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#### Abstract

We prove that any element in the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$ of $n$ points on a smooth surface $S$ is a universal class, i.e. the pushforward of a polynomial in the Chern classes of the universal subschemes on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with coefficients pulled back from the Chow of $S^{k}$.


## 1. Introduction

We study the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}=S^{[n]}$ on a smooth algebraic surface over $\mathbb{C}$. As $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$ is smooth, we may consider the Chow groups $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)$, always with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ throughout the present paper. One of the big sources of elements of $A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ are universal classes, see Definition 2.2 During a conversation on Hilbert schemes, Alina Marian suggested that all elements of $A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ should be universal, and the purpose of the present note is to prove it.

Theorem 1.1. Any element of $A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ is a universal class.

When $S$ is projective, this result follows from an explicit formula for the diagonal of $\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}$ as a Chern class of the so-called Ext virtual bundle, which in turn can be written in terms of universal classes (see [4) for $S=\mathbb{P}^{2}$, [1] for $S$ with effective anti-canonical line bundle, 7 for $S$ with trivial canonical line bundle, and for the general case). Our proof is quite different from those above, and holds for quasi-projective $S$. We start from [2], which states that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in A^{*}\left(S^{t}\right)^{\mathrm{sym}}}^{\substack{k_{1} \geq \ldots \geq k_{t} \\ k_{1}+\ldots+k_{t}=n}} \mathfrak{C}_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{t}}(\Gamma) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{t}}(\Gamma)$ are certain correspondences (see (2.11) for an explicit description, as well as an explanation of the superscript "sym") expressed in terms of the Heisenberg operators $\mathfrak{q}_{k}$ of [6] and [9]. The explicit description of these operators in terms of l.c.i. morphisms from [11] allows us to show that they preserve the subrings of $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ consisting of universal classes, thus implying Theorem 1.1. Moreover, this gives an algorithm for computing the universal classes corresponding to the various summands in (1.1), as we will explain on the last two pages.
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## 2. Hilbert schemes

2.1. Let $S$ be a smooth algebraic surface over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}=S^{[n]}$ denote the Hilbert scheme which parametrizes length $n$ subschemes of $S$, i.e. exact sequences:

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0
$$

( $I$ will be an ideal sheaf) where length $(Z)=n$. There exists a universal subscheme:

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{n} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S
$$

whose restriction to any $\{Z\} \times S$ is precisely Spec $Z$ as a subscheme of $S$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S$, flat over $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$. Let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Hilb }=\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$ is well-known to be a smooth $2 n$ dimensional variety, so we may consider its Chow rings $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)$, always with rational coefficients. Set:

$$
A^{*}(\mathrm{Hilb})=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)
$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\pi: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$ denote the standard projection, and let $\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k}$ denote the pull-back of $\mathcal{Z}_{n} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S$ via the $i$-th projection.

Definition 2.2. A universal class is any element of $A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*}\left[P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall$ polynomials $P$ with coefficients pulled back from $A^{*}\left(S^{k}\right)$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} P \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(1)} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(k)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which implies that the push-forward in (2.3) is well-defined) 1

Proposition 2.3. The set:

$$
A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right) \subseteq A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)
$$

of all universal classes is a subring.

[^1]Proof. It is clear that any $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of universal classes is universal, even if they are defined with respect to different $k$ 's. This is because any class of the form (2.3) for a given $k$ is also of the form (2.3) for $k+1$. Indeed, we have:
$\pi_{*}\left[P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}\right]=(\pi \circ \sigma)_{*}\left[\sigma^{*}\left(P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}\right) \cdot \Delta_{k, k+1}\right]$
where $\Delta_{k, k+1}$ is the pull-back of the codimension 2 diagonal in $S^{k+1}$ involving the last two factors, and $\sigma: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k+1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k}$ forgets the last copy of $S$. So it remains to prove that the product of universal classes is also a universal class. This is a consequence of the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{*} & {\left[P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}\right] \cdot \rho_{*}\left[Q\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq l}\right]=} \\
& =f_{*}\left[P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k} \cdot Q\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{k+1 \leq i \leq k+l}\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with all maps as in the following Cartesian square:

(all the maps are identities on $\mathrm{Hilb}_{n}$, and we think of $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ as forgetting the first, respectively last, factors of $S$ ). The identity (2.5) is a straightforward consequence of $f=\rho \circ \pi^{\prime}=\pi \circ \rho^{\prime}$ and the base change formula $\pi_{*}^{\prime} \rho^{\prime *}=\rho^{*} \pi_{*}$. Throughout the present proof, we were able to use the push-forward maps $\pi_{*}, \sigma_{*}$ and $\rho_{*}$ even if $\pi, \sigma$ and $\rho$ were non-proper (in the case of quasi-projective $S$ ), because we only applied them to classes whose support is proper under the respective maps.
2.4. We will prove Theorem 1.1 by deducing it from another well-known description of $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)$ : the de Cataldo-Migliorini decomposition ([2]). To review this construction, we must recall the Heisenberg algebra action introduced independently by Grojnowski ([6]) and Nakajima ([9]) on the Chow groups of Hilbert schemes. For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the closed subscheme:
$\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+k}=\left\{\left(I \supset I^{\prime}\right)\right.$ s.t. $I / I^{\prime}$ is supported at a single $\left.x \in S\right\} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times \operatorname{Hilb}_{n+k}$ endowed with projection maps:

that remember $I, x, I^{\prime}$, respectively. One may use $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+k}$ as a correspondence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*}(\mathrm{Hilb}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}_{k}} A^{*}(\mathrm{Hilb} \times S) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall the notation (2.2)) given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}_{k}=\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*} \circ p_{-}^{*} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{2}$ The main result of $[9]$ is that the operators $\mathfrak{q}_{k}$ obey the commutation relations in the Heisenberg algebra. More generally, we may consider:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}_{\left.k_{1} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}: A^{*}(\mathrm{Hilb}) \rightarrow A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb} \times S^{t}\right)\right) .} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the convention is that the operator $\mathfrak{q}_{k_{i}}$ acts in the $i$-th factor of $S^{t}=S \times \ldots \times S$. Then associated to any $\Gamma \in A^{*}\left(S^{t}\right)$, one obtains an endomorphism of $A^{*}$ (Hilb):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}_{\left.k_{1} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}(\Gamma)=\pi_{1 *}\left(\pi_{2}^{*}(\Gamma) \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}\right), ~()^{2}\right)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}: \mathrm{Hilb} \times S^{t} \rightarrow$ Hilb, $S^{t}$ denote the standard projections (the nonproperness of $\pi_{1}$ is not a problem for defining (2.10), because the support of $\mathfrak{q}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}$ is proper over Hilb). One of the main results of [2 is the following decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*}(\mathrm{Hilb})=\bigoplus_{\left.\left.\Gamma \in A^{*}\left(S^{t}\right) \mathrm{sym}^{k_{1} \geq \ldots \geq k_{t} \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{q}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}(\Gamma) \cdot A^{*}\left(\mathrm{Hilb}_{0}\right)\right) \text { ) }{ }^{2}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript "sym" refers the part of $A^{*}\left(S^{t}\right)$ which is symmetric with respect to those transpositions $(i j) \in \mathfrak{S}_{t}$ for which $k_{i}=k_{j}$. Since Hilb ${ }_{0}=\mathrm{pt}$, we have $A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, and so Theorem 1.1 follows from (2.11) and the following:

Proposition 2.5. The endomorphisms (2.10) preserve the subrings $A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n}\right)$.
2.6. The remainder of our paper will be devoted to proving Proposition 2.5 The problem with doing so directly from the definition (2.8) is that the correspondences (2.6) are rather singular. The exception to this is the case $k=1$, namely:


Above and hereafter, we will write $I \supset_{x} I^{\prime}$ if $I \supset I^{\prime}$ and $I / I^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{C}_{x}$. It is well-known that Hilb ${ }_{n-1, n}$ is smooth of dimension $2 n$. Consider the line bundle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{L i l b}_{(I \supset x-1, n}}{ }^{\prime} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{E}=[\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}]$ is a complex of locally free sheaves on a scheme $X$, then we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathcal{V}):=\operatorname{Proj}_{X}(\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{V})) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the closed subscheme determined by the image of the map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{*}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \rho^{*}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho: \mathbb{P}_{X}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow X$ is the standard projection. In all cases considered in the present paper, the closed subscheme (2.14) is a local complete intersection, cut out by the cosection (2.15). The following result is closely related to Lemma 1.1 of [3:

[^2]Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ be the universal ideal sheaf on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S$, i.e. the kernel of the map $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}}$ from (2.1). Then we have an isomorphism:


The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S}\left(-\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{S}\right)$.

We refer the reader to Section 4 of [10] for details on why (2.16) is a special case of (2.14). In a few words, there is a short exact sequence with $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}$ locally free:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the notation $-\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\vee}$ in (2.16) stands for the complex $\left[\mathcal{V}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\vee}\right]$. Finally, $\omega_{S}$ denotes both the canonical line bundle on $S$ and its pull-back to $\mathrm{Hilb}_{n} \times S$.
2.8. Let us consider the following more complicated cousin of the scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1}=\left\{\left(I, I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { such that } I \supset_{x} I^{\prime} \supset_{x} I^{\prime \prime} \text { for some } x \in S\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1}, I^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n}$ and $I^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n+1}$. We have shown in 10 that $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1}$ is smooth of dimension $2 n+1$. Consider the line bundles:


Consider also the proper maps which forget either $I^{\prime \prime}$ or $I$ :


Let $\Gamma: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S$ be the graph of the map $p_{S}$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the line bundle (2.13) on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1}$. We showed in [11] that there is a short exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}\right)
$$

with $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}$ as in (2.17). We will use the notation $-\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\vee}\right)+\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ for the complex:

$$
\left[\frac{\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}\right)}{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{W}^{\vee}\right)\right]
$$

of coherent sheaves on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1}$.

Proposition 2.9. ([1]) Let $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ be the universal ideal sheaf on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S$. Then:


The line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ on the projectivization.

In both (2.16) and (2.21), we considered projectivization $\mathbb{P}(*)$ where $*$ is written as a $K$-theory class instead of as a complex of sheaves. The reason for this is that we are only interested in $*$ inasmuch as it helps us compute push-forwards. In fact, the definition of Chern/Segre classes implies that we have, for all $k \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{k}\right)=(-1)^{k} c_{k+2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{n} \otimes \omega_{S}^{-1}\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
\pi_{+*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{k}\right)=(-1)^{k} c_{k+1}\left(\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathcal{I}_{n}\right) \otimes \omega_{S}^{-1}-\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{S}^{-1}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

2.10. Our reason for defining the smooth schemes $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1}$ is that it allows us to produce a resolution of the singular scheme Hilb ${ }_{n, n^{\prime}}$, for any $n<n^{\prime}$, in the following sense. Consider the following diagram of spaces and maps ([11):

for all $n<n^{\prime}$. Then we have the following formula ( 8 ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}_{k}=\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*} \circ\left(\pi_{+*} \circ \pi_{-}^{*}\right)^{k-1} \circ p_{-}^{*} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the right-hand side of (2.24) is a $2 n+k+1$ dimensional cycle $\mathfrak{C}$ supported on the $2 n+k+1$ dimensional locus $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+k}$. It is well-known that the latter locus has a single irreducible component of top dimension, namely the closure of the locus $U$ of pairs $\left(I \supset I^{\prime}\right)$ where $I / I^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a length $k$ subscheme of a curve supported at a single point. But in this case, there exists a unique full flag of ideals $I=I_{0} \supset I_{1} \supset \ldots \supset I_{k}=I^{\prime}$, which implies that $\left.\mathfrak{C}\right|_{U} \cong U$, hence (2.24) follows.
2.11. For any $t \geq 0$, define the universal subring:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{t}\right) \subset A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{t}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the subring generated by the classes (2.3) for all $k \geq t$, where one replaces $\pi$ by the map $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{t}$ which forgets the last $k-t$ factors. Then we let:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right) \subset A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right)  \tag{2.26}\\
& A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right) \subset A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

be the subrings generated by $c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$ (respectively $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ ) and the pull-backs of all universal classes via the following maps, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{t} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{t} \\
& \pi_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{t} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n} \times S^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

With this in mind, Proposition 2.5 is a consequence of (2.24) and the following:

Proposition 2.12. For any $t \geq 0$, the maps $\left(p_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)^{*}$, $\left(\pi_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)^{*}$, $\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*},\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*}$ preserve the universal subrings, as defined above.

Indeed, formula (2.24) and Proposition 2.12 imply that if $x \in A_{\mathrm{univ}}^{*}$ (Hilb), then $y=\mathfrak{q}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathfrak{q}_{k_{t}}(x) \in A_{\text {univ }}^{*}\left(H i l b \times S^{t}\right)$, in the sense of (2.25). If we multiply $y$ by the pull-back of any $\Gamma \in A^{*}\left(S^{t}\right)$ and then push it forward to Hilb, it will remain in the subring of universal classes, and this establishes Proposition 2.5

Proof. of Proposition 2.12: The statements about the pull-back maps $\left(p_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(\pi_{-} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)^{*}$ preserving the universal rings are obvious given definitions (2.26) and (2.27). Concerning the push-forward $\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*}$, we must show that:
(2.28) $x \in A_{\text {univ }}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*}(x) \in A_{\text {univ }}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{t}\right)$

We have the following short exact sequence on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\prime} \otimes\left(p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \subset S \times S$ is the diagonal, and $p_{S}: \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \rightarrow S$ is the map which remembers the point $x$ in (2.18). Then for any polynomial $P$ in the Chern classes of $\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}$, whose coefficients are pulled back from $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{k}$ to $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{k}$ : $P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}=P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}^{(i)}}-\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \otimes\left(p_{S} \times \operatorname{proj}_{i}\right)^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\right)\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq k}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{a} \cdot\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)^{*}\left(R_{a}\right) \in A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{k}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for various $R_{a} \in A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{k}\right)$ which are also polynomials in the Chern classes of the universal subschemes. If we apply $\left(\pi_{+} \times \mathrm{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)_{*}$ to (2.30), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)_{*}\left[P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}\right]=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \pi_{+*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{a}\right) \cdot R_{a} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\int_{S^{k-t}}$ denote the push-forward along the last $k-t$ factors of $S^{k}$, we have:

$$
\left(\pi_{+} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*} \underbrace{\int_{S^{k-t}} P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}}_{x \text { from the LHS of }(2.28) \text { is of this form }}=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \pi_{+*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{a}\right) \int_{S^{k-t}} R_{a}
$$

The implication (2.28) follows because the RHS above is a universal class: this is true for $\pi_{+*}\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{a}\right)$ because of formula (2.23), and for $\int_{S^{k-t}} R_{a}$ since $R_{a}$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of the universal subscheme. Finally, the support condition (2.4) is satisfied becase the LHS of (2.30) is by assumption supported on the locus $\left(I_{n-1} \supset_{x} I_{n} \supset_{x} I_{n+1}\right) \times\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n, n+1} \times S^{k}$,
where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \operatorname{supp} I_{n-1}$, hence the $R_{a}$ 's in the RHS of (2.31) are supported on the locus $\left(I_{n} \supset_{x} I_{n+1}\right) \times\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n, n+1} \times S^{k}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \operatorname{supp} I_{n}$.

Concerning the push-forward $\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*}$, we must show that:
(2.32) $y \in A_{\text {univ }}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n} \times S^{t}\right) \Rightarrow\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*}(y) \in A_{\text {univ }}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S \times S^{t}\right)$

By a short exact sequence analogous to (2.29), for any polynomial $P$ in the Chern classes of $\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}$, whose coefficients are pulled back from $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S^{k}$ to $\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n} \times S^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}=  \tag{2.33}\\
& \quad=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{a} \cdot\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)^{*}\left(R_{a}\right) \in A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n} \times S^{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for various $R_{a} \in A^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S \times S^{k}\right)$ which are polynomials in the Chern classes of the universal subschemes. If we apply $\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)_{*}$ to (2.33), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{k}}\right)_{*} P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{a}\right) \cdot R_{a} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence:

$$
\left(p_{+} \times p_{S} \times \operatorname{Id}_{S^{t}}\right)_{*} \underbrace{\int_{S^{k-t}} P\left(\ldots, \operatorname{ch}_{j}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right), \ldots\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}^{1 \leq i \leq k}}_{y \text { from the LHS of }(2.32) \text { is of this form }}=\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{a}\right) \int_{S^{k-t}} R_{a}
$$

The implication (2.32) follows because the RHS above is a universal class: this is true for $\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{a}\right)$ because of formula (2.22), and for $\int_{S^{k-t}} R_{a}$ since $R_{a}$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of the universal subscheme. However, checking the support condition is non-trivial, so let us explain this. By assumption, the LHS of (2.33) is supported on the locus of points $\left(I_{n-1} \supset_{x} I_{n}\right) \times\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n-1, n} \times$ $S^{k}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \operatorname{supp} I_{n-1}$. Therefore, the $R_{a}$ 's which appear in the RHS of (2.34) are supported on the locus of points $\left(I_{n}, x\right) \times\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{n} \times S \times S^{k}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \operatorname{supp} I_{n}$. However, a universal class also needs to be supported on the locus of points where $x \in \operatorname{supp} I_{n}$, but we are rescued because:

$$
\left(p_{+} \times p_{S}\right)_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathcal{L})^{a}\right)=(-1)^{a} c_{a+2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{n} \otimes \omega_{S}^{-1}\right)
$$

vanishes on the locus $x \notin \operatorname{supp} I_{n}$ (this holds because the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ is trivial on the locus $x \notin \operatorname{supp} I_{n}$, and $c_{a+2}$ (line bundle) $=0$ for $a \geq 0$ ).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The notion above is more general than either the small or big tautological classes considered in [8]; the reason is the lack in general of a Kunneth decomposition: $A^{*}\left(S^{k}\right) \not \not 二 A^{*}(S)^{\otimes k}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The transposed correspondences give rise to operators $\mathfrak{q}_{-k}$, which we will not study

