

Maximally entangled states of (d, ∞) quantum systems: some numerical studies

Roman Gielerak¹ and Marek Sawerwain¹

¹ Institute of Control & Computation Engineering
University of Zielona Góra, Licealna 9, Zielona Góra 65-417, Poland
R.Gielerak@issi.uz.zgora.pl

² Institute of Control & Computation Engineering
University of Zielona Góra, Licealna 9, Zielona Góra 65-417, Poland
M.Sawerwain@issi.uz.zgora.pl

Abstract. Gram matrix approach to an entanglement analysis of pure states describing (d, ∞) – quantum systems is being introduced. In particular, maximally entangled states are described as those having a special forms of the corresponding Gram matrices.

Keywords: quantum systems, entanglement, numerical computations

1 Introduction

One of the most important, genuine quantum resource in quantum information engineering is by no doubt, the phenomenon of quantum entanglement of the quantum states being processed [2], [4], [3]. The known and implemented quantum communication protocols, including the best known QKD [5] and teleportation protocols, are heavily based on the entanglement present in the corresponding quantum states used in a particular implementation of the protocol performed. This is the main reason why the mathematical analysis, together with the corresponding engineering of quantum entanglement is so important for future developments of quantum realistic technologies [10].

There are many excellent sources on the mathematical foundations of the quantum information processing [1] to which we refer for details.

Concerning the existing quantum technologies the major problem is to find quantum systems in which genuine quantum behaviour can survive on a sufficient long time interval due to intense, unavoidable decoherence processes destroying them. There are many proposals, together with preliminary technological implementations for the construction of the quantum computer, see i.e. [20]. At present days many of world leading technological companies, like IBM [11], Google [15], Rigetti [13], D-Wave [17] and others started seriously to develop full scale quantum computers hardware and software [16], [12], [14]. The use of superconducting qubits [19] together with Josephson junctions [27] properties in performing universal quantum computations seems to be extremely difficult challenge from the engineering point of view. And this is why any alternatives to the proposed in [11] quantum computer hardware technologies are so important [18].

The class of quantum systems which can be seen as consisting of two entangled parts, one being finite dimensional (spin-degrees of freedom) and the second infinite dimensional, the so called quantum (d, ∞) – systems seems to be a very optimistic candidates for the realistic use in quantum processing information future technological implementations [9]. Also in fundamental atomic and molecular quantum physics the quantum entanglement, in between discrete degrees of freedom that given by continuous variables and called there spin-orbit entanglement is under intensive studies presently [6], [8].

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. 2 the notion of Gram matrix connected to a given pure state of the (d, ∞) system under consideration will be explained and some basic properties of it are listed. Also our main hypothesis (stating that the maximal entanglement of the pure state under consideration is manifested in the special form of the corresponding Gram matrix) is formulated there. Section 3 contains some numerical results in favour of the validity of our main hypothesis.

2 The Gram matrix approach to the entanglement of pure states of (d, ∞) class of systems

Let d be a positive integer, $d \geq 2$ and let \mathbb{C}^d be complex d -dimensional Euclid space. As it is well known [1] pure states of any quantum system are given by unit vectors (by rays – more precisely) in some separable Hilbert space, to be denoted as \mathcal{H} . A composite two-partite quantum systems composed from the finite dimensional part, described by \mathbb{C}^d and the infinite-dimensional (in general everything below applies to the finite dimensional systems as well) ones is described by the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$, where \mathfrak{h} stands for the appropriate, infinite dimensional in general, separable Hilbert space and operator \otimes stands for tensor product.

Let $(e_i)_{i=1:d}$ be the system of unit vectors in \mathbb{C}^d defined as $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1 : d$. We denote as $cons(\mathfrak{h})$ the set of all (complete) orthonormal systems $(f_i)_{i=1,\dots}$ of vectors from \mathfrak{h} . For a given $(f_i) \in cons(\mathfrak{h})$ and any $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we can write

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1:d, j=1,\dots} c_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{ij} f_j \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{ij} f_j$.

The vectors ψ_i in general are not forming orthonormal systems of vectors. From the orthonormality of systems $(e_i) \in cons(\mathbb{C}^d)$ the following normalisation condition follows

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \|\psi_i\|_{\mathfrak{h}}^2. \quad (2)$$

For any $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$, the following, finite Schmidt decomposition can be derived by means of the well known SVD arguments:

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_i} \hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{f}_i \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1 : d$, $(\hat{e}_i) \in \text{cons}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, $(\hat{f}_i) \in \text{ons}(\mathfrak{h})$.

All the information about quantum entanglement contained in Ψ can be read off from the Schmidt decomposition Eq. (3). In particular:

- (1) The amount of entanglement (that will be computed by the amount of von Neumann entropy of the corresponding reduced density matrix which is the same as the amount of entropy of the introduced below Gram matrix):

$$\text{Ent}(\Psi) = - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \log(\lambda_i), \quad (4)$$

where $0 \cdot \log(0) = 0$ convention has been used in formula (4).

- (2) The maximally entangled, pure states of the (d, ∞) quantum systems are those for which for $i = 1 : d$, $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{d}$ and then $\text{Ent}(\Psi) = \log(d)$.

The problem of computing the Schmidt decomposition Eq. (3), see [9] for example of a given $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$, is not easy in general [7]. Here we propose another approach, to the computation of the Schmidt's numbers of a given $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$.

Let $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ be given as in Eq. (1) i.e.:

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes \psi_i. \quad (5)$$

Define the map $j_\Psi : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ by $j_\Psi(e_i) = \psi_i$ and then extend this by linearity to the whole space \mathbb{C}^d , i.e.:

$$j_\Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d d_i e_i \right) = \sum_{j=1}^d d_j \psi_j. \quad (6)$$

The map j_Ψ is continuous and $\|j_\Psi\| = \|\Psi\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the corresponding operator, respectively vector norm. From the very definition of j_Ψ one can compute the operator:

$$G(\Psi) : j_\Psi^\dagger \cdot j_\Psi : \mathbb{C}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d, \quad (7)$$

as a $d \times d$ matrix (which is the matrix representation of G in the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^d) with the corresponding matrix elements:

$$G(\Psi)_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle \quad \text{for } i, j = 1 : d. \quad (8)$$

Definition 1. For a given finite set $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ the Gram matrix of Ω is defined as $G(\Omega)_{ij} = \langle \omega_i | \omega_j \rangle_{i,j=1:n}$.

Some elementary properties of the Gram matrix are listed below:

G(1) The Gram matrix $G(\Omega)$ is hermitean and non-negative, i.e.:

$$\text{for } i, j = 1 : n : \overline{G_{ij}} = G_{ji} \quad (9)$$

and, for any sequence of complex numbers $c_{i,j}$ $i = 1 : n$.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i \overline{c_j} G_{ij} \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

G(2) The rank of $G(\Omega)$ is equal to the dimension of the subspace $lh(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$, i.e.:

$$\text{rank } G(\Omega) = \dim lh(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n). \quad (11)$$

G(3) If $G(\Omega)$ is a Gram matrix, then for all i, j :

$$|G_{ij}(\Omega)| \leq G_{ii}(\Omega) \cdot G_{jj}(\Omega). \quad (12)$$

Proof. By direct use of a Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

G(4) the Gram matrix $G(\Omega)$ is invertible iff $\text{rank } G(\Omega) = n$.

G(5) If a given $d \times d$ matrix G is positive semi-definite then there exists system of vectors $(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that G is the Gram matrix of the system (g_1, \dots, g_d) .

Proof. To compute the Cholesky decomposition of G , i.e. compute the matrix B (lower-triangular or equivalently upper-triangular) such that

$$G = BB^\dagger. \quad (13)$$

Then the corresponding vectors g are given as rows of B . \square

G(6) Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ stands for the multiplicative group of unitary operators acting in \mathfrak{h} . Then for any $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$, any $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes \psi_i$:

$$G(\Psi) = G((\mathbb{I} \otimes U)(\Psi)), \quad (14)$$

i.e. G is U -invariant.

G(7) Let $\sigma(\Psi) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ be a spectrum of the matrix $G(\Psi)$ (ordered in non-increasing order) then $\lambda_i(\Psi) = (\lambda_i^s(\Psi))^2$ where $\lambda_i^s(\Psi)$ are the Schmidt coefficients of the vector $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$.

G(8) Let $\text{Ent}(\Psi) = -\text{Tr}(G(\Psi) \log G(\Psi))$. Then $\sup_\Psi \text{Ent}(\Psi) = \text{Ent}(\Psi^*) = \log(d)$ where $\Psi^* \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ is such that $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{d}$ for all $i = 1 : d$.

For the purposes of the present note $G(7)$ and $G(8)$ are the most important properties of the Gram matrix $G(\Psi)$. We conclude from these properties that in order to compute entropy of entanglement included in Ψ we need to compute the corresponding Gram matrix of Ψ , matrix elements of it are given as scalar products of the corresponding components ψ_i of Ψ .

Remark 1. The scalar products are the simplest, widely used measures of similarity in between pure quantum states and are well known in quantum information theory as fidelity measure [1]. The Gram matrices are widely used in several areas of research. The differential geometry, mathematical statistics problems, quantum chemistry and atomic physics, control theory, machine learning and deep learning problems are some examples where the technique based on Gram matrices are applied. See for a source of references on this [21].

However their use in Quantum Information Theory (QIT) seems to be underestimated as it is hard to find any reference in QIT in which an explicit use of Gram matrices (although they are very close to the corresponding reduced density matrices notion). For a more extensive review together with some (might be) new results obtained with the use of Gram matrices, see [23], [24].

However, we are not able to find any source for the use of this concept in the present context.

Although we know the answer to the question which states $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ are maximally entangled the problem how to read off the amount of entropy directly from the formula (1) is the main topic of this contribution. The main result, confirmed numerically in the next section for the particular cases $d = 2$ and $d = 4$, is the following hypothesis (for any pure state Ψ written in the form given by Eq. (5) we define its Gram matrix as the Gram matrix formed by the frame $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_d)$).

Conjecture 1. Let $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes \psi_i \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Then, Ψ is maximally entangled pure state of (d, ∞) quantum system under consideration iff:

$$G(\Psi)_{ij} = \frac{1}{d} \cdot \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1 : d. \quad (15)$$

Remark 2. The statement "iff" from conjecture follows simply from the Schmidt decomposition Eq. (3) and the results in [22].

3 Numerical studies for $(2, \infty)$ and $(4, \infty)$ systems

Let $G(\Psi)$ be a $d \times d$ Gram matrix for a given pure state $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ as given in formula (8). The special Gram matrix G_{max} , defined as $(G_{max})_{ij} = \frac{1}{d} \cdot \delta_{ij}$ corresponds to case $\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij}$ for which the entropy of the Gram matrix attains maximal, possible values.

For this reason we introduce the following definition:

Definition 2. Deviation from the maximal entangled states manifold of a given state $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ is given as

$$\text{dev}(\Psi) = \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq d} |G(\Psi)_{ij} - (G_{max})_{ij}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (16)$$

Now we can state precisely our hypothesis:

$$\text{If } \text{dev}(\Psi) > 0 \text{ then } \text{Ent}(\Psi) < \log(d). \quad (17)$$

At the moment, no complete rigorous proof of this hypothesis is available. However, some computer assisted numerical analysis in the particularly interesting (from the point of view of quantum engineering) cases of dimensions $d = 2$ (single qubit entangled with atom for example) and $d = 4$ (relativistic $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin degrees of freedom entangled with the orbital degrees of freedom in the relativistic, Dirac model of atom for example) are presented in the rest of this section.

Example 1. The case of $d = 2$.

Let

$$\Psi = e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}. \quad (18)$$

The Gram matrix:

$$G(\Psi) = \begin{bmatrix} \|f_1\|^2 & \langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle \\ \langle f_2 | f_1 \rangle & \|f_2\|^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

has the following structure (which is exactly of the form of reduced density matrix obtained from Ψ by tracing out the degrees of freedom connected with the infinite dimensional subsystem):

$$G(\Psi) = \begin{bmatrix} p & \bar{\sigma} \\ \sigma & 1-p \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

where $p = \|f_1\|^2 \in [0, 1]$, $\sigma = \langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a complex number such that $|\sigma| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as it follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

Solving the corresponding eigenvalue equation for $G(\Psi)$ the following eigenvalues of $G(\Psi)$ are obtained

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \text{ where } \Delta = 1 - 4(p - p^2 - |\sigma|^2). \quad (21)$$

The corresponding entropic measure of quantum entanglement contained in the state Ψ :

$$\text{Ent}(\Psi) = -\lambda_1 \log(\lambda_1) - \lambda_2 \log(\lambda_2), \quad (22)$$

attains its maximal value for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ which corresponds to the case when $|\Delta| = 0$. In particular case $p = \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\sigma| = 0$ the quantum state Ψ is maximally entangled.


Example 2. The case of $d = 4$.

General form of the pure states in $d = 4$ (corresponding to several physically interesting cases like photonic wave functions for example) is given by

$$\Psi = e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2 + e_3 \otimes f_3 + e_4 \otimes f_4, \quad (23)$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$, $f_i \in \mathfrak{h}$, $i = 1 : 4$.

(A)



(B)




Fig. 1. Value of Entropy measure $\text{Ent}(\Psi)$ for case $d = 2$. The parameters p and σ in plots (A) and (B) are defined as in Gram matrix $G(\Psi)$ denoted by Eq. (20). Plots (B) shows change of the Entropy measure value for $p = \frac{1}{2}$

The corresponding to Eq. (23) Gram matrix $G(\Psi)$ is given by the formulae

$$G(\Psi)_{ij} = \langle f_i | f_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}. \quad (24)$$

In the case of semi-definite positive Gram matrix $G(\Psi)$ the well known Sylvester criterion, valid in the case of strictly positive matrices must be generalised. It is necessary to check in this case that all principal minors of G are non-negative [25], [26] not only the leading one. This means that in order to describe the corresponding manifold of Gram matrices containing also the matrices with non-trivial kernels, $\dim(\text{Ker}(G)) > 0$ it is necessary to put $2^d - 1$ non-linear constraints of the form as listed in Lemma 1 below in the case of strictly positive Gram matrices. In particular dimension $d = 4$ this number is equal to 15. This is the main reason that we restrict our consideration below to the case of strictly positive Gram matrices only which are most interesting from the physical point of view.

Lemma 1. *Let G_4 be the set of 4×4 hermitian matrices $G \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ obeying the following constraints:*

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(1): & \sum_{i=1}^4 G_{ii} = 1; G_{ii} \in [0, 1], \\ G_4(2): & (i) \det(G) \geq 0, \\ & (ii) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & \dots & G_{13} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G_{31} & \dots & G_{33} \end{bmatrix} \right) \geq 0, \\ & (iii) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then to any $G \in G_4$ there exists a system of vectors $g_i \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\langle g_i | g_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^4} = G_{ij}$.

Proof. Essentially $G(1)$ together with $G(2)$ property give the normalisation and non-negativity of G . Thus applying property $G(5)$ of the present note we conclude the final statement of Lemma 1. \square

Our hypothesis is the following.

Conjecture 2. Let $G \in G_4$. Let us define the entropy functional on G_4 :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent} : G_4 &\longrightarrow [\sigma, \log(4)], \\ G &\longrightarrow \text{Ent}(G) = -\text{Tr}(G \log(G)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then $\sup_{G \in G_4} \text{Ent}(G) = \log(4)$ and $\text{Ent}(G) = \log(4)$ iff $G_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $G_{ii} = 1/4$ for $i = 1 : 4$.




Fig. 2. Value of Entropy measure $\text{Ent}(\Psi)$ for case $d = 4$. The parameters $p = 1/4$, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ represent elements of matrix $G(\Psi)$ denoted by Eq. (24) in four form (A), (B), (C), (D) of $G(\Psi)$ matrix. Additionally, we also show two cases (E) and (F) where in (E) only one parameter σ_1 is used, and the second (F) where value σ_2 is constant

4 Conclusions

A new method for entanglement analysis, based on the notion of unitary invariant Gram matrices connected with pure states of a general (d, ∞) quantum systems has been proposed. In particular, a new criterion for detecting the maximally possible amount of quantum entanglement present in an analysed quantum state has been formulated in terms of the corresponding Gram matrix. Certain, numerical analysis type arguments in favour of the general validity of the criterion formulated are being presented in a particular dimensions d , but very interesting cases of dimensions $d = 2$ and $d = 4$. The presented connection between quantum entanglement and Gram matrices leads to a new, purely geometrical characterisation of entanglement in terms of a general and well known geometric interpretations of gramians.

References

1. Nielsen, M.A., and Chuang, I.L.: Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. (2000)
2. Bengtsson, I., and Życzkowski, K.: Geometry of Quantum States: An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K. (2006)
3. Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., Horodecki, K.: Quantum Entanglement, Rev. Mod. Phys., 81, 865, available at arXiv: quantphys:/0702225 (2009)
4. Gühne, O., Toth, G.: Entanglement detection, available at arXiv:quantphys:/0811280 (2008)
5. Horodecki, R., Kilin, S.Y., Kowalik, J.S. (eds.): Quantum Cryptography and Computing. IOS Press (2010)
6. Witczak-Krempa, W., Chen, G., Kim, Y.B., Balents, L.: Correlated quantum phenomena in the strong spin-orbit regime. Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 2014 Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 57-82, also available at arXiv:1305.2193v2 (2013)
7. You, W.L., Horsch, P., Oleś, A. M.: Quantum entanglement in the one-dimensional spin-orbital $SU(2) \otimes XXZ$ model. Phys. Rev. B 92, 054423 (2015)
8. Karimi, E., Leach, J., Slussarenko, S., Piccirillo, B., Marrucci, L., Chen, L., She W., Franke-Arnold, S., Padgett, M. J., Santamato, E.: Spin-orbit hybrid entanglement of photons and quantum contextuality. Phys. Rev. A 82(2), 022115 (2011)
9. ———: Entangling qubit with the rest of the world - the monogamy principle in action. Studia Informatica, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 33-43 (2017)
10. Castelvechi, D.: Quantum cloud goes commercial, Nature 543, 159 (2017)
11. IBM Makes Quantum Computing Available on IBM Cloud. <https://www.research.ibm.com/ibm-q/> (2016)
12. Qiskit, <https://github.com/IBM/qiskit-sdk-py> (2019)
13. Rigetti, Rigetti Launches Quantum Cloud Services, Announces \$1Million Challenge. HPCwire. (2018)
14. <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/quantum/> (2019)
15. <https://ai.google/research/teams/applied-science/quantum-ai/> (2019)
16. Intel Invests US\$50 Million to Advance Quantum Computing. Intel Newsroom (2015)
17. D-Wave, <https://www.dwavesys.com/our-company/meet-d-wave> (2019)

18. N. M. Linke, D. Maslov, M. Roetteler, S. Debnath, C. Figgatt, K. A. Landsman, K. Wright, and C. Monroe, Experimental comparison of two quantum computing architectures, PNAS 114, 3305-3310 (2017)
19. Devoret, M. H., Wallraff, A., Martinis, J. M.: Superconducting Qubits: A Short Review. arXiv:cond-mat/0411174 (2004)
20. DiVincenzo, D.P.: The Physical Implementation of Quantum Computation. Fortschr. Phys., Vol. 48, pp. 771 – 783 (2000)
21. Hazewinkel, M., (ed.) Gram matrix, in Encyclopaedia of Mathematics (2001)
22. ———.: Schmidt decomposition of mixed-pure states for (d, ∞) systems and some applications. arXiv:1803.09541 (2018)
23. ———.: in preparation (2019)
24. Christensen, O.: An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases. Springer Science (2003)
25. Prussing, J.E.: The principal minor test for semidefinite matrices. Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics. Vol. 1, pp. 121 – 122 (1986)
26. Gilbert, G.T.: Positive definite matrices and Sylvester criterion. The American Mathematical Monthly , Vol. 98, No. 1 , pp. 44 – 46 (1991)
27. Barends, R. and Kelly, J. and Megrant, A. and Sank, D. and Jeffrey, E. and Chen, Y. and Yin, Y. and Chiaro, B. and Mutus, J. and Neill, C. and O'Malley, P. and Roushan, P. and Wenner, J. and White, T. C. and Cleland, A. N. and Martinis, John M.: Coherent Josephson Qubit Suitable for Scalable Quantum Integrated Circuits. Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol. 111, Issue 8, pp. 080502 (2013)