the projection P of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_ξ . Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then for all $g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\xi$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, g \rangle &= \langle Ph, g \rangle = \langle T_\xi T_\xi^{-1} Ph, g \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T_\xi^{-1} Ph, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T_\xi^{-1} Ph, P\xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle Ph, T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle h, T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\{T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{H}_ξ , but we consider it also as a sequence of \mathcal{H} . Moreover, $\{T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Bessel sequence of \mathcal{H} . Indeed, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have that $T_\xi^{-1} Pf \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle T_\xi^{-1} Pf, \xi_n \rangle|^2 = \|T_\xi^{1/2} T_\xi^{-1} Pf\|^2 \leq \|T_\xi^{-1/2}\|^2 \|f\|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, from (4.1) we get the following reconstruction in a strong sense.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ξ be a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} with generalized frame operator T_ξ and let P be the projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_ξ . Then $\{T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Bessel sequence of \mathcal{H} and*

$$g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle g, \xi_n \rangle T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi), \quad (4.3)$$

with unconditional convergence.

If $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, then $\mathcal{H}_\xi = \mathcal{H}$ so $T_\xi : \mathcal{D}(T_\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is bijective and the sequence $\{T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is simply $\{T_\xi^{-1} \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which recalls the expression of the canonical dual of a frame (where S_ξ is now replaced with T_ξ). For this reason we extend the terminology and call $\{T_\xi^{-1} P\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the *canonical dual* of the lower semi-frame ξ .

Actually, a formula like (4.3) involving a lower semi-frame and a Bessel sequence was proved in [12, Proposition 3.4]: given a lower semi-frame ξ of \mathcal{H} there exists a Bessel sequence $\eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} such that

$$g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle g, \xi_n \rangle \eta_n, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi).$$

The proof in [12, Proposition 3.4] is constructive and, more precisely, η is given as follows. The operator $C_\xi^{-1} : R(C_\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ is well-defined and bounded; therefore it can be extended to a bounded operator $Y : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi$ by putting $Y\{c_n\} = 0$ for $\{c_n\} \in R(C_\xi)^\perp$, i.e. $Y = C_\xi^{-1} \oplus 0$. The sequence η is now defined as $\eta_n := Y e_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Thus we come to Question 2: we prove in particular that the Bessel sequences $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ coincide.

Proposition 4.2. *Let ξ be a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} with generalized frame operator T_ξ , and η as before. Then $\eta_n = T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. From $T_\xi = C_\xi^\times C_\xi$ we have $T_\xi^{-1} = Y X$ where Y is defined as above and $X : \mathcal{H}_\xi \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is the bounded pseudo-inverse of C_ξ^\times . If $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, then $\langle C_\xi f, e_n \rangle = \langle f, \xi_n \rangle = \langle f, P \xi_n \rangle$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$. This means that $e_n \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi^\times)$ and $C_\xi^\times e_n = P \xi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of pseudo-inverse, $X C_\xi^\times \{c_n\} = \{c_n\}$ for all $\{c_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi^\times)$. In particular, $e_n = X C_\xi^\times e_n = X P \xi_n$ and, as consequence, $T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n = Y e_n = \eta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Remark 4.3. *In general, (4.3) does not always extend to all $g \in \mathcal{H}$ as it was shown first in [12, Theorem 3.5] and then also in [33, Example 4.1]. We give more details about these results.*

- (i) *The counterexample in [12, Theorem 3.5] is constructed as a sequence ξ in a direct sum of Hilbert spaces of increasing finite dimensions $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{H}'_n$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and $\|\xi_n\|$ is constant for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (ii) *The counterexample given by [33, Example 4.1] is simpler, namely, $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ where $\xi_n = n(e_1 + e_n)$ and $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . By the way, for this lower semi-frame we have $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)^\perp = \{e_1\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is not dense. Actually, it is sufficient to take $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ with $\xi_n = e_1 + e_n$; ξ is a lower semi-frame and $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \{e_1\}^\perp$. This example can be also generalized to any minimal sequence ξ with $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ not dense: we cannot have (4.3) for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, otherwise ξ would be a Schauder basis and then $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ would be dense.*

We will find and discuss another example of lower semi-frames which does not give expansion in the whole space (Example 6.10).

Example 4.4. *Let us consider the sequence $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 2}$, where $\xi_n = e_1 + e_n$ and $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} , that we have introduced before. As already said, $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ is a lower semi-frame and $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \{e_1\}^\perp$. Our aim here is to find the canonical dual $\{T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. First of all*

$$\Omega_\xi(f, g) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \langle \xi_n, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi),$$

thus $T_\xi f = f$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi) = \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \{e_1\}^\perp$. Now, denoting with P the projector onto $\{e_1\}^\perp$, we have $T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n = T_\xi^{-1} e_n = e_n$ for every $n \geq 2$.

Note that the statements in [1, Proposition 3.11] and in [2, Proposition 3.11] are incorrect

because a lower semi-frame, like the one in this example, may not have upper semi-frames for the whole space (i.e. complete Bessel sequences) as duals. The reconstruction formula is only guaranteed in $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$.

We mention that in [1, 2] a formula like (4.1) has been given starting from an upper semi-frame instead of a lower semi-frame. There is a crucial difference in the two approaches. Namely, for an upper semi-frame ξ , the operator T_ξ is injective, bounded (so that $T_\xi = S_\xi$) but its range $R(T_\xi)$ is a proper subspace of \mathcal{H} , unless ξ is a frame. Therefore, $\{T_\xi^{-1}\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ might be not well-defined, as shown in [1, Section 2.6] and also in Remark 6.8.

To conclude this section, if $S_\xi = T_\xi$, then we obtain also the following reconstruction formula in a strong sense

$$f = T_\xi T_\xi^{-1} f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T_\xi^{-1} f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that in (4.4) and in (4.3) the sequences ξ and $\{T_\xi^{-1} P \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are in different places. Moreover, we can state a characterization when the synthesis operator is closed.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} with closed synthesis operator D_ξ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) ξ is a lower semi-frame;
- (ii) there exists a Bessel sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} such that

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \eta_n \rangle \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}; \quad (4.5)$$

- (iii) $\mathcal{R}(D_\xi) = \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Since D_ξ is closed, by Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and $S_\xi = D_\xi C_\xi = C_\xi^* C_\xi = T_\xi$. Hence, (4.4) holds for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, as we have seen before, $\{T_\xi^{-1} \xi_n\}$ is a Bessel sequence.

(ii) \implies (iii) Since $\{\langle f, \eta_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, (4.5) implies that $\mathcal{R}(D_\xi) = \mathcal{H}$.

(iii) \implies (i) This implication was originally proved in [13, Theorem 4.1] and also in a different way in the discussion after [34, Theorem 5.3]. \square

We can actually state one more property of T_ξ making a consideration analogous to (4.2).

Remark 4.6. *Let ξ be a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} with generalized frame operator T_ξ and let P be the projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_ξ . Then, $T_\xi^{-1/2} P \xi$ is a Parseval frame for \mathcal{H}_ξ (i.e. it has frame bounds $A = B = 1$) and therefore*

$$f = \sum_{n \in I} \langle f, T_\xi^{-1/2} P \xi_n \rangle T_\xi^{-1/2} P \xi_n, \quad \forall f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}.$$

When $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, then $T_\xi^{-1/2} \xi$ is a Parseval frame for \mathcal{H} (a well-known fact for a frame ξ , in which case $T_\xi^{-1/2} \xi$ is called the canonical tight frame of ξ). The considerations in this remark have been further investigated in [4].

5. RELATIONS BETWEEN T_ξ , S_ξ AND W_ξ

In this section we are going to give a negative answer to Question 3. In [16, Example 1] it was shown a sequence ξ such that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and T_ξ is different from S_ξ (moreover it is possible to choose a lower semi-frame for ξ , see [16, Example 2]). We will encounter in Example 6.12 another case in which these operators are different in the context of sequences of translates.

When $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense then $W_\xi \subseteq T_\xi$ also holds, where W_ξ is the *weak frame operator* introduced in (1.7). However, we construct here a sequence ξ such that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and T_ξ is strictly larger than W_ξ .

Example 5.1. Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of \mathcal{H} such that $\xi_1 = e_1$ and $\xi_n = n^{\frac{8}{5}}(e_n - e_{n-1})$ for $n \geq 2$ and $\eta_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}e_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we denote by $f_n := \langle f, e_n \rangle$. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(C_\xi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\frac{16}{5}} |f_n - f_{n-1}|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(C_\eta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |f_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We define the new sequence $\chi := \{\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2, \dots\}$. The analysis operator C_χ has domain $\mathcal{D}(C_\chi) = \mathcal{D}(C_\xi) \cap \mathcal{D}(C_\eta)$, which is dense because it contains $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We are going to show that $W_\chi \subsetneq T_\chi$. First of all, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k \geq 2$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{2k} \langle f, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n &= \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n + \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \eta_n \rangle \eta_n \\ &= (2f_1 - 2^{\frac{16}{5}} f_2 + 2^{\frac{16}{5}} f_1) e_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} (n^{\frac{16}{5}} f_n - n^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{n-1} - (n+1)^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{n+1} + (n+1)^{\frac{16}{5}} f_n + n f_n) e_n \\ &\quad + (k^{\frac{16}{5}} f_k - k^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{k-1} + k f_k) e_k, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{2k-1} \langle f, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n &= \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \langle f, \eta_n \rangle \eta_n \\ &= (2f_1 - 2^{\frac{16}{5}} f_2 + 2^{\frac{16}{5}} f_1) e_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} (n^{\frac{16}{5}} f_n - n^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{n-1} - (n+1)^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{n+1} + (n+1)^{\frac{16}{5}} f_n + n f_n) e_n \\ &\quad + (k^{\frac{16}{5}} f_k - k^{\frac{16}{5}} f_{k-1}) e_k. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In the rest of the example we choose $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $h_n = \langle h, e_n \rangle = n^{-2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$n^{\frac{16}{5}} |h_n - h_{n-1}|^2 = 4n^{-\frac{14}{5}} + o(n^{-\frac{14}{5}}) \tag{5.3}$$

where we write $o(n^a)$ for the usual notation of a function $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $o(n^a)/n^a \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (5.3), $h \in \mathcal{D}(C_\chi)$. For $k \geq 2$ we write in a compact way $\sum_{n=1}^{2k} \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n = (2 - 2^{-\frac{4}{5}} + 2^{\frac{16}{5}})e_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \alpha_n e_n + \beta_k e_k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{2k-1} \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n = (2 - 2^{-\frac{4}{5}} + 2^{\frac{16}{5}})e_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \alpha_n e_n + \gamma_k e_k$. From (5.1) and (5.2), straightforward calculations lead to $\alpha_n = \frac{2}{5}n^{-\frac{4}{5}} + o(n^{-\frac{4}{5}})$, $\beta_k = -2k^{\frac{1}{5}} + o(k^{\frac{1}{5}})$ and also $\gamma_k = -2k^{\frac{1}{5}} + o(k^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Now let $g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\chi)$ and write again $g_n := \langle g, e_n \rangle$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{2k} \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \langle \chi_n, g \rangle = (2 - 2^{-\frac{4}{5}} + 2^{\frac{16}{5}})\overline{g_1} + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} \alpha_n \overline{g_n} + \beta_k \overline{g_k}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{2k-1} \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \langle \chi_n, g \rangle = (2 - 2^{-\frac{4}{5}} + 2^{\frac{16}{5}})\overline{g_1} + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} \alpha_n \overline{g_n} + \gamma_k \overline{g_k}$ are convergent for $k \rightarrow \infty$ and convergent to the same limit. Indeed, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ belongs to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and, for sufficiently large values of k , $|\beta_k||g_k|, |\gamma_k||g_k| \approx 2k^{\frac{1}{5}}|g_k| \leq 2k^{\frac{1}{2}}|g_k| \rightarrow 0$, since g is in particular in $\mathcal{D}(C_\eta)$. Moreover,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \langle \chi_n, g \rangle \right| \leq C\|g\|, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\chi),$$

for some $C > 0$. We thus conclude that $h \in \mathcal{D}(T_\chi)$.

Anyway, $\sum_{n=1}^k \langle h, \chi_n \rangle \chi_n$ cannot converge weakly for $k \rightarrow \infty$ on \mathcal{H} , because its norm goes to infinity for $k \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, $h \notin \mathcal{D}(W_\chi)$.

The operator W_ξ may be of independent interest, thus we end this section spending some more words on it. For completeness we also define the operator $Q_\xi : \mathcal{D}(Q_\xi) \subseteq \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(Q_\xi) := \left\{ \{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \xi_n \text{ is weakly convergent in } \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

as $Q_\xi\{c_n\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \xi_n$ in weak sense. Some properties of Q_ξ and S_ξ are given below and can be proved as done in [3, Proposition 3.3] for D_ξ and S_ξ .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} . The following statements hold.*

- (i) Q_ξ is densely defined and $D_\xi \subseteq Q_\xi$ and $Q_\xi^* = C_\xi$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense, then $Q_\xi \subseteq C_\xi^*$.
- (iii) Q_ξ is closable if and only if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense if and only if D_ξ is closable.
- (iv) Q_ξ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense and $C_\xi^* = Q_\xi$.
- (v) $S_\xi \subseteq W_\xi$ and $W_\xi = Q_\xi C_\xi$.

An application of Banach-Steinhaus theorem shows that ξ is a Bessel sequence if and only if $\mathcal{D}(Q_\xi) = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ (in that case $Q_\xi = D_\xi$) if and only if $\mathcal{D}(W_\xi) = \mathcal{H}$ (in that case $W_\xi = S_\xi$). Sufficient conditions for which $T_\xi = S_\xi$ or $T_\xi = W_\xi$ are given in the following propositions.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense. The following statements hold.*

- (i) If D_ξ is closed, then $T_\xi = S_\xi$.
- (ii) If Q_ξ is closed, then $T_\xi = W_\xi$.
- (iii) If $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}(W_\xi)$ if and only if $\sup_k \|\sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n\| < \infty$.

Proof. Points (i-ii) are consequences of Propositions 2.1 and 5.2: in particular, if D_ξ is closed, then $T_\xi = C_\xi^* C_\xi = D_\xi C_\xi = S_\xi$. For (iii), let us remember that $W_\xi \subseteq T_\xi$. Take first $f \in \mathcal{D}(W_\xi)$; then $\{\sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is norm bounded because it is weakly convergent ([27, Sect. III.1.6]). Now let $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$ be such that $M = \sup_k \|\sum_{n=1}^k \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n\| < \infty$. We suppose $M > 0$, otherwise the statement is trivial. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ such that $\|h - g\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\langle \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, g \rangle| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $m \geq k \geq N$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, h \right\rangle \right| &\leq \left| \left\langle \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, g \right\rangle \right| + \left| \left\langle \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n, h - g \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left\| \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n \right\| \|h - g\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2M \frac{\epsilon}{4M} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$. □

Proposition 5.4. *If ξ is biorthogonal to a complete sequence and it is a lower semi-frame of \mathcal{H} , then $S_\xi = T_\xi$ if and only if ξ is a Schauder basis of \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. We note that $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is dense since the unique complete sequence $\tilde{\xi} := \{\tilde{\xi}_n\}_{n \in I}$ biorthogonal to ξ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$. Moreover $\tilde{\xi}_n \in \mathcal{D}(S_\xi)$ and $S_\xi \tilde{\xi}_n = \xi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $S_\xi = T_\xi$. Then, by Proposition 3.3, $0 \in \rho(S_\xi)$, i.e. for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$f = S_\xi S_\xi^{-1} f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle S_\xi^{-1} f, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, S_\xi^{-1} \xi_n \rangle \xi_n.$$

Since ξ is minimal, this equality means that ξ is a Schauder basis of \mathcal{H} . Now suppose that ξ is a Schauder basis of \mathcal{H} and let $h \in \mathcal{D}(T_\xi)$. Thus

$$T_\xi h = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T_\xi h, \tilde{\xi}_n \rangle \xi_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle h, T_\xi \tilde{\xi}_n \rangle \xi_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle h, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n.$$

Therefore $h \in \mathcal{D}(S_\xi)$. □

6. SEQUENCES OF TRANSLATES

Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The sequence of translates of φ with a parameter $a > 0$ is $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a) := \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $\varphi_n(x) := \varphi(x - na)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (note that we are considering *uniform* translates, i.e. by na for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Our study will follow the line of the works [25] and [7, 22, 29] (for an introduction to the topics one can refer also to [14, 21]). Indeed, first of all we want to find the analysis operator C_φ and the generalized frame operator T_φ of $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ (we omit the dependence on a in the symbols C_φ, T_φ). Secondly, we want to characterize those sequences $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ which are lower semi-frames of their closed spans.

We recall that the operator T_φ is unconditionally defined; this means that T_φ does not change if we order $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ as any sequence indexed by \mathbb{N} . We mention that in the context of sequences of translates the index \mathbb{Z} is sometimes ordered as $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots\}$ (see for instance [28]).

As we are going to see, the results are formulated in terms of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} defined in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\gamma) := \widehat{f}(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \gamma} dx, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Fourier transform defines a unitary operator $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in a standard way, which we still denote by \mathcal{F} . A remarkable property of \mathcal{F} concerns translations with modulations which are defined as $(\mathcal{T}_y f)(x) = f(x - y)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_\omega f)(x) = e^{2\pi i \omega x} f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively. More precisely (see e.g. [14, Ch. 2]), the Fourier transform interchanges translations with modulations, i.e. $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}_y = \mathcal{M}_{-y}\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_y = \mathcal{T}_y\mathcal{F}$. So we have in particular

$$\widehat{\varphi_n}(\gamma) = \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) e^{-2\pi i n a \gamma}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

When $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence, then T_φ is the frame operator S_φ and we already know the expression (in the Fourier domain) of S_φ , called the *Walnut representation* (see [14, Theorem 10.2.1]):

$$\widehat{S_\varphi f}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{a} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right) \widehat{f}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The expression of T_φ when $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is not a Bessel sequence, that we will find in Theorem 6.2, is the same but of course the domain is smaller than $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We now define the function $p_\varphi(\gamma) := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\frac{\gamma+n}{a})|^2$ (the definition of p_φ here is slightly different than the one in [14, Section 9.2] because we include the factor $\frac{1}{a}$). We recall some results from [29, 22, 14, 21]: $p_\varphi \in L^1(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a Bessel sequence if and only if p_φ is bounded a.e. in $[0, 1]$ and that $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a frame for its closed span if and only if p_φ is bounded above and below away from zero a.e. in Z_φ , where $Z_\varphi := \{\gamma \in [0, 1] : p_\varphi(\gamma) > 0\}$. The properties that $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is an orthonormal or a Riesz sequence are likewise characterized in terms of p_φ . We mention that p_φ describes also various levels of linear independence (see [30, 31, 32]).

We also remark that some results have been extended to irregular frames of translates in [5] with an operator based approach. Moreover, the function that plays a central rule in [5] is $|\widehat{\varphi}|^2$.

To prove our results about C_φ and T_φ we will make use of the following lemma. This is a more general version of [14, Lemma 9.2.4] and of [20, Lemma 1.4.1] (indeed, we do not assume that f is bounded).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $a > 0$ be given and $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable functions such that f is a -periodic. Then $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $f(\cdot) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(\cdot - ka)| \in L^1(0, a)$. Moreover, under these conditions,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^a f(x) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x - ka)dx.$$

Proof. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)g(x)|dx &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^a |f(x - ka)| |g(x - ka)| dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^a |f(x)| |g(x - ka)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now Tonelli's theorem ensures that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)g(x)|dx = \int_0^a |f(x)| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(x - ka)| dx.$$

In other words, $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $f(\cdot) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(\cdot - ka)| \in L^1(0, a)$.

The second part of the statement is obtained by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. \square

Finally, in our study the *bracket product*

$$[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}](\gamma) := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right)}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1]$$

will be particularly useful. The bracket product was first used in [26, 10, 11]. Moreover $[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}]$ is a well-defined element of $L^1(0, 1)$ for $f, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, indeed $\widehat{f}\widehat{\varphi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right)} \right| d\gamma &\leq \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right)} \right| d\gamma \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right)} \right| d\gamma < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by Lemma 6.1. We define the operator $\Gamma_\varphi : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(0, 1)$ where

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : [\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}] \in L^2(0, 1) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_\varphi f = [\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}]. \quad (6.1)$$

We are now ready to determine the operators C_φ and T_φ . We write $e_n(\gamma) = e^{2\pi i n \gamma}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a > 0$. Let C_φ and T_φ be the analysis and the generalized frame operators of $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$, respectively. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The operator C_φ has domain $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi)$ and $C_\varphi f = \{ \langle [\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}], e_{-n} \rangle \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi)$. The domain $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi)$ contains all functions whose Fourier transform is bounded and compactly supported, thus $\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi)$ is dense.*
- (ii) *The adjoint C_φ^* has domain*

$$\mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^*) = \left\{ \{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n a \gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \right|^2 d\gamma < \infty \right\}$$

and it is given by $\widehat{C_\varphi^ \{c_n\}}(\gamma) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n a \gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ for $\{c_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^*)$ and a.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(iii) The domain $\mathcal{D}(T_\varphi)$ of T_φ is the subspace of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

(a) $[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}] \in L^2(0, 1)$;

(b) the function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} given by $\gamma \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right)}$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

and

$$\widehat{T_\varphi f}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{a} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right)},$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_\varphi)$ and a.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) We have by Lemma 6.1

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle &= \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi_n} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)} e^{2\pi i n a \gamma} d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right)} e^{2\pi i n \gamma} d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right)} e^{2\pi i n \gamma} d\gamma \\ &= \left\langle [\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}], e_{-n} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}] \in L^2(0, 1)$. The second part of the statement follows now easily.

(ii) We have $C_\varphi = C_{\{e_n\}} \Gamma_\varphi$, where $C_{\{e_n\}} : L^2(0, 1) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the analysis operator of $\{e_n\}$. Since $C_\varphi^* = \Gamma_\varphi^* C_{\{e_n\}}^* = \Gamma_\varphi^* C_{\{e_n\}}^{-1}$, the statement follows immediately after we determine Γ_φ^* . To do this, let $h \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^*) \subseteq L^2(0, 1)$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi)$

$$\langle \Gamma_\varphi^* h, f \rangle = \langle h, \Gamma_\varphi f \rangle = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 h(\gamma) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma - n}{a}\right)} d\gamma.$$

We write for \widetilde{h} the periodic extension of h on \mathbb{R} and then we can apply Lemma 6.1 because $h, \Gamma_\varphi f \in L^2(0, 1)$, so

$$\langle \Gamma_\varphi^* h, f \rangle = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{h}(\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma}{a}\right)} d\gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{h}(a\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \overline{\widehat{f}(\gamma)} d\gamma. \quad (6.2)$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi)$ is dense, by (6.2) it follows that $\widetilde{h}(a\cdot) \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot) : \gamma \mapsto \widetilde{h}(a\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ is an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that $\widehat{\Gamma_\varphi^* h}(\gamma) = \widetilde{h}(a\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ for a.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, if $h \in L^2(0, 1)$, \widetilde{h} is the periodic extension of h to \mathbb{R} and $\widetilde{h}(a\cdot) \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then with the inverse steps of above we find that $h \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^*)$ and $\widehat{\Gamma_\varphi^* h}(\gamma) = \widetilde{h}(a\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ for a.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The operator Γ_φ^* is now determined; summarizing

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^*) = \{h \in L^2(0, 1) : \widetilde{h}(a\cdot) \widehat{\varphi}(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\} \text{ and } \widehat{\Gamma_\varphi^* h}(\gamma) = \widetilde{h}(a\gamma) \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \text{ for a.e. } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.3)$$

Coming back to $C_\varphi^* = \Gamma_\varphi^* C_{\{e_n\}}^*$ we can say that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^*) &= \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^* C_{\{e_n\}}^*) = \{\{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : C_{\{e_n\}}^* \{c_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^*)\} \\ &= \left\{ \{c_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n a \gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \right|^2 d\gamma < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\widehat{C_\varphi^* \{c_n\}}(\gamma) = \Gamma_\varphi^* \widehat{C_{\{e_n\}}^* \{c_n\}}(\gamma) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n a \gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$ for $\{c_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^*)$ and a.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) Since C_φ is densely defined we have $C_\varphi^\times = C_\varphi^*$; so $T_\varphi = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi$, by (3.2). Moreover, since $\|C_\varphi f\|_2 = \|\Gamma_\varphi f\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi)$, by the polarization identity we have $\langle C_\varphi f, C_\varphi g \rangle_2 = \langle \Gamma_\varphi f, \Gamma_\varphi g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi)$. It follows that the operators $T_\varphi = C_\varphi^* C_\varphi$ and $\Gamma_\varphi^* \Gamma_\varphi$ are associated to the same sesquilinear form, thus coincide. Hence, the description of $\mathcal{D}(T_\varphi) = \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^* C_\varphi) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^* \Gamma_\varphi) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi) : \Gamma_\varphi f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\varphi^*)\}$ is simply given by (6.1) and by (6.3). In particular, the function $h = \Gamma_\varphi f = \left[\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi} \right]$ (i.e., the function in (a)) has periodic extension \widetilde{h} on \mathbb{R} given by $\gamma \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\gamma-n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\gamma-n}{a}\right)}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma_\varphi^* h}$ is simply $\gamma \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \widetilde{h}(a\gamma)$ (i.e., the function in (b)). With these observations, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_\varphi^* C_\varphi)$

$$\widehat{T_\varphi f}(\gamma) = \widehat{C_\varphi^* C_\varphi f}(\gamma) = \widehat{\Gamma_\varphi^* \Gamma_\varphi f}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{a} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\gamma - \frac{n}{a}\right)}, \quad \forall a.e. \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

□

We are now interested to discuss about lower semi-frames in the context of sequences of translates. By [21, Exercise 10.18] for any $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a > 0$ the sequence $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is not complete in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is never a lower semi-frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (this follows also by the more general result in [15, Theorem 1.2]). However, we can restrict the space to the closed linear span $\langle \varphi \rangle := \overline{\text{span}}(\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$, called as usual the *principal shift-invariant subspace*, and study when $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a lower semi-frame of $\langle \varphi \rangle$. It is known (for instance one can see [22, Theorem 1.6] and adapt the result for $a \neq 1$) that there exists a unitary operator $U : L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi) \rightarrow \langle \varphi \rangle$. Here $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$ is the weighted L^2 -space with measure $p_\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma$; the inner product of $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$ is then

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{p_\varphi} = \int_0^1 f(\gamma) \overline{g(\gamma)} p_\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma, \quad \forall f, g \in L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi).$$

More precisely, $Uh = (h\widehat{\varphi})^\sim$, where $\widetilde{\cdot}$ is the inverse of the Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore $U^{-1}\varphi_{-n} = e_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $e_n(\gamma) = e^{2\pi i n \gamma}$, as usual. For this reason it is sufficient to analyze the problem in $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$ for the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 6.3. *A sequence of translates $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is a lower semi-frame of its closed span if and only if p_φ is bounded away from zero a.e. in $Z_\varphi := \{\gamma \in [0, 1] : p_\varphi(\gamma) > 0\}$, i.e. there exists $A > 0$ such that $p_\varphi(\gamma) \geq A$ for a.e. $\gamma \in Z_\varphi$.*

In this case, the canonical Bessel dual of $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ is $\mathcal{T}((\widehat{\varphi}\chi_{Z_\varphi}/p_\varphi)^\sim, a)$, with meaning

$$(\widehat{\varphi}\chi_{Z_\varphi}/p_\varphi)(\gamma) := \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)/p_\varphi(\gamma) \text{ if } \gamma \in Z_\varphi \text{ and } (\widehat{\varphi}\chi_{Z_\varphi}/p_\varphi)(\gamma) := 0 \text{ if } \gamma \notin Z_\varphi.$$

Proof. With the consideration above, we prove the statement for $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$. First of all we determine the analysis operator C of $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$. For any $f \in L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$ we have that $fp_\varphi \in L^1[0, 1]$; indeed, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and by the fact that $p_\varphi \in L^1[0, 1]$ [14, Sect. 9.2],

$$\int_0^1 |f(\gamma)p_\varphi(\gamma)|d\gamma = \int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|p_\varphi(\gamma)^{1/2}p_\varphi(\gamma)^{1/2}d\gamma \leq \left(\int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|^2p_\varphi(\gamma)d\gamma \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 p_\varphi(\gamma)d\gamma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, $f \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ if and only if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, e_n \rangle_{p_\varphi}|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle fp_\varphi, e_n \rangle|^2 < \infty$, i.e. if and only if $fp_\varphi \in L^2[0, 1]$. Moreover, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ we have $Cf = \{\langle fp_\varphi, e_n \rangle\}$ and

$$\langle Cf, Cf \rangle_2 = \int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma)^2 d\gamma. \quad (6.4)$$

Hence, $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a lower semi-frame of $L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)$ if and only if there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$A \langle f, f \rangle_{p_\varphi} = A \int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma \leq \int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma)^2 d\gamma, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(C),$$

or equivalently,

$$A \int_{Z_\varphi} |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma \leq \int_{Z_\varphi} |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma)^2 d\gamma, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(C). \quad (6.5)$$

Now, as consequence of Problem III.2.10 and Example III.2.11 of [27], the inequality (6.5) is satisfied if and only if p_φ is bounded below by A a.e. in Z_φ .

For the second part of the statement, let T be the generalized frame operator of $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. From (6.4), we have, for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(C)$,

$$\langle Tf, f \rangle_{p_\varphi} = \langle Cf, Cf \rangle_2 = \int_0^1 |f(\gamma)|^2 p_\varphi(\gamma)^2 d\gamma = \int_0^1 f(\gamma)p_\varphi(\gamma) \overline{f(\gamma)} p_\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma = \langle fp_\varphi, f \rangle_{p_\varphi}.$$

Therefore, T acts as $Tf = fp_\varphi$ on the domain $\mathcal{D}(T) = \{f \in L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi) : fp_\varphi \in L^2([0, 1], p_\varphi)\}$. Consequently, the canonical dual of a lower semi-frame $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $\{\chi_{Z_\varphi}/p_\varphi e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Making use of the operator U , we finally find the expression of the canonical dual of a lower semi-frame $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$. \square

This result is then the counterpart of the characterization of Bessel sequences of translates in terms of p_φ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.3 we have the unconditionally convergent reconstruction formula (4.3) (with \mathbb{N} replaced by \mathbb{Z})

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \psi_n, \quad \text{for all } f \in \langle \varphi \rangle \text{ such that } [\widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}] \in L^2(0, 1)$$

where $\psi = \widehat{\varphi} \chi_{Z_\varphi}/p_\varphi$, $\varphi_n(x) := \varphi(x - na)$ and $\psi_n(x) := \psi(x - na)$.

For a frame $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ the expression of the canonical dual is already known [7, Proposition 4.7, Theorem 4.8].

The Fourier transform changes translations into modulations. Thus we can also describe the generalized frame operator of the *weighted exponentials sequence* $\mathcal{E}(g, b) := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of a function $g \in L^2(0, 1)$ and parameter $b > 0$, where $g_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n b x} g(x)$ for $0 < x < 1$ (we will often refer to detailed studies in [21]). Indeed, we can think g as an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ where g is zero outside $(0, 1)$ and transform $\mathcal{E}(g, b)$ into $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{g}, b)$. Hence the next result can be seen as consequence of Theorem 6.2. Again, it is suitable to use the bracket product $[f, h]$ of two elements $f, h \in L^2(0, 1)$. We write

$$[f, h](x) := \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{x-n}{b}\right) \overline{h\left(\frac{x-n}{b}\right)}, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (6.6)$$

with the meaning that f and h are zero outside $(0, 1)$. So (6.6) is actually a *finite* sum, but for simplicity of notation we write an infinite sum in (6.6) and in the theorem below.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $g \in L^2(0, 1)$ and $b > 0$. Let C_g and T_g be the analysis and generalized frame operators of $\mathcal{E}(g, b) := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, respectively. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The operator C_g has domain $\mathcal{D}(C_g) = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) : [f, g] \in L^2(0, 1)\}$ and $C_g f = \{\langle [f, g], e_n \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_g)$. The domain $\mathcal{D}(C_g)$ contains all bounded functions with compact support in $(0, 1)$, thus $\mathcal{D}(C_g)$ is dense.*
- (ii) *The domain $\mathcal{D}(T_g)$ of T_g is the subspace of $f \in L^2(0, 1)$ such that*
 - (a) *$[f, g] \in L^2(0, 1)$;*
 - (b) *the function from $(0, 1)$ to \mathbb{C} given by $x \mapsto g(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(x - \frac{n}{b}\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{n}{b}\right)}$ belongs to $L^2(0, 1)$,*

and

$$T_g f(x) = \frac{1}{b} g(x) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(x - \frac{n}{b}\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{n}{b}\right)}, \quad (6.7)$$

for a.e. $x \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_g)$.

As a particular case, for $0 < b \leq 1$ we get the following.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $g \in L^2(0, 1)$ and $0 < b \leq 1$. Let C_g and T_g be the analysis and generalized frame operators of $\mathcal{E}(g, b) := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, respectively. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The operator C_g has domain $\mathcal{D}(C_g) = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) : fg \in L^2(0, 1)\}$ and $C_g f = \{\frac{1}{b} \langle f\bar{g}, e_n \rangle\}$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(C_g)$.*
- (ii) *The operator T_g is the multiplication operator by $\frac{1}{b}|g|^2$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(T_g) = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) : f|g|^2 \in L^2(0, 1)\}$ and $T_g f = \frac{1}{b} f|g|^2$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}(T_g)$.*

We recall some facts about $\mathcal{E}(g, 1)$ that can be found in [21, Theorem 10.10].

Remark 6.6. *The sequence $\mathcal{E}(g, 1)$ is*

- *complete in $L^2(0, 1)$ if and only if $g(x) \neq 0$ a.e. in $(0, 1)$;*
- *minimal if and only if $g(x) \neq 0$ a.e. and $1/\bar{g} \in L^2(0, 1)$ (and in this case its biorthogonal sequence is $\mathcal{E}(1/\bar{g}, 1)$);*
- *a Bessel sequence if and only if g is bounded above a.e. in $(0, 1)$;*
- *a Riesz basis of $L^2(0, 1)$ if and only if it is a frame of $L^2(0, 1)$ if and only if g is bounded above and away from zero a.e. in $(0, 1)$.*

By Theorem 6.3 we can state the following.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $0 < b \leq 1$. The sequence $\mathcal{E}(g, b)$ is a lower semi-frame of $L^2(0, 1)$ if and only if g is bounded away from zero a.e. in $(0, 1)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}(g, b)$ is a lower semi-frame, then the canonical dual is the Bessel sequence $\mathcal{E}(b/\bar{g}, b)$.*

Remark 6.8. *The previous result is in line with Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 6.5.*

As a continuation of a discussion in Section 3, when $\mathcal{S}(g, b)$ for $0 < b \leq 1$ is an upper semi-frame, then we cannot always find a dual lower semi-frame by inverting the frame operator. Indeed, if $\mathcal{S}(g, b)$ is an upper semi-frame for $0 < b \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{E}(g, 1) \subset R(S_g) = R(T_g)$ if and only if $1/g \in L^2(0, 1)$, which is not always the case.

Throughout the rest of the section we are going to often use the characterization below. It is stated in [28] and it is a direct consequence of Theorem 8 of the fundamental paper of Hunt, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [24]. We say that $\omega \in L^1(0, 1)$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt's class $\mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$ if $\omega(x) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\sup_I \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \frac{1}{\omega(x)} dx \right) < \infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $I \subseteq (0, 1)$.

The characterization is the following (see also [21, Theorem 10.19]): a sequence of translates $\mathcal{T}(\varphi, a)$ of $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a Schauder basis with respect to the ordering

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots\} \quad (6.8)$$

if and only if $p_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$.

In the setting of weighted exponentials this result is formulated as follows (see [21, Theorem 5.15]). Let $g \in L^2(0, 1)$. Then the sequence $\mathcal{E}(g, 1) = \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Schauder basis with respect to the ordering $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots\}$ if and only if $|g|^2 \in \mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$.

Example 6.9. *Every nonnegative measurable function $\omega : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is bounded above and away from zero a.e., belongs to $\mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$. The converse is not true. For instance, the function $\omega(x) = x^\alpha$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $|\alpha| < 1$ is in $\mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$ (see [19, Example 7.1.7]).*

As promised in Section 4 we give a new example of a lower semi-frame that does not generate duality on the whole space. It is formulated as weighted exponentials sequence and takes advantage of the characterization above for a suitable function g .

Example 6.10. *Suppose that a weighted exponentials sequences $\mathcal{E}(g, b) = \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, ordered as in (6.8), is a lower semi-frame of $L^2(0, 1)$. This means that g is bounded away from zero, in particular $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is minimal by Corollary 6.7 and Remark 6.6. If the duality in (4.3) extends to the whole $L^2(0, 1)$, i.e.*

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, g_n \rangle e_n / \bar{g} \quad \forall f \in L^2(0, 1),$$

then $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ turns out to be a Schauder basis. Hence, as said after (6.8), $|g|^2 \in \mathcal{A}_2(0, 1)$. What we are going to do is to construct a function $g \in L^2(0, 1)$ which is bounded away

from zero and such that $|g|^2 \notin A_2(0, 1)$.

We begin by noting that the series $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-3j}$ is convergent to a positive number less than 1. Thus we can define for $x \in (0, 1)$

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^k \chi_{(\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} j^{-3j}, \sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j})}(x) + \chi_{(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-3j}, 1)}(x)$$

where $\sum_{j=2}^1 j^{-3j}$ is 0 by definition and $\chi_{(a,b)}$ denotes the characteristic function of (a, b) . Also $1/g$ is a well-defined function on $[0, 1]$,

$$1/g(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-k} \chi_{(\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} j^{-3j}, \sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j})}(x) + \chi_{(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-3j}, 1)}(x),$$

and g is bounded away from zero (with bound 1). We have that $g, 1/g \in L^2(0, 1)$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g(x)^2 dx &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{2k} k^{-3k} + 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-3k} < 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-2} + 1 < \infty, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{g(x)^2} dx &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-2k} k^{-3k} + 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-3k} < 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-6} + 1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $g^2 \notin A_2(0, 1)$. Let $k > 2$ and $I_k = [\sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j} - \epsilon_k, \sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j} + \epsilon_k]$ where $0 < \epsilon_k < (k+1)^{-3(k+1)}$. The interval I_k is chosen so that the first half part of I_k is contained in $[\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} j^{-3j}, \sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j}]$, where the value of g is k^k , and the second half part of I_k is contained in $[\sum_{j=2}^k j^{-3j}, \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} j^{-3j}]$, where the value of g is $(k+1)^{(k+1)}$. Therefore $\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} g(x)^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}(k^{2k} + (k+1)^{2(k+1)})$. In a similar way, $\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \frac{1}{g(x)^2} dx = \frac{1}{2}(k^{-2k} + (k+1)^{-2(k+1)})$; so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} g(x)^2 dx \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \frac{1}{g(x)^2} dx &= \frac{1}{4}(2 + k^{2k}(k+1)^{-2(k+1)} + (k+1)^{2(k+1)}k^{-2k}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}(k+1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{k>2} \left(\frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} g(x) dx \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} \frac{1}{g(x)} dx \right) = \infty,$$

i.e. $g^2 \notin A_2(0, 1)$. The desired example is now concluded.

We now make some comparisons with Remark 4.3 to highlight the features of this example. Both Example 6.10 and Remark 4.3(i) consist of a lower semi-frame ξ with $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ dense and the norm of the elements is constant. However, the sequence in Remark 4.3(i) is quite abstract, indeed it involves an infinite Hilbert spaces sum. Example 6.10 has instead the quality of being a very simple sequence (indeed of weighted exponentials). On the other hand, the lower semi-frame $\xi = \{e_1 + e_n\}_{n \geq 2}$ in Remark 4.3(ii) is also very simple, but $\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)$ is not dense, in contrast to Example 6.10.

We end with two more examples showing that for a lower semi-frame ξ the frame operator S_ξ may be not closed and may be conditionally convergent. In contrast, we have seen that the generalized frame operator T_ξ has better properties: it is self-adjoint and unconditionally defined. Once again the examples involve sequences of weighted exponentials.

Example 6.11. Let $g \in L^2(0,1)$ be bounded away from zero but not above, $g > 0$ a.e. and $g^2 \notin A_2(0,1)$ (for instance the function g in Example 6.10). We consider the sequence $\mathcal{E}(g,1) = \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ordered as in (6.8) and suppose that the frame operator S_g of $\mathcal{E}(g,1)$ is closed. By Corollary 6.5, T_g is the multiplication operator M_{g^2} by g^2 and we have also $\mathcal{E}(1/g,1) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_g)$. Denoting by \bar{L} the closure of the restriction L of M_{g^2} to $\text{span}(\mathcal{E}(g,1))$, we can then affirm that $\bar{L} \subseteq S_g$.

Actually \bar{L} coincide with M_{g^2} . Indeed, the closure of $\text{span}(\mathcal{E}(g,1))$, under the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_{M_{g^2}}$ of M_{g^2} , is $\mathcal{D}(M_{g^2})$. To prove this, let $h \in \mathcal{D}(M_{g^2})$ such that $0 = \langle 1/ge_n, h \rangle_{M_{g^2}} = \langle 1/ge_n, h \rangle + \langle e_n g, g^2 h \rangle = \langle e_n, h(g^3 + 1/g) \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the function $h(g^3 + 1/g)$ of $L^1(0,1)$ is null a.e. in $(0,1)$, i.e. $h = 0$ a.e. in $(0,1)$.

In conclusion, $S_g = M_{g^2} = T_g$, which contradicts Proposition 5.4. Therefore, S_g is not closed.

Example 6.12. Let $g \in L^2(0,1)$ and $\xi := \mathcal{E}(g,1) := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ordered as in (6.8). Since $\|g_n\|$ is constant for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by [14, Lemma 3.6.9], ξ is an unconditional Schauder basis of $L^2(0,1)$ if and only if ξ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(0,1)$, i.e. g is bounded above and away from zero a.e. in $(0,1)$.

Assume that $|g|^2 \in A_2(0,1)$ is bounded away from zero but not above. Hence $\xi := \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a lower semi-frame and Schauder basis with respect to the ordering $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \dots\}$, but it is not an unconditional Schauder basis. Then there exists a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi' = \{g_{\sigma(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not a Schauder basis. By Proposition 5.4 and recalling that T_ξ is unconditionally defined, we have $S_{\xi'} \neq T_{\xi'} = T_\xi = S_\xi$. In conclusion, the frame operator of $\mathcal{E}(g,1)$ depends on the chosen ordering.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have seen how the operator T_ξ is more appropriate than S_ξ in the context of non-Bessel sequences. To summarize, T_ξ is unconditionally defined, positive self-adjoint (in the space $\mathcal{H}_\xi = \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_\xi)}[\|\cdot\|]$) and when ξ is a lower semi-frame the canonical Bessel dual of ξ is defined in terms of T_ξ^{-1} , as $T_\xi^{-1}P\xi$ (where P is the projection onto \mathcal{H}_ξ). Moreover, $T_\xi^{-1/2}P\xi$ is a Parseval frame of \mathcal{H}_ξ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the “Gruppo Nazionale per l’Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni” (GNAMPA-INdAM).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Antoine, P. Balazs, *Frames and semi-frames*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44, 205201 (2011); Corrigendum 44, 479501, (2011)
- [2] J.-P. Antoine, P. Balazs, *Frames, semi-frames, and Hilbert scales*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 33, 736–769, (2012)
- [3] J.-P. Antoine, P. Balazs, D. T. Stoeva, *Classification of general sequences by frame related operators*, Sampling Theory Signal Image Proc. 10, 151–170, (2011)
- [4] J.-P. Antoine, R. Corso, C. Trapani, *Lower Semi-frames, Frames, and Metric Operators*. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 18, 11 (2021).
- [5] P. Balazs, S. Heineken, *An operator based approach to irregular frames of translates*, Mathematics 7 (2019), 449.
- [6] P. Balazs, N. Holighaus, T. Necciari, D. T. Stoeva, *Frame theory for signal processing in psychoacoustics, excursions in harmonic analysis*, In: Radu Balan, John J. Benedetto, Wojciech Czaja, and Kasso Okoudjou, eds., Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Vol. 5, Basel: Birkhäuser, 225–268, (2017).
- [7] J. Benedetto, S. Li, *The theory of multiresolution analysis frames and applications to filter banks*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 5, 389–427, (1998)
- [8] F. J. Beutler, W. L. Root, *The operator pseudo-inverse in control and systems identifications*, In: M. Zuhair Nashed (ed.), Generalized Inverses and Applications. Academic, New York, (1976)
- [9] H. Bölcskei, F. Hlawatsch, H. G. Feichtinger, *Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 46(12), 3256–3268, (1998).
- [10] C. de Boor, R. A. DeVore, A. Ron, *The structure of Finitely Generated Shift-invariant Spaces in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , J. of Funct. Anal. 119, 37–78, (1994)
- [11] C. de Boor, R. A. DeVore, A. Ron, *Approximation From Shift-invariant Subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 341, 787–806, (1994)
- [12] P. Casazza, O. Christensen, S. Li, A. Lindner, *Riesz-Fischer sequences and lower frame bounds*, Z. Anal. Anwend. 21(2), 305–314, (2002)
- [13] O. Christensen, *Frames and Pseudo-inverses*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195, 401–414, (1995)
- [14] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, second expanded edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2016)
- [15] O. Christensen, B. Deng, C. Heil, *Density of Gabor frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 7, 292–304, (1999)

- [16] R. Corso, *Sesquilinear forms associated to sequences on Hilbert spaces*, Monatshefte für Mathematik, 189(4), 625-650, (2019)
- [17] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia, (1992)
- [18] J.-P. Gazeau, *Coherent States in Quantum Physics*, Weinheim: Wiley, (2009).
- [19] L. Grafakos, *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, (2004)
- [20] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2000)
- [21] C. Heil, *A Basis Theory Primer*, Expanded Edition, Birkhäuser, (2010)
- [22] E. Hernández, H. Šikić, G. Weiss, E. N. Wilson, *On the properties on the integer translates of a square integrable function*, Contemp. Math. 505, 233–249, (2010)
- [23] J. R. Higgins, *Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis: Foundations*, Oxford University Press, New York, (1996)
- [24] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt, R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176, 227–251, (1973)
- [25] A. J. E. M. Janssen, *The duality condition for Weyl-Heisenberg frames*, In: Feichtinger, H.G., Strohmer, T. (eds.) *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Application*. Birkhäuser, Boston, (1998)
- [26] R.-Q. Jia, C. A. Micchelli, *Using the Refinement equations for the Construction of Pre-Wavelets II: Powers of Two*. Curves and Surfaces, P. J. Laurent, A. Le Méhauté, L.L. Schumaker Eds, Academic Press, 209-246, (1991)
- [27] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin, (1966)
- [28] M. Nielsen, H. Šikić, *Schauder bases of integer translates*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 23, 259–262, (2007)
- [29] A. Ron, Z. Shen, *Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Can. J. Math. 47(5), 1051–1094, (1995)
- [30] S. Saliani, *ℓ^2 -Linear independence for the system of integer translates of a square integrable function*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 141(3), 937–941, (2013)
- [31] H. Šikić, I. Slamić, *Linear independence and sets of uniqueness*, Glas. Mat. 47(2), 415–420, (2012)
- [32] I. Slamić, *ℓ^p -Linear Independence of the System of Integer Translates*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 20, 766–783, (2014)
- [33] D. T. Stoeva, *Connection between the lower p -frame condition and existence of reconstruction formulas in a Banach space and its dual*, Ann. Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inf., 97, 123–133, (2005)

- [34] D. T. Stoeva, *Characterization of atomic decompositions, Banach frames, X_d -frames, duals and synthesis-pseudo-duals, with application to Hilbert frame theory*, arXiv:1108.6282 [math.FA], (2016)
- [35] M. Vetterli, J. Kovačević, V.K. Goyal, *Foundations of Signal Processing*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2015)

ROSARIO CORSO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO, I-90123 PALERMO, ITALY
E-mail address: **rosario.corso02@unipa.it**