arXiv:1912.03365v1 [math-ph] 5 Dec 2019

Quotient Algebra Partition and Cartan Decomposition for $su(N)$ II

Zheng-Yao Su[a]

National Center for High-Performance Computing,

National Applied Research Laboratories, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This is the sequel exposition following [1]. The framework quotient algebra partition is rephrased in the language of the *s*-representation. Thanks to this language, a quotient algebra partition of the simplest form is established under a minimum number of conditions governed by a *bi-subalgebra* of rank zero, *i.e.*, a Cartan subalgebra. Within the framework, all Cartan subalgebras of $su(N)$ are classified and generated recursively through the process of the *subalgebra extension*.

1 Introduction

In this article as the 2nd episode of the serial [1–3], the framework *Quotient Algebra Partition (QAP)* unveiled in [1] is reformulated. The concept of *bi-subalgebras* and the language of the *s-representation* are introduced. In this language, it admits a recursive classification and generation of all Cartan subalgebras of the Lie algebra $su(N)$ through the process of the so-called *subalgebra extension*. Being an equivalence of 1st maximal subgroups in Z_2^p, 1st maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra in $su(2^p)$ are expounded in depth. The general form of a rank-zero QAP is constructed under a minimum number of conditions governed by a Cartan subalgebra.

2 Kinds of Cartan Subalgebras

Notice that the exposition is presented in two views of a QAP structure, the quotient algebra and co-quotient algebra. To better address quotient algebras, it requires the general forms of quotient algebras and Cartan subalgebras. These forms become manifest when being phrased in the *s-representation*, an expression particularly chosen for generators and briefly presented in Appendix B of [1]. In this representation, a spinor generator of the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$ is written as

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta = \mathcal{S}_{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_p}^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \ldots \epsilon_p} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{p} (|0\rangle\langle a_i| + (-1)^{\epsilon_i} |1\rangle\langle 1 + a_i|). \tag{2.1}$$

Here the p-digit binary string $\alpha \in Z_2^p$ encodes the *binary partitioning* of the generator and the same-length string $\zeta \in Z_2^p$ is interpreted as the *phase* of the generator. It is easy to derive

[a]Email: zsu@nchc.narl.org.tw

the multiplication of two arbitrary spinors $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta$ in the form

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \cdot \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta = (-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}. \tag{2.2}$$

There immediately follow the commutation and anti-commutation relations

$$[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta] = \{(-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha} - (-1)^{\zeta\cdot\beta}\}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\{\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta\} = \{(-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha} + (-1)^{\zeta\cdot\beta}\}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}. \tag{2.4}$$

A parity rule is thus implied that two generators $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta$ either commute if $\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta = 0$ or anti-commute if $\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta = 1$. A great merit of the s-representation is to convert the opertion of multiplication for spinors into (bitwise-)additions of associated binary strings. The whole exposition will proceed in this representation.

The story begins with a simple lemma.

Lemma 1 *For any two commuting spinors $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in su(2^p)$, their bi-additive $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}$ commutes with both the given.*

Proof. From Eq. 2.3, there obtains the relation $\eta\cdot\alpha = \zeta\cdot\beta$, which leads to the identity $\zeta\cdot(\alpha+\beta) = \zeta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta = \zeta\cdot\alpha+\eta\cdot\alpha = (\zeta+\eta)\cdot\alpha$ and thus the vanishing commutator $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}] = 0$; similarly, $[\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}] = 0$. □

In spite of its plainness, this lemma is of basic to building abelain subalgebras. The binary-partitioning and phase strings associated with spinor generators in a Cartan subalgebra are coerced to form an additive subgroup respectively by the lemma. For the consistency of subgroups, the identity operator is added in the set of generators, which, up to an irrelevant global phase in group actions, makes a quotient-algebra structure unchanged. The all-zero string $\mathbf{0}$ is the subgroup identity and signifies the binary partitioning of diagonal operators.

Redenoted as $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ the intrinsic subalgebra comprising all diagonal operators is the most convenient choice of a Cartan subalgebra and regarded *the Cartan subalgebra of the* 0*th kind*,

$$\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_0} : \forall\, \nu_0 \in Z_2^p\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Including the identity operator, this subalgebra spanned by 2^p spinor generators reveals the existence of an isomorphism; the symbol *span* is throughout omitted from notations of subalgebras. Relation (2.2) implies a merit that the product of two spinors can be encoded to the additions of two pairs of binary strings. The isomorphism arises from this operation.

Theorem 1 *The set of spinor generators of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ forms an abelian group isomorphic to Z_2^p under bi-addition $\diamond$: $\forall\, \mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \diamond \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta} \in \mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. The Cartan subalgebra of the 0th-kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ is by definition isomorphic to Z_2^p according to (2.5). Any other Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ is related to $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ by a conjugate transformation. Explicitly constructed in Section 7, the action R is an option for the transformation, which, up to a plus or minus sign, one-to-one maps a spinor generator in $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ to another in $\mathfrak{C}$. Due to relation (2.2), the conjugate transformation preserves the isomorphism. □

This isomorphism will be recounted in a varied expression in Section 3 that turns into a fundamental concept to formulate quotient algebras. Resulting from the operation, the spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is termed *the bi-additive generator of* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$. It will be demonstrated from next section that bi-addition is an elementary operation in depicting algebraic structures and, compared with the Lie bracket, able to provide more *refine* information of unitary Lie algebras.

The next choice, a Cartan subalgebra of the 1st kind $\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon}_{[\alpha]}$ is of the form,

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon}_{[\alpha]} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\nu_1}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} : \forall\, \nu_1, \zeta \in Z_2^p,\ \ \nu_1 \cdot \alpha = 0 \text{ and } \zeta \cdot \alpha = \epsilon\}. \tag{2.6}$$

The binary partitioning α is nonzero and ϵ representing the *self parity* carries either 0 or 1. It is apparent that spinors of an identical binary partitioning commute with only those of the same self parity. As follows is an example of a 1st-kind Cartan subalgebra in $su(8)$,

$$\mathfrak{C}^{1}_{[100]} = \{\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, i\mathcal{S}^{100}_{100}, i\mathcal{S}^{101}_{100}, i\mathcal{S}^{110}_{100}, i\mathcal{S}^{111}_{100}\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Owing to the lemma above, if two binary-partitioning strings α and β appear in a Cartan subalgebra, there must also exist the 3rd one $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, namely the three strings forming an additive subgroup. A Cartan subalgebra of the 2nd kind thus reads as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{22}}_{[\alpha,\beta]} = \{&\mathcal{S}^{\nu_2}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} : \forall\, \nu_2, \zeta, \eta \in Z_2^p,\ \ \nu_2 \cdot \alpha = \nu_2 \cdot \beta = 0, \\ &\zeta \cdot \alpha = \epsilon_{11},\ \eta \cdot \alpha = \zeta \cdot \beta = \epsilon_{12},\ \eta \cdot \beta = \epsilon_{22},\ \gamma = \alpha + \beta \text{ and } \xi = \zeta + \eta\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The two self parities ϵ_{11} and ϵ_{22} and the *mutual* parity ϵ_{12} are independently assigned 0 or 1; the other three parities $\epsilon_{13} = \xi \cdot \alpha, \epsilon_{23} = \xi \cdot \beta$ and $\epsilon_{33} = \xi \cdot \gamma$ are determined by the former three, *e.g.*, $\epsilon_{33} = \epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{22}$. A 2nd-kind Cartan subalgebra in $su(8)$ is given for example,

$$\mathfrak{C}^{101}_{[011,100]} = \{\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, i\mathcal{S}^{010}_{011}, i\mathcal{S}^{001}_{011}, i\mathcal{S}^{111}_{100}, i\mathcal{S}^{100}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{111}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{111}\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Based on the commuting condition and the group closure of associated strings, a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind in $su(2^p)$ takes the form, $0 < k \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{11},\epsilon_{12},\cdots,\epsilon_{kk}}_{[\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_k]} = \{&\mathcal{S}^{\nu_k}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_{2^k-1}}_{\alpha_{2^k-1}} : \\ &\forall\, \nu_k, \zeta_i, \zeta_j \in Z_2^p,\ \alpha_i, \alpha_j \in [\alpha]_k,\ 0 < i, j < 2^k, \\ &\nu_k \cdot \alpha_i = 0,\ \zeta_i \cdot \alpha_j = \zeta_j \cdot \alpha_i = \epsilon_{ij}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

There involve in total 2^k binary-partitioning strings that form an additive subgroup of Z_2^p. Let this subgroup be denoted by $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k]$ with $\alpha_0 \equiv \mathbf{0}$ and abbreviated as $[\alpha]_k$ for convenience, which is generated by the set $\{\alpha\}_k = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ consisting of k independent strings. Also, the set of any k spinor generators $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} : l = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ in the subalgebra determines all the required parities $\epsilon_{ij}, 0 < i, j < 2^k$, as long as $\{\alpha_l : l = 1, 2, \ldots, k\} = \{\alpha\}_k$. Therefore, the k independent binary-partitioning strings and their corresponding parities, respectively attached as the subscript and the superscript, suffice to characterize a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind. The superscript recording a total number $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ of parities ϵ_{rs} in the order $1 \leq r \leq s \leq k$ is marked as $\{\epsilon\}$ whenever no confusion is likely. Since imposing an independent condition of the parity is equivalent to removing a digit from phase strings,

each set of $\{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_k}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_i}^{\zeta_i}\}, 0 < i < 2^k$, contributes the same number 2^{p-k} of elements to the subalgebra. An example of a 3rd-kind Cartan subalgebra in $su(8)$ is given,

$$\mathfrak{C}_{[001,010,100]}^{101011} = \{\mathcal{S}_{000}^{000}, i\mathcal{S}_{001}^{101}, \mathcal{S}_{010}^{100}, i\mathcal{S}_{011}^{001}, i\mathcal{S}_{100}^{111}, \mathcal{S}_{101}^{010}, i\mathcal{S}_{110}^{011}, \mathcal{S}_{111}^{110}\}. \tag{2.11}$$

In the following exposition a Cartan subalgebra of k-th kind is often shortened with the expression $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_i}^{\zeta_i} : 0 \le i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$, where $[\alpha]_k$ and $[\zeta]_q$ denote the subgroups formed by binary-partitioning and phase strings respectively; the number of generators q for the latter will be explained in Section 5 and Appendix 1. This expression suggests the choice that either subgroup $[\alpha]_k$ or $[\zeta]_q$ is a legitimate basis for the subalgebra classification, although the former is adopted throughout the text. In addition, attributed to the symmetric form of Eq. 2.3, all Cartan subalgebras and quotient algebras are endowed with the so-called *bit-phase duality*. This duality guarantees the symmetry that, after interchanging the binary-partitioning and phase strings of each spinor generator, a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind stays to be a member of the q-th kind and the structure of a quotient algebra remains valid.

As to be proved in Section 5, Cartan subalgebras of a Lie algebra can be exhaustively searched shell by shell through the process of the *subalgebra extension* introduced in [1]. Applied to the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$, the subalgebra extension generates all Cartan subalgebras of the k-th kind at the k-th shell of the extension and the process completes at the p-th shell. It is instructive to have a quick glance of the process in the s-representation. The extension starts with the quotient algebra given by the intrinsic center subalgebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p - 1)\}$ as outlined in Fig. 1, which is considered the quotient algebra of the 1st shell. Within this

$$\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_0} : \nu_0 \in Z_2^p\}$$

$$W_l = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta} : \forall\, \zeta \in Z_2^p, \zeta \cdot \alpha_l = \epsilon_l\} \qquad \hat{W}_l = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\hat{\zeta}} : \forall\, \hat{\zeta} \in Z_2^p, \hat{\zeta} \cdot \alpha_l = \hat{\epsilon}_l\}$$

Fig. 1. The quotient algebra of the 1st shell given by the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^p - 1$.

quotient algebra, the spinor generators in the two *conditioned subspaces* W_l and $\hat{W}_l$ of a conjugate pair, $1 \le l < 2^p$, share the identical binary partitioning α_l and yet have opposite self parities, *i.e.*, $\epsilon_l + \hat{\epsilon}_l = 1$. For each conjugate pair $\{W_l, \hat{W}_l\}$, the set of strings $\{\nu_0\} = Z_2^p$ is divided into two halves $\{\nu_1\}$ and $\{\hat{\nu}_1\}$ such that $[\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_1}] = 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{\nu}_1}] \neq 0$ or $\nu_1 \cdot \alpha_l = 0$ and $\hat{\nu}_1 \cdot \alpha_l = 1$; however, $[\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\hat{\zeta}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_1}] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\hat{\zeta}}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{\nu}_1}] = 0$. Thanks to the strings $\hat{\nu}_1$, the commutator $[W_l, \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}]$ yields the spinors $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta+\hat{\nu}_1}$. Since $(\zeta + \hat{\nu}_1) \cdot \alpha_l = \zeta \cdot \alpha_l + 1$, the strings $\hat{\zeta} \equiv \zeta + \hat{\nu}_1$ alter the parity in the conjugate subspace $\hat{W}_l$. Exhibited in Fig. C.1 is an example of the quotient algebra for $su(8)$ at the 1st shell. Being the extension from this quotient algebra, a total number $2 \times (2^p - 1)$ of Cartan subalgebras of the 1st kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha_l]}^{\epsilon_l} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_1}, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta} : \forall\, \nu_1, \zeta \in Z_2^p,\ \nu_1 \cdot \alpha_l = 0 \text{ and } \zeta \cdot \alpha_l = \epsilon_l\}$ are produced.

Once furnished with a Cartan subalgebra extended at the 1st shell $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]}^{\epsilon} \equiv \mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha_l]}^{\epsilon_l}$, a quotient algebra of the 2nd shell $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]}^{\epsilon}; 2^p - 1)\}$ as in Fig. 2, is built by taking $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]}^{\epsilon}$ to be the center subalgebra. In this quotient algebra, there is one "old" conjugate pair $\{W_{\text{old}}, \hat{W}_{\text{old}}\}$, from which only the Cartan subalgebra of the 0th kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_1}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\hat{\nu}_1}\}$ and one 1st-kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]}^{\hat{\epsilon}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\nu_1}, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{\hat{\zeta}}\}$ are recovered, recalling $\epsilon + \hat{\epsilon} = 1$. By extending from the "new" conjugate

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon}_{[\alpha]} = \{\ \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} : \forall\, \nu_1, \zeta \in Z_2^p, \\ \nu_1 \cdot \alpha = 0 \text{ and } \zeta \cdot \alpha = \epsilon\ \}$$

$W_{\rm old}$
$\{\ \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\nu}_1}_{\mathbf{0}} : \forall\ \hat{\nu}_1 \in Z_2^p,\ \hat{\nu}_1 \cdot \alpha = 1\}$

$\hat{W}_{\rm old}$
$\{\ \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}}_{\alpha} : \forall\ \hat{\zeta} \in Z_2^p,\ \hat{\zeta} \cdot \alpha = \hat{\epsilon}\}$

$W_{\rm new}$
$\{\ \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} : \forall\, \eta, \xi \in Z_2^p,$
$\forall\, \nu_2 \in \{\nu_1\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},$
$\forall\, \tau \in \{\zeta\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},$
$\exists\ \rho \in \{\zeta\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},\ s.t.,$
$\nu_2 \cdot \beta = 0,\ \tau \cdot \beta = \eta \cdot \alpha,$
$\beta + \gamma = \alpha,\ \eta + \xi = \rho,$
$\eta \cdot \beta = \sigma\ \}$

$\hat{W}_{\rm new}$
$\{\ \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}}_{\gamma} : \forall\, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\xi} \in Z_2^p,$
$\forall\, \hat{\nu}_2 \in \{\nu_1\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},$
$\forall\, \hat{\tau} \in \{\zeta\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},$
$\exists\ \hat{\rho} \in \{\zeta\} \cap [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'},\ s.t.,$
$\hat{\nu}_2 \cdot \beta = 0,\ \hat{\tau} \cdot \beta = \hat{\eta} \cdot \alpha,$
$\beta + \gamma = \alpha,\ \hat{\eta} + \hat{\xi} = \hat{\rho},$
$\hat{\eta} \cdot \beta = \hat{\sigma}\ \}$

Fig. 2. A quotient algebra of the 2nd shell given by a Cartan subalgebra of the 1st kind.

pairs of opposite self parities $\sigma + \hat{\sigma} = 1$, in total $4 \times (2^{p-1} - 1)$ Cartan subalgebras of the 2nd kind $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha,\beta]} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\nu_2}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\tau}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}\}$ are derived, with the parity string $\{\epsilon\} = \epsilon\, \epsilon_{12}\, \sigma$ and $\epsilon_{12} = \tau \cdot \beta = \eta \cdot \alpha$. Notice that in a new pair the set $\{\nu_2\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\{\nu_1\} = Z_2^p$ and $\{\zeta'\}$ is the coset in the partition of $\{\zeta\} = Z_2^p$ bisected by $\{\nu_2\}$. An example of a such quotient algebra of $su(8)$ is given in Fig. C.2. In contrast to the old conjugate pair, there require more conditions to describe the new pairs. The complexity of these conditions will be rapidly increasing when the extension is conducted to higher shells. Fortunately, a rank-zero quotient algebra can be put into the general form presented in Fig. 4 or even as simple as that in Fig. 6. The crux is to discern the *group structures* hidden in a unitary Lie algebra. The continued exposition is to elaborate upon all these details.

3 Bi-Subalgebra

To write the general form of a quotient algebra, the *bi-subalgebra*, a special form of subalgebra in the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$, need be defined.

Definition 1 *A set of spinor generators $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}\} \subset su(2^p)$ forms a bi-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ if its sets of binary-partitioning and phase strings are respectively a subgroup of Z_2^p; namely, $\mathcal{B}$ is a bi-subalgebra of $su(2^p)$ iff $\forall\ \mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathcal{B} \subset su(2^p)$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Apparently, $su(2^p)$ is a bi-subalgebra of itself, the zeroth *maximal*, and has numerous others. Being an equivalence of a subgroup in Z_2^p, a bi-subalgebra is *a subgroup of* $su(2^p)$ under the operation of bi-addition. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ is a p-th maximal in $su(2^p)$, and from the zeroth to the $(p-1)$-th maximal bi-subalgebras are all nonabelian. Since the abelianness is required to generate quotient algebras according to Corollary 1 of [2], the attention will be confined to abelian bi-subalgebras in this serial of studies. Similarly, a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ contains many bi-subalgebras. They can be easily produced by collecting spinor generators of binary-partitioning and phase strings forming a

subgroup in $[\alpha]_k$ and $[\zeta]_q$ respectively, *cf.* Lemma 19 in Section 5. Essential to formulating quotient algebra partitions, abelian bi-subalgebras are ordered in *maximality*.

Definition 2 *A bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{C}$ *is maximal in a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}$ *iff* $\forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}^c \equiv \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}$.

According to this maximality condition, a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ is the *0th maximal* bi-subalgebra of itself and a proper maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a *1st maximal* bi-subalgebra. In the following text unless else specified, the maximal bi-subalgebras of $\mathfrak{C}$ embrace both the 0th and 1st maximal members. For later purposes, siblings of succeeding orders, such as 2nd, 3rd and maximal bi-subalgebras of other orders will be examined in the continued episode [2]. In a Cartan subalgebra, a 3rd member is obtainable from two arbitrary maximal bi-subalgebras.

Lemma 2 *Derived from every two maximal bi-subalgebras* $\mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}$*, the subspace* $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2) \cup (\mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c)$ *is also a maximal bi-subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{C}$*, here* $\mathfrak{B}_1^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_2$.

Proof. When one of $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$ is the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$, say $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{C}$, the resulted $\mathfrak{B}$ is identical to the other maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_2$, because of the intersections $\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{B}_2$ and $\mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c = \{0\}$ with the null complement $\mathfrak{B}_1^c = \mathfrak{C}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{C} = \{0\}$.

On the other hand, let it be proved first that the subspace $\mathfrak{B}$ is a bi-subalgebra when $\mathfrak{B}_1 \neq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2 \neq \mathfrak{C}$. Since both $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$ are a maximal bi-subalgebra, the spinor generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is in $\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$ if either $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$ or $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c$; $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c$, if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c$. Thus, $\mathfrak{B}$ is a bi-subalgebra. To confirm the maximality of $\mathfrak{B}$ in $\mathfrak{C}$, inspecting on generators in the subspace $\mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c) \cup (\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c)$ is required. This subspace is null iff $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2$, which is excluded. Once more by the definition of a maximal bi-subalgebra, the generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is in $\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2 \subset \mathfrak{B}$, if either $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c$ or $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$; while $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c \subset \mathfrak{B}$, if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2^c$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}_1^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$. The subspace $\mathfrak{B}$ is hence a maximal bi-subalgebra. □

Importantly, the set of maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra forms an abelian group, which offers another aspect of the isomorphism stated in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Given a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$*, the set* $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}) = \{\mathfrak{B}_i; \mathfrak{B}_i$ *is a maximal bi−subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{C}, 0 \leq i < 2^p\}$ *forms an abelian group isomorphic to* Z_2^p *under the* $\sqcap$*-operation, that is,* $\forall\, \mathfrak{B}_i, \mathfrak{B}_j \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$, $\mathfrak{B}_i \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_j \equiv (\mathfrak{B}_i \cap \mathfrak{B}_j) \cup (\mathfrak{B}_i^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_j^c) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$*, where* $\mathfrak{B}_i^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_i$, $\mathfrak{B}_j^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_j$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{C}$ *is the identity of the group,* $0 \leq i, j < 2^p$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, obviously the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ is the identity of the group and every $\mathfrak{B}_i \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the inverse of itself. The abelianness and associativity of the group are self evident, and the closure is already asserted in last lemma. The rest to prove is the isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ and Z_2^p.

Based on the apparent isomorphism of $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ and Z_2^p, each maximal bi-subalgebra of the 0th-kind Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ is uniquely associated to one p-digit string and has the form $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha = \{\mathcal{S}^{\nu}_{\mathbf{0}} : \forall\, \nu \in Z_2^p,\, \nu \cdot \alpha = 0\}$, $\alpha \in Z_2^p$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathfrak{C}$. Given any two members $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{B}_\beta \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]})$, $\alpha, \beta \in Z_2^p$, it is easy to derive the relation $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_\beta = \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha+\beta}$; an explicit example is given in Fig. C.1. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\mathbf{0}}$ be an arbitrary spinor in $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha+\beta}$. The identity $\xi \cdot (\alpha + \beta) = 0$ implies the two cases that $\xi \cdot \alpha = \xi \cdot \beta = 0$ or $\xi \cdot \alpha = \xi \cdot \beta = 1$, and therefore $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\mathbf{0}}$ also belongs

to either $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{B}_\beta$ or $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_\beta^c$. Similarly, since the identity $\xi \cdot (\alpha + \beta) = 0$ results from either of the former two $\xi \cdot \alpha = \xi \cdot \beta = 0$ and $\xi \cdot \alpha = \xi \cdot \beta = 1$, the other direction that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\xi} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\xi} \in (\mathfrak{B}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{B}_\beta) \cup (\mathfrak{B}_\alpha^c \cap \mathfrak{B}_\beta^c)$ is affirmed. Therefore, the isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]})$ and Z_2^p is validated.

Furthermore, another arbitrary Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ is connected to $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ by a conjugate transformation R, which however does not affect the relations of intersection, union and complement among subspaces of the Cartan subalgebras. In other words, for any given subspaces $V, W \subset \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$, $V', W' \subset \mathfrak{C}$, $RVR^\dagger = V'$ and $RWR^\dagger = W'$, the relations keep unchanged in the two subalgebras such that $R(V \cap W)R^\dagger = V' \cap W'$, $R(V \cup W)R^\dagger = V' \cup W'$ and $R(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} - V)R^\dagger = \mathfrak{C} - V'$. Accordingly, the isomorphism is preserved under the conjugate transformation. □

The number of maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra is an immediate result of the isomorphism.

Corollary 1 *A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ has a total number 2^p of maximal bi-subalgebras.*

The role of maximal bi-subalgebras is to be unveiled in the following series of lemmas. It is crucial that a spinor generator commutes with a unique maximal bi-subalgebra.

Lemma 3 *For every spinor generator $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \in su(2^p)$, $p > 1$, there exists a unique maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ holding the commutation and anti-commutation relations $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ and $\{\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathfrak{B}^c\} = 0$, here $\mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$.*

Proof. Obviously as $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \in \mathfrak{C}$, a such unique maximal bi-subalgebra is the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$. On the other hand, for a generator $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \notin \mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$, $p > 1$, there has at least one generator in $\mathfrak{C}$ commuting with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ or contradictions occur otherwise. If both $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi \in \mathfrak{C}$ commute with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$, so does $\mathcal{S}_{\beta+\gamma}^{\eta+\xi}$. Thus the subset $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ collecting all elements in $\mathfrak{C}$ that commute with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ is a bi-subalgebra, noting that $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \in \mathfrak{C}$ if $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{C}$. Meanwhile, for any two generators $\mathcal{S}_{\beta'}^{\eta'}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma'}^{\xi'} \in \mathfrak{C} - \mathcal{B}$ not commuting (or anti-commuting) with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$, the commutator $[\mathcal{S}_{\beta'+\gamma'}^{\eta'+\xi'}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta]$ vanishes, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{S}_{\beta'+\gamma'}^{\eta'+\xi'} \in \mathcal{B}$. Accordingly, $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}$ is a 1st maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$. Now let the uniqueness of $\mathfrak{B}$ be shown by contradiction. Suppose there have two 1st maximal bi-subalgebras $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$ both commuting with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and there is at least one generator $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1}^{\eta_1} \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1}^{\eta_1} \in \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_2$. Apparently this leads to the contradiction that $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_{\beta_1}^{\eta_1}] = \{\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_{\beta_1}^{\eta_1}\} = 0$, which ends the proof. □

An alternative proof by construction for the unique correspondence is given in Appendix B. Directly resulting from the above, the following lemma is of use.

Lemma 4 *Given a spinor generator $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \in su(2^p)$ commuting with a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$, i.e., $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$, any generator $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathfrak{C}$ commuting with $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ must be in $\mathfrak{B}$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathfrak{B}$ holds when $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$ by default. While as $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$, the vanishing commutator $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta] = 0$ violates Lemma 3 if $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$. □

As a consequence of this correspondence, every maximal bi-subalgebra is entitled to claim its share in the algebra.

Lemma 5 *Two subspaces $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2 \subset su(2^p)$ respectively determined by two maximal bi-subalgebras $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$, i.e., $[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathfrak{B}_1] = 0$ and $[\mathcal{W}_2, \mathfrak{B}_2] = 0$, share the null intersection $\mathcal{W}_1 \cap \mathcal{W}_2 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. It is easy to deduce the contradiction that both the two maximal bi-subalgebras $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$ commute with $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi$ with the assumption that there exists a spinor $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi \in \mathcal{W}_1 \cap \mathcal{W}_2$. □

Lemmas 3 and 5 reveal a truth of fundamental importance that, summarized as the following theorem, the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ can generate a partition of $su(2^p)$. For the Lie algebra $su(N)$ of dimension N not being a power of 2, supposing $2^{p-1} < N < 2^p$, its corresponding Cartan subalgebras, bi-subalgebras and partitioned subspaces are acquirable by applying the removing process to those of $su(2^p)$ [1]. Let this partition generally allowed in Lie algebras be called a *commutator partition*.

Theorem 3 *The abelian group $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ comprising all maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ in the Lie algebra $su(N)$ decides a commutator partition of order p of $su(N)$, here $2 < 2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$.*

As will be exposed in a later episode [3], the commutator partition of the above is exactly the *bi-subalgebra partition of order p* generated by $\mathfrak{C}$. Equivalent to a partition of a group given by its subgroup, a bi-subalgebra partition of order l in $su(N)$ is generated by an l-th maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset su(N)$ by employing the operation of bi-addition over spinors of the algebra, where the bi-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ is no necessarily abelian. Refer to [3] for detailed discussions. However, only partitions given by abelian bi-subalgebras are in current interest, recalling that a Cartan subalgebra is a p-th maximal bi-subalgebra of $su(N)$ as $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$. Notably, the commutator partition and bi-subalgebra partition generated by a same bi-subalgebra in general are not identical, but hold a duality relation [3].




Fig. 3. A schematic diagram of the commutator partition of order p decided by the abelian group $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ comprising all maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$; here $\mathcal{W}_i$ is the conjugate-pair subspace determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_i \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ according to the condition $[\mathcal{W}_i, \mathfrak{B}_i] = 0$, $1 \leq i < 2^p$, the maximal bi-subalgebras of $\mathfrak{C}$ form the abelian group $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ with the $\sqcap$-operation, and the conjugate-pair subspaces are closed under the commutator, *e.g.*, $[\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2] = \mathcal{W}_3$ iff $\mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{B}_3$.

This partition conveys a significant implication that, guided by the commutator rule

$[\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$, a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$ uniquely *defines* one partitioned subspace $\mathcal{W}$ in the algebra. A such subspace $\mathcal{W}$ is termed *the commutator subspace* or, specifically, *the conjugate-pair subspace* or simply *the conjugate pair*, *determined by* $\mathfrak{B}$; the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$, determiend by itself, is considered a *degrade* conjugate pair. With an abelian group structure, the set of all conjugate-pair subspaces is closed under the bi-addition and the commutator operations. A schematic diagram of a commutator partition is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Lemma 6 *For two conjugate-pair subspaces* $\mathcal{W}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{W}_2$ *respectively determined by maximal bi-subalgebras* $\mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}$*, the closure holds that,* $\forall\, \mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta \in \mathcal{W}_2$*, the bi-additive generator* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ *belongs to the conjugate-pair subspace* $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2]$ *determined by the maximal bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$*, i.e.,* $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}, \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2] = 0$ *and thus* $[\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2] = 0$.

Proof. For any $\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$, there have the two identities either $\zeta \cdot \gamma + \xi \cdot \alpha = 0$ and $\eta \cdot \gamma + \xi \cdot \beta = 0$ if $\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$ or, by Lemma 2, $\zeta \cdot \gamma + \xi \cdot \alpha = 1$ and $\eta \cdot \gamma + \xi \cdot \beta = 1$ if $\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma \in \mathfrak{B}^c_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}^c_2$; only the former pair of identities remain when one of $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$ is identical to $\mathfrak{C}$. The relation is then valid for both the cases that $(\zeta + \eta) \cdot \gamma = \xi \cdot (\alpha + \beta)$ and therefore $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}, \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2] = 0$. □

In a commutator partition, each conjugate pair also corresponds to a coset subspace via the operation of bi-addition.

Lemma 7 *The conjugate-pair subspace* $\mathcal{W}$ *determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B}$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$ *is a coset of* $\mathfrak{C}$ *under the bi-addition.*

Proof. It is easy to confirm the lemma by asserting the inclusions $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma} \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma \in \mathfrak{C}$. The part $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{C}$ is a direct implication of Lemma 6 letting $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2$ and $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}_2$. Through the anti-commutator $\{\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathfrak{B}^c\} = 0$ by Lemma 3 and the commutator $[\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^c] = 0$ for $\mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$, there acquires $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}, \mathfrak{B}^c\} = 0$. Further based on Lemma 3 with the commuting of $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ due to $[\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathfrak{B}] = [\mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$, the coverage of $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}$ in $\mathcal{W}$ is concluded. □

Explicated in Lemma 3, every spinor commutes with a unique maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ but anti-commutes with $\mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$. A similar rule is applicable to conjugate pairs.

Lemma 8 *Given two conjugate pairs* $\mathcal{W}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{W}_2$ *respectively determined by maximal bi-subalgebras* $\mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}$*, the subspace* $\mathcal{W}_1 = W_{12} \cup \hat{W}_{12}$ *can divide into two subspaces such that every spinor in* $\mathcal{W}_2$ *commutes with* W_{12} *and anti-commutes with* $\hat{W}_{12}$*, here* W_{12} *and* $\hat{W}_{12}$ *fulfilling the condition* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\eta'}_{\alpha'+\beta'} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$ *as well as* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\eta}_{\alpha'+\beta}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta'}_{\alpha+\beta'} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_2 = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_2$ *for all* $\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta \in W_{12}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha'}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta'}_{\beta'} \in \hat{W}_{12}$.

Proof. Remind Lemma 6 that each subspace $\mathcal{W}_i$ is a coset of $\mathfrak{C}$ under the bi-addition, $i = 1, 2$. This feature suggests a division of the subspace $\mathcal{W}_1 = W_{12} \cup \hat{W}_{12}$ into two subspaces of the forms $W_{12} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0+\xi}_{\alpha_0+\gamma} : \mathcal{S}^\xi_\gamma \in \mathfrak{B}_2\}$ and $\hat{W}_{12} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0+\xi'}_{\alpha_0+\gamma'} : \mathcal{S}^{\xi'}_{\gamma'} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_2\}$ with some spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{W}_1$. As a result, an arbitrary spinor $\mathcal{S}^\nu_\tau \in \mathcal{W}_2$ commutes with W_{12} (or $\hat{W}_{12}$) and anti-commutes with $\hat{W}_{12}$ (or W_{12}) if $[\mathcal{S}^\nu_\tau, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0}] = 0$ (or if $\{\mathcal{S}^\nu_\tau, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0}\} = 0$). □

As $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_2$ in Lemma 6, the bi-additive generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ of $\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta$ in the same

conjugate-pair subspace $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}_2$ is contained in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathfrak{C}$, which is the degrade conjugate pair $\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathfrak{C}$ determined by $\mathfrak{C}$. Note that this property can be rephrased as "$\forall\, g, g' \in \mathcal{W}$, $[g, g'] \in \mathfrak{C}$" when the dimension of the Lie algebra is not a power of 2. An isomorphism relating group structures embedded in $su(2^p)$ is hence concluded.

Corollary 2 *Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$, there exists the isomorphism relation $\{\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W} \subset su(2^p)$ and $[\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})\} \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \{\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta : \mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta \in \mathfrak{C}\} \cong Z_2^p$ for the sets of all conjugate pairs in $su(2^p)$, of all maximal bi-subalgebras, of all spinor generators in $\mathfrak{C}$ and of all p-digit strings under the commutator, the $\sqcap$, the bi-addition $\diamond$ operations and bit-wise addition respectively.*

The isomorphism indicates the structure of a hypercube topology respectively held by these four sets in common. In particular, based on the one-to-one correspondence of subspaces $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ governed by the commutator rule $[\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$, it is fair to interpret the topological structures of the first two groups that share the same group identity $\mathfrak{C}$ as *the dual image* of each other. For a Lie algebra of dimension N, $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$, all the required Cartan subalgebras, bi-subalgebras and conjugate pairs can be obtained from those for $su(2^p)$ with the help of removing process. The isomorphism is thus extended to algebras of arbitrary dimensions.

Corollary 3 *Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$, $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$, there exists the isomorphism relation $\{\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W} \subset su(N)$ and $[\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})\} \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong Z_2^p$ for the sets of all conjugate pairs in $su(N)$, of all maximal bi-subalgebras in $\mathfrak{C}$ and of all p-digit strings under the commutator, the $\sqcap$ operations and bit-wise addition respectively.*

It is naive to reckon that this isomorphism has "trivialized" a unitary Lie algebra to a set of binary strings of a certain length. For still the quaternion characters of the algebra are well hidden in each conjugate pair. Specifically, unlike that of a standard hypercube, every node of the hypercube-topology structure for $su(N)$ has internals and is composed of a pair of quaternion units. More features of this structure will be detailed in next section.

4 General Form of Quotient Algebra

Recall Lemma 6 asserting the closure of spinors among conjugate pairs under the bi-addition. Given two spinors $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta$ of the same conjugate-pair subspace $\mathcal{W}$ determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{C}$, this lemma assures their bi-additive $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}$ to be either in $\mathfrak{B}$ or in $\mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$. The so-called *coset rule* can further divide $\mathcal{W}$ into two subspaces by placing the bi-additive spinor $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta}$ in $\mathfrak{B}$ only for every pair $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta$ and $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathcal{W}$. The conjugate pair $\mathcal{W}$ thereby splits into two subspaces, each of which respects the commutator and the coset rules as in the following definition.

Definition 3 *A vector subspace $W \subset su(2^p)$ is a conditioned subspace of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ if two rules are satisfied: the commutator rule $[W, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ and the coset rule $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+\beta}^{\zeta+\eta} \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\forall\, \mathcal{S}_\alpha^\zeta, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in W$.*

According to this definition, there formulate two *degrade* conditioned subspaces the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ and the null space when taking $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$. Namely, the degrade conjugate pair $\mathfrak{C}$ is "bisected" into itself and $\{0\}$. While every conjugate pair determined by $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ is bisected

into two non-null conditioned subspaces.

Lemma 9 *Given the conjugate pair $\mathcal{W}$ determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$, either $\mathcal{W}$ itself is a conditioned subspace of $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$, or there exist two non-null conditioned subspaces $W \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $\hat{W} = \mathcal{W} - W$ of $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$ for every pair $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \hat{W}$.*

Proof. The degrade conjugate pair $\mathfrak{C}$ is also a conditioned subspace of itself is self-evident, so only the conjugate pair determined by $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ is considered here. By Lemma 6, any bi-additive spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ of $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{W}$ must be in either $\mathfrak{B}$ or $\mathfrak{B}^c$. Suppose $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ is in $\mathfrak{B}$. There exists at least one non-null conditioned subspace of $\mathfrak{B}$ and let it be W, that is, $W \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2} \in W$. Moreover, let every $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{W}$ be included in W as long as $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta}_{\alpha_1+\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}$. The subspace $\hat{W}$ must be non-null too, for $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$ if $\hat{W} = \{0\}$. Given any $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \hat{W}$, the inclusion holds $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}^c$. Because it contradicts the assumption of W being a conditioned subspace of $\mathfrak{B}$ if otherwise. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta_1}_{\alpha+\beta_1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta_2}_{\alpha+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c$ for any $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1}_{\beta_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_2}_{\beta_2} \in \hat{W}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ is a maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$, another inclusion is reached that $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Consequently, it affirms that $\hat{W}$ is a conditioned subspace of $\mathfrak{B}$ as well. The same assertions are acquirable when supposing $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c \neq \{0\}$ in the beginning. □

This lemma endorses the bisection format to denote a conjugate pair $\mathcal{W} = \{W, \hat{W}\}$. A subspace decided by Definition 3 is literally known as a conditioned subspace *of rank zero* and let a partition of a unitary Lie algebra consisting of such subspaces be called *a quotient-algebra partition of rank zero* and denoted as $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathfrak{C})\}$, to differ from those of higher ranks discussed in the sequels [2, 3]. Yet, the rank specification is ignored often without confusion. The non-commuting feature between the two non-null conditioned subspaces of a pair is noted.

Lemma 10 *The two conditioned subspaces W and $\hat{W}$ of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ anti-commute, namely $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}\} = 0$ for every pair $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \hat{W}$.*

Proof. As known, two arbitrary spinors either commute or anti-commute. Assume that there is a commuting pair of spinors $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \hat{W}$. Then, it deduces that the bi-additive $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{C}$ commutes with both $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$ by Lemmas 6 and 1, and thus belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$ by Lemma 4. This outcome contradicts the fact $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$ asserted in Lemma 9. The assumption is hence denied. □

The property of the conjugate partition is an immediate implication of the bisection over a conjugate pair.

Lemma 11 *The relation of the conjugate partition $[W, \mathfrak{C}] \subset \hat{W}$, $[\hat{W}, \mathfrak{C}] \subset W$ and $[W, \hat{W}] \subset \mathfrak{C}$ is fulfilled for the pair of conditioned subspaces W and $\hat{W}$ of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. This property is essentially a restatement of Lemma 6 with the imposition of the coset rule on the same conjugate-pair subspace. The relation trivially holds for $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$.

For any $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$ as $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$, the commutator $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}] \neq 0$ produces $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma} \notin W$ and $\notin \mathfrak{C}$ either. Still the bi-additive generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}$ belongs to the conjugate pair $\mathcal{W} = \{W, \hat{W}\}$ determined by the maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$, for $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ owing to the vanishing of $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{B}]$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{B}]$. Then by Lemma 9, the inclusion is asserted that

$\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma} \in \mathcal{W} - W = \hat{W}$ and thus $[W, \mathfrak{C}] \subset \hat{W}$; similarly $[\hat{W}, \mathfrak{C}] \subset W$. The 3rd inclusion $[W, \hat{W}] \subset \mathfrak{C}$ simply restates Lemmas 6 and 9. □

The relation of the conjugate partition faithfully reflects the quaternion feature hidden in each node of the aforesaid configuration of hypercube topology. Then the structure of a quotient algebra is prepared to appear.

Lemma 12 *Every conditioned subspace of rank zero is abelian.*

Proof. By the coset and the commutator rules, any two spinors $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$ in a conditioned subspace commute with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$. Two equivalent identities are thus obtained $\zeta \cdot (\alpha + \beta) = (\zeta + \eta) \cdot \alpha$ and $\eta \cdot (\alpha + \beta) = (\zeta + \eta) \cdot \beta$, which lead to the parity identity $\zeta \cdot \beta = \eta \cdot \alpha$. □

Alternatively, a conditioned subspace can be obtained via the operation of bi-addition.

Lemma 13 *Each of the two conditioned subspaces W and $\hat{W}$ determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$ is a coset of $\mathfrak{B}$ under the bi-addition.*

Proof. The proof concerns only the case for W by showing the inclusions $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma} \in W$ for all $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{B}$; a similar argument is applicable to $\hat{W}$. The fact $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}$ is by Definition 3. Since W is abelian by Lemma 12 and $[\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}, W] = 0$ by Definition 3, the bi-additive $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}$ commutes with W. Then, owing to the coverage $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma} \in \mathcal{W} = W \cup \hat{W}$ of Lemma 7 and the anti-commutating $\{W, \hat{W}\} = 0$ from Lemma 10, the subspace W embraces the bi-additive $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\xi}_{\alpha+\gamma}$. □

The following lemma paves the way for the success of the subalgebra extension.

Lemma 14 *Given the two conditioned subspaces W and $\hat{W}$ determined by a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$, the unions $\mathfrak{B} \cup W$ and $\mathfrak{B} \cup \hat{W}$ form a Cartan subalgebra in $su(N)$ respectively.*

Proof. Here consider the case of the abelian subspace $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{B} \cup W$ only and the same conclusion is reachable for $\mathfrak{B} \cup \hat{W}$ via a similar argument. To affirm that $\mathcal{V}$ is a bi-subalgebra, the inclusion is required that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathcal{V}$. This is obviously true if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Since the subspace W is a coset of $\mathfrak{B}$ under the bi-addition as asserted in Lemma 13, the bi-additive $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$ if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W$, or to W if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W$. In one word, the subspace $\mathcal{V}$ encloses the bi-additive $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and thus is a bi-subalgebra.

To further show that $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{B} \cup W$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $su(N)$, it needs to verify the nonvanishing commutator $[\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{V}] \neq 0$ for all $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in su(N) - \mathcal{V}$. The consideration divides into for the three instances $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \hat{W}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in su(N) - \mathfrak{C} \cup \mathcal{W}$ with $\mathcal{W} = W \cup \hat{W}$. The spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}$ anti-commutes with W both in the 1st instance $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{B}^c$ by Lemma 3 and the 2nd $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \in \hat{W}$ by Lemma 10. Lastly, the spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}$, as in $su(N) - \mathfrak{C} \cup \mathcal{W}$, and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ do not commute, because $\mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma}$ uniquely commutes with another maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$ according to Lemma 3. The proof completes. □

Lemma 15 *The commutator vanishes $[[W_1, W_2], \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2] = 0$ for W_1 and W_2 respectively being a conditioned subspace of maximal bi-subalgebras $\mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate result of Lemma 6. □

Lemma 16 *Given* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2} \in W_1$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1}_{\beta_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_2}_{\beta_2} \in W_2$ *where* W_1 *and* W_2 *are respectively a non-null conditioned subspace of maximal bi-subalgebras* $\mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$*, the inclusion holds* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2+\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$ *if* $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i}_{\beta_i}] \neq 0$*,* $i = 1, 2$*.*

Proof. Owing to the coset rule, it is obvious that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$. The inclusion $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2+\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$ apparently holds when $\mathfrak{B}_1$ or $\mathfrak{B}_2$ is identical to $\mathfrak{C}$. As $\mathfrak{B}_1 \neq \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2 \neq \mathfrak{C}$, the validness of this lemma rests upon the fact that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$ iff $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ or $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_2$ iff $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_1$. Based on the beginning assumption $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$, the commutator rule offers two identities $(\zeta_1+\zeta_2)\cdot\beta_i+\eta_i\cdot(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) = 0, i = 1, 2$. Since $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i}_{\beta_i}] \neq 0, i = 1, 2$, two more identities are provided $\zeta_i \cdot \beta_i + \eta_i \cdot \alpha_i = 1, i = 1, 2$. Consequently, two parity relations are derived $\zeta_1\cdot\beta_2+\eta_2\cdot\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\zeta_2\cdot\beta_1+\eta_1\cdot\alpha_2 = 1$, which lead to the vanishing commutators $[\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0, i = 1, 2$, for $\zeta_i\cdot(\beta_1+\beta_2)+(\eta_1+\eta_2)\cdot\beta_i = 0$. Then by Lemma 4, the result $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1$ is drawn. It can be similarly affirmed that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$ if $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1$. The proof for the 2nd case is closely similar to that for the 1st and only some identities are slightly adjusted during the derivation. Such as supposing $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_2$, by Lemma 2 the initial two identities change into $(\zeta_1+\zeta_2)\cdot\beta_i+\eta_i\cdot(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) = 1, i = 1, 2$. Nevertheless, the whole derivation is conducted in parallel, which leads to the commutators $[\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] \neq 0, i = 1, 2$. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_2 \subset \mathfrak{C}$ and $[\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0, i = 1, 2$, it deduces that $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_1$. The other direction that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_2$ if $\mathcal{S}^{\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_1$ is similarly asserted. Finally, the 1st case implies that $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2+\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2$ and the 2nd $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2+\eta_1+\eta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1+\beta_2} \in \mathfrak{B}^c_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}^c_2$. The proof completes. □

Respectively confirming the commutator and the coset rules, Lemmas 15 and 16 validate the condition of closure held among conditioned subspaces of two arbitrary maximal bi-subalgebras and of the 3rd bi-subalgebra generated from them with the $\sqcap$-operation. The explicit formulation of the condition is further assured as follows.

Lemma 17 *For two conjugate pairs* $\{W_1, \hat{W}_1\}$ *and* $\{W_2, \hat{W}_2\}$ *respectively determined by maximal bi-subalgebras* $\mathfrak{B}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2 \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}$*,* $\mathfrak{B}_1 \neq \mathfrak{C}$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}_2 \neq \mathfrak{C}$*, if one of the commutator inclusions is true* $[W_1, W_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$*,* $[W_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset W_3$*,* $[\hat{W}_1, W_2] \subset W_3$ *and* $[\hat{W}_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$*, so are the rest three, here* $\{W_3, \hat{W}_3\}$ *being the conjugate pair determined by* $\mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$*.*

Proof. Only one occasion is proved here that the other three inclusions hold if $[W_1, W_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$ is assumed. Due to the assumed relation, the nonvanishing commutators $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha'}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$ produce the generators $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\hat{\eta}}_{\alpha'+\hat{\beta}}$ with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha'} \in W_1$, $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W_2$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}} \in \hat{W}_2$. By going through the parity identities given from the facts $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha'}] = 0$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\zeta'}_{\alpha+\alpha'}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta+\hat{\eta}}_{\beta+\hat{\beta}} \in \mathfrak{C}$, it is easy to verify that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\hat{\eta}}_{\alpha'+\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$. Since both $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\hat{\eta}}_{\alpha'+\hat{\beta}}$ are in the conjugate pair determined by $\mathfrak{B}_1 \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_2$ but do not commute, by Lemma 10 $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'+\hat{\eta}}_{\alpha'+\hat{\beta}}$ must be in W_3 if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \hat{W}_3$. The inclusion $[W_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset W_3$ is thus asserted. The inclusion $[\hat{W}_1, W_2] \subset W_3$ holds similarly. Likewise, let the commutators $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}] \neq 0$ and

$[\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}}_{\hat{\alpha}}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$ produce the generators $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}+\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}}$ with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in W_1$, $\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}}_{\hat{\alpha}} \in \hat{W}_1$, $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W_2$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}} \in \hat{W}_2$. It immediately derives that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}+\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}}] = 0$, for $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}}_{\hat{\alpha}}] \neq 0$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\hat{\zeta}}_{\alpha+\hat{\alpha}}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta+\hat{\eta}}_{\beta+\hat{\beta}} \in \mathfrak{C}$. Since the two generators are in the same conjugate pair and commute, by Lemma 10 again, $\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}+\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}}$ is in $\hat{W}_3$ too if $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \hat{W}_3$, that is, $[\hat{W}_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$. It is equally easy to assert the rest three occasions based on the other assumptions. □

In fact, there is a 2nd option to phrase the condition of closure $[W_1, W_2] \subset W_3$, $[W_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$, $[\hat{W}_1, W_2] \subset \hat{W}_3$ and $[\hat{W}_1, \hat{W}_2] \subset W_3$. However, in order to keep consistent with the original formulation in [1], the former expression is herein designated and also in the continued work [2, 3]. The degrade conjugate pair is thus put as $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{W}_0 = \{W_0 = \mathfrak{C}, \hat{W}_0 = \{0\}\}$ such that $[W, \hat{W}] \subset W_0 = \mathfrak{C}$. Moreover, by assigning the associated maximal bi-subalgebra and introducing an appropriate parity to each subspace, the two conditioned subspaces of $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ are redenoted in the forms $\hat{W} = W^0(\mathfrak{B})$ and $W = W^1(\mathfrak{B})$. With this new notation, both the conjugate partition of Lemma 11 and the condition of closure of Lemma 17 can be concisely written into one commutator inclusion as in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *With the abelian group $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ consisting of all maximal bi-subalgebras of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$, $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$, the Lie algebra $su(N)$ can be partitioned into 2^{p+1} conditioned subspaces following the commutation relation, $\forall\, \epsilon, \sigma \in Z_2$ and $\forall\, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}' \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$,*

$$[W^{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{B}), W^{\sigma}(\mathfrak{B}')] \subset W^{\epsilon+\sigma}(\mathfrak{B} \sqcap \mathfrak{B}'), \tag{4.1}$$

where $W^0(\mathfrak{B}) = \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $W^1(\mathfrak{B}) = W(\mathfrak{B})$ are the two conditioned subspaces of $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{C}$, and $W^0(\mathfrak{B}) = \{0\}$ and $W^1(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{C}$ as $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$.

The commutation relation of Eq. 4.1 is a clear and terse representation of the *quaternion democracy* enjoyed in a quotient-algebra partition of a unitary Lie algebra. That is, within this partition, three arbitrary conditioned subspaces behave as the three quaternion units obeying the rule of a commutator inclusion. It is favorable to term this commutation relation *the quaternion condition of closure of rank zero*.

In a quotient algebra partition of rank zero $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathfrak{C})\}$, there create two types of structures as a direct consequence of Eq. 4.1: *a quotient algebra of rank zero* when a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ serves as the center subalgebra and *a co-quotient algebra of rank zero* when a conditioned subspace else from $\mathfrak{C}$ plays the role instead. The exposition of (co-)quotient algebras of higher ranks is postponed to next episode [2].

Theorem 5 *In the quotient algebra partition of rank zero $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathfrak{C})\}$ generated by a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(N)$, $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$, there determine the quotient algebra of rank zero given by $\mathfrak{C}$, denoted as $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}; 2^p - 1)\}$ and as illustrated in Fig. 4, when $\mathfrak{C}$ is taken as the center subalgebra, or a co-quotient algebra of rank zero given by a conditioned subspace $W(\mathfrak{B})$ (or $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$) of a 1st maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$, denoted as $\{\mathcal{Q}(W(\mathfrak{B}); 2^p - 1)\}$ (or $\{\mathcal{Q}(\hat{W}(\mathfrak{B}); 2^p - 1)\}$) and as illustrated in Fig. 5, when $W(\mathfrak{B})$ (or $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$) as the center subalgebra.*

Although the algorithm introduced in [1] works equally well to generate a co-quotient algebra, it is instructive to comment on an apparent alternative to produce a such variant structure from its equivalent. As the general form shown in Fig. 5(b), a co-quotient algebra of rank zero

$$\mathfrak{C}$$

$$W(\mathfrak{B}) \qquad\qquad \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$$

$$\{\,\forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi}_{\gamma} \notin \mathfrak{C},\quad [\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0,\quad \mathcal{S}^{\eta+\xi}_{\beta+\gamma} \in \mathfrak{B}\,\}$$

$$\{\,\forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}}_{\hat{\gamma}} \notin \mathfrak{C},\quad [\mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0,\quad \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}+\hat{\xi}}_{\hat{\beta}+\hat{\gamma}} \in \mathfrak{B}\,\}$$

Fig. 4. The general form of the quotient algebra of rank zero given by a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$, where $W(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$ are the two conditioned subspaces of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$.

is given by a conditioned subspace $W(\mathfrak{B}_l)$ instead of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$ as in (a). The two structures are equivalent up to a simple rearrangement of conditioned subspaces. Due to the change of the center subalgebra in $\{\mathcal{Q}(W(\mathfrak{B}_l); 2^p - 1)\}$, every conditioned subspace other than $W(\mathfrak{B}_l)$ needs to find its new conjugate partner to form a conjugate pair via Eq. 4.1 such that the property of the conjugate partition is preserved. In contrast to $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}; 2^p - 1)\}$ having only one degrade pair $\{\mathfrak{C}, \{0\}\}$, the structure $\{\mathcal{Q}(W(\mathfrak{B}_l); 2^p - 1)\}$ has a degrade $\{W(\mathfrak{B}_l), \{0\}\}$ and an irregular $\{\mathfrak{C}, \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B}_l)\}$ in addition to a number $2^p - 2$ of regular conjugate pairs. It is easily recognized in these structures that a 1st maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{C}$ has three Cartan subalgebras as its supersets $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\mathfrak{B} \cup \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$, *cf.* Lemma 14. Both quotient and co-quotient algebras are important to decompositions of unitary Lie algebras, which will be examined in depth in continued episodes [2, 3].

$$(a) \qquad\qquad (b)$$

$$\mathfrak{C} \qquad\qquad W(\,\mathfrak{B}_l\,)$$

$$W(\,\mathfrak{B}_l\,) \quad \hat{W}(\,\mathfrak{B}_l\,) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{C} \quad \hat{W}(\,\mathfrak{B}_l\,)$$

$$W(\mathfrak{B}_m) \quad \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B}_m) \qquad\qquad W(\mathfrak{B}_m) \quad \hat{W}(\,\mathfrak{B}_n\,)$$

$$W(\,\mathfrak{B}_n\,) \quad \hat{W}(\,\mathfrak{B}_n\,) \qquad\qquad W(\,\mathfrak{B}_n\,) \quad \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B}_m\,)$$

Fig. 5. Alternatively producing the co-quotient algebra of rank zero $\{\mathcal{Q}(W(\mathfrak{B}_l); 2^p - 1)\}$, as in (b), given by a conditioned subspace $W(\mathfrak{B}_l)$ of a 1st maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_l$ of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ from the quotient algebra of rank zero $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}; 2^p - 1)\}$, as in (a), via a re-arrangement of abelian subspaces following the conjugate partition; here $\mathfrak{B}_l, \mathfrak{B}_m, \mathfrak{B}_n \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$, $1 \leq l, m, n < 2^p$ and $\mathfrak{B}_m \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{B}_l$.

The general form of a quotient algebra of rank zero admits a further simplification. Similar to the proof of Lemma 12, it is plain to show that the coset rule has a substitute requiring each subspace to be abelian. In other words, a conditioned subspace of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is an abelian subspace commuting with $\mathfrak{B}$.

Lemma 18 *Based on the commutator rule* $[W, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ *for a conditioned subspace* W *of a maximal bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$*,* W *is abelian if and only if* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{B}$ *for every pair* $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \in W$*.*

Proof. Suppose that W is abelian and let $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$ be two arbitrary generators in W. Based on the commutator relation $[W, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ and by Lemma 6, the generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ must fall in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}$. Since commuting with both $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$, *cf.* Lemma 1, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is further affirmed, by Lemma 4, to be included in $\mathfrak{B}$. While the fact that W is abelian if the coset and the commutator rules are satisfied is already asserted in Lemma 12. □

Recall that the corresponding Cartan subalgebras and maximal bi-subalgebras are obtainable through the removing process for a Lie algebra of dimension not being a power of 2. Replacing the coset rule by imposing the abelianness in each conditioned subspace, this simplified version of the general form shall be widely suited.

Corollary 4 *An alternative general form of a quotient algebra of rank zero* $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C})\}$ *applicable to unitary Lie algebras of any dimensions is as shown in Fig. 6.*

$$\mathfrak{C}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} W(\mathfrak{B}) & \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B}) \\ \{g : \forall\, g, g' \notin \mathfrak{C}, & \{\hat{g} : \forall\, \hat{g}, \hat{g}' \notin \mathfrak{C}, \\ [\, g, \mathfrak{B}\,] = 0, & [\, \hat{g}, \mathfrak{B}\,] = 0, \\ [\, g, g'\,] = 0\,\} & [\, \hat{g}, \hat{g}'\,] = 0\,\} \end{array}$$

Fig. 6. An alternative general form of a quotient algebra of rank zero admitted in any dimensions.

Similarly, a co-quotient algebra of rank zero of this form can be constructed from $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C})\}$ by rearranging conditioned subspaces following Eq. 4.1.

5 Subalgebra Extension

As will become apparent in continued episodes [2,3], locating all Cartan subalgebras within a unitary Lie algebra is essential to systematic decompositions of the algebra and factorizations of corresponding transformations. This section is aimed at proving the mathematical truth that all the Cartan subalgebras of $su(2^p)$ can be completely searched in p shells of the subalgebra extension. Toward this goal, it demands a better detailed understanding of maximal bi-subalgebras. Before proceeding further, some notations in frequent use have to be clarified. Given a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \le i < 2^k, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0} \equiv \mathcal{S}^{\nu_k}_{\mathbf{0}}\}$, since subject to the condition $\nu_k \cdot \alpha_i = 0$ as specified in Eq. 2.10 for a number k of independent α_i, the set of the phase strings $\{\nu_k\}$ associated to diagonal spinors $\{\mathcal{S}^{\nu_k}_{\mathbf{0}}\}$ is itself a subgroup of $p-k$ generators and denoted as $[\nu_k]_{p-k}$ or $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$. Being a subgroup of $\{\zeta\}$ that consists of all phase strings in $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$, $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ can generate a partition in $\{\zeta\}$. Within this partition, each set of the phase strings $\{\zeta_i\}$ associated with the binary partitioning α_i corresponds to a coset of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, *cf.* Fig. A.1 in Appendix 1. However, these cosets may have repetitions and vary with the integer h which, ranging from 0 to k, counts the number of independent cosets

in $\{\zeta\}$. For instance, as $h = 0$ every coset is simply a replica of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, and every coset is distinct from each other as $h = k$. The subgroup $\{\zeta\}$ is hence granted the notation $[\zeta]_q$ with $q = p - k + h$ and $0 \leq h \leq k$. A Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind is then legitimately abbreviated in the form $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \leq i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$.

The following subgroup feature as an explicit implication of Lemma 1 paves the way for the classification of bi-subalgebras.

Lemma 19 *For a Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \leq i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$, *all the phase strings associated to a subset of spinor generators* $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_j}_{\alpha_j}\} \subset \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ *must form a subgroup of* $[\zeta]_q$ *if the set of binary-partitioning strings* $\{\alpha_j\}$ *is a subgroup of* $[\alpha]_k$, *i.e.,* $\{\alpha_j\} = [\alpha]_{k'}$ *with* $k' \leq k$, *and likewise the set of binary-partitioning strings must be a subgroup of* $[\alpha]_k$ *if the set of phase strings* $\{\zeta_j\}$ *is a subgroup* $[\zeta]_{q'} \subset [\zeta]_q$ *with* $q' \leq q$; *in either case, the set* $\{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_j}_{\alpha_j}\}$ *forms a bi-subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$.

Classified by the means of construction, there exist two types of 1st maximal bi-subalgebras: the *bit type* constructed according to a maximal subgroup in $[\alpha]_k$ and the *phase type* according to the like in $[\zeta]_q$. The first step of building a bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra is to arbitrarily decide a subgroup $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ of $k-1$ generators from $[\alpha]_k$. Collecting all the spinor generators with the binary partitioning belonging to $[\alpha]_{k-1}$, the set $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} : \forall\, \alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1}\}$ is a bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$. For the latter type, similarly let an arbitrary maximal subgroup $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ be chosen in $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ first. A maximal subgroup $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'} \subset [\zeta]_q$, $q' = q$ or $q-1$, is then formed by taking the union of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and all its cosets in $[\zeta]_q$, noting that every set of phase strings $\{\zeta_i\}$ associated to the spinor generators $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}$, $0 < i < 2^k$, is a coset of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, *cf.* Appendix 1. A phase-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} : \forall\, \zeta_l \in [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}\}$ is acquired by gathering all spinor generators of phase strings included in $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$. Both so created satisfy the maximality condition of Definition 2.

Lemma 20 *A bit-type bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} : \forall\, \alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1}\}$, *constructed according to a maximal subgroup* $[\alpha]_{k-1} \subset [\alpha]_k$, *and a phase-type bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha_r} : \forall\, \zeta_r \in [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}\}$, *according to a maximal subgroup* $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'} \subset [\zeta]_q$, *are both maximal in a given Cartan subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \leq i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$.

A 1st maximal bi-subalgebra in a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C} \subset su(2^p)$ is literally a bisected portion of $\mathfrak{C}$ and, regardless of the type, composed of in total 2^{p-1} spinor generators. Importantly, the union of the bit and the phase types yields the complete set of maximal bi-subalgebras for a given Cartan subalgebra. In Appendix 1 more details of their constructions are elaborated.

Since a maximal bi-subalgebra is either bit type or phase type, two conjugate pairs respectively determined by a bit-type and a phase-type are particularly illustrated in the quotient algebra generated by a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ in Fig. 7. According to the alternative proof of Lemma 3 provided in Appendix B, a spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} \notin \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ is in a conditioned subspace of a bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}$ as in the first pair if $\beta \in [\alpha]_k$. Extended from this pair, the two unions $W_{\text{old}} \cup \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}$ and $\hat{W}_{\text{old}} \cup \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}$ form Cartan subalgebras by Lemma 14, and remain to be a $(k-1)$-th and a k-th kinds respectively; the conditioned subspaces are

hence labeled with the subscript "old." While in the 2nd pair determined by a phase-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}$, the addition of two arbitrary binary-partitioning strings $\gamma+\gamma'$, γ and $\gamma' \notin [\alpha]_k$, is obliged to be in $[\alpha]_k$ by the coset rule. It implies that the union of $[\alpha]_k$ and all binary-partitioning strings γ in this pair grows into a subgroup $[\alpha]_{k+1}$ of $k+1$ generators. Indicated by the subscript "new," the two Cartan subalgebras hereby extended $W_{\rm new} \cup \mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}$ and $\hat{W}_{\rm new} \cup \mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}$, *cf.* Lemma 14, are respectively a $(k+1)$-th kind. This is the major clue hinting the success of the extension. Being crucial to the proof of the algorithm, a structure format is endorsed in advance.

Lemma 21 *A bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra* $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta_l} : \forall\, \alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1} \subset [\alpha]_k\}$ *and a phase-type* $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_r}^{\zeta_r} : \forall\, \zeta_r \in [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'} \subset [\zeta]_q\}$ *of a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_i}^{\zeta_i} : 0 \le i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k$ *and* $\zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$ *respectively determine the conjugate pairs* $\{W_{\rm old}, \hat{W}_{\rm old}\}$ *and* $\{W_{\rm new}, \hat{W}_{\rm new}\}$ *of the forms with detailed contents as shown in Fig. 7.*

Proof. At first, let $\mathcal{W}_{\rm bit}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\rm phase}$ denote the conjugate-pair subspaces determined by the bit-type and the phase-type maximal bi-subalgebras $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}$ respectively. The inclusion of Lemma 6 holds for both the subspaces, namely $\forall\, \mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta, \mathcal{S}_{\beta'}^{\eta'} \in \mathcal{W}_{\rm bit}$, $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi, \mathcal{S}_{\gamma'}^{\xi'} \in \mathcal{W}_{\rm phase}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\beta+\beta'}^{\eta+\eta'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma+\gamma'}^{\xi+\xi'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}}$. This inclusion leads to the two possibilities that either all the binary partitioning β of $\mathcal{W}_{\rm bit}$ are in the subgroup $[\alpha]_k$ or all in the coset $[\alpha]_k^c = [\alpha]_{k+1} - [\alpha]_k$ belonging to a subgroup of $k+1$ generators $[\alpha]_{k+1} \supset [\alpha]_k$; the same two possibilities for all the binary partitioning γ of $\mathcal{W}_{\rm phase}$.

To fix this freedom, the commutator rule comes into play and distinguishes between the two conjugate pairs. Since $\forall\, \zeta_0 \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, $\zeta_0 \cdot \beta = 0$ owing to the rule $[\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\zeta_0}] = 0$, it is discerned that $\beta \in [\alpha]_k$ for all $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta \in \mathcal{W}_{\rm bit}$. On the other hand, since $\forall\, \zeta_0' \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}, \zeta_0'' \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k} - [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$, $\zeta_0' \cdot \gamma = 0$ owing to the rules $[\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\zeta_0'}] = 0$ and $\zeta_0'' \cdot \gamma = 1$ by Lemma 4 and noting $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\zeta_0''} \in \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_k}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}$, it is reached that $\gamma \in [\alpha]_{k+1} - [\alpha]_k$ for all $\mathcal{S}_\gamma^\xi \in \mathcal{W}_{\rm phase}$.

With the application of the coset rule, the subspace $\mathcal{W}_{\rm bit}$ determined by $\mathfrak{B}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}$ can be further discriminated into the two conditioned subspaces $W_{\rm bit}$ and $\hat{W}_{\rm bit}$. Similar to the inclusion above, the binary partitioning β of $W_{\rm bit}$ must be either all in $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ or all in $[\alpha]_{k-1}^c = [\alpha]_k - [\alpha]_{k-1}$, because $\beta + \beta' \in [\alpha]_{k-1}$ for arbitrary $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\eta$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\beta'}^{\eta'} \in W_{\rm bit}$; the same for all the binary partitioning $\hat{\beta}$ of $\hat{W}_{\rm bit}$. These two scenarios, attributed to the commutator and the coset rules, respectively characterize the binary partitionings of the two conditioned subspaces of one conjugate pair. Also recall the non-nullness of each conditioned subspace affirmed in Lemma 9. It is therefore recognized that the conjugate pair $\{W_{\rm bit}, \hat{W}_{\rm bit}\}$ should correspond to the pair $\{W_{\rm old}, \hat{W}_{\rm old}\}$ of Fig. 7. Despite the coset rule making no difference in the respective expressions of the two conditioned subspaces, solely considering the commutator rule identifies $\mathcal{W}_{\rm phase}$ with the pair $\{W_{\rm new}, \hat{W}_{\rm new}\}$. □

Since a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_k$ has $(2^{p-k}-1)2^k$ phase-type maximal bi-subalgebras, *cf.* Appendix 1, there are in total $(2^{p-k}-1)2^{k+1}$ Cartan subalgebras of the $(k+1)$-th kind extended from the quotient algebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\}$. This is the set of all Cartan subalgebras of the $(k+1)$-th kind that can be formed within the quotient algebra given by a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind. Despite having redundancy, the union of these sets must render the complete set of those the $(k+1)$-th kind provided they are extended from

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_{2^k-1}}_{\alpha_{2^k-1}} : \\ \forall\, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k,\, \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q,\, \zeta_i \cdot \alpha_i = \epsilon_{ii} \,\}$$

W_{old}

$$\{\, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i}_{\beta_i}, \cdots : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i}_{\beta_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_j}_{\beta_j} \notin \mathfrak{C},\\ \beta_i, \beta_j \in [\alpha]_{k-1} \subset [\alpha]_k,\\ 1 \le i, j \le 2^k,\\ [\, \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i}_{\beta_i}, \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\beta]_{k-1}} \,] = 0,\\ \mathcal{S}^{\eta_i+\eta_j}_{\beta_i+\beta_j} \in \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\beta]_{k-1}},\\ \eta_i \cdot \beta_i = \sigma_{ii} \,\}$$

$\hat{W}_{\text{old}}$

$$\{\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}_i}_{\hat{\beta}_i}, \cdots : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}_i}_{\hat{\beta}_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}_j}_{\hat{\beta}_j} \notin \mathfrak{C},\\ \hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j \in [\alpha]^c_{k-1} = [\alpha]_k - [\alpha]_{k-1},\\ 1 \le i, j \le 2^k,\\ [\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}_i}_{\hat{\beta}_i}, \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\beta]_{k-1}} \,] = 0,\\ \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}_i+\hat{\eta}_j}_{\hat{\beta}_i+\hat{\beta}_j} \in \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\beta]_{k-1}},\\ \hat{\eta}_i \cdot \hat{\beta}_i = \hat{\sigma}_{ii} \,\}$$

W_{new}

$$\{\, \mathcal{S}^{\xi_i}_{\gamma_i}, \cdots : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\xi_i}_{\gamma_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\xi_j}_{\gamma_j} \notin \mathfrak{C},\\ \gamma_i, \gamma_j \in [\alpha]^c_k = [\alpha]_{k+1} - [\alpha]_k,\\ 1 \le i, j \le 2^k,\\ [\, \mathcal{S}^{\xi_i}_{\gamma_i}, \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} \,] = 0,\\ \mathcal{S}^{\xi_i+\xi_j}_{\gamma_i+\gamma_j} \in \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k},\\ \xi_i \cdot \gamma_i = \chi_{ii} \,\}$$

$\hat{W}_{\text{new}}$

$$\{\, \mathcal{S}^{\xi_i}_{\gamma_i}, \cdots : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}_i}_{\gamma_i}, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}_j}_{\gamma_j} \notin \mathfrak{C},\\ \hat{\gamma}_i, \hat{\gamma}_j \in [\alpha]^c_k = [\alpha]_{k+1} - [\alpha]_k,\\ 1 \le i, j \le 2^k,\\ [\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}_i}_{\gamma_i}, \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} \,] = 0,\\ \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\xi}_i+\hat{\xi}_j}_{\gamma_i+\gamma_j} \in \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k},\\ \hat{\xi}_i \cdot \gamma_i = \hat{\chi}_{ii} \,\}$$

Fig. 7. The general form of a quotient algebra of rank zero with details in conjugate pairs.

quotient algebras given by the complete set of Cartan subalgebras of the k-th kind. Along this thinking, the following theorem concludes the extension.

Theorem 6 *All Cartan subalgebras of the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$ can be generated in the first p shells through the process of the subalgebra extension.*

Proof. The key to the proof is the assertion that for a given Cartan subalgebra of the $(k+1)$-th kind $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in [\alpha]_{k+1} \text{ and } \zeta \in [\zeta]_q\}$, $0 \le k < p$, there always exists a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$ is extended from the quotient algebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\}$. It is soon seen that a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_k$ of this purpose has multiple choices, actually as many as the bit-type maximal bi-subalgebras of the given $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$.

A picturized quotient-algebra structure with details is essential to the argument. A representing structure of $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}})\}$ is portrayed therein by letting every index or parameter k in that of Fig. 7 be replaced by $k+1$. According to Lemma 21, a conditioned subspace $W(\mathfrak{B})$ specifically corresponding to W_{old} in the figure can be formed by fulfilling the commutator and the coset rules determined by a bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$. That is, $W(\mathfrak{B}) = W_{\text{old}} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathcal{S}^{\eta'}_{\beta'} \notin \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}},\, \beta, \beta' \in [\alpha]_k \subset [\alpha]_{k+1},\, [\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{S}^{\eta+\eta'}_{\beta+\beta'} \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha'} : \forall\, \alpha' \in [\alpha]_k \subset [\alpha]_{k+1}\} \subset \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$. Simple is the construction that the union $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B})$ of an arbitrary choice of a bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra and one of its conditioned subspaces in $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}})\}$ makes a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind just needed for the extension.

It is easy to verify that truly $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B})$ is a Cartan subalgebra, *cf.* Lemma 14. Being the union of two commuting abelian subspaces, $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B})$ is abelian. Due to the partition of the space $su(2^p) - \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$ prescribed in Theorem 3, any element which commutes with $\mathfrak{B}$ but not in $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$ must be included in the conjugate pair $\{W_{\rm old}, \hat{W}_{\rm old}\}$ determined by $\mathfrak{B}$. Moreover, since $\forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}} \in \hat{W}(\mathfrak{B})$, $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta''}_{\alpha''} \in \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}} - \mathfrak{B}$, $[W(\mathfrak{B}), \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\eta}}_{\hat{\beta}}] \neq 0$ by Lemma 10 and $[W(\mathfrak{B}), \mathcal{S}^{\zeta''}_{\alpha''}] \neq 0$ by Lemma 3, there exists no commuting element for $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B})$ in its complement in $su(2^p)$. Carrying all binary partitioning $\alpha' \in [\alpha]_k$, the subspace $\mathfrak{B} \cup W(\mathfrak{B}) \equiv \mathfrak{C}_k$ is then confirmed to be a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind. Note that still $\mathfrak{B}$ is a maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}_k$, for all elements in $W(\mathfrak{B})$ obeying the coset rule, yet being phase type rather than bit type. In addition, since all spinor generators in $\mathfrak{B}^c = \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}} - \mathfrak{B} \subset su(2^p) - \mathfrak{C}_k$ satisfy the commutator and the coset rules, the subset $\mathfrak{B}^c$ is a conditioned subspace of $\mathfrak{B}$ in $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\}$. Therefore, it asserts that the given Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_{k+1}}$ can be constructed in the quotient algebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\}$ by taking the union of a maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{C}_k$ and one of its conditioned subspaces $\mathfrak{B}^c$ in $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_k)\}$.

The above argument by induction begins with $k = 0$, where the complete set of Cartan subalgebras of the 1st kind, *cf.* Eq. 2.6, is extended from the intrinsic quotient algebra as shown in Fig. 1. The Lie algebra $su(2^p)$ has Cartan subalgebras at most up to the p-th kind. Let the induction proceed from $k = 0$ through $k = p-1$, which correspond to the first p shells of the subalgebra extension. The proof completes. □

6 Local Equivalence among Cartan Subalgebras

Any two Cartan subalgebras of a Lie algebra as well known are related via a conjugate transformation. In particular, a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind in $su(N)$, for $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$ and $0 \leq k < p$, can be mapped to certain of the p-th kind by local transformations.

Corollary 5 *Every Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_k$, $0 \leq k < p$, is locally equivalent to a Cartan subalgebra of the p-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_p$ in the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$; namely, for a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind*

$$\mathfrak{C}_k = \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \leq i < 2^k,\ \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \ \textit{and}\ \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\},$$

there exist a local transformation

$$U_{k\to p} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-k} h^{\hat{\zeta}_j}_{\hat{\alpha}_j} = h^{\hat{\zeta}_1}_{\hat{\alpha}_1} h^{\hat{\zeta}_2}_{\hat{\alpha}_2} \cdots h^{\hat{\zeta}_{p-k}}_{\hat{\alpha}_{p-k}} \in SU(2)^{\otimes p} \tag{6.1}$$

and a Cartan subalgebra of the p-th kind

$$\mathfrak{C}_p = \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon'\}}_{[\alpha]_p} = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i+\zeta'_i}_{\alpha_i+\alpha'_i} : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{C}_k, \zeta'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{p-k} \hat{\zeta}^{(\sigma_{ij})}_j,\ \alpha'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{p-k} \hat{\alpha}^{(\sigma_{ij})}_j,\ 0 \leq i < 2^k,\ 1 \leq j \leq p-k,$$
$$\hat{\zeta}_j \cdot \alpha_i + \zeta_i \cdot \hat{\alpha}_j = \sigma_{ij} \in Z_2,\ \hat{\zeta}^{(0)}_j = \hat{\alpha}^{(0)}_j = \mathbf{0},\ \hat{\zeta}^{(1)}_j = \hat{\zeta}_j \ \textit{and}\ \hat{\alpha}^{(1)}_j = \hat{\alpha}_j \,\}$$

such that $U_{k\to p}\, \mathfrak{C}_k U^\dagger_{k\to p} = \mathfrak{C}_p$, where $h^{\hat{\zeta}_j}_{\hat{\alpha}_j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{0}} + i \cdot (-i)^{\hat{\zeta}_j \cdot \hat{\alpha}_j} \mathcal{S}^{\hat{\zeta}_j}_{\hat{\alpha}_j})$ is a one-qubit rotation, $\hat{\zeta}_j \in Z^p_2$, $\hat{\alpha}_j \in Z^p_2 - [\alpha]_k$, $\hat{\zeta}_j = \mathbf{0}$ or $\hat{\zeta}_j = \hat{\alpha}_j$, $\hat{\alpha}_j + \hat{\alpha}_l \in Z^p_2 - [\alpha]_k$ as $j \neq l$, $1 \leq j, l \leq p-k$,

and each string $\hat{\alpha}_j = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p$ *has only one single nonzero bit, i.e.,* $a_s = 1$ *for some* s *and* $a_t = 0$ *for all* $t \neq s$, $1 \leq s, t \leq p$.

Proof. This lemma can be proved by a straightforward calculation. Every action $h_{\hat{\alpha}_j}^{\hat{\zeta}_j} = I \otimes \cdots I \otimes R \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ is local which makes a $SU(2)$ rotation $R = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{S}_1^0}$ or $R = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{S}_1^1}$ only on one quibit. □

For a given $\mathfrak{C}_k$, the choice of $\mathfrak{C}_p$ locally connected is not unique and parameterized by $p-k$. The rotation operator is an example of *basic transformations*, whose detailed exposition is postponed to Section 7. Knowing the number of Cartan subalgebras is of interest.

Corollary 6 *The Lie algebra* $su(2^p)$ *has a total number* $\mathcal{N}(2^p) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} \mathcal{N}_k(2^p) = \prod_{i=1}^{p}(2^i + 1)$ *of Cartan subalgebras, here* $\mathcal{N}_k(2^p) = 2^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2^{p-i+1}-1}{2^i-1}$ *being the number of Cartan subalgebras of the* k*-th kind.*

Proof. To construct a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}}$, a subgroup of k generators $[\alpha]_k$ is first to be decided from Z_2^p and there are in total $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2^{p-i+1}-1}{2^i-1}$ such subgroups. Once $[\alpha]_k$ is decided, there have $2^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$ options of the parity set $\{\epsilon\}$ for choice. Then $\mathcal{N}_k(2^p)$ is the product of these two numbers. Expanding the product in the form

$$\mathcal{N}_k(2^p) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k, i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k = 1}^{p} 2^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_k}$$

and summing $\mathcal{N}_k(2^p)$ from $k = 0$ through $k = p$ yields the total number $\prod_{i=1}^{p}(2^i + 1)$ of Cartan subalgebras. It is instructive to read the number $\mathcal{N}_k(2^p)$ from the coefficient of the term x^k of the generating function $\prod_{i=1}^{p}(2^i x + 1)$. □

Despite being more complicated, an alternative way to earn the number of Cartan subalgebras is to count those of different local transformations associated to Cartan subalgebras of the p-th kind. Since the Cartan subalgebras of the Lie algebra $su(N)$, $2^{p-1} < N < 2^p$, are acquirable by applying the removing process to those of $su(2^p)$, the number of these Cartan subalgebras $\mathcal{N}(N)$ should be bounded between $\mathcal{N}(2^{p-1})$ and $\mathcal{N}(2^p)$.

Besides the classification based on their kinds, Cartan subalgebras can be classified by specifically reconsidering those of the p-th kind. Recall Eq. 2.10 that a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_k}^{\{\epsilon\}} = \mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k]}^{\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{12}, \cdots, \epsilon_{kk}} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_l}^{\zeta_l} : 0 \leq l < 2^k\}$ is characterized by a set of k independent binary-partitioning strings $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k\}$ and the corresponding parities, including both self parities $\epsilon_{ii} = \zeta_i \cdot \alpha_i$ and the mutual $\epsilon_{ij} = \zeta_i \cdot \alpha_j = \zeta_j \cdot \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Since Cartan subalgebras of the p-th kind $\mathfrak{C}_{[\alpha]_p}^{\{\epsilon\}}$ share the identical set of binary-partitioning strings $[\alpha]_p = Z_2^p$, it is sufficient to sort these subalgebras according to their parities. In the following assertions, a redenoted p-th-kind Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{C}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}^{\epsilon_{se}, \epsilon_{mu}} \equiv \mathfrak{C}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}^{\{\epsilon\}} = \mathfrak{C}_{[\widehat{\alpha}_1, \widehat{\alpha}_2, \cdots, \widehat{\alpha}_p]}^{\epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_{12}, \cdots, \epsilon_{pp}} \tag{6.2}$$

with the assigning of the set of binary-partitioning strings $[\widehat{\alpha}]_p = Span\{\widehat{\alpha}_r : \forall\, \widehat{\alpha}_r = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p \in Z_2^p$ and $a_i = \delta_{ir}$ for $1 \leq i, r \leq p\}$ is thus superscribed with two binary strings of self parities $\epsilon_{se} = \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{22} \cdots \epsilon_{pp} \in Z_2^p$ and of mutual parities $\epsilon_{mu} = \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{13} \cdots \epsilon_{p-1p} \in Z_2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$.

Lemma 22 *Given two arbitrary Cartan subalgebras of the p-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *and* $\mathfrak{C}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *carrying the same mutual-parity string* $\epsilon_{mu} \in Z_2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$, *the subalgebra* $\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *is mapped to* $\mathfrak{C}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *by the local transformation*

$$U_{se} = \prod_{i=1}^{p} h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_i} = h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_1} h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_2} \cdots h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_p} \in SU(2)^{\otimes p}, \tag{6.3}$$

i.e., $U_{se}\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}U^{\dagger}_{se} = \mathfrak{C}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$, *where* $h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{0}} + i\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_i})$ *is a one-qubit rotation,* $\epsilon_{se} = \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{22}\cdots\epsilon_{pp}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{se} = \bar{\epsilon}_{11}\bar{\epsilon}_{22}\cdots\bar{\epsilon}_{pp}$, $\eta_i = \rho_1\rho_2\cdots\rho_p$ *is a p-digit string with* $\rho_{j\neq i} = 0$ *and* $\rho_i = \epsilon_{ii} + \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ *and* $\epsilon_{ii}, \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}, \rho_j \in Z_2$.

This lemma is easily affirmed by a plain calculation. The local action $h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_i} = I \otimes I \cdots \otimes I \otimes e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{S}_0^1} \otimes I \cdots \otimes I$ prescribes a $SU(2)$ rotation $e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{S}_0^1}$ on the i-th qubit. Whereupon the mutual-parity strings of p-th-kind Cartan subalgebras are legitimate labellings to distinguish equivalence classes of Cartan subalgebras under local transformations.

Corollary 7 *The set of Cartan subalgebras of the Lie algebra* $su(2^p)$ *can be partitioned into a number* $2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$ *of local equivalence classes* $\{Cl_{\epsilon_{mu}}; \epsilon_{mu} \in Z_2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}\}$, *and every Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}_k$ *in the class* $Cl_{\epsilon_{mu}}$, $0 \leq k < p$, *is related to a Cartan subalgebra of the p-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *with the mutual-parity string* ϵ_{mu} *by the local transformation*

$$U = U_{se}U_{k\to p} \in SU(2)^{\otimes p}, \tag{6.4}$$

here $U\mathfrak{C}_k U^{\dagger} = \mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *and* U *being a composition of local transformations of Eqs. 6.1 and 6.3.*

Proof. This directly results from Corollary 5 and Lemma 22 by examining the number of p-th-kind Cartan subalgebras having the same self parities but different mutual parities. □

On the other hand, two Cartan subalgebras of the p-th kind differing in mutual-parity strings are linked via some numbers of local and two-qubit nonlocal transformations.

Corollary 8 *For two local equivalence classes* $Cl_{\epsilon_{mu}}$ *and* $Cl_{\bar{\epsilon}_{mu}}$ *of Cartan subalgebras in the Lie algebra* $su(2^p)$, *a Cartan subalgebra of the p-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *in* $Cl_{\epsilon_{mu}}$ *is mapped to one Cartan subalgebra of the p-th kind* $\mathfrak{C}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{se},\bar{\epsilon}_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$ *in* $Cl_{\bar{\epsilon}_{mu}}$ *by the transformation*

$$U = \prod_{i,j=1,i\leq j}^{p} h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{ij}} = h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{11}} h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{12}} \cdots h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{pp}} \notin SU(2)^{\otimes p}, \tag{6.5}$$

where $U\mathfrak{C}^{\epsilon_{se},\epsilon_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}U^{\dagger} = \mathfrak{C}^{\bar{\epsilon}_{se},\bar{\epsilon}_{mu}}_{[\widehat{\alpha}]_p}$, $h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{ij}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{0}} + i\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{ij}})$ *is a one-qubit rotation if* $i = j$ *or a bipartite action if* $i < j$, $\epsilon_{se} = \epsilon_{11}\epsilon_{22}\cdots\epsilon_{pp}$, $\epsilon_{mu} = \epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{13}\cdots\epsilon_{p-1p}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{se} = \bar{\epsilon}_{11}\bar{\epsilon}_{22}\cdots\bar{\epsilon}_{pp}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{mu} = \bar{\epsilon}_{12}\bar{\epsilon}_{13}\cdots\bar{\epsilon}_{p-1p}$, $\eta_{ij} = \rho_1\rho_2\cdots\rho_i\cdots\rho_j\cdots\rho_p$ *is the p-digit string with* $\rho_i = \sum_{s=1}^{p}(\epsilon_{is} + \bar{\epsilon}_{is})$, $\rho_j = \sum_{s=1}^{p}(\epsilon_{js} + \bar{\epsilon}_{js})$ *and* $\rho_{r\neq i,j} = 0$, $1 \leq i, j, r \leq p$ *and* $\epsilon_{ij}, \bar{\epsilon}_{ij}, \rho_r \in Z_2$.

Proof. The validation of the corollary is through a simple calculation. The transformation $h_{\mathbf{0}}^{\eta_{ij}} = I \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{S}_0^1} \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ is a $SU(2)$ rotation on the i-th qubit if $i = j$, or a two-qubit operator acting on the i-th and j-th qubits otherwise. □

A *hypercube-topology* structure of dimension $2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$ helps envision the conjugations among the $2^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$ local equivalence classes of the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$, in which each vertex of the

structure represents one equivalence class and the edge joining two vertices marks a nonlocal bipartite transformation bridging the two equivalence classes.

7 Transformation Connecting Decomposition Paths

As described in [1], a unitary action $U \in SU(N)$, $2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p$, admits a recursive factorization according to a decomposition sequence $seq_{dec} = \{\, \mathcal{A}_{[r]};\ r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p,\ \ \mathcal{A}_{[0]} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{[p]} = \mathfrak{t}_{[p]}\,\}$ within $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}; 2^p - 1)\}$. Except the center subalgebra as $r = 0$, the member $\mathcal{A}_{[r]}$ is a conditioned subspace in the quotient algebra. In other words, a decomposition sequence decides a decomposition path in the group $SU(N)$ that leads to a factorization of U. For example, a unitary action $U \in SU(8)$ can be factorized into the following form,

$$U = e^{ia_{[3]1}} e^{ia_{[2]1}} e^{ia_{[3]2}} e^{ia_{[1]1}} e^{ia_{[3]3}} e^{ia_{[2]2}} e^{ia_{[3]4}} e^{ia_{[0]1}} e^{ia_{[3]5}} e^{ia_{[2]3}} e^{ia_{[3]6}} e^{ia_{[1]2}} e^{ia_{[3]7}} e^{ia_{[2]4}} e^{ia_{[3]8}},$$

where $a_{[i]j}$ is a vector in the subspace $\mathcal{A}_{[i]}$, $i = 0, \cdots, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2^i$. To determine these vectors in the corresponding subspace, specifically calculating the parameter associated to each generator in the subspace, it requires a recursive application of the SVD (the singular value decomposition) to the action U. Note that only the type-**AI** decomposition is concerned at present and the continuation to the other types will be expounded in the sequels [2,3] . Even being systematic and recursive, the process of calculating these parameters is cumbersome in particular when the dimension N is large. Nevertheless, since a decomposition sequence can be transformed to any another one, in practice the calculation is necessary for only one decomposition sequence.

A choice is made for convenience and termed as the *referential decomposition sequence*,

$$s\hat{e}q_{dec} = \{\, \mathcal{C}_{[r]};\, 0 \leq r \leq p,\, \mathcal{C}_{[0]} = \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{[r]} = W^0_{\beta_r} \text{ for } r \neq 0\,\}, \tag{7.1}$$

which is selected from the intrinsic quotient algebra of rank zero $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p - 1)\}$. Every designated component $W^0_{\beta_r} = W^0(\mathfrak{B}_{\beta_r}) = \{\mathcal{S}^{\eta_r}_{\beta_r} : \forall\, \eta_r \in Z_2^p,\ \eta_r \cdot \beta_r = 1\} \in \{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]})\}$ is a conditioned subspace of the maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\beta_r} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\eta_r}_{\beta_r} : \forall\, \eta_r \in Z_2^p,\ \eta_r \cdot \beta_r = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ and exclusively consists of spinor generators of the odd self parity and of the identical binary partitioning $\beta_r = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_p$ with $b_i = \delta_{i,r}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, p$. Let $\Gamma_{\{\mathcal{A}_{[r]}\}}(U)$ denote the set of determined parameters in factorizing U according to the decomposition sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_{[r]}; 0 \leq r \leq p\}$. The factorizations of U according to the two decomposition sequences are related via the conjugate formula $\Gamma_{\{\mathcal{A}_{[r]}\}}(Q^\dagger U Q) = \Gamma_{\{Q\mathcal{A}_{[r]}Q^\dagger\}}(U)$, where the transformation Q *connects* the two sequences, *i.e.*, $Q\mathcal{A}_{[r]}Q^\dagger = \mathcal{C}_{[r]}$. This connecting mapping will be constructed in three steps: the first diagonalizing the center subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0]}$, the 2nd correcting parities and the 3rd appropriately exchanging conditioned subspaces. All these transformations can be formed in compositions of *basic transformations*

$$h^\zeta_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{S}^\nu_{\mathbf{0}} + i \cdot (-i)^{\zeta\cdot\alpha}\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha) \ \text{ with } \ \nu \cdot \alpha = 0, \tag{7.2}$$

$\zeta \cdot \alpha \in Z_2$, which are spinor-to-spinor s-rotations in Appendix B of [1]. For the simplicity, it is set $\nu = \mathbf{0}$. Such transformations make possible the *spinor-to-spinor* mapping.

Lemma 23 *A basic transformation h^ζ_α maps a spinor generator $\mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta$ to itself if $[\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta] = 0$ or to $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $[\mathcal{S}^\zeta_\alpha, \mathcal{S}^\eta_\beta] \neq 0$.*

Proof. The RHS of the straightforward derivation $h^{\zeta}_{\alpha}\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}{h^{\zeta}_{\alpha}}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}(1+(-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta})\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}i^{\zeta\cdot\alpha}\cdot(-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha}(1-(-1)^{\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta})\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$, reduces to $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$ if $\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta=0$ or, up to a phase, to $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\eta\cdot\alpha+\zeta\cdot\beta=1$. □

Of particular note is that the transforming scheme introduced here is not only applicable to a group action of dimension a power of 2, but also to an action in $SU(N)$ of dimension $2^{p-1} < N < 2^p$ by first *embedding* its algebra $su(N)$ to the space of $su(2^p)$. Refer to Appendix B in [1] for the simple formulation of embedding.

Diagonalization Transformation R

The first step of connecting the two decompositions is to have the center subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0]}$ *return* to the intrinsic subspace, *i.e.*, diagonalizing $\mathcal{A}_{[0]}$. Suppose the center subalgebra is a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind $\mathcal{A}_{[0]} = \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_l}_{\alpha_l} : 0 \le l < 2^k\}$ and let $\{\,\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k\,\}$ be a generating set of the subgroup $[\alpha]_k$. Since a basic transformation h^{ζ}_{α} maps $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta'}_{\alpha}$ to $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta+\zeta'}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and leaves $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\beta}$ invariant if $(\zeta+\zeta')\cdot\alpha = 1$ and $\zeta\cdot\beta+\eta\cdot\alpha=0$, the operator R of the form

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^{k} h^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} = h^{\zeta_k}_{\alpha_k} h^{\zeta_{k-1}}_{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdots h^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1} \tag{7.3}$$

diagonalizes $\mathcal{A}_{[0]}$ into $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$, respecting the condition $\xi_i\cdot\alpha_j + \zeta_j\cdot\alpha_i = \delta_{ij}$ and $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_i}_{\alpha_i}\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{[0]}$ for $1 \le i, j \le k$. This product has no order, because the basic transformations so chosen commute with each other. A note is that the operator has an alternative

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^{p} h^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} = h^{\zeta_p}_{\alpha_p} h^{\zeta_{p-1}}_{\alpha_{p-1}} \cdots h^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1} \tag{7.4}$$

additionally multiplied by $p-k$ transformations $h^{\zeta_t}_{\alpha_t=\mathbf{0}}$, here $k < t \le p$ and $\zeta_t\cdot\alpha_i = 0$ for $1 \le i \le k$.

Parity Transformation P

Once the center subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0]}$ is diagonalized as shown in the 2nd column of Fig. 8, each $\mathcal{A}_{[r]}$ is mapped to some conditioned subspace in $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p-1)\}$, *i.e.*, $R\mathcal{A}_{[0]}R^{\dagger} = \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ and $R\mathcal{A}_{[r]}R^{\dagger} = W^{\sigma_r}_{\alpha_r} = W^{\sigma_r}(\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_r})$, here $\sigma_r \in Z_2$, $\alpha_r \in Z_2^p$, $1 \le r \le p$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha_r}$ being a maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$. All spinor generators in the conditioned subspace $W^{\sigma_r}_{\alpha_r} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha_r} : \forall\,\zeta_r \in Z_2^p,\ \zeta_r\cdot\alpha_r = 1+\sigma_r\}$ are associated with the identical binary partitioning α_r and self parity $1+\sigma_r$ yet which is not necessarily odd. It demands a transformation of parity correction that fixes the self parity of each conditioned subspace to 1 and keeps the binary partitioning unchanged. The operator

$$P = h^{\eta}_{\mathbf{0}} \tag{7.5}$$

serves the purpose, where $\eta\cdot\alpha_r = \sigma_r$ and $1 \le r \le p$.

Exchange Transformation E

With the application of R and P, the original decomposition sequence is transformed to the sequence in the third column of Fig. 8. The 3rd operator E then comes into play that replaces each subspace $W^0_{\alpha_r}$ by $W^0_{\beta_r}$, $1 \le r \le p$. In contrast to the simple forms of the

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{A}_{[0]}=\mathcal{A} & & \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} & & \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]} & & \mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}=\mathcal{C}_{[0]} \\ \mathcal{A}_{[1]}=W_{[1]} & & W^{\sigma_1}_{\alpha_1} & & W^{0}_{\alpha_1} & & W^{0}_{\beta_1}=\mathcal{C}_{[1]} \\ \mathcal{A}_{[2]}=W_{[2]} & \overset{R}{\longrightarrow} & W^{\sigma_2}_{\alpha_2} & \overset{P}{\longrightarrow} & W^{0}_{\alpha_2} & \overset{E}{\longrightarrow} & W^{0}_{\beta_2}=\mathcal{C}_{[2]} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_{[p]}=W_{[p]} & & W^{\sigma_p}_{\alpha_p} & & W^{0}_{\alpha_p} & & W^{0}_{\beta_p}=\mathcal{C}_{[p]} \end{array}$$

Fig. 8. The transformations connecting two decomposition sequences.

operators R and P, the construction of E requires an iterative composition of the mapping $e_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Lemma 24 *Two conditioned subspaces of the same self parity W^{ϵ}_{α} and W^{ϵ}_{β} in the quotient algebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p-1)\}$ are mapped to each other by the transformation $e_{\alpha+\beta}=h^{\zeta}_{\alpha+\beta}h^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$, i.e., $e_{\alpha+\beta}W^{\epsilon}_{\alpha}e^{\dagger}_{\alpha+\beta}=W^{\epsilon}_{\beta}$, if the parity rules are satisfied $\zeta\cdot(\alpha+\beta)=\eta\cdot(\alpha+\beta)=(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\alpha=(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\beta=1$.*

Proof. Given a spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$, the condition subspace W^{ϵ}_{α} admits the bisection $W^{\epsilon}_{\alpha}=\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_0}_{\alpha}: \forall\,\xi_0\in Z^p_2,\ \xi_0\cdot\alpha=1+\epsilon \text{ and } \xi_0\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\eta\cdot\alpha=0\}\cup\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_1}_{\alpha}: \forall\,\xi_1\in Z^p_2,\ \xi_1\cdot\alpha=1+\epsilon \text{ and } \xi_1\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\eta\cdot\alpha=1\}$, such that $\mathcal{S}^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is commuting with the subspace of the 1st half $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_0}_{\alpha}\}$ but not with the 2nd $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_1}_{\alpha}\}$, *cf.* Lemma 8. By Lemma 23, the 1st half is left invariant and the 2nd is mapped to $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_1+\eta}_{\beta}\}$ when the basic transformation $h^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ is applied. With the application of the 2nd action $h^{\zeta}_{\alpha+\beta}$, the 1st half subspace $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_0}_{\alpha}\}$ is mapped to $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_0+\zeta}_{\beta}\}$ for $\xi_0\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\zeta\cdot\alpha=1$ due to the parity condition $\xi_0\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\eta\cdot\alpha=0$ and the parity rule $(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\alpha=1$, and however the consequent subspace of the 2nd half $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_1+\eta}_{\beta}\}$ keeps invariant for $(\xi_1+\eta)\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\zeta\cdot\beta=0$ due to the condition $\xi_1\cdot(\alpha+\beta)+\eta\cdot\alpha=1$ and the rule $(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\beta=1$. Accordingly, the condtioned subspace W^{ϵ}_{α} is transformed to $\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_0+\zeta}_{\beta}\}\cup\{\mathcal{S}^{\xi_1+\eta}_{\beta}\}=W^{\epsilon}_{\beta}$ by the mapping $e_{\alpha+\beta}=h^{\zeta}_{\alpha+\beta}h^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$. Finally, the invariance of the self parity of the subspaces, *i.e.*, $(\xi_0+\zeta)\cdot\beta=(\xi_1+\eta)\cdot\beta=1+\epsilon$, is easily verified by adding the parity conditions to the parity rules. □

In spite of four parity rules imposed for the phase-string selection, only three of them are independent. Within the quotient algebra $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p-1)\}$, a basic transformation always maps one half of spinor generators of a conditioned subspace to another subspace and leaves the other half unchanged. Taking advantage of this fact, the transformation $e_{\alpha+\beta}$ is constructed by composing two basic transformations in the way that each of them respectively keeps one half of the operated subspace invariant and delivers the other half to the same tageted subspace.

It is worthy to note that, among the others, the conjugate pair $W^0_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $W^1_{\alpha+\beta}$ and the center subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ in $\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p-1)\}$ are three invariant subspaces of the transformation $e_{\alpha+\beta}$. The transformation $e_{\alpha+\beta}=h^{\zeta}_{\alpha+\beta}h^{\eta}_{\alpha+\beta}$ earns more invariant conditioned subspaces $W^{\epsilon}_{\gamma}\in\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}; 2^p-1)\}$, with either the odd or the even self parity, as long as the phase strings ζ and η are chosen realizing an additional parity rule $(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\gamma=0$. While its *conjugate* version is followed $(\zeta+\eta)\cdot\gamma'=1$, a conditioned subspace $W^{\epsilon}_{\gamma'}$ is mapped by $e_{\alpha+\beta}$ to another subspace $W^{\epsilon}_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma'}$ of the identical self parity. The exchange transformation E is

derived recursively making use of the mapping $e_{\alpha+\beta}$.

To transform the decomposition in the 3rd column of Fig. 8 to the referential sequence in the final column, the first mapping to exercise is $e_{\alpha_1+\beta_1}$ that obeys the parity rules in Lemma 24. After this action, each subspace $W^0_{\alpha_j}$ is mapped to $W^0_{\alpha^{(1)}_j}$, $2 \le j \le p$, here either $\alpha^{(1)}_j = \alpha_j + \alpha_1 + \beta_1$ or $\alpha^{(1)}_j = \alpha_j$. The 2nd action is to apply $e_{\alpha^{(1)}_2+\beta_2}$ to the current decomposition sequence complying with the additional parity rule such that the already exchanged subspace $W^0_{\beta_1}$ remains invariant. Iterating to the r-th action, the application of $e_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r} = h^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r} h^{\eta_r}_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r}$, $1 \le i < r < j \le p$, transforms the subspace $W^0_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r}$ to $W^0_{\beta_r}$ and the subspaces $W^0_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_j}$ to $W^0_{\alpha^{(r)}_j}$ with either $\alpha^{(r)}_j = \alpha^{(r-1)}_j + \alpha^{(r-1)}_r + \beta_r$ or $\alpha^{(r)}_j = \alpha^{(r-1)}_j$, and meanwhile preserves the invariance of the already exchanged subspaces $W^0_{\beta_i}$ in obedience to the additional parity rule $(\zeta_r + \eta_r) \cdot \beta_i = 0$. The exchange operator is thus cast into the composition form

$$E = \prod_{r=1}^{p} e_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r} = e_{\alpha^{(p-1)}_p+\beta_p} e_{\alpha^{(p-2)}_{p-1}+\beta_{p-1}} \cdots e_{\alpha^{(0)}_1+\beta_1}, \tag{7.6}$$

where $\alpha^{(0)}_1 \equiv \alpha_1$ and the r-th action $e_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r} = h^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r} h^{\eta_r}_{\alpha^{(r-1)}_r+\beta_r}$ satisfies a set of parity rules $(\zeta_r + \eta_r) \cdot \alpha^{(r-1)}_r = (\zeta_r + \eta_r) \cdot \beta_r = \zeta_r \cdot (\alpha^{(r-1)}_r + \beta_r) = \eta_r \cdot (\alpha^{(r-1)}_r + \beta_r) = 1$ and $(\zeta_r + \eta_r) \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $1 \le i < r \le p$. Notice that every step of the iteration is permitted, for the string pair (ζ_r, η_r) having as many as 2^{2p-r-1} choices in the r-th iteration. The exposition is closed with the transformation connecting the two decomposition sequences.

Theorem 7 *The transformation Q mapping a decomposition to the referential sequence is a composition of the operators of diagonalization R, parity correction P and exchange E, i.e.,* $Q = E\,P\,R$.

References

1. Z.-Y. Su, *Quotient Algebra Partition and Cartan Decomposition for su(N) I.*
2. Z.-Y. Su and M.-C. Tsai, *Quotient Algebra Partition and Cartan Decomposition for su(N) III.*
3. Z.-Y. Su and M.-C. Tsai, *Quotient Algebra Partition and Cartan Decomposition for su(N) IV.*

Appendix A Coset of Phase Strings

To generate a maximal bi-subalgebra of a Cartan subalgebra, it is legitimate to *bisect* the subalgebra by a maximal subgroup over either the binary-partitioning or the phase strings. Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \le i < 2^k, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$ as in Fig. A.1, the k independent binary-partitioning strings of $[\alpha]_k$ and their corresponding sets of phase strings are respectively displayed in the 1st and 2nd columns. The set $\{\zeta_0\} = [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ pertaining to $\alpha_0 = \mathbf{0}$ forms a subgroup of $p-k$ generators in the subgroup $[\zeta]_q$, $q = p-k+h$. In the partition of $[\zeta]_q$ generated by $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, each phase-string set $\{\zeta_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, is a coset of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ with a string ζ_i randomly picked in the set being the coset leader, and a number h of cosets among them are independent, $0 \le h \le k$. A bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra is created by bisecting $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ according to a maximal subgroup in $[\alpha]_k$. In other words, a such

bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} : \forall\, \alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1}\}$ is obtained when all spinor generators with binary-partitioning strings belonging to a chosen maximal subgroup $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ are collected. As a result, the set of phase strings $\{\zeta_l : \forall\, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l} \in \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k},\ \alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1}\}$, which are attached to spinor generators of the binary partitioning $\alpha_l \in [\alpha]_{k-1}$, is either a (1st) maximal subgroup in $[\zeta]_q$ as $h = k$ or $[\zeta]_q$ itself as $h < k$. This set is then denoted by $[\zeta_l]_{q'}$ with $q' = q-1$ or q. Since there are $2^k - 1$ options of maximal subgroups $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ to be chosen from a given subgroup $[\alpha]_k$, a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind has a total number $2^k - 1$ of bit-type maximal bi-subalgebras.

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \le i < 2^k,\ \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$$

α_0	$[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$	$[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$	$[\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$
α_1	$\zeta_1 + [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$	$\zeta_1 + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$	$\zeta_1 + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$
α_2	$\zeta_2 + [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$	$\zeta_2 + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$	$\zeta_2 + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
α_{k-1}	$\zeta_{k-1} + [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$	$\zeta_{k-1} + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$	$\zeta_{k-1} + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$
α_k	$\zeta_k + [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$	$\zeta_k + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$	$\zeta_k + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$

Fig. A.1. In the 2nd column, the phase cosets of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ pertaining to k independent binary-partitioning strings α_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ and $\alpha_0 = \mathbf{0}$ are listed; each coset is bisected into two subcosets as shown in the 3rd and the final columns respectively according to the subgroup $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1} \subset [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ and its complementary subset $[\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1} = [\zeta_0]_{p-k} - [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$.

While producing a phase-type maximal bi-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$, denoted as $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$ is a maximal subgroup in $[\zeta]_q$ to be assigned first. The components of this subgroup are demonstrated in the last two columns of Fig. A.1. The subgroup $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ on the top is a maximal subgroup arbitrarily taken in $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ that divides $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ into two subsets $\{\hat{\zeta}_0\} = [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and $\{\hat{\zeta}_0\}^c = [\zeta_0]_{p-k} - [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$. Each coset $\{\zeta_i\}$ associated with the binary-partitioning α_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, is likewise divided into two subsets $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\} = \zeta_i + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}^c = \zeta_i + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$ with the coset leader ζ_i being any string in $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}$. A maximal subgroup $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$ of $[\zeta]_q$ is yielded from the generating set that is formed by taking the union of $\{\hat{\zeta}_0\}$ and either component $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}$ or $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}^c$ of each coset $\{\zeta_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Only the selection $\bigcup_{l=0}^{k}\{\hat{\zeta}_l\}$ has h independent cosets and the other selections of the union have $h+1$ ones instead. The maximal subgroup $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$ is thus tagged with the subscript $q' = q$ or $q-1$. Collecting all spinor generators with phase strings in $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$, a phase-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha_r} : \forall\, \zeta_r \in [\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}\}$ is constructed. Through this coset-selecting process, the number of phase-type maximal bi-subalgebras in a Cartan subalgebra of the k-th kind amounts to $(2^{p-k}-1)2^k$, noting that there are $2^{p-k} - 1$ choices of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ in a given $[\zeta_0]_{p-k}$. Therefore as asserted in Corollary 1, a Cartan subalgebra of any kind in the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$ has a total number $2^p - 1$ of maximal bi-subalgebras, which exactly suffice the need to *define* the same number of conjugate pairs in a rank-zero quotient algebra. Owing to the bit-phase duality allowing to treat $[\alpha]_k$ as phase strings and $[\zeta]_q$ as binary-partitioning strings, there have other ways to generate maximal bi-subalgebras, which however lead to the identical complete set. Explicit examples of complete sets of maximal bi-subalgebras for some Cartan subalgebras are given in Appendix C.

Appendix B Unique Maximal Bi-subalgebra for a Spinor

This is an alternative proof for Lemma 3. Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i} : 0 \leq i < 2^k, \alpha_0 = \mathbf{0}, \alpha_i \in [\alpha]_k \text{ and } \zeta_i \in [\zeta]_q\}$ of $su(2^p)$ and a spinor generator $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in su(2^p)$, the unique maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{B}] = 0$ will be constructed. As $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$, the bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is obviously the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ itself. Thus in the following, only the circumstance $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \in su(2^p) - \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ is assumed. It will be immediately clear that $\mathfrak{B}$ is a bit-type if $\alpha \in [\alpha]_k$ or a phase-type otherwise.

Suppose the string α is in $[\alpha]_k$. Since $\zeta_0 \cdot \alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in [\alpha]_k$, it holds that $\forall\, \zeta_0 \in \{\zeta_0\} = [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\mathbf{0}}] = 0$. Moreover, if there is a string ζ_i in the coset $\{\zeta_i\}$ associated with the binary-partitioning α_i such that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0$, also $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0, \forall\, \zeta_i \in \{\zeta_i\}$. This is owing to the coset rule that $\forall\, \zeta_i, \zeta_i' \in \{\zeta_i\}$, $\zeta_i + \zeta_i' \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$, $0 < i < 2^k$. Now consider the generating set $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_k}_{\alpha_k}\}$ consisting of $k+1$ spinors from $\mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$, where α_j, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, are k independent generators randomly chosen from $[\alpha]_k$. It is impossible for $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ to commute with $\mathcal{G}$ entirely because of the assumption $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha} \notin \mathfrak{C}^{\{\epsilon\}}_{[\alpha]_k}$. Then there must exist a cut for $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M} \cup (\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{M})$ at an integer $0 < r \leq k$ with $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_2}_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_r}_{\alpha_r}\}$ and $\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_0}_{\alpha_0}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_{r+1}}_{\alpha_{r+1}}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_k}_{\alpha_k}\}$, such that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{M}] = 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_l}_{\alpha_l}] \neq 0$ for every $1 \leq l \leq r$. As a consequence of the cut, the subset $\mathcal{M}' = \{\mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_2}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_3}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_1+\zeta_r}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_r}\}$ commuting with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$, *i.e.,* $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{M}'] = 0$, is derived. It attains the purpose that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{G}'] = 0$, where the modified generating set $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{M}' \cup (\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{M})$ has only k spinors and the set of its binary-partitioning strings $\{\alpha_0 = \mathbf{0}, \alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_1+\alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+2} \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ generates the subgroup $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ uniquely determined by the given $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$. So the bit-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k}}_{[\alpha]_{k-1}}$ commuting with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ is constructed when all spinor generators of binary-partitioning strings in $[\alpha]_{k-1}$ are assembled.

In the other case as $\alpha \notin [\alpha]_k$ for the given spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$, the unique maximal subgroup $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1} \subset [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ such that $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu}_{\mathbf{0}}] = 0$ for all $\nu \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ should be firstly decided. Since $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1+\nu_2}_{\mathbf{0}}] = 0$ for any ν_1 and $\nu_2 \in [\zeta_0]_{p-k}$ but $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1}_{\mathbf{0}}] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu_2}_{\mathbf{0}}] \neq 0$, a such subgroup must exist. Actually it is easy to locate $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ by pondering the $k+1$ conditions over p-digit strings, that is, $\zeta_0 \cdot \alpha = 0$ and $\zeta_0 \cdot \alpha_j = 0$ for $\zeta_0 \in Z_2^p$ and $\alpha_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, being any k independent generators of $[\alpha]_k$. Let the subgroup $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ generate an aforesaid partition of the whole group of phase strings $[\zeta]_q$ as illustated in Fig. A.1. In this partition, the group $[\zeta]_q$ is divided into $k+1$ coset pairs where the 0th pair is composed of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and $[\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1} = [\zeta_0]_{p-k} - [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$, and the i-th pair composed of $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\} = \nu_i + [\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}^c = \nu_i + [\zeta_0]^c_{p-k-1}$ with the coset leader $\nu_i \in \{\hat{\zeta}_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. The spinor $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ should commute with either one coset in each pair due to the relation that $\forall\, \zeta_i \in \{\hat{\zeta}_i\}$, $\zeta_i' \in \{\hat{\zeta}_i\}^c, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i'}_{\alpha_i}] \neq 0$ if $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu_i}_{\alpha_i}] = 0$, or $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i}_{\alpha_i}] \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\zeta_i'}_{\alpha_i}] = 0$ if $[\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{S}^{\nu_i}_{\alpha_i}] \neq 0$. The maximal subgroup $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'} \subset [\zeta]_q$ demanded to bisect the given Cartan subalgebra is then produced from the generating set that is formed by taking the union of $[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}$ and either $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}$ or $\{\hat{\zeta}_i\}^c, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, whose associated spinor generators commuting with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$. Including all the spinor generators of phase strings in $[\breve{\zeta}_0]_{q'}$, the phase-type maximal bi-subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}^{[\zeta_0]_{p-k-1}}_{[\alpha]_k}$ commuting with $\mathcal{S}^{\zeta}_{\alpha}$ is acquired.

Appendix C Quotient Algebras of $su(8)$

		$\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$		
		$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000}$		
$\mathfrak{B}_1$	W_{001}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{001}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001}$	$\hat{W}_{001}$
$\mathfrak{B}_2$	W_{010}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{010}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{010}$	$\hat{W}_{010}$
$\mathfrak{B}_3$	W_{011}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{011}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{011}$	$\hat{W}_{011}$
$\mathfrak{B}_4$	W_{100}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100}$	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{100}$	$\hat{W}_{100}$
$\mathfrak{B}_5$	W_{101}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{101}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_{101}$
$\mathfrak{B}_6$	W_{110}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{110}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{110}$	$\hat{W}_{110}$
$\mathfrak{B}_7$	W_{111}	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_{111}$

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_2 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{000} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_3 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_5 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_6 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_7 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000} \,\}.$$

Fig. C.1. The quotient algebra given by the 0th-kind Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_{[\mathbf{0}]}$ and the complete set of corresponding maximal bi-subalgebras listed at the bottom; the relation holds $\forall\, \alpha, \beta \in Z_2^3$, $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha \sqcap \mathfrak{B}_\beta = \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha+\beta}$ for maximal bi-subalgebras redenoted as $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha = \{\mathcal{S}^\nu_{000} : \forall\, \nu \in Z_2^3,\ \nu \cdot \alpha = 0\}$, *cf.* Theorem 2.

$$\mathfrak{C}^0_{[100]}$$
$$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100}$$

$\mathfrak{B}_1$	W_1	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000}$	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{100}$	$\hat{W}_1$
$\mathfrak{B}_2$	W_2	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{101}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_2$
$\mathfrak{B}_3$	W_3	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{101}$	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_3$
$\mathfrak{B}_4$	W_4	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{110}$	$\hat{W}_4$
$\mathfrak{B}_5$	W_5	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{110}$	$\mathcal{S}^{110}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{110}$	$\hat{W}_5$
$\mathfrak{B}_6$	W_6	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_6$
$\mathfrak{B}_7$	W_7	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_7$

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_2 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_3 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_5 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_6 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_7 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100} \,\}.$$

Fig. C.2. The quotient algebra given by a 1st-kind Catan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^0_{[100]}$ and the complete set of corresponding maximal bi-subalgebras.

$$\mathfrak{C}^{10}_{[001,100]}$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101}$$

$\mathfrak{B}_1$	W_1	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{001}$	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_1$
$\mathfrak{B}_2$	W_2	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100}$	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_2$
$\mathfrak{B}_3$	W_3	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{101}$	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{100}$	$\hat{W}_3$
$\mathfrak{B}_4$	W_4	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_4$
$\mathfrak{B}_5$	W_5	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{110}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_5$
$\mathfrak{B}_6$	W_6	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{011}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_6$
$\mathfrak{B}_7$	W_7	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{111}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_7$

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_2 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_3 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{010}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_5 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101} \,\},\quad \mathfrak{B}_6 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_7 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101} \,\}.$$

Fig. C.3. The quotient algebra given by a 2nd-kind Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{10}_{[001,100]}$ and the complete set of corresponding maximal bi-subalgebras.

$$\mathfrak{C}^{100}_{[001,010,100]}$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111}$$

$\mathfrak{B}_1$	W_1	$\mathcal{S}^{001}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{110}$	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_1$
$\mathfrak{B}_2$	W_2	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_2$
$\mathfrak{B}_3$	W_3	$\mathcal{S}^{011}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{110}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{110}$	$\hat{W}_3$
$\mathfrak{B}_4$	W_4	$\mathcal{S}^{100}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{011}$	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_4$
$\mathfrak{B}_5$	W_5	$\mathcal{S}^{101}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{101}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{001}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{000}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{000}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{100}_{110}$	$\hat{W}_5$
$\mathfrak{B}_6$	W_6	$\mathcal{S}^{110}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{110},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{111}$	$\mathcal{S}^{110}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{101}$	$\hat{W}_6$
$\mathfrak{B}_7$	W_7	$\mathcal{S}^{111}_{000},\ \mathcal{S}^{010}_{011},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{101},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{110}$	$\mathcal{S}^{010}_{001},\ \mathcal{S}^{111}_{010},\ \mathcal{S}^{110}_{100},\ \mathcal{S}^{011}_{111}$	$\hat{W}_7$

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{010}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_2 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_3 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{010}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_5 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{010}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{101}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111} \,\}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_6 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{111}_{001}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{100}, \mathcal{S}^{110}_{101} \,\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_7 = \{\, \mathcal{S}^{000}_{000}, \mathcal{S}^{101}_{011}, \mathcal{S}^{001}_{110}, \mathcal{S}^{100}_{111} \,\}.$$

Fig. C.4. The quotient algebra given by a 3rd-kind Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}^{100}_{[001,010,100]}$ and the complete set of corresponding maximal bi-subalgebras.